



Title	On hypersurfaces of a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n, n}(\mathbb{C})$
Author(s)	Sakane, Yusuke
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(1), p. 71-95
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4774
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

ON HYPERSURFACES OF A COMPLEX GRASSMANN MANIFOLD $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$

YUSUKE SAKANE

(Received September 22, 1977)

On Kähler submanifolds of a complex projective space, J-I Hano [5] has studied complete intersections of hypersurfaces in a complex projective space and proved that if a complete intersection M of hypersurfaces is an Einstein manifold with respect to the induced metric then M is a complex projective space or a complex quadric. The purpose of this note is to investigate hypersurfaces of a complex Grassmann manifold by using Hano's method. Let $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ denote the complex Grassmann manifold of n -planes in \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . Let X be a compact complex hypersurface of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$. Then X defines a positive divisor on $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and hence a holomorphic line bundle $\{X\}$ on $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$. We denote by $c(X)$ the Chern class of the line bundle $\{X\}$. Since the second cohomology group $H^2(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z} , we can write $c(X) = a(X) \cdot \sigma$, where $a(X) \in \mathbf{N}$ and σ is a generator of $H^2(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$. We call $a(X)$ the degree of X . We equip an hermitian inner product on \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . The complex Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ has a Kähler metric invariant under the action of the unitary group $U(m+n)$. Moreover we may assume that $m \geq n$. Under these notations, we have a following Theorem.

Theorem. *Let X be a compact complex hypersurface of a complex Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and $a(X)$ the degree of X . If $a(X) \geq r+2$, where $r = \binom{m+n}{n} - mn - 1$ and $n \geq 2$, X is not an Einstein manifold with respect to the induced metric.*

1. Preliminaries

Let $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ be the complex Grassmann manifold of n -planes in \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . An element of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ can be given by a non-zero decomposable n -vector $\Lambda = X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n \neq 0$ defined up to a constant factor. If (e_1, \dots, e_{m+n}) denotes a fixed frame in \mathbf{C}^{m+n} , we can write

$$(1.1) \quad \Lambda = \sum_i p_{i_1 \dots i_n} e_{i_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_n} \quad (1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_n \leq m+n)$$

where the $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}$'s are skew-symmetric in their indices. The $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are called the

Plücker coordinates in $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$. By considering $p_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ as the homogeneous coordinates of the complex projective space $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ of dimension $\mu = \binom{m+n}{n} - 1$, we get an imbedding $j: G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$.

We equip an hermitian inner product in \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . Then we can define a Kähler metric on $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ which is invariant under the action of the unitary group $U(m+n)$. We also have the Fubini-Study metric on the complex projective space $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ induced from the hermitian inner product in the n -th exterior product $\Lambda^n \mathbf{C}^{m+n}$ of \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . Then the imbedding j is isometric with respect to these Kähler metrics (cf. for example [3] §8).

From now on we identify $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ with the image of the imbedding j . Let $I(V)$ denote the ideal associated to a subvariety V of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. We recall the generators of the ideal $I(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$. Let i_1, \dots, i_{n-1} be $n-1$ distinct numbers which are chosen from a set $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ and let j_0, \dots, j_n be $n+1$ distinct numbers chosen from the same set. We define homogeneous polynomials $Q(i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_0 \dots j_n)$ of degree 2 on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ by

$$(1.2) \quad Q(i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_0 \dots j_n) = \sum_{\lambda=0}^n (-1)^\lambda p_{i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_\lambda} p_{j_0 \dots \hat{j}_\lambda \dots j_n}.$$

Then it is known that $Q(i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_0 \dots j_n) = 0$ are the generators of the ideal $I(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$ (See [7] Chapter 7 §6 Theorem 2 and §7 Theorem 1). The relations $Q(i_1 \dots i_{n-1} j_0 \dots j_n) = 0$ are called the quadratic p -relations.

Let π denote the canonical projection of $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0)$ onto the complex projective space $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. The triple $(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0), \pi, P^\mu(\mathbf{C}))$ is a principal \mathbf{C}^* -bundle over $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. Let E be the standard line bundle over $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ associated to the above principal bundle. We denote by $H^1(M, \theta^*)$ the group of all equivalent classes of holomorphic line bundles over a compact complex manifold M . On the line bundles over a Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$, the following propositions are known.

Proposition 1.1. *Let H denote the dual bundle of E over $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. Then, for any integer $k > 0$, the inclusion map $j: G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ induces the surjective map $j^*: H^0(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), H^k) \rightarrow H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^* H^k)$, that is, every holomorphic section of the line bundle $j^* H^k$ is given by the restriction of a section of the line bundle H^k on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$.*

Proposition 1.2. *The inclusion map $j: G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ induces the canonical isomorphism $j^*: H^1(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), \theta^*) \rightarrow H^1(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), \theta^*)$. Moreover each positive divisor X of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ is the complete intersection of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and a subvariety Y of codimension 1 of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. Furthermore, for an irreducible subvariety X of codimension 1 in $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$, $I(X) = I(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})) + (F)$ where F is an irreducible homogeneous polynomial on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$.*

Proof. See [7] chapter 14 §8 Theorem 1 and [8] Theorem 3.

For a compact connected complex submanifold X of codimension 1 in $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$, let $[X]$ denote the positive divisor defined by X and $c(X)$ the Chern class of the line bundle $\{X\}$ defined by $[X]$. Since $H^2(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$, $c(X) = a(X)\sigma$ where $a(X) \in \mathbf{N}$ and σ is a generator of $H^2(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), \mathbf{Z})$. We call $a(X)$ the *degree* of X . Note that the degree of an irreducible subvariety Y of codimension 1 of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ corresponding to X is given by $a(X)$.

2. The canonical line bundle

With respect to the hermitian inner product on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ induced from the hermitian inner product on \mathbf{C}^{m+n} , the square of the norm $\|z\|$ is given by $\sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_n} |p_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z)|^2$ for an orthonormal frame (e_1, \dots, e_{m+n}) of \mathbf{C}^{m+n} . The function $\|z\|^2$ can be regarded as a hermitian fiber metric on the standard line bundle E on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. A unique connection of type $(1, 0)$ on E is determined by the fiber metric $\|z\|^2$ on E and gives rise to the curvature form $-\Omega$ on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. The form Ω is the associated $(1, 1)$ -form of the Fubini-Study metric on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$; $\pi^* \Omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} d'd'' \log \|z\|^2$.

Let $K, K(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$ and $K(X)$ be the canonical line bundle of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$, $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and X respectively. The normal bundle of X in $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over X whose fiber dimension is $r+1=\mu-mn+1$. We denote by N the $(r+1)$ -th exterior product of the dual bundle of the normal bundle of X in $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$. Denoting by ι the inclusion $X \subset P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$, we have

$$(2.1) \quad \iota^* K = K(X) \cdot N.$$

Let $U_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ denote an open subset of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ given by $\{\pi(z) \in P^\mu(\mathbf{C}) \mid p_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z) \neq 0\}$. The functions $u_{i_1 \dots i_n, \beta_1 \dots \beta_n} = p_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_n} / p_{i_1 \dots i_n} ((\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \neq (i_1, \dots, i_n), \beta_1 < \dots < \beta_n)$ form a holomorphic coordinates system on $U_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. We arrange the Plücker coordinates in the lexicographical order. Let $p_{j_1 \dots j_n}$ be the $\sigma(j_1, \dots, j_n)$ -th component of the Plücker coordinates in above order. The map $s_{i_1 \dots i_n}: U_{i_1 \dots i_n} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0)$ defined by

$$s_{i_1 \dots i_n}(y) = (u_{i_1 \dots i_n, 12 \dots n}(y), \dots, 1, \dots, u_{i_1 \dots i_n, m+1 \dots m+n}(y)) \quad (y \in U_{i_1 \dots i_n})$$

is a holomorphic section on $U_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ of the principal \mathbf{C}^* -bundle $(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0), \pi, P^\mu(\mathbf{C}))$. We put

$$g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n} = p_{i_1 \dots i_n} / p_{j_1 \dots j_n}$$

on $U_{i_1 \dots i_n} \cap U_{j_1 \dots j_n}$. Then $(g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n})$ is the system of transition functions of the principal bundle associated to the holomorphic local trivialization $(U_{i_1 \dots i_n}, s_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ of the bundle. Let $V_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ denote the connected open set of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ given by

$$V_{i_1 \dots i_n} = U_{i_1 \dots i_n} \cap G_{m+n, n}(\mathbf{C})$$

and $W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ the open set of X given by

$$W_{i_1 \dots i_n} = U_{i_1 \dots i_n} \cap X.$$

Now we shall consider the structure of the holomorphic line bundle N on X . Let $Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree 2 on \mathbf{C}^{n+1} defined by (1.2). It is obvious that $Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n)$ has following properties:

(2.2) $\begin{cases} 1) & Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n) \text{ is alternating with respect to } \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}. \\ 2) & Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n) \text{ is alternating with respect to } \beta_n, i_1, \dots, i_n. \\ 3) & \text{if } \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}\} \subset \{\beta_n, i_1, \dots, i_n\}, Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n) \equiv 0. \end{cases}$

Furthermore we have a following lemma which gives the relations between these polynomials.

Lemma 2.1. *On $\pi^{-1}(U_{i_1 \dots i_n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} (a) \quad & Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} k i_1 \dots i_n) = -Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-2} k \beta_{n-1} i_1 \dots i_n) \\ & + \sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^{a+n-1} \frac{p_{\beta_{n-1} i_1 \dots \hat{i}_a \dots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} Q(i_a \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-2} k i_1 \dots i_n) \\ & + \sum_{b=1}^n (-1)^{b+n-1} \frac{p_{k i_1 \dots \hat{i}_b \dots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} Q(i_b \beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} i_1 \dots i_n) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (b) \quad & Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_{j-1} i_{j+1} \dots i_n k) \\ & = \frac{p_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_n k}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_n i_1 \dots i_n) \\ & + \sum_{a \neq j} (-1)^a \frac{p_{\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} i_a}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} Q(\beta_n i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots \hat{i}_a \dots i_n k i_1 \dots i_n) \\ & + (-1)^n \frac{p_{\beta_n i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} Q(\beta_1 \dots \beta_{n-1} k i_1 \dots i_n). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Straightforward computation.

Let (i_1, \dots, i_n) be an n -tuples such that

$$1 \leqq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_n \leqq m+n$$

and let $(i_1, \dots, i_n, s_1, \dots, s_m)$ be the permutation of $(1, \dots, m+n)$ such that

$$1 \leqq s_1 < \dots < s_m \leqq m+n.$$

For a permutation (l_1, \dots, l_m) of $(1, \dots, m)$, we introduce a linear order \preceq on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ by $i_1 \preceq i_2 \preceq \dots \preceq i_n \preceq s_{l_1} \preceq \dots \preceq s_{l_m}$. We denote $\{\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \mid \beta_1 \preceq$

$\cdots \beta \beta_n\}$ by $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$. The associated lexicographical order on $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$ is called an admissible order with respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) . If the linear order β on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ is given by $i_1 \beta \cdots \beta i_n \beta s_1 \beta \cdots \beta s_m$, the admissible order is called principal with respect to $\{i_1, \dots, i_n\}$. For an admissible order with respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) , we define a subset $I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$ of $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$ by

$$\left\{ \beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta = (i_1, \dots, \hat{i}_l, \dots, i_n, s_l), \quad l = 1, \dots, n; \\ t = 1, \dots, m, \quad \text{or} \quad \beta = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Note that $I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta) = I(i_1, \dots, i_n, \beta')$ for β, β' admissible orders, with respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) and the number of elements in $I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$ is $mn+1$. Moreover $Q(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n) \equiv 0$ for $\beta \in I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$ by (2.2) 3).

For an admissible order β with respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) , we define a holomorphic r -form $\tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^\beta$ on \mathbf{C}^{n+1} by

$$(2.3) \quad \tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^\beta = \bigwedge_{\beta \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)} dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n)$$

where we take the exterior product of $dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n)$ according to the admissible order β on $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$. If the admissible order β is principal, we denote $\tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^\beta$ by $\tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let β, β' be admissible orders with respect to (i_1, \dots, i_n) . Then we have*

$$(2.4) \quad \tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{\beta'}(z) = \varepsilon(\beta, \beta') \tilde{q}_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{\beta}(z)$$

for $z \in \pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$, where $\varepsilon(\beta, \beta') \in \{\pm 1\}$.

Proof. Let β be a linear order on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ given by $i_1 \beta \cdots \beta i_n \beta s_{l_1} \cdots \beta s_{l_m}$. Since the symmetric group of m elements is generated by transpositions $\{(k, k+1) \mid k=1, \dots, m-1\}$, we may assume that the admissible order β' is given by a linear order

$$i_1 \beta' \cdots \beta' i_n \beta' s_{l_1} \beta' \cdots \beta' s_{l_{k-1}} \beta' s_{l_{k+1}} \beta' s_{l_k} \beta' s_{l_{k+2}} \beta' \cdots \beta' s_{l_m}.$$

Let β be an element of $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta') - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta')$. Then β is of the form either

- 1) $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $\beta_t \neq s_{l_k}$, $s_{l_{k+1}}$ for any $t=1, \dots, n$,
- 2) $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $\beta_t = s_{l_k}$ for some t and $\beta_a \neq s_{l_{k+1}}$ for $a \neq t$,
- 3) $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $\beta_t = s_{l_{k+1}}$ for some t and $\beta_a \neq s_{l_k}$ for $a \neq t$,
- 4) $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$, $\beta_t = s_{l_{k+1}}$, $\beta_{t+1} = s_{l_k}$ for some $t+1 < n$,

or 5) $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, s_{l_{k+1}}, s_{l_k})$.

In the cases of 1), 2) and 3), $\beta \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \beta)$. In the case of 4), $Q(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n) = Q(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{t-1} s_{l_k} \beta_{t+2} \cdots \beta_n i_1 \cdots i_n) = -Q(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{t-1} s_{l_k} s_{l_{k+1}} \beta_{t+2} \cdots \beta_n i_1 \cdots i_n)$.

i_n) by (2.2) 1). Note that $(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{t-1} s_{l_k} s_{l_{k+1}} \beta_{t+2} \cdots \beta_n) \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$. In the case of 5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_{k+1}} s_{l_k} i_1 \cdots i_n) &= -Q(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_k} s_{l_{k-1}} i_1 \cdots i_n) \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^n (-1)^{a+n-1} \frac{p_{s(l(k+1))i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_a \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_k} i_1 \cdots i_n) \\ &+ \sum_{b=1}^n (-1)^{b+n-1} \frac{p_{s(l(k))i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_b \cdots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_b \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_{k+1}} i_1 \cdots i_n) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1 (a). Note that $(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}, s_{l_k}, s_{l_{k+1}}) \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \cdots) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$, $i_a \ominus s_{l_k}$ and $i_b \ominus s_{l_{k+1}}$. By (2.2) 1), $Q(i_a \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_k} i_1 \cdots i_n) = Q(\beta'_1 \cdots \beta'_{n-1} s_{l_k} i_1 \cdots i_n)$ where $\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1}$ is a permutation of $i_a, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}$ such that $\beta'_1 \ominus \cdots \ominus \beta'_{n-1} \ominus s_{l_k}$. If $Q(i_a \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_k} i_1 \cdots i_n) \neq 0$, then $(\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1} s_{l_k}) \in C(i_1, \dots, i_n, \cdots) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$ and $\beta = (\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1}, s_{l_k})$ is of the form of the case 2). Similarly, $Q(i_b \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_{k+1}} i_1 \cdots i_n) = \pm Q(\beta'_1 \cdots \beta'_{n-1} s_{l_{k+1}} i_1 \cdots i_n)$ where $\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1}$ is a permutation of $i_b, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-2}$ such that $\beta'_1 \ominus \cdots \ominus \beta'_{n-1} \ominus s_{l_{k+1}}$. If $Q(i_b \beta_1 \cdots \beta_{n-2} s_{l_{k+1}} i_1 \cdots i_n) \neq 0$, then $(\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1}, s_{l_{k+1}}) \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$ and $\beta = (\beta'_1, \dots, \beta'_{n-1}, s_{l_{k+1}})$ is of the form of the case 3). Now we get our claim by taking differential.

q.e.d.

Let $(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j s_1 \cdots s_m)$ be a permutation of $(1 \cdots m+n)$. We define a linear order \triangleleft on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ by $i_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \hat{i}_j \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft i_n \triangleleft i_j \triangleleft s_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft s_m$. We define a set $C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)$ by $\{\beta = (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n) \mid \beta_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft \beta_n\}$ and a subset $I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)$ of $C(i_1 \cdots i_k \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)$ by

$$\left\{ \beta \in C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l \cdots i_n i_j s_t) \\ \text{or } \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_t) \\ t = 1, \dots, m; l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n \\ \text{or } \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.3. $\bigwedge_{\beta \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \triangleleft) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \triangleleft)} dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n) = \varepsilon(i_1 \cdots i_n, i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$
 $\times \bigwedge_{\gamma \in C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft) - I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)} dQ(\gamma i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$ on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$, where $\varepsilon(i_1 \cdots i_n, i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \in \{\pm 1\}$ and the exterior product is taken according to the lexicographical order induced from the linear order \triangleleft .

Proof. Note that there is a natural bijection between $C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$ and $C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft) - I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)$. We denote this map by

$$f: C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) \rightarrow C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft) - I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft).$$

Then, for $\beta \in C(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus) - I(i_1 \cdots i_n, \ominus)$, $Q(\beta i_1 \cdots i_n)$ and $Q(f(\beta) i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$ coincide up to sign by (2.2) 1) and 2). q.e.d.

Let $(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k i_j s_1 \cdots \hat{s}_k \cdots s_m)$ be a permutation of $(1, \dots, m+n)$. We define a linear order \prec on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ by

$$i_1 \prec \cdots \prec i_j \cdots \prec i_n \prec s_k \prec i_j \prec s_1 \prec \cdots \prec \hat{s}_k \prec \cdots \prec s_m.$$

We define a set $C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec)$ by $\{\beta = (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n) \mid \beta_1 \prec \cdots \prec \beta_n\}$ and a subset $I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec)$ by

$$\left\{ \beta \in C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_k s_t), \\ \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_k i_j), \\ \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_i), \\ \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \quad \text{or} \\ \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) \\ t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m, \\ l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, m, \end{array} \right\}.$$

Lemma 2.4. For $l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n, t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m, Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n i_j s_t i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) = (-1)^{j+n} Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_t s_k i_1 \cdots i_n) = (-1)^{j+n+1} Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_k s_t i_1 \cdots i_n)$.

Proof. The first part is nothing but Lemmas 2.1 (b). Noting that only three terms of Q are non trivial in our case, we get the second part by the definition. q.e.d.

Now we define a linear order \triangleleft' on $\{1, \dots, m+n\}$ by $i_1 \triangleleft' \cdots \triangleleft' \hat{i}_j \triangleleft' \cdots \triangleleft' i_n \triangleleft' \hat{i}_j \triangleleft' s_k \triangleleft' s_1 \triangleleft' \cdots \triangleleft' \hat{s}_k \triangleleft' \cdots \triangleleft' s_m$.

We define a set $C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft')$ by $\{\beta = (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_n) \mid \beta_1 \triangleleft' \cdots \triangleleft' \beta_n\}$ and a subset $I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft')$ of $C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft')$ by $I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft)$. We put

$$V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \prec) = C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) - I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec)$$

and

$$V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft') = C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft') - I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft').$$

Let $\tilde{h} : \{(1, \dots, m+n\}, \prec\} \rightarrow \{(1, \dots, m+n\}, \triangleleft'\}$ be an order preserving bijection defined by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tilde{h}(i) = i \quad \text{for } i \neq i_j, s_k \\ \tilde{h}(i_j) = s_k \\ \tilde{h}(s_k) = i_j. \end{array} \right.$$

Then \tilde{h} induces order preserving bijections

$$h : C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) \rightarrow C(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft')$$

and

$$h: I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) \rightarrow I(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft').$$

Hence, we have an order preserving bijection

$$h: V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) \rightarrow V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft').$$

Proposition 2.5. *On $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigwedge_{\gamma \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec)} dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) \\ &= \varepsilon(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \left(\frac{p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} \right)^t \bigwedge_{\gamma \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j, \triangleleft')} dQ(\gamma i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \end{aligned}$$

where $\varepsilon(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$ is constant and valued in $\{\pm 1\}$, and $t = r - (n-1)(m-1)$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n i_j s_l i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) = \pm Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_k s_l i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$$

for $l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n$, $t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m$. In other words, for

$$\begin{aligned} \beta &= (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l \cdots i_n i_j s_t) \quad (l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n; t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m) \\ Q(\beta i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) &= \pm Q(h(\beta) i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j). \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) \\ = \left\{ \beta \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l \cdots i_n i_j s_t) \\ l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n; t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m \end{array} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\ = \left\{ \beta \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \triangleleft') \mid \begin{array}{l} \beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l \cdots i_n s_k s_t) \\ l = 1, \dots, j, \dots, n; t = 1, \dots, \hat{k}, \dots, m \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Obviously $h(S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k)) = S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$. Now we claim that on $\pi^{-1}(U_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad Q(\beta i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) &= \pm \frac{p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(h(\beta) i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in h(\beta) \\ \neq \pm}} P_\gamma \left(\cdots \frac{p_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} \cdots \right) Q(\gamma i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j), \end{aligned}$$

where $P_\gamma \left(\cdots \frac{p_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} \cdots \right)$ denotes a polynomial of $\frac{p_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}}$, for each

$$\beta \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) - S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k).$$

Case 1. $\beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_{l-1} \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\mu_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q})$ where $l = 1, \dots, j, \dots, n-1$, $l < \alpha_a$ ($a = 1, \dots, t$), $\mu_b \neq k$ ($b = 1, \dots, q$).

By Lemma 2.1 (b) and (2.2) 1) 2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.6) \quad & Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_{l-1} \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) \\
 &= (-1)^{n-j+1} \frac{p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} i_j s_k s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\
 &+ \sum_{a \neq j} (-1)^{a+n-j} \frac{p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_a i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_a}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_1}} Q(s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_n s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\
 &+ (-1)^j \frac{p_{s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that $p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l \cdots i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_a} \neq 0$ if and only if $a \geqq l$ and $a \neq \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t$. By (2.2) 1) and Lemma 2.4, we also have

$$Q(s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_n s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) = \pm Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_a \cdots i_n s_k s_{\mu_q} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j).$$

Put

$$\gamma = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_n s_k s_{\mu_q}).$$

Then

$$\gamma \trianglelefteq' (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} i_j s_k s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q})$$

for $a \geqq l$.

By Lemma 2.1 (a) and (2.2) 2),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.7) \quad & Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\
 &= -Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-2}} s_k s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\
 &+ \sum_a (-1)^{a+n-1} \frac{p_{s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots i_a \cdots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_a i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-2}} s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\
 &+ \sum_b (-1)^{b+n-1} \frac{p_{s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_b \cdots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} Q(i_b i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \equiv 0 \quad (t+l = n-1),$$

$$Q(i_b i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots \hat{i}_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \equiv 0 \quad (t+l = n-1)$$

and

$$Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-2}} s_k s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \equiv 0$$

if $q \geq 2$. Thus the first term in the right hand side of (2.7) is identically zero. Obviously

$$\begin{aligned} & Q(i_b i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\ &= -Q(i_b i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} i_j s_k s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \end{aligned}$$

by (2.2) 1). Inductively we get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.8) \quad & Q(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_{q-1}} s_k i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in h(\beta) \\ \neq}} P_{\gamma} \left(\cdots, \frac{p_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}}, \cdots \right) Q(\gamma i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \end{aligned}$$

for some polynomial functions P_{γ} . Hence we get our claim (2.5) in this case. By the same way, we can show our claim in the following cases:

Case 2. $\beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_k s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q})$
 $l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n-1, t \geq 0, q \geq 2, l < \alpha_a \neq j$
 $(a = 1, \dots, t) \mu_b \neq k (b = 1, \dots, q)$.

Case 3. $\beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} i_j s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q})$
 $l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n-1, t \geq 0, q \geq 2, l < \alpha_a \neq j \quad (a = 1, \dots, t)$
 $\mu_b \neq k (b = 1, \dots, q)$.

Case 4. $\beta = (i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_l i_{\alpha_1} \cdots i_{\alpha_t} s_{\mu_1} \cdots s_{\mu_q})$
 $l = 1, \dots, \hat{j}, \dots, n, t \geq 0, q \geq 2, l < \alpha_a \neq j \quad (a = 1, \dots, t)$
 $\mu_b \neq k (b = 1, \dots, q)$.

Hence, on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$, we have

$$dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) = \pm dQ(h(\beta) i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j)$$

for $\beta \in S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} dQ(\beta i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k) &= \pm \frac{p_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}} dQ(h(\beta) i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in h(\beta) \\ \neq}} P_{\gamma} \left(\cdots, \frac{p_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_n}}{p_{i_1 \cdots i_n}}, \cdots \right) dQ(\gamma i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n i_j) \end{aligned}$$

for $\beta \in V(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k, \prec) - S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k)$.

Since h is order preserving and the number of elements in $S(i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_j \cdots i_n s_k)$ is $(n-1)(m-1)$, we get Proposition 2.5. q.e.d.

Proposition 2.6. *For n -tuples $(i_1, \dots, i_n), (j_1, \dots, j_n)$ ($1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m+n$,*

$1 \leq j_1 < \dots < j_n \leq m+n$,

$$\tilde{q}_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \varepsilon(j_1 \dots j_n, i_1 \dots i_n) \left(\frac{p_{j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \right)^t \tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}$$

on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n})$, where $\varepsilon(j_1 \dots j_n, i_1 \dots i_n)$ is constant and valued in $\{\pm 1\}$.

Proof. It is enough to see that for n -tuples (i_1, \dots, i_n) and $(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n)$ ($1 \leq i_1 < \dots < \hat{i}_j < i_l < s_k < i_{l+1} < \dots < i_n \leq m+n$)

$$(2.9) \quad \tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n} = \varepsilon(i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n, i_1 \dots i_n) \left(\frac{p_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \right)^t \tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}$$

on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n})$.

By Lemma 2.2, 2.3 and Proposition 2.5, the equality (2.9) holds on

$$\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n}) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n}).$$

Since $\tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots \hat{i}_j \dots i_l s_k i_{l+1} \dots i_n}$ and $\tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ are holomorphic forms on \mathbf{C}^{m+1} , the equality (2.9) holds on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n})$. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.7. *For n -tuples $(i_1 \dots i_n)$, $(j_1 \dots j_n)$, $(k_1 \dots k_n)$, $\varepsilon(i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n) \varepsilon(j_1 \dots j_n, k_1 \dots k_n) \varepsilon(k_1 \dots k_n, i_1 \dots i_n) = 1$ on $V_{i_1 \dots i_n} \cap V_{j_1 \dots j_n} \cap V_{k_1 \dots k_n}$.*

Proof. Since

$$\tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z) = (p_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z))^r \bigwedge_{\beta \in \sigma(i_1 \dots i_n, \oplus) - \sigma(i_1 \dots i_n, \ominus)} (dp_\beta)_z + \text{other terms},$$

$$\tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}(z) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } z \in \pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n}).$$

By Proposition 2.6, we get

$$\varepsilon(i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n) \varepsilon(j_1 \dots j_n, k_1 \dots k_n) \varepsilon(k_1 \dots k_n, i_1 \dots i_n) = 1$$

on $\pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n}) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_{j_1 \dots j_n}) \cap \pi^{-1}(V_{k_1 \dots k_n})$. Since $\varepsilon(i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n)$ is constant, we get our claim. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.8 (Principle of monodromy). *Let G be an abelian group and M a simply connected manifold. Let $\mathfrak{U} = \{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ be an open covering of M such that each U_α is connected. Then $H^1(\mathfrak{U}, G) = (0)$.*

Proof. See Weil [12] Chap. 5 Lemma 1.

Applying Lemma 2.8, for the complex Grassmann manifold $G_{m+n, n}(\mathbf{C})$ and the system of transition functions $\{\varepsilon(i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n)\}$, we get a system of constant functions $\{\delta(i_1 \dots i_n)\}$ ($\delta(i_1 \dots i_n): V_{i_1 \dots i_n} \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$) such that $\varepsilon(i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n) = \delta(j_1 \dots j_n)^{-1} \delta(i_1 \dots i_n)$. We put $q_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \delta(i_1 \dots i_n) \tilde{q}_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. Then, by Proposition 2.6, we have

$$(2.10) \quad q_{j_1 \dots j_n} = \left(\frac{p_{j_1 \dots j_n}}{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}} \right)^t q_{i_1 \dots i_n} \quad \text{on} \quad \pi^{-1}(V_{i_1 \dots i_n}).$$

By Proposition 1.2, a compact complex hypersurface X of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ is the complete intersection of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and an irreducible subvariety Y of codimension 1 in $P^{\mu}(\mathbf{C})$. Let (F) denote the homogeneous ideal associated to Y . Note that the degree of homogeneous polynomial F on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ is the degree of X and $W_{i_1 \dots i_n} = \{\pi(z) \in V_{i_1 \dots i_n} \mid F(z) = 0\}$.

Lemma 2.9. *On $\pi^{-1}(W_{i_1 \dots i_n})$, $q_{i_1 \dots i_n} \wedge dF \neq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that there is a point $z_0 \in \pi^{-1}(W_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ such that $(q_{i_1 \dots i_n} \wedge dF)_{z_0} = 0$. Since $\pi^{-1}(X)$ is a complex submanifold of $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0)$, there are an open neighborhood U of z_0 in $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} - (0)$ and holomorphic functions f_j ($j = 1, \dots, r+1$) such that $U \cap \pi^{-1}(X) = \{z \in U \mid f_j(z) = 0, j = 1, \dots, r+1\}$ and $(df_j)_z$ ($j = 1, \dots, r+1$) are linearly independent for $z \in U \cap \pi^{-1}(X)$. By the Nullstellensatz for prime ideals ([4] chap. 2A Theorem 7),

$$f_j = \sum_{\alpha} q_{j\alpha} Q_{\alpha} + h_j F$$

where $q_{j\alpha}, h_j$ are holomorphic functions on U and Q_{α} are generators of the ideal $I(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$. Thus we have

$$(df_j)_{z_0} = \sum_{\alpha} q_{j\alpha}(z_0) (dQ_{\alpha})_{z_0} + h_j(z_0) (dF)_{z_0}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 a) and b) and (2.2), we see that for each Q_{α}

$$(dQ_{\alpha})_{z_0} = \sum_{\gamma \in V_{(i_1 \dots i_n, -\alpha)}} C_{\alpha}(\gamma) (dQ(\gamma i_1 \dots i_n))_{z_0}$$

for some $C_{\alpha}(\gamma) \in \mathbf{C}$. Hence, $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{r+1} (df_j)_{z_0} = c (q_{i_1 \dots i_n} \wedge dF)_{z_0}$ for some $c \in \mathbf{C}$ and hence $\bigwedge_{j=1}^{r+1} (df_j)_{z_0} = 0$. This is a contradiction. q.e.d.

We define a local holomorphic section $t_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ of the line bundle N on $W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ by

$$(2.11) \quad t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) = (s_{i_1 \dots i_n})^* (q_{i_1 \dots i_n} \wedge dF)_x$$

for $x \in W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$.

Lemma 2.10. *The system of transition functions associated to the local trivialization $(W_{i_1 \dots i_n}, t_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ of the line bundle N is $(\iota^* g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}^{2r+a-t})$, where a is the degree of X . In particular, $N = \iota^* E^{2r+a-t}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, we have $t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$. Since $Q(\beta i_1 \dots i_n)$ are of degree 2 and F is of degree a ,

$$\begin{aligned} t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) &= \left(\frac{p_{i_1 \dots i_n}}{p_{j_1 \dots j_n}}(x) \right)^{-t+2r+a} t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \\ &= (\iota^* g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}(x))^{2r+a-t} t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \end{aligned}$$

on $W_{i_1 \dots i_n} \cap W_{j_1 \dots j_n}$, by (2.10). q.e.d.

The canonical line bundle K of $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$, the holomorphic line bundle of co-vectors of bi-degree $(\mu, 0)$ on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$, is isomorphic to $E^{\mu+1}$. By (2.1) and Lemma 2.10,

$$(2.12) \quad K(X) = \iota^* E^{m+n-a},$$

since $t=r-(n-1)(m-1)$.

REMARK. Let $j: G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ be the inclusion. Then $K(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})) = \iota^* E^{m+n}$ ([1] §16). Let X be a compact complex submanifold of codimension 1 in $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and $\iota_0: X \rightarrow G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ the inclusion. Then $K(X) = (j \circ \iota_0)^* E^{m+n-a}$, by considering the normal bundle $N(X, G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$ of X in $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ and by Proposition 1.2.

The first Chern class of X , which is the Chern class of the dual bundle $K(X)^*$ of $K(X)$, is the cohomology class containing the form $(m+n-a)\omega$, where $\omega = \iota^* \Omega$ is the Kähler form on X associated to the induced Kähler metric on X . We shall determine a local section $k_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ of $K(X)^*$ on each $W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ so that the system of transition functions associated to the local trivialization $(W_{i_1 \dots i_n}, k_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ is $(\iota^* g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}^{a-(m+n)})$. We put

$$(2.13) \quad l_{i_1 \dots i_n} = (-1)^{\sigma(i_1 \dots i_n)-1} \bigwedge_{(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n) \neq (i_1 \dots i_n)} \partial / \partial u_{i_1 \dots i_n, \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$$

on $U_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, where we take the exterior product of $\partial / \partial u_{i_1 \dots i_n, \alpha_1 \dots \alpha_n}$ according to the natural lexicographical order. Then $(U_{i_1 \dots i_n}, l_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ is the local trivialization of the holomorphic line bundle K on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ and the system of transition functions is $(g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}^{a-(m+n)})$.

Lemma 2.11. *Let $k_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ be a local holomorphic section of $K(X)^*$ on $W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$ defined by*

$$(2.14) \quad k_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) = l_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x) \llcorner t_{i_1 \dots i_n}(x)$$

for $x \in W_{i_1 \dots i_n}$, where \llcorner denotes the right interior multiplication. Then the system of transition functions associated to the local trivialization $(W_{i_1 \dots i_n}, k_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ of $K(X)^$ is $(\iota^* g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}^{a-(m+n)})$.*

Proof. By (2.1) and Lemma 2.10, $(k_{i_1 \dots i_n}, W_{i_1 \dots i_n})$ is a local trivialization of $K(X)^*$ and the system of transition functions is $(\iota^* g_{i_1 \dots i_n, j_1 \dots j_n}^{a-(m+n)})^{-1}$. Since $-(\mu+1)+2r+a-t=a-(m+n)$, we get our claim. q.e.d.

3. The relation between volumes

Let C_n denote the set $\{(i_1, \dots, i_n) \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_n \leq m+n\}$. For an element $i = (i_1, \dots, i_n) \in C_n$, we put

$$(3.1) \quad q_i = \sum H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i dp_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp_{\lambda_r}$$

where the summation runs over all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in \underbrace{C_n \times \dots \times C_n}_r$ such that $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_r$ with respect to the lexicographical order $<$ on C_n . Note that $H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree r .

Proposition 3.1. *There exist homogeneous polynomials $H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}$ of degree $(n-1)(m-1)$ on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ such that*

$$(3.2) \quad H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i = p_i^r H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r} \quad \text{on } \pi^{-1}(V_i) \quad \text{for each } i \in C_n.$$

Proof. By (2.10), we have

$$(3.3) \quad H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i = \left(\frac{p_i}{p_j} \right)^r H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^j$$

on $\pi^{-1}(V_i \cap V_j)$ for each $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r)$. Thus we get

$$(3.4) \quad \frac{H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i}{p_i^r} = \left(\frac{p_j}{p_i} \right)^{(n-1)(m-1)} \frac{H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^j}{p_j^r}$$

On $V_i \cap V_j$. Hence, $\{H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i / p_i^r\}_{i \in C_n}$ define a holomorphic section of the line bundle $j^* H^{(n-1)(m-1)}$. Note that a holomorphic section of line bundle $H^{(n-1)(m-1)}$ on $P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ is nothing but a homogeneous polynomial of degree $(n-1)(m-1)$ on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. By Proposition 1.1, there is a homogeneous polynomial $H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}$ of degree $(n-1)(m-1)$ on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ such that

$$\frac{H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}}{p_i^{(n-1)(m-1)}} = \frac{H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r}^i}{p_i^r} \quad \text{on } V_i.$$

Thus we get (3.2). q.e.d.

Now we have

$$(3.5) \quad q_i = p_i^r \sum H_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_r} dp_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp_{\lambda_r}$$

on $\pi^{-1}(V_i)$ for each $i \in C_n$, and hence

$$(3.6) \quad q_i \wedge dF = p_i^r \sum G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}} dp_{\lambda_1} \wedge \dots \wedge dp_{\lambda_{r+1}}$$

on $\pi^{-1}(W_i)$, where $G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}} (\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{r+1})$ are homogeneous polynomials of degree $(n-1)(m-1) + (a-1)$.

For homogeneous polynomials P_1, \dots, P_s on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$, we put

$$dP_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dP_s = \sum P_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_s} dp_{\lambda_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge dp_{\lambda_s}$$

where the summation runs over all $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_s) \in \underbrace{C_n \times \cdots \times C_n}_s$ such that $\lambda_1 < \cdots < \lambda_s$

with respect to the lexicographical order $<$ on C_n , and we define

$$(3.7) \quad \|dP_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dP_s\|^2(z) = \sum |P_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_s}(z)|^2$$

for $z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. Then we have

$$(3.8) \quad \|q_i \wedge dF\|^2(z) = |p_i(z)|^{2t} \sum |G_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}}(z)|^2$$

for $z \in \pi^{-1}(W_i)$.

Now we can define a C^∞ -function $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ by

$$(3.9) \quad \varphi(x) = \frac{\|q_i \wedge dF\|^2(z)}{|p_i(z)|^{2t} |z|^{2((n-1)(m-1)+(a-1))}}$$

where $z \in \pi^{-1}(x)$.

Note that $\varphi(x) = (\sum |G_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}}(z)|^2) / \|z\|^{2((n-1)(m-1)+(a-1))}$ for $z \in \pi^{-1}(x)$, $x \in X$.

Since the dual bundle $K(X)^*$ of the canonical line bundle $K(X)$ is the line bundle of $(mn-1)$ vectors of bi-degree $(mn-1, 0)$, the set of hermitian fiber metrics on $K(X)^*$ and the set of positive volume elements on X are canonically in one to one correspondence. Let \mathbf{v} denote the volume element on X corresponding to the fiber metric $\iota^* \|z\|^{2(a-(m+n))}$ on $K(X)^*$. Then the curvature form of the connection determined by the fiber metric $\iota^* \|z\|^{2(a-(n+m))}$ is $(m+n-a)\omega$, where $\omega = \iota^* \Omega$ is the Kähler form of the induced metric on X .

Now the relation between two volume elements ω^{mn-1} and \mathbf{v} is given by the following Proposition.

Proposition 3.2. *Let φ be a C^∞ -function on X defined by (3.9). Then*

$$(3.10) \quad \omega^{mn-1} = \frac{(mn-1)!}{(2\pi)^{mn-1}} \varphi \mathbf{v} \quad \text{on } X.$$

We need several lemmas to prove Proposition 3.2. Note that the norm defined by (3.7) does not depend on the choice of unitary cartesian coordinates on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. That is, for a unitary matrix $A \in U(\mu+1)$ and homogeneous polynomials P_j , we put $P'_j(w) = P_j(A^{-1}w)$ for $w \in \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. Then

$$(3.11) \quad \|dp_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dp_s\|^2(z) = \|dp'_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dp'_s\|^2(w)$$

for $w = Az$, $z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$.

In order to prove Proposition 3.2, it suffices to verify (3.10) at an arbitrary point $x_0 \in X$. Fix a point $x_0 \in X$ and let z_0 denote an element of $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ such that

$\|z_0\|=1$ and $\pi(z_0)=x_0$. For an element $A \in U(\mu+1)$, let p'_i denote $p'_i = \sum_j A_j p_j$, where $A = (A_i)$, and put $w = (\dots, p'_i, \dots)$. For a homogeneous polynomial P of degree k on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$, put $P'(w) = P(A^{-1}w)$, $P'_{i_0}(w) = P'(w)/(p'_{i_0})^k$, where $i_0 = (1, \dots, n) \in C_n$ and put $u'_{i_0, \lambda}(x) = p'_\lambda(z)/p'_{i_0}(z)$ ($z \in \pi^{-1}(x)$). $\lambda \in C_n$, ($\lambda \neq i_0$).

Lemma 3.3. *If $x_0 \in W$, ($i \in C_n$), there is an element $A \in U(\mu+1)$ such that $p'_{i_0}(z_0) = 1$, $p'_j(z_0) = 0$ for $j \in C_n$, $j \neq i_0$ and $(dQ'(\beta, i)_{i_0})_{x_0}$ ($\beta \in C(i, \neg)$) — $I(i, \neg)$) (where the order is principal with respect to i), $(dF'_{i_0})_{x_0}$ are linear combination of*

$$(du'_{i_0, \lambda})_{x_0} \quad (\lambda \in C(i_0, \neg) — I(i_0, \neg)), \quad (du'_{i_0, 12 \dots n-1 n+1})_{x_0}.$$

Proof. By a routine computation of linear algebra.

Now we put $p_j = \sum_j B_j^k p'_k$ and $C_\nu^\lambda = (\partial u_{i, \lambda} / \partial u'_{i_0, \nu})(x_0)$.

Lemma 3.4.

$$(3.12) \quad C_\nu^\lambda = (B_i^{i_0})^{-2} (B_\nu^\lambda B_i^{i_0} — B_i^\nu B_\lambda^{i_0})$$

for $\lambda \neq i$, $\nu \neq i_0$, $\lambda, \nu \in C_n$

Proof. Straightforward computation.

Let $J(i_0, \neg)$ denote $I(i_0, \neg) — \{i_0, (12 \dots n-1 n+1)\}$. We put $J(i_0, \neg) = \{\nu_1, \dots, \nu_{mn-1}\}$ with $\nu_k < \nu_{k+1}$ ($k = 1, \dots, mn-2$), $C(i, \neg) — I(i, \neg) = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r\}$ with $\beta_l < \beta_{l+1}$ ($l = 1, \dots, r-1$) and $C(i_0, \neg) — I(i_0, \neg) = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r\}$ with $\lambda_s < \lambda_{s+1}$ ($s = 1, \dots, r-1$).

Lemma 3.5. *Let k , be the holomorphic section of $K(X)^*$ on W , defined in Lemma 2.12. Then, at $x_0 \in W$,*

$$(3.13) \quad \begin{aligned} k_i(x_0) &= (-1)^{\tau(i)-1} \cdot \delta(i) \cdot [\det(C_\nu^\lambda)]^{-1} \\ &\times \left(\frac{p'_{i_0}(x_0)}{p_i} \right)^{2r+a} \cdot \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0, 12 \dots n-1 n+1}, u'_{i_0, \lambda_1}, \dots, u'_{i_0, \lambda_r})}(x_0) \\ &\times (\partial/\partial u'_{i_0, \nu_1} \wedge \dots \wedge \partial/\partial u'_{i_0, \nu_{mn-1}})_{x_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For a homogeneous polynomial P of degree k on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$, put $P_i = P/(p_i)^k$ on U_i . By the definition,

$$t_i(x_0) = \delta(i) s_i^*(dQ(\beta_1, i) \wedge \dots \wedge dQ(\beta_r, i) \wedge dF_i)_{x_0}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} t_i(x_0) &= \delta(i) (dQ(\beta_1, i) \wedge \dots \wedge dQ(\beta_r, i) \wedge dF_i)_{x_0} \\ &= \delta(i) (p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)^{2r+a} (dQ'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0} \wedge \dots \wedge dQ'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0} \wedge dF'_{i_0})_{x_0} \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\det(C_v^\lambda) \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in C_i, \beta \in \{i\}}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i,\alpha}} \right)_{x_0} = \left(\bigwedge_{\beta \in C_{i_0}, \alpha \in \{i_0\}}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_{i_0,\beta}} \right)_{x_0}$$

By the definition of k_i ,

$$\begin{aligned} k_i(x_0) &= (-1)^{\sigma(i)-1} \delta(i) \cdot [\det(C_v^\lambda)]^{-1} (p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)^{2r+a} \\ &\quad \times \left(\bigwedge_{\beta \in C_{i_0}, \alpha \in \{i_0\}}^{\beta} \frac{\partial}{\partial u'_{i_0,\beta}} \right)_{x_0} \lrcorner (dQ'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0} \wedge \cdots \wedge dQ'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0} \wedge dF'_{i_0})_{x_0} \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.3, we get (3.13). q.e.d.

Now the local expression of the volume element v at x_0 is given by the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.6.

$$\begin{aligned} (3.14) \quad v_{x_0} &= (\sqrt{-1})^{(mn-1)^2} |\det(C_v^\lambda)|^2 \cdot |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{-2(m+n+2r)} \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0,12 \dots n-1n+1}, u'_{i_0,\lambda_1}, \dots, u'_{i_0,\lambda_r})} (x_0) \right|^{-2} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0} \end{aligned}$$

where $(d\eta')_{x_0} = (du'_{i_0,\nu_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge du'_{i_0,\nu_{mn-1}})_{x_0}$

Proof. By the definition, v is the volume element on X corresponding to the fiber metric $\iota^*|z|^{2(a-(m+n))}$ on $K(X)^*$. Note that

$$1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \neq i \\ \alpha \in C_n}} |(p_\alpha/p_i)(x_0)|^2 = |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^2.$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} T_i(x_0) &= (-1)^{\sigma(i)-1} \delta(i) \cdot [\det(C_v^\lambda)]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times (p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)^{2r+a} \cdot \left| \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0,12 \dots n-1n+1}, u'_{i_0,\lambda_1}, \dots, u'_{i_0,\lambda_r})} (x_0) \right|^{-2} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0} \end{aligned}$$

Then v_{x_0} is given by

$$\frac{1}{|T_i(x_0)|^2} |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{2(a-(m+n))} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v_{x_0} &= (\sqrt{-1})^{(mn-1)^2} |\det(C_v^\lambda)|^2 \cdot |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{2(-m-n)-2r} \\ &\quad \times \left| \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0,12 \dots n-1n+1}, u'_{i_0,\lambda_1}, \dots, u'_{i_0,\lambda_r})} (x_0) \right|^{-2} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0} \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Lemma 3.7. At $x_0 \in W_i$,

$$(3.15) \quad \varphi(x_0) = |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{2t} \cdot \left| \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0,12 \dots n-1n+1}, u'_{i_0,\lambda_1}, \dots, u'_{i_0,\lambda_r})} (x_0) \right|^2.$$

Proof. Fix $c \in \mathbf{C}^*$ so that $\|cs_i(x_0)\|^2 = 1$. Then $|c|^2 \cdot (1 + \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in C_n \\ \alpha \neq i}} |(p_\alpha/p_i)(x_0)|^2) = 1$

and $|c|^2 = |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{-2}$. Note that

$$\varphi(x_0) = \frac{\|q_i \wedge dF\|^2(cs_i(x_0))}{|c|^{2t} \|cs_i(x_0)\|^{2((n-1)(m-1)+(a-1))}} = \frac{\|q'_i \wedge dF'\|^2(1, 0, \dots, 0)}{|c|^{2t}} \quad \text{by (3.11).}$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial Q'(\beta_k, i)}{\partial p'_{i_0}}(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, r, \quad \frac{\partial F'}{\partial p'_{i_0}}(1, 0, \dots, 0) = 0$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial Q'(\beta_k, i)}{\partial p'_j}(1, 0, \dots, 0) &= \frac{\partial Q'(\beta_k, i)_{i_0}}{\partial u'_{i_0, j}}(x_0), \\ \frac{\partial F'}{\partial p'_j}(1, 0, \dots, 0) &= \frac{\partial F'_{i_0}}{\partial u'_{i_0, j}}(x_0) \quad \text{for } j \in C_n, j \neq i_0, \\ \|q'_i \wedge dF\|^2(1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \|dQ'(\beta_1, i) \wedge \dots \wedge dQ'(\beta_r, i) \wedge dF'\|^2(1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= \left\| \frac{\partial(Q'(\beta_1, i)_{i_0}, \dots, Q'(\beta_r, i)_{i_0}, F'_{i_0})}{\partial(u'_{i_0, 12 \dots n-1, n+1}, u'_{i_0, \dots}, u'_{i_0, \lambda_r})}(x_0) \right\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 3.3. q.e.d.

By Lemma 3.3, the Kähler form ω of the induced metric on X is given by

$$\omega_{x_0} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} \left(\sum_{v \in J(i_0, <)} du'_{i_0, v} \wedge d\bar{u}'_{i_0, v} \right)_0 \quad \text{at } x_0 \in X.$$

Hence,

$$(3.16) \quad \omega_{x_0}^{mn-1} = \frac{(\sqrt{-1}^{(mn-1)^2})(mn-1)!}{(2\pi)^{mn-1}} (d\eta' \wedge \bar{d}\eta')_{x_0}.$$

Lemma 3.8.

$$(3.17) \quad |\det(C)\lambda|^2 = |(p'_{i_0}/p_i)(x_0)|^{2(\mu+1)}$$

Proof. Put $D_\nu^\lambda = B_\lambda^\nu B_{i_0}^i - B_i^\nu B_{\lambda_0}^i$ for $\lambda \neq i, \nu \neq i_0, \lambda, \nu \in C_n$. Note that

$$|\det(D_\nu^\lambda)|^2 = \det(D_\nu^\lambda) \cdot \det(D_\nu^\lambda) = \det \left(\left(\sum_{\alpha \neq i_0} D_\alpha^\lambda \bar{D}_\alpha^\lambda \right)_{\lambda, \nu \neq i} \right),$$

and that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \neq i_0} D_\alpha^\lambda \bar{D}_\alpha^\lambda &= \sum_{\alpha \neq i_0} (B_\lambda^\alpha B_{i_0}^i - B_i^\alpha B_{\lambda_0}^i) \overline{(B_\tau^\alpha B_{i_0}^i - B_i^\alpha B_{\tau_0}^i)} \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \in C_n} (B_\lambda^\alpha B_{i_0}^i - B_i^\alpha B_{\lambda_0}^i) \overline{(B_\tau^\alpha B_{i_0}^i - B_i^\alpha B_{\tau_0}^i)} \\ &= \delta_{\lambda\tau} |B_{i_0}^i|^2 + B_\lambda^{i_0} \overline{B_{\tau_0}^i}, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}_n} B_\lambda^\alpha \bar{B}_\tau^\alpha = \delta_{\lambda\tau}$.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(D_\lambda^\alpha)|^2 &= \det(\delta_{\lambda\tau} |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^2 + B_{\lambda}^{i_0} \bar{B}_{\tau}^{i_0}) \\ &= |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{2\mu} \det(\delta_{\lambda\tau} + (B_{\lambda}^{i_0} \bar{B}_{\tau}^{i_0}) / |B_{\tau}^{i_0}|^2) \\ &= |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{2\mu} (1 + \sum_{\lambda \neq i_0} |B_{\lambda}^{i_0} / B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^2) \\ &= |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{2(\mu-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} |\det(C_\nu^\lambda)|^2 &= |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{-2 \times 2\mu} |\det(D_\nu^\lambda)|^2 \\ &= |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{-2 \times 2\mu} \times |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{2(\mu-1)} = |B_{i_0}^{i_0}|^{-2(\mu+1)} \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_{i_0}^{i_0} = (p_i/p_{i_0}')(x_0)$, we get our claim. q.e.d.

Proof of Proposition 3.2.

By Lemma 3.6, Lemma 3.7 and Lemma 3.8, we have

$$\varphi(x_0) \mathfrak{v}_{x_0} = (\sqrt{-1})^{(mn-1)^2} |(p_{i_0}'/p_i)(x_0)|^{2(-m-n-2r+\mu+1+t)} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} r-t &= (m-1)(n-1) = mn - (m+n) + 1, \\ \mu+1+t-2r-m-n &= \mu+1-r-(m+n)-mn+m+n-1 \\ &= \mu+1-r-mn-1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\varphi(x_0) \mathfrak{v}_{x_0} = (\sqrt{-1})^{(mn-1)^2} (d\eta' \wedge d\bar{\eta}')_{x_0}.$$

Now our claim follows from (3.16).

Corollary of Proposition 3.2 (cf. Hano [5] Corollary of Proposition 2).

Let g_0 denote the Kähler metric on X induced from the Fubini-Study metric on $P^\mu(C)$. Then (X, g_0) is an Einstein manifold if and only if φ is a constant function on X .

Proof. The Ricci form of the Kähler metric g_0 on X is the curvature form of the connection of type (1,0) on the holomorphic line bundle $K(X)^*$ determined by the volume element ω^{mn-1} . Suppose that g_0 is Einstein, that is, the Ricci form is a constant multiple of the Kähler form ω . Then the Ricci form is harmonic. On the other hand, the volume element \mathfrak{v} determines the curvature form $(m+n-a)\omega$, which is also harmonic. Since the Ricci form and $(m+n-a)\omega$ are both curvature form of the bundle $K(X)^*$, they are cohomologous. Thus the Ricci form must be $(m+n-a)\omega$. Since ω^{mn-1} and \mathfrak{v} define the same curvature form, $d'd'' \log \varphi = 0$, and hence $\log \varphi$ is a harmonic function on X . This implies that φ is a constant function. Conversely, if φ is a constant function, then the

metric g_0 is Einstein.

q.e.d.

4. The dual map and Veronese map

In this section we recall the dual map and Veronese map due to Hano [5].

Let $\bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$ denote the $(r+1)$ -th exterior product of the dual space of the vector space $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. We identify the tangent space of $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ at a point with $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ itself. We regard $(q_i \wedge dF)_z$ as an element in $\bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$. Let $(\zeta_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}})$ be the standard base of $\bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$. Then

$$(q_i \wedge dF)_z = (p_i(z))^t \sum G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}(z) \zeta_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}} \quad \text{for } z \in \pi^{-1}(W_i).$$

Now we define a map $G: \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$ by

$$(4.1) \quad G(z) = \sum G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}(z) \zeta_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}.$$

We denote by $P^e(\mathbf{C})$ the complex projective space associated to the complex vector space $\bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$, where $e+1 = \binom{\mu+1}{r+1}$. Since the map $G: \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$ is a polynomial map of degree $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$ and $G(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \pi^{-1}(X)$, it induces a holomorphic map $g: X \rightarrow P^e(\mathbf{C})$. We call g the dual map of X in $P^e(\mathbf{C})$. Let $\|w\|$ be the norm of an element w in $\bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$ induced from the hermitian inner product on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$. Let Ω' be the Fubini-Study form on $P^e(\mathbf{C})$ determined from $\|w\|^2$.

Proposition 4.1 (cf. [5] Proposition 3). *The induced metric g_0 on X is Einstein if and only if the reciprocal image of the Fubini-Study metric on $P^e(\mathbf{C})$ under the dual map g is $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$ times of the induced metric; $g^* \Omega' = ((n-1)(m-1)+(a-1))\omega$.*

Proof. Since the degree of G is $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$, the reciprocal image of the standard line bundle E' over $P^e(\mathbf{C})$ under the map g is $\iota^* E^{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$ where E denotes the standard line bundle over $P^e(\mathbf{C})$. We regard $\|w\|^2$ as the fiber metric on E' over $P^e(\mathbf{C})$. Its reciprocal image under g is the restriction of $\sum |G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}(z)|^2$ to $\pi^{-1}(X)$ and is a fiber metric on $\iota^* E^{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$. Then

$$\pi^* g^* \Omega' = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2\pi} d'd'' \log (\sum |G_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_{r+1}}(z)|^2).$$

Now our claim follows from Corollary of Proposition 3.2. q.e.d.

Let S_k be the vector space of homogeneous polynomials on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ of degree k and S_k^* the dual space of S_k . We denote by $P^d(\mathbf{C})$ the complex projective space associated to S_k^* , where $d+1 = \dim S_k$. Each point $z \in \mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$ defines a linear function $\Psi(z)$ on S_k given by $\Psi(z)(P) = P(z)$ for $P \in S_k$. We denote by ψ the map $z \mapsto \Psi(z)$. The polynomial map Ψ induces an injective holomorphic map

$$(4.2) \quad \psi: P^u(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^d(\mathbf{C})$$

if $k \geq 1$. The map ψ is called the Veronese map of degree k .

For simplicity we denote the Plücker coordinate (\cdots, p_i, \cdots) by (z_0, \cdots, z_μ) . With respect to the hermitian inner product on S_k induced from the one on \mathbf{C}^{u+1} , the set of all monomials

$$(4.3) \quad z_0^{\nu_0} \cdots z_\mu^{\nu_\mu} / (\nu_0! \cdots \nu_\mu!)^{1/2}, \quad \nu_0 + \cdots + \nu_\mu = k$$

is a unitary base of S_k . Moreover

$$(4.4) \quad |z_0^{\nu_0} \cdots z_\mu^{\nu_\mu} / (\nu_0! \cdots \nu_\mu!)^{1/2}|^2 = \|z\|^{2k} / k! .$$

Obviously the reciprocal image of the standard line bundle over $P^d(\mathbf{C})$ under the map ψ is E^k . By (4.4), if Ω'' denotes the Fubini-Study form on $P^d(\mathbf{C})$, then $\psi^* \Omega'' = k\Omega$. That is, the Veronese map ψ is homothetic and the ratio of the metrics is the degree k of the map ψ .

Now we specify k to be $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$, and define a linear map $L: S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{u+1})^*$ so that $L \circ \psi = G$ on the cone $\pi^{-1}(X)$. Let $(\xi_{\nu_0} \cdots \nu_\mu)$ be the dual base of the unitary base of $S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$ chosen above. Since $G_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}}$ is of degree $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$,

$$(4.5) \quad G_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}} = \sum_{\nu_0 \cdots \nu_\mu} a(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}; \nu_0 \cdots \nu_\mu) (z_0^{\nu_0} \cdots z_\mu^{\nu_\mu} / (\nu_0! \cdots \nu_\mu!)^{1/2}) .$$

Using these coefficients, a linear map L is defined by

$$(4.6) \quad L(\xi_{\nu_0} \cdots \nu_\mu) = \sum a(\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}; \nu_0 \cdots \nu_\mu) \xi_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_{r+1}} .$$

By the way L is defined, it is clear that

$$(L \circ \psi)(z) = G(z) \quad \text{for } z \in \pi^{-1}(X) .$$

Consider the rational map $l: P^d(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^e(\mathbf{C})$ induced from the linear map $L: S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{u+1})^*$. The map l is holomorphic at a point $x \in P^d(\mathbf{C})$ if the image under L at a point of $S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^*$ lying over x is not zero. Since $\|q, \wedge dF\|^2$ vanishes nowhere on $\pi^{-1}(W_i)$, L does not vanish at each point on the image of $\pi^{-1}(X)$ under ψ . Therefore l is holomorphic on $\psi(X)$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let be ψ the Veronese map of degree $(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)$ of $P^u(\mathbf{C})$ into $P^d(\mathbf{C})$ and let g be the dual map of X into $P^e(\mathbf{C})$. Then there is a projective transformation l of $P^d(\mathbf{C})$ into $P^e(\mathbf{C})$ which is holomorphic on $\psi(X)$ and satisfies the equality $(l \circ \psi)(x) = g(x)$ for $x \in X$. Moreover the induced metric on X is Einstein if and only if the restriction of l to $\psi(X)$ is everywhere locally isometric with respect to the induced metric on $\psi(X)$ and the Fubini-Study metric on $P^e(\mathbf{C})$.*

Proof. By Proposition 4.1 and the above observation (cf. [5] Proposition 4).

Now we have the following Lemma due to Hano ([5] Lemma 7).

Lemma 4.3. *Let Φ be a linear map of \mathbf{C}^{s+1} into \mathbf{C}^{t+1} and ϕ the induced projective transformation of $P^s(\mathbf{C})$ into $P^t(\mathbf{C})$. Let U be a connected algebraic submanifold in $P^s(\mathbf{C})$ which is not contained in any hyperplane in $P^s(\mathbf{C})$. We equip on U the metric induced from a Fubini-Study metric on $P^s(\mathbf{C})$, and on $P^t(\mathbf{C})$ a Fubini-Study metric. Suppose that the restriction of ϕ to U is holomorphic and locally isometric everywhere, then Φ is a constant multiple of an isometry, and particularly Φ is injective.*

Now we have the following necessary condition from Lemma 4.3.

Proposition 4.4 (cf. [5] Hano §8). *Let X be a hypersurface of $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ of degree a . If the induced metric on X Einstein, then*

$$(4.8) \quad \dim(S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}/I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}) \leq e+1 = \binom{\mu+1}{r+1},$$

where $I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)} = S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)} \cap I(X)$.

Proof. For $P \in S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$, the equation $\langle \xi, P \rangle = 0$, $\xi \in S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^*$, defines a hyperplane in $P^d(\mathbf{C})$. By the definition of the Veronese map ψ , a homogeneous polynomial P in $S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$ defines a hyperplane containing $\psi(X)$ if and only if P belongs to $I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$. Thus, the minimal linear variety $P^{d'}(\mathbf{C})$ containing $\psi(X)$ is the intersection of these hyperplanes each of which is associated to a polynomial in $I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$. Its dimension d' is given by $\dim(S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}/I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}) - 1$. Let $\mathbf{C}^{d'+1}$ be the subspace in $S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^*$ perpendicular to the subspace $I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}$. Let L' be the restriction to $\mathbf{C}^{d'+1}$ of the linear map $L: S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}^* \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$, and let l' be the restriction to $P^{d'}(\mathbf{C})$ of projective transformation l . Now the connected algebraic submanifold $\psi(X)$ in $P^{d'}(\mathbf{C})$ is not contained in any hyperplane of $P^{d'}(\mathbf{C})$. By Proposition 4.2, the restriction to $\psi(X)$ of l' is everywhere locally isometric. Applying Lemma 4.3, to $\psi(X)$ in $P^{d'}(\mathbf{C})$, we see that the linear map

$$L': \mathbf{C}^{d'+1} \rightarrow \bigwedge^{r+1}(\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1})^*$$

is injective, and hence we get (4.8). q.e.d.

5. Proof of Theorem

Let J denote the ideal $I(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))$ of homogeneous polynomials S on $\mathbf{C}^{\mu+1}$.

Lemma 5.1. *Let J_k denote $J \cap S_k$. Then*

$$\dim(S_k/J_k) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{k+j-i}{j-i}$$

Proof. By Proposition 1.1, the inclusion $j: G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}) \rightarrow P^\mu(\mathbf{C})$ induces a surjective linear map

$$j^*: H^0(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), H^k) \rightarrow H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k).$$

Noting that $H^0(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), H^k)$ is the space of homogeneous polynomials S_k of degree k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ker } j^* &= \{P \in S_k \mid P(z) = 0 \text{ for any } z \in \pi^{-1}(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}))\} \\ &= J \cap S_k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\dim(S_k/J_k) = \dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k)$.

On the other hand, by a Theorem of Borel-Weil [2] and the dimension formula of Weyl [10], we have

$$\dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k) = \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=n+1}^{m+n} \frac{k+j-i}{j-i}.$$

q.e.d.

Lemma 5.2. *Let I_k denote $I(X) \cap S_k$. Then*

$$\dim(S_k/I_k) = \dim(S_k/J_k) - \dim(S_{k-a}/J_{k-a})$$

if $k \geq a$, where a is the degree of X .

Proof. Let $[X]$ denote the non-singular divisor defined by X and $\{X\}$ the holomorphic line bundle on $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$ defined by $[X]$. Then there is an exact sequence

$$(5.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow j^*H^{k-a} \rightarrow j^*H^k \rightarrow \overset{\wedge}{\iota^*H^k} \rightarrow 0$$

of holomorphic sheaves on $G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C})$. (cf. [6])

Then (5.1) induces the following exact sequence of cohomologies

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} 0 &\rightarrow H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^{k-a}) \rightarrow H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k) \\ &\rightarrow H^0(X, \iota^*H^k) \rightarrow H^1(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^{k-a}) \rightarrow \cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H^1(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^{k-a}) = 0$ if $k \geq a$, by a theorem of Bott [2],

$$\dim H^0(X, \iota^*H^k) = \dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k) - \dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^{**}H^{k-a}).$$

On the other hand, $j^*: H^0(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), H^k) \rightarrow H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k)$ is surjective, and hence $\iota^*: H^0(P^\mu(\mathbf{C}), H^k) \rightarrow H^0(X, \iota^*H^k)$ is surjective if $k \geq a$. Noting that $\text{Ker } \iota^* = I(X) \cap S_k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(S_k/I_k) &= \dim H^0(X, \iota^*H^k) \\ &= \dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^k) - \dim H^0(G_{m+n,n}(\mathbf{C}), j^*H^{k-a}) \\ &= \dim(S_k/J_k) - \dim(S_{k-a}/J_{k-a}). \end{aligned}$$

q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem. Put $k = (n-1)(m-1) + (a-1)$. If $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq n$, then $k \geq a$. Thus, by Lemma 5.2,

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim (S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}/I_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}) \\ &= \dim (S_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}/J_{(n-1)(m-1)+(a-1)}) \\ &\quad - \dim (S_{(n-1)(m-1)-1}/J_{(n-1)(m-1)-1}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we see that $\dim (S_k/I_k)$ is increasing in k . Hence, it is enough to prove the following inequality (5.3) by Proposition 4.4;

$$(5.3) \quad \dim (S_{\mu-(m+n)+2}/I_{\mu-(m+n)+2}) > \binom{\mu+1}{mn}.$$

By Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\dim (S_k/J_k) = \frac{(k+1)(k+2)^2 \cdots (k+n)^n \cdots (k+m)^n (k+m-1)^{n-1} \cdots (k+m+n-1)}{1 \cdot 2^2 \cdots n^n \cdots m^n \cdot (m+1)^{n-1} \cdots (m+n-1)}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim (S_{\mu-(m+n)+2}/I_{\mu-(m+n)+1}) - \binom{\mu+1}{mn} \\ &= \frac{(\mu+1)\mu^2(\mu-1)^3 \cdots (\mu-n+2)^n \cdots (\mu-m+2)^n (\mu-m+1)^{n-1} \cdots (\mu-m-n+3)}{1 \cdot 2^2 \cdot 3^3 \cdots n^n \cdots m^n \cdot (m+1)^{n-1} \cdots (m+n-1)} \\ &\quad - \frac{(mn-m-n+1)(mn-m-n+2)^2 \cdots (mn-m-1)^{n-1} (mn-m)^n \cdots (mn-n)^n}{1 \cdot 2^2 \cdots n^n \cdots m^n (m+1)^{n-1} \cdots (m+n-1)} \\ &\quad \times \frac{(mn-n+1)^{n-1} \cdots (mn-1)}{} \\ &\quad - \frac{(\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu+2-mn)}{1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdots (mn)} \\ &> \frac{1}{(mn)!} \{ (\mu+1)\mu^2(\mu-1)^3 \cdots (\mu-n+2)^n \cdots (\mu-n+2)^n (\mu-m+1)^{n-1} \cdots \\ &\quad \times (\mu-m-n+3) - (\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu+2-mn) \\ &\quad - (mn-m-n+1)(mn-m-n)^2 \cdots (mn-m)^n \cdots (mn-n)^n (mn-n+1)^{n-1} \cdots (mn-1) \} \end{aligned}$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu+1)\mu^2(\mu-1)^3 \cdots (\mu-n+2)^n \cdots (\mu-m+2)^n (\mu-m+1)^{n-1} \cdots (\mu-m-n+3) \\ &\quad - (\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu+2-mn) \\ &= (\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu-m-n+3) \{ \mu(\mu-1)^2 \cdots (\mu-n+2)^{n-1} \cdots (\mu-m+2)^{n-1} \cdots \\ &\quad \times (\mu-m+1)^{n-2} \cdots (\mu-m-n+4) - (\mu-m-n+2) \cdots (\mu-mn+2) \} \\ &> (\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1) \cdots (\mu-mn+3) (mn-m-n+2). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$(\mu-mn+3) - (mn-m-n+2) = \binom{m+n}{n} - 2mn + m + n > 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (\mu+1)\mu(\mu-1)\cdots(\mu-mn+3)(mn-u-n+2) \\
 & - (mn-1)\cdots(mn-m-n+1)^{n-1}(mn-n)^n\cdots(mn-m)^n(mn-m-1)^{n-1}\cdots \\
 & \times (mn-m-n+1) > (\mu+1)\mu\cdots(\mu-mn+3)(mn-m-n+2) - (2mn-m-m)\cdots \\
 & \times (mn-m-n+2)(mn-m-n+1) > 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get (5.3). q.e.d.

REMARK. In the case of $G_{5,2}(\mathbf{C})$, we can see that if the degree $a(X)$ of X satisfies $a(X) \geq 3$ a hypersurface X is not an Einstein manifold with respect to the induced metric by the same way. But we do not know the cases when $a(X)=1, 2$.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] A. Borel, and F. Hirzebruch: *Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces I*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 458–538.
- [2] R. Bott: *Homogeneous vector bundles*, Ann. of Math. **66** (1957), 203–248.
- [3] S.S. Chern: Complex manifolds without potential theory, Van Nostrand, Princeton, 1967.
- [4] R. Gunning and H. Rossi: Analytic functions of several complex variables, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1965.
- [5] J. Hano: *Einstein complete intersections in complex projective space*, Math. Ann. **216** (1975), 197–208.
- [6] F. Hirzebruch: Topological methods in algebraic geometry, third enlarged edition, Grundlehren der Math. Wissenschaften, 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966.
- [7] W.V.D. Hodge and D. Pedoe: Methods of algebraic geometry, vol. 1 and 2, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1952.
- [8] M. Ise: *Some properties of complex analytic vector bundles over compact complex homogeneous spaces*, Osaka Math. J. **12** (1960), 217–252.
- [9] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu: Foundation of differential geometry, vol. 2, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [10] J-P. Serre: Algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes, Benjamin, Inc. New York, 1966.
- [11] B. Smyth: *Differential geometry of complex hypersurfaces*, Ann. of Math. **85** (1967), 246–266.
- [12] A. Weil: Introduction à l'étude des variétés kähleriennes, Hermann, Paris 1958.

