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SUMMARY

The leftward fluid flow in the node is responsible for the breaking of left-right symmetry in mouse embryo. The unidirectional fluid flow is generated by rotation of posteriorly tilted cilia in the node. The tilt of cilia is determined by the position of the basal body of node cell. It has been established that the PCP pathway controls the basal body positioning in node cells. However, it is unclear how initial global A-P axis regulates the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins in node cells. Mainly using mouse genetics, we have examined the role of non-canonical Wnt signaling in node cell polarity. The position of the basal body was affected by the lack of Wnt5a/5b genes. It was also surprising that the basal body failed to shift posteriorly in Sfrp1/2/5 mutant. Moreover, mutant embryos and uniform Wnt5a-expressing embryos showed the same basal body positioning defect. Our results suggest that a combination of the posteriorly-expressed Wnt5a/5b and anteriorly-expressed Sfrps would generate gradient of Wnt5 activity. This activity would be responsible for cell polarity in the node.
INTRODUCTION

It is important to establish the anteroposterior (AP), dorsoventral (DV), and left-right (LR) axes for the vertebrate body plan formation. There are five key steps that contribute to the establishment of left-right (LR) patterning in the mouse (Fig 1 (a)). The first step is the symmetry-breaking event that takes place in the node cavity in early embryogenesis. During this step, in the node cavity, 200-300 motile cilia rotating in clock-wise direction generate an unidirectional (leftward) fluid flow referred to as “nodal flow”. This might be explained by the rotational axis of each cilium which is tilted toward the posterior side (Cartwright et al., 2004; Nonaka et al., 1998; Nonaka et al., 2005) (Fig 1 (b), Fig2 a). The position of the basal body gradually shifts toward the

Figure 1. Five steps for Left-Right determination
(a) Schematic diagram of L-R asymmetry breaking at E7.5 of mouse embryo from ventral view. 1: Generation of nodal flow, 2: Sense and conversion of asymmetric signal at the edge of the node, 3: Transmission of asymmetric signal from node to left lateral plate mesoderm (LPM), 4: Extension of Nodal signal at LPM, 5: Asymmetric morphogenesis (reprinted from Yoshiba, S. thesis for doctoral degree 2012). (b) (A) Lateral view of a mouse embryo at E7.5 dpc. Scale bar: 100 μ m, (B) Monocilia in the node of a mouse embryo shown at high magnification. Scale bar: 100 μ m, (C) Ventral view of the node cavity and direction of the nodal flow (red arrow). Scale bar: 100 μ m, reprinted from (Shiratori and Hamada, 2006).
posterior side of node cells and reaches the most posterior side around three-somite stage (Fig 2 c). Because of the relatively rounded shape of node cell, basal bodies located at the posterior side would result in posteriorly tilted cilia (Fig 2 a, c). (Nonaka et al., 1998; Nonaka et al., 2005)

Originally characterized in Drosophia, the planar cell polarity (PCP) pathway,

![Figure 2. Directional leftward flow is generated by circular clock-wise motion of cilia](image)

(a) and (b) Representation of Nodal flow generation. (a) Circular clockwise motion of a cilium can generate directional leftward flow if its axis is not perpendicular to the cell surface but tilted posteriorly. (b) Due to its distance from the cell surface, a cilium in the leftward phase (red arrow) drags surrounding water more efficiently than the rightward phase (blue arrow), resulting in a global leftward force (purple arrow), reprinted from (Nonaka et al., 2005). (c) Basal body gradually shifts toward the posterior side in the node cell and is found on the most posterior side at tree-somite stage as indicated by the illustration and the immunostaining (γ-tubulin: green; Zo-1: red).

is regulated by the evolutionarily-conserved genes called to as “PCP core proteins”.

Some of these proteins, such as Dvl, Vangl1 and Prickle, are asymmetrically distributed in node cells along the anterior-posterior (A-P) axis. Moreover, the positioning of the basal body is impaired in mutant mice lacking each Dvl and Vangl genes ((Antic et al., 2010; Hashimoto et al., 2010; Song et al., 2010). Therefore the posterior positioning of the basal body is determined by the PCP signaling pathway.

Presumably, a pre-existing A-P positional information would be translated by node cells and be used to induce polarized localization of PCP core proteins. However, it
is unclear how initial global A-P axis regulates the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins in node cells (Fig 3). Recent studies have shown the involvement of noncanonical Wnt-regulated PCP in various vertebrate developmental processes, such as convergence and extension gastrulation movements (Gao et al., 2011; Heisenberg et al., 2000; Qian et al., 2007). It is possible that Wnt ligand-dependent or -independent mechanisms induce the polarized localization of PCP core proteins in node cells. In this paper, mainly using mouse genetics, we have examined the role of non-canonical Wnt signaling in node cell polarization. Our findings showed that posteriorly-expressed Wnts are required for node cell polarization.

**Figure 3.** How dose initial A-P axis regulate the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins in node cells?

PCP pathway controls the positioning of the basal body in node cells. But initial cues regulating PCP pathway in
RESULTS

PCP core proteins Prickle1 and Prickle2 are required for correct positioning of the node cilia

Prickle1 and Prickle2 are expressed in the ventral node (Fig 4 a) and Prickle2 protein shows polarized localization at the anterior side of node cells (Fig 4 b, Fig 5 b) (Antic et al., 2010). However, function of Prickle proteins in the node remains unknown. Prickle1 deletion in the mouse leads to embryonic lethality due to defects of the apicobasal polarity in epiblast followed by gastrulation defects (Tao et al., 2009). To determine whether Prickles are involved in the positioning of node cilia, we generated Prickle1 and Prickle2 mutant mice by deleting the exon 6 (Fig S1). Prickle2 Δex6/Δex6 mice had no phenotype. Unlike Prickle1 null mouse that are embryonic lethal, Prickle1 Δex6/Δex6 mice were born but died within 24 hours after birth, suggesting that the Δex6 allele is hypomorphic. In several Prickle1 Δex6/Δex6 Prickle2 Δex6/Δex6 embryos, Nodal flow displayed multiple vortical flow (Fig4 c). We then examined the average basal body position (ABP) (Hashimoto et al., 2010). The ABP represents the relative position of the basal body in each cell along the A-P axis. The anterior and posterior ends of a cell are represented by −1.0 and +1.0 respectively (Fig S2) (Hashimoto et al., 2010). The ABP was significantly decreased in Prickle1 Δex6/Δex6 Prickle2 Δex6/Δex6 node cells (Fig4 d, e, f), suggesting that the basal body failed to shift posteriorly.

To clarify the relation between Prickle and Vangl, we examined the localization of Vangl1 protein in node cells of Prickle1 Δex6/Δex6 Prickle2 Δex6/Δex6 embryos. As reported previously (Song et al., 2010), Vangl1 is asymmetrically distributed at A-P boundaries in node cells. Observation of Vangl1 localization at a higher resolution
showed that it is indeed localized at the anterior end of node cells (Fig5 a). The asymmetric distribution of Vangl1 at both A-P and left-right boundaries of cells was observed in Prickle1$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ Prickle2$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ node (Fig6 a,b). Using Vangl1 staining

Figure 4. Prickle1 and 2 play a key role in the positioning of node cilia
(a) Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of E7.5 WT mouse embryo with Prickle1 and 2. The dotted lines indicate the node position. Scale bars: 100 μm. (b) Immunofluorescence staining of Prickle2 (green and gray) and Phalloidin (red) in the node. (c) PIV analysis of nodal flow by the movement of fluorescent microbeads. The white line indicates the shape of node. Each arrow denotes the direction and velocity of the flow at the indicated position. Relative colour scale indicates the magnitude of the flow velocity (leftward in yellow/red, and rightward in blue). (d) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Odf2 (green) in the control and Prickle1$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ Prickle2$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ node at 3-5 So stages. (e) A-P relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node of control and Prickle1$^{−/−}$ Prickle2$^{−/−}$ embryos at 3-5 So stages and corresponding ABP rate. (f) The ABP is impaired in Prickle1$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ Prickle2$^{Δex6/Δex6}$ (n=4) as compared to control node (n=5) at 3-5So stage (two-tail t test, p = 0.032). A, anterior; P posterior; R, right; L, left.
signal, we measured the positive cell borders orientation in reference to the A-P axis. Depending on the values, cell boundaries were labeled as horizontal (angle between $0^\circ \sim 45^\circ$, $135^\circ \sim 225^\circ$, and $315^\circ \sim 360^\circ$) or vertical (angle between $45^\circ \sim 135^\circ$ and $225^\circ \sim 315^\circ$) and the proportion between those two populations were estimated by a H/V rate (Fig S3). The H/V rate was significantly reduced in Prickle1$^{\Delta ex6/\Delta ex6}$ Prickle2$^{\Delta ex6/\Delta ex6}$ embryos (Fig6 a, b). This result indicated that Prickle 1 and 2 play a key role in the positioning of node cilia and is also involved in the localization of Vangl1 in node cells.

![Image](image_url)

**Figure 5. Observation of Vangl1 and Prickle2 localization at a higher resolution**

Immunostaining of (a) Vangl1 (green) and Zo-1 (red) and (b) Prickle2 (green) and Zo-1 (red) in the node. The images were taken by the Olympus Super Resolution software (FV-OSR) and the high-sensitivity detector (FV12-HSD) with the FV-1000 confocal microscope. Scale bars: 5 μ m. Arrow heads indicate the anterior distribution of each protein in node cells.
Posteriorly-expressed Wnt5a and Wnt5b are required to polarize node cells

It has been established that the PCP core proteins such as Dvl (Hashimoto et al., 2010) and Vangl (Song et al., 2010) control the positioning of the basal body in node cells of the mouse embryo. However, it is unknown what polarizes node cells and how the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins is established in node cells. To address this, we examined both Wnt-independent and Wnt-dependent mechanisms.
We first investigated the involvement of an Wnt-dependent mechanism. Recent studies have shown the involvement of noncanonical Wnt-regulated PCP in various developmental aspects: Wnt11 in convergent-extension during gastrulation (Heisenberg

**Figure 7.** Wnt5a and Wnt5b are required for the positioning of basal body.

(a) Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of Wnt5a, Wnt5b and Wnt11 at E7.5. The dotted lines indicate the node position. Scale bars: 100 μm. (b) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Odf2 (green) in the Wnt5b<sup>−/−</sup> (Control) and Wnt5a<sup>−/−</sup>5b<sup>−/−</sup> node at 3-5 So stages. (c) Relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node of Wnt5b<sup>−/−</sup> (Control) and Wnt5a<sup>−/−</sup>5b<sup>−/−</sup> at 3–5 So stage. Corresponding ABP rate is shown under each panel. (d) ABP rate is reduced in Wnt5a<sup>−/−</sup>5b<sup>−/−</sup> (n=10) versus control (n=8) in node at 3–5 So stages (two-tailed t test, p = 0.012). A, anterior; P, posterior.
et al., 2000), Wnt5a in inner ear formation (Qian et al., 2007) and limb patterning (Gao et al., 2011). At least three noncanonical Wnt genes: Wnt5a, Wnt5b and Wnt11, are ectopically expressed in the node and surrounding tissues. While Wnt11 is expressed uniformly in the node (Fig 7a), Wnt5a and Wnt5b are expressed in the posterior end of the node and the primitive streak (Fig 7a). This expression might generate asymmetric distribution of Wnt ligand along the A–P axis. Mutant mice lacking either of these Wnt genes do not show left–right defects. We examined the position of the basal body in node cells lacking Wnt5a and Wnt5b. Wnt5a+/−, Wnt5b−/− and Wnt5a+/−; Wnt5b+/− did not show ABP differences with WT (data not shown). So theses mutants were included in the control group. The ABP was significantly decreased about a half of Wnt5a+/−; Wnt5b+/− embryos (5/10 embryos) compared to the control (Fig 7b, c, d), suggesting that the basal body failed to shift posteriorly.

To test whether Wnt ligands are required for the polarized localization of PCP core proteins in node cells, we examined the localization of Vangl1, which is known to reside on the anterior side of node cells (Fig 5a) (Song et al., 2010). The H/V ratio of Vangl1 localization was reduced in Wnt5a+/−; Wnt5b+/− embryos (Fig 8a, b), indicating that the polarized distribution of Vangl1 is impaired in the absence of Wnt5a and Wnt5b. These findings suggested that Wnt5a and Wnt5b are required for the correct basal body positioning by inducing polarized localization of PCP core proteins.
Sfrps, anteriorly-expressed Wnt inhibitors, also serve as polarizing signals

The position of the basal body was affected by the lack of Wnt5a/5b, but it was so only in a half of the corresponding embryos. These results suggest the presence of additional genes that can contribute to A-P asymmetric distribution of Wnt activity. Plausible candidates were the secreted Frizzled-related proteins (Sfrp) that contain a cysteine-rich domain (CRD) with homology to the Wnt binding domain of Fzd and act as antagonists of Wnt/β-catenin and PCP pathways (Cruciat and Niehrs, 2013; Satoh et al., 2008). Sfrp1, 2 and 5 are expressed in the developing mouse embryo (Matsuyama et al., 2009).

Figure 8. Polarized distribution of PCP core protein is impaired in the absence of Wnt5a and Wnt5b

(a) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red), Vangl1 and Odf2 (green) in the control and Wnt5a+/5b+/ node at 3.5 So stages. Scale bars: 5 μm. Quantified result (H/V) is shown under each image. (b) H/V is reduced in the node of Wnt5a+/5b+ (n=4) as compared to control (n=5).
Interestingly, Sfrp1 and sfrp5 are expressed in the region anterior to the node, the opposite side of the Wnt5a/5b-expressing domain (Fig. 9 a, b, e, f). Moreover, the expression of Sfrp1 was gradually reduced along A-P axis in contrast with the Wnt5a/5b expression pattern (Fig 9 a). Sfrp2 was widely expressed in the embryo, but its expression was gradually reduced along A-P axis in the node (Fig 9 c d). Therefore, we hypothesized that Sfrps reinforce the polarized Wnt signals in or near the node.

To test this hypothesis, we examined the basal body position and the localization of Vangl1 in node cells in Sfrp mutant embryos, and found that the lack of

Figure 9. Sfrps are expressed in the anterior region of embryo
Whole-mount in situ hybridization analysis of (a) Sfrp1, (b) Sfrp5 and (c) Sfrp2 at E7.5. (d) Sagittal section in situ hybridization of Sfrp2 at E7.5. (e) X-gal staining of Sfrp1-HA-lacZ/BAC embryos. (f) The image focused on the node of embryo shown in (e). Scale bars: 100 μ m. A, anterior; P, posterior; R, right; L, left.

Figure 10. Sfrps are involved in the polarization of node cells
(a) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Odf2 (green) in the node of WT and Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} at 3-5 So stage. (b) A-P relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node of WT and Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} at 3-5 So stage. Quantified result (ABP) is shown under each image. (c) ABP rate is reduced in Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} (n=8) and Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} (n=3) node compared to control (n=8) at 3-5 So stage. (two-tail t test, p = 0.004 and 1.62×10\textsuperscript{-8}). (d) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Vangl1 (green) in the node of WT and Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} at 3-5 So stage. Quantified result (H/V) is shown under each image. (e) Immunostaining of Zo-1, Odf2 and Celsr1 in the WT and Sfrp1\textsuperscript{-/-}5\textsuperscript{-/-} node at 3-5 So stages. High panel: Zo-1(red) and Odf2 (green), Middle panel: Zo-1 (red) and Celsr1 (green), Low panel: Odf2 (red) and Celsr1 (green). Scale bars: 10 μ m. A, anterior; P, posterior.
these Sfrps have similar yet more profound effects on node cell polarization than the
lack of Wnt5a and Wnt5b. Thus, in the Sfrp1−/−;5−/− (4/8) and Sfrp1−/−;5−/− (3/3) embryos examined, the basal body position was impaired (Fig 10 a, b, c). PCP core proteins such as Vangl1 and Celsr1 were randomly localized on the membrane of node cells in the Sfrp1−/−;5−/− embryos (Fig 10 d, e). These results suggest that polarization of node cells requires these Sfrps.

Furthermore, to test whether the asymmetric distribution of Sfrps along the A–P axis is required to polarize node cells, we cultured the embryos with recombinant Sfrp1 starting from the late streak stage, right before the posterior shift of the basal body. Treatment of the WT embryos with recombinant Sfrp1 significantly reduces the ABP (Fig 11 a, b), suggesting that uniform distribution of Sfrp1 protein impairs the basal body positioning in node cells. The number of cells was also reduced in the node

Figure 11. Uniform distribution of Sfrp1 protein impairs the basal body positioning in node cells
Embryos were cultured with BSA as control condition or with recombinant Sfrp1 starting from the late streak stage to 3-5 So stage. (a) A-P relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node with BSA or with recombinant Sfrp1. Corresponding ABP is indicated under each panel. (b) The ABP is reduced in embryos with Sfrp1 (n=12) compared to control (n=10). (c) The number of cells in the node after culture. A, anterior; P, posterior. The red bar indicates the average of ABP.
cultured with recombinant Sfrp1 (Fig 11 c).

**Uniform expression of Wnt5a/5b impairs polarization of node cells**

The phenotype of the Wnt5a<sup>−/−</sup> 5b<sup>−/−</sup> embryo does not distinguish whether Wnt5a and Wnt5b act as permissively or instructively for basal body positioning. To clarify the importance of the asymmetric distribution of Wnt ligand along the A–P axis, we induced uniform expression of Wnt5a in whole embryos. We tested whether the exogenously added Wnt5a impairs the basal body positioning in node cells. We cultured embryos with recombinant Wnt5a starting from late streak stage, when the basal body is localized in a relatively central region in node cells, and evaluated the posterior positioning of the basal body at three-somite stage. Compared to control conditions, treatment with recombinant Wnt5a significantly reduces the ABP, although the node’s morphology remained normal (Fig 12 a, b, Fig 13 c). These results indicated that the exogenous and uniform Wnt5a protein affected the basal body position in node cells. Furthermore, Wnt5a<sup>−/−</sup> 5b<sup>−/−</sup> embryos cultured with recombinant Wnt5a did not rescue the defect of basal body positioning (Fig 12 c), raising the possibility that uniformly Wnt5a presence is not enough to make a rescue.

To induce uniformly Wnt5a expression, we used transgenic mice with overexpression of Wnt5a (Rosa<sup>Wnt5a+</sup> Sox2-Cre) (Cha et al., 2014) and then confirmed that the expression pattern was uniform in the embryo (Fig 13 a).
Figure 12. Exogenous and uniform Wnt5a protein affects the basal body position in node cells.

(a)-(b) Embryos were cultured with BSA (control) or recombinant Wnt5a starting from the late streak stage to 3-5 So stage. (a) A-P relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node. (b) The ABP is reduced in embryos with Wnt5a (n=19) compared to control (n=14) (two-tail t test, p =0.023). (c) Wnt5a<sup>-/-</sup> 5b<sup>-/-</sup> embryos were cultured with BSA or with recombinant Wnt5a. The ABP is reduced in Wnt5a<sup>-/-</sup> 5b<sup>-/-</sup> compared to control in both condition: with BSA and with recombinant Wnt5a. Wnt5a<sup>-/-</sup> 5b<sup>-/-</sup> embryos cultured with recombinant Wnt5a did not rescue the defect of basal body positioning. (d)-(f) Uniform Wnt5a expression was induced by the transgenic mice with overexpression of Wnt5a (Rosa<sup>Wnt5a+</sup> Sox2-Cre). (d) Images were taken by the immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Odf2 (green) in Rosa<sup>Wnt5a+</sup> (control) and Rosa<sup>Wnt5a+</sup> Sox2-Cre node at 3-5So stage. The white line indicates the shape of node. The corresponding relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node and the ABP values are indicated under each image. (e) The ABP is reduced in Rosa<sup>Wnt5a+</sup> Sox2-Cre node compared to control. The red bar indicates the average of ABP.  A, anterior; P, posterior.
Severa l embryos were found to have irregular shapes or fragmented node (Fig 12 d).

Wide variation of ABP in RosaWnt5a+/+ Sox2-Cre was noticed and some embryos showed a decreased ABP (Fig 12 d, e). These data implied that uniformly Wnt5a expression impaired the formation of the node and a posteriorly-shifted basal body. Furthermore, node of Wnt5a-/- 5b-/- mice overexpressing Wnt5a (RosaWnt5a+/+ Sox2-Cre Wnt5a-/- 5b-/-) showed multiple fragmented node pieces (Fig 13 b). This abnormal node formation may be a consequence of impaired gastrulation.

Several embryos were found to have irregular shapes or fragmented node (Fig 12 d).

Wide variation of ABP in RosaWnt5a+/+ Sox2-Cre was noticed and some embryos showed a decreased ABP (Fig 12 d, e). These data implied that uniformly Wnt5a expression impaired the formation of the node and a posteriorly-shifted basal body. Furthermore, node of Wnt5a-/- 5b-/- mice overexpressing Wnt5a (RosaWnt5a+/+ Sox2-Cre Wnt5a-/- 5b-/-) showed multiple fragmented node pieces (Fig 13 b). This abnormal node formation may be a consequence of impaired gastrulation.
Thus the uniform Wnt5a expression and the mutant embryos lacking Wnt5a and Wnt5b showed the same defect of basal body positioning. These findings supported the importance of asymmetric distribution of Wnt ligand along the A–P axis for the polarization of node cells.

Figure 14. The posterior positioning of the basal body is normally determined in the Dachsous2 Δex29/Δex29 node. (a) Immunostaining of Zo-1 (red) and Odf2 (green) in the node of Dachsous2 Δex29/+ and Dachsous2 Δex29/Δex29 at 3-5 So stage. Higher magnification is shown in the lower panel. (b) A-P relative position of the basal body (green dots) in node of Dachsous2 Δex29/+ and Dachsous2 Δex29/Δex29 at 3-5 So stage. Quantified result (ABP) is shown under each image. Scale bars: 10 μm. A, anterior; P, posterior.
Are Dachshous2 required for the polarization of node cells?

In Drosophila, Wnt ligands do not seem to play direct role in regulating the PCP pathway. Instead, the global polarity cue is considered to be generated by a local cell-cell interaction involving “Four-jointed” and two protocadherins “Fat” and “Dachsous” (Matakatsu and Blair, 2004; Villano and Katz, 1995). Mutant mice lacking Dachsous1 protein do not show L-R defects (Mao et al., 2011). In mammals, two types of Dachsous have been identified: Dachsous1 and Dachsous2. A functional redundancy is possible between these two proteins. To examine whether Dachsous is required to polarize node cells, we generated Dachsous2 mutant mice lacking exon 29 by a conventional ES cell-mediated targeting (Fig. S1). Dachsous2 Δwx29/Δex29 mice are viable and fertile, and showed no obvious morphological defects. The ABP value was not affected in the node of Dachsous2 Δwx29/Δex29 (Fig14 a, b).
DISCUSSION

Whereas it was clear that the PCP signaling system controls the positioning of the basal body in node cells, it was unclear how initial global A-P axis regulates the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins. Our study showed that the polarization of node cells is determined by a combination of the posteriorly-expressed Wnt5a/5b and anteriorly-expressed Wnt antagonists Sfrps.

How Dose initial global A-P axis regulate the polarized distribution of core PCP proteins in node cells?

Previous studies have shown that several noncanonical Wnt proteins regulate PCP in various developmental aspects (Gao et al., 2011; Heisenberg et al., 2000; Qian et al., 2007). However, in the node, PCP regulation by Wnts has not been established, since mutant mice lacking either of Wnt5a or Wnt5b did not show L-R defects (Majumdar et al., 2003; Yamaguchi et al., 1999). Based on double mutant studies, we have demonstrated, for the first time, that both Wnt5a and Wnt5b control PCP in the node. Surprisingly, we found that Sfrp proteins were also involved and required for node cells polarization. From our results, we anticipate that the initial global A-P in the node is defined by a combination of the posteriorly-expressed Wnt5a/5b and anteriorly-expressed Sfrps. This global A-P information is translated into local areas. This determines the node cell polarization and finally leads to the establishment of L-R patterning (Fig15 model). Our model was supported by the fact that Sfrp1 can interact with Wnt5a in vitro (Matsuyama et al., 2009). The uniform Wnt5a expression and mutant embryos lacking Wnt5a and Wnt5b showed the same basal body positioning.
defect, suggesting a possibility that the asymmetric distribution of Wnts and Sfrps is required for the basal body positioning. However, having embryos expressing a reversed distribution of Wnt ligand would provide a direct evidence.

Figure 15. Model of polarization of the mouse node cells by asymmetric distribution of Wnt5a/5b and their inhibitors
A combination of the posteriorly-expressed Wnt5a/5b and anteriorly-expressed Wnt antagonists (Sfrps) might generate the A-P asymmetric distribution of Wnt5a activity. Thus, giving birth to the initial cues of PCP pathway regulation, namely, the global A-P axis signals. Subsequently, at node cell level, the global signals are translated into local areas: Pk and Vangl on the anterior side versus Dvl on the posterior side. This leads to the posterior localization of the basal body, the generation of Nodal flow and finally the establishment of L-R patterning. A; anterior, P; posterior, R; Right, L; Left.
**Prickle is required for basal body positioning and support the distribution of Vangl in node cells**

We found that Prickle proteins play the same role as Vangl and Dvl in the basal body positioning. Moreover, our result showed aberrant distribution of Vangl1 in Prickle1Δex6/Δex6 Prickle2Δex6/Δex6 node cells. Other reports indicated that Prickle protein can interact with Vangl in vitro (Bastock et al., 2003) and we revealed that Prickle2 and Vangl1 are localized at the anterior end of node cells (Fig 5 a, b). These observations suggest an intriguing possibility that the anteriorly localized Vangl1 is amplified by its interaction with Prickle in node cells.

**Are Dachsous involved in the polarization of node cells?**

In Drosophila, Dachsous and Fat proteins function as ligand and receptor, respectively for the PCP (Matakatsu and Blair, 2004). Recent studies have shown that Dachsous and Fat families play a role in vertebrate planar polarity (Mao et al., 2011; Saburi et al., 2008). In this report, the posterior positioning of the basal body is normally determined in the Dachsous2Δwx29/Δex29 node. Dachsous2Δwx29/Δex29 did not show left–right defects. However, to clear the role of Dachsous family in node cell polarity, it is necessary to examine the basal body position in Dchs1−/− Dachs2−/− node cells.

Previous reports showed involvement of Wnt family in the regulation of PCP pathway in diverse developmental processes. We propose a mechanism where this regulation happens from a combination of the Wnts and Wnt antagonists (Sfrps), rising the possibility that the same mechanism may occur in other tissues and species.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mice

*Rosa\(^{\text{Wnt5a}+/+}\) mice, Sox2-Cre mice and all Wnt5a, Wnt5b, Wnt11, Sfrp1, Sfrp2 and Sfrp5 mutant mice were previously described (Agalliu et al., 2009; Cha et al., 2014; Hayashi et al., 2002; Majumdar et al., 2003; Satoh et al., 2006; Satoh et al., 2008; Yamaguchi et al., 1999).

Prickle1\(^{\Delta\text{ex6}+/+}\), Prickle2\(^{\Delta\text{ex6}+/+}\) and Dchs2\(^{\Delta\text{ex29}+/+}\) were generated by conventional ES cell-mediated targeting (Fig. S1). Each specific primer sets were used for detection of the WT allele and deleted allele (Fig. S1). Prickle1 primer set for WT allele is Pk1-WT-F (aatcggcgaatgccaatg) and Pk1-WT-R (ggatttcatccctaacacaccac). Prickle1 primer set for \(\Delta\text{ex6}\) allele is Pk1-Mut-F (gtgactgca aacaagcgaaa) and Pk1-Mut-R (gcattgacttgccaccagta). Prickle2 primer set for WT allele is Pk2-WT-F (cacatacatgcacacccacaatc) and Pk2-WT-R (tcaggtgtggtttcaactcaaage). Prickle2 primer set for \(\Delta\text{ex6}\) allele is Pk2-WT-F and Pk2-Mut-R (ggcctctatggaagggaaag). Dachsous2 primer set for WT allele is Ds2-WT-F (cttgatgtaaggctgcgatg) and Ds2-WT-R (caacttccaaaagtggacatcag). Dachsous2 primer set for \(\Delta\text{ex29}\) allele is Ds2-Mut-F (gggtggggcacaatctagata) and Ds2-WT-R.

Sfrp1-BAC HA-Tag Ires LacZ transgene was produced from the Sfrp1 BAC with a HA-Tag –Ires LacZ at the Cterminal of Sfrp1 CDS.
Figure S1  *Prickle1, Prickle2 and Dachsous2* knockout targeting mice  
(a), (b), (c) To generate each *Prickle1, Prickle2 and Dachsous2* knockout targeting vectors, a cassette consisting of Frt-Pgk-em7-neo-Frt-LoxP from the PL451 vector was inserted into (a) upstream of *Prickle1* exon6, (b) downstream of *Prickle2* exon6 and (c) upstream of *Dachsous2* exon29. And then a single LoxP site was inserted into (a) downstream of *Prickle1* exon6, (b) upstream of *Prickle2* exon6 and (c) downstream of *Dachsous2* exon29. The targeted exon and the neo cassette were removed by the CAG-Cre or CAG-flp transgenic mice. Red arrow, primer for PCR; Blue bar, probe for Southern blot hybridization.  
(d), (e), (f) The homologous recombinants were detected by Southern blot hybridization with a series of specific probes that target external or internal sites relative to the vector sequence.  
(g), (h), (i) PCR analyses of tail DNA from offspring obtained from the heterozygous intercross. Specific primer sets were used for detection of the WT allele and null allele.  
*Prickle1* Δex6/+, Pk1 +/-; *Prickle2* Δex6/+, Pk2 +/-; *Dachsous2* Δex29/+, Ds2+/-.
Whole-mount in situ hybridization and X-gal staining

Whole-mount in situ hybridization was performed according to standard protocols with specific RNA probes for each Wnt5a, Wnt5b, Wnt11, Prickle1, Prickle2, Sfrp1, Sfrp2 and Sfrp5.

Gifted plasmids:

Sfrp1, Sfrp2, Sfrp5 (gifted by Dr A. Shimono, (Satoh et al., 2008)), Prickle1 (gifted by Dr N. Ueno and Dr H. Tao), Wnt5a and Wnt5b (gifted by Dr AP. McMahon).

Plasmids produced by TA Cloning:

Each specific sequences were amplified from embryonic gDNA (E12.5) using the following primers: Prickle2, caacctctcaatgcagttcagg and gccaggtccgacacagtcc; Wnt11, gcagtgacagctgcgacc and cttggagcagcctggaagg. And then the PCR products were cloned into vector using a TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen).

The LacZ transgenic embryos were stained with X-gal as described previously (Saijoh et al., 1999).

Immunofluorescence analysis

Dissected embryos were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde, and dehydrated in methanol. 0.1% Triton X-100 in phosphate-buffered saline was used for embryos permeabilization. Primary antibodies and Alexa Fluor-conjugated secondary antibodies were incubated at 4°C overnight.

Primary antibodies included: anti-ZO-1 (mouse, 1:10 dilution; (gifted by Dr S. Tsukita, Osaka University, Japan), anti- Vangl1 (rabbit, 1:250 dilution; Sigma), anti-Odf2 (rabbit,
Fluorescent imaging was performed using FV-1000 confocal microscope (Olympus).
To clarify the precise localization of PCP core protein in a cell, we used the Olympus Super Resolution software (FV-OSR) and a high-sensitivity detector (FV12-HSD) with the FV-1000 confocal microscope.

**Whole-embryo culture and recombinant protein treatment**
Mice embryos were dissected into phenol red–free Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Invitrogen).
Dissected embryos were transferred in a 50ml tube loosened lid with 75% rat serum/DMEM and then placed on rotator inside a CO2 incubator (5% CO2, 37°C).
Culture was performed with the recombinant proteins or BSA starting from late streak stage to 3-5 somite stage. Final concentration: 1.0μg/ml Wnt5a recombinant protein (No.645-WN, R&D Systems) and 200ng/ml Wnt5a recombinant protein (gifted by Dr Karl Willert) or 25.0μg/ml SFRP-1 (No.5396-SF-025, R&D Systems).

**Observation of nodal flow and PIV analysis**
To observe the nodal flow, we used multi-point scanning confocal microscopy and the Particle Image Velocimetry (PIV) analysis.
Dissected embryos were first cultured under 5% CO2 at 37°C in phenol
red-free DMEM supplemented with 75% rat serum for about 30min. The region containing the node was then excised and the node cavity was filled with DMEM supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum and fluorescent microbeads (0.2 μm in diameter with excitation and emission wavelengths of 505 and 515 nm, respectively (Invitrogen)). The motions of the beads were observed in planes of +5 and +10 μm relative to the cavity for 10 s (30 frames per s) with the use of CSU-X confocal unit (Yokogawa) and an iXon EMCCD camera (Andor Technology) connected to a DMI6000B microscope (Leica) equipped with a 63× glycerine-immersion objective lens.

Time-series images for PIV analysis were captured at a resolution of 512 by 512 pixels and were processed with interrogation windows of 16 by 16 pixels with 50% overlap, corresponding to a spatial resolution of 4.3 by 4.3 μm. The time-averaged velocity distributions were calculated for 10-s intervals.

**Quantitative analysis of basal body position**

The average basal body position (ABP) represents the relative position of the basal body in each cell along the A-P axis (vertical) as described previously (Hashimoto et al., 2010).

Improved method of the relative value of the basal body in each cell was measured by ImageJ and MATLAB software as follow.

Confocal images of node immunostaining with Odf2 and Zo-1 determined the position of the basal body and the size of node cells. The region containing the node was initially excised from the confocal images (“node-image”, Fig S2 b).

To characterize the shape and orientation of cells in the node, the outline of each cell
was calculated from Zo-1 staing images using ImageJ software (http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image/) plugin to apply watershed segmentation (“Binary watershed lines-image” Fig S2 c).

To obtain the coordinate data (x,y) of the basal body and cells using MATLAB software, we marked up the dots in the merged images “node-image” and “Binary watershed lines-image” using graphical user interface (GUI) (Fig S2 d). The cells including the marked dot were numbered. The relative value of the basal body in each cell was calculated using these coordinate data. The anterior and posterior ends of a cell are represented by −1.0 and +1.0 respectively (Fig S2 e). The distribution map of the basal body and ABP value were obtained (Fig S2 f).

Y. Igarashi (Olympus Software Technology Corporation) programed this.

**Quantification of PCP core protein distribution in the node**

Using Vangl1 staining signal, we measured the positive cell borders orientation in reference to the A-P axis. The node region was initially excised from the confocal images showing node immunostained with Vangl1 and Zo-1 (“node-image”, Fig 3 b). Vangl stained images (“Vangl1-image”, Fig S3 c) were processed through binarization using ImageJ software (“binarization-image”, Fig S3 d). We traced white lines with “Straight line selections” tool (Fig S3 e, f) and then obtained the angles of each line using ImageJ software (Fig S3 g). The white lines were labeled as horizontal (angle between $0^\circ \sim 45^\circ$, $135^\circ \sim 225^\circ$ and $315^\circ \sim 360^\circ$) or vertical (angle between $45^\circ \sim 135^\circ$ and $225^\circ \sim 315^\circ$) and the proportion between those two populations was estimated by a H/V rate (Fig S3 h).
Figure S2  Quantitative analysis of basal body position
(a) Confocal image of the node immunostained for the Odf2 (green) and Zo-1 (red). (b) Only node excised from the confocal image, “node-image”.  (c) The ImageJ software plugin to apply watershed segmentation, “Binary watershed lines-image”. (d) Graphical user interface (GUI). (e) Relative value of the basal body. (f) Distribution map of basal body and ABP value
Figure S3 Quantification of PCP core protein distribution in the node using ImageJ

(a) Confocal image of the node immunostained for the Vangl1 (green) and Zo-1(red) at 3-5 So stage. 
(b) Only node excised from the confocal image, “node-image”. 
(c) Image of only Vangl staining signal, “Vangl1-image”. 
(d) Binarization using ImageJ software, “binarization-image”. 
(e) Tracing of the white lines with “Straight line selections” tool. 
(f) Enlarged view of (e). 
(g) Acquisition of the angles of each line using ImageJ software. 
(h) Angle between 0° ~ 45°, 135° ~ 225° and 315° ~360° are considered as horizontal. Angle between 45° ~ 135° and 225° ~ 315° are considered as vertical. The proportion between those two populations is estimated as a H/V rate.
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