

Title	Solutions of an algebraic differential equation of the first order in a Liouvillian extension of the coefficient field
Author(s)	Ōtsubo, Shūji
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1979, 16(1), p. 289-293
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/4944">https://doi.org/10.18910/4944</a>
rights	
Note	

***Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA***

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

# SOLUTIONS OF AN ALGEBRAIC DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION OF THE FIRST ORDER IN A LIOUVILLIAN EXTENSION OF THE COEFFICIENT FIELD

SHŪJI ŌTSUBO

(Received November 30, 1977)

**0. Introduction.** Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed ordinary differential field of characteristic 0, and  $\Omega$  be a universal extension of  $k$ . An element  $\xi$  of  $\Omega$  will be called a *weakly liouvillian element* over  $k$  if there exists such an extending chain  $L_0 \subset L_1 \subset \cdots \subset L_n$  of differential subfields of  $\Omega$  that satisfies the following condition:

(i)  $L_0 = k$ ,  $L_n \ni \xi$ ; for each  $i (0 \leq i < n)$  we have  $L_{i+1} = L_i(t_i)$ , where either  $t'_i \in L_i$ ,  $t'_i/t_i \in L_i$  or  $t_i$  is algebraic over  $L_i$ .

If in addition the following condition is satisfied, then  $\xi$  is called a *liouvillian element* over  $k$ :

(ii) The field of constants of  $L_n$  is the same as that of  $k$ .

Let  $F$  be an algebraically irreducible element of the differential polynomial algebra  $k\{y\}$  of the first order. Then, by a theorem due to Kolchin ([1], p. 928) we can prove the following proposition (cf. [1]; Proof of Theorem 3, pp. 930-931):

Suppose that there exists a nonsingular solution of  $F=0$  which is a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ . Then, there exists a nonsingular solution of  $F=0$  which is a liouvillian element over  $k$ .

Let  $y$  be a generic point of the general solution of  $F=0$  in  $\Omega$  over  $k$ . Then,  $y$  is transcendental over  $k$ , and  $k(y, y')$  is a one-dimensional algebraic function field over  $k$  being a differential subfield of  $\Omega$ . Let  $K$  denote  $k(y, y')$  and  $P$  be a prime divisor of  $K$ . Then, the completion  $K_P$  of  $K$  with respect to  $P$  is a differential extension of  $K$  and the differentiation gives a continuous mapping from  $K_P$  to itself (cf. Rosenlicht[4]). Let  $\tau_1, \tau_2$  be two prime elements in  $P$ . Then,  $v_P(\tau'_1) \leq 0$  if and only if  $v_P(\tau'_2) \leq 0$ .

**Theorem.** Assume that  $v_P(\tau') \leq 0$  for each  $P$ , where  $\tau$  is a prime element in  $P$ . Then, any solution of  $F=0$  which is a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$  is contained in  $k$ .

It does not depend on the choice of a generic point  $y$  whether our assump-

tion is satisfied or not.

In the section 2 we shall give two examples of  $F=0$  to which our Theorem can be applied with success. As a particular case of the first example, we shall obtain the following:

**Corollary.** *Suppose that every element of  $k$  is constant. Then, any non-singular solution of*

$$(y')^2 = (y-a_1)\cdots(y-a_{2m+1}), \quad a_i \in k (1 \leq i \leq 2m+1)$$

*is not a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ ; here we assume that  $a_i \neq a_j (i \neq j)$  and  $m \geq 1$ .*

REMARK 1. By the valuation theory Rosenlicht [5] obtained a criterion for an algebraic differential equation of order  $n$  to have a solution in a liouvillian extension of the coefficient field, and proved our Corollary in the special case where  $m=1$ .

REMARK 2. Liouville ([2], pp. 536–539) stated the following theorem: Suppose that  $f$  is an algebraic function of  $x, y$  and that  $f_x f_y \neq 0$ . Then, any solution of a transcendental equation  $\log y = f(x, y)$  is not an elementary transcendental function of  $x$  unless it is constant. Our Theorem can not be applied to prove this theorem of Liouville, a differential-algebraic proof of which can be derived from the results obtained by Rosenlicht [4]. In the special case where  $f=y/x$ , an elementary proof was given by Matsuda [3].

The author wishes to express his sincere gratitude to Dr. M. Matsuda who presented this problem and gave kind advices, and to Mr. K. Nishioka for fruitful discussions with him.

**1. Proof of Theorem.** Let  $\Lambda$  be the set of all solutions of  $F=0$  that are not contained in  $k$ , and  $\Gamma$  be the set of all elements  $\xi$  of  $\Lambda$  such that there exists an extending chain  $H_0 \subset H_1 \subset \cdots \subset H_m$  of differential subfields of  $\Omega$  which satisfies the following two conditions:

(iii)  $H_0 = k, H_m \ni \xi$ ;

(iv) for each  $i (0 \leq i < m)$ ,  $H_{i+1}$  is the algebraic closure of  $H_i(t_i)$ ; here  $t_i$  is transcendental over  $H_i$ , and either  $t'_i \in H_i$  or  $t'_i/t_i \in H_i$ .

Suppose that there exists in  $\Lambda$  a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ . Then,  $\Gamma$  is not empty. To each element  $\xi$  of  $\Gamma$  we can correspond a positive integer  $n(\xi)$  which satisfies the following two conditions:

(v) There exists a chain  $H_0 \subset \cdots \subset H_{n(\xi)}$  which satisfies the two conditions (iii) and (iv) with  $m=n(\xi)$ ;

(vi) for any chain  $I_0 \subset \cdots \subset I_m$  satisfying the two conditions (iii) and (iv) with  $H_i = I_i$ , we have  $m \geq n(\xi)$ .

Take an element  $\eta$  of  $\Gamma$  such that

$$(1) \quad n(\eta) = \min \{n(\xi); \xi \in \Gamma\},$$

and let  $H_0 \subset \dots \subset H_{n(\eta)}$  be a chain which satisfies the two conditions (iii), (iv) with  $\xi = \eta$  and  $m = n(\eta)$ . For convenience we represent  $n(\eta)$  by  $m$ ,  $H_{m-1}$  by  $N$  and  $t_{m-1}$  by  $t$ . Then,  $\eta$  is a transcendental element over  $N$  satisfying  $F=0$ . The equation is algebraically irreducible over  $N$ , since it is so over an algebraically closed field  $k$ . Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  denote  $N(\eta, \eta')$  and  $N(\eta, \eta', t)$  respectively. They are one-dimensional algebraic function fields over  $N$ , being differential subfields of  $H_m$ . Since  $t$  is transcendental over  $N$ , there exists a prime divisor  $Q$  of  $M_2$  such that  $\nu_Q(t) < 0$ . Restricting  $\nu_Q$  to  $M_1$  we have a valuation of  $M_1$  over  $N$  belonging to a certain prime divisor  $S$  of  $M_1$ , because  $M_2$  is an algebraic extension of  $M_1$  of finite degree. The completion  $N_2$  of  $M_2$  with respect to  $Q$  is a differential extension field of the completion  $N_1$  of  $M_1$  with respect to  $S$ . We have  $t = \rho^{-d}$  for a prime element  $\rho$  in  $Q$ , where  $d > 0$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a prime element in  $S$ . Then, in  $N_2$  we have

$$\sigma = a_0 \rho^e + a_1 \rho^{e+1} + \dots \quad (a_0 \neq 0);$$

here  $a_i \in N (i \geq 0)$  and  $e > 0$ . Hence,  $\nu_S(\sigma') > 0$  if  $\nu_Q(\rho') > 0$ . Let us prove that  $\nu_Q(\rho') > 0$ . First suppose that  $t' = b$  and  $b \in N$ . Then,

$$b = -d\rho'\rho^{-d-1}.$$

Secondly suppose that  $t'/t = c$  and  $c \in N$ . Then,

$$c\rho^{-d} = -d\rho'\rho^{-d-1}.$$

In any case we have  $\nu_Q(\rho') > 0$ . Therefore,  $\nu_S(\sigma') > 0$ . We shall show that it leads us to a contradiction.

First suppose that  $\nu_S(\eta) < 0$ . Then, restricting  $\nu_S$  to  $k(\eta, \eta')$  we have a normalized valuation of  $k(\eta, \eta')$  over  $k$  belonging to a certain prime divisor  $P$  of  $k(\eta, \eta')$ , since  $k$  is algebraically closed. The completion of  $k(\eta, \eta')$  with respect to  $P$  is a differential subfield of  $N_1$ . A prime element  $\tau$  in  $P$  is a prime element in  $S$ . By our assumption,  $\nu_S(\tau') \leq 0$ . This is a contradiction. Secondly suppose that  $\nu_S(\eta - \alpha) > 0$  with an element  $\alpha$  of  $k$ . Then, we also meet a contradiction. Lastly suppose that  $\nu_S(\eta - \beta) > 0$  with an element  $\beta$  of  $N$  which is not contained in  $k$ . Then, by a theorem of Rosenlicht [5],  $\beta$  is a solution of  $F=0$ : In fact, we have

$$\eta = \beta + b_1\sigma + b_2\sigma^2 + \dots \quad (b_i \in N, i \geq 1)$$

in  $N_1$  and

$$\eta' = \beta' + (b_1'\sigma + b_2'\sigma^2 + \dots) + \sigma'(b_1 + 2b_2\sigma + \dots).$$

Because of  $\nu_S(\sigma') > 0$ ,  $\nu_S(\eta' - \beta') > 0$ . Hence,  $F(\beta, \beta') = 0$ . Since  $\beta \in N$ ,  $\beta$

is an element of  $\Gamma$  and  $n(\beta) < n(\eta)$ . This inequality contradicts the assumption (1). Therefore, there does not exist in  $\Lambda$  any weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ .

**2. Examples.** Let  $k_0$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. We set  $c' = 0$  for all elements  $c$  of  $k_0$ .

EXAMPLE 1. Let us assume that  $k = k_0$  and

$$F(y, y') = G(y, y')y'^m + H(y),$$

where  $m > 0$ ,  $G \in k[y, y']$ ,  $H \in k[y]$ . We set on  $F$  the following conditions:

- (vii)  $H$  has only simple roots;
- (viii)  $\deg_{y'} G < m$  and  $\deg_y G < \deg_y H$ ;
- (ix)  $G(a, y') \neq 0$  for any root  $a$  of  $H$ .

Then,  $F$  is algebraically irreducible. Let us set on  $F$  one more condition:

- (x)  $m > 1$  and  $m + \deg_{y, y'} G < \deg_y H$ .

We prove that the assumption of our Theorem is satisfied by  $F$ . First suppose that  $\nu_p(y) < 0$ . Then,  $y = \tau^{-e}$  with  $e > 0$ . If  $\nu_p(\tau') > 0$ , then  $\nu_p(y') \geq -e$  and

$$\nu_p(Gy'^m) \geq -e(m + \deg_{y, y'} G) > -e \cdot \deg_y H = \nu_p(H).$$

Secondly suppose that  $\nu_p(y - a) > 0$  for some root  $a$  of  $H$ . Then,  $y = a + \tau^e$  with  $e > 0$ . If  $\nu_p(\tau') > 0$ , then  $\nu_p(y') \geq e$  and

$$\nu_p(Gy'^m) \geq em > e = \nu_p(H).$$

Lastly suppose that  $\nu_p(y - b) > 0$  with an element  $b$  of  $k$  different from any root of  $H$ . If  $\nu_p(\tau') > 0$ , then  $\nu_p(y') \geq 1$  and

$$\nu_p(Gy'^m) \geq m > 0 = \nu_p(H).$$

In any case we meet a contradiction if it is assumed that  $\nu_p(\tau') > 0$ . Since

$$\partial F / \partial y' = y'^{m-1}(mG + y' \partial G / \partial y'),$$

any nonsingular solution of  $F = 0$  is not a constant. Hence, by our Theorem, any nonsingular solution of  $F = 0$  is not a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let us assume that  $k$  is the algebraic closure of the one-dimensional rational function field  $k_0(x)$  over  $k_0$  with  $x' = 1$ , and that

$$F(y, y') = xy' - y(1 - y)^n - x, \quad n > 0.$$

Then, it can be proved that any element of  $k$  does not satisfy  $F = 0$ . We show that the assumption of our Theorem is satisfied by  $F$ . First suppose that  $\nu_p(y) < 0$ . Then, we have  $y = \tau^{-1}$  and

$$\tau' = -\tau^{1-n}(\tau-1)^n/x-\tau^2.$$

Hence,  $\nu_P(\tau')=1-n\leq 0$ . Secondly suppose that  $\nu_P(y-a)>0$  with an element  $a$  of  $k$ . Then,  $y=a+\tau$ . Since  $a$  can not be a solution of  $F=0$ , we have  $\nu_P(\tau')\leq 0$ . Hence, by our Theorem, any solution of  $F=0$  is not a weakly liouvillian element over  $k$ .

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

---

### Bibliography

- [1] E. R. Kolchin: *Existence theorems connected with the Picard-Vessiot theory of homogeneous linear ordinary differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **54** (1948), 927-932.
- [2] J. Liouville: *Suite du memoire sur la classification des transcendentes, et sur l'impossibilité d'exprimer les racines de certaines équation en fonction finie explicite des coefficients*, J. Math. Pures Appl. **3** (1838), 523-546.
- [3] M. Matsuda: *Liouville's theorem on a transcendental equation  $\log y=y/x$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **16** (1976), 545-554.
- [4] M. Rosenlicht: *On the explicit solvability of certain transcendental equations*, Publ. Math. Inst. HES. **36** (1969), 15-22.
- [5] ———: *An analogue of L'Hospital's rule*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **37** (1973), 369-373.

