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# $K_{U}$-GROUPS OF DOLD MANIFOLDS 
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Introduction. J. F. Adams [1] calculated the Grothendieck rings $K_{U}$ of the projective spaces. The manifold $D(m, n)$, defined by A. Dold in his study of cobordism theory [6], is regarded as a generalization of the projective spaces.

The purpose of this paper is to calculate $K_{U}$ of the Dold manifold $D(m, n)$; the result is stated in Theorem (3.14) of $\S 3$. For this purpose, we construct a real 2 -plane bundle $\eta_{1}$ over $D(m, n)$ which is a generalization of the real restriction of the canonical complex line bundle over $C P(n)$ and also of the bundle sum of the canonical real line bundle over $R P(m)$ and the trivial line bundle over $R P(m)$. This bundle $\eta_{1}$ plays an important role in computations. On the way of computations, we make use of $\bmod 2 K_{U}$-theory which is introduced by S . Araki and H. Toda [2].

The author wishes to express his thanks to Prof. S. Araki for his many valuable suggestions and discussions.

## 1. Cohomology rings of Dold manifolds

Let $S^{m}, m \geqq 0$, denote the unit $m$-sphere in $R^{m+1}$ with the coordinates $x_{0}, x_{1}, \cdots, x_{m}$, and let $C P(n), n \geqq 0$, denote the complex projective $n$-space with the homogeneous coordinates $z_{0}, z_{1}, \cdots, z_{n}$. Consider the product space $S^{m} \times C P(n)$ and difine a homeomorphism $T: S^{m} \times C P(n) \rightarrow S^{m} \times C P(n)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(x, z)=(-x, \bar{z}) \quad\left(x \in S^{m}, z \in C P(n)\right) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $-x$ is the antipodal point of $x$ and $\bar{z}$ is the conjugate point of $z$. Then, by definition, the Dold manifold $D(m, n)$ is the quotient space obtained from $S^{m} \times C P(n)$ by identifying ( $x, z$ ) with $T(x, z)$.

The projection $S^{m} \times C P(n) \rightarrow S^{m}$ induces naturally a map $p$ of $D(m, n)$ onto the real projective $m$-space $R P(m)$, and $\{D(m, n), p, R P(m), C P(n)$,
$\left.Z_{2}\right\}$ is a fibre bundle whose fibre is $C P(n)$ and structure group is the group of order 2 generated by a homeomorphism sending $z$ to $\bar{z}$ ( $z \in$ $C P(n)$ ).

Let $C_{i}^{+}\left(C_{i}^{-}\right)$denote an open $i$-cell of $S^{m}$ defined by $x_{i+1}=x_{i+2}=\cdots=$ $x_{m}=0, x_{i}>0\left(x_{i}<0\right)$, and $D_{j}$ denote an open $2 j$-cell of $C P(n)$ defined by $z_{j}=1, z_{j+1}=z_{j+2}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$. Then $\left\{C_{i}^{ \pm} \times D_{j} \mid i=0,1, \cdots, m ; j=0,1\right.$, $\cdots, n\}$ forms an oriented cellular decomposition of $S^{m} \times C P(n)$ whose boundary relations are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial\left(C_{i}^{ \pm} \times D_{j}\right)= \pm\left(C_{i-1}^{+} \times D_{j}+C_{i-1}^{-} \times D_{j}\right)  \tag{1.2}\\
\partial\left(C_{0}^{ \pm} \times D_{j}\right)=0, i=1,2, \cdots, m ; j=0,1, \cdots, n .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The homeomorphism $T$ is cellular with respect to the above cellular decomposition and satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left(C_{i}^{ \pm} \times D_{j}\right)=(-1)^{i+j+1}\left(C_{i}^{\mp} \times D_{j}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\Phi: S^{m} \times C P(n) \rightarrow D(m, n)$ denote the projection, and write $\left(C_{i}\right.$, $\left.D_{j}\right)=\Phi\left(C_{i}^{+} \times D_{j}\right)$. Then $\left\{\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right) \mid i=0,1, \cdots, m ; j=0,1, \cdots, n\right\}$ is a cellular decomposition of $D(m, n)$ whose boundary relations are given by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\partial\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)=\left(1+(-1)^{i+j}\right)\left(C_{i-1}, D_{j}\right)  \tag{1.4}\\
\partial\left(C_{0}, D_{j}\right)=0, i=1,2, \cdots, m ; j=0,1, \cdots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\Phi$ is a cellular map. Let $\left(c^{i}, d^{j}\right)$ denote the cochain dual to $\left(C_{i}, D_{j}\right)$, then for the coboundary operation $\delta$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(c^{i}, d^{i}\right)=\left(1+(-1)^{i+j+1}\right)\left(c^{i+1}, d^{j}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this we obtain
Proposition (1.6). The integral cohomology group $H^{*}(D(m, n) ; Z)$ is a direct sum of the following groups:
case $m$ : even
free abelian group generated by $\left(c^{0}, d^{2 j}\right)$ and $\left(c^{m}, d^{2 j+1}\right)$, torsion group generated by $\left(c^{2 i}, d^{2 j}\right)$ and $\left(c^{2 i-1}, d^{2 j+1}\right)$ whose order are 2. case $m$ : odd
free abelian group generated by $\left(c^{0}, d^{2 j}\right)$ and $\left(c^{m}, d^{2 j}\right)$, torsion
group generated by $\left(c^{2 i}, d^{2 j}\right)$ and $\left(c^{2 i-1}, d^{2 j+1}\right)$ whose order are 2 , where $i=1,2, \cdots,[m / 2] ; j=0,1, \cdots,[n / 2]$ ([ ] is the Gauss notation).
For $m^{\prime} \leqq m$ and $n^{\prime} \leqq n$ we identify $S^{m^{\prime}} \times C P\left(n^{\prime}\right)$ with the subset $x_{m^{\prime}+1}$ $=\cdots=x_{m}=0, z_{n^{\prime}+1}=\cdots=z_{n}=0$ of $S^{m} \times C P(n)$ and $D\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)$ with the subset $\Phi\left(S^{m^{\prime}} \times C P\left(n^{\prime}\right)\right)$ of $D(m, n)$. Under this circumstance $D\left(m^{\prime}, n^{\prime}\right)$ is identified
with the closure of the cell $\left(C_{m^{\prime}}, D_{n^{\prime}}\right)$ of $D(m, n)$.
Consider the space $D(m, n) / D(m-1, n)$ obtained from $D(m, n)$ by collapsing $D(m-1, n)$ to a point, and let $\pi$ denote the projection $D(m$, $n) \rightarrow D(m, n) / D(m-1, n)$. Then $\pi\left(C_{m}, D_{j}\right) \quad(j=0,1, \cdots, n)$ together with a zero cell forms a cellular decomposition of $D(m, n) / D(m-1, n)$. Since obviously all $\pi\left(C_{m}, D_{j}\right)$ are cycles, their duals $\left(\bar{c}^{m}, \bar{d}^{j}\right)$ form a basis for $\tilde{H}^{*}(D(m, n) / D(m-1, n) ; Z)$.

Let $E_{+}^{m}$ is the upper hemisphere of $S^{m}$, then we may regard $D(m$, $n$ ) as the quotient space of the product space $E_{+}^{m} \times C P(n)$ under the identification $(x, z)=(-x, \bar{z})$, where $x \in \dot{E}_{+}^{m}, z \in C P(n)$ and $\dot{E}_{+}^{m}$ is the boundary of $E_{+}^{m}$. Let $C P(n)^{+}$denote the disjoint union of $C P(n)$ and a point, and let $S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+}$denote the reduced join of $S^{m}$ and $C P(n)^{+}$. Then it is easily seen that a homeomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
h: D(m, n) / D(m-1, n) \approx S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be defined by the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E_{+}^{m} \times & C P(n) & \xrightarrow{h_{1}} \\
& & D(m, n) \\
& & \downarrow \pi \\
E_{+}^{m} \times C P(n)^{+} & & \\
& & D(m, n) / D(m-1, n) \\
& & \\
h_{2} & & \downarrow h \\
S^{m} \times C P(n)^{+} & \xrightarrow{h_{3}} & S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+},
\end{array}
$$

where $h_{1}, h_{3}$ are the identification maps and $h_{2}$ is the map collapsing $\dot{E}_{+}^{m}$ to a point. From this we obtain immediately the following

Proposition (1.8). Let $s_{m}$ and $y$ be the generators of $\tilde{H}^{m}\left(S^{m}\right)$ and $H^{2}(C P(n))$ respectively, then isomorphism

$$
h^{*}: \tilde{H}^{*}\left(S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+}\right) \rightarrow \widetilde{H}^{*}(D(m, n) / D(m-1, n))
$$

sends $s_{m} \wedge y^{i}$ to ( $\left.\bar{c}^{m}, \bar{d}^{j}\right)$.
In the following, we denote by $f$ the composition $h \circ \pi: D(m, n) \rightarrow$ $S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+}$.

The following theorem is proved in A. Dold [6].
Theorem (1.9). The mod 2 cohomology $\operatorname{ring} H^{*}\left(D(m, n) ; Z_{2}\right)$ is a truncated polynomial ring $Z_{2}[c, d] /\left(c^{m+1}, d^{n+1}\right)$, where $c=\left(c^{1}, d^{0}\right)$ and $d=$ $\left(c^{0}, d^{1}\right)$.

As for the structure of cohomology ring with coefficients in the field $Q$ of rational numbers, we have also

Theorem (1.10).
i) $H^{*}(D(2 t, 2 r) ; Q)=Q[a, b] /\left(a^{r+1}, b^{2}, b a^{r}\right)$,
ii) $H^{*}(D(2 t, 2 r+1) ; Q)=Q[a, b] /\left(a^{r+1}, b^{2}\right)$,
iii) $H^{*}(D(2 t+1,2 r) ; Q)=Q\left[a, b^{\prime}\right] /\left(a^{r+1}, b^{\prime 2}\right)$,
iv) $H^{*}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1) ; Q)=Q\left[a, b^{\prime}\right] /\left(a^{r+1}, b^{\prime 2}\right)$, where $a=\left(c^{0}, d^{2}\right), b=\left(c^{2 t}, d\right)$ and $b^{\prime}=\left(c^{2 t+1}, d^{0}\right)$.

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence associated with the covering $\left(S^{m} \times C P(n), \Phi, D(m, n)\right)$. We then have an isomorphism

$$
E_{2}^{n, q} \cong H^{p}\left(Z_{2} ; H^{q}\left(S^{m} \times C P(n) ; Q\right)\right)
$$

with the action of $Z_{2}$ to $H^{q}\left(S^{m} \times C P(n) ; Q\right)$ given by

$$
T\left(1 \times y^{j}\right)=(-1)^{j} 1 \times y^{j}, \quad T\left(s_{m} \times y^{j}\right)=(-1)^{m+j+1} s_{m} \times y^{j} .
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{lc}
E_{2}^{0,4 k} \cong H^{\circ}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{4 k}(C P(n) ; Q), & \text { if } m=4 t, \\
E_{2}^{0, m+2(2 k+1)} \cong H^{m}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{2(2 k+1)}(C P(n) ; Q),
\end{array}\right. \\
& E_{2}^{0,4 k} \cong H^{0}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{4 k}(C P(n) ; Q)+H^{m}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{4 k-m}(C P(n) ; Q), \\
& \begin{cases}E_{2}^{0,4 k} \cong H^{0}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{4 k}(C P(n) ; Q), & \text { if } m=4 t+2, \\
E_{2}^{0, m+4 k} \cong H^{m}\left(S^{m} ; Q\right) \otimes H^{4 k}(C P(n) ; Q), & \text { if } m=2 t+1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

and all other $E_{2}^{n, q}$ are zero. This proves that $d_{r}=0(r \geqq 2)$ and $E_{\infty}^{n, q}=0$ for $p \neq 0$. Consequently we have

$$
H^{q}(D(m, n) ; Q) \cong E_{2}^{n, q} .
$$

In case of $m=4 t(m=2 t+1)$, obviously we may assume that $1 \otimes y^{2}$ and $s_{m} \otimes y\left(s_{m} \otimes 1\right)$ are the elements corresponding to $a=\left(c^{0}, d^{2}\right)$ and $b=$ $\left(c^{m}, d\right)\left(b^{\prime}=\left(c^{m}, d^{0}\right)\right)$ respectively.

In case of $m=4 t+2$, since $a=\left(c^{0}, d^{2}\right)$ is induced from $a=\left(c^{0}, d^{2}\right)$ for $D(4(t+1), n)$ by the inclusion map $D(4 t+2, n) \subset D(4(t+1), n)$, again we may assume in virtue of the naturality that $1 \otimes y^{2}$ is the element corresponding to $a$. Furtheremore we may assume that $s_{m} \otimes y$ is the element corresponding to $b=\left(c^{m}, d\right)$ by the following reason. Let the element corresponding to $b$ be $s_{m} \otimes y+k\left(1 \otimes y^{2 t+2}\right)$ with $k \in Q$. Since $b=f^{*}\left(s_{m} \wedge y\right)$, we have $b^{2}=0$. Therefore $k=0$ for $n \geqq 2 t+3$. For $n<2 t+3$, since $b$ is induced from $b$ for $D\left(m, n^{\prime}\right)$ with $n^{\prime} \geqq 2 t+3$ by the inclusion map $D(m$, $n) \subset D\left(m, n^{\prime}\right)$, we have also $k=0$.

The above shows that the multiplicative structure of $H^{*}(D(m, n)$; $Q$ ) is induced by that of the spectral sequence. Thus we have the
desired results.
The following corollary is obtained from Proposition (1.8) and Theorem (1.10).

Corollary (1.11). We have an exact triangle

$$
\begin{gathered}
H^{*}\left(S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+} ; Q\right) \xrightarrow{f^{*}} H^{*}(D(m, n) ; Q) \\
H^{*}(D(m-1, n) ; Q)
\end{gathered}
$$

such that

$$
i^{*} a^{k}=a^{k}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta\left(b^{\prime} a^{k}\right)=2 s_{2 t} \wedge y^{2 k} \\
f^{*}\left(s_{2 t} \wedge y^{2 k+1}\right)=b a^{k}
\end{array} \quad \text { if } m=2 t,\right. \\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\delta\left(b a^{k}\right)=2 s_{2 t+1} \wedge y^{2 k+1} \\
f^{*}\left(s_{2 t+1} \wedge y^{2 k}\right)=b^{\prime} a^{k}
\end{array} \text { if } m=2 t+1 .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Canonical real 2-plane bundle over $D(m, n)$

We shall recall from [4] that one can define operations

$$
\varepsilon: K_{o}(X) \rightarrow K_{U}(X), \quad \rho: K_{U}(X) \rightarrow K_{o}(X), \quad *: K_{U}(X) \rightarrow K_{U}(X)
$$

such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\rho \varepsilon=2: K_{o}(X) \rightarrow K_{o}(X)  \tag{2.1}\\
\varepsilon \rho=1+*: K_{U}(X) \rightarrow K_{U}(X) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

The operations are natural with respect to maps and ring homomorphisms, excepting $\rho$ which is a homomorphism of groups. $\varepsilon$ and $\rho$ come from the standerd inclusions, and $*$ is the conjugation (i.e. ${ }^{*} \mu=\bar{\mu}$ ).

Let $\xi$ be the canonical real line bundle over $R P(m)$, and let $\eta$ be the canonical complex line bundle over $C P(n)$.

In this section we shall prove the following
Theorem (2.2). There is a real 2-plane bundle $\eta_{1}$ over $D(m, n)$ satisfying the following conditions:
i) $\eta_{1}$ restricted to $C P(n)$ is the 2-plane bundle $\rho \eta$,
ii) $\eta_{1}$ for $n=0$ is the 2-plane bundle $1 \oplus p^{\prime} \xi$,
iii) $\eta_{1} \otimes p^{\prime} \xi$ is equivalent to $\eta_{1}$.
iv) the Chern character of the complex 2-plane bundle $\varepsilon \eta_{1}$ is given as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}=2\left(1+a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right), \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r=[n / 2]$.
Proof. Every point of $D(m, n)$ can be represented by $[x, z]$ under the identification $(x, z)=(-x, \overline{\lambda z})$ for $x \in S^{m}, z \in S^{2 n_{+1}} \subset C^{n_{+1}}$ and all $\lambda \in C$, $|\lambda|=1$. Then the total space $E\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ of $\eta_{1}$ is defined as the set of all triples $[(x, z), t]$ under the identification $((x, z), t)=((-x, \overline{\lambda z}), \overline{\lambda t})$, where $t \in C$ and $x, z$ and $\lambda$ are as above. The projection is given by $p([(x$, $z), t])=[x, z]$.

Local triviality is checked as follows: Define $\phi_{i, r}: U_{i, r} \times R^{2} \rightarrow p^{-1}\left(U_{i, r}\right)$ by

$$
\phi_{i, r}([x, z], t)= \begin{cases}{\left[(x, z), z_{r} t\right]} & \text { if } x_{i}>0 \\ {\left[(x, z), z_{r} \bar{t}\right]} & \text { if } x_{i}<0\end{cases}
$$

where $U_{i, r}$ is the set of points $[x, z]$ of $D(m, n)$ such that $x_{i}$ and $z_{r}$ are non-zero, and $\left\{U_{i, r} \mid i=0,1, \cdots, m ; r=0,1, \cdots, n\right\}$ is an open covering of $D(m, n)$; the transition functions are given as follows:

$$
g_{(j, s)(i, r)}[x, z]= \begin{cases}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & -b \\
b & a
\end{array}\right) & \left(x_{i}, x_{j}>0\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
a & -b \\
-b & -a
\end{array}\right) & \left(x_{i}>0, x_{j}<0\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
a & b \\
b & -a
\end{array}\right) & \left(x_{i}<0, x_{j}>0\right) \\
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
a & b \\
-b & a
\end{array}\right) & \left(x_{i}, x_{j}<0\right)\end{cases}
$$

where $\quad z_{r} / z_{s}=a+b i, \quad a, b \in R$.
This real 2-plane bundle $\eta_{1}$ is the complex line bundle $\eta$ for $m=0$, therefore we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
i_{R}^{!} \eta_{1}=\rho \eta \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the inclusion map $i: C P(n) \subset D(m, n)$.
Also, it is easy to see from (2.4) that in case of $n=0$ the 2-plane bundle $\eta_{1}$ is $1 \oplus p^{\prime} \xi$.

Since the transition functions $h_{(j, s)(i, r)}[x, z]$ of $p^{\prime} \xi$ are 1 for $x_{i} x_{j}>0$ and -1 for $x_{i} x_{j}<0$, (2.4) implies

$$
P\left(g_{(j, s)(i, r)}[x, z] \otimes h_{(j, s)(i, r)}[x, z]\right)=g_{(j, s)(i, r)}[x, z] P,
$$

where $P=\left(\begin{array}{rr}0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0\end{array}\right)$. This shows iii).
We next show iv). In virtue of Theorem (1.10), we see that the
kernel of the homomorphism

$$
i^{*}: H^{*}(D(m, n) ; Q) \rightarrow H^{*}(C P(n) ; Q)
$$

consists of the elements divisible by $b$ or $b^{\prime}$ and that

$$
i^{*} a=y^{2} .
$$

Also, by (2.1) and (2.5) we have

$$
i_{c}^{!} \varepsilon \eta_{1}=\eta \oplus \bar{\eta} .
$$

Since

$$
i^{*} \operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}=\operatorname{ch}(\eta \oplus \bar{\eta})=2\left(1+y^{2} / 2!+\cdots+y^{2 r} /(2 r)!\right)
$$

we have

$$
i^{*} \operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}=i^{*} 2\left(1+a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}-2\left(1+a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right) \in \operatorname{Ker} i^{*} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is
$\operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}-2\left(1+a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)$ is divisible by $b$ or $b^{\prime}$.
On the other hand, the total Chern class $c\left(\varepsilon \eta_{1}\right)$ of the complex 2plane bundle $\varepsilon \eta_{1}$ is a polynomial on $a$ for $m \geqq 5$ and so is the Chern character $\operatorname{ch} \varepsilon \eta_{1}$ of $\varepsilon \eta_{1}$ for $m \geqq 5$.

Therefore the left hand side of (2.6) is a polynomial on $a$. Thus we obtain (2.3) from (2.7).

In case of $m<5$, since the bundle $\eta_{1}$ over $D(m, n)$ is induced from $\eta_{1}$ over $D\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)\left(m^{\prime} \geqq 5\right)$ by the inclusion $D(m, n) \subset D\left(m^{\prime}, n\right)$, the naturality of the Chern character shows (2.3) for every $D(m, n)$. This completes the proof of Theorem (2.2).

Finally we shall prove
Theorem (2.8). On the real tangent bundle $\tau(D(m, n)$ ) of $D(m, n)$, we have the following relation:

$$
\tau(D(m, n)) \oplus 1 \oplus p^{\prime} \xi=p^{\prime} \tau(R P(m)) \oplus \overbrace{\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{1}}^{n+1} .
$$

Proof. The total space $E(\tau(D(m, n))$ ) of the real tangent vector bundle of $D(m, n)$ can be represented as the set of all pairs $[(x, z),(u$, $v)]$, wtih $x \in S^{m} \subset R^{m+1}, z \in S^{2 n_{+1}} \subset C^{n_{+1}}, u \in R^{m+1}, v \in C^{n_{+1}}$ and $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{u}=0, \vec{z} \cdot \vec{v}$ $=0$ in the Hermitian metric, under the identification $((x, z),(u, v))=$ $((-x, \bar{\lambda} z),(-u, \overline{\lambda v}))$ for all $\lambda \in C,|\lambda|=1$. Therefore we have the following decomposition:

$$
\tau(D(m, n))=p_{\tau}^{\prime} \tau(R P(m)) \oplus \zeta,
$$

where the total space $E(\zeta)$ of $\zeta$ is the set of all triples $[(x, z), v]$ under the identification $((x, z), v)=((-x, \overline{\lambda z}), \overline{\lambda v})$ for $x, z, v$ and $\lambda$ are as above.

Consider the $(n+1)$-fold bundle sum $\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{1}$. Then the total space $E\left(\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{1}\right)$ can be represented as the set of all triples $[(x, z), v]$ with the identification $((x, z), v)=((-x, \overline{\lambda z}), \overline{\lambda v})$, where $x \in S^{m}, z \in S^{2 n+1}$ $\subset C^{n+1}, v \in C^{n_{+1}}$ and $\lambda$ is as above. Comparing this with $E(\zeta)$, we see $E\left(\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{1}\right) \supset E(\zeta)$.

Let $\theta$ be the real 2-plane bundle over $D(m, n)$ with $E(\theta)=\{[(x, z)$, $r z]\}$ modulo the identification $((x, z), r z)=((-x, \overline{\lambda z}), \overline{r \lambda z})$, where $x \in S^{m}$, $z \in S^{2 n_{+1}} \subset C^{n_{+1}}, r \in R^{2} \equiv C$ and $\lambda$ is as above. Clearly $\theta$ is equivalent to $1 \oplus p^{\prime} \xi$.

As can readily be seen, we have

$$
\tau(D(m, n)) \oplus \theta=p_{\tau}^{\prime}(R P(m)) \oplus \overbrace{\eta_{1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \eta_{1}}^{n+1} .
$$

3. Calculation of $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{K}}_{U}^{i}(\boldsymbol{D}(\boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{n}))$

In terms of the canonical line bundle and the canonical 2-plane bundle, we introduce the following elements $\lambda, \mu, \nu, \alpha_{1}, \alpha$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda & =\xi-1 \in \tilde{K}_{o}(R P(m)), \\
\mu & =\eta-1 \in \tilde{K}_{U}(C P(n)), \\
\nu & =\varepsilon \lambda \in \tilde{K}_{U}(R P(m)), \\
\alpha_{1} & =\eta_{1}-p^{\prime} \xi-1 \in \tilde{K}_{o}(D(m, n)), \\
\alpha & =\varepsilon \alpha_{1} \in \widetilde{K}_{U}(D(m, n)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

According to J. F. Adams [1] we have the following theorems.
Theorem (3.1). $\quad \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(R P(m))=Z_{2^{f}}$, the cyclic group of order $2^{f}$, where $f=[m / 2]$. $\nu$ generates the group, and the multiplicative structure is given by $\nu^{2}=-2 \nu$.

Theorem (3.2). $K_{U}^{0}(C P(n))$ is a truncated polynomial ring (over the integers) with one generator $\mu$ and one relation $\mu^{n+1}=0$.

Also, we have the following theorem.
Theorem (3.3). i) $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t))=0$ and $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t+1))=Z$,
ii) $K_{U}^{1}(C P(n))=0$.

Proof. i) Considering the spectral sequence of $\tilde{K}_{U}$-theory for
$R P(2 t)$, we have

$$
E_{2}^{p+1,-p}(R P(2 t))=\tilde{H}^{p+1}\left(R P(2 t) ; K_{U}^{-p}(*)\right)=0,
$$

and hence $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t))=0$. Next, considering the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(R P(2 t+1)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(R P(2 t)) \rightarrow & \tilde{K}_{U}^{1}\left(S^{2 t+1}\right) \rightarrow \\
& \tilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t+1)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t))=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(R P(2 t+1)) \cong \tilde{K}_{U}^{1}\left(S^{2 t+1}\right)=Z .
$$

ii) Since

$$
E_{2}^{p+1,-p}(C P(n))=H^{p+1}\left(C P(n) ; K_{U}^{-p}(*)\right)=0,
$$

we have ii).
The following three lemmas are useful for the computation of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$.

Lemma (3.4). The homomorphism, induced by projection,

$$
p_{\Lambda}^{!}: \widetilde{K}_{\Lambda}^{i}(R P(m)) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_{\Lambda}^{i}(D(m, n)) \quad(\Lambda=O \text { or } U)
$$

is a monomorphism and Im $p_{\Lambda}^{!}$is a direct summand of $\widetilde{K}_{\Lambda}^{i}(D(m, n))$.
Proof. Since there is a cross section

$$
r: R P(m) \rightarrow D(m, n)
$$

defined by $r([x])=\left[x_{0}, \cdots, x_{m}, 1,0, \cdots, 0\right]$, we have immediately the lemma.

Lemma (3.5). Both of the following systems of elements of the type i) and ii) form an integral basis of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(C P(n))$.
i) $\mu, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1},(\mu+\bar{\mu}),(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{2}, \cdots,(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r}$, and also, in case $n$ is odd, $\mu^{2 r+1}\left(=\mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r}\right)$;
ii) $\mu, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}, \mu-\bar{\mu},(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots,(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+$ $\bar{\mu})^{r-1}$, and also, in case $n$ is odd, $\mu^{2 r+1}$, where $r=[n / 2]$.

Proof. First we consider the elements of type i). It is sufficient to ensure that $\mu, \mu^{2}, \cdots, \mu^{n}$ can be written as linear combinations of the elements of type i).

From Theorem (7.2) of [1] we have

$$
\bar{\mu}=-\mu+\mu^{2}-\mu^{3}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} \mu^{n} .
$$

Therefore

$$
(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k}=\left\{\mu^{2}-\mu^{3}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} \mu^{n}\right\}^{k} .
$$

Since

$$
(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{j}=\mu^{2 j}+\text { highter terms }
$$

and

$$
\mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{j-1}=\mu^{2 j-1}+\text { higher terms },
$$

an easy inductive argument on $i$ shows that $\mu^{n-i}(i=0, \cdots, n-1)$ are represented as linear combinations of the elements of type i).

As for ii), in virtue of the relation

$$
(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{j}=2 \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{j-1}-(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{j-1},
$$

the elements of type i) are rewritten as linear combinations of the elements of type ii), thus the elements of type ii) also form a basis of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(C P(n))$.

Lemma (3.6). $\quad \operatorname{ch} \alpha=2\left(a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)$, where $r=[n / 2]$.
Proof. Since $\alpha_{1}=\eta_{1}-2-\left(p^{\prime} \xi-1\right)$, we have $\alpha=\varepsilon \eta_{1}-2-p^{\prime} \nu$. On the other hand $\operatorname{ch} \nu=0$. Therefore Theorem (2.2) implies the lemma.

Considering the spectral sequence in $\tilde{K}_{U}$-theory for $D(m, n)$, we have

$$
E_{2}^{p, q}(D(m, n))= \begin{cases}\tilde{H}^{p}(D(m, n) ; Z) & \text { if } q=\text { even } \\ 0 & \text { if } q=\text { odd }\end{cases}
$$

By Proposition (1.6) we can enumerate $E_{2}^{n, q}$ with $p+q=0$ or 1 , and we obtain the following result as for the rank of $E_{2}^{*, *}=\sum_{p+q=i} E_{2}^{p, q}$ :

| $(m, n)$ | $(2 t, 2 r)$ | $(2 t+1,2 r)$ | $(2 t, 2 r+1)$ | $(2 t+1,2 r+1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $i$ | $2 r$ | $r$ | $2 r+1$ | $r$ |
| 1 | 0 | $r+1$ | 0 | $r+1$ |

Next, we shall show that the rank of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$ is no less than that of $E_{2}^{*, *}$. For this purpose, by (1.7) we identify $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n) / D(m-1$, $n$ ) ) with $K_{U}^{i-m}(C P(n))$. Then in virtue of Lemma (3.5) the basis of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1, n) / D(2 t, n))$ can be represented by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{t+1}, g^{t+1} \mu, g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1} \\
& g^{t+1}(\mu-\bar{\mu}), g^{t+1}(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, g^{t+1}(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and also, in case $n$ is odd, $g^{t+1} \mu^{2 r+1}$ with $r=[n / 2]$, where $g$ denotes the canonical generator of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}\left(S^{2}\right)$. Also, in virtue of Proposition (1.8) we may identify $\tilde{H}^{*}(D(m, n) / D(m-1, n) ; Q)$ with $\tilde{H}^{*}\left(S^{m} \wedge C P(n)^{+} ; Q\right)$.

Consider the following commutative diagram
where $f$ is the map defined after Proposition (1.8) and $s f$ is its suspension. Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ch}(s f)^{!} g^{t+1}=f^{*} \operatorname{ch} g^{t+1}=b^{\prime} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}(s f)^{!} g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1} & =f^{*} \operatorname{ch} g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1} \\
& =2^{k-1} b^{\prime}\left(a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)^{k}
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

there are $r+1$ independent elements $\left.(s f))^{\prime} g^{t+1},(s f)\right)^{t} g^{t+1} \mu,(s f)^{\prime} g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+$ $\bar{\mu}), \cdots,(s f))^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}$ in $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1, n))$ with $r=[n / 2]$. We put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(s f)^{!} g^{t+1}=g^{\prime}  \tag{3.8}\\
(s f)^{\prime} g^{t+1} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1}=\beta_{k-1} \quad(k=1,2, \cdots, r) .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Next, in virture of Lemma (3.5) the basis of $\tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, n) / D(2 t-1$, $n)$ ) can be represented by

$$
g^{t}, g^{t}(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, g^{t}(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r}, g^{t} \mu, g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}
$$

and also, in case $n$ is odd, $g^{t} \mu^{2 r+1}$ with $r=[n / 2]$.
Consider the following commutative diagram

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, n) / D(2 t-1, n)) & \xrightarrow{f^{!}} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, n)) \\
\widetilde{H}^{*}(D(2 t, n) / D(2 t-1, n) ; Q) \xrightarrow{\mid c h} & \xrightarrow{f^{*}}
\end{array}
$$

Since we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ch} f^{!} g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1}=f^{*} \operatorname{ch} g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1} \\
& \quad=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
2^{k-1} b\left(1+a / 3!+\cdots+a^{r-1} /(2 r-1)!\right)\left(a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)^{k-1} \quad \text { if } n=2 r, \\
2^{k-1} b\left(1+a / 3!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r+1)!\right)\left(a / 2!+\cdots+a^{r} /(2 r)!\right)^{k-1} \quad \text { if } n=2 r+1,
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

there are independent elements $f^{!} g^{t} \mu, f^{!} g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, f^{!} g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}$, and also, in case $n$ is odd, $f^{!} g^{t} \mu^{2 r+1}$ in $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, n))$ with $r=[n / 2]$. We put

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f^{!} g^{t} \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{k-1}=\gamma_{k-1} \quad(k=1,2, \cdots, r)  \tag{3.9}\\
f^{!} g^{t} \mu^{2 r+1}=\gamma_{r+1}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, by Lemma (3.6) there are $r$ independent elements $\alpha, \alpha^{2}$, $\cdots, \alpha^{r}$ in $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(m, n))$ with $r=[n / 2]$.

From the above mentioned facts, we have the following results as for the rank of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$ :

| $(m, n)$ | $(2 t, 2 r)$ | $(2 t+1,2 r)$ | $(2 t, 2 r+1)$ | $(2 t+1,2 r+1)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $2 r$ | $r$ | $2 r+1$ | $r$ |
| 1 | 0 | $r+1$ | 0 | $r+1$ |

Now, in virtue of Proposition (1.6) $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$ must be a direct sum of $Z$ 's and $Z_{2^{*}}$ 's, and it remains to settle the question of how many $Z_{2^{*}}$ 's occur in $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$. For this purpose we consider the spectral sequence of $\bmod 2 \widetilde{K}_{U}$-theory. Let $M_{2}$ be $R P(2)$ and let $(X, A)$ be a pair of finite $C W$-complex and its subcomplex. The $\bmod 2 K_{U^{-}}$ theory [2], $K_{U}\left(; Z_{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{K}_{U}\left(; Z_{2}\right)$, is defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K_{U}^{i}\left(X, A ; Z_{2}\right)=K_{U}^{i+2}\left(X \times M_{2}, X \times * \cup A \times M_{2}\right), \\
& \tilde{K}_{U}^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)=\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i+2}\left(X \wedge M_{2}\right) \quad \text { for all } i .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X$ be a finite simplicial complex and $X^{n}$ be the $n$-skeleton of $X$. When we filter $K_{U}^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$ by defining

$$
K_{p}^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)=\operatorname{Kernel}\left[K_{U}^{i}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow K_{U}^{i}\left(X^{p-1} ; Z_{2}\right)\right],
$$

we have the following theorem.
Theorem (3.11). Let $X$ be a finite simplicial complex. Let $M_{2}$ be $R P(2)$, so that $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{q}\left(M_{2}\right) \cong Z_{2}$ if $q$ is even and $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{q}\left(M_{2}\right)=0$ if $q$ is odd. Then there is a spectral sequence $E_{r}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)(r \geqq 1,-\infty<p, q<\infty)$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \cong C^{p}\left(X ; \tilde{K}_{U}^{q}\left(M_{2}\right)\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$d_{1}$ being the ordinary coboundary operator,

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{2}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \cong H^{p}\left(X ; \tilde{K}_{U}^{q}\left(M_{2}\right)\right)  \tag{2}\\
& E_{\infty}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \cong K_{p}^{p+q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) / K_{p+1}^{p+q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

The differential $d_{r}: E_{r}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right) \rightarrow E_{r}^{p+r, q-r+1}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$ vanishes for even $r$ since $E_{r}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)=0$ for all odd values of $q$. Also $d_{3}=S q^{3}+S q^{2} S q^{1}$ is known.

The $E_{r}^{p}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right)$ together with the differentials $d_{r}$ are homotopy type invariants of $X$ for $r \geqq 2$. Also $K_{U}(X)$ is a homotopy type invariant. By a theorem of J.H.C. Whitehead [8, p. 239, Theorem 13], any finite $C W$-complex is of the homotopy type of a finite simplicial complex.

Hence the spectral sequence $\left\{E_{r}^{p, q}\left(X ; Z_{2}\right), r \geqq 2\right\}$ is well defined for any finite $C W$-complex.

We now apply the spectral sequence of $\bmod 2 \widetilde{K}_{U^{-}}$theory to $D(m, n)$. We have $S q^{1} d=c d$ from (1.5). Since the operator $d_{3}$ is a derivation, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{3}\left(c^{i} d^{j}\right)=(i+j) c^{i+3} d^{j}+j c^{i+1} d^{j+1}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can enumerate easily the additive basis in $E_{4}$-term which is the $d_{3}$-cohomology of $H^{*}\left(D(m, n) ; Z_{2}\right)$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{l}
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{2}, d^{2}, d^{4}, \cdots, d^{2 r}, c^{2 t} d, c^{2 t} d^{3}, \cdots, c^{2 t} d^{2 r-1}, \\
c^{2 t-1},
\end{array} \quad \text { if }(m, n)=(2 t, 2 r),\right.
\end{array}\right\} \begin{aligned}
& c^{2}, d^{2}, d^{4}, \cdots, d^{2 r}, \\
& c^{2 t-1}, c^{2 t+1}, c^{2 t+1} d, \cdots, c^{2 t+1} d^{2 r-1},
\end{aligned} \quad \text { if }(m, n)=(2 t+1,2 r), ~\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{2}, d^{2}, \cdots, d^{2 r}, c^{2 t} d, \cdots, c^{2 t} d^{2 r+1}, c^{2 t-2} d^{2 r+1}, \\
c^{2 t-1}, c d^{2 r+1}, \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c^{2}, d^{2}, \cdots, d^{2 r}, c^{2 t} d^{2 r+1}, \\
c^{2 t-1}, c^{2 t+1}, c^{2 t+1} d, \cdots, c^{2 t+1} d^{2 r-1}, c d^{2 r+1}, \text { if }(m, n)=(2 t+1,2 r+1),
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

where elements in the first rows are the basis of $E_{4}$-term of total degree 0 and the second are those of total degree 1.

Now, note that $\tilde{K}_{U}^{n}(D(m, n))$ has a 2 -primary compoent $Z_{2} f$ by Lemma (3.4). By Künneth relation of $\tilde{K}_{U}$-theory [2, Cor. 2.8]

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}\left(D(m, n) ; Z_{2}\right) \cong \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(m, n)) \otimes Z_{2}+\operatorname{Tor}\left(\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(m, n)), Z_{2}\right), \\
& \widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}\left(D(m, n) ; Z_{2}\right) \cong \widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(m, n)) \otimes Z_{2}+\operatorname{Tor}\left(\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(m, n)), Z_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the number of copies of $Z_{2}$ of both sides, as for the 2-torsion part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{i}(D(m, n))$, we obtain the following results:

If $n$ is even, the torsion of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{n}(D(m, n))$ is $p^{\prime} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{n}(R P(m))$, and $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(m, n))$ has no torsion. If $n$ is odd, the torsion of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(m, n))$ is $Z_{2^{*}}$ or 0 .

Now we obtain the following
Theorem (3.14).
i) $\quad \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, 2 r))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{2 r}+Z_{2^{t}}$,

$$
\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t, 2 r))=0
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ii) } \quad \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+1,2 r))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{r}+Z_{2^{t}} \text {, } \\
& \widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t+1,2 r))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{r+1}, \\
& \text { iii) } \quad \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, 2 r+1))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{2 r+1}+Z_{2^{t}} \text {, } \\
& \widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t, 2 r+1))=Z_{2^{t}} \\
& \text { iv) } \quad \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{r}+Z_{2^{t}} \text {, } \\
& \widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1))=\overbrace{Z+\cdots+Z}^{r+1}+Z_{2^{t+1}} ;
\end{aligned}
$$

the basis of the free part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(m, n))$ are $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \cdots, \alpha^{r}, \gamma, \gamma \alpha, \cdots$, $\gamma \alpha^{r-1}$, and also, in case $n$ is odd, $\gamma \alpha^{r}$, and the basis of the free part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t+1, n))$ are $g^{\prime}, \beta, \beta \alpha, \cdots, \beta \alpha^{r-1}$, where $\gamma=f^{!} g^{t} \mu$ and $\beta=(s f)^{\prime} g^{t+1} \mu$; the generator of 2-torsion part of $\tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(m, n))$ is $\nu_{1}=p^{\prime} \nu$. Also we have $\alpha \cdot \nu_{1}=0$.

Proof. Proof of i) and ii). Since we have $D(0,2 r)=C P(2 r)$, our assertions are trivial for $m=0$, and the basis of the free part are given by $\mu+\bar{\mu},(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{2}, \cdots,(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r}, \mu, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu}), \cdots, \mu(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r-1}$.

Suppose that i) is true for $m=2 t, n=2 r$ and that the basis of the free part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t, 2 r))$ are $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \cdots, \alpha^{r}, \gamma, \gamma \alpha, \cdots, \gamma \alpha^{r-1}$. And consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{-2}(D(2 t+1,2 r)) \xrightarrow{i^{!}} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{-2}(D(2 t, 2 r)) \xrightarrow{\delta^{!}} \\
& \tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r) / D(2 t, 2 r)) \xrightarrow{(s f)^{!}} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r)) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is easy to see that the basis of the free part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{n}(D(2 t+1,2 r))$ are given by $\alpha, \alpha^{2}, \cdots, \alpha^{r}$ and the basis of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r))$ are given by $g^{\prime}, \beta, \beta \alpha, \cdots, \beta \alpha^{r-1}$, because of $\operatorname{ch} \beta_{k-1}=\operatorname{ch} \beta \alpha^{k-1}(k=1,2, \cdots, r)$.

Now, if we use the exact sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r)) \xrightarrow{\delta^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+2,2 r) / D(2 t+1,2 r)) \xrightarrow{f^{!}} \\
\tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+2,2 r)) \xrightarrow{i^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+1,2 r)) \longrightarrow 0,
\end{gathered}
$$

the induction on $m$ shows i) and ii).
Proof of iii) and iv). Consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{-2}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{i^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{-2}(D(2 t, 2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{\delta^{!}} \\
\tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1) / D(2 t, 2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{(s f)^{!}} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1)) \\
\xrightarrow{i^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t, 2 r+1)) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assume inductively that the basis of the free part of $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{-2}(D(2 t, 2 r+1))$ are given by $g \alpha, g \alpha^{2}, \cdots, g \alpha^{r}, g \gamma, g \gamma \alpha, \cdots, g \gamma \alpha^{r}$ and that $\widehat{K}_{U}^{1}(D(2 t, 2 r+1))$ $=Z_{2^{t}}$. Then we have

$$
\delta^{\prime} g \gamma \alpha^{r}=g^{t+1}(\mu-\bar{\mu})(\mu+\bar{\mu})^{r}=2 g^{t+1} \mu^{2 r+1},
$$

and hence $\widetilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1))$ has 2 -torsion part $Z_{2^{t+1}}$.
Consider the exact sequence

$$
\begin{gathered}
0 \longrightarrow \tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+2,2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{i^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{\delta^{!}} \\
\widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+2,2 r+1) / D(2 t+1,2 r+1)) \xrightarrow{f^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+2,2 r+1)) \\
\xrightarrow{i^{!}} \tilde{K}_{U}^{0}(D(2 t+1,2 r+1)) \longrightarrow 0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Since $\tilde{K}_{U}^{n}(D(2 t+2,2 r+1) / D(2 t+1,2 r+1))$ is free, we have

$$
\tilde{K}_{U}^{-1}(D(2 t+2,2 r+1))=Z_{2^{t+1}} .
$$

The rest of the proof of iii) and iv) can be treated in the similar way as in the case i) and ii).

Since $\alpha \nu_{1} \in p^{\prime} \widetilde{K}_{U}^{0}\left(R P(m)\right.$ ) and $r^{\prime} \alpha=0$ (cf. Theorem (2.2) and Lemma (3.4)), we have $\alpha \nu_{1}=0$. The proof is complete.
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