

Title	The Glauberman correspondent of a nilpotent block of a finite group
Author(s)	Watanabe, Atumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 45(4) P.869-P.875
Issue Date	2008-12
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/4400">https://doi.org/10.18910/4400</a>
DOI	10.18910/4400
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## THE GLAUBERMAN CORRESPONDENT OF A NILPOTENT BLOCK OF A FINITE GROUP

ATUMI WATANABE

(Received August 16, 2007)

### Abstract

We prove that a nilpotent block of a finite group and its Glauberman correspondent are basic Morita equivalent.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $A$  and  $G$  be finite groups such that  $A$  is solvable,  $A$  acts on  $G$  by automorphisms and that the orders  $|A|$  and  $|G|$  are coprime. Let  $C = C_G(A)$ . Let  $(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{O}, k)$  be a  $p$ -modular system such that  $\mathcal{K}$  contains the  $|G|$ -th roots of unity and  $k$  is algebraically closed. We denote by  $\text{Irr}(G)$  the set of ordinary irreducible characters of  $G$  and by  $\text{Irr}(G)^A$  the set of  $A$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Irr}(G)$ . Then there is a natural one-to-one and onto map  $\pi(G, A): \text{Irr}(G)^A \rightarrow \text{Irr}(C)$  which we call the Glauberman character correspondence ([5] and [10], Chapter 13).

Let  $B$  be a ( $p$ -)block of  $G$ .  $B$  is a block algebra of  $\mathcal{O}G$ . By [19], Proposition 1 and Theorem 1, if  $B$  is  $A$ -invariant and a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  is centralized by  $A$ , then any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ , the set of ordinary irreducible characters of  $B$ , is  $A$ -invariant and there exists a block  $b$  of  $C$  such that  $\pi(G, A)(\text{Irr}(B)) = \text{Irr}(b)$  (see [12], Theorem 4.3 for another proof of [19], Proposition 1). Moreover  $D$  is a defect group of  $b$ , and  $\pi(G, A)$  gives an isotopy between  $B$  and  $b$  in the sense of [3], by [19], Proposition 5. Then we call  $b$  the Glauberman correspondent of  $B$ . In [8], Theorem 1.1, it is shown that if  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable, then there is a basic Morita equivalence, in the sense of [15], Chapter 7, between  $B$  and  $b$  such that the bijection between  $\text{Irr}(B)$  and  $\text{Irr}(b)$  induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)|_{\text{Irr}(B)}$ . Moreover in [7], Theorem 2 and [17], Theorem 4.9, it is shown that if the defect group  $D$  is normal in  $G$ , then there is a splendid Morita equivalence between  $B$  and  $b$  such that the bijection between  $\text{Irr}(B)$  and  $\text{Irr}(b)$  induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)|_{\text{Irr}(B)}$  (see also [11], [9] and [16]). In this paper we prove the following.

**Theorem 1.** *With the above notations, let  $B$  be an  $A$ -invariant block of  $G$  such that a defect group  $D$  of  $B$  is contained in  $C$ , and let  $b$  be the Glauberman correspondent of  $B$ . Assume that  $B$  is nilpotent. Then  $b$  is nilpotent and there is a basic*

Morita equivalence between  $B$  and  $b$  such that the bijection  $\text{Irr}(B)$  and  $\text{Irr}(b)$  induced by it is  $\pi(G, A)_{|\text{Irr}(B)}$ .

For notations and terminologies in this paper, we follow [18]. A module is a left module unless stated explicitly.

We remark that in [6], it is shown that a block of a finite group and its Isaacs correspondent are basic Morita equivalent.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1**

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $B$  be a block of  $G$ . We denote by  $1_B$  the identity element of  $B$ . Let  $D_\gamma$  be a maximal local pointed group contained in the pointed group  $G_{\{1_B\}}$  on  $\mathcal{O}G$  and let  $i \in \gamma$ , then  $i$  is called a  $D$ -source idempotent of  $B$ , and  $iBi$  is called a source algebra of  $B$ . If  $L$  is a left (resp. right)  $iBi$ -module, then  $L$  is regarded as a left (resp. right)  $\mathcal{O}D$ -module via the  $\mathcal{O}$ -algebra homomorphism from  $\mathcal{O}D$  to  $iBi$ .

Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be finite groups. If  $M$  is an  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodule,  $M$  is considered as an  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module through  $(x', x)m = x'mx^{-1}$  for any  $x' \in G'$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $m \in M$ , which we denote by  ${}_{G' \times G}M$ . Similarly, if  $M$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module, then  $M$  is considered as an  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodule. Moreover, for  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules  $M_1, M_2$ , those are isomorphic if and only if  ${}_{G' \times G}M_1$  and  ${}_{G' \times G}M_2$  are so. Let  $D$  and  $D'$  be subgroups of  $G$  and  $G'$  respectively and  $N$  be an  $(\mathcal{O}D', \mathcal{O}D)$ -bimodule. We have an isomorphism of  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -modules

$$N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G} \cong \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$$

mapping  $(x', x) \otimes n$  to  $x' \otimes n \otimes x^{-1}$  for any  $x' \in G'$ ,  $x \in G$  and  $n \in N$  where  $N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G}$  is the induced  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module from the  $\mathcal{O}(D' \times D)$ -module  $N$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be finite groups, and let  $B$  and  $B'$  be blocks of  $G$  and  $G'$  with defect groups  $D$  and  $D'$  respectively. Let  $i$  (resp.  $i'$ ) be a  $D$  (resp.  $D'$ )-source idempotent of  $B$  (resp.  $B'$ ). If  $N$  is an  $(i'B'i', iBi)$ -bimodule, then as  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -modules*

$$B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB \mid N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G}$$

where  $N_{D' \times D}^{G' \times G}$  is the induced  $\mathcal{O}(G' \times G)$ -module from the  $\mathcal{O}(D' \times D)$ -module  $N$ .

*Proof.* By the above it suffices to show that as  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules,  $B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  is a component of  $\mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$ . By [13], Theorem 1.2, there exist  $c, d \in (\mathcal{O}G)^D$  such that  $1_B = \sum_{u \in U} ucidu^{-1}$  where  $U$  is the set of representatives of  $G/D$ . Here  $(\mathcal{O}G)^D$  denotes the set of  $D$ -fixed elements of  $\mathcal{O}G$ . Similarly there exist  $c', d' \in (\mathcal{O}G')^{D'}$  such that  $1_{B'} = \sum_{u' \in U'} u'c'i'd'u'^{-1}$  where  $U'$  is the set of representatives

of  $G'/D'$ . We can construct a homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules

$$\varphi: B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB \rightarrow \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$$

which satisfies

$$\varphi(a' \otimes n \otimes a) = \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'u'c' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes du^{-1}a$$

for any  $a' \in B'i'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $a \in iB$ . On the other hand there is a homomorphism of  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules

$$\psi: \mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G \rightarrow B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$$

which satisfies

$$\psi(x' \otimes n \otimes x) = x'i' \otimes n \otimes ix$$

for any  $x' \in \mathcal{O}G'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $x \in \mathcal{O}G$ . For  $a' \otimes n \otimes a \in B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  where  $a' \in B'i'$ ,  $n \in N$  and  $a \in iB$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\psi \circ \varphi)(a' \otimes n \otimes a) &= \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'u'c'i' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes idu^{-1}a \\ &= \sum_{u' \in U'} \sum_{u \in U} a'i'u'c'i' \otimes (i'd'u'^{-1}i')n(iuci) \otimes idu^{-1}ia \\ &= a' \otimes i'ni \otimes a \\ &= a' \otimes n \otimes a. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $B'i' \otimes_{i'B'i'} N \otimes_{iBi} iB$  is a component of  $\mathcal{O}G' \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D'} N \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} \mathcal{O}G$  as  $(\mathcal{O}G', \mathcal{O}G)$ -bimodules. This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1. Firstly we recall the arguments in [19], §3. Let  $(D, B_D)$  be an  $A$ -invariant maximal  $B$ -Brauer pair. For  $Q \leq D$ , let  $(Q, B_Q)$  be a unique  $B$ -Brauer pair contained in  $(D, B_D)$ . Then  $B_Q$  is  $A$ -invariant and a defect group of  $B_Q$  is centralized by  $A$ . Let  $b_Q$  be the Glauberman correspondent of  $B_Q$ . Then  $b_Q$  is associated with  $b$  and  $(Q, b_Q) \subset (D, b_D)$ . In particular  $(D, b_D)$  is a maximal  $b$ -Brauer pair. Moreover, by [19], Proposition 4, the Brauer categories of  $B$  and  $b$  are equivalent, and  $N_G(Q, B_Q) = C_G(Q)N_C(Q, b_Q)$ . Therefore  $N_C(Q, b_Q)/C_C(Q)$  is a  $p$ -group for any  $Q \leq D$ , and hence  $b$  is a nilpotent block.

Let  $U$  be a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes of  $D$  and for each  $u \in U$ , set  $B_u = B_{\langle u \rangle}$  and  $b_u = b_{\langle u \rangle}$ . By [2], Theorem 1.2 or [14], 1.7,  $\{(u, B_u) \mid u \in U\}$  is a set of representatives for the  $G$ -conjugacy classes of  $B$ -Brauer elements and  $\{(u, b_u) \mid u \in U\}$  is a set of representatives for the  $C$ -conjugacy classes of  $b$ -Brauer

elements. By [2], Theorem 1.2 or [14], 1.9,  $B_u$  (resp.  $b_u$ ) has a unique irreducible Brauer character  $\varphi^{(u)}$  (resp.  $\varphi^{*(u)}$ ). For  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$ , we denote by  $d(\chi, u, \varphi^{(u)})$  the generalized decomposition number of  $\chi$  with respect to  $\varphi^{(u)}$ . Also we set  $\chi^* = \pi(G, A)(\chi)$ . Since there is an isotypy between  $B$  and  $b$  given by the Glauberman character correspondence by [19], Proposition 5, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B)$  and  $u \in U$

$$(1) \quad d(\chi, u, \varphi^{(u)}) = \epsilon_\chi \tilde{\omega}(u) d(\chi^*, u, \varphi^{*(u)})$$

where  $\epsilon_\chi = \pm 1$  and  $\tilde{\omega}(u) = \pm 1$  because  $B_u$  and  $b_u$  has the same defect.

Let  $i$  (resp.  $j$ ) be a  $D$ -source idempotent of  $B$  (resp.  $b$ ). By [13], Theorem 3.5, there is a Morita equivalence between the source algebra  $iBi$  of  $B$  and the block algebra  $B$  realized by the  $(B, iBi)$ -bimodule  $Bi$ , and similarly there is a Morita equivalence between  $bjb$  and  $b$  realized by the  $(b, jbj)$ -bimodule  $bj$ . Moreover by [14], 1.6 and 1.8, there exist  $\mathcal{O}$ -simple interior  $D$ -algebras  $S$  and  $T$  such that

$$iBi \cong S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \quad \text{and} \quad jbj \cong T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$$

as interior  $D$ -algebras. In fact  $S$  and  $T$  are primitive Dade  $D$ -algebras. Suppose that

$$S \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(V) \quad \text{and} \quad T \cong \text{End}_{\mathcal{O}}(W)$$

for some  $\mathcal{O}$ -free modules  $V$  and  $W$ . Thus  $V$  and  $W$  become indecomposable endopermutation  $\mathcal{O}D$ -modules with vertex  $D$ . Now there is a Morita equivalence between the group algebra  $\mathcal{O}D$  and  $iBi$  (resp.  $jbj$ ) realized by the  $(iBi, \mathcal{O}D)$  (resp.  $(jbj, \mathcal{O}D)$ )-bimodule  $V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  (resp.  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$ ). Hence we obtain the equivalences  $\Psi_B: \text{mod}(\mathcal{O}D) \rightarrow \text{mod}(B)$  and  $\Psi_b: \text{mod}(\mathcal{O}D) \rightarrow \text{mod}(b)$  where  $\text{mod}(B)$  denotes the category of finitely generated  $B$ -modules. Thus  $\Psi_B$  is realized by  $Bi \otimes_{iBi} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D)$ , and  $\Psi_b$  is realized by  $bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D)$ .

For  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D)$ , let  $L_\lambda$  be an  $\mathcal{O}D$ -lattice with the character  $\lambda$ . Also set  $M_\lambda = Bi \otimes_{iBi} (V \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\lambda)$  and  $N_\lambda = bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\lambda)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D) \leftrightarrow \chi_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(B)$  is a bijection induced by the equivalence  $\Psi_B$ , where  $\chi_\lambda$  is the character of  $M_\lambda$ . By [14], 1.12, we have for any  $u \in U$

$$(2) \quad d(\chi_\lambda, u, \varphi^{(u)}) = \omega(u)\lambda(u)$$

where  $\omega(u) = \pm 1$ . Similarly  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D) \leftrightarrow \zeta_\lambda \in \text{Irr}(b)$  is a bijection induced by the equivalence  $\Psi_b$ , where  $\zeta_\lambda$  is the character of  $N_\lambda$ . We have also for any  $u \in U$

$$(3) \quad d(\zeta_\lambda, u, \varphi^{*(u)}) = \omega^*(u)\lambda(u)$$

where  $\omega^*(u) = \pm 1$ .

From (2) and (3) we have  $\chi_\nu * \lambda = \chi_{\nu\lambda}$  and  $\zeta_\nu * \lambda = \zeta_{\nu\lambda}$  for a linear character  $\nu$  of  $D$  and  $\lambda \in \text{Irr}(D)$  where  $\chi_\nu * \lambda$  is a Broué-Puig's generalized character defined in [1]

(see [2], Theorem 1.2). Let  $1_D$  be the trivial character of  $D$ . From (1)–(3), if we set  $(\chi_{1_D})^* = \zeta_\eta$  for some  $\eta \in \text{Irr}(D)$ , then  $\eta$  is linear. Note that if  $p$  is odd, then  $\eta = 1_D$ . Now, since there is an isotopy between  $B$  and  $b$  given by the Glauberman character correspondence, we can see

$$(4) \quad (\chi_\lambda)^* = (\chi_{1_D} * \lambda)^* = (\chi_{1_D})^* * \lambda = \zeta_\eta * \lambda = \zeta_{\eta\lambda}.$$

Now since  $\eta$  is linear, the  $\mathcal{O}D$ -bimodule  $L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  realizes an equivalence of  $\text{mod}(\mathcal{O}D)$ , which we denote by  $\Psi$ . Let  $\Pi = \Psi_b \Psi \Psi_B^{-1}: \text{mod}(B) \rightarrow \text{mod}(b)$  where  $\Psi_B^{-1}$  is the equivalence from  $\text{mod}(B)$  to  $\text{mod}(\mathcal{O}D)$  realized by the  $(\mathcal{O}D, B)$ -bimodule  $(V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{iBi} iB$  where  $V^*$  is the dual module. Note that  $V^*$  is a right  $S$ -module. Thus  $\Pi$  is realized by the  $(b, B)$ -bimodule

$$bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{iBi} iB.$$

Moreover we see that the bijection between  $\text{Irr}(B)$  and  $\text{Irr}(b)$  induced by  $\Pi$  is  $\pi(G, A)_{|\text{Irr}(B)}$  from (4).

Now we have a  $(b, B)$ -bimodule isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} &bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}D} (V^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D) \otimes_{iBi} iB \\ &\cong bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*) \otimes_{iBi} iB. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*$  is regarded as a  $(jbj, iBi)$ -bimodule through

$$(t \otimes d_1)(w \otimes l \otimes a \otimes v^*)(s \otimes d_2) = tw \otimes d_1l \otimes d_1ad_2 \otimes v^*s$$

for any  $t \in T, d_1, d_2 \in D, w \in W, l \in L_\eta, a \in \mathcal{O}D, v^* \in V^*$  and  $s \in S$  identifying  $iBi$  (resp.  $jbj$ ) with  $S \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$  (resp.  $T \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D$ ). Let  $\Delta D = \{(d, d) \in C \times G \mid d \in D\}$  and let

$$M = bj \otimes_{jbj} (W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*) \otimes_{iBi} iB.$$

In order to complete the proof, it suffices to show that  $M$  as an  $\mathcal{O}(C \times G)$ -module has  $\Delta D$  as a vertex by [15], Corollary 7.4.

Let

$$X = W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_\eta \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*.$$

We regard  $X$  as an  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module by the following action.

$$(d, d)(w \otimes l \otimes v^*) = dw \otimes dl \otimes v^*d^{-1}$$

where  $d \in D, w \in W, l \in L_\eta$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . We show  $jMi$  and  $X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules. (Note that  $jMi$  is a  $(jbj, iBi)$ -bimodule, and hence this is an

$\mathcal{O}D$ -bimodule.) Here  $jMi$  is identified with  $W \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} L_{\eta} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{O}D \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} V^*$ . Now we have an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$f: X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D} \rightarrow jMi$$

defined by

$$f((d_1, d_2) \otimes (w \otimes l \otimes v^*)) = d_1 w \otimes d_1 l \otimes d_1 d_2^{-1} \otimes v^* d_2^{-1}$$

for any  $d_1, d_2 \in D$ ,  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_{\eta}$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . Then  $f$  is an  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -homomorphism. On the other hand we have an  $\mathcal{O}$ -linear map

$$g: jMi \rightarrow X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$$

defined by

$$g(w \otimes l \otimes d \otimes v^*) = (d, 1) \otimes (d^{-1} w \otimes d^{-1} l \otimes v^*)$$

for any  $w \in W$ ,  $l \in L_{\eta}$ ,  $d \in D$  and  $v^* \in V^*$ . We see that  $g$  is an  $\mathcal{O}$ -isomorphism with the inverse  $f$ . Thus  $jMi$  and  $X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$  are isomorphic as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules.

By Lemma 2,  $M$  is a component of the induced module  $(jMi)_{D \times D}^{C \times G}$  because  $M \simeq \mathcal{O}C \otimes_{jbj} jMi \otimes_{iBi} \mathcal{O}G$ . Hence by the above  $M$  is a component of  $X_{\Delta D}^{C \times G}$ . Hence  $M$  is  $\Delta D$ -projective. Now  $jMi$  is a component of  $M$  as  $\mathcal{O}(D \times D)$ -modules. Since  $jMi \cong X_{\Delta D}^{D \times D}$ ,  $X$  is a component of  $jMi$  as  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -modules, and hence  $X$  is a component of  $M$  as  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -modules. Since  $p \nmid \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} X$  from [14], 1.6 and 1.8 or [18], Corollary 28.11, an indecomposable component of  $X$  has  $\Delta D$  as a vertex, and hence an indecomposable component of  $M$  as an  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module has  $\Delta D$  as a vertex. This implies that  $\Delta D$  is a vertex of  $M$ . Hence  $B$  and  $b$  are basic Morita equivalent. This completes the proof.

Here we give a direct proof of the fact that  $M$  has an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module as a source (see [15], Corollary 7.4). Since  $W$ ,  $L_{\eta}$  and  $V$  are endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}D$ -modules,  $X$  is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module, in fact  $X$  is capped in the sense of [4]. Now, since  $M \mid X_{\Delta D}^{C \times G}$ , there exists an indecomposable component  $Y$  of  $X$  such that  $M \mid Y_{\Delta D}^{C \times G}$ . Then  $Y$  is an endo-permutation  $\mathcal{O}(\Delta D)$ -module and it is a source module of  $M$ . We note that  $Y = \text{cap}(X)$ .  $\square$

---

### References

- [1] M. Broué and L. Puig: *Characters and local structure in G-algebras*, J. Algebra **63** (1980), 306–317.
- [2] M. Broué and L. Puig: *A Frobenius theorem for blocks*, Invent. Math. **56** (1980), 117–128.

- [3] M. Broué: *Isométries parfaites, types de blocs, catégories dérivées*, Astérisque **181–182** (1990), 61–92.
- [4] E.C. Dade: *Endo-permutation modules over  $p$ -groups*, I, Ann. of Math. (2) **107** (1978), 459–494.
- [5] G. Glauberman: *Correspondences of characters for relatively prime operator groups*, Canad. J. Math. **20** (1968), 1465–1488.
- [6] M.E. Harris and S. Koshitani: *An extension of Watanabe's theorem for the Isaacs-Horimoto-Watanabe corresponding blocks*, J. Algebra **296** (2006), 96–109.
- [7] M.E. Harris: *Glauberman-Watanabe corresponding  $p$ -blocks of finite groups with normal defect groups are Morita equivalent*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357** (2005), 309–335.
- [8] M.E. Harris and M. Linckelmann: *On the Glauberman and Watanabe correspondences for blocks of finite  $p$ -solvable groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **354** (2002), 3435–3453.
- [9] H. Horimoto: *A note on the Glauberman correspondence of  $p$ -blocks of finite  $p$ -solvable groups*, Hokkaido Math. J. **31** (2002), 255–259.
- [10] I.M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [11] S. Koshitani and G.O. Michler: *Glauberman correspondence of  $p$ -blocks of finite groups*, J. Algebra **243** (2001), 504–517.
- [12] G. Navarro: *Actions and characters in blocks*, J. Algebra **275** (2004), 471–480.
- [13] L. Puig: *Pointed groups and construction of characters*, Math. Z. **176** (1981), 265–292.
- [14] L. Puig: *Nilpotent blocks and their source algebras*, Invent. Math. **93** (1988), 77–116.
- [15] L. Puig: *On the Local Structure of Morita and Rickard Equivalences Between Brauer Blocks*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1999.
- [16] L. Puig: *On the Brauer-Glauberman correspondence*, J. Algebra **319** (2008), 629–656.
- [17] F. Tasaka: *A note on the Glauberman-Watanabe corresponding blocks of finite groups with normal defect groups*, to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [18] J. Thévenaz:  *$G$ -Algebras and Modular Representation Theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1995.
- [19] A. Watanabe: *The Glauberman character correspondence and perfect isometries for blocks of finite groups*, J. Algebra **216** (1999), 548–565.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Kumamoto University  
Kumamoto, 860–8555  
Japan