



Title	On complex projective bundles over a Kähler C-space
Author(s)	Ishikawa, Kunio; Sakane, Yusuke
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(1), p. 121-132
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4522
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

ON COMPLEX PROJECTIVE BUNDLES OVER A KÄHLER C-SPACE

KUNIO ISHIKAWA AND YUSUKE SAKANE

(Received October 26, 1977)

(Revised May 16, 1978)

Introduction

Let M be a compact Einstein Kähler manifold. Then the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ of M is positive, negative or zero. We can ask whether the converse is true or not, that is, does a compact Kähler manifold M with the first Chern class $c_1(M) > 0$ (resp. $c_1(M) < 0$, $c_1(M) = 0$) admit an Einstein Kähler metric? In the case when $c_1(M) < 0$, T. Aubin [2] has proved that a compact Kähler manifold M with $c_1(M) < 0$ admits a unique Einstein Kähler metric. As is well-known, in the case when $c_1(M) = 0$, our question is yes if the Calabi conjecture is true. The purpose of this note is to give some examples of a compact Kähler manifold with $c_1(M) > 0$ which does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric. Let X be a compact connected complex manifold. By a theorem of Bochner-Montgomery, the group $\text{Aut}(X)$ of all holomorphic transformations of X is a complex Lie group and the map $\text{Aut}(X) \times X \rightarrow X$ defined by $(f, x) \mapsto f(x)$ is holomorphic. For a holomorphic vector bundle E over a compact complex manifold M let $P(E)$ denote the associated complex projective bundle. Let $\text{Aut}_0(X)$ denote the identity component of $\text{Aut}(X)$. By a theorem of Blanchard, we can define a homomorphism $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$. In section 1 we shall show that the Lie algebra of the $\text{Ker } \Pi$ is isomorphic with the Lie algebra $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))/\mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ where $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ denotes all holomorphic sections of the vector bundle $\text{End}(E)$ over M and 1 denotes the element of $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ defined by the identity map of $\text{End}(E)_x (x \in M)$. In section 2 we consider Kähler C -spaces with the second Betti number $b_2 = 1$ as M . In this case we know that the group of all holomorphic line bundles $H^1(M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ over M is generated by a homogeneous line bundle. From now on we shall exclusively consider holomorphic vector bundles E generated by holomorphic line bundles. Then the homomorphism $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is surjective and we can determine the structure of the Lie algebra of the $\text{Ker } \Pi$. In particular, we can compute the dimension of $\text{Aut}_0(P(E))$ in these cases. In section 3 we shall compute the Chern class of $P(E)$. The result in section 2 has been obtained by Brieskorn [6], Röhl [13]

1) The authors would like to express their thanks to the referee for his kind suggestion.

for the case of the complex projective space $P^1(\mathbf{C})$ of dimension 1 and by Ise [9] for the case of the complex projective space $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. The result in section 3 has been obtained by Brieskorn [6] for the case of the complex projective space $P^1(\mathbf{C})$. In section 4 we shall show that some of complex projective bundles over M are examples of a compact Kähler manifold with $c_1(M) > 0$ which does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric. We remark that nothing is mentioned on Einstein Kähler metric in [6] [9] [13].

1. The automorphism group of a complex projective bundle

Let M be a compact connected complex manifold and E a holomorphic vector bundle over M . Let $P(E)$ denote the complex projective bundle over M induced by E . Since $P(E)$ is a compact complex manifold, it is known that the group $\text{Aut}(P(E))$ of all holomorphic automorphisms of $P(E)$ is a complex Lie group and the map $\text{Aut}(P(E)) \times P(E) \rightarrow P(E)$ defined by $(f, x) \mapsto f(x)$ is holomorphic. Let $F(P(E))$ denote the subgroup of all fiber preserving automorphisms of $P(E)$.

Proposition 1.1 (Blanchard [3]). *Let $\text{Aut}_0(P(E))$ (resp. $F_0(P(E))$) denote the identity component of $\text{Aut}(P(E))$ (resp. $F(P(E))$). Then $\text{Aut}_0(P(E)) = F_0(P(E))$.*

Note that an element of $F_0(P(E))$ is a fiber preserving automorphism in the sense of Steenrod [14].

Let $P(M, G, \pi)$ denote a principal holomorphic fiber bundle over M with the structure group G . Let $F(P(M, G, \pi))$ be the group of all fiber preserving holomorphic automorphisms of the principal bundle $P(M, G, \pi)$, that is, a biholomorphic map \tilde{f} of $P(M, G, \pi)$ is an element of $F(P(M, G, \pi))$ if and only if $\tilde{f}(x \cdot g) = \tilde{f}(x) \cdot g$ for all $x \in M$ and $g \in G$.

Theorem 1.2 (Morimoto [11]). *The group $F(P(M, G, \pi))$ equipped with the compact open topology can be given the structure of a complex Lie group which acts holomorphically on $P(M, G, \pi)$. Its Lie algebra is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of all holomorphic vector fields X over $P(M, G, \pi)$ for which $R_g' X = X$ for every $g \in G$, where R_g' denotes the differential mapping induced by the action R_g of an element g of G .*

Let \tilde{P} (resp. P) denote the principal bundle associated to a complex projective bundle $P(E)$ (resp. a holomorphic vector bundle E) over M . Then $F(P)$ and $F(P(E))$ are naturally isomorphic. In fact, $P(E)$ is the quotient of $\tilde{P} \times P^m(\mathbf{C})$ by the equivalence relation $(y, \xi) \sim (ya, a^{-1}\xi)$ ($y \in P$, $\xi \in P^m(\mathbf{C})$, $a \in \text{PGL}(m+1, \mathbf{C})$). Let ρ be the projection of $\tilde{P} \times P^m(\mathbf{C})$ onto $P(E)$. For an element $f \in F(\tilde{P})$, we can define a mapping $f' : P(E) \rightarrow P(E)$ by $f'(\rho(y, \xi)) = \rho(f(y), \xi)$ ($y \in \tilde{P}$, $\xi \in P^m(\mathbf{C})$). Then $f' \in F(P(E))$ and f, f' induce the same automorphism \tilde{f} of M . Moreover the mapping $\theta : F(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow F(P(E))$ defined by $\theta(f) = f'$ is an isomorphism of the

group $F(\tilde{P})$ into the group $F(P(E))$. Conversely, let f' be an element of $F(P(E))$. For every element $y \in \tilde{P}$, there is an element $w \in \tilde{P}$ such that $f'(\rho(y, \xi)) = \rho(w, \xi)$ for all $\xi \in P_m(\mathbf{C})$. Put $f(y) = w$. Then $f \in F(\tilde{P})$ and $\theta(f) = f'$.

Let $PGL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$ denote the projective transformation group corresponding to $GL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow GL(m+1, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow PGL(m+1, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Since P (resp. \tilde{P}) is the principal bundle associated to the vector bundle E (resp. $P(E)$), we have an exact sequence of complex Lie groups

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow F_0(P) \rightarrow F_0(\tilde{P}).$$

Since each element $g \in F(P)$ induces an element \bar{g} of $\text{Aut}(M)$, there is a canonical homomorphism $\Pi_P: F_0(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ for each principal fiber bundle P over M .

Proposition 1.3. *If M is simply connected, we have an exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow \text{Ker } \Pi_P \rightarrow \text{Ker } \Pi_{\tilde{P}} \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Take a simple open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of M such that, for each α , $\pi^{-1}_P(U_\alpha) \simeq U_\alpha \times GL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$ and $\pi^{-1}_{\tilde{P}}(U_\alpha) \simeq U_\alpha \times PGL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$. Moreover let $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ be the system of transition functions of the principal bundle P associated to the open covering $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$. Then $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ induces the system of transition functions $(\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})$ of the principal bundle \tilde{P} . Let $\tilde{\varphi}$ be an element of $\text{Ker } \Pi_{\tilde{P}}$. Then there is a system of functions $\{\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha\}$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow PGL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$ and $\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \tilde{\varphi}_\beta = \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha \cdot \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Since U_α is simply connected, there is a holomorphic map $\varphi_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow SL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$ such that $\tilde{\varphi}_\alpha = p \cdot \varphi_\alpha$ where $p: SL(m+1, \mathbf{C}) \rightarrow PGL(m+1, \mathbf{C})$ is the canonical map. Then

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \cdot \varphi_\alpha = c_{\alpha\beta} \varphi_\alpha \cdot g_{\alpha\beta} \quad \text{on } U_\alpha \cap U_\beta.$$

and $c_{\alpha\beta}: U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^*$ is holomorphic. By taking the determinant, we get $c_{\alpha\beta}^{n+1} = 1$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$. Since $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ is connected, $c_{\alpha\beta}$ is constant on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ and $c_{\alpha\beta} \in \mathbf{Z}/(m+1)\mathbf{Z}$. Moreover note that $c_{\alpha\beta} c_{\beta\gamma} c_{\gamma\alpha} = 1$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta \cap U_\gamma$.

Lemma (Principle of monodromy). *Let M be a simply connected manifold and $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$ be a simple open covering. Then $H^1(\mathfrak{U}, \mathbf{Z}/(m+1)\mathbf{Z}) = (0)$.*

Proof. See Weil [17].

Applying the lemma in our case, we get a system of constant functions $\{a_\alpha\}$ such that $c_{\alpha\beta} = a_\alpha \cdot a_\beta^{-1}$, $a_\alpha: U_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(m+1)\mathbf{Z}$. Hence, we have $g_{\alpha\beta} a_\beta \varphi_\beta = a_\alpha \varphi_\alpha g_{\alpha\beta}$ on $U_\alpha \cap U_\beta$ and we completes our proof. q.e.d.

Corollary. *If M is simply connected and $\Pi_P: F_0(P) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is onto, then the following sequences is exact.*

$$(3) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^* \rightarrow F_0(P) \rightarrow F_0(\tilde{P}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Obvious from the following diagram.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{C}^* & \rightarrow & \text{Ker } \Pi_P & \rightarrow & \text{Ker } \Pi_{\tilde{P}} \rightarrow 0 \quad (\text{exact}) \\
 & & \parallel & \cap & \downarrow & \cap & \downarrow \\
 0 & \rightarrow & \mathbf{C}^* & \rightarrow & F_0(P) & \longrightarrow & F_0(\tilde{P}) \\
 & & \Pi_P & \searrow & \cap & \swarrow & \Pi_{\tilde{P}} \\
 & & & & \text{Aut}_0(M) & & \\
 & & & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

Now we recall the exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundle over M associated to the holomorphic principal fiber bundle P on M with the structure group G , due to Atiyah [1]. Let $T(P)$ be the holomorphic tangent bundle of P . Since G operates on P , it also operates on $T(P)$. We put $Q=T(P)/G$, so that a point of Q is a field of tangent vectors to P , defined along one of its fibers, and invariant under G . Then we can show that Q has a natural vector bundle structure over M . Let $L(P)$ denote the vector bundle associated to P by the adjoint representation of G . Note that $L(P)$ is a bundle of Lie algebra, each fiber $L(P)_x=L(P)_x$ being a Lie algebra isomorphic with $L(G)$. Under these notations, there exists an exact sequence of holomorphic vector bundles over M :

$$(4) \quad 0 \rightarrow L(P) \rightarrow Q \rightarrow T(M) \rightarrow 0$$

where $T(M)$ is the holomorphic tangent bundle over M .

Then we have the exact sequence of cohomology

$$(5) \quad 0 \rightarrow H^0(M, L(P)) \rightarrow H^0(M, Q) \rightarrow H^0(M, T(M)) \rightarrow H^1(M, L(P)) \rightarrow \dots$$

Now we can identify the Lie algebra of $F_0(P)$ (resp. $\text{Ker } \Pi_P, \text{Aut}_0(M)$) with $H^0(M, Q)$ (resp. $H^0(M, L(P)), H^0(M, T(M))$) (cf. Morimoto [11]). Note that the structure of the Lie algebra $H^0(M, L(P))$ is given by the following way. For $X, Y \in H^0(M, L(P)), X_x, Y_x \in L(P)_x (x \in M)$. Since $L(P)_x$ has the Lie algebra structure, we have $[X_x, Y_x] \in L(P)_x$. On the other hand, $[X, Y] \in H^0(M, L(P))$ as holomorphic vector fields. Then it is easy to see that $[X, Y]_x = [X_x, Y_x]$ for every $x \in M$. That is, the Lie algebra structure of $H^0(M, L(P))$ as the subalgebra of $H^0(M, Q)$ coincides with the one induced by the Lie algebra $L(G)$ of G .

In the case of vector bundles, we have the following proposition due to Atiyah.

Proposition 1.4. *Let E be a holomorphic vector bundle over M and P the*

associated principal bundle. Then $L(P) \cong \text{End}(E)$.

Proof. See Atiyah [1] Proposition 9.

Note that $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ contains \mathbf{C} in the center and the Lie algebra of $\text{Ker } \Pi (\Pi: F_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M))$ is isomorphic with $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))/\mathbf{C}$. We now summarize our result as follows:

Theorem 1.5. *Let M be a simply connected compact complex manifold, E a holomorphic vector bundle over M and $P(E)$ the projective bundle induced by E . If $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is surjective,*

$$\dim_{\mathbf{C}} \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \text{Aut}_0(M) + \dim_{\mathbf{C}} H^0(M, \text{End}(E)) - 1 .$$

Moreover the Lie algebra of $\text{Ker } \Pi$ is isomorphic with $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))/\mathbf{C}$.

REMARK 1. Let f, g be elements of $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$. Then the Lie algebra structure of $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ is given by

$$[f, g](x) = [f(x), g(x)] = f(x) \circ g(x) - g(x) \circ f(x)$$

($f(x), g(x) \in \text{End}(E_x)$) for every $x \in M$.

2. Complex projective bundles over a Kähler C-space

We shall recall the following facts on Kähler C-spaces and holomorphic line bundles over these manifolds. A simply connected compact Kähler homogeneous manifold is called a Kähler C-space. Kähler C-spaces have been classified by H. C. Wang [16]. From now on we assume that the second Betti number $b_2(M)$ of a Kähler C-space M is 1. Note that such a class contains the class of irreducible hermitian symmetric spaces. We shall use the following known results on holomorphic line bundles over Kähler C-spaces with $b_2=1$ (cf. [4] [8]).

2.1. *The group of all holomorphic line bundles $H^1(M, \mathbf{C}^*)$ over a Kähler C-space M is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} .*

2.2. *There is a homogeneous holomorphic line bundle L over M such that L is very ample. Moreover L is a generator of $H^1(M, \mathbf{C}^*)$. In particular, every holomorphic line bundle is homogeneous.*

2.3. *Let $f: M \rightarrow P^N(\mathbf{C})$ be the associated imbedding for L and H the holomorphic line bundle over $P^N(\mathbf{C})$ corresponding to a hyperplane of $P^N(\mathbf{C})$. Then L is the induced bundle f^*H over M and the homomorphism*

$$\gamma_k : H^0(P^N(\mathbf{C}), H^k) \rightarrow H^0(M, L^k) \quad (k \geq 0)$$

induced by the imbedding $f: M \rightarrow P^N(\mathbf{C})$ is surjective.

We shall consider a holomorphic vector bundle $E=L^{b_0}\oplus\cdots\oplus L^{b_m}$ ($b_0\leq\cdots\leq b_m$) over a Kähler C -space M . We consider the structure of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}_0(P(E))$ of the projective bundle $P(E)$ over M . Note that, for a holomorphic line bundle F and a holomorphic vector bundle E , the projective bundles $P(E)$ and $P(F\otimes E)$ are isomorphic. Thus we may assume that

$$E = 1\oplus L^{a_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus L^{a_m} \quad \text{where}$$

a_k ($k=0, 1, \dots, m$) are integers such that $0=a_0\leq a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_m$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $E=1\oplus L^{a_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus L^{a_m}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over $M=G/U$ and $P(E)$ the associated projective bundle. Then $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E))\rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is surjective.*

Proof. Let \tilde{G} denote $\text{Aut}_0(M)$. Then we can write M as a homogeneous manifold \tilde{G}/\tilde{U} for some closed connected complex Lie subgroup \tilde{U} of \tilde{G} . Since the holomorphic line bundle L over M can be written as a homogeneous line bundle $\tilde{G}\times_{\tilde{\rho}}\mathbf{C}$ over \tilde{G}/\tilde{U} , where $\tilde{\rho}: \tilde{U}\rightarrow\mathbf{C}^*$ is a holomorphic representation, and $E=1\oplus L^{a_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus L^{a_m}$, it is easy to see that $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E))\rightarrow\text{Aut}_0(M)$ is surjective. q.e.d.

Note that $H^0(P^N(\mathbf{C}), H^k)$ can be identified with the vector space S_k of all homogeneous polynomials of degree k on \mathbf{C}^{N+1} . We shall identify M with the image of f in $P^N(\mathbf{C})$. Let S be the vector space of all polynomials on \mathbf{C}^{N+1} , let $I(M)$ denote the ideal $\{p\in S\mid p|_M=0\}$ and put $I_k=I(M)\cap S_k$. By 2.3, we see S_k/I_k is isomorphic with $H^0(M, L^k)$. Note that, if $k=0$, $H^0(P^N(\mathbf{C}), H^k)\cong\mathbf{C}$.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $E=L^{a_0}\oplus L^{a_1}\oplus\cdots\oplus L^{a_m}$ be a holomorphic vector bundle over M where $0=a_0\leq a_1\leq\cdots\leq a_m$ and $P(E)$ the projective bundle over M associated to the vector bundle E . We shall choose the integers q_1, \dots, q_s with $q_1+\cdots+q_s=m$ in such a way that $a_0=\cdots=a_{q_1}$ and $a_{q_1+\cdots+q_{\sigma-1}+1}=\cdots=a_{q_1+\cdots+q_\sigma}$ ($\sigma=2, \dots, s$). Let $M(q_i, q_j)$ be the set of $q_i\times q_j$ matrices given by*

$$\{B\mid B = (b_{kl}), b_{kl}\in Sa_{q_1+\cdots+q_j-a_{q_1+\cdots+q_i}}/Ia_{q_1+\cdots+q_j}-a_{q_1+\cdots+q_i}\}$$

In particular, $M(q_i, q_i)$ is the set of $q_i\times q_i$ matrices whose components are complex numbers. Then the Lie algebra of the kernel of $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E))\rightarrow\text{Aut}_0(M)$ is given by

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} A_{11} & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & A_{1s} \\ & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ & & 0 & & & & A_{ss} \end{array} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} A_{11}\in M(q_1+1, q_1+1) \\ A_{1j}\in M(q_1+1, q_j) \\ A_{ij}\in M(q_i, q_j) \\ 2\leq i\leq j\leq s \end{array} \right. \right\} / \mathbf{C}\cdot 1$$

where 1 denotes the $(m+1)\times(m+1)$ -identity matrix.

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, the Lie algebra of the kernel of $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is isomorphic to $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))/\mathcal{C} \cdot 1$. Let $\{g_{\alpha\beta}\}$ be a system of transition functions of holomorphic line bundle L on M . Then

$$\{h_{\alpha\beta}\} \left[h_{\alpha\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & g_{\alpha\beta}^{a_1} & & 0 \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & g_{\alpha\beta}^{a_m} \end{pmatrix} \right]$$

is a system of transition functions of the holomorphic vector bundle $E = 1 \oplus L^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{a_m}$. Now $f = \{(f_{kl}^\alpha)\}_\omega \in H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ if and only if $(f_{kl}^\alpha) \cdot h_{\alpha\beta} = h_{\alpha\beta} \cdot (f_{kl}^\beta)$. Thus we get $f_{kl}^\alpha = g_{\alpha\beta}^{-(a_l - a_k)} f_{kl}^\beta$ for $k, l = 1, \dots, m+1$ and hence $f_{kl} = \{f_{kl}^\alpha\}_\omega$ is an element of $H^0(M, L^{a_l - a_k})$. Conversely if f_{kl} is an element of $H^0(M, L^{a_l - a_k})$ for $k, l = 1, \dots, m+1$, $f = \{(f_{kl}^\alpha)\}$ is an element of $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$. Since $H^0(M, L^k)$ is isomorphic with S_k/I_k , $H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$ is isomorphic with

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cccc} A_{11} & \dots & \dots & A_{1s} \\ & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & 0 & \vdots \\ & & & A_{ss} \end{array} \right) \left| \begin{array}{l} A_{11} \in M(q_1 + 1, q_1 + 1) \\ A_{1j} \in M(q_1 + 1, q_j) \\ A_{ij} \in M(q_i, q_j) \\ 2 \leq i \leq j \leq s \end{array} \right. \right\}$$

as vector spaces. Now, by the Remark 1 in section 1, we see that the isomorphism above is a Lie algebra isomorphism. q e d.

Corollary 2.3. *Let E be as in Theorem 2.2. Then*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Aut}_0(M) - 1 + \sum_{a_k \geq a_l} \dim H^0(M, L^{a_k - a_l})$$

Proof. By Theorem 1.5 and Lemma 2.1, $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \text{Aut}_0(M) - 1 + \dim_{\mathcal{C}} H^0(M, \text{End}(E))$. Now $\dim_{\mathcal{C}} H^0(M, \text{End}(E)) = \sum_{a_k \geq a_l} \dim_{\mathcal{C}} H^0(M, L^{a_k - a_l})$ by Theorem 2.2. q.e.d.

REMARK 2. It is known that $\dim H^0(M, L^{a_k - a_l})$ can be computed by the dimension formula of Weyl. (cf. [5])

REMARK 3. In the case when M is a complex projective space $P^1(\mathcal{C})$ of dimension 1, Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 are known (See [13] §2 and [6] §1). In the case when M is a complex projective space $P^n(\mathcal{C})$, $\text{Aut}_0(P(E))$ has been studied by Ise [9].

Corollary 2.4. *Let E be as in Theorem 2.2. If $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$, then the Lie algebra of the kernel $\Pi: \text{Aut}_0(P(E)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(M)$ is solvable, but is not abelian.*

Proof. In this case the Lie algebra of the kernel Π is given by

$$\left\{ \left(\begin{matrix} b_{00} & \cdots & b_{0m} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & & b_{mm} \end{matrix} \right) \middle| \begin{matrix} b_{ii} \in \mathbf{C} \ (i=0, \dots, m) \\ b_{ij} \in S_{a_j - a_i} / I_{a_j - a_i} \end{matrix} \right\} / \mathbf{C} \cdot 1.$$

Now it is easy to see our claim.

q.e.d.

3. Chern classes of certain complex projective bundles

Let π denote the canonical projection $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - (0)$ onto the complex projective space $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. The triple $(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} - (0), \pi, P^n(\mathbf{C}))$ is a principal \mathbf{C}^* -bundle over $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let ζ be the standard line bundle over $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ associated to the above principal bundle. Note that the dual line bundle ζ^* is the holomorphic line bundle H corresponding to a hyperplane of $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. For an m -tuple $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of non-negative integers a_j ($j=1, \dots, m$) such that $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$, we denote by ζ^a the holomorphic vector bundle $1 \oplus \zeta^{a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \zeta^{a_m}$ over $P^n(\mathbf{C})$. Let $P(\zeta^a)$ denote the associated complex projective bundle over $P^n(\mathbf{C})$.

Now we shall recall that $P(\zeta^a)$ can be imbedded in $P^n(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(n+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$ in a natural way (cf. [6] [8]). Let $y = (y_0, \dots, y_n)$ be the homogeneous coordinates of $P^n(\mathbf{C})$ and $x = (x_{00}, \dots, x_{ik}, \dots)$ ($0 \leq i \leq n; 1 \leq k \leq m$) the homogeneous coordinates of $P^{(n+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$. We define a projective algebraic manifold Σ_a by

$$\Sigma_a = \left\{ (\pi(y), \pi(x)) \in P^n(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(n+1)m}(\mathbf{C}) \middle| \begin{matrix} y_j^{a_k} x_{ik} = y_i^{a_k} x_{jk} \\ (1 \leq k \leq m; 0 \leq i, j \leq n) \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

Let $\tilde{\pi}: \Sigma_a \rightarrow P^n(\mathbf{C})$ be the projection defined by $\tilde{\pi}(\pi(y), \pi(x)) = \pi(y)$. Then we can see that the complex projective bundle $(\Sigma_a, \tilde{\pi}, P^n(\mathbf{C}))$ is equivalent to $(P(\zeta^a), \pi, P^n(\mathbf{C}))$ (cf. Ise [8] p. 511). We shall identify $P(\zeta^a)$ with Σ_a . Thus we get an imbedding $j: P(\zeta^a) \rightarrow P^n(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(n+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$.

Now let M be a Kähler C -space with the second Betti number $b_2(M) = 1$ and let $f: M \rightarrow P^n(\mathbf{C})$ be the imbedding as in 2.3. For an m -tuple $a = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ of non-negative integers a_j ($j=1, \dots, m$) such that $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_m$, let L^{-a} denote the holomorphic vector bundle $1 \oplus L^{-a_1} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{-a_m}$ over M . Since the holomorphic line bundle L^{-1} over M is the induced bundle $f^*\zeta$ of the standard line bundle ζ over $P^n(\mathbf{C})$, we see that $L^{-a} = f^*\zeta^a$ and $P(L^{-a})$ is the induced bundle $f^*P(\zeta^a)$ of $P(\zeta^a)$ by the imbedding $f: M \rightarrow P^n(\mathbf{C})$. Thus we have an imbedding $f: P(L^{-a}) \rightarrow P(\zeta^a)$ such that the diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(L^{-a}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & P(\zeta^a) \\ \downarrow \pi & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \pi \\ M & \xrightarrow{f} & P^n(\mathbf{C}). \end{array}$$

Now we have an imbedding of $P(L^{-a})$ into $P^n(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(n+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$ such that the diagram is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 P(L^{-a}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & P(\zeta^a) & \xrightarrow{j} & P^N(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(N+1)m}(\mathbf{C}) \\
 \downarrow \pi & \curvearrowright & \downarrow \pi & \curvearrowright & \downarrow p_1 \\
 M & \xrightarrow{f} & P^N(\mathbf{C}) & \xrightarrow{id} & P^N(\mathbf{C}).
 \end{array}$$

Let ξ be a holomorphic vector bundle with the fiber \mathbf{C}^{n+1} over M , $P(\xi)$ the complex projective bundle over M associated to ξ and $\pi: P(\xi) \rightarrow M$ the bundle projection. Then in a natural way $\pi^*\xi$ has a holomorphic line bundle η as sub-bundle such that η induces the standard line bundle over each fiber $P^m(\mathbf{C})$ of M . Let T_f denote the bundle along the fibers $P^m(\mathbf{C})$ of $P(\xi)$.

Now we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $T(M)$ (resp. $T(P(\xi))$) denote the holomorphic tangent bundle over M (resp. $P(\xi)$). Then the following sequences are exact.*

- 1) $0 \rightarrow T_f \rightarrow T(P(\xi)) \rightarrow \pi^*T(M) \rightarrow 0$
- 2) $0 \rightarrow \eta \rightarrow \pi^*\xi \rightarrow \eta \otimes T_f \rightarrow 0$

Proof. See [7] §13 (cf. [6] §2).

Let $g \in H^2(P^{(N+1)m}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ (resp. $h \in H^2(P^N(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$) denote the Chern class $c(H_2)$ (resp. $c_1(H_1)$) of the holomorphic line bundle H_2 (resp. H_1) corresponding to a hyperplane of $P^{(N+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$ (resp. $P^N(\mathbf{C})$). We put $\varepsilon = (j \circ \tilde{f})^*(1 \times g)$ and $\nu = (j \circ \tilde{f})^*(h \times 1)$. Then $H^2(P(L^{-a}), \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}\varepsilon + \mathbf{Z}\nu$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let $c(M)$ denote the total Chern class of M . Then the total Chern class of $P(L^{-a})$ is given by*

$$c(P(L^{-a})) = \pi^*c(M) \prod_{i=0}^m (1 + \varepsilon - a_i \nu)$$

where $a_0 = 0$.

Proof. Let $1 \boxtimes H_2$ denote the holomorphic line bundle over $P^N(\mathbf{C}) \times P^{(N+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by the line bundle H_2 over $P^{(N+1)m}(\mathbf{C})$. Then $\eta = (j \circ \tilde{f})^*(1 \boxtimes H_2^*)$. Thus $c(\eta) = -\varepsilon$. Since $L^{-1} = f^*(H_1^*)$, $c(\pi^*L^{-1}) = -\nu$. Applying Lemma 3.1 for $\xi = L^{-a}$, we see that the total Chern class of T_f is given by

$$c(T_f) = c(\eta^{-1} \otimes \pi^*L^{-a}) = \prod_{i=0}^m c(\eta^{-1} \otimes \pi^*L^{-a_i}) = \prod_{i=0}^m (1 + \varepsilon - a_i \nu)$$

and hence the total Chern class of $P(\xi)$ is given by

$$c(P(\xi)) = \pi^*c(M) \prod_{i=0}^m (1 + \varepsilon - a_i \nu).$$

q.e.d.

Since $H^2(M, \mathbf{Z})$ is generated by the first Chern class $c_1(L)$, we can write $c_1(M) = k(M)c_1(L)$.

Corollary 3.3. *The first Chern class $c_1(P(L^{-a}))$ of $P(L^{-a})$ is given by*

$$c_1(P(L^{-a})) = \{k(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i\} \nu + (m+1)\varepsilon.$$

It is known that the integer $k(M)$ is positive (cf. [4]). In the case of compact irreducible hermitian symmetric spaces, the integer $k(M)$ is given as follows:

- I $k(U(m+n)/U(m) \times U(n)) = m+n$
- II $k(SO(2n)/U(n)) = 2n-2$
- III $k(Sp(n)/U(n)) = n+1$
- IV $k(SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)) = n \quad (n > 2)$
- V $k(E_6/\text{Spin}(10) \times T^1) = 12$
- VI $k(E_7/E_6 \times T^1) = 18.$

4. A compact Kähler manifold which does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric

In this section we shall give example of a compact Kähler manifold with the positive first Chern class which does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P(L^{-a})$ denote a complex projective bundle over M defined in section 3. Then the first Chern class $c_1(M)$ is positive if $k(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i > 0$. But the compact Kähler manifold $P(L^{-a})$ does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric if $0 < a_1 < \dots < a_m$.*

Proof. By Corollary 3.3, the first Chern class $c_1(P(L^{-a}))$ is given by

$$c_1(P(L^{-a})) = (k(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i) \nu + (m+1)\varepsilon.$$

Note that if $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$ are positive the element $av + b\varepsilon \in H^2(P(L^{-a}), \mathbf{Z})$ is projectively induced (cf. [15] §2). Thus $c_1(P(L^{-a}))$ is positive if $k(M) - \sum_{i=1}^m a_i > 0$.

Now we have a following Theorem due to Matsushima on a compact Einstein Kähler manifold.

Theorem (Matsushima [10]). *Let X be a compact Einstein Kähler manifold with nonzero Ricci tensor. Then the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}(X)$ of Killing vector fields on X is a real form of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{a}(X)$ of holomorphic vector fields on X , that is,*

$$\mathfrak{a}(X) = \mathfrak{k}(X) + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}(X).$$

Note that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{k}(X)$ is compact and hence $\mathfrak{k}(X)$ is reductive. By Corollary 2.4, the holomorphic vector fields $\mathfrak{a}(P(L^{-a}))$ has a solvable ideal which is not abelian if $0 < a_1 < \cdots < a_m$. In particular, the Lie algebra $(P(L^{-a}))$ is not reductive. Hence $P(L^{-a})$ does not admit any Einstein Kähler metric. q.e.d.

FUJITSU

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah: *Complex analytic connctions in fibre bundles*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **85** (1957), 181–207.
- [2] T. Aubin: *Équations du type Monge-Ampère sur les variétés kählériennes compactes*, C.R. Acad. Sc. Paris. **283** (1976), 119–121.
- [3] A. Blanchard: *Sur les variétés analytiques complex*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. (3) **73** (1956), 157–202.
- [4] A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch: *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458–538.
- [5] R. Bott: *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. **66** (1957), 203–248.
- [6] E. Brieskorn: *Über holomorphe P_n -Bündel über P_1* , Math. Ann. **157** (1965), 343–357.
- [7] F. Hirzebruch: *Topological methods in algebraic geometry*, third enlarged edition. Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [8] M. Ise: *Some properties of complex analytic vector bundles over compact complex homogeneous spaces*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960), 217–252.
- [9] ———: *On Thullen domains and Hirzebruch manifolds I*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **26** (1974), 508–522.
- [10] Y. Matsushima: *Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes analytiques d'une certaine variété kaehlérienne*, Nagoya Math. J. **11** (1957), 145–150.
- [11] A. Morimoto: *Sur le groupe d'automorphismes d'un espace fibré principal analytique complexe*, Nagoya Math. J. **13** (1958), 157–178.
- [12] R. Palais: *A global formulation of the Lie theory of transformation groups*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1957).
- [13] H. Röhl: *Holomorphic fibre bundles over Riemann surfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **68** (1960), 125–160.
- [14] N. Steenrod: *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [15] M. Takeuchi: *Homogeneous Kähler submanifolds in complex projective spaces*, Japan J. Math. **4** (1978), 171–219.
- [16] H.C. Wang: *Closed manifolds with homogeneous complex structure*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 1–32.
- [17] A. Weil: *Introduction à l'étude des variétés kählériennes*, Hermann, Paris, 1958.

Added in proof.

After finishing this work, the authors learned that S. T. Yau proved that the complex projective bundle $P(1 \oplus \zeta)$ over a complex projective space $P^1(\mathbf{C})$ of dimension 1 admits a Kähler metric with positive Ricci curvature but does not admit a Kähler metric with constant scalar curvature in his paper “*On the curvature of compact Hermitian manifolds*” Invent. math. **25** (1974), 213–239.