

Title	On a theorem of Kaplansky
Author(s)	Ikeda, Masatoshi
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 4(2) P.235-P.240
Issue Date	1952
Text Version	publisher
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4708
DOI	10.18910/4708
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

On a Theorem of Kaplansky

By Masatoshi IKEDA

I. Kaplansky has proved an interesting theorem: any division ring is commutative if, for every element x , some power $x^{n(x)}$ is in the centre.¹⁾ As special cases this theorem contains the well-known theorem of Wedderburn of finite division rings as well as its generalization due to Jacobson.²⁾ On the other hand I. N. Herstein has proved that any ring in which $x^n - x$ is in its centre for every element x and for a fixed integer $n > 1$, is commutative. Moreover he has conjectured that the rings in which $x^{n(x)} - x$ is in the centre for every element x and for an integer $n(x)$ (depending on x and larger than 1) may be commutative.³⁾

In this note we shall prove a generalization of Kaplansky's theorem and, as its applications, we shall generalize a result of Hua⁴⁾ and show that Herstein's conjecture is valid for semi-simple rings in the sense of Jacobson.

Theorem. *Let D be a division ring with centre Z and let $c_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, r)$ be $r+1$ fixed non-zero elements in the prime subfield of D . If, for every element x in D , there are integers $n_0(x) > n_1(x) > \dots > n_r(x) > 0$ such that i) $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{n_i(x)}$ is in Z and ii) $n_1(x)$ is smaller than an integer M (not depending on x), then D is commutative.*

Here, if we put $r = 0$, we have Kaplansky's theorem. Hence we prove only the case $r > 0$.

To prove our theorem, it is sufficient according to Kaplansky to prove the following⁵⁾

Lemma. *Let K be a field, $L (\neq K)$ an extension of K and let $c_i (i = 0, 1, \dots, r)$ be $r+1$ fixed non-zero elements in the prime subfield of L . If, for every element x in L , there are integers $n_0(x) > n_1(x) > \dots$*

1) Cf. Kaplansky [5].

2) Cf. Jacobson [4] Th. 8.

3) Cf. Herstein [1].

4) Cf. Hua [2] Th. 7.

5) Cf. Kaplansky [5].

$n_r(x) > 0$ such that (i) $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{n_i(x)}$ is in K and (ii) $n_1(x)$ is smaller than a fixed integer M , then L has prime characteristic and is either purely inseparable over K or algebraic over its prime subfield.

Proof. For every element x , $m_0(x) > m_1(x) > \dots > m_r(x) > 0$ denote the system of $r+1$ integers satisfying (i) such that $m_1(x)$ is the minimum of $n_1(x)$. Hence $m_1(x)$ is smaller than M by (ii).

(a) First we prove that L has prime characteristic.

Assume that L has characteristic zero. Then the prime subfield P of L is the field of rational numbers. Therefore, we may assume that $c_i (i=0, \dots, r)$ are $r+1$ fixed non-zero integers. Now let a be an element in L but not in K . Then a can be sent into an element $b (\neq a)$ by a suitable isomorphism θ which leaves K elementwise fixed. Here b need not be in L . By θ , a^{-1} and $i(a+1)$, i an arbitrary integer, are sent into b^{-1} and $i(b+1)$ respectively. Since $\sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa (a^{-1})^{m_\kappa(a^{-1})}$ and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa (i(a+1))^{m_\kappa(i(a+1))}$ are in K , we have readily

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa (a^{-1})^{m_\kappa(a^{-1})} - \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa (b^{-1})^{m_\kappa(a^{-1})} = 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa \left\{ (i(b+1))^{m_\kappa(i(a+1))} - (i(a+1))^{m_\kappa(i(a+1))} \right\} = 0.$$

Multiplying (1) by $(ab)^{m_0(a^{-1})}$, we have

$$(3) \quad \left(\sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa a^{m_0(a^{-1}) - m_\kappa(a^{-1})} \right) b^{m_0(a^{-1})} - \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa a^{m_0(a^{-1})} b^{m_0(a^{-1}) - m_\kappa(a^{-1})} = 0.$$

Dividing (2) by $i^{m_r(i(a+1))}$, we have

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa i^{m_\kappa(i(a+1)) - m_r(i(a+1))} \left\{ (b+1)^{m_\kappa(i(a+1))} - (a+1)^{m_\kappa(i(a+1))} \right\} = 0.$$

Since $b-a \neq 0$, dividing (4) by $(b+1) - (a+1)$, we have

$$(5) \quad c_0 i^{m_0(i(a+1)) - m_r(i(a+1))} b^{m_0(i(a+1)) - 1} + \dots \text{ terms with powers of } b \\ \dots + \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_\kappa i^{m_\kappa(i(a+1)) - m_r(i(a+1))} \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{m_\kappa(i(a+1)) - 1} (a+1)^\lambda \right) = 0.$$

wise fixed. By θ , a^{-1} and $z(a+1)$ are set into b^{-1} and $z(b+1)$ respectively. In the same way as in (a), we have

$$(6) \quad \left(\sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} a^{m_0(a^{-1}) - m_{\kappa}(a^{-1})} \right) b^{m_0(a^{-1})} - \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} a^{m_0(a^{-1})} b^{m_0(a^{-1}) - m_{\kappa}(a^{-1})} = 0$$

and

$$(7) \quad c_0 z^{m_0(z(a+1)) - m_r(z(a+1))} b^{m_0(z(a+1)) - 1} + \dots \text{ terms with powers of } b \\ \dots + \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} z^{m_{\kappa}(z(a+1)) - m_r(z(a+1))} \left(\sum_{\lambda=0}^{m_{\kappa}(z(a+1)) - 1} (a+1)^{\lambda} \right) = 0.$$

Eliminating b from (6) and (7), we have an equation $F(X) = 0$ satisfied by a . It is easy to see that the coefficients of $F(X)$ are in $P[z]$ and the constant term of $F(X)$ is a product of suitable powers of c_0 and $c(z) = \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} m_{\kappa}(z(a+1)) z^{m_{\kappa}(z(a+1)) - m_r(z(a+1))}$. Here we may assume that $m_0(x), m_1(x), \dots, m_r(x)$ are not all congruent to zero mod. p for every separable element x over K . For, if $m_i(x) = p^{\mu} m_i'(x)$ for $i = 0, \dots, r$, then $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{m_i(x)} = (\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{m_i'(x)}) p^{\mu}$ is in K . Since x is separable over K , $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{m_i'(x)}$ is separable over K , so $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{m_i'(x)}$ is in K . This contradicts the minimality of $m_1(x)$. Therefore $c(z)$ is not zero, since z is transcendental over P . Therefore $F(X) = 0$ is a non-trivial equation and consequently a is algebraic over $P(z)$. Furthermore the domain of integrity $P[z]$ of $P(z)$ is a unique factorization domain. Let $g(X) \equiv \sum_{i=0}^N \alpha_i X^i$ be a primitive irreducible polynomial in $P[z][X]$ satisfied by a . Now assume that α_0 is not in P and π is a prime divisor of α_0 . Since $\pi(\pi^M a + 1)$ is sent into $\pi(\pi^M b + 1)$ by θ ,

$$\sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} \left\{ (\pi(\pi^M b + 1))^{m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))} - (\pi(\pi^M a + 1))^{m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))} \right\} = 0.$$

Dividing this by $\pi^{m_r(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))} \{(\pi^M b + 1) - (\pi^M a + 1)\}$, we have the relation :

$$c_0 \pi^{m_0(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) - m_r(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))} (\pi^M b)^{m_0(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) - 1} + \dots \text{ terms with powers of } b \\ \dots + \left\{ \dots \text{ terms with powers of } a \dots \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{\kappa=0}^r c_{\kappa} m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) \pi^{m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) - m_r(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))} \right\} = 0.$$

In this relation, terms with powers of b or a are divisible by π^M . Hence if $m_i(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) \equiv 0(p)$ for $i > s$ and $m_s(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) \not\equiv 0(p)$ for an $s \neq 0$, we divide the above relation by $\pi^{m_s(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) - m_r(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))}$. Now we eliminate b from the relation thus obtained and (6). Then we have an equation $G(X) = 0$ satisfied by a where the constant term $G(0)$ of $G(X)$ is either a product of powers of c_0 and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^s c_{\kappa} m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) \pi^{m_{\kappa}(\pi(\pi^M a + 1)) - m_s(\pi(\pi^M a + 1))}$ for some $s \neq 0$ or a product of powers of c_0

and $c_0 m_0 (\pi (\pi^M a + 1)) \pi^{m_0 (\pi (\pi^M a + 1)) - m_r (\pi (\pi^M a + 1))}$. It is easy to see that the coefficients of $G(X)$ are in $P[z]$. Therefore, α_0 is a divisor of the constant term $G(0)$ of $G(X)$ and consequently π is a divisor of $G(0)$. If $G(0)$ is a product of powers of c_0 and $\sum_{\kappa=0}^s c_\kappa m_\kappa (\pi (\pi^M a + 1)) \pi^{m_\kappa (\pi (\pi^M a + 1)) - m_s (\pi (\pi^M a + 1))}$ for an $s \neq 0$, then a product of powers of $c_0 \neq 0$ and $c_s m_s (\pi (\pi^M a + 1)) \neq 0$ is divisible by π . This is a contradiction. Therefore, $G(0)$ is a product of a power of π and an element in P . Thus we see that the constant term of a primitive irreducible polynomial in $P[z][X]$ satisfied by a separable element is either in P or a product of a power of an irreducible polynomial in $P[z]$ and an element in P .

Now if we take $a + H(z)$, $H(z)$ an arbitrary polynomial in $P[z]$, in place of a , then the constant term of a primitive irreducible polynomial in $P[z][X]$ satisfied by $a + H(z)$ must be either in P or a product of a power of an irreducible polynomial in $P[z]$ and an element in P . Now we take z^i as $H(z)$, where i is an integer larger than the degrees of $\alpha_\kappa (\kappa = 0, \dots, N)$. Then $a + z^i$ satisfies $g(X - z^i)$ which is a primitive irreducible polynomial in $P[z][X]$. Obviously the constant term $g(-z^i)$ of $g(X - z^i)$ is not in P . Hence $g(-z^i) = \beta h(z)^i$, where $h(z)$ is an irreducible polynomial in $P[z]$ and β is an element in P . Take $z^i + h(z)^t$ as $H(z)$, where t is an integer larger than l . Then the constant term $g(-(z^i + h(z)^t))$ of $g(X - (z^i + h(z)^t))$ which is a primitive irreducible polynomial in $P[z][X]$ satisfied by $a + z^i + h(z)^t$, is not in P and is divisible by $h(z)$. Therefore, $g(-(z^i + h(z)^t))$ must be a product of a power of $h(z)$ and an element in P . But this is impossible. Thus we have a contradiction. Therefore K is algebraic over P and L is algebraic over P .

Corollary. *Let D be a division ring with centre Z and let $f(X)$ be a fixed polynomial of degree n whose coefficients are in the prime subfield of D . If $x^{n(x)} + f(x)$ is in Z for every x in D and for an integer $n(x)$ (depending on x and larger than n), then D is commutative.*

Remark. It is probably true that we can drop the condition (ii) and take the assumption that $c_i (i = 0, \dots, r)$ are in Z , in place of the assumption that c_i are in the prime subfield. But this is still an open question.

As the first application of our theorem, we shall generalize a result of Hua⁶⁾ as follows:

Theorem. *Any non-commutative division ring D is generated by*

6) Cf. Hua [2] Th. 7.

elements of the form $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{n_i(x)}$, where $c_i (i=0, 1, \dots, r)$ are the fixed non-zero elements in the prime subfield of D and $n_0(x) > n_1(x) > \dots > n_r(x) > 0$ are intergers such that $n_i(x) = n_i(a^{-1}xa)$ for all $a \neq 0$ in D and $n_1(x)$ is smaller than a fixed integer M .

Proof. Let D' be the division ring generated by the elements $\sum_{i=0}^r c_i x^{n_i(x)}$, then D' is invariant under inner automorphisms of D . If $D' \neq D$, then D' is contained in the centre of D , by a result of Hua.⁷⁾ Then D is commutative. This is a contradiction. Therefore $D' = D$.

As the second application of our theorem, we show that Herstein's conjecture is valid for semi-simple rings in the sense of Jacobson:

Theorem. *Let A be a semi-simple ring with centre Z and let c be an integer. If there is an integer $n(x)$ larger than 1 for every element x and $x^{n(x)} + cx \in Z$, then A is commutative.*

Proof. Since A is semi-simple, A is a subdirect sum of primitive rings.⁸⁾ Since our assumption is valid for residue class rings of A , it is sufficient to prove our assertion in the case where A is a primitive ring. Any primitive ring is isomorphic to a dense ring R of linear transformations in a vector space V over a division ring D .⁹⁾ Let V be more than one-dimensional and let α and β be two linear independent vectors. Since R is dense, there is an element a in R such that $\alpha a = \beta$ and $\beta a = 0$. Then, for any integer $n > 1$, $\alpha(a^n + ca) = c\beta$ and $\beta(a^n + ca) = 0$. If $c\beta = 0$, then $c\gamma = 0$ for all vectors in V . Hence $cb = 0$ for all b in R , so $cx = 0$ for all x in A . But this case was proved by Kaplansky.¹⁰⁾ If $c\beta \neq 0$, then $a^n + ca$ is not in the centre of R . For, $a^n + ca$ does not commute with the linear transformation in R such that $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ and $\beta \rightarrow \alpha$. Hence V is one-dimensional, so R is a division ring. Then, by our theorem, R is commutative.

Putting $c = -1$, we see that Herstein's conjecture is valid for semi-simple rings.

(Received March, 15, 1952)

References

- [1] I. N. Herstein: A generalization of a theorem of Jacobson, Amer. J. of Math. 73 (1951).
- [2] L. K. Hua: Some properties of a sfield, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 35 (1949).
- [3] N. Jacobson: The radical and semi-simplicity for arbitrary rings, Amer. J. of Math. 67 (1945).
- [4] —: Structure theory for algebraic algebras of bounded degree, Ann. of Math. 46 (1945).
- [5] I. Kaplansky: A theorem on division rings, Canadian J. Math. 3 (1951).

7) Cf. Hua [2] Th. 1.

8) Cf. Jacobson [3].

9) Cf. Jacobson [3].

10) Cf. Kaplansky [5].