

Title	Proper boundary points of the spectrum
Author(s)	Schechter, Martin
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1975, 12(1), p. 41-44
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5114
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

PROPER BOUNDARY POINTS OF THE SPECTRUM

MARTIN SCHECHTER

(Received December 20, 1973)

1. Introduction. Let A be a bounded operator on an infinite dimensional Hilbert space H. A point λ on the boundary $\partial \sigma(A)$ of the spectrum $\sigma(A)$ of A will be called *proper* if there is a bounded sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ of points in the resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of A such that

$$||(\lambda_k - \lambda)(\lambda_k - A)^{-1}|| \to 1.$$

Examples of proper boundary points of the spectrum are easily given.

- 1. If $|\lambda| = ||A||$, then λ is a proper boundary point.
- 2. If λ in $\sigma(A)$ is a boundary point of the numerical range of A, then it is a proper boundary point.
- 3. If λ in $\sigma(A)$ is a boundary point of a spectral set X for A and there is a sequence $\{\lambda_k\}$ in the complement of X such that

$$(2) |\lambda_k - \lambda|/d(\lambda_k, X) \to 1,$$

then λ is a proper boundary point (here d(z, X) denotes the distance from z to X).

4. If A is seminormal, λ is a boundary point of $\sigma(A)$ and there is a sequence of points in $\rho(A)$ satisfying (2) with $X=\sigma(A)$, then λ is a proper boundary point.

We shall verify these statements later. We shall also prove the following theorem and give several applications.

Theorem 1. If λ is a proper boundary point of $\sigma(A)$, then for each bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in H we have

(3)
$$(A-\lambda)x_n \to 0 \quad iff \ (A^*-\overline{\lambda})x_n \to 0 .$$

The theorem generalizes results of Putnam [1], Saito [2], Sz-Nagy, Foias [3], Schreiber [4] and others. The proof will be given in the next section. Now we shall give some consequences and applications. The essential spectrum of A is defined as

$$\sigma_e(A) = \bigcap_{K \text{ compact}} \sigma(A+K)$$
.

Theorem 2. If λ is a proper boundary point of $\sigma(A)$ and it is also in the essential spectrum of A, then there is an orthonormal sequence $\{\varphi_n\}$ in H such that

(4)
$$(A-\lambda)\varphi_n \to 0$$
 and $(A^*-\overline{\lambda})\varphi_n \to 0$.

Proof. If λ is in the essential spectrum of A, then either $A-\lambda$ is not a Fredholm operator or its index is not 0 ([5, p. 180]). The latter case cannot occur, since λ is a boundary point of the spectrum. Hence there is an orthonormal sequence satisfying at least one of the statements in (4). By Theorem 1, it must satisfy both.

Corollary 3. If λ is a proper boundary point of the spectrum of A and it is not isolated, then there is an orthonormal sequence satisfying (4).

Proof. By [6, Theorem 2.12] or by [7, Theorem 1], a nonisolated boundary point of the spectrum must be in the essential spectrum. Apply Theorem 2.

We now give some applications suggested by the work of Putman [7].

Corollary 4. Let A be a bounded operator in H having at least one proper boundary point of $\sigma(A)$ in the essential spectrum. Then the operator

$$(5) C = A*A - AA*$$

has 0 in its essential spectrum.

Proof. Let λ be one such point. By Theorem 2 there is an orthonormal sequence such that (4) holds. Since

$$C = (A^* - \overline{\lambda})(A - \lambda) - (A - \lambda)(A^* - \overline{\lambda}),$$

we see that $C\varphi_n \to 0$. Thus 0 is in the essential spectrum of C.

Corollary 5. If A has at least one non-isolated proper boundary point of its spectrum, then 0 is in the essential spectrum of the operator C given by (5).

Proof. Use Corollary 3.

Corollary 6. If every boundary point of the spectrum of A is proper, then C has 0 in its essential spectrum.

Proof. If not all of the boundary points of $\sigma(A)$ are isolated, the result follows from Corollary 5. If they are, then the spectrum of A consists of only a finite number of points. At least one of these points must be in the essential spectrum of A (if H is infinite dimensional). Now apply Corollary 4.

Corollary 7. Suppose every boundary point of the spectrum of A is proper, and put A=L+iM, where L and M are self adjoint. Then $\sigma(L)$ [resp. $\sigma(M)$] contains the projection of $\sigma(A)$ on the x [resp, y] axis.

Proof. Suppose λ is a boundary point of $\sigma(A)$. Then there is a sequence of unit vectors satisfying one of the statements in (3). By Theorem 1 it satisfies both. Since $L=\frac{1}{2}(A+A^*)$, we see that $(L-Re\ \lambda)x_n\to 0$. Thus $Re\ \lambda$ is in the spectrum of L. If λ is an interior point, then there is a boundary point λ_1 such that $Re(\lambda-\lambda_1)=0$. We use the point λ_1 in place of λ . A similar proof works for M.

2. The proofs. Let us first verify that the points described in section 1 are proper. Consider the first statement. By rotating we may assume $\lambda = ||A||$. For $t > \lambda$, we have $||(t-A)u|| \ge (t-\lambda)||u||$. Hence $||(t-A)^{-1}|| \le 1/(t-\lambda)$.

Similar reasoning gives the second case. Since the numerical range W(A) of A is convex, it is contained on one side of line L going through λ . Let z be any point on the other side of L such that $z-\lambda$ is orthogonal to it. Thus $|z-\lambda|$ is the distance d(z, W(A)) from z to W(A). We know in general that

$$||(z-A)^{-1}|| \le 1/d(z, W(A))$$

holds for any z not in the closure of W(A). This shows that

$$||(z-A)^{-1}|| \le 1/|z-\lambda|$$

holds for z on the other side of L. This proves the assertion for the second case.

If X, is a spectral set for A, then

$$||(z-A)^{-1}|| \le 1/d(z, X)$$

holds for all z not in X. If there is a sequence in the complement of X such that (2) holds, then we see that (1) holds for the same sequence.

If A is seminormal, then (7) holds for $X=\sigma(A)$. Apply the same reasoning.

Proof of Theorem 1. Assume $\lambda=0$, and set $W_k=\lambda_k(\lambda_k-A)^{-1}$. Then (1) says

$$(8) ||W_{\mathbf{k}}|| \to 1.$$

Now

$$I - W_k = (\lambda_k - A)(\lambda_k - A)^{-1} - \lambda_k(\lambda_k - A)^{-1} = -A(\lambda_k - A)^{-1}$$
.

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &||(I-W_{k})x||^{2} = ||x||^{2} - 2 \operatorname{Re}(x, W_{k}x) + ||W_{k}x||^{2} \\ &= 2 \operatorname{Re}(x, [I-W_{k}]x) + ||W_{k}x||^{2} - ||x||^{2} \\ &= -2 \operatorname{Re}(A^{*}x, (\lambda_{k} - A)^{-1}x) + ||W_{k}x||^{2} - ||x||^{2} \\ &\leq ||2A^{*}x|| \, ||(\lambda_{k} - A)^{-1}|| \, ||x|| + (||W_{k}||^{2} - 1)||x||^{2} \,. \end{aligned}$$

Now assume that $||x_n|| \le K$ and that $|\lambda_k| \le M$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Take k so large that

$$||W_{\mathbf{k}}||^2 - 1 < \varepsilon/2R^2K^2$$
,

where R=M+||A||. Then fix k. If $A*x_n\to 0$, we can find an N so large that

$$||A^*x_n|| < \varepsilon/4KR^2||(\lambda_k - A)^{-1}||, \quad n > N.$$

These last inequalities imply

$$||(I-W_k)x_n||^2 < \varepsilon/R^2$$
, $n > N$.

Now

$$||Ax_n||^2 = ||(\lambda_k - A)(I - W_k)x_n||^2 \le \varepsilon, \quad n > N.$$

This shows that $Ax_n \to 0$. A symmetrical argument shows the converse, that $Ax_n \to 0$ implies $A*x_n \to 0$. This comples the proof.

YESHIVA UNIVERSITY

Bibliography

- [1] C.R. Putnam: Eigenvalues and boundary spectra, Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 278-283
- [2] T. Saito: A theorem on boundary spectra, Acta Math. Szeged 33 (1972), 101-104.
- [3] B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaz: Une relation parmi les vecteurs propres d'un operateur de l'espace de Hilbert et de l'operateur adjoint, ibid. 20 (1959), 91-96.
- [4] M. Schreiber: On the spectrum of a contraction, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 709-713.
- [5] M. Schechter: Principles of Functional Analysis, Academic Press, New York, 1971.
- [6] M. Schechter: Operators obeying Weyl's theorem, Scripta Math. 29 (1973), 67-75.
- [7] C.R. Putnam: The spectra of operators having resolvents of first order growth, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (1968), 505-510.
- [8] A.E. Taylor: Introduction to Functional Analysis, Wiley, New York, 1958.