

Title	A q-analogue of Young symmetrizer
Author(s)	Gyoja, Akihiko
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1986, 23(4), p. 841-852
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/4787
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

A q -ANALOGUE OF YOUNG SYMMETRIZER*

AKIHIKO GYOJA

(Received August 9, 1985)

Let W be the symmetric group on the set of n letters $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, s_i ($1 \leq i \leq n-1$) the transposition $(i, i+1)$ in W , and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$. Then every element w of W can be expressed as $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_l}$ ($1 \leq i_\alpha \leq n-1$). We denote the minimal length of such an expression by $l(w)$, i.e., $l(w) = \min\{l\}$. Let $K = \mathbf{C}(q)$ be the field of rational functions in one variable q over the complex number field \mathbf{C} . The Hecke algebra $H = H(q)$ of W is defined as follows: H has a basis $\{h(w)\}_{w \in W}$ which is parametrized by the elements of W . The multiplication is characterized by the rules

$$\begin{aligned} (h(s)+1)(h(s)-q) &= 0, & \text{if } s \in S, \\ h(w)h(w') &= h(ww'), & \text{if } l(w)+l(w') = l(ww'). \end{aligned}$$

Notice that H is a q -analogue of the group algebra $\mathbf{C}W$ of W in the sense that when q is specialized to 1, H is specialized to $\mathbf{C}W$. It should also be mentioned that the Hecke algebra can be defined for a general Coxeter system (W, S) (see [2; Chap. 4, §2, Ex. 23]).

As is well-known, a complete set of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of $\mathbf{C}W$ is constructed by A. Young (see, for example, [6], [9]). Our main theorems are (3.10) and (4.5). In these theorems, we give a complete family of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents of H , which is specialized to the one constructed by Young, when q is specialized to 1.

The present work was motivated by a question posed by Dr. M. Jimbo in connection with his investigation [7] of the Yang-Baxter equation in mathematical physics. The author would like to express his thanks to Dr. M. Jimbo.

1. Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, w an element of W and $w = s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_n}$ ($s_i \in S$) a reduced decomposition of w . See [2; Chap. IV] for the fundamental concepts concerning Coxeter systems. It is known and easily proved by using [2; Chap. IV, n° 1.5, Lemma 4] that the set

$$\{s_{i_1} s_{i_2} \cdots s_{i_p} \mid 1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_p \leq n, 0 \leq p \leq n\}$$

* This research was supported in part by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture.

is uniquely determined by w and does not depend on the choice of a reduced decomposition of w . If an element x of W is contained in this set, we write $x \leq w$. The partial order defined in this way is called the Bruhat order.

Assume, from now on, that W is finite. It is known that every representation of the Hecke algebra $H=H(q)$ can be afforded by a W -graph [5]. The precise definition of a W -graph is irrelevant here. What we need is that, for every finite dimensional representation ρ_q of H , by an appropriate choice of a basis of the representation space, the elements $h(w)(w \in W)$ are represented by matrices over $\mathbb{C}[q]$. Hence we can obtain a representation ρ_1 of W by the specialization $q \rightarrow 1$. This fact is used, for example, in the following way.

Let $\chi_q = \text{trace } \rho_q$, $\chi_1 = \text{trace } \rho_1$ and $\chi_q = \sum_i m_i \chi_{i,q}$ the irreducible decomposition of χ_q . By [3], we have

$$\sum_{w \in W} \chi_q(h(w)) \chi_q(q^{-l(w)} h(w^{-1})) / \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)} = \sum_i m_i^2 (d_{i,1} / d_{i,q}),$$

where $d_{i,q}$ is the generic degree of $\chi_{i,q}$ [1; Definition (2.4)] which is known to be a polynomial in q , and $d_{i,1} = (d_{i,q})_{q \rightarrow 1}$, which is equal to the degree (i.e., the dimension of the representation space) of the representation affording $\chi_{i,q}$. By the specialization $q \rightarrow 1$, we get

$$\sum_{w \in W} \chi_1(w) \chi_1(w^{-1}) / \text{card } W = \sum_i m_i^2.$$

Hence ρ_q is irreducible if and only if ρ_1 is irreducible.

We will use this kind of ‘‘specialization argument’’ very often without mentioning the details.

From now on, we assume that W is the n -th symmetric group acting on $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and $S = \{s_1, s_2, \dots, s_{n-1}\}$, where $s_i = (i, i+1)$. See [6] for the fundamental concepts concerning symmetric groups.

For each partition λ of n , we can define two standard tableaux $T_+ = T_+(\lambda)$ and $T_- = T_-(\lambda)$, e.g., if $\lambda = (5 \ 4^2 \ 1)$,

$$\begin{array}{r}
 T_+(\lambda) = \begin{array}{ccccc}
 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\
 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & \\
 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & \\
 14 & & & &
 \end{array} \\
 T_-(\lambda) = \begin{array}{ccccc}
 1 & 5 & 8 & 11 & 14 \\
 2 & 6 & 9 & 12 & \\
 3 & 7 & 10 & 13 & \\
 4 & & & &
 \end{array}
 \end{array}$$

We omit the exact definition of $T_{\pm}(\lambda)$. Let $I_+ = I_+(\lambda)$ (resp. $I_- = I_-(\lambda)$) be the set of s_i 's which preserve each row (resp. column) of $T_+(\lambda)$ (resp. $T_-(\lambda)$) as a set.

For example, if $\lambda=(5 \ 4^2 \ 1)$, then

$$I_+ = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_6, s_7, s_8, s_{10}, s_{11}, s_{12}\}$$

and

$$I_- = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_5, s_6, s_8, s_9, s_{11}, s_{12}\} .$$

Let $W_{\pm} = W_{\pm}(\lambda)$ be the parabolic subgroups of W generated by I_{\pm} , and $H_{\pm} = \sum_{w \in W_{\pm}} Kh(w)$. Then H are subalgebras of H_{\pm} . Let

$$(1.1) \quad e_+ = e_+(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W_+} h(w)$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad e_- = e_-(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W_-} (-q)^{-l(w)} h(w) .$$

Since, for each $s \in I_+$,

$$e_+ = \sum_{\substack{w \in W_+ \\ sw > w}} (1+h(s))h(w) ,$$

we have

$$h(s)e_+ = qe_+ .$$

Hence

$$h(w)e_+ = q^{l(w)}e_+ \quad (w \in W_+) .$$

In the same way, we can show that

$$h(w)e_+ = e_+h(w) = q^{l(w)}e_+ \quad (w \in W_+) ,$$

and

$$h(w)e_- = e_-h(w) = (-1)^{l(w)}e_- \quad (w \in W_-) .$$

From these equalities, we get

$$e_{\pm}^2 = P_{\pm}e_{\pm} ,$$

where

$$P_{\pm} = P_{\pm}(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W^{\pm}} q^{\pm l(w)} .$$

The left H -modules He_{\pm} are isomorphic to the induced representations $H \otimes_{H_{\pm}} \varepsilon_{\pm}$, where ε_{\pm} are the one-dimensional H_{\pm} -modules denfied by

$$h(w)v = q^{l(w)}v \quad (v \in \varepsilon_+)$$

and

$$h(w)v = (-1)^{l(w)}v \quad (v \in \varepsilon_-)$$

By the classical result of A. Young and by the specialization argument, we have

$$\dim_K \text{Hom}_H(He_{\pm}, He_{\mp}) = 1.$$

Take (non-zero) intertwining operators

$$f_{\pm} \in \text{Hom}_H(He_{\mp}, He_{\pm}).$$

The images of f_{\pm} do not depend on the choice of f_{\pm} . Thus we have the following result.

Proposition 1.3. *Let $V_{\pm} = V_{\pm}(\lambda)$ be the images of f_{\pm} . Then V_{\pm} are irreducible H -modules and*

$$f_{\pm}: V_{\mp} \xrightarrow{\sim} V_{\pm}.$$

Every irreducible representation of H can be realized uniquely as V_+ (or as V_-).

REMARK. It is known that every irreducible representation of H is absolutely irreducible [1].

2. The purpose of this section is to construct a q -analogue of the Young symmetrizer. The main result of this section is (2.2.1).

2.1. First, let us determine f_+ explicitly. For this purpose, it suffices to determine $f_+(e_-)$. Since

$$f_+(e_-) = e_-(P_+^{-1}P_-^{-1}f_+(e_-))e_+$$

and

$$e_-h(x)h(w)h(y)e_+ = (-1)^{l(x)}q^{l(y)}e_-h(w)e_+ \quad (x \in W_-, y \in W_+),$$

$f_+(e)$ is of the form

$$(2.1.1) \quad \sum_{w \in X} a_w e_-h(w)e_+ \quad (a_w \in K),$$

where

$$X = \{w \in W \mid sw > w \quad \text{for every } s \in I_-(\lambda), \text{ and} \\ wt > w \quad \text{for every } t \in I_+(\lambda)\}.$$

Let T_1 and T_2 be standard tableaux which belong to the partition λ , and $[T_2, T_1]$ the permutation which transforms T_1 to T_2 . We write $[T_{\pm}]$ (resp. $[\pm T]$, $[\pm \mp]$) for $[T, T_{\pm}]$ (resp. $[T_{\pm}, T]$ $[T_{\pm}, T_{\mp}]$), e.g., if $\lambda = (5 \ 4^2 \ 1)$ and

$$T = \begin{array}{cccc} 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 14 \\ 3 & 5 & 6 & 8 & \\ 9 & 10 & 11 & 13 & \\ 12 & & & & \end{array}$$

then

$$[T+] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ 1 & 2 & 4 & 7 & 14 & 3 & 5 & 6 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 13 & 12 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$[T-] = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 \\ 1 & 3 & 9 & 12 & 2 & 5 & 10 & 4 & 6 & 11 & 7 & 8 & 13 & 14 \end{pmatrix}$$

If i and $i+1$ are in the same row of T_+ , then $[T+](i) < [T+](i+1)$. Hence

$$(2.1.2) \quad [T+]_s > [T+] \quad (s \in I_+).$$

In the same way, we can show that

$$(2.1.3) \quad [T-]_s > [T-] \quad (s \in I_-).$$

Note that $[T_1, T_2][T_2, T_3] = [T_1, T_3]$ and $[+-]W_-[-+]$ consists of permutations which preserve each column of T_+ . Hence we can restate [9; Lemma (4.2.A)] as follows.

Lemma 2.1.4. *For $z \in W$, the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $zW_+z^{-1} \cap [+-]W_-[-+] = \{1\}$.
- (ii) $z \in ([+-]W_-[-+])W_+$.

In fact (ii) \Rightarrow (i) is trivial. Conversely, assume (i). Let T be the transform of T_+ by z , i.e., $z = [T+]$. If there are two numbers a, b which appear in the same row of T and the same column of T_+ , then the transposition (a, b) belongs to $zW_+z^{-1} \cap [+-]W_-[-+]$. This contradicts (i). Hence we get (ii) by [9; Lemma (4.2.A)].

Let $[-+]z (\neq [-+])$ be an element of X . By (2.1.2) and (2.1.3), $[-+]$ is also an element of X . Hence

$$[-+]z \in W_-[-+]W_+$$

by [2; Chap 4, §1, Ex. 3]. By (2.1.4),

$$zW_+z^{-1} \cap [+-]W_-[-+] \neq \{1\},$$

i.e., we can find elements $x_{\pm} \in W_{\pm}$ such that

$$([-+]z)x_+ = x_-([-+]z), \quad x_{\pm} \neq 1.$$

By the equality

$$\begin{aligned} e_-h([-+]zx_+)e_+ &= q^{l(x_+)}e_-h([-+]z)e_+ \\ &= e_-h(x_-[-+]z)e_+ = (-1)^{l(x_-)}e_-h([-+]z)e_+, \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that

$$(2.1.5) \quad e_-h([-+]z)e_+ = 0.$$

Hence (2.1.1) is of the form

$$a \cdot e_-h([-+])e_+ \quad (a \in K).$$

Since $f_+ \neq 0, a \neq 0$. Note that the above argument shows also that

$$e_-h([T-])^{-1}h([T+])e_+ = b \cdot e_-h([-+])e_+$$

with some $b \in K$. By the specialization $q \rightarrow 1, b$ specializes to 1. Hence $b \neq 0$. Thus we may assume that

$$f_+(e_-) = e_-h([T-])^{-1}h([T+])e_+.$$

By the same argument as above, we can also show that

$$f_-(e_+) = e_+h([T+])^{-1}h([T-])e_-$$

(up to scalar multiple).

2.2 Now let us construct a q -analogue of the Young symmetrizer. Since $f_+(e_-) \in V_+$,

$$f_+f_-f_+(e_-) = cf_+(e_-) \quad (c = c(q) \in K),$$

i.e.,

$$e_-h^{-1}h_+e_+h_+^{-1}h_-e_-h^{-1}h_+e_+ = ce_-h^{-1}h_+e_+,$$

where $h_{\pm} = h([T_{\pm}])$. Hence

$$(2.2.1) \quad (h_-e_-h^{-1} \cdot h_+e_+h_+^{-1})^2 = c(h_-e_-h^{-1} \cdot h_+e_+h_+^{-1}).$$

By the specialization $q \rightarrow 1, (h_-e_-h^{-1})(h_+e_+h_+^{-1})$ specializes to the Young symmetrizer (corresponding to the standard tableau T). Hence $c = c(T) \neq 0$.

2.3. For a standard tableau T which belongs to a partition λ , let

$$E(T) = c(T)^{-1}(h([T-])e_-(\lambda)h([T-])^{-1})(h([T+])e_+(\lambda)h([T+])^{-1}).$$

Let us consider when

$$E(T_1)E(T_2) = 0$$

for two different standard tableaux.

If T_1 and T_2 belong to different partitions, $E(T_1)E(T_2) = 0$. In fact, if χ_q is an irreducible character of H such that $\chi_q(E(T_1)) = m (\neq 0, \in \mathbf{Z})$, then $\chi_1(E(T_1))_{q \rightarrow 1} = m$. By (3.9) below, which will be proved without using the results

of (2.3), the specialization $E(T_1)_{q \rightarrow 1}$ is well defined and equal to the Young symmetrizer. Hence $m=1$. In the same way we can show that $\chi_q(E(T_2))=0$. Hence $E(T_1)$ and $E(T_2)$ are (primitive) idempotents which belong to different irreducible representation of H . Hence $E(T_1)E(T_2)=0$.

Assume that T_1 and T_2 belong to the same partition λ .

Lemma 2.3.1. *If $T_1 \neq T_2$ and $l([T_1-]) \geq l([T_2-])$, then $E(T_1)E(T_2)=0$.*

Proof. It suffices to prove

$$(2.3.2) \quad e_+(\lambda)h([T_1-])^{-1}h([T_2-])e_-(\lambda) = 0.$$

By using the fact

$$l(w) = \text{card} \{(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n, w(i) > w(j)\} \quad (w \in W),$$

it is easy to see that

$$(2.3.3) \quad l([T+]) + l([T-]) = l([+-])$$

for any standard tableau T . By our assumption,

$$(2.3.4) \quad l([+-]) \geq l([T_1+]) + l([T_2-]).$$

Let

$$Y = \{x_1x_2 \mid x_1 \leq [T_1+]^{-1}, x_2 \leq [T_2-]\}.$$

Then $Y \cap W_+[+-]W_- = \phi$ by (2.3.4). Since we can express $h([T_1+])^{-1}h([T_2-])$ as a linear combination

$$\sum_{y \in Y} a_y h(y) \quad (a_y \in K),$$

the argument of 2.1 shows (2.3.2).

3. The purpose of this section is to determine the scalar $c=c(q)$ which appeared in (2.2.1). Our main result of this section is (3.8).

Let us define a linear functional tr on H by

$$tr h(w) = \begin{cases} g & (w=1) \\ 0 & (w \neq 1), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(3.1) \quad g = (q-1)(q^2-1) \cdots (q^n-1)/(q-1)^n = \sum_{w \in W} q^{l(w)}.$$

It is known [4] that

$$(3.2) \quad tr(h(x)h(y)) = \begin{cases} gq^{l(xy)} & (xy=1) \\ 0 & (xy \neq 1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad \text{tr}(h_1 h_2) = \text{tr}(h_2 h_1) \quad (h_1, h_2 \in H).$$

By specializing q to a prime power r , $H(q)$ specializes to a \mathbf{C} -algebra $H(r)$ which can be identified with a subalgebra of the group ring $\mathbf{C}GL_n(r)$ (see [3]). It is easy to see that the restriction of the character of the regular representation of $\mathbf{C}GL_n(r)$ to $H(r)$ equals the specialization $\text{tr}_{q \rightarrow r}$. It is known [3] that every irreducible character of $H(r)$ can be uniquely obtained by restricting an irreducible character of $GL_n(r)$ (which is extended to a linear functional on $\mathbf{C}GL_n(r)$). Let $\chi(\lambda)$ be the character of $V_{\pm}(\lambda)$ (see (1.3)) and $\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)$ the irreducible character of $GL_n(r)$ corresponding to $\chi(\lambda)_{q \rightarrow r}$ in the above sense. Let $\tilde{d}(\lambda, r)$ be the multiplicity of $\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)$ in the regular representation of $GL_n(r)$, which is also the degree of $\tilde{\chi}(\lambda)$. Then

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{d}(\lambda, r) = \frac{\prod_{i>j} (r^{\lambda_i+(m-i)} - r^{\lambda_j+(m-j)})}{\prod_i (r-1)(r-1)^2 \dots (r^{\lambda_i+(m-i)} - 1)} \times \frac{(r-1)(r^2-1)\dots(r^n-1)}{r^{\binom{m-1}{2} + \binom{m-2}{2} + \dots}},$$

where $\lambda = \{\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \lambda_m \geq 0\}$. (See [8].) Let $d(\lambda, q)$ be the polynomial such that $d(\lambda, r) = \tilde{d}(\lambda, r)$ for any prime power r . The above argument shows that

$$(3.5) \quad \text{tr} = \sum_{\lambda} d(\lambda, q) \chi(\lambda),$$

where λ runs over the set of partitions of n . We have

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \text{tr}(h_- e_- h_-^{-1} \cdot h_+ e_+ h_+^{-1}) \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^-)} \text{tr}(h_- e_- h_-([- +]) e_+ h_+^{-1}) && \text{(by (2.1.5))} \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^-)} \text{tr}(h_-([- +]) e_+ h_+^{-1} h_- e_-) && \text{(by (3.3))} \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^-) - l(\mathbb{T}^+)} \text{tr}(h_-([- +]) e_+ h_-([+ -]) e_-) && \text{(by (2.1.5))} \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^+)} \sum_{x_{\pm} \in \mathbb{W}_{\pm}} (-q)^{-l(x_-)} \text{tr}(h_-([- +]) h(x_+) h([+ -]) h(x_-)) \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^+)} \sum_{x_{\pm} \in \mathbb{W}_{\pm}} (-q)^{-l(x_-)} \text{tr}(h_-([- +]) x_+ h([+ -] x_-)) && \text{(by (2.1.2) and (2.1.3))} \\ &= q^{-l(\mathbb{T}^+)} (q^{l(\mathbb{T}^+)} g) && \text{(by (3.2) and (2.1.4))} \\ &= g. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, (2.2.1) implies that $E = c^{-1} h_- e_- h_-^{-1} \cdot h_+ e_+ h_+^{-1}$ is an idempotent of $V_+(\lambda) h_+^{-1}$. By the specialization $q \rightarrow 1$, E specializes to a primitive idempotent. Hence the value of the character $\chi(\lambda)$ at E specializes to 1. But a character value at an idempotent must be an integer. Hence E is primitive. Hence

$$(3.7) \quad \text{tr}(c^{-1} h_- e_- h_-^{-1} h_+ e_+ h_+^{-1}) = d(\lambda, q).$$

By (3.6) and (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad c = \frac{g}{d(\lambda, q)}$$

By (3.4), c can be also expressed as follows

$$(3.9) \quad c = \frac{\prod_i (q-1)(q^2-1)\cdots(q^{\lambda_i+(m-i)}-1)}{\prod_{i>j} (q^{\lambda_i+(m-i)}-q^{\lambda_j+(m-j)})} q^{(\frac{m-1}{2})+(\frac{m-2}{2})+\cdots} \cdot (q-1)^{-n}.$$

Let us restate our results as a theorem.

Theorem 3.10. *Let λ be a partition of n and $\{T_1, \dots, T_f\}$ the standard tableaux which belong to λ . Assume that*

$$l([T_i-]) \geq l([T_j-]), \quad \text{if } i < j.$$

For each standard tableau T , let

$$E(T) = c^{-1}h([T-])e_{-(\lambda)}h([T-])^{-1}(h([T+])e_{+(\lambda)}h([T+])^{-1}),$$

where

$$c = \frac{g}{d(\lambda, q)}.$$

Then $E(T_1), \dots, E(T_f)$ are primitive idempotents which belong to $\mathcal{X}(\lambda)$, and

$$E(T_i)E(T_j) = 0, \quad \text{if } i < j.$$

(See (1.1) and (1.2) for e_{\pm} , section 2.1 for $[T_{\pm}]$, (3.1) for g , (3.4) and the subsequent lines for $d(\lambda, q)$.)

4. Orthogonalization of idempotents

The purpose of this section is to give a procedure to construct an orthogonal family of idempotents from a given family of idempotents. By applying this procedure to the family of idempotents $\{E(T)\}$ which was obtained in the preceding section, we get a complete family of mutually orthogonal, primitive idempotents of H .

4.1. Let X be a partially ordered set of cardinality n . Let $I = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and A be the set of bijections $a: I \rightarrow X$ such that a^{-1} is order preserving. If a is an element of A and if $a(i)$ and $a(i+1)$ are not comparable, we define a new element of A by

$$a'(j) = \begin{cases} a(j) & (j \neq i, i+1) \\ a(i+1) & (j = i) \\ a(i) & (j = i+1). \end{cases}$$

If $b(\in A)$ can be obtained from a by applying this operation several times, we say that b is equivalent to a and write $a \sim b$. This relation is an equivalence relation.

Lemma 4.2. *Any two elements of A are equivalent to each other.*

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} a(k) &= b(k) & (k < i) \\ a(i) &\neq b(i). \end{aligned}$$

Let $a(i) = a_0$ and $b^{-1}(a_0) = j$. Then $j > i$ and $a_0 = b(j)$ is not comparable with any one of $\{b(i), b(i+1), \dots, b(j-1)\}$. In fact, if $b(j)$ is comparable with $b(k)$ ($i \leq k < j$), then $a_0 = b(j) > b(k)$. But $a^{-1}(b(j)) = i$ and $b(k) \in \{b(1), \dots, b(i-1), a_0\} = \{a(1), \dots, a(i)\}$, hence $a^{-1}(b(k)) > i$. Since $k < j$, this is a contradiction.

Now we can define an element c of A by

$$c(k) = \begin{cases} b(k) & (1 \leq k < i) \\ b(j) & (k = i) \\ b(k-1) & (i < k \leq j) \\ b(k) & (j < k \leq n). \end{cases}$$

Then $b \sim c$ and $a(k) = c(k)$ ($k < i+1$). Thus, by an induction on i , we can show that $a \sim b$.

4.3. Let X be a set of idempotents in a ring with 1. Let us define a relation \leq in X by

$$\begin{aligned} e &\leq e' \text{ if there exists a sequence} \\ (\#) \quad e &= e_0, e_1, \dots, e_n = e' \text{ of elements of } X \\ &\text{such that } e_i e_{i+1} \neq 0 \quad (0 \leq i < n). \end{aligned}$$

Assume that

$$(4.3.1) \quad \text{the relation } \leq \text{ defined by } (\#) \text{ is a partial order.}$$

We can define A for this partially ordered set.

REMARK. If from the beginning, X is totally ordered and satisfies

$$(4.3.2) \quad ee' = 0 \quad \text{if } e > e',$$

then (4.3.1) is automatically satisfied. For example the set $\{E(T_1), \dots, E(T_r)\}$ satisfies (4.3.2) with any total order such that $l([T-]) \geq l([T'-])$ whenever $E(T) \geq E(T')$.

Lemma 4.4. *Let X be a set of idempotents. Let $x \in X$, $a \in A$, $i = a^{-1}(x)$ and $E(a, x) = (1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(i - 1))a(i)$. Then $\{E(a, x)\}_{x \in X}$ are mutually orthogonal idempotents, and each element $E(a, x)$ is independent of $a \in A$.*

Proof. If $i > j$, then $a(i)a(j) = 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} a(i)(1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(i - 1))a(i) &= a(i), \\ a(i)(1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(i)) &= 0, \\ a(i)(1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(j - 1))a(j) &= 0 \quad (i > j). \end{aligned}$$

From these equalities, we can conclude that $E(a, a(i))$ are mutually orthogonal idempotents.

To show that every $E(a, a(i))$ is independent of a , it is enough to prove that

$$(4.4.1) \quad E(a, a(j)) = E(a', a(j))$$

if a' is obtained from a by the transposition $(i, i + 1)$. There is nothing to prove for $j < i$. For $j = i$,

$$E(a, a(i)) = (1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(i - 1))a(i)$$

and

$$E(a', a(i)) = (1 - a'(1)) \cdots (1 - a'(i))a'(i + 1),$$

since $a'(i + 1) = a(i)$. Since

$$a'(i)a'(i + 1) = a(i + 1)a(i) = 0,$$

we have $E(a', a(i)) = E(a, a(i))$. For $j = i + 1$,

$$E(a, a(i + 1)) = (1 - a(1)) \cdots (1 - a(i))a(i + 1)$$

and

$$E(a', a(i + 1)) = (1 - a'(1)) \cdots (1 - a'(i - 1))a'(i),$$

since $a'(i) = a(i + 1)$. Since

$$a(i)a(i + 1) = a'(i + 1)a'(i) = 0,$$

we have $E(a', a(i + 1)) = E(a, a(i + 1))$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - a'(i))(1 - a'(i + 1)) &= (1 - a(i + 1))(1 - a(i)) \\ &= (1 - a(i))(1 - a(i + 1)), \end{aligned}$$

(4.4.1) holds for $j > i + 1$.

By the above lemma, we can define a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents

$$X^0 = \{x^0 \mid x \in X\},$$

where, $x^0 = E(a, x)$ for some $a \in A$.

Theorem 4.5. *The set*

$$\{E(T)^0 \mid T \text{ standard tableau}\}$$

is a complete family of mutually orthogonal primitive idempotents in H .

References

- [1] C.T. Benson, C.W. Curtis: *On the degrees and rationality of certain characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 251–273.
- [2] N. Bourbaki: *Groupes et algebre de Lie*, Chap. IV, V, VI, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [3] C.W. Curtis, T.V. Fossom: *On centralizer rings and characters of representations of finite groups*, Math. Z. **107** (1968), 402–406.
- [4] J.A. Green: *On the Steinberg characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Math. Z. **117** (1970), 272–288.
- [5] A. Gyoja: *On the existence of a W -graph for an irreducible representation of a Coxeter group*, J. Algebra **86** (1984), 422–438.
- [6] G. James, A. Kerber: *The representation theory of the symmetric group*, Addison-Wesley, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [7] M. Jimbo: *A q -analogue of $U(\mathfrak{gl}(N+1))$, Hecke algebra and the Yang-Baxter equation*, to appear in Phys. Lett. A.
- [8] R. Steinberg: *A geometric approach to the representations of the full linear group over a Galois field*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1951), 274–282.
- [9] H. Weyl: *The classical groups*, Princeton University Press, 1946.

Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560
Japan