

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

ON THE POTENTIAL TAKEN WITH RESPECT TO COMPLEX-VALUED KERNELS

MINORU MATSUDA

(Received December 24, 1971)

In the potential theory, we have two theorems called the existence theorem concerning the potential taken with respect to real-valued and symmetric kernels. They are stated as follows. Let $K(X, Y)$ be a real-valued function defined in a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω , lower semi-continuous for any points X and Y, may be $+\infty$ for $X = Y$, always finite for $X \neq Y$ and bounded from above for *X* and *Y* belonging to disjoint compact sets of Ω respectively. For a given positive measure μ , the potential is defined by

$$
K\mu(X)=\int K(X, Y)d\mu(Y),
$$

and the *K*-energy of μ is defined by $\int K\mu(X)d\mu(X)$. A subset of Ω is said to be of positive K-transfinite diameter, when it charges a positive measure μ of finite K -energy with compact support, otherwise said to be of K -transfinite diameter zero. Let $K(X, Y)$ be symmetric : $K(X, Y)$ = $K(Y, X)$ for any points *X* and *Y*. Then we have two following theorems.

Theorem A. *Let F be a compact subset of positive K-transfinite diameter,* and $f(X)$ be a real-valued upper semi-continuous function with lower bound on F . *Then, given any positive number a, there exist a positive measure μ supported by F and a real constant y such that*

$$
(1) \quad \mu(F)=a,
$$

- (2) $K\mu(X) \ge f(X) + \gamma$ on F with a possible exception of a set of K-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (3) $K\mu(X) \leq f(X) + \gamma$ on the support of μ .

Theorem B. In the above theorem, suppose the further conditions : $K(X, \mathbb{R})$ Y) >0 and inf $f(X)$ >0 for any points X and Y of F. Then, given any compact *subset F of positive K-transfinite diameter, there exists a positive measure μ supported by F such that*

(1) $K_{\mu}(X) \ge f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of K-transfinite diameter *zero, and*

(2) $K\mu(X) \leq f(X)$ on the support of μ .

Recently, N. Ninomiya ([5]) proved the existence theorems for the potential taken with respect to complex-valued and *symmetric* kernels and to complex-valued measures, which are the extension of the above theorems in the case of the real-valued kernels. We state them as follows. Let $K(X, Y)$ be a complex-valued function defined in a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . Let $k(X, Y) = \Re K(X, Y)$ be a function lower semi-continuous, symmetric, may be $+\infty$ for $X = Y$, always finite for $X \neq Y$ and bounded from above for X and Y belonging to disjoint compact sets of Ω respectively, and $n(X, Y) = \mathcal{K}(X, Y)$ be a finite continuous function satisfying that $n(X, Y) = -n(Y, X)$ for any points *X* and *Y* of $Ω$. For any compact subset *F* and any positive numbers *a* and b, denote by $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, b)$ the family of all the complex-valued measures supported by *F* whose real parts and imaginary parts are positive measures with total mass *a* and *b* respectively, by $\mathfrak{M}(a, F)$ the family of all the complex-valued measures supported by *F* whose real parts are positive measures with total mass *a* and imaginary parts are any positive measures, by $\mathfrak{M}(F, b)$ the family of all the complex-valued measures supported by *F* whose real parts are any positive measures and imaginary parts are positive measures with total mass *b,* and by $\mathfrak{M}(F)$ the family of all the complex-valued measures supported by F whose real parts and imaginary parts are any positive measures. For any such measure α , the potential is defined by

$$
K\alpha(X)=\int K(X, Y)d\alpha(Y).
$$

Then we have two following theorems.

Theorem A'. *Let F be a compact subset of positive k-transfinίte diameter,* and $f(X)$ be a complex-valued function whose real part $\Re f(X)$ and imaginary part *%>f(X) are upper semi-continuous functions with lower bound on F. Then, given* any positive numbers a and b, there exist a measure α of $\mathfrak{M}(a,\, F,\, b)$ and a complex *constant y such that*

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \ge \Re \{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X)+\gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathcal{X} K \alpha(X) \geq \mathcal{X} \{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (4) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$.

Theorem B'. In the above theorem, suppose the further conditions : $k(X, \theta)$ $Y) > 0$, inf $\Re f(X) > 0$ and inf $\Im f(X) > 0$ for any points X and Y of F. Then, *given any positive number a such that a* $|n(X, Y)| < \mathcal{F}f(X)$ for points X and Y of *F*, there exist a measure α of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F)$ and a real constant γ such that

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \ge \Re \{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\Im K\alpha(X) \geq \Im f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (4) $\mathcal{X}K\alpha(X) \leq \mathcal{X}f(X)$ on the support of $\mathcal{X}\alpha$.

Similarly, given any positive number b such that b\n(X, Y) $\lt \Re f(X)$ *for points* X and Y of F *, there exist a measure* α *of* $\mathfrak{M}(F, b)$ *and a complex constant* γ *such that*

- $(1')$ $\Re K\alpha(X) \geq \Re f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2') $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re f(X)$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- $(3')$ $\Im K\alpha(X) \geq \Im \{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (4^{γ}) $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) \leq \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$.

In this paper we are going to extend these existence theorems to the potential taken with respect to complex-valued kernels and to complex-valued measures, under an additional condition of the continuity principle for the adjoint kernel.

Let $K(X, Y)$ be a complex-valued function, not always symmetric, defined in a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω . Let $k(X, Y) = K\Re(X, Y)$ be a function lower semi-continuous, may be + ∞ for *X* = *Y*, always finite for *X* + *Y* and $n(X, Y) = \frac{X}{N}(X, Y)$ be a finite continuous function. For any positive measure μ , consider the adjoint potential defined by

$$
\check{k}\mu(X)=\int \check{k}(X,\ Y)d\mu(Y)=\int k(Y,\ X)d\mu(Y).
$$

Then, we have two following theorems.

Theorem 1. *Let F be a compact subset of positive k-transfinite diameter,* and $f(X)$ be a complex-valued function whose real part $\Re f(X)$ and imaginary part *ϊ\$f{X) are upper semi-continuous functions with lower bound on F, and a and b be two positive numbers. If the adjoint kernel* $\dot{k}(X, Y) = k(Y, X)$ *satisfies the* $\emph{continuity principle}^\text{1)}$, there exist a measure α of $\mathfrak{M}(a,\, F,\, b)$ and a complex constant *y such that*

(1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \ge \Re \{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*

¹⁾ We say that *k(X, Y)* satisfies the continuity principle when for any positive measure μ with compact support, the following implication holds: (the restriction of $k\mu(X)$ to the support of *μ* is finite and continuous) = $(kμ(X)$ is finite and continuous in the whole space *Ω*).

538 M. MATSUDA

- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathcal{R}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathcal{R}f(X) + \gamma$ *on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite diameter zero, and*
- (4) $\mathfrak{K}\alpha(X) \leq \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$.

Theorem 2. *In the above theorem, suppose the further conditions* : *k(X, Y)* > 0 , inf $\Re f(X) > 0$, and inf $\Re f(X) > 0$ for any points X and Y of F. Then, there *exist a measure* α of $\mathfrak{M}(F)$ and a real constant γ such that

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re \{ f(X) + \gamma \}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2) $\Re K\alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$.
- (3) $\Re K\alpha(X) \geq \Re f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (4) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re f(X)$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$.

Similarly, there exist a measure α of $\mathfrak{M}(F)$ and a pure imaginary constant γ such *that*

- $(1')$ $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2') $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re f(X)$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3') $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfi*nite diameter zero, and*
- (4^{\prime}) $\mathcal{R}K\alpha(X) \leq \mathcal{R}{f(X) + \gamma}$ on the support of $\mathcal{R}\alpha$.

Before we prove the theorems, we prepare some lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let μ be a positive measure with compact support. If the adjoint *kernel k(X, Y) satisfies the continuity principle, the set* $E = \{X \mid k\mu(X) = +\infty\}$ *of is of k-transfinite diameter zero.*

Lemma 2. Let F be a compact subset, and $f(X)$ be a complex-valued *function whose real part* $\Re f(X)$ *and imaginary part* $\Im f(X)$ *are upper semi-continuous functions with lower bound on F respectively, and a and b be two positive numbers. If the real part k(X, Y) of K(X, Y) is a finite continuous function defined in* Ω , *there exist a measure* α of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, b)$ and a complex constant γ such that

- (1) $\Re K\alpha(X) \geq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F,
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) = \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F, and
- (4) $\mathcal{K}\alpha(X) = \mathcal{K}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\mathcal{K}\alpha$.

Lemma 3. In above Lemma 2, suppose the further conditions : $k(X, Y) > 0$, inf $\Re f(X) > 0$, and inf $\Im f(X) > 0$ for any points X and Y of F and both $\Re f(X)$ and $\mathfrak{F}f(x)$ are finite and continuous. Then, there exist a measure α of $\mathfrak{M}(F)$ and *a real constant* 7 *such that*

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F,
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) = \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathcal{K}\alpha(X) \geq \mathcal{K}\beta(X)$ on F, and
- (4) $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) = \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on the support of $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$.

Lemma 4. *In above Theorem 2, suppose the further conditions* : *both* $\Re f(X)$ and $\Im f(X)$ are finite and continuous. Then, there exist a measure α of *Sΰl(F) and a real constant* γ *such that*

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero,*
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathcal{K}\alpha(X) \geq \mathcal{K}(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (4) $\mathcal{K}\alpha(X) \leq \mathcal{K}(X)$ on the support of $\mathcal{K}\alpha$.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let the set *E* be of positive *k*-transfinite diameter. **V** *k(X, Y)* satisfying the continuity principle, there exists a positive measure *σ* such that

- (a) the compact support of σ is contained in the set E, and
- (b) $\dot{k}\sigma(X)$ is finite and continuous in the whole space Ω .
- Hence we have

 $fk\mu(X)d\sigma(X)$ = + ∞ , that is, $f\&\sigma(X)d\mu(X)$ = + ∞ , which is a contradiction.

Proof of Lemma 2. For any positive number *c,* denote by *m(c, F)* the set of all positive measures supported by *F* with total mass *c.* We define the point-to-set mapping φ on the product space $m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$ into $\mathfrak{F}(m(a, F))$ $\times m(b, F)$) which is the family of all closed convex subsets in $m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$. For any $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$, that is, $\alpha = (\mu, \nu)$ of $m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$, φ is defined as follows.

$$
\varphi((\mu, \nu)) = \{(\lambda, \tau) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F) |
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} f(k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X))d\lambda(X) + f(k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X))d\tau(X) \\ &= \inf \left(f(k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X))d\xi(X) + f(k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X))d\tau(X) \right| \\ (\xi, \eta) &\in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)) \}.\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $\varphi((\mu, \nu)) \neq \varphi$. For, putting

$$
d = \inf \left(\int (k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X)) d\xi(X) + \int (k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X)) d\eta(X) \right)
$$

(ξ, η) \in $m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$), there exist sequences of

 (a, F) and $\eta_n \in m(b, F)$ such that

540 M. MATSUDA

 $f(k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X))d\xi_n(X) + f(k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X))d\eta_n(X) \rightarrow d$. As we have vaguely convergent subnets $\xi_{n_k} \in m(a, F)$ and $\eta_{n_k} \in m(b, F)$ such that $\xi_{n_k} \rightarrow \xi$ ⁰ and $\eta_{n_k} \rightarrow \eta$ ⁰, there holds $\varphi((\mu, \nu)) \ni (\xi_0, \eta_0)$. Moreover $\varphi((\mu, \nu))$ is upper semi-continuous in the following sense : if nets $\{\delta_{\alpha} | \alpha \in D$, a directed set} and *{ζ*_{*a*}| $\alpha \in D$ } converge to δ and ζ with respect to the product topology respectively, and if $\delta_a \in \varphi(\zeta_a)$ for any $\alpha \in D$, then $\delta \in \varphi(\zeta)$. In fact, if we put $\delta_a = (\lambda_a, \tau_a)$, $\zeta_a = (\sigma_a, \gamma_a)$, $\delta = (\lambda_0, \tau_0)$, and $\zeta = (\sigma_0, \gamma_0)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned} &\int (k\sigma_{\alpha}(X) - n\gamma_{\alpha}(X) - \Re f(X))d\lambda_{\alpha}(X) + \int (k\gamma_{\alpha}(X) + n\sigma_{\alpha}(X) - \Im f(X))d\tau_{\alpha}(X) \\ &\leq \int (k\sigma_{\alpha}(X) - n\gamma_{\alpha}(X) - \Re f(X))d\xi(X) + \int (k\gamma_{\alpha}(X) + n\sigma_{\alpha}(X) - \Im f(X))d\eta(X) \end{aligned}
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$. By the limit process, we have

$$
f(k\sigma_0(X)-n\gamma_0(X)-\Re f(X))d\lambda_0(X)+f(k\gamma_0(X)+n\sigma_0(X)-\Im f(X))d\tau_0(X)
$$

\n
$$
\leq f(k\sigma_0(X)-n\gamma_0(X)-\Re f(X))d\xi(X)+f(k\gamma_0(X)+n\sigma_0(X)-\Im f(X))d\eta(X)
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$. Then we have $\delta \in \varphi(\zeta)$. Consequently, by the fixed point theorem of Fan and Glicksberg ([1]), there exists an element $\alpha = (\mu, \nu) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$ such that $\varphi((\mu, \nu)) \supseteq (\mu, \nu)$. Hence we have

$$
f(k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X))d\mu(X) + f(k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X))d\nu(X)
$$

\n
$$
\leq f(k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X))d\xi(X) + f(k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X))d\eta(X)
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$. If we put

$$
\gamma_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = \frac{1}{a} \int (k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X)) d\mu(X),
$$

and

$$
\gamma_2 = \frac{1}{b} \int (k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X)) d\nu(X), \text{ we have}
$$

$$
\int (k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \Re f(X) - \gamma_1) d\xi(X) + \int (k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) - \Im f(X) - \gamma_2) d\eta(X) \ge 0
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(b, F)$. The existence of a positive measure $\xi_0 \in$ *m*(*a*, *F*) with $\int (k\mu(X)-n\nu(X)-\Re f(X)-\gamma_1)d\xi_0(X)$ < 0 leads us to a contradiction as follows. For any signed measure $\tau_{\mathfrak{o}}$ supported by F with total mass zero such that $\eta = \nu + \varepsilon \tau_0$ is a positive measure for any positive number ε (<1), we have

$$
\begin{aligned} &\quad f(k\mu(X)-n\nu(X)-\Re f(X)-\gamma_1)d\xi_0(X) \\ &+\varepsilon\,f(k\nu(X)+n\mu(X)-\Im f(X)-\gamma_2)d\tau_0(X)\geq 0. \end{aligned}
$$

Making $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, we have a contradiction. So we have

$$
f(k\mu(X)-n\nu(X)-\Re f(X)-\gamma_1)d\xi(X)\geq 0 \text{ for any } \xi\in m(a, F).
$$

By the same way as above, we have

POTENTIAL TAKEN WITH RESPECT TO COMPLEX-VALUED KERNELS 541

$$
f(k\nu(X)+n\mu(X)-\Im f(X)-\gamma_2)d\eta(X)\geq 0 \qquad \text{for any } \eta\in m(b, F).
$$

By these inequalities, we have

- (1) $k_{\mu}(X) n\nu(X) \geq \Re f(X) + \gamma_1$ on *F*,
- (2) $k\mu(X) n\nu(X) = \Re f(X) + \gamma_1$ on the support of μ ,
- (3) $k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) \geq \Im f(X) + \gamma_2$ on F, and

(4) $k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) = \Im f(X) + \gamma_2$ on the support of ν .

Consequently for a complex-valued measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$ of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, b)$ and a complex constant $\gamma = \gamma_1 + i\gamma_2$, we have

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F,
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) = \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F, and
- (4) $\mathfrak{K}K\alpha(X) = \mathfrak{F}\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\mathfrak{F}\alpha$.

Thus the proof is completed.

Proof of Lemma 3. Putting $k'(X, Y) = k(X, Y)/\mathfrak{F}(X)$ and $n'(X, Y) =$ $n(X, Y)/\mathfrak{F}(X)$, $k'(X, Y)$ snd $n'(X, Y)$ are finite continuous functions, and $k'(X, Y) > 0$ for any points X and Y of F. Taking a positive number a which is less than

$$
\frac{\min\{k(X, Y)|X \in F, Y \in F\} \cdot \min\{\Im f(X)|X \in F\}}{\max\{|n(X, Y)| |X \in F, Y \in F\} \cdot \max\{\Im f(X)|X \in F\}},
$$

we have $\int (k'\nu(X) + n'\mu(X))d\nu(X) > 0$ for any $(\mu, \nu) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$. For this positive number *a* we consider the point-to-set mapping φ defined on $m(a, F)$ $\times m(1, F)$ into $\mathfrak{F}(m(a, F) \times m(1, F))$ which is the family of all closed convex subsets in $m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$. For any $(\mu, \nu) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$, φ is defined as follows.

$$
\varphi((\mu, \nu)) = \{(\lambda, \tau) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F) | \n\int (k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \int (k'\nu(X) + n'\mu(X))d\nu(X) \cdot \Re f(X))d\lambda(X) + \n\int (k'\nu(X) + n'\mu(X))d\tau(X) = \inf (\int (k\mu(X) - n\nu(X) - \n\int (k'\nu(X) + n'\mu(X))d\nu(X) \cdot \Re f(X))d\xi(X) + \n\int (k'\nu(X) + n'\mu(X))d\eta(X) | (\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)) \}
$$

Obviously $\varphi((\mu, \nu))$ is a non-empty closed convex subset and φ is upper semicontinuous as in Lemma 2. Hence, by the fixed point theorem of Fan and Glicksberg, there exists an element $(\mu_0, \nu_0) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$ such that $\varphi((\mu_0, \nu_0) \in m(a, F))$ $(\nu_{0})\equiv(\mu_{0},\,\nu_{0})$. Then we hav

$$
f(k\mu_0(X) - n\nu_0(X) - f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))dv_0(X) \cdot \Re f(X))d\mu_0(X) +
$$

\n
$$
f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))dv_0(X) \leq f(k\mu_0(X) - n\nu_0(X) -
$$

\n
$$
f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))dv_0(X) \cdot \Re f(X))d\xi(X) + f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))d\eta(X)
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$. Putting

$$
\gamma_1 = \frac{1}{a} \cdot f(k\mu_0(X) - n\nu_0(X) - f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))\,dv_0(X) \cdot \Re f(X))d\mu_0(X) ,
$$

and

$$
\gamma_2 = f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))d\nu_0(X), \text{ we have}
$$

\n
$$
f(k\mu_0(X) - n\nu_0(X) - f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X))d\nu_0(X) \cdot \Re f(X) - \gamma_1)d\xi(X) +
$$

\n
$$
f(k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X) - \gamma_2)d\eta(X) \ge 0
$$

for any $(\xi, \eta) \in m(a, F) \times m(1, F)$. By the same way as Lemma 2, we have two following inequalities.

- $f(k\mu_{0}(X) n\nu_{0}(X) \int (k'\nu_{0}(X) + n'\mu_{0}(X))d\nu_{0}(X) \cdot \Re f(X) \gamma_{1})d\xi(X) \geq 0$ for any $\xi \in m(a, F)$, and
- (2) $\int (k' \nu_0(X) + n' \mu_0(X) \gamma_2) d\eta(X) \ge 0$ for any $\eta \in m(1, F)$.

From these inequalities we have

- $h(\mathcal{X}) n\nu_0(X) \gamma_2 \cdot \Re f(X) \ge \gamma_1 \text{ on } F,$
- (2) $k\mu_0(X) n\nu_0(X) \gamma_2 \cdot \Re f(X) = \gamma_1$ on the support of μ_0 ,
- (3) $k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X) \ge \gamma_2$ on F, and
- (4) $k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X) = \gamma_2$ on the support of ν_0 .

By the property of the number a, γ_2 is strictly positive. Putting $\mu = \frac{\mu_0}{\gamma_1}$, $\nu = \frac{\nu_0}{\gamma_2}$ γ_{2}

and $\gamma = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_2}$, we have

- (1) $k\mu(X) n\nu(X) \geq \Re{f(X)} + \gamma$ on F,
- (2) $k\mu(X) n\nu(X) = \Re f(X) + \gamma$ on the support of μ ,
- (3) $k\nu(X) + n\mu(X) \geq \Im f(X)$ on F, and
- (4) $kv(X) + n\mu(X) = \Im f(X)$ on the support of *v*.

Thus, the measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$, and the real constant γ are what Lemma 3 needs.

Proof of Lemma 4. As *k(X, Y)* is a lower semi-continuous function such that inf ${k(X, Y)| (X, Y) \in F \times F} = 2p > 0$, there exists an increasing net ${k_m(X, Y) \mid m \in D}$, a directed set} of finite continuous functions such that $\lim_{m} k_m$ *tn* $(X, Y) = k(X, Y)$ and $k_m(X, Y) > p$ for any points X and Y of F. Taking a positive number *a* which is less than

$$
\frac{p\cdot \min\{\Im f(X)|X\in F\}}{\max\{\Im f(X)|X\in F\}\cdot \max\{|n(X, Y)| | (X, Y)\in F\times F\}},
$$

by Lemma 3, there exist measures $\alpha_m = \mu_m + i\nu_m \in \mathfrak{M}(a, F, 1)$ and real constants γ_m and γ'_m such that

- (1) $k_{m}\mu_{m}(X)-n\nu_{m}(X)-\gamma'_{m}\cdot\Re f(X)\geq\gamma_{m}$ on *F*,
- (2) $k_m \mu_m(X) n \nu_m(X) \gamma'_m \cdot \Re f(X) = \gamma_m$ on the support of μ_m ,

- (3) $k'_{m} \nu_m(X) + n' \mu_m(X) \ge \gamma'_m$ on F, and
- (4) $k'_m \nu_m(X) + n' \mu_m(X) = \gamma'_m$ on the support of ν_m .

In the first place, we are going to see the boundedness of the net $\{\gamma'_m | m \in D\}$. Obviously $\gamma'_m > 0$ for any *m*. Supposing that $\lim_{m} \gamma'_m = +\infty$, we can take a subnet $\{\gamma'_{m_i} | m_i \in D'$, a directed set} such that $\nu_{m_i} \to \nu$, $\mu_{m_i} \to \mu_{\nu}$, $\gamma'_{m_i} \to +\infty$, and $k_{m_i}(X, Y) \uparrow k(X, Y)$ along D' for any points X and Y of F. $k'(X, Y)$ satisfying the continuity principle, we have, by the above inequality (3),

$$
k'\nu(X)+n'\mu(X)\geqq \lim_{m_i}k'_{m_i}\nu_{m_i}(X)+\lim_{m_i}n'\mu_{m_i}(X)\geqq \lim_{m_i}\gamma'_{m_i}=+\infty
$$

on *F* with a possible exception of a set of *k*-transfinite diameter zero. Then we have that $k\nu(X) = +\infty$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite diameter zero, which is a contradiction by Lemma 1. Using the boundedness of the net $\{\gamma'_m | m \in D\}$, we can see the boundedness of the net $\{\gamma_m | m \in D\}$ by the same way as above. Consequently, considering an adequate directed set *E^y* we have that $\gamma'_{i} \rightarrow \gamma_{i}$, $\gamma_{i} \rightarrow \gamma_{i}$, $\mu_{i} \rightarrow \mu_{0}$, $\nu_{i} \rightarrow \nu_{0}$, and $k_{i} (X, Y) \uparrow k(X, Y)$ along *E.* Hence we have, by the same way as M. Kishi ([2] and [3])

-
- (1) $k\mu_0(X) n \nu_0(X) \gamma_2 \cdot \Re f(X) \ge \gamma_1$ on *F* with a possible exception of a set of *k*-transfinite diameter zero,
- (2) $k\mu_0(X) n\nu_0(X) \gamma_2 \cdot \Re f(X) \leq \gamma_1$ on the support of μ_0 ,
- (3) $k'\nu_0(X) + n'\mu_0(X) \ge \gamma_2$ on *F* with a possible exception of a set of *k*-transfinite diameter zero, and
- (4) $k'\nu_{0}(X) + n'\mu_{0}(X) \leq \gamma_{2}$ on the support of ν_{0} .

By the property of the number *a*, γ_2 is strictly positive. Putting $\mu = \frac{\mu_0}{\gamma}, \nu = \frac{\nu_0}{\gamma}$, *Ύ2 Ύ²*

and
$$
\gamma = \frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma}
$$
, we have

- (1) $k\mu(\hat{X}) n\nu(X) \geq \Re f(X) + \gamma$ on *F* with a possible exception of a set of *k*transfinite diameter zero,
- (2) $k\mu(X) n\nu(X) \leq \Re f(X) + \gamma$ on the support of μ ,
- (3) $kv(X) + n\mu(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite diameter zero, and
- (4) $kv(X) + n\mu(X) \leq \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on the support of *v*.

Thus, the measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$, and the real constant γ are what Lemma 4 needs. Finally, we prove the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 1. As $k(X, Y)$ is a lower semi-continuous function such that $-\infty < k(X, Y) \leq +\infty$, there exists an increasing net ${k_m(X, Y)|m \in D}$, a directed set} of finite continuous functions such that $\lim_{m \to \infty} k_m(X, Y) = k(X, Y)$ for any points X and Y of F. Then, by Lemma 2, there exist measures $\alpha_m =$ of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, b)$ and complex constants $\gamma_m = \gamma'_m + i\gamma''_m$ such that

544 M. MATSUDA

- $(k_m \mu_m(X) n \nu_m(X)) \geq \Re f(X) + \gamma'_m$ on F,
- (2) $k_m \mu_m(X) n \nu_m(X) = \Re f(X) + \gamma'_m$ on the support of μ_m ,
- (3) $k_m \nu_m(X) + n \mu_m(X) \geq \Im f(X) + \gamma_m''$ on F, and
- (4) $k_m \nu_m(X) + n \mu_m(X) = \mathfrak{F}f(X) + \gamma_m'$ on the support of ν_m .

By the same way as Lemma 4, there exist a measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$ of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, b)$ and a complex constant $\gamma \!=\! \gamma_1 \!+\! i \gamma_2$ such that

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfi nite diameter zero,
- (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$,
- (3) $\mathcal{X}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathcal{X}{f(X)+\gamma}$ on F with a possible exception of a set of *k*-trans finite diameter zero, and
- (4) $\Re K \alpha(X) \leq \Re\{f(X) + \gamma\}$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\{f_m(X)|m\in D\}$ and $\{g_m(X)|m\in D\}$ be decrea \sup nets of positive finite continuous functions on F such that $f_m(X) \downarrow \Re f(X)$ and $g_m(X) \downarrow \mathfrak{F}f(X)$. Taking an adequate positive number a, by Lemma 4, there exist measures $\alpha_m = \mu_m + i\nu_m$ of $\mathfrak{M}(a, F, 1)$ and real constants γ'_m and γ''_m such that

- (1) $k\mu_m(X) n\nu_m(X) \gamma_m'' \cdot f_m(X) \geq \gamma_m'$ on F with a possible exception of a set of *k*-transfinite diameter zero,
- (2) $k\mu_m(X) n\nu_m(X) \gamma_m'' \cdot f_m(X) \leq \gamma_m'$ on the support of μ_m ,
- (3) $kv_m(X) + n\mu_m(X) \ge \gamma_m'' \cdot g_m(X)$ on *F* with a possible exception of a set of Λ-transfinite diameter zero, and
- (4) $kv_m(X) + n\mu_m(X) \leq \gamma_m'' \cdot g_m(X)$ on the support of ν_m .

By the same way as Lemma 4, there exist a measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$ of $\mathfrak{M}(F)$ and a real constant γ such that

- (1) $k\mu(X) n\,\nu(X) \geq \Re\,f(X) + \gamma$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k transfinite diameter zero,
- (2) $k\mu(X) n \nu(X) \leq \Re f(X) + \gamma$ on the support of μ .
- (3) $kv(X) + n\mu(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite diameter zero, and
- (4) $kv(X) + n\mu(X) \leq \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on the support of *v*.

Thus, the measure $\alpha = \mu + i\nu$, and the real constant γ are what Theorem 2 needs. The analogous arguments will give us the latter part of Theorem 2.

Corollary. *Let F be a compact subset of positive k-transfinite diameter, and f(X) be a real-valued upper semi-continuous function with lower bound on* F, *and a be a positive number. If the adjoint kernel k(X, Y) satisfies the continuity principle,* t hen there exist a measure μ of m $(a,\,F)$ and a real constant γ such that

- (1) $k\mu(X) \ge f(X)+\gamma$ on F with a possible exception of a set of k-transfinite *diameter zero, and*
- (2) $k\mu(X) \leq f(X) + \gamma$ *on the support of* μ *.*

REMARK. In above Theorem 2, we can not always reduce the constant γ to zero. We may consider the following example : let Ω be a finite space consisting of two points X_1 and X_2 , and $\Re K(X, Y)$ and $\Re K(X, Y)$ be given by the matrices $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ respectively, and $\Re f(X)$ and $\Re f(X)$ be equal to 1 everywhere. Then, for the compact set $F = \Omega$, we have no measure α such that

- (1) $\Re K \alpha(X) \geq \Re f(X)$ on F, (2) $\Re K \alpha(X) = \Re f(X)$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$, (3) $\mathfrak{F}K\alpha(X) \geq \mathfrak{F}f(X)$ on *F*, and
- (4) $\Re K \alpha(X) = \Re f(X)$ on the support of $\Re \alpha$.

REMARK. Putting $n(X, Y) = \Im K(X, Y) \equiv 0$, we can assert that our Theorem 2 contains the existence theorem obtained by M. Kishi and M. Nakai ([2], [3] and [4]).

SHIZUOKA UNIVERSITY

References

- **[1] K. Fan:** *Fixed-point and minimax theorems in locally convex topological linear spaces,* Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 38 (1952), 121-126.
- **[2] M. Kishi:** *Maximum principles in the potential theory,* **Nagoya Math. J. 23 (1963),** 165-187.
- **[3] M. Kishi:** *An existence theorem in potential theory,* **Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966),** 133-137.
- **[4] M. Nakai:** *On the fundamental existence theorem of Kishi,* **Nagoya Math. J. 23** (1963), 189-198.
- **[5] N. Ninomiya:** *On the potential taken with respect to complex-valued and symmetric kernels,* Osaka J. Math. 9 (1972), 1-9.