



Title	Closed hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a symmetric manifold
Author(s)	Xu, Hongwei; Ren, Xin'an
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2008, 45(3), p. 747-756
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/4699">https://doi.org/10.18910/4699</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# CLOSED HYPERSURFACES WITH CONSTANT MEAN CURVATURE IN A SYMMETRIC MANIFOLD

HONGWEI XU and XIN'AN REN

(Received August 30, 2006, revised July 26, 2007)

## Abstract

We prove a rigidity theorem for closed hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in a symmetric Riemannian manifold, which is a generalization of main results in [3] and [15].

## 1. Introduction

It seems interesting to generalize the famous optimal rigidity theorem for minimal hypersurfaces in a sphere due to J. Simons, H.B. Lawson Jr., and S.S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi to general cases (see [4], [8], [12]). Q.M. Cheng and H. Nakagawa [3], and H.W. Xu [15] proved the following optimal rigidity theorem for hypersurfaces of constant mean curvature in a sphere independently.

**Theorem A** ([3], [15]). *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$  in a unit sphere  $S^{n+1}$ . If the squared norm of the second fundamental form  $S$  satisfies*

$$S \leq \alpha(n, H),$$

*then  $M$  is congruent to one of the following*

- (1) *totally umbilic sphere  $S^n(1/\sqrt{1+H^2})$ ;*
- (2) *one of the Clifford minimal hypersurface  $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ;*
- (3) *the isoparametric hypersurface  $S^{n-1}(1/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}) \times S^1(\lambda/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ .*

---

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C40; Secondary 53C42.

Research supported by the NSFC, Grant No. 10771187, 10231010 and Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province.

Here  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha(n, H)$  are given by

$$\lambda = \frac{nH + \sqrt{n^2 H^2 + 4(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}$$

and

$$\alpha(n, H) = n + \frac{n^3}{2(n-1)} H^2 - \frac{n(n-2)}{2(n-1)} \sqrt{n^2 H^4 + 4(n-1)H^2}.$$

Motivated by Theorem A and a theorem due to G. Huisken [7], B. Andrews [2] proposed a following conjecture on mean curvature flow for closed hypersurfaces in a unit sphere.

**Conjecture.** Let  $M_0 = F_0(M)$  be a closed hypersurface in  $S^{n+1}$  which satisfies

$$(1.1) \quad S < \alpha(n, H).$$

Then there exists a smooth family of hypersurfaces  $\{M_t = F_t(M)\}_{0 \leq t < T}$  which satisfy (1.1) and move by mean curvature flow with initial data  $M_0$ . Either  $T < \infty$  and  $M_t$  is asymptotic to a family of geodesic spheres shrinking to their common centre, or  $T = \infty$  and  $M_t$  approaches to a great sphere.

The topological sphere theorem due to K. Shiohama and H.W. Xu [11] says that any closed hypersurface in  $S^{n+1}$  which satisfies  $S < \alpha(n, H)$  must be a topological sphere, which provides an positive evidence to the conjecture above. In this paper, we generalize Theorem A as follows.

**Main Theorem.** Let  $N^{n+1}$  be an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simply connected symmetric Riemannian manifold with  $\delta$  pinched curvature, i.e.,  $\delta \leq K_N \leq 1$ , and  $M^n$  be a closed hypersurface with constant mean curvature  $H$  in  $N^{n+1}$ . If

$$(S - nH^2)[\alpha(n, H) - S - 2n(1 - \delta)] - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2} \geq 0,$$

then  $M$  is congruent to one of the following

- (1) totally umbilical hypersurface;
  - (2) one of the Clifford minimal hypersurface  $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ;
  - (3) the isoparametric hypersurface  $S^{n-1}(1/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}) \times S^1(\lambda/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ .
- Here  $\alpha(n, H)$ ,  $\lambda$  are defined as in Theorem A.

Consequently we have

**Corollary.** *Let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed minimal hypersurface in  $N^{n+1}$  with curvature  $K_N$  satisfying  $\delta \leq K_N \leq 1$ . If the squared norm of the second fundamental form  $S$  satisfies*

$$S \leq (2\delta - 1)n,$$

*then  $M$  is congruent to one of the following*

- (1) *totally geodesic submanifold;*
- (2) *one of the Clifford minimal hypersurface  $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ .*

It should be mentioned that when  $M^n$  is a minimal hypersurface in  $N^{n+1}$ , then our pinching condition reduces to  $S \leq (2\delta - 1)n$ , which is weaker than the one in [5], [10] and [14].

Motivated by the main theorem, one can propose an analogue of the conjecture above for closed hypersurfaces in a symmetric Riemannian manifold with  $\delta$  pinched curvature.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, let  $M^n$  be an  $n$ -dimensional closed hypersurface isometrically immersed in an  $(n+1)$ -dimensional simply connected symmetric Riemannian manifold  $N^{n+1}$ . The following convention of indices are used throughout.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq i, j, k, \dots, \leq n, \\ 1 \leq A, B, C, \dots, \leq n+1. \end{aligned}$$

Choose an orthonormal frame field  $\{e_A\}$  in a neighborhood of  $p \in M$  such that the  $\{e_i\}$  span the tangent space  $T_p M$  to  $M$  at  $p$ . Let  $\{\omega_A\}$  be the dual frame fields of  $\{e_A\}$  and  $\{\omega_{AB}\}$  be the connection 1-forms of  $N$ . Restricting these forms to  $M$ , we have

$$\omega_{n+1i} = \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j, \quad h_{ij} = h_{ji}.$$

The curvature tensors of  $N$ ,  $M$  are denoted by  $K_{ABCD}$ ,  $R_{ijkl}$  respectively. The second fundamental form of  $M$  is denoted by  $h$  and the mean curvature normal field by  $\xi$ . Denote the mean curvature of  $M$  and squared norm of  $h$  by  $H = \|\xi\|$  and  $S$  respectively. We have then

$$(2.1) \quad h = \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \omega^i \otimes \omega^j \otimes e_{n+1},$$

$$(2.2) \quad \xi = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n h_{ii} e_{n+1},$$

$$(2.3) \quad R_{ijkl} = K_{ijkl} + h_{ik} h_{jl} - h_{il} h_{jk},$$

$$(2.4) \quad S = \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij})^2.$$

DEFINITION 2.1.  $M$  is called a hypersurface with constant mean curvature if  $H$  is constant. In particular,  $M$  is called minimal hypersurface if  $H = 0$ .

We denote the first and second covariant derivatives of  $h_{ij}$  by  $h_{ijk}$  and  $h_{ijkl}$  respectively, which are defined as in [4]. Following to [4] and [16], we have

$$(2.5) \quad h_{ijk} - h_{ikj} = -K_{n+1ijk},$$

and the Ricci formula

$$(2.6) \quad h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_s h_{sj} R_{sikl} + \sum_s h_{is} R_{sjkl}.$$

Let  $K_{n+1ijkl}$  be the covariant derivative of  $K_{n+1ijk}$  as the section of  $T^\perp M \otimes T^* M \otimes T^* M \otimes T^* M$  and  $K_{ABCD;E}$  the covariant derivative of  $K_{ABCD}$  as curvature tensor of  $N$ . Restricted to  $M$  we have

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_l K_{n+1ijkl} \omega_l = dK_{n+1ijk} + \sum_s K_{n+1sjk} \omega_{is} + \sum_s K_{n+1ijs} \omega_{ks},$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad K_{n+1ijk;l} = K_{n+1ijkl} - K_{n+1in+lk} h_{jl} - K_{n+1ijn+lk} h_{kl} + \sum_m K_{mijk} h_{ml}.$$

DEFINITION 2.2.  $N$  is called a symmetric Riemannian manifold if for every  $p \in N$  there exists an isometric  $\sigma_p: N \rightarrow N$  such that  $\sigma_p(p) = p$ , and the differential of  $\sigma_p$  at  $p$  is equal to  $-I_p$ , where  $I_p$  is the identity transformation of  $T_p N$ . The Laplacian of the second fundamental form is defined by  $\Delta h_{ij} = \sum_k h_{ijkk}$ .

The following propositions will be used in the proof of Main Theorem.

**Proposition 2.3** ([3], [15]). *If  $a_1, \dots, a_n$  are  $n$  real numbers with  $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i = 0$ , then*

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^3 \right| \leq (n-2)[n(n-1)]^{-1/2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \right)^{3/2}.$$

*Moreover, the equality holds if and only if at least  $n-1$  numbers of  $a_i$ 's are equal.*

**Proposition 2.4.** *If the function  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$  satisfies*

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0, \quad \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2 = \Lambda, \quad \delta \leq y_i \leq 1.$$

*Then*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq \frac{1}{2}(\delta - 1)(n\Lambda)^{1/2}.$$

**Proof.** We assume

$$x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \dots \leq x_k \leq 0 \leq x_{k+1} \leq \dots \leq x_n.$$

Thus

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) &= \sum_{i=1}^k x_i y_i + \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i y_i \\ &\geq \sum_{i=1}^k x_i + \delta \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \\ &= (\delta - 1) \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.9) we have

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} k\Lambda &= k \sum_{i=1}^k x_i^2 + k \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i^2 \\ &\geq \left( \sum_{i=1}^k x_i \right)^2 + \frac{k}{n-k} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{n}{n-k} \left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right)^2. \end{aligned}$$

So by (2.11) we have

$$\left( \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \right)^2 \leq \frac{k(n-k)}{n} \Lambda \leq \frac{n}{4} \Lambda.$$

Thus

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n) \geq (\delta - 1) \sum_{i=k+1}^n x_i \geq \frac{1}{2}(\delta - 1)(n\Lambda)^{1/2}.$$

This proves Proposition 2.4. □

From (2.5) and (2.6),

$$(2.12) \quad \Delta h_{ij} = - \sum_k (K_{n+1kikl} + K_{n+1ijkk}) + \sum_{k,m} (h_{mk} R_{mijk} + h_{im} R_{mkjk}).$$

Since  $N$  is a symmetric manifold,  $N$  is complete and locally symmetric. Thus

$$K_{ABCD;E} \equiv 0$$

for all  $A, B, C, D, E$ . This together with (2.3), (2.8) and (2.12) implies

$$(2.13) \quad \frac{1}{2} \Delta S = \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2 + \sum_{i,j} h_{ij} \Delta h_{ij} = X + Y + Z,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} X &= nH \operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^3 - (\operatorname{tr} H_{n+1}^2)^2, \\ Y &= 2 \sum_{m,k,i,j} (h_{mj} h_{ij} K_{mkik} + h_{mk} h_{ij} K_{mijk}) + \sum_{i,j,k} (h_{ijk})^2, \\ Z &= - \sum_{i,j,k} (K_{n+1kn+1k} h_{ij} h_{ij} + K_{n+1kin+1} h_{kj} h_{ij} + K_{n+1in+1k} h_{jk} h_{ij} + K_{n+1ijn+1} h_{kk} h_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

### 3. Proof of Main Theorem

The following lemmas are useful in the proof of the main theorem.

**Lemma 3.1.**  $X \geq (S - nH^2)[2nH^2 - S - (n(n-2)/\sqrt{n(n-1)})H(S - nH^2)^{1/2}].$

*Proof.* Let  $\{e_i\}$  be an orthonormal frame at a point on  $M$  such that the matrix  $H_{n+1} = (h_{ij})_{n \times n}$  takes the diagonal form and such that  $h_{ij} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$  for all  $i, j$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned} f_k &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda_i)^k, \\ B_k &= \sum_{i=1}^n (\mu_i)^k, \\ \mu_i &= H - \lambda_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(3.1) \quad B_1 = 0, \quad B_2 = S - nH^2,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad B_3 = 3HS - 2nH^3 - f_3.$$

From (3.1), (3.2) and Proposition 2.3, we get

$$\begin{aligned} X &= nHf_3 - S^2 \\ &\geq nH \left[ 3HS - 2nH^3 - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} B_2^{3/2} \right] - S^2 \\ &\geq (S - nH^2) \left[ 2nH^2 - S - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S - nH^2)^{1/2} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.**  $Y \geq 2\delta n(S - nH^2).$

Proof. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= 2 \sum_{i,k} [K_{ikik}(h_{ii})^2 + K_{kikk}h_{kk}h_{ii}] \\ &= \sum_{i,k} K_{ikik}(\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \\ &\geq \delta \sum_{i,k} (\lambda_i - \lambda_k)^2 \\ &= 2n\delta(S - nH^2). \end{aligned}$$

This proves Lemma 3.2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.**  $Z \geq -n(S - nH^2) - (1/2)(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2}.$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} (3.3) \quad Z &= - \sum_{k,i} K_{n+1kn+1k}(\lambda_i)^2 + \sum_{k,i} K_{n+1kn+1k}\lambda_k\lambda_i \\ &\geq -nS + nH \sum_k K_{n+1kn+1k}\lambda_k \\ &= -n(S - nH^2) + nH \sum_k K_{n+1kn+1k}\mu_k, \end{aligned}$$

where we set  $\mu_k = \lambda_k - H$ . Since  $\sum_k \mu_k = 0$ ,  $\sum_k \mu_k^2 = S - nH^2$  and  $\delta \leq K_{n+1kn+1k} \leq 1$ , by Proposition 2.4, we have

$$(3.4) \quad Z \geq -n(S - nH^2) - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2}.$$

This proves Lemma 3.3.  $\square$



Proof of Main Theorem. If  $S = nH^2$ , then  $\lambda_i = H$  for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , which means that  $M$  is a totally umbilic submanifold.

If  $S \neq nH^2$ , then  $S > nH^2$ . By the assumption that

$$(S - nH^2)[\alpha(n, H) - S - 2n(1 - \delta)] - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2} \geq 0,$$

we get

$$S \leq \alpha(n, H) - 2n(1 - \delta) \leq \alpha(n, H).$$

Combining this with Lemmas 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\Delta S &\geq (S - nH^2)\left[-n + 2n\delta + 2nH^2 - S - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}H(S - nH^2)^{1/2}\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2} \\ &\geq (S - nH^2)\left[n + 2nH^2 - \alpha(n, H) - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}H(\alpha(n, H) - nH^2)^{1/2}\right. \\ &\quad \left.+ \alpha(n, H) - S - 2n(1 - \delta)\right] - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2} \\ &= (S - nH^2)[\alpha(n, H) - S - 2n(1 - \delta)] - \frac{1}{2}(1 - \delta)n^{3/2}H\sqrt{S - nH^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption and Hopf's maximum principle, we see that  $S$  must be a constant. This implies that the inequalities in (3.3) and (3.4) become equalities. Since  $S > nH^2 \geq 0$ , it follows from (3.3) that  $K_{n+1kn+1k} = 1$  for all  $k = 1, \dots, n$ . On the other hand, it follows from  $S > nH^2$  and  $\sum_k \mu_k = 0$  that there exist  $k$  and  $l$  such that  $\mu_k < 0$  and  $\mu_l > 0$ , where  $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ . By (3.4) and Proposition 2.4, we have  $K_{n+1ln+1l} = \delta$  for some  $l$ . Therefore

$$\delta = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad (S - nH^2)[S - \alpha(n, H)] = 0,$$

which implies that  $S = \alpha(n, H)$  and  $N$  is isometric to a unit sphere. It follows from Theorem A that  $M$  must be congruent to either

(i) one of the Clifford minimal hypersurfaces  $S^k(\sqrt{k/n}) \times S^{n-k}(\sqrt{(n-k)/n})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ ; or

(ii) the isoparametric hypersurface  $S^{n-1}(1/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2}) \times S^1(\lambda/\sqrt{1+\lambda^2})$  in  $S^{n+1}(1)$ , where  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\lambda = \frac{nH + \sqrt{n^2H^2 + 4(n-1)}}{2(n-1)}.$$

This proves the theorem. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. We would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable comments and suggestions.

---

### References

- [1] H. Alencar and M. do Carmo: *Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 1223–1229.
- [2] B. Andrews: *Positively curved surfaces in the three-sphere*; in Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, II (Beijing, 2002), Higher Ed. Press, Beijing, 2002, 221–230.
- [3] Q.M. Cheng and H. Nakagawa: *Totally umbilic hypersurfaces*, Hiroshima Math. J. **20** (1990), 1–10.
- [4] S.S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi: *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length*; in Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Springer, New York, 1970, 59–75.
- [5] F. Fontenele: *Submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector in pinched Riemannian manifolds*, Pacific J. Math. **177** (1997), 47–70.
- [6] H. Gauchman: *Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with bounded second fundamental form*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **298** (1986), 779–791.
- [7] G. Huysken: *Deforming hypersurfaces of the sphere by their mean curvature*, Math. Z. **195** (1987), 205–219.
- [8] H.B. Lawson, Jr.: *Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 187–197.
- [9] A.-M. Li and J.-M. Li: *An intrinsic rigidity theorem for minimal submanifolds in a sphere*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **58** (1992), 582–594.
- [10] K. Shiohama and H.-W. Xu: *A general rigidity theorem for complete submanifolds*, Nagoya Math. J. **150** (1998), 105–134.
- [11] K. Shiohama and H.-W. Xu: *The topological sphere theorem for complete submanifolds*, Compositio Math. **107** (1997), 221–232.
- [12] J. Simons: *Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds*, Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 62–105.
- [13] H.-W. Xu: *A rigidity theorem for submanifolds with parallel mean curvature in a sphere*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **61** (1993), 489–496.
- [14] H.-W. Xu: *On closed minimal submanifolds in pinched Riemannian manifolds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 1743–1751.
- [15] H.-W. Xu: *Pinching theorems, global pinching theorems, and eigenvalues for Riemannian submanifolds*, Ph.D. dissertation, Fudan University, 1990.
- [16] S.T. Yau: *Submanifolds with constant mean curvature*, I, II, Amer. J. Math. **96** (1974), 346–366, **97** (1975), 76–100.

Hongwei Xu  
Center of Mathematical Sciences  
Zhejiang University  
Hangzhou, 310027  
P.R. China  
e-mail: xuhw@cms.zju.edu.cn

Xin'an Ren  
Institute of Applied Mathematics  
AMSS, CAS  
Beijing, 100080  
P.R. China  
e-mail: xyrxali@sohu.com

Current address:  
Department of Mathematics  
China University of Mining and Technology  
Xuzhou, 221116  
P.R. China