OUKA
The University of Osaka
Institutional Knowledge Archive

Title	Analyticity of solutions of quasilinear evolution equations. II
Author(s)	Furuya, Kiyoko
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1983, 20(1), p. 217-236
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5059
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Furuya, K.
Osaka J. Math.
20 (1983), 217-236

ANALYTICITY OF SOLUTIONS OF QUASILINEAR EVOLUTION EQUATIONS II

KIYOKO FURUYA

(Received May 15, 1981)

0. Introduction

In this paper we establish analyticity in t of solutions to quasilinear evolution equations

$$\frac{du}{dt}+A(t, u)u = f(t, u), \qquad 0\leqq t\leqq T, \tag{0.1}$$

$$u(0) = u_0. \tag{0.2}$$

The unknown, u, is a function of t with values in a Banach space X. For fixed t and $v\in X$, the linear operator $-A(t, v)$ is the generator of an analytic semigroup in X and $f(t, v)\in X$. In the case that the domain $D(A(t, v))$ of $A(t, v)$ does not depend on t and v, Massey [7] discussed analyticity in t for equation of the form (0.1).

In the present paper, we consider analyticity for (0.1), (0.2) under the assumptions that the domain $D(A(t, v)^h)$ of $A(t, v)^h$ is independent of t, v for some $h=1/m$ where m is a positive integer and that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is Hölder continuous in v in the sense that $\|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^hA(t, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}-I\|\leqq C\|v-w\|^\eta$, while in the previous papers [2], [3] we discussed the same problem in the case that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is Lipschtz continuous. In order to prove the theorems we shall make use of the linear theory of Kato [5].

In the following $L(X, Y)$ is the space of linear operators from a normed space X to another normed space Y, and $B(X, Y)$ is the space of bounded linear operators belonging to $L(X, Y)$. $L(X)=L(X, X)$ and $B(X)=B(X, X)$. $\|\ \|$ will be used for the norm in both X and $B(X)$; it should be clear from the context which is intended. $\sum(\phi;\ T)\equiv\{t\in \boldsymbol{C};\ |\arg t|<\phi, 0<|t|<T\}\cup\{0\}$ is the sector in the complex plane.

We shall make the following assumptions:

(A-1) There exist $h=1/m$, where m is an integer, $m\geqq 2$, and $0\leqq\alpha<h/2$ such that $A_0^{-\alpha}$ is a well-defined operator$\in B(X)$ and $u_0\in D(A_0^{1+\alpha})$ where $A_0\equiv A(0, u_0)$.

(A-2) A_0^{-1} is a completely continuous operator.

(A–3) There exist $R>0$, $M>0$, $T_0>0$ and $\phi_0>0$ such that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is a well-defined operator$\in L(X)$ for each $t\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)$ and $v\in N\equiv\{v\in X; \|v-A_0^{\alpha}u_0\|<R\}\cap Y\cup\{A_0^{\alpha}u_0\}$, and the domain, $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))$, of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is dense in X. Where $Y\equiv\bigcup_{t>0}\{v\in X; \|v-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)\|<tM\}$ $(0<M\leqq\|a\|)$ and we shall define $a\in X$ in the next section.

(A–4) For any $t\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)$, $v\in N$

$$(0.3)\quad \begin{cases} \text{the resolvent set of } A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \text{ contains the left half-plane and there} \\ \text{exists } C_1 \text{ such that } \|(\lambda-A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))^{-1}\|\leqq C_1(1+|\lambda|)^{-1},\ Re\lambda\leqq 0\,. \end{cases}$$

(A–5) The domain $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h)=D$ of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is independent of $t\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)$, $v\in N$.

(A–6) There exist C_2, C_3, σ, $1-h+\alpha<\sigma\leqq 1$, α'', $\alpha<\alpha''<h/2$, η, $\dfrac{1-h+\alpha''}{1-\alpha}<\eta<1$ such that

$$(0.4)\quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}\|\leqq C_2 \quad t, s\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)\,,\quad v, w\in N\,.$$

$$(0.5)\quad \|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}-I\|\leqq C_3\{|t-s|^{\sigma}+\|w-v\|^{\eta}\}$$
$$t, s\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)\,,\quad v, w\in N\,.$$

(A–7) The map $\Phi: (t, v)\mapsto A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A_0^{-h}$ is analytic from $(\sum(\phi_0; T_0)\setminus\{0\})\times(N\setminus\{A_0^{\alpha}u_0\})$ to $B(X)$.

(A–8) $f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)$ and $v\in N$, $f(0, u_0)\in D(A_0^h)$, and there exists C_4 such that

$$(0.6)\quad \|f(t, A_0^{-\alpha})-f(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)\|\leqq C_4\{|t-s|^{\sigma}+\|w-v\|^{\eta}\}$$
$$t, s\in\sum(\phi_0; T_0)\,,\quad w, v\in N\,.$$

(A–9) The map $\Psi: (t, v)\mapsto f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is analytic from $(\sum(\phi_0; T_0)\setminus\{0\})\times N$ into X.

These constants C_i $(i=1, 2, 3, 4)$ do not depend on t, s, v, w.

The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let the assumptions* (A–1)–(A–9) *hold. Then there exist* T, $0<T\leqq T_0$, ϕ, $0<\phi\leqq\phi_0$, $K>0$, k, $1-h<k<1$ *and at least one continuous function* u *mapping* $\sum(\phi; T)$ *into* X *such that* $u(0)=u_0$, $u(t)\in D(A(t, u(t)))$ *and* $\|A_0^{\alpha}u(t)-A_0^{\alpha}u_0\|<R$ *for* $t\in\sum(\phi; T)$, $u: \sum(\phi; T)\setminus\{0\}\to X$ *is analytic,* $du/dt+A(t, u(t))u(t)=f(t, u(t))$ *for* $t\in\sum(\phi; T)\setminus\{0\}$, *and* $\|A_0^{\alpha}u(t)-A_0^{\alpha}u_0\|\leqq K|t|^k$ *for* $t\in\sum(\phi; T)$.

REMARKS. (1) Under the assumption that $D(A(t, u)^h)$ is constant, Sobolevskii [10] gave the existence of solutions to (0.1) with differentiable coefficients. But, as far as the author knows, the proof of [10] (or similar results) is not published yet.

(2) From the assumptions (A–3) and (A–4), $-A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ generates an analytic semigroup in X, and the fractional powers $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^{\beta}$ are defined for $\beta \in \boldsymbol{R}$. Properties of analytic semigroups and fractional powers, see Tanabe [11] Sobolevskii [9] Krein [6] Friedman [1] etc.
(3) In the previous papers [2] [3] we proved similar results with $\eta = 1$. In this case we need not the assumption (A–2).

The author wishes to express her hearty thanks to Professor Y. Kōmura for his kind advices and encouragements.

1. Preliminaries

We shall make the following assumptions:
I) For each $t \in [0, T]$, $A(t)$ is a densely defined, closed linear operator in X with its spectrum contained in a fixed sector $S_\theta \equiv \{z \in C;\ |\arg z| < \theta \leqq \pi/2\}$. The resolvent of $A(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$(1.1)\quad \|[z - A(t)]^{-1}\| \leqq M_0/|z| \qquad \text{for} \quad z \notin S_\theta$$

where M_0 is a constant independent of t. Furthermore, $z=0$ also belongs to the resolvent set of $A(t)$ and

$$(1.2)\quad \|A(t)^{-1}\| \leqq M_1$$

M_1 being independent of t.
II) For some $h = 1/m$, where m is a positive integer $\geqq 2$, $D(A(t)^h) = D$ is independent of t, and there are constants k, M_2 and M_3 such that

$$(1.3)\quad \|A(t)^h A(s)^{-h}\| \leqq M_2, \qquad 0 \leqq t \leqq T, \quad 0 \leqq s \leqq T.$$
$$(1.4)\quad \|A(t)^h A(s)^{-h} - I\| \leqq M_3 |t-s|^k, \qquad 0 \leqq t \leqq T, \quad 0 \leqq s \leqq T, \quad 1-h < k \leqq 1.$$

REMARK. From (1.2) there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$(1.2)'\quad \|A(t)^{-h}\| \leqq C \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T]$$

C being independent of t.

Under these assumptions, we get the following theorems. They are due to Kato.

Theorem A. *Let the conditions* I) *and* II) *be satisfied. Then there exists a unique evolution operator* $U(t, s) \in B(X)$ *defined for* $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$, *with the following properties.* $U(t, s)$ *is strongly continuous for* $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$ *and*

$$(1.5)\quad U(t, r) = U(t, s)U(s, r), \quad r \leqq s \leqq t,$$
$$(1.6)\quad U(t, t) = I.$$

For $s<t$, the range of $U(t, s)$ is a subset of $D(A(t))$ and

$$(1.7)\quad A(t)U(t, s)\in B(X),\quad \|A(t)U(t, s)\|\leqq M|t-s|^{-1},$$

where M is a constant depending only on θ, h, k, T, M_0, M_1, M_2 and M_3. Furthermore, $U(t, s)$ is strongly continuously differentiable in t for $t>s$ and

$$(1.8)\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial t}U(t, s)+A(t)U(t, s)=0.$$

If $u\in D$, $U(t, s)u$ is strongly continuously differentiable in s for $s<t$. If in particular $u\in D(A(s_0))$, then

$$(1.9)\quad \frac{\partial}{\partial s}U(t, s)u|_{s=s_0}=U(t, s_0)A(s_0)u.$$

If $f(t)$ is continuous in t, any strict solution of

$$(1.10)\quad \frac{du}{dt}+A(t)u=f(t)$$

must be expressible in the form

$$(1.11)\quad u(t)=U(t, 0)u(0)+\int_0^t U(t, s)f(s)ds.$$

Conversely, the $u(t)$ given by (1.11) *is a strict solution of* (1.10) *if $f(t)$ is Hölder continuous on $[0, T]$; here $u(0)$ may be an arbitrary element of X.*

Proof. See, [5].

Theorem B. *Assume that $A(t)$ can be continued to a complex neighborhood Δ of the interval $[0, T]$ in such a way that the conditions* I), II) *are satisfied for $t, s\in\Delta$. Furthermore, let $A(t)^{-h}$ be holomorphic for $t\in\Delta$. Then the evolution operator $U(t, s)$ exists for $s\leqq t$, satisfies the assertions of* Theorem A *and is holomorphic in s and t for $s<t$. (Here "$s<t$" should be interpreted as meaning "$t-s\in\sum$", where $\sum$ is the sector $|\arg t|<\pi/2-\theta$ of the t-plane, and "$s\leqq t$" as "$s<t$ or $s=t$".) If $f(t)$ is holomorphic for $t\in\Delta$, $t>0$, and Hölder continuous at $t=0$, every solution of* (1.10) *has a continuation holomorphic for $t\in\Delta$, $t>0$.*

Proof. See, [5].

It follows from I) and II) that

Proposition 1.

$$(1.12)\quad \|A(t)^{\alpha}\exp(\tau A(t))\|\leqq N_6|\tau|^{-\alpha}\quad :0\leqq\alpha\leqq 2,\ |\arg\tau|\leqq\pi/2-\theta$$

$$(1.13)\quad \|A(t)^{\alpha}U(t, s)\|\leqq(h+k-\alpha)^{-1}N_{18}(t-s)^{-\alpha}\quad :0\leqq\alpha<k+h$$

(1.14) $\|A(t)^{\alpha+h}U(t, s)A(s)^{-h}\| \leqq (k-\alpha)^{-1}N_{19}(t-s)^{-\alpha}$: $0 \leqq \alpha < k$, $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$.

Here the constants $N_i (i \geqq 4, i \in \boldsymbol{N})$ are determined by M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, θ, h, k, T. The above Proposition is essentially proved in [5]. In addition to these, we need the following estimates in [3].

Proposition 2. *If* $1-h<k<1$, $0<\alpha<\alpha'<1-k$, *then for any* $0 \leqq r \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$, *the following inequalities hold*:

(1.15) $\|A(0)^{\alpha}[U(t, 0)-U(s, 0)]A(0)^{-1}\| \leqq C(t-s)^{1-\alpha'}$

(1.16) $\|A(0)^{\alpha}[U(t, r)-U(s, r)]\| \leqq C(t-s)^{1-\alpha'}(s-r)^{-1}$,

where the constant C *is determined by* M_0, M_1, M_2, M_3, θ, h, k, α, T.

Proposition 3. *Let the function* $f(t)$ *be continuous on* $[0, T]$. *Then for any* $0 \leqq s \leqq t \leqq T$, $0<\alpha<\alpha'<\alpha''<h$, *the following inequality holds*:

(1.17) $$\|A_0^{\alpha}[\int_0^t U(t, r)f(r)dr - \int_0^s U(s, r)f(r)dr]\| \leqq C_{\alpha\alpha'}|t-s|^{1-\alpha''}(|\log(t-s)|+1)\max_{0 \leqq r \leqq T}\|f(r)\|.$$

Proposition 4. *If* $0<\alpha'<\alpha''<h$, *then for any* $0 \leqq r \leqq t \leqq T$, *the following inequality holds*:

(1.18) $\|A(t)^{\alpha'}U(t, r)A(r)^{1-ph}\| \leqq C(t-r)^{ph-\alpha''-1}$ $\quad p = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Proposition 5. *Let the function* $f(t)$ *be Hölder continuous on* $[0, T]$. *Then for any* $0 \leqq r \leqq T$, *the following inequality holds*:

(1.19) $\|A(r)^{ph}\int_0^r U(r, s)f(s)ds\| \leqq Cr^{1-ph}$: $p = 1, 2, \cdots, m$.

Now we shall define a. We shall make the following assumptions;

(a–1)=(A–1)

(a–2) There exists $T_0>0$, such that $A_{u_0}(t)=A(t, u_0)$ is a well-defined operator from X to X for each $t \in [0, T_0)$.

(a–3) For any $t \in [0, T_0)$ the resolvent of $A_{u_0}(t)$ contains the left half-plane and there exists C_1 such that $\|(\lambda - A_{u_0}(t))^{-1}\| \leqq C_1(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}$, $Re\,\lambda \leqq 0$, and the domain, $D(A_{u_0}(t))$, of $A_{u_0}(t)$ is dense in X.

(a–4) The domain $D(A_{u_0}(t)^h)=D$ of $A_{u_0}(t)^h$ is independent of $t \in [0, T_0)$ and there exist C_2, C_3, σ, $1-h+\alpha<\sigma \leqq 1$ such that

$$\|A_{u_0}(t)^h A_{u_0}(s)^{-h}\| \leqq C_2 \qquad t, s \in [0, T_0),$$
$$\|A_{u_0}(t)^h A_{u_0}(s)^{-h} - I\| \leqq C_3|t-s|^{\sigma} \qquad t, s \in [0, T_0).$$

(a–5) $f_{u_0}(t)=f(t, u_0)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t \in [0, T_0)$ and there

exists C_4 such that

$$||f_{u_0}(t)-f_{u_0}(s)|| \leqq C_4|t-s|^{\sigma} \qquad t, s\in[0, T_0).$$

These constants $C_i(i=1, 2, 3, 4)$ do not depend on t, s.

Then from the Theorem A, there is a unique solution of

$$(1.20) \quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{d\hat{u}}{dt}+A_{u_0}(t)\hat{u} = f_{u_0}(t) \\ \hat{u}(0) = u_0 . \end{cases}$$

With the solution of (1.20) set

$$(1.21) \quad a = \frac{d^+}{dt}A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)|_{t=0} .$$

We can define a since by $u_0\in D(A_0^{1+\alpha})$, $f_{u_0}(0)\in D(A_0^h)$ and $1-h+\alpha<\sigma\leqq 1$. In fact from (1.13), (a–5) and (a–4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &||A_0^{\alpha}\int_0^t U_{u_0}(t, s)f_{u_0}(s)ds|| \\ &\leqq \int_0^t ||A_0^{\alpha}U_{u_0}(t, s)||\cdot||f_{u_0}(s)-f_{u_0}(0)||ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t ||A_0^{\alpha}U_{u_0}(t, s)A_{u_0}(s)^{-h}||\cdot||A_{u_0}(s)^hA_0^{-h}||\cdot||A_0^hf_{u_0}(0)||ds \\ &\leqq \int_0^t (h+k-\alpha)^{-1}N_{18}(t-s)^{-\alpha}C_4s^{\sigma}ds + \int_0^t C(t-s)^{h-\alpha'}C_2||A_0^hf_{u_0}(0)||ds \\ &\leqq Ct^{1+h-\alpha'} . \end{aligned}$$

2. Existence of solutions on the real axis

We consider the Cauchy problem

$$(2.1) \quad du/dt+A(t, u)u = f(t, u) \qquad 0\leqq t\leqq T$$

$$(2.2) \quad u(0) = u_0 .$$

We shall make the following assumptions:

(R–1) There exist $h=1/m$, where m is an integer, $m\geqq 2$, and $0\leqq\alpha<h/2$ such that $A_0^{-\alpha}$ is a well-defined operator$\in B(X)$ and $u_0\in D(A_0^{1+\alpha})$ where $A_0\equiv A(0, u_0)$.

(R–2) A_0^{-1} is a completely continuous operator.

(R–3) There exist $R>0$ and $M>0$ such that $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is a well-defined operator $\in L(X)$ for each $t\in[0, T]$ and $v\in N\equiv\{v\in X; ||v-A_0^{\alpha}u_0||<R\}\cap Y\cup\{A_0^{\alpha}u_0\}$ where $Y\equiv\bigcup_{t>0}\{v\in X; ||v-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)||<tM\}$, $0<M\leqq||a||$, and the domain, $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))$, of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is dense in X.

(R–4) For any $t\in[0, T]$ and $v\in N$

(2.3) $\begin{cases} \text{the resolvent set of } A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \text{ contains the left half-plane and there} \\ \text{exists } C_1 \text{ such that } \|(\lambda - A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))^{-1}\| \leqq C_1(1+|\lambda|)^{-1}, \quad Re\,\lambda \leqq 0\,. \end{cases}$

(R–5) The domain $D(A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h) = D$ of $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h$ is independent of $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N$.

(R–6) There exist C_2, C_3, σ, $1-h+\alpha < \sigma \leqq 1$, $\alpha < \alpha'' < h/2$, $\dfrac{1-h+\alpha''}{1-\alpha} < \eta < 1$ such that

$$\|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h}\| \leqq C_2 \qquad t, s \in [0, T]\,, \quad v, w \in N\,, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\|A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h A(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)^{-h} - I\| \leqq C_3\{|t-s|^{\sigma} + \|v-w\|^{\eta}\} \qquad t, s \in [0, T]\,, \quad v, w \in N\,. \tag{2.5}$$

(R–7) $f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is defined and belongs to X for each $t \in [0, T]$ and $v \in N$, and there exists C_4 such that

$$\|f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) - f(s, A_0^{-\alpha}w)\| \leqq C_4\{|t-s|^{\sigma} + \|v-w\|^{\eta}\} \quad t, s \in [0, T], \quad w, v \in N\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Theorem 2. *Let the assumptions* (R–1)–(R–7) *hold. Then there exists* S_0, $0 < S_0 \leqq T$, *such that there exists at least one continuously differentiable solution of* (2.1) *for* $0 < t < S_0$ *that is continuous for* $0 \leqq t < S_0$ *and satisfies* (2.2).

Proof. Let $\alpha < \alpha'' < h/2$, $(1-h+\alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1-\alpha$, $L > 0$ and $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. We consider the set $F(S)$ of all functions $v(t)$, defined on $[0, S)$ which satisfy the following;

$$v(0) = A_0^{\alpha}u_0\,, \tag{2.7}$$

$$\|v(t_1) - v(t_2)\| \leqq L|t_1 - t_2|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for any} \quad t_1, t_2 \in [0, S)\,, \tag{2.8}$$

$$\|v(t) - (A_0^{\alpha}u_0 + ta)\| \leqq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, S) \tag{2.9}$$

Suppose $S_1 \in (0, T]$. Then for any $v \in F(S_1)$

$$\|v(t) - A_0^{\alpha}u_0\| = \|v(t) - v(0)\| \leqq L|t|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, S_1)\,.$$

So if $0 < S_2 < \min\{S_1, (RL^{-1})^{1/\zeta}\}$, then

$$\|v(t) - A_0^{\alpha}u_0\| < L(RL^{-1}) = R \qquad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, S_2)\,. \tag{2.10}$$

Therefore from (2.9) we have $v(t) \in N$ for $t \in (0, S_2)$. Hence the operator

$$A_v(t) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)) \tag{2.11}$$

is well defined for $t \in [0, S_2)$ and, by (2.3)

$$\|(\lambda - A_v(t))^{-1}\| \leqq C_1/(1+|\lambda|) \qquad \text{if} \quad Re\,\lambda \leqq 0\,, \quad t \in [0, S_2)\,.$$

From (2.4) we obtain

$$||A_v(t)^h A_v(s)^{-h}|| \leqq C_2 \qquad \text{if} \quad t, s \in [0, S_2)\,.$$

From (2.5) and (2.8) we also get

$$||A_v(t)^h A_v(s)^{-h} - I|| \leqq C_3\{|t-s|^\sigma + ||v(t)-v(s)||^\eta\} \leqq C_3\{S_2^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta S_2^{\zeta\eta-k}\}\,|t-s|^k$$

where $k = \min\{\sigma, \zeta\eta\}$. $\qquad t, s \in [0, S_2)$

Note that $1-h+\alpha < \sigma \leqq 1$ and $(1-h+\alpha'')/\eta < \zeta < 1-\alpha$ imply $1-h<k<1$.

By Theorem A, there exists a fundamental solution $U_v(t, s)$ corresponding to $A_v(t)$ and all the estimates for fundamental solutions in the previous section hold uniformly with respect to v in $F(S_2)$. In particular, from (1.15) and (1.16) we get for $0<\alpha<\alpha'<1-\zeta$, $0 \leqq r \leqq s \leqq t \leqq S_2$

$$||A_0^\alpha[U_v(t, 0) - U_v(s, 0)]A_0^{-1}|| \leqq \tilde{C}\,|t-s|^{1-\alpha'} \tag{2.12}$$

$$||A_0^\alpha[U_v(t, r) - U_v(s, r)]|| \leqq \tilde{C}\,|t-s|^{1-\alpha'}\,|s-r|^{-1} \tag{2.13}$$

where $\tilde{C}$ is a constant depending on θ, h, ζ, α, C_1, C_2, C_3, S_2.

Setting $f_v(t) = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha} v(t))$, it follows from (2.6) and (2.8) that

$$||f_v(t) - f_v(s)|| \leqq C_4\{|t-s|^\sigma + ||v(t)-v(s)^\eta||\} \leqq C_4\{T^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta T^{\zeta\eta-k}\}\,|t-s|^k\,. \tag{2.14}$$

Since $f_v(0) = f(0, A_0^{-\alpha} v(0)) = f(0, u_0)$ is independent of v, (2.14) implies that

$$\max_{0 \leqq t < S_2} ||f_v(t)|| \leqq ||f(0, u_0)|| + C_4\{S_2^{\sigma-k} + L^\eta S_2^{\zeta\eta-k}\}\,S_2^k \leqq C_5\,. \tag{2.15}$$

Set $w_{v,\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha w_v(t)$, where w_v is the unique solution of

$$dw_v/dt + A_v(t)w_v = f_v(t) \qquad t \in [0, S_2) \tag{2.16}$$

$$w_v(0) = u_0\,. \tag{2.17}$$

Then from (2.14) and Theorem A, $w_{v,\alpha}$ is given by

$$w_{v,\alpha}(t) = A_0^\alpha U_v(t, 0)u_0 + A_0^\alpha \int_0^t U_v(t, s)f_v(s)ds\,. \tag{2.18}$$

In view of (2.18), for any t_1, t_2 in $[0, S_2)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ||w_{v,\alpha}(t_1) - w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)|| \leqq{}& ||A_0^\alpha[U_v(t_1, 0) - U_v(t_2, 0)]A_0^{-1}|| \cdot ||A_0 u_0|| \\ &+ ||A_0^\alpha[\int_0^{t_1} U_v(t_1, s)f_v(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} U_v(t_2, s)f_v(s)ds]||\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Making use of (2.14), (2.15) and (1.17), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} &||A_0^\alpha[\int_0^{t_1} U_v(t_1, s)f_v(s)ds - \int_0^{t_2} U_v(t_2, s)f_v(s)ds]|| \\ &\quad \leqq \tilde{C}\,|t_1-t_2|^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}(|\log(t_1-t_2)|+1) \qquad \text{where} \quad \zeta < 1-\tilde{\alpha} < 1-\alpha\,. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Therefore from (2.19), (2.12) and (2.20) it follows that

$$||w_{v,\alpha}(t_1)-w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)||\leqq \tilde{C}|t_1-t_2|^{1-\alpha'}||A_0u_0||+C|t_1-t_2|^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}(|\log(t_1-t_2)|+1)\,.$$

Hence if a positive number S_3 satisfies $\tilde{C}S_3^{1-\zeta-\alpha'}||A_0u_0||+CS_3^{1-\zeta-\tilde{\alpha}-\varepsilon}|t_1-t_2|^{\varepsilon}\times(|\log(t_1-t_2)|+1)\leqq L$ where $0<\varepsilon<1-\zeta-\tilde{\alpha}$ and if $S_3\leqq S_2$, the inequality

$$||w_{v,\alpha}(t_1)-w_{v,\alpha}(t_2)||\leqq L|t_1-t_2|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for} \quad t_1,\ t_2\in[0,\ S_3) \tag{2.21}$$

holds.

We shall prove that if S_4 is sufficiently small, the following inequality holds;

$$||w_{v,\alpha}(t)-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)||\leqq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \text{for all} \quad t\in[0,\ S_4)\,. \tag{2.22}$$

First. if S_5, $0<S_5\leqq S_3$, is sufficiently small, for any $0\leqq t<S_5$ the following inequality holds;

$$||w_{v,\alpha}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)||\leqq Mt(1-\varepsilon)/2 \qquad \text{for} \quad t\in[0,\ S_5)\,. \tag{2.23}$$

1) The case of bounded $A(t,\ A_0^{\alpha}v)$.

If $A(t_1,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_1)$ is assumed to be bounded for some $t_1\in[0,\ S_4)$ and some $v_1\in N$, in addition to the assumption (R–4) and (R–5), it follows that $A(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v)\in B(X)$ for all $t\in[0,\ S_4)$ and $v\in N$. In fact the boundedness of $A(t_1,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_1)$ implies that of $A(t_1,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_1)^h$ so that the constant domain $D=D(A(t_1,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_1)^h)$ must coincide with X. Thus from closed graph theorem $A(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h\in B(X)$ and hence $A(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v)\in B(X)$ for all t and v.

Let v_1, v_2 belong to $F(S_4)$ and set

$$\begin{cases} A_i(t) = A(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t)) \\ U_i(t,\ s) = U_{v_i}(t,\ s) \\ f_i(t) = f(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t)) \\ w_i(t) = A_0^{-\alpha}w_{v_i,\alpha}(t) \qquad\qquad i=1,\ 2\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.24}$$

Thus, for $i=1, 2$,

$$\begin{cases} dw_i/dt+A_i(t)w_i = f_i(t) \\ w_i(0) = u_0\,. \end{cases} \tag{2.25}$$

Note that $w_1(t)\in D(A_2(t))$, $w_2(t)\in D(A_1(t))$ since $A_i(t)\in B(X)$ $(i=1, 2)$, and we get

$$\frac{d}{dt}(w_1-w_2)+A_1(t)(w_1-w_2) = [A_2(t)-A_1(t)]w_2+[f_1(t)-f_2(t)]\,. \tag{2.26}$$

Now, we shall show the following,

Lemma 1. *$[A_2(t)-A_1(t)]w_2(t)$ is Hölder continuous in t for $0\leqq t<S_4$.*

Proof of Lemma. Write

$$(2.27)\quad [A_2(t)-A_1(t)]w_2(t)-[A_2(s)-A_1(s)]w_2(s)$$
$$= [A_2(t)-A_2(s)]w_2(t)+A_2(s)[w_2(t)-w_2(s)]$$
$$-[A_1(t)-A_1(s)]w_2(t)-A_1(s)[w_2(t)-w_2(s)]\,.$$

First we verify the following two inequalities:

$$(2.28)\quad \|[A_i(t)-A_i(s)]w_2(t)\| \leqq D_1(t-s)^k \qquad 0\leqq s\leqq t<S_4\,,\quad i=1,2\,,$$
$$(2.29)\quad \|A_i(s)[w_2(t)-w_2(s)]\| \leqq D_2(t-s)^{1-h} \qquad 0\leqq s\leqq t<S_4\,,\quad i=1,2\,,$$

where the constants D_1, D_2 do not depend on v_i, s, t but depend on $\|A_0^h\|$.

From (2.4), (2.5), (1.13) and (2.15) we have

$$\|[A_i(t)-A_i(s)]w_2(t)\|$$
$$\leqq \sum_{p=1}^{m}\|A_i(t)^{1-ph}[A_i(t)^hA_i(s)^{-h}-I]A_i(s)^{ph}\{U_2(t,0)u_0+\int_0^t U_2(t,r)f_2(r)dr\}\|$$
$$\leqq \sum_{p=1}^{m}\|A_i(t)^h\|^{m-p}\|A_i(t)^hA_i(s)^{-h}-I\|\cdot\|A_i(s)^h\|^p[\|U_2(t,0)u_0\|+\int_0^t\|U_2(t,r)f_2(r)\|dr]$$
$$\leqq mC^m(t-s)^k[(h+k)^{-1}N_{18}\|u_0\|+t(h+k)^{-1}N_{18}C_5]\|A_0^h\|^mC_3$$
$$\leqq D_1(t-s)^k\,.$$

From (2.4), (2.12) and (2.20) we have

$$\|A_i(s)[w_2(t)-w_2(s)]\|$$
$$\leqq\|A_i(s)A_0^{-\alpha}\|\cdot\|A_0^\alpha\{U_2(t,0)u_0+\int_0^t U_2(t,r)f_2(r)dr-U_2(s,0)u_0-\int_0^s U_2(s,r)f_2(r)dr\}\|$$
$$\leqq\|A_i(s)A_0^{-\alpha}\|\{\|A_0^\alpha[U_2(t,0)-U_2(s,0)]A_0^{-1}\|\cdot\|A_0u_0\|$$
$$+\|A_0^\alpha[\int_0^t U_2(t,r)f_2(r)dr-\int_0^s U_2(s,r)f_2(r)dr]\|\}$$
$$\leqq C_2^m\|A_0^h\|^m\|A_0^{-\alpha}\|\{\tilde{C}(t-s)^{1-\alpha'}\|A_0u_0\|+C(t-s)^{1-\alpha''}(|\log(t-s)|+1)\}$$
$$\leqq D_2(t-s)^{1-h}\,.$$

Thus using (2.27), (2.28) and (2.29) we obtain

$$(2.30)\quad \|[A_2(t)-A_1(t)]w_2(t)-[A_2(s)-A_1(s)]w_2(s)\|$$
$$\leqq 2D_1|t-s|^\sigma+2D_2|t-s|^{1-h}$$
$$\leqq D_3|t-s|^{1-h}$$

so that $[A_2(t)-A_1(t)]w_2(t)$ is Hölder continuous. q.e.d.

From (2.6) for any $0\leqq s\leqq t<S_4$ it follows that

$$(2.31)\quad \|[f_1(t)-f_2(t)]-[f_1(s)-f_2(s)]\|\leqq 2C|t-s|^k\,.$$

Hence from (2.30) and (2.31) the right-hand of (2.26) is Hölder continuous. Then applying Theorem A to (2.25) and $w_1(0)-w_2(0)=0$ we can write

$$(2.32)\quad w_1(t)-w_2(t)=\int_0^t U_1(t,r)\{[A_2(r)-A_1(r)]w_2(r)+[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]\}\,dr$$

Therefore from the definition of $w_{v,\alpha}$ we get the identity

$$\begin{aligned}(2.33)\quad & w_{v_1,\alpha}(t)-w_{v_2,\alpha}(t)\\ &=A_0^\alpha w_1(t)-A_0^\alpha w_2(t)\\ &=-A_0^\alpha\int_0^t U_1(t,r)\{[A_1(r)-A_2(r)]w_2(r)+[f_2(r)-f_1(r)]\}\,dr\\ &=-A_0^\alpha\int_0^t U_1(t,r)\sum_{p=1}^m A_1(r)^{1-ph}[A_1(r)^hA_2(r)^{-h}-I]A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)dr\\ &\qquad+A_0^\alpha\int_0^t U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\\ &=-\sum_{p=1}^m\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}[A_1(r)^hA_2(r)^{-h}-I]A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)dr\\ &\qquad+\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\,.\end{aligned}$$

In the following the constants $E_1, E_2, \cdots$ do not depend on s, t, v_i, $\|A_0^h\|$. So, put $v_1=v$ and $v_2=A_0^\alpha\hat{u}$;

$$\begin{aligned}(2.34)\quad & \|w_{v,\alpha}(t)-w_{A_0^\alpha\hat{u},\alpha}(t)\|\\ &=-\sum_{p=1}^m\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}[A_1(r)^hA_2(r)^{-h}-I]A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)dr\\ &\qquad+\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\,.\end{aligned}$$

From (2.8), (2.7), (2.18), (1.17) and (1.15) we get

$$\begin{aligned}(2.35)\quad & \|v(r)-A_0^\alpha\hat{u}(r)\|\\ &\leqq\|v(r)-v(0)\|+\|A_0^\alpha\hat{u}(r)-A_0^\alpha u_0\|\\ &\leqq Lr^\zeta+\|A_0^\alpha\int_0^r U_{u_0}(r,s)f_{u_0}(s)ds\|+\|A_0^\alpha[U_{u_0}(r,0)-U_{u_0}(0,0)]A_0^{-1}A_0u_0\|\\ &\leqq Lr^\zeta+Cr^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}[|\log r|+1]\max_{0\leqq t\leqq T}\|f_{u_0}(t)\|+Cr^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}\\ &\leqq Cr^\zeta \qquad\qquad \text{where}\quad \zeta<1-\tilde{\alpha}<1-\alpha\,.\end{aligned}$$

For any $0\leqq t<S_5$ the following inequality holds;

$$(2.36)\quad \|\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\|\leqq Ct^{1-\alpha'+\zeta\eta}\,.$$

We see this, using (1.13), (2.6) and (2.35) for $0<\alpha<\alpha'<h/2$, as follows;

$$\begin{aligned}(2.37)\quad & \|\int_0^t A_0^\alpha U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\|\\ &\leqq\int_0^t M_{\alpha\alpha'}(h+k-\alpha')^{-1}N_{18}(t-r)^{-\alpha'}C_4Cr^{\zeta\eta}dr\\ &\leqq Ct^{1-\alpha'+\zeta\eta}\,.\end{aligned}$$

We cite (1.18) for $A=A_1$, $U=U_1$;

$$(2.38)\quad ||A_1(t)^{\alpha'}U_1(t, r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}|| \leqq E_2(t-r)^{ph-\alpha''-1}.$$

Note that

$$(2.39)\quad A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r) = A_2(r)^{ph}U_2(r, 0)u_0+A_2(r)^{ph}\int_0^r U_2(r, s)f_2(s)ds$$

$$(2.40)\quad \begin{aligned}||A_2(r)^{ph}U_2(r, 0)u_0|| &\leqq ||A_2(r)^{ph}U_2(r, 0)A_0^{-h}||\cdot||A_0^h u_0|| \\ &\leqq (k-ph+h)^{-1}N_{19}r^{h-ph}||A_0^h u_0|| \\ &\leqq E_3 r^{h-ph}\end{aligned}$$

by (1.14).

From (1.19) we find that

$$(2.41)\quad ||A_2(r)^{ph}\int_0^r U_2(r, s)f_2(s)ds|| \leqq E_4 r^{1-ph}.$$

Hence using (2.39), (2.40) and (2.41) we have

$$(2.42)\quad \begin{aligned}||A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)|| &\leqq E_3 r^{h-ph}+E_4 r^{1-ph} \\ &\leqq E_5 r^{h-ph}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2.38), (2.5), (2.42) and (2.35) it follows that

$$(2.43)\quad \begin{aligned}&||\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t, r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}[A_1(r)^h A_2(r)^{-h}-I]A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)dr|| \\ &\leqq \int_0^t E_2(t-r)^{ph-\alpha''-1}||v(r)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(r)||^{\eta}E_5 r^{h-ph}dr \\ &\leqq \int_0^t E_2(t-r)^{ph-\alpha''-1}Cr^{\zeta\eta}E_5 r^{h-ph}dr \\ &\leqq Ct^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

Then from (2.34), (2.43) and (2.36) we have

$$(2.44)\quad \begin{aligned}||w_{v,\alpha}(t)-w_{A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u},\alpha}(t)|| &\leqq mCt^{1-\alpha'+\zeta\eta}+Ct^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta} \\ &\leqq Ct^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta}.\end{aligned}$$

Put $v_1=A_0^{\alpha}u_0$ and $v_2=A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)$, from (2.18) and (1.15) it follows that

$$(2.45)\quad \begin{aligned}&||\hat{u}(r)-u_0|| \\ &\leqq ||[U_{u_0}(r, 0)-U_{u_0}(0, 0)]A_0^{-1}A_0 u_0||+\int_0^r ||U_{u_0}(r, s)f_{u_0}(s)||ds \\ &\leqq Cr^{1-\tilde{\varepsilon}} \qquad \text{where} \quad 0<\tilde{\varepsilon}<\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Then as we get (2.44), we have

$$(2.46)\quad ||w_{A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u},\alpha}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)|| \leqq Ct^{h-\alpha''+\eta(1-\tilde{\varepsilon})}.$$

Note that $(1-h+\alpha'')/\eta<\zeta<1-\alpha$ implies $h-\alpha''+\eta(1-\tilde{\varepsilon})>h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta>1$. Therefore from (2.44) and (2.46)

$$(*)\quad \|w_{v,\alpha}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)\| \leqq Ct^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1}\times t \leqq CS_5^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1}\times t \qquad \text{for any } t\in[0, S_5).$$

So if $0<S_5\leqq \min(S_3, \{M(1-\varepsilon)/2C\}^{1+\alpha''-h-\zeta\eta})$, then

$$\|w_{v,\alpha}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)\|\leqq M(1-\varepsilon)t/2\,.$$

Thus (2.23) is obtained.

2) The general case.

We now turn to general case in which $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ is not necessarily bounded. We first construct a sequence of bounded operators $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ that approximate $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ in a certain sense. We set

$$(2.47)\quad \begin{cases} A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) = A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)J_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) \\ J_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v) = [1+n^{-1}A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)^h]^{-m} \qquad n=1, 2, \cdots. \end{cases}$$

Obviously $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ belongs to $B(X)$ and satisfy the assumptions I), II). Therefore, all the estimates deduced in the preceding section are valid, whose constants do not depend on n. Hence from I) there exists a fundamental solution $U_{i,n}(t, s)$ corresponding to $A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t))$ and a solution $w_{i,n}$ of

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{dw_{i,n}}{dt}+A_n(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v_i(t))w_{i,n}=f_i(t) \\ w_{i,n}(0)=u_0 \qquad\qquad v_i\in F(S_4)\,,\quad i=1, 2\,. \end{cases}$$

Then we get by $(*)$

$$(2.48)\quad \|A_n(0, u_0)^{\alpha}[w_{1,n}(t)-w_{2,n}(t)]\|\leqq CS_5^{h-\alpha''+\zeta\eta-1}\times t\,.$$

Due to Kato [5], we obtained that $A_n^{\alpha}(0, u_0)U_{i,n}(t, 0)\to A_0^{\alpha}U_i(t, 0)$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus (2.23) is obtained.

Next, from (1.21) for any $\delta>0$ there is a $t_0>0$ such that

$$\|\frac{1}{t}[A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}u_0]-a\|<\delta \qquad \text{for any } t\in(0, t_0]\,.$$

Then choose $\delta=M(1-\varepsilon)/2$ there is a $t_0>0$ such that

$$(2.49)\quad \|A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)-[A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta]\| = \|\frac{1}{t}[A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}u_0]-a\|t \leqq M(1-\varepsilon)t/2 \qquad \text{for } t\in(0, t_0]$$

Hence if $0<S_4\leqq \min\{S_5, t_0\}$, then from (2.23) and (2.49)

$$(2.50)\quad \begin{aligned} &\|w_{v,\alpha}(t)-[A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta]\| \\ &\quad\leqq \|w_{v,\alpha}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)\|+\|A_0^{\alpha}\hat{u}(t)-[A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta]\| \\ &\quad\leqq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \qquad\qquad \text{for any}\quad t\in[0,\ S_4) \end{aligned}$$

holds. Thus (2.22) is proved.

Since (2.17) implies

$$(2.51)\quad w_{v,\alpha}(0)=A_0^{\alpha}w_v(0)=A_0^{\alpha}u_0\,,$$

we get $w_{v,\alpha}\in F(S_4)$.

We defined a transformation $T\colon v\mapsto w_{v,\alpha}$ for $v\in F(S_4)$. Then from (2.51) (2.21) and (2.50) we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(Tv)(0)=w_{v,\alpha}(0)=A_0^{\alpha}u_0\,, \\ &\|(Tv)(t_1)-(Tv)(t_2)\|\leqq L|t_1-t_2|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for}\quad t_1,\ t_2\in[0,\ S_4) \\ &\|Tv(t)-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)\|\leqq Mt(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \text{for}\quad t\in(0,\ S_4) \end{aligned}$$

that is, T maps $F(S_4)$ into itself.

We now consider $F(S_4)$ as a subset of the Banach space $\tilde{Y}\equiv C([0,\ S_4);\ X)$ consisting of all the continuous functions $v(t)$ from $[0,\ S_4)$ into X with norm

$$|||v|||=\sup_{0\leqq t<S_4}\|v(t)\|\,.$$

We shall prove that T is a continuous mapping in $F(S_4)$ (with the topology induced by $\tilde{Y}$).

1) The case of bounded $A(t,\ A_0^{-\alpha}v)$.

Let v_1 and v_2 belong to $F(S_4)$. From (2.33)

$$(2.52)\quad \begin{aligned} &w_{v_1,\alpha}(t)-w_{v_2,\alpha}(t) \\ &\quad=-\sum_{p=1}^{m}\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,\ r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}[A_1(r)^hA_2(r)^{-h}-I]A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)dr \\ &\qquad+\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,\ r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\,. \end{aligned}$$

For any $0\leqq t<S_4$, the following inequality holds:

$$(2.53)\quad \|\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,\ r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\|\leqq E_1t^{1-h}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta}\,.$$

We see this, using (1.13) and (2.6) for $0<\alpha<\alpha'<h$, as follows;

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,\ r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\| \\ &\quad\leqq\int_0^t \|A_0^{\alpha}A_1(t)^{-\alpha'}\|\cdot\|A_1(t)^{\alpha'}U_1(t,\ r)\|\cdot\|f_1(r)-f_2(r)\|dr \\ &\quad\leqq\int_0^t M_{\alpha\alpha'}(h+k-\alpha')^{-1}N_{18}(t-r)^{-\alpha'}C_4\|v_1(r)-v_2(r)\|^{\eta}dr \\ &\quad\leqq E_1t^{1-h}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta}\,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (2.33), (2.38), (2.5), (2.42) and (2.53) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.54)\quad & \|w_{v_1,\alpha}(t)-w_{v_2,\alpha}(t)\| \\
&\leqq \sum_{p=1}^{m}\int_0^t \|A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,r)A_1(r)^{1-ph}\|\cdot\|A_1(r)^h A_2(r)^{-h}-I\|\cdot\|A_2(r)^{ph}w_2(r)\|dr \\
&\quad +\|\int_0^t A_0^{\alpha}U_1(t,r)[f_1(r)-f_2(r)]dr\| \\
&\leqq \sum_{p=1}^{m}\int_0^t E_2(t-r)^{ph-\alpha''-1}\|v_1(r)-v_2(r)\|^{\eta}E_5 r^{h-ph}dr+E_1 t^{1-h}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta} \\
&\leqq E_4(t^{h-\alpha''}+t^{1-h})|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta} \\
&\leqq E_2 t^{h-\alpha''}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta}\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2.55)\quad |||Tv_1-Tv_2||| &= \sup_{0\leqq t<S_4}\|w_{v_1,\alpha}(t)-w_{v_2,\alpha}(t)\| \\
&\leqq E_7 S_4^{h-\alpha''}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta} \qquad v_1,\ v_2\in F(S_4)\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

This means that T is a continuous operator.

2) The general case.

we get by (2.54)

$$
(2.56)\quad \|A_n(0,u_0)^{\alpha}[w_{1,n}(t)-w_{2,n}(t)]\|\leqq E_8 S_4^{h-\alpha''}|||v_1-v_2|||^{\eta} \qquad n\in\boldsymbol{N}_+\,.
$$

Due to Kato [5], we obtain that $A_n(0,u_0)^{\alpha}U_{i,n}(t,0)\to A_0^{\alpha}U_i(t,0)$ as $n\to\infty$. Thus T is a continuous operator.

We now claim that the set $TF(S_4)$ is contained in a compact subset of Y. Indeed, the functions $v(t)$ of $F(S_4)$ are uniformly bounded (by (2.10)) and equicontinuous (by (2.8)). If we can show that for each t the set $\{w_{v,\alpha}(t);\, v\in F(S_4)\}$ is contained in a compact subset of X, then by applying Ascoli's Theorem we can prove that $TF(S_4)$ is contained in a compact set of Y.

We can write, for each $t\in[0,S_4)$, $w_{v,\alpha}(t)=A_0^{-\gamma}A_0^{\gamma}w_{v,\alpha}(t)$ where $0<\gamma<h-\alpha$.

From (2.12) and (2.41), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|A_0^{\gamma}w_{v,\alpha}(t)\| &= \|A_0^{\gamma}[A_0^{\alpha}U_v(t,0)u_0+A_0^{\alpha}\int_0^t U_v(t,s)f_v(s)ds]\| \\
&\leqq \|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha}[U_v(t,0)-U_v(0,0)]A_0^{-1}A_0u_0\|+\|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha}u_0\| \\
&\quad +\|A_0^{\gamma+\alpha}A_v(t)^{-h}\|\cdot\|A_v(t)^h\int_0^t U_v(t,s)f_v(s)ds\| \\
&\leqq \bar{C}t^{1-\alpha-\gamma-\varepsilon}\|A_0u_0\|+\|A_0^{\alpha+\gamma}u_0\|+ME_4t^{1-h} \\
&\leqq E_9\,.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $\{A_0^{\gamma}w_{v,\alpha}(t);\, v\in F(S_4)\}$ is a bounded subset of X. And by assumption (A–2), $A_0^{-\gamma}$ is completely continuous. Therefore $\{w_{v,\alpha}(t);\, v\in F(S_4)\}$ is indeed contained in a compact subset of X.

We can now apply Schauder's fixed point theorem and deduce that T has a fixed point v in $F(S_4)$. Noting $Tv=w_{v,\alpha}$ and $w_{v,\alpha}(t)=A_0^{\alpha}w_v(t)$, we have $A_0^{\alpha}w_v(t)=v(t)$ or $w_v(t)=A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)$. Applying (2.16) we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)+A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t))A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)=f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t))\,.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 2 for $S=S_4$ and $u=A_0^{-\alpha}v$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

From (0.3) there are constants C_5, $\phi_1>0$, $T_1>0$ such that for $t\in\sum(\phi_1; T_1)$, $v\in N$ and $|\theta|<\phi_1$, the resolvent set of $e^{i\theta}A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v)$ contains the left half-plane and

$$(3.1)\quad \|(\lambda-e^{i\theta}A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v))^{-1}\|\leqq C_5(1+|\lambda|)^{-1} \qquad Re\,\lambda\leqq 0\,,$$

We let $\phi=\min\{\phi_0, \phi_1\}$, $(1-h+\alpha'')/\eta<\zeta<1-\alpha$, $0<\varepsilon<1$ and $L>0$.

We consider the set $E(S)$ of all functions $\tilde{v}(t)$, defined on $\sum(\phi; S)$ which satisfy the following;

$$(3.2)\quad \tilde{v}\colon \sum(\phi; S)\setminus\{0\}\to X \text{ is analytic,}$$

$$(3.3)\quad \tilde{v}(0)=A_0^{\alpha}u_0\,,$$

$$(3.4)\quad \|\tilde{v}(t)-\tilde{v}(0)\|\leqq L|t|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for any} \quad t\in\sum(\phi; S)$$

$$(3.5)\quad \|\tilde{v}(t_1)-\tilde{v}(t_2)\|\leqq L|t_1-t_2|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for any real} \quad t_1, t_2\in[0, S)\,,$$

$$(3.6)\quad \|\tilde{v}(t)-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)\|\leqq M|t|(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \text{for} \quad t\in\sum(\phi; S)$$

If $0<S_1<\min\{T_0, (RL^{-1})^{1/\zeta}\}$, then

$$\|\tilde{v}(t)-A_0^{\alpha}u_0\|\leqq L|t|^{\zeta}<L(RL^{-1})=R \qquad \text{for} \quad t\in\sum(\phi; S_1)\,.$$

Let us note that if S_1 is small enough to $\tilde{v}(t)\in N$ for $t\in(0, S_1)$ the operator

$$A_{\tilde{v}}(t)=A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}\tilde{v}(t))$$

and the function

$$f_{\tilde{v}}(t)=f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}\tilde{v}(t))$$

are well defined for $t\in\sum(\phi; S_1)$, since $\sum(\phi; S_1)\subset\sum(\phi_0; T_0)$.

We first restrict t to be real in (0.1), $t\in[0, S_1)$. Then it follows from (0.3)–(0.6) that the family $\{A_{\tilde{v}}(t); 0\leqq t<S_1\}$ and the function $f_{\tilde{v}}\colon[0, S_1)\to X$ satisfy the hypotheses of Theorem A. Thus there is a continuous function $\tilde{w}\colon[0, S_1)\to X$ which is the unique solution of

$$(3.7)\quad \begin{cases} d\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}/dt+A_{\tilde{v}}(t)\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}=f_{\tilde{v}}(t) \\ \tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(0)=u_0\,. \end{cases}$$

For $0<\varepsilon<S_1/2$ we consider the sector $\sum(\phi; S_1-2\varepsilon)\setminus\{0\}+\varepsilon$. Since the function $t\mapsto A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^h A(0)^{-h}$ and $t\mapsto f_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ are analytic in a neighborhood of the closure of $\sum(\phi; S_1-2\varepsilon)\setminus\{0\}+\varepsilon$ and by (0.6) $f_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ is Hölder continuous, we can apply Theorem B; $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}$ has an extention to $\cup\{\sum(\phi; S_1-2\varepsilon)\setminus\{0\}+\varepsilon; \varepsilon>0\}=\sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}$ such that $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}: \sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}\to X$ is analytic, $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)\in D(A_{\tilde{v}}(t))$ and $d\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)/dt+A_{\tilde{v}}(t)\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)=f_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ for $t\in\sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}$.

Next we shall show that $A_0^{\alpha}\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}: \sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}\to X$ is analytic. Actually seeing that $t\mapsto A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^h A(0)^{-h}$ is analytic, $t\mapsto A(0)^h A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^{-h}$ is analytic. By rewriting the equation as $A_{\tilde{v}}(t)\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)=f_{\tilde{v}}(t)-\tilde{w}'_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ and using the fact that $t\mapsto\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ and $t\mapsto f_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ are analytic, we have that $t\mapsto A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^h\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)=A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^{h-1}[f_{\tilde{v}}(t)-\tilde{w}'_{\tilde{v}}(t)]$ is analytic. Then $t\mapsto A_0^{\alpha}\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)=A_0^{\alpha-h}A_0^h A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^{-h}A_{\tilde{v}}(t)^h\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)$ is analytic from $\sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}$ to X.

Set $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(t)=A_0^{\alpha}\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)$.

Let us restrict t to be real, $t\in[0, S_1)$. From assumptions (A–1)–(A–6) and (A–8), assumptions (R–1)–(R–7) hold. Therefore if $S_1>0$ is small enough, as we get (2.21), we can show that

$$\|\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(t_1)-\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(t_2)\|\leqq L|t_1-t_2|^{\zeta} \qquad \text{for} \quad t_1, t_2\in[0, S_1).$$

We shall show that

$$(3.8)\quad \begin{cases} \|\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(t)-\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(0)\|\leqq L|t|^{\zeta} & \text{for} \quad t\in\sum(\phi; S_1). \\ \|\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(t)-(A_0^{\alpha}u_0+ta)\|\leqq M|t|(1-\varepsilon) & \text{for} \quad t\in\sum(\phi; S_1). \end{cases}$$

In order to prove it, in (3.7) we make the change of variable $t=\tau e^{i\theta}$, $\tau\in[0, S_1)$, $|\theta|<\phi$, so equations (3.7) become

$$(3.9)\quad \begin{cases} \dfrac{\partial v}{\partial\tau}+e^{i\theta}A_{\tilde{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta})v = e^{i\theta}f_{\tilde{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta}), \\ v(0, e^{i\theta}) = u_0, \end{cases}$$

where $v(\tau, e^{i\theta})=\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(\tau e^{i\theta})$, $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(t)=v(|t|, t/|t|)$.

We hold $|\theta|<\phi$ fixed and let

$$B(\tau, \tilde{v}, \theta) = e^{i\theta}A(\tau e^{i\theta}, \tilde{v}), \quad g(\tau, \tilde{v}, \theta) = e^{i\theta}f(\tau e^{i\theta}, \tilde{v})$$

for $\tau\in[0, S_1)$, $A_0^{\alpha}\tilde{v}\in N$, $|\theta|<\phi$. We shall show that for fixed θ, $B(\tau, \tilde{v}, \theta)$ and $g(\tau, \tilde{v}, \theta)$ satisfy the assumptions (R–1)–(R–7) with constants independent of θ.

First, note that

$$B_0^{-1} = B(0, u_0, \theta)^{-1} = e^{-i\theta}A(0, u_0)^{-1} = e^{-i\theta}A_0^{-1},$$

and (R–2) is verified.

Since $A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}w)$ is well defined for any $w\in N$ and $t\in\sum(\phi; T)$, and

$$B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) \equiv B(\tau, B(0, u_0, \theta)^{-\alpha}w, \theta) = e^{i\theta}A(\tau e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}(e^{-i\alpha\theta}w))$$

$B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)$ is well defined for $w\in N_\theta$ and $\tau\in[0, T_1)$, which verifies (R–3) where $N_\theta = e^{i\alpha\theta}N$.

(R–4) is verified since by (3.1) and $D(B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) = D(A(\tau e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha} \times (e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)))$.

For any $w\in N_\theta$ and $\tau\in[0, T_1)$ we have

$$D(B(\tau, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h) = D(e^{i\theta h}A(\tau, A_0^{-\alpha}(e^{-i\alpha\theta}w))^h) \equiv D\,,$$

and (R–5) is verified.

From (0.4) and (0.5) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&||B(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h B(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)^{-h}||\\ &\quad = ||e^{ih\theta}A(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)^h e^{-ih\theta}A(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)^h||\\ &\quad \leqq C_2\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&||B(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta)^h B(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)^{-h} - I||\\ &\quad \leqq ||A(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w)^h A(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)^{-h} - I||\\ &\quad \leqq C_3\{|\tau_1 e^{i\theta} - \tau_2 e^{i\theta}|^\sigma + ||e^{i\alpha\theta}w - e^{-i\alpha\theta}v||^\eta\}\\ &\quad \leqq C\{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\sigma + ||w - v||^\eta\} \qquad w, v\in N_\theta\,,\ \tau_1,\ \tau_2\in[0, T_1)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Thus (R–6) is verified.

Finally, from (0.6) we get

$$\begin{aligned}&||g(\tau_1, B_0^{-\alpha}w, \theta) - g(\tau_2, B_0^{-\alpha}v, \theta)||\\ &\quad = ||e^{i\theta}f(\tau_1 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}w) - e^{i\theta}f(\tau_2 e^{i\theta}, A_0^{-\alpha}e^{-i\alpha\theta}v)||\\ &\quad \leqq C_4\{|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\sigma + ||w - v||^\eta\} \qquad w, v\in N_\theta\,,\quad \tau_1, \tau_2\in[0, T_1)\,,\end{aligned}$$

which verifies (R–7).

Hence as we get (2.21), we can show that there exists a unique solution $v(\tau, e^{i\theta})$ of (3.9) defined for $\tau\in[0, S_1)$, $|\theta| < \phi$, which satifies

$$||A_0^\alpha v(\tau_1, e^{i\theta}) - A_0^\alpha v(\tau_2, e^{i\theta})|| \leqq L|\tau_1 - \tau_2|^\zeta \qquad \text{for} \quad \tau_1, \tau_2\in[0, S_1)$$

and

$$||A_0^\alpha v(\tau, e^{i\theta}) - (A_0^\alpha u_0 + ta)|| \leqq M|t|(1-\varepsilon) \qquad \text{for} \quad \tau\in[0, S_1)\,.$$

Therefore we obtain (3.8).

Since (3.7) implies

$$\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}(0) = A_0^\alpha \tilde{w}_{\tilde{v}}(0) = A_0^\alpha u_0$$

we get $\tilde{w}_{\tilde{v},\alpha}\in E(S_1)$.

We define a transformation $\tilde{T}$: $\tilde{v}\to\tilde{w}$ for $\tilde{v}\in E(S_1)$. Then $\tilde{T}$ maps $E(S_1)$

into itself.

Denote by $F_0(S)$ the set of the restrictions $v(t)$ of all functions $\tilde{v}(t)$ in $E(S)$ to $[0, S)$. And we define a transformation T_0 in the way $(T_0v)(t)=(\tilde{T}\tilde{v})(t)$ for $t\in[0, S_1)$. Then T_0 maps $F_0(S_1)$ into itself.

Therefore we can use the argument in §2 with $F_0(S_1)$ in stead of $F(S_4)$. And we can show that w_v is a unique solution of

$$\begin{cases} dw_v/dt+A(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t))w_v = f(t, A_0^{-\alpha}v(t)) \\ w_v(0) = u_0 \end{cases}$$

where $v\in F_0(S_1)$, $w_v=A_0^{-\alpha}Tv$ and T is the map which is defined in §2.

Since the functions $\tilde{v}(t)$ of $E(S_1)$ are uniformly bounded. $F_0(S_1)$ is a closed convex subset of the Banach space $\tilde{Y}\equiv C([0, S_1); X)$.

On the other hand from the definitions of T_0, T and (3.7) it follows that $A_0^{-\alpha}T_0v=A_0^{-\alpha}Tv$ by uniqueness. It follows from Theorem 2 that there is a fixed point $v\in F_0(S_1)$ such that $Tv=v$. Therefore

$$(\tilde{T}\tilde{v})(t) = (T_0v)(t) = (Tv)(t) = v(t) = \tilde{v}(t) \qquad \text{for} \quad t\in[0, S_1).$$

Noting $\tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{T}\tilde{v}$ are analytic from $\sum(\phi; S_1)\setminus\{0\}$ to X, we have $\tilde{T}\tilde{v}=\tilde{v}$.

This finishes the proof of Theorem 1 for $T=S_1$ and $u=A_0^{-\alpha}\tilde{v}$.

References

[1] A. Friedman: Partial differential equations, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1969.

[2] K. Furuya: *A note on quasilinear evolution equations,* Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A **56** (1980), 256–258.

[3] K. Furuya: *Analyticity of solutions of quasilinear evolution equations,* Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 669–698.

[4] T.L. Hayden and Massey III: *Nonlinear holomorphic semigroups,* Pacific J. Math. **57** (1975), 423–439.

[5] T. Kato: *Abstract evolution equations of parabolic type in Banach and Hilbert spaces,* Nagoya Math. J. **5** (1961), 93–125.

[6] S.G. Krein: Linear differential equations in a Banach space, Izdatel'stov Nauka, Moscow (in Russian); Japanease transl., Yoshioka-shoten, Kyoto, 1972.

[7] F.J. Massay III: *Analyticity of solutions of nonlinear evolution equations,* J. Differential Equations **22** (1976), 416–427.

[8] S. Ōuchi: *On the analyticity in time of solutions of initial boundary value problems for semi-linear parabolic differential equations with monotone nonlinearity,* J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. 1A **20** (1974), 19–41.

[9] P.E. Sobolevskii: *Equations of parabolic type in a Banach space,* Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obsc. 10 (1961), 297–350 (in Russian); English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. transl. (2) 49 (1965), 1–62.

[10] P.E. Sobolevskii: *Parabolic equations in Banach space with an unbounded variable*

operator, a fractional power of which has a constant domain of definition, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138** (1961), 59–62. (in Russian); English transl., Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 545–548.

[11] H. Tanabe: Equations of evolution, Iwanami-shoten, Tokyo, 1975. (in Japanease); English transl., Monogr. & studies in math. vol. 6, Pitman, 1979.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Tokyo Metropolitan University
Fukazawa, Setagaya-ku
Tokyo 158, Japan