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ABSTRACT
Amida is a toolkit to record an execution trace of a Java program and visualize the trace as a sequence diagram. Amida supports our novel approach to efficiently detecting phases; the algorithm precisely divides a long execution trace into a series of smaller diagrams corresponding to features (or tasks to achieve a feature) without deep knowledge on a target system.

Categories and Subject Descriptors
D.2.5 [Software Engineering]: Testing and Debugging—Debugging aids, Tracing

General Terms
Experimentation
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1. INTRODUCTION
An important issue for visualizing an execution trace is how to handle a huge amount of events included in an execution trace. One approach is summarizing an execution trace [3]. Another is visualizing an overview of a trace using zoom-in/out functionality [6] or a new viewer named Circular Bundle View [1].

Our tool, Amida, is a sequence diagram extraction toolkit for Java. Amida implements a phase detection approach to dividing a long execution trace into several phases before visualization. A phase relates to what the program is doing at a high level, e.g., reading input, processing a command, accessing a database, waiting for a connection, or computing some set of values [7]. Our method identifies a phase as a consecutive sequence of runtime events. Some phase corresponds to a feature, which is a realized functional requirement of a system [2]. Some other phase may represent a minor phase, or one of the tasks to achieve a feature. Phase detection allows Amida to visualize a feature-level or minor phase as a sequence diagram. This functionality helps developers focus on a small portion of the execution trace that corresponding to a feature or a task that are described in design documents.

2. AMIDA OVERVIEW
Amida is a toolkit for extracting a sequence diagram from an execution trace of Java software. Amida comprises two parts: Amida profiler and Amida viewer. The profiler records an execution trace and the viewer visualize the trace as sequence diagrams.

2.1 Amida Profiler
AMIDA profiler is a dynamic link library that implements Java Virtual Machine Tool Interface (JVMTI) to record method call events to be visualized. A user of Amida adds -agentpath option to a program execution for connecting the profiler to JVM.

The profiler records three sorts of events: method call, method return and exceptional exit. Each event has the following attributes: timestamp, method signature, object ID and thread ID.

Figure 1 shows an execution log extracted from a web application. Each log line that ends with an open brace (“{”) represents a method call event. A close brace (“}”) represents a method return from the latest method call in the thread. According to the limited space, we have omitted package names in the trace.

2.2 Amida Viewer
Amida viewer is a graphical user interface for drawing sequence diagrams from trace files generated by Amida profiler. The interface is very simple; the menu [File]-[Load] shows a file open dialog to choose a file to be visualized.

Amida implements a novel phase detection approach to dividing an execution trace into a series of phases. Our approach is based on two basic hypotheses in object-oriented programming:

- Many objects are created to achieve a task and most of them are destroyed after the task [4]. At the beginning of each phase, new objects are created for the new phase and some objects come from the previous phase [5].
- The beginning and the end of a phase corresponds to a method call and a method return event, respectively.
Figure 2: Caller/callee objects in a use-case scenario of an industrial system. The execution trace comprises five feature-level phases: login, three steps (search/show/edit) to update a database record, and logout.

Figure 3: A sequence diagram view of Amida viewer.

Figure 2 shows objects and phases in a use-case scenario we have used in our case study. To automatically detect such phases, we employ a LRU cache for observing the working set of objects.

Amida first prepares an empty LRU cache whose size is c. For each method call event, Amida adds its caller and callee objects to the cache. If the cache is frequently updated in the recent w events, Amida recognizes that a new phase is beginning and creating objects for the new phase. Then Amida identifies a method call event who has the local-minimum depth of the call stack (the latest one if tied) as the beginning of the phase.

After the phase detection, Amida viewer visualizes a portion of the execution trace corresponding to a phase as a compact sequence diagram. Figure 3 is an example screenshot. Amida viewer implements four rules to detect loops and recursive calls that can remove more than 90 percents of the method call for various traces [8].

3. CASE STUDY

We have analyzed execution traces extracted from an industrial web application named “tool management system”. The system has JSP pages as user interface, therefore, execution traces include all interaction among JSP pages and business logic objects on the server’s JVM.

We have executed 4 use case scenarios in design documents with Amida profiler to record execution traces for each system. We have asked developers of the systems to manually identify phases that represent features in the execution traces. We also asked them to divide a feature-level phase into minor phases that correspond to tasks to achieve the feature. An execution trace involves 4 to 6 features. Each feature comprises 4 minor phases on average.

We summarized the result from all traces based on recall and precision. Table 1 shows average recall and precision for all possible parameter configurations that results in 5 or 10 phases. If we got 5 phases with some parameters (arbitrary pair of cache size c and window size w), 93% of them are meaningful for developers (7% are false positives); they covers 56% of the features and 39% of the minor phases in the trace. 10 phases involve 8 correct phases and 2 false phases on average. This result shows that developers can apply our phase detection approach without the deep knowledge on a target system and parameter configuration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#detected phases</th>
<th>Recall(Feature)</th>
<th>Recall(All)</th>
<th>Precision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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