



Title	The principle of limiting absorption for the non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operator in \mathbb{R}^2
Author(s)	Saitō, Yoshimi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1974, 11(2), p. 295-306
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4716
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

THE PRINCIPLE OF LIMITING ABSORPTION FOR THE NON-SELFADJOINT SCHRÖDINGER OPERATOR IN \mathbf{R}^2

YOSHIMI SAITŌ

(Received November 13, 1973)

Introduction

The present paper is a continuation of [3] and is devoted to extending the results obtained in [3] to the non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operator in \mathbf{R}^2 .

In the paper [3] we considered the non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operator

$$(0.1) \quad L = - \sum_{j=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + i b_j(x) \right)^2 + Q(x)$$

in \mathbf{R}^N , where N is a positive integer such that $N \neq 2$, and the complex-valued function $Q(x)$ and the real-valued functions $b_j(x)$ ($j=1, 2, \dots, N$) are assumed to satisfy some asymptotic conditions at infinity. Among others we have shown the following: Let us define a Hilbert space $L_{2,\beta} = L_{2,\beta}(\mathbf{R}^N)$ ($\beta \in \mathbf{R}$) by

$$(0.2) \quad L_{2,\beta} = \{f(x)/(1+|x|)^\beta f(x) \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^N)\}$$

with its inner product

$$(0.3) \quad (f, g)_\beta = \int_{\mathbf{R}^N} (1+|x|)^{2\beta} f(x) \overline{g(x)} dx$$

and norm

$$(0.4) \quad \|f\|_\beta = [(f, f)_\beta]^{1/2}.$$

If $\kappa \in \mathbf{C}_+ = \{\kappa \in \mathbf{C} / \kappa \neq 0 \text{ and } \operatorname{Im} \kappa \geq 0\}$ does not belong to an exceptional set which is called the set of the singular points of L , then the operator $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}$ is well-defined as a bounded linear operator from $L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$ into $L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}$ ($\varepsilon > 0$) with the estimate

$$(0.5) \quad \|(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}\| = O(|\kappa|^{-1}) \quad (|\kappa| \rightarrow \infty).$$

Here $u = (L - \kappa^2)^{-1} f \in L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}$ ($f \in L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$) is a unique solution of the equation

$$(0.6) \quad (L - \kappa^2)u = f$$

with a sort of “radiation condition”, and $\|(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}\|$ means the operator norm

of $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}$ from $L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$ into $L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}$ ¹⁾.

In this paper, modifying the method of [3], we shall show that the estimate (0.5) holds good for L defined in \mathbf{R}^2 with $b_j(x)=0$, $j=1, 2$. In our case L takes the form

$$(0.7) \quad L = -\Delta + Q(x).$$

At the same time it will be shown that the other results obtained in [3] also hold for L in \mathbf{R}^2 . Throughout this paper we shall use the same notations as in [3]²⁾. For example $\partial_j u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}$, $\mathcal{D}_j u = \mathcal{D}_j^{\langle \kappa \rangle} u = \partial_j u + (\tilde{x}_j/(2r))u - i\kappa \tilde{x}_j u$, $r = |x|$, $\tilde{x}_j = x_j/r$, $\mathcal{D}_r u = (\mathcal{D}_1 u)\tilde{x}_1 + (\mathcal{D}_2 u)\tilde{x}_2$ etc.

1. A priori estimates

Let us define a differential operator L in \mathbf{R}^2 by (0.7), where $Q(x)$ is a complex-valued function on \mathbf{R}^2 and L is regarded as an operator from $H_{2, loc}$ into $L_{2, loc}$. We decompose $Q(x)$ as $Q(x) = V_0(x) + V(x)$. Throughout this paper the following is assumed³⁾: $V_0(x)$ is a real-valued, measurable function such that the radial derivative exists and

$$(1.1) \quad |V_0(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-\delta}, \quad \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial |x|} \leq C(1+|x|)^{-1-\delta} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^2).$$

$V(x)$ is a complex-valued, measurable function which satisfies

$$(1.2) \quad |V(x)| \leq C(1+|x|)^{-1-\delta} \quad (x \in \mathbf{R}^2).$$

Here C and δ are positive constants.

Now let us note that with no loss of generality $V_0(x)$ can be assumed to satisfy

$$(1.3) \quad V_0(x) = 0 \quad (|x| \leq R)$$

by replacing V_0 and V with αV_0 and $(1-\alpha)V_0 + V$, respectively, $\alpha(x)$ being a real-valued, C^∞ -function such that

$$(1.4) \quad \alpha(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & (|x| \leq R), \\ 1 & (|x| \geq R+1). \end{cases}$$

Henceforth we assume (1.3) with $R=7$ as well as (1.1) and (1.2).

-
- 1) In this regard we note that Ikebe-Saitō [1] has shown the boundedness of $|(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}|$ for κ moving in any compact set contained in \mathbf{C}_+ , where L is a self-adjoint Schrödinger operator in \mathbf{R}^N and N is an arbitrary positive integer.
 - 2) The list of the notation is given in the end of Introduction of [3].
 - 3) This aptissumon is the same as the one imposed on $Q(x)$ in [3].

Let ε be a positive number such that $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \delta/2$. As in Definition 1.2 of [3] we define by $\Sigma = \Sigma(L) = \Sigma(L, \varepsilon)$ the set of the singular points of L . i.e., $\kappa \in \Sigma$ if and only if $\kappa \in C_+ = \{\kappa \in C / \kappa \neq 0, \operatorname{Im} \kappa \geq 0\}$ and there exists a non-trivial solution u of the equation

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{cases} (L - \kappa^2)u = 0, & u \in H_{2, \text{loc}} \cap L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}, \\ \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}, E_1} < \infty, \end{cases}$$

where $E_1 = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 / |x| \geq 1\}$.

For $\kappa \in C_+$ with $\operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0$ and the above ε we put

$$(1.6) \quad D_{\kappa, \varepsilon} = D_\kappa = \{u \in H_{2, \text{loc}} \cap L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2} / (L - \kappa^2)u \in L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}\}.$$

As is easily seen, Lemma 2.1 and Proposition 2.3, (i), (ii) of [3] are true in \mathbf{R}^2 , too, and hence we have

Proposition 1.1. *Let $u \in D_\kappa$ with $\kappa \in C_+$ and $\operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0$. Then $u, \partial_1 u, \partial_2 u \in L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$ and the estimate*

$$(1.7) \quad \|u\|_{C_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}} \leq C(\|u\|_{C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}} + \|(L - \kappa^2)u\|_{C_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}})$$

holds with a constant $C = C(\kappa, L, \varepsilon)^{5)}$. As a function of κ , C is bounded when κ moves in a compact set contained in $\{\kappa \in C / \operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0\}$.

The purpose of this section is to prove the following estimates for $u \in D_\kappa$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be an open set such that $M \subset M_a = \{\kappa \in C / |\kappa| > a, \operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0\}$ with some $a > 0$. and $\bar{M} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$, \bar{M} being the closure of M in C . Let $\kappa \in M$ and let $u \in D_\kappa$. Then there exists a constant $C = C(M, L, \varepsilon)$ such that we have the estimates*

$$(1.8) \quad \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}, E_1} \leq C\|f\|_{C_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}},$$

$$(1.9) \quad \|u\|_{C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}, E_\rho} \leq \frac{C}{|\kappa|} (1 + \rho)^{-\varepsilon/2} \|f\|_{C_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}} \quad (\rho \geq 0),$$

where $f = (L - \kappa^2)u$ and $E_\rho = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 / |x| \geq \rho\}$.

REMARK 1.3. Cf. Theorem 2.7 of [3]. In \mathbf{R}^2 the relation $\mathcal{D}_j u \in L_{2, C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}}$ for $u \in D_\kappa$ is not necessarily true, because $u/|x|$ ($u \in H_{2, \text{loc}}$) is not always square

4) As in [3] we put

$$\|\mathcal{D}u\|_{C_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}}^2 = \sum_{j=1}^2 \int_{|x| \geq 1} (1 + |x|)^{-1+\varepsilon} |\mathcal{D}_j u|^2 dx.$$

5) Here and in the sequel we mean by $C = C(A, B, \dots)$ that C is a positive constant depending only on A, B, \dots .

integrable on a neighborhood of the origin $x=0$. But, of course, for $u \in D_\kappa$ $\mathcal{D}_j u \in L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}(E_r)$ ($r > 0$).

In order to prove Theorem 1.2 we prepare several propositions. Let us first show that the above estimates (1.8) and (1.9) can be easily obtained for $u \in D_\kappa$ if $\text{Im } \kappa$ is sufficiently large. Set

$$(1.10) \quad \beta_0 = \max [\{2(\sup_x |V_0(x) + V_1(x)| + 1)\}^{1/2}, \sup_x |V_2(x)|] \\ (V_1(x) = \text{Re } V(x), V_2(x) = \text{Im } V(x)).$$

Then we have

Proposition 1.4. *Let $u \in D_\kappa$ with $\text{Im } \kappa \geq \beta_0$. Then the estimates*

$$(1.11) \quad \|u\|_{-\mu, E_\rho} \leq \frac{C_0}{|\kappa|} (1+\rho)^{-\mu} \|f\| \quad (\rho \geq 0, \mu \geq 0)$$

and

$$(1.12) \quad \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{E_1} \leq C_0 \|f\|$$

hold with a constant $C_0 = C_0(\beta_0)$, where $f = (L - \kappa^2)u$ and $\|\cdot\|$ means the usual L_2 -norm.

Proof. Take the real and imaginary part of $((L - \kappa^2)u, u) = (f, u)$ to obtain

$$(1.13) \quad \sum_{j=1}^2 (\partial_j u, \partial_j u) + ((\kappa_2^2 - \kappa_1^2 + V_0 + V_1)u, u) = \text{Re } (f, u),$$

$$(1.14) \quad ((V_2 - 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2)u, u) = \text{Im } (f, u),$$

where $\kappa_1 = \text{Re } \kappa$, $\kappa_2 = \text{Im } \kappa$, and (\cdot, \cdot) is the L_2 -inner product. It follows from (1.10) that

$$(1.15) \quad \kappa_2^2 - \kappa_1^2 + V_0(x) + V_1(x) \geq \kappa_2^2/2 \geq \frac{\beta_0}{2} \kappa_2 \quad (|\kappa_1| < 1, \kappa_2 \geq \beta_0)$$

and

$$(1.16) \quad |V_2(x) - 2\kappa_1 \kappa_2| \geq |\kappa_1| \kappa_2 \quad (|\kappa_1| \geq 1, \kappa_2 \geq \beta_0)$$

for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^2$. By the use of the relations (1.13)~(1.16) we can show

$$(1.17) \quad \|u\| \leq \frac{C_1}{|\kappa|} \|f\| \quad (C_1 = C_1(\beta_0)).$$

In fact, if $|\kappa_1| < 1$ and $\kappa_2 \geq \beta_0$, we have from (1.13) and (1.15)

$$(1.18) \quad \|u\| \leq (2/(\beta_0 \kappa_2)) \|f\| \leq (4/(\beta_0 |\kappa|)) \|f\|,$$

where we should note that $|\kappa| \leq |\kappa_1| + \kappa_2 \leq 2\kappa_2$. If $|\kappa_1| \geq 1$ and $\kappa_2 \geq \beta_0$, we can

see from (1.14) and (1.16) that

$$(1.19) \quad |\kappa_1| |\kappa_2| \|u\| \leq \|f\|,$$

whence we obtain

$$(1.20) \quad \|u\| \leq (|\kappa_1| |\kappa_2|)^{-1} \|f\| \leq (|\kappa| - 1)^{-1} \|f\| \leq \frac{2}{|\kappa|} \|f\|.$$

(1.11) is a direct consequence of (1.17).

Next let us prove (1.12). Since

$$(1.21) \quad \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{E_1} \leq \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 \|\partial_j u\|^2 \right]^{1/2} + \left\| \frac{1}{2|x|} u \right\|_{E_1} + \|iku\|_{E_1}$$

and (1.17) has been established, we have only to show

$$(1.22) \quad \left[\sum_{j=1}^2 \|\partial_j u\|^2 \right]^{1/2} \leq C_2 \|f\| \quad (C_2 = C_2(\beta_0)).$$

This follows from (1.1), (1.2), (1.13) and (1.17).

Q.E.D.

In the rest of this section it is enough to consider $u \in D_\kappa$ with $0 < \text{Im } \kappa < \beta_0$.

Proposition 1.5. *Let a be a positive number and let $u \in D_\kappa$ with $|\kappa| > a$ and $0 < \text{Im } \kappa < \beta_0$, where β_0 is as above. Then the estimate*

$$(1.23) \quad \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1} \leq C \{ \|u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2} + \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \} \quad (f = (L - \kappa^2)u)$$

holds with a positive constant $C = C(a, \beta_0, L, \varepsilon)$.

Proof. It follows from the formula (2.21) given in Lemma 2.5 of [3], which is true in the case $N=2$, too, that

$$\begin{aligned} (1.24) \quad & \int_{B_{t1}} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} - \frac{\phi}{r} \right) |\mathcal{D}_r u|^2 dx + \int_{B_{t1}} \left(\kappa_2 \phi + \frac{\phi}{r} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) |\mathcal{D}u|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{B_{tT}} \left(\kappa_2 \phi + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) |\mathcal{D}u|^2 dx + \int_{B_{tT}} \left(\frac{\phi}{r} - \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} \right) (|\mathcal{D}u|^2 - |\mathcal{D}_r u|^2) dx \\ & = \int_{B_{tT}} \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \frac{\phi}{r^2} \kappa_2 - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\phi}{r^2} \right) \right\} |u|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{B_{tT}} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} V_0 + \phi \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial r} \right) - \kappa_2 \phi V_0 \right\} |u|^2 dx \\ & + \text{Re} \int_{B_{tT}} \phi V u (\overline{\mathcal{D}_r u}) dx + \text{Re} \int_{B_{tT}} \phi f (\mathcal{D}_r u) dx \\ & - \frac{1}{2} \left[\int_{S_T} - \int_{S_t} \right] \phi \left\{ |\mathcal{D}u|^2 - 2 |\mathcal{D}_r u|^2 + \left(V_0 - \frac{1}{4r^2} \right) |u|^2 \right\} dS, \end{aligned}$$

where $0 < t < 1 < T < \infty$, $B_{ps} = \{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 / p \leq |x| \leq s\}$, $r = |x|$, $\phi = \phi(r)$ is a real-valued, piecewise continuously differentiable function on $[0, \infty]$, and we put in

(1.21) of [3] $c_N = c_2 = -1/4$ and $B_{jk}(x) = 0$. Set

$$(1.25) \quad \phi(r) = \begin{cases} r^2 & (0 \leq r \leq 1), \\ \frac{1}{2^\varepsilon} (1+r)^\varepsilon & (r > 1) \end{cases}$$

in (1.24). Then we estimate the both sides of (1.24) as follows:

(1.26) the left-hand side of (1.24)

$$\geq \int_{B_{1T}} r |\mathcal{D}_r u|^2 dx + \int_{B_{1T}} \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{1+\varepsilon}} (1+r)^{-1+\varepsilon} |\mathcal{D}u|^2 dx$$

and

(1.27) the right-hand side of (1.24)

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq \int_{B_{1T}} \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \beta_0 \frac{\phi}{r^2} - \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(\frac{\phi}{r^2} \right) \right\} |u|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{B_{1T}} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial r} |V_0| + \phi \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial r} \right) |u|^2 dx + \kappa_2 \int_{B_{1T}} \phi |V_0| |u|^2 dx \\ & + \int_{B_{1T}} \phi |V| |u| |\mathcal{D}_r u| dx + \int_{B_{1T}} \phi |f| |\mathcal{D}_r u| dx \\ & + \int_{S_i} \phi (|\mathcal{D}u|^2 + |V_0| |u|^2) dS \\ & + \int_{S_T} \phi \left\{ 2 |\mathcal{D}_r u|^2 + \left(|V_0| + \frac{1}{4r^2} \right) |u|^2 \right\} dS \\ & = J_1 + J_2 + J_3 + J_4 + J_5 + J_6 + J_7. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate each J_k . First we obtain

$$(1.28) \quad J_k \leq C_k \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2}^2 \quad (k = 1, 2),$$

where $C_1 = C_1(\beta_0, \varepsilon)$, $C_2 = C_2(L, \varepsilon)$ and we used (1.1). It follows from (1.1) and (1.3) with $R=7$ that

$$(1.29) \quad \begin{aligned} J_3 & \leq C_3' \kappa_2 \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2, E_2}^2 \\ & \leq C_3' (\kappa_2 \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2, E_2}) \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2} \quad (C_3' = C_3'(L, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand in quite a similar way to the one used to prove Proposition 2.3, (iii) of [3] we can show

$$(1.30) \quad \begin{aligned} \kappa_2 \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2, E_2} & \leq C_3'' \{ \|u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2} \\ & + \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2, E_1} + \|f\|_{-C_1+\varepsilon/2} \} \quad (C_3'' = C_3''(a, L, \varepsilon)), \end{aligned}$$

which, together with (1.29), yields

$$(1.31) \quad J_3 \leq C_3 \{ \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 + \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1} + \|u\|_{-(1-\varepsilon)/2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \} \quad (C_3 = C_3(a, L, \varepsilon)).$$

As to J_4 and J_5 we have, using (1.2),

$$(1.32) \quad \begin{cases} J_4 \leq C_4 \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} (\|r^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}r u)\|_{B_1} + \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1}), \\ J_5 \leq C_5 \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} (\|r^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}r u)\|_{B_1} + \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1}), \end{cases}$$

where $C_k = C_k(L, \varepsilon)$, $k=4, 5$. Here we should note that $r^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}r u) \in L_2(\mathbf{R}^2)_{loc}$ because $u \in H_2(\mathbf{R}^2)_{loc}$ is a continuous function on \mathbf{R}^2 by the Sobolev lemma. $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} J_6 = 0$ and $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} J_7 = 0$ follow from the fact that $r(|\mathcal{D}u|^2 + |V_0||u|^2)$ and $r^2\{2|\mathcal{D}r u|^2 + (|V_0| + 1/(4r^2))|u|^2\}$ are integrable on B_1 and E_1 , respectively. Summing up these estimates and letting $t \rightarrow 0$ and $T \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$(1.33) \quad \begin{aligned} & \|r^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}r u)\|_{B_1}^2 + (\varepsilon/2^{1+\varepsilon}) \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1}^2 \\ & \leq C' \{ \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 + \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \\ & \quad + \|r^{1/2}(\mathcal{D}r u)\|_{B_1} (\|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} + \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}) \\ & \quad + \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1} (\|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} + \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}) \} \quad (C' = C'(a, \beta_0, L, \varepsilon)). \end{aligned}$$

(1.23) is a direct consequence of (1.33).

Q.E.D.

REMARK 1.6. In the present paper we assume that the magnetic potentials $b_j(x) = 0$ ($j=1, 2$). This assumption is used only to prove the above Proposition 1.5. Technically, it is possible to adopt a weaker assumption. For example it is enough to assume that $B_{12}(x) = \partial_1 b_2(x) - \partial_2 b_1(x) = 0$ in a neighborhood of the origin $x=0$.

Proposition 1.7. Let $u \in D_\kappa$ with $|\kappa| > a$ ($a > 0$) and $0 < \text{Im } \kappa < \beta_0$. Then there exists a constant

$C = C(a, \beta_0, L, \varepsilon)$ such that we have

$$(1.34) \quad \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2, E_\rho}^2 \leq C(1+\rho)^{-\varepsilon} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 + \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} + \frac{1}{|\kappa|^2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 \right\} \quad (\rho \geq 0),$$

where $f = (L - \kappa^2)u$.

Proof. The proof will be divided into two steps. In Step I the estimate (1.34) with $\rho \geq 1$ will be proved, where Proposition 1.5 will be useful. In Step

II we shall show (1.34) with $\rho=0$. From these results we can easily obtain (1.34) for all $\rho \geq 0$.

Step I. We can find positive numbers b and c such that $\{\kappa = \kappa_1 + i\kappa_2 / |\kappa| > a, 0 < \kappa_2 < \beta_0\} \subset K_1 \cup K_2$, where

$$(1.35) \quad \begin{cases} K_1 = \left\{ \kappa = \kappa_1 + i\kappa_2 / |\kappa| > a, 0 < \kappa_2 < \beta_0, \kappa_1^2 - \frac{1}{2} \kappa_2^2 \geq b^2 \right\}, \\ K_2 = \left\{ \kappa = \kappa_1 + i\kappa_2 / |\kappa| > a, 0 < \kappa_2 < \beta_0, \kappa_2^2 - \kappa_1^2 \geq c^2 \right\}, \end{cases}$$

e.g., we may put $b=a/4$ and $c=a/2$. Consider the case $\kappa \in K_1$. Then, proceeding as in the first half of the proof of Proposition 2.6 of [3], we arrive at (1.34) with $\rho \geq 1$. Next consider the case $\kappa \in K_2$. Then we can proceed as in the second half of the proof of Proposition 2.6 of [3] to obtain (1.34) with $\rho \geq 1$.

Step II. Set $x_0 = (2, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and set for $u \in D_\kappa$

$$(1.36) \quad \tilde{u}(x) = u(x - x_0).$$

It follows from the relation $(L - \kappa^2)u = f$ that

$$(1.37) \quad (\tilde{L} - \kappa^2)\tilde{u} = \tilde{f},$$

where

$$(1.38) \quad \begin{cases} \tilde{L} = -\Delta + \tilde{V}_0(x) + \tilde{V}(x), \\ \tilde{V}_0(x) = V_0(x - x_0), \quad \tilde{V}(x) = V(x - x_0), \\ \tilde{f}(x) = f(x - x_0). \end{cases}$$

Obviously $\tilde{V}(x)$ satisfies (1.2) with the same δ and some positive \tilde{C} . It can be also shown that $\tilde{V}_0(x)$ satisfies (1.1). In fact we have

$$(1.39) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_0(x)}{\partial |x|} &= \frac{V_0(x - x_0)}{\partial |x - x_0|} \cdot \frac{\partial |x - x_0|}{\partial |x|} \\ &= \frac{\partial V_0}{\partial |x|}(x - x_0) \cdot \frac{|x| - |x_0| \cos \theta}{|x - x_0|}, \end{aligned}$$

θ being the angle between x and x_0 . By (1.3) with $R=7$ $\frac{\partial V_0}{\partial |x|}(x - x_0) = 0$ for $|x - x_0| \leq 5$, and for $|x - x_0| > 5$ it follows that

$$(1.40) \quad 0 < \frac{|x| - |x_0| \cos \theta}{|x - x_0|} \leq \frac{1 - (|x_0|/|x|) \cos \theta}{1 - (|x_0|/|x|)} < \frac{1 + 2/3}{1 - 2/3} = 5,$$

where we have used the fact that $|x_0|/|x| < 2/3$ if $|x - x_0| > 5$. Thus we obtain, together with (1.1),

$$(1.41) \quad \frac{\partial \tilde{V}_0(x)}{\partial |x|} \leq 5C(1+|x-x_0|)^{-1-\delta} \\ \leq \tilde{C}(1+|x|)^{-1-\delta} \quad \left(\tilde{C} = 5C \left[\sup_x \left(\frac{1+|x|}{1+|x-x_0|} \right) \right]^{1+\delta} \right)$$

Hence the result obtained in Step I can be applied to \tilde{L} to show

$$(1.42) \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1}^2 \leq \tilde{C} \left\{ \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|\tilde{u}\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|\tilde{u}\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \|\tilde{f}\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} + \frac{1}{|\kappa|^2} \|\tilde{f}\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 \right\} \quad (\tilde{C} = \tilde{C}(a, \beta_0, \tilde{L}, \varepsilon)).$$

Since the unit disc B_1 of \mathbf{R}^2 is contained in the set $\{x \in \mathbf{R}^2 / |x+x_0| \geq 1\}$, we have

$$(1.43) \quad \|\tilde{u}\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1}^2 = \int_{|x| \geq 1} (1+|x|)^{-1-\varepsilon} |u(x-x_0)|^2 dx \\ = \int_{|x+x_0| \geq 1} (1+|x-x_0|)^{-1-\varepsilon} |u(x)|^2 dx \\ \geq \int_{B_1} (1+|x-x_0|)^{-1-\varepsilon} |u(x)|^2 dx.$$

Therefore it follows from (1.42) and the boundedness of $(1+|x|)/(1+|x-x_0|)$ and $(1+|x-x_0|)/(1+|x|)$ on the whole space \mathbf{R}^2 that

$$(1.44) \quad \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2, B_1}^2 \leq C' \left\{ \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{1}{|\kappa|} \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} + \frac{1}{|\kappa|^2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}^2 \right\} \quad (C' = C'(a, \beta_0, L, \varepsilon)).$$

(1.34) with $\rho=0$ can be easily obtained from (1.44) and (1.34) with $\rho=1$.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Set

$$(1.45) \quad \begin{cases} M_1 = \{\kappa = \kappa_1 + i\kappa_2/\kappa \in M, \kappa_2 \geq \beta_0\} \\ M_2 = \{\kappa = \kappa_1 + i\kappa_2/\kappa \in M, 0 < \kappa_2 \leq \beta_0\}. \end{cases}$$

We have $M = M_1 \cup M_2$. For $u \in D_\kappa$ with $\kappa \in M_1$ we have (1.8) and (1.9) from (1.12) and (1.11), respectively. Next suppose that $u \in D_\kappa$ with $\kappa \in M_2$. Then, since the estimates (1.23) and (1.34) have been shown, we can proceed as in the proof of Theorem 2.7 of [3] to obtain

$$(1.46) \quad \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \leq \frac{C}{|\kappa|} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \quad (C = C(a, \beta_0, L, \varepsilon)).$$

(1.8) and (1.9) for $u \in D_\kappa$ with $\kappa \in M_2$ follow from (1.23), (1.34) and (1.46), which completes the proof.

Q.E.D.

2. The limiting absorption principle for L

Now that a priori estimates for L have been established (Theorem 1.2), it can be shown by arguments quite similar to those used in §3 and §4 of [3] that the main results of [3] hold in our case, too. We sum up these in the following three theorems whose proof will be omitted.

Theorem 2.1 (the properties of the set Σ of the singular points of L). Let (1.1) and (1.2) be satisfied and let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \max(1, \delta/2)$.

(i) Then the set $\Sigma = \Sigma(L, \varepsilon)$ of the singular points of L is a bounded set of $C_+ = \{\kappa \in C/\kappa \neq 0, \operatorname{Im} \kappa \geq 0\}$. $\Sigma_R = \Sigma \cap R$ is a bounded set with the Lebesgue measure 0.

(ii) For any $a > 0$ $\Sigma \cap \{\kappa \in C_+ / |\kappa| \geq a\}$ is a compact set of C_+ . Further, $\Sigma - \Sigma_R$ is an isolated, bounded set having no limit point in $\{\kappa \in C_+ / \operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0\}$.

(iii) Let $\kappa \in C_+$ and $\operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0$. Then $\nu \in \Sigma$ if and only if κ^2 belongs to the point spectrum of H , where H is a densely defined, closed linear operator in L_2 given by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} D(H) = H_2^{(6)}, \\ Hu = Lu. \end{cases}$$

For $\kappa \in C_+ - \Sigma$ with $\operatorname{Im} \kappa > 0$ belongs to the resolvent set of H .

Theorem 2.2. (the limiting absorption principle for L). Let (1.1) and (1.2) be satisfied and let ε and Σ be as above. Assume that M is an open set of C such that $\bar{M} \cap \Sigma = \emptyset$ and $M \subset M_a$ with some $a > 0$, \bar{M} being the closure of M and M_a being given as in Theorem 1.2.

(i) Then for any pair $(\kappa, f) \in \bar{M} \times L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$ there exists a unique solution $u = u(\kappa, f)$ of the equation

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} (L - \kappa^2)u = f, & u \in H_{2, loc} \cap L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}, \\ \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1} < \infty. \end{cases}$$

(ii) The solution $u = u(\kappa, f)$, $(\kappa, f) \in \bar{M} \times L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$, satisfies the estimates

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{cases} \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2} \leq \frac{C}{|\kappa|} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}, \\ \|\mathcal{D}u\|_{(-1+\varepsilon)/2, E_1} \leq D \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2}, \\ \|u\|_{-(1+\varepsilon)/2, E_\rho} \leq \frac{C}{|\kappa|} (1+\rho)^{-\varepsilon/2} \|f\|_{(1+\varepsilon)/2} \quad (\rho \geq 1) \end{cases}$$

with a positive constant $C = C(M, L, \varepsilon)$.

6) $D(T)$ is the domain of T .

(iii) If we define an operator $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}$ by

$$(2.4) \quad (L - \kappa^2)^{-1}f = u(\kappa, f) \quad (f \in L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2})$$

for $\kappa \in \bar{M}$, then $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}$ is a $B(L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}, L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2})$ -valued, continuous function on \bar{M} , and we have

$$(2.5) \quad \|(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}\| \leq \frac{C}{|\kappa|} \quad (\kappa \in \bar{M}, C = C(M, L, \varepsilon)),$$

where $\|(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}\|$ means the operator norm of $B(L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}, L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2})$.

(iv) $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1} \in C(L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}, L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2})^{\text{op}}$. Moreover we have the following: let $\{f_n\}$ be any bounded sequence of $L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}$ and let $\{\kappa_n\}$ be any sequence contained in \bar{M} . Then the sequence $\{(L - \kappa_n^2)^{-1}f_n\}$ is relatively compact in $L_{2, -(1+\varepsilon)/2}$.

(v) $(L - \kappa^2)^{-1}$ is a $B(L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2}, L_{2, (1+\varepsilon)/2})$ -valued, analytic function on M .

Finally let us show some properties of the spectrum $\sigma(H)$ of H defined by (2.1). Its point spectrum, continuous spectrum and residual spectrum are denoted by $\sigma_p(H)$, $\sigma_c(H)$ and $\sigma_r(H)$, respectively. We define the essential spectrum $\sigma_e(H)$ of H as in [3]⁹⁾.

Theorem 2.3 (the properties of $\sigma(H)$). Let (1.1) and (1.2) be satisfied and let H be as defined in (2.1). Then we have the following (i)~(iv):

- (i) $\sigma_e(H) = [0, \infty)$.
- (ii) $\sigma_r(H) = \phi^{(10)}$.
- (iii) $\sigma(H) \cap (\mathbb{C} - [0, \infty)) \subset \sigma_p(H)$ and $\sigma_p(H) \cap (0, \infty) = \phi$, and hence $\sigma_c(H) \supset (0, \infty)$.
- (iv) The eigenvalues in $\mathbb{C} - [0, \infty)$, if they exist, are of finite multiplicity and they form an isolated, bounded set having no limit point in $\mathbb{C} - [0, \infty)$.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] T. Ikebe and Y. Saitō: *Limiting absorption method and absolute continuity for the Schrödinger operator*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **12** (1972), 513–542.
- 7) $B(X, Y)$ denotes the set of all bounded linear operators on X into Y , X and Y being Banach spaces.
- 8) $\mathbf{C}(X, Y)$ is all compact operators on X into Y , where X and Y are Banach spaces.
- 9) See (1.20) of [3].
- 10) (iv) can be obtained from the fact that in our case the relation $Hu=0$ ($u \in D(H)$) is equivalent to $H^* \bar{u}=0$, where H^* is the adjoint of H and $\bar{u}(x)$ is the conjugate of $u(x)$. See Mochizuki [2], Remark 1.1 (p. 425). See also Remark 1.8 of [3].

- [2] K. Mochizuki: *Eigenfunction expansions associated with the Schrödinger operator with a complex potential and the scattering theory*, Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. Ser. A **4** (1968), 419–466.
- [3] Y. Saitō: *The principle of limiting absorption for the non-selfadjoint Schrödinger operator in R^N ($N \neq 2$)*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ. **9** (1974), 397–428.