

Title	On a problem of Nagata related to Zariski's problem
Author(s)	Ogoma, Tetsushi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1998, 35(2), p. 487-491
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4740
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

ON A PROBLEM OF NAGATA RELATED TO ZARISKI'S PROBLEM*

TETSUSHI OGOMA

(Received January 10, 1997)

1. Introduction

Related to the problem proposed by Zariski[6] if the intersection $A \cap L$ of a normal affine ring A over a field k and a function field L over k is again an affine ring over k (we always understand that L is a subfield of a field containing A), Nagata obtained a characterization[3, Proposition 1], aiming at the affirmative answer, that the intersection $A \cap L$ of a normal affine ring A over a Dedekind domain k' (merely stated ground ring) and a function field L over k' is exactly an ideal transform of a normal affine ring over k' .

We recall that A is an affine ring over B if A is an integral domain containing B as a subring and is finitely generated over B and that L is a function field over B if L is the field of quotients of an affine ring over B .

Making use of this result, Rees constructed a counter example to Zariski's problem with an algebro-geometric consideration [5].

Recently, Nagata showed the following result[4, Theorem 2.1, 2.2], in view of the fact that the answer to Zariski's problem was negative and for generalizing the original results, where the derived normal ring of an integral domain A means the integral closure of A in its field of quotients.

Theorem 1.1 (Nagata). *Let B be a noetherian domain with the property $*$). Then the following on a ring R over B are equivalent.*

- 1) *The ring R has a form $\tilde{A} \cap L$ with the derived normal ring \tilde{A} of an affine ring A over B and a function field L over B .*
- 2) *The ring R is the I -transform of the derived normal ring \tilde{C} of an affine ring C over B with an ideal I of \tilde{C} .*

The property $*$) on B is the following,

- $*$) *For every divisorial valuation ring D over B , the intersection $D \cap K$ of D*

*This work is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) 08640047 from the Ministry of Education.

and the field of quotients K of B is again a divisorial valuation ring over B unless D contains K .

Here we say that D is a divisorial valuation ring over B if D is a localization $D = \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of the derived normal ring \tilde{C} of an affine ring C over B by a height one prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of \tilde{C} .

In the proof of the theorem, the assumption $*$) is necessary only to show 2) under the condition 1) and Nagata left the following problem[4, Question 1].

Problem 1.2. What is the class of noetherian integral domains for which the condition $*$) holds?

The purpose of this note is to show that every noetherian domain has this property.

All rings are assumed to be commutative with identity. Notation and terminology in [1] and [4] are used freely.

In particular, a ring with a unique maximal ideal is called quasi-local and we say A is a unibranched local domain if A is a noetherian domain with its derived normal ring being quasi-local.

2. Main result

Lemma 2.1. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a unibranched local domain with $\dim A \geq 2$. Then for any minimal prime P of the completion \hat{A} of A , we have $\dim \hat{A}/P \geq 2$.*

Proof. The derived normal ring \tilde{A} of A is quasi-local with $\text{depth} \tilde{A} \geq 2$ in the sense that A has a regular sequence of length two on \tilde{A} . Really, if not and assuming by induction hypothesis $\text{depth} \tilde{A}_Q \geq 2$ for any non-maximal prime ideal Q of \tilde{A} such that $\text{ht} Q \geq 2$, we see easily that there exist elements a, b in \tilde{A} such that the radical of $a\tilde{A} : b\tilde{A}$ is the maximal ideal of \tilde{A} . Then we see $a/b \notin \tilde{A}$ and that a/b is integral over A , a contradiction.

On the other hand, $C = \tilde{A} \otimes_A \hat{A}$ is quasi-local with $\text{depth} C \geq 2$ because C is expressed as an inductive limit of local rings.

Now for a minimal prime P of \hat{A} , since we have $P \cap A = 0$, P corresponds to a prime ideal P' of $K \otimes_A \hat{A}$ for the field of quotients K of A . So take a decomposition $0 = I' \cap J'$ in the noetherian ring $K \otimes_A \hat{A}$ where I' is the primary component belonging to P' and J' is the intersection of the ones belonging to primes other than P' .

Put $I = I' \cap C$ and $J = J' \cap C$. Then we have a decomposition $0 = I \cap J$ in C and an exact sequence of \hat{A} -modules

$$0 \rightarrow C \rightarrow C/I \oplus C/J \rightarrow C/(I + J) \rightarrow 0.$$

If $\dim \hat{A}/P = 1$, then since P is a minimal prime and $P \not\supseteq J$ we have $\dim C/(I+J) = 0$ and $\text{Ext}_A^1(A/\mathfrak{m}, C) \neq 0$, which means $\text{depth } C = 1$, a contradiction. \square

Proposition 2.2. *Let (A, \mathfrak{m}) be a unibranch local domain with $\dim A \geq 2$ and let C be an affine ring over A . Then for any height one prime ideal P of C lying over \mathfrak{m} , we have*

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} \kappa(P) > \text{tr.deg}_K L$$

where $\kappa(P)$ and $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$ are the residue fields at P and \mathfrak{m} , L and K are the fields of quotients of C and A respectively.

Proof. For the completion \hat{A} of A , we see that $P' = P(\hat{A} \otimes_A C)$ is a height one prime ideal of $\hat{A} \otimes_A C$ by [1, Theorem 15.1] because $(\hat{A} \otimes_A C)/P' = \hat{A}/\mathfrak{m}\hat{A} \otimes_A C/P = C/P$ is an integral domain and $C \rightarrow \hat{A} \otimes_A C$ is a flat morphism. So take a minimal prime Q' of $\hat{A} \otimes_A C$ contained in P' such that $\text{ht } P'/Q' = 1$.

Put $\mathfrak{q} = Q' \cap \hat{A}$, then \mathfrak{q} is a minimal prime of \hat{A} . Really, since we have $Q' \cap C = 0$, Q' and \mathfrak{q} correspond to prime ideals of $\hat{A} \otimes_A L$ and $\hat{A} \otimes_A K$ respectively. Applying the going down theorem [1, Theorem 9.5] to the flat morphism $\hat{A} \otimes_A K \rightarrow \hat{A} \otimes_A L$, the assumption that \mathfrak{q} is not minimal leads us to a contradiction that Q' is non-minimal.

Thus we have $\dim \hat{A}/\mathfrak{q} \geq 2$ by Lemma 2.1.

Now the complete local domain \hat{A}/\mathfrak{q} is universally catenary by [1, Theorem 31.6] and we can apply dimension formula [1, Theorem 15.6] for $\hat{A}/\mathfrak{q} \rightarrow (\hat{A} \otimes C)/Q'$, we have

$$\text{ht } P'/Q' = \dim \hat{A}/\mathfrak{q} + \text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{q})} \kappa(Q') - \text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} \kappa(P).$$

Now since Q' corresponds to a minimal prime of $(\hat{A}/\mathfrak{q}) \otimes_A L$, we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{q})} \kappa(Q') = \text{tr.deg}_K L.$$

Thus we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} \kappa(P) = \text{tr.deg}_K L + \dim \hat{A}/\mathfrak{q} - 1 > \text{tr.deg}_K L. \quad \square$$

Theorem 2.3. *For any divisorial valuation ring D over a noetherian domain B , the intersection $D \cap K$ with the field of quotients K of B is again a divisorial valuation ring over B unless D contains K .*

Proof. We may assume that D does not contain K . Let \mathfrak{n} be the maximal ideal of D . Adding some elements of $D \cap K$, we have an affine ring A over B such that

$(D \cap K)/(n \cap K)$ is algebraic over A/\mathfrak{m} with $\mathfrak{m} = A \cap n$. Adding more elements if necessary, we may assume the localization $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a unibranch local domain by [2, Theorem(33.10)].

If we can prove that $\dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} = 1$, then we see that $D \cap K$ is the derived normal ring of $A_{\mathfrak{m}}$ and we finish the proof of Theorem 2.3.

So suppose, on the contrary, that $\dim A_{\mathfrak{m}} \geq 2$. Since D is divisorial over B , we have an affine ring C over A such that $D = \tilde{C}_{\tilde{P}}$ where \tilde{C} is the derived normal ring of C and \tilde{P} is a height one prime ideal of \tilde{C} . Adding some elements of \tilde{C} if necessary, we may assume C_P is a unibranch local domain with $P = n \cap C$ by [2, Theorem(33.10)]. Then we have $\text{ht} P = 1$ and $P \cap A = n \cap A = \mathfrak{m}$.

On the other hand, D is divisorial over $D \cap K$ because so is D over B , and since the dimension formula holds between discrete valuation rings $D \cap K$ and D by [1, Theorem 15.6], we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_K L = \text{tr.deg}_{(D \cap K)/(n \cap K)} D/n$$

with the field of quotients L of D .

Apply Proposition 2.2 and we have

$$\text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} \kappa(P) > \text{tr.deg}_K L = \text{tr.deg}_{(D \cap K)/(n \cap K)} D/n \geq \text{tr.deg}_{\kappa(\mathfrak{m})} \kappa(P)$$

where the last inequality holds because $(D \cap K)/(n \cap K)$ is algebraic over $\kappa(\mathfrak{m})$, a contradiction. □

Now Theorem 1.1 can be restated.

Corollary 2.4 (Nagata). *A ring R over a noetherian domain B has the form $\tilde{A} \cap L$ with the derived normal ring \tilde{A} of an affine ring A over B and with a function field L over B if and only if R is the I -transform of the derived normal ring \tilde{C} of an affine ring C over B for an ideal I of \tilde{C} .*

References

- [1] H. Matsumura: *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge University Press 1986.
- [2] M. Nagata: *Local rings*, John Wiley, New York 1962. Reprinted Krieger, Huntington, N.Y. 1975.
- [3] M. Nagata: *A treatise on the 14th problem of Hilbert*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A, Math. **30** (1956), 57–70.
- [4] M. Nagata: *On Zariski's problem concerning the 14th problem of Hilbert*, Osaka J. Math. **33** (1996), 997–1002.
- [5] D. Rees: *On a problem of Zariski*, Illinois J. Math. **2** (1958), 145–149.
- [6] O. Zariski: *Interprétations algébriques-géométriques de quatorzième problème de Hilbert*, Bull. Sci. Math. **78** (1954), 155–168.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Kochi University
Kochi, 780 Japan

