

Title	Corrections to : "Vanishing theorems for cohomology groups associated to discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups"
Author(s)	Raghunathan, M. S.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 16(1) P.295-P.299
Issue Date	1979
Text Version	publisher
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5281
DOI	10.18910/5281
rights	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/repo/ouka/all/>

CORRECTIONS TO
**“VANISHING THEOREMS FOR COHOMOLOGY GROUPS
 ASSOCIATED TO DISCRETE SUBGROUPS OF
 SEMISIMPLE LIE GROUPS”**

M.S. RAGHUNATHAN

(Received June 19, 1978)

The paper referred to in the title appeared in this journal in 1966 (vol. 3, pp. 243-256). Unfortunately Theorem 1 of the paper as it stands is incorrect. There is a wrong assertion made on p. 249 in the proof of Assertion III (about representations of $SL(2)$). (I am indebted to Dr. Ruh and Dr. Im Hof for drawing my attention to the error). We give here a modified (weaker) result with the requisite additional arguments for its proof.

The notation is as in the 1966 paper: G will denote a connected real simple Lie group and ρ a representation of G on a complex vector space F ; K will be a maximal compact subgroup and Γ a discrete uniform subgroup; $X=G/K$ and $H^p(\Gamma, X, \rho)$ will be the p^{th} cohomology of the complex of F -valued Γ -equivariant smooth forms on X (if Γ acts fixed point free on X , these are simply the Eilenberg-Maclane groups of Γ with coefficients in ρ). Let \mathfrak{g}_0 (resp \mathfrak{k}_0) denote the Lie algebra (resp. Lie subalgebra) of G (resp. K). Let \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{k}) denote the complexification of \mathfrak{g}_0 (resp. \mathfrak{k}_0). Let \mathfrak{p} be the orthogonal complement of \mathfrak{k} in \mathfrak{g} w.r.t. the Killing form. Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0}$ be a Cartan-subalgebra of \mathfrak{k}_0 and $\mathfrak{h}_0 \supset \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0}$ a Cartan-subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 . Let \mathfrak{h} (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}}$) be the \mathbf{C} -span of \mathfrak{h}_0 (resp. $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}_0}$) in \mathfrak{g} . Let $\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}} \subset \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{p}$. Let φ denote the Killing form on \mathfrak{g} and Δ be the root system of \mathfrak{g} w.r.t. \mathfrak{h} . For $\alpha \in \Delta$, let H_{α} be the unique element of \mathfrak{h} such that $\varphi(H, H_{\alpha}) = \alpha(H)$ for all $H \in \mathfrak{h}$. Let $\mathfrak{h}^* = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}H_{\alpha} = i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{k}} \oplus \mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Let θ be the Cartan-involution of \mathfrak{g}_0 determined by \mathfrak{k}_0 as well as its extension to \mathfrak{g} . Let

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \theta(E_{\alpha}) = E_{\alpha}\} \\ B &= \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \theta(\alpha) \neq \alpha\} \\ C &= \{\alpha \in \Delta \mid \theta(E_{\alpha}) = -E_{\alpha}\} \end{aligned}$$

(Here E_{α} is a root vector corresponding to α); then $\Lambda = A \cup B \cup C$. Also θ stabilises \mathfrak{h} as well as Δ , $A \cup C$ and B ; moreover $\theta(\alpha) = \alpha$ if $\alpha \in A \cup C$. We will say in the sequel that an order on the (real) dual of \mathfrak{h}^* is *admissible* if it is obtained in the following manner: let H_1, \dots, H_l be an orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{h}^*

so chosen that H_1, \dots, H_p constitute a basis of $i\mathfrak{h}_{\mathfrak{t}_0}$; and $\alpha \in \text{dual of } \mathfrak{h}^*$ is positive if the first nonvanishing $\alpha(H_i)$ is positive. If we denote by O one such an order for any subset E in the dual of \mathfrak{h} , $E^+(O)$ denotes the positive elements in E .

For an irreducible representation ρ of G , let $\Lambda_\rho(O)$ be the highest weight of ρ w.r.t. \mathfrak{h} and the order O . Let $\Sigma_2(O) = C^+(O) \cup \{\alpha \in B^+(O) \mid \alpha > \theta(\alpha)\}$ and $\Sigma_o(O) = \{\alpha \in \Sigma_2(O) \mid \varphi(\Lambda_\rho(O), \alpha \neq 0)\}$. With this notation we have

Theorem *Let ρ be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of G . Then if $\Sigma_o(O)$ contains (strictly) more than q elements for every admissible O , then $H^p(\Gamma, X, \rho) = 0$ for $0 \leq p \leq q$.*

As is deduced in [1] from the work of Matsushima and Murakami, the vanishing of $H^p(\Gamma, X, \rho)$, $0 \leq p \leq q$, will follow from the following:

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a basis of \mathfrak{g} with $\varphi(X_i, X_j) = \pm \delta_{ij}$ and $X_i \in \mathfrak{k}$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ forming a basis of K . Let $c' = -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} X_i^2$ and $c = -\sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} X_i^2 + \sum_{N < i \leq n} X_i^2$ in the universal enveloping algebra $U(\mathfrak{g})$ of \mathfrak{g} . We denote by ρ the extension of ρ to $U(\mathfrak{g})$ as well. Let E be the p^{th} exterior power of \mathfrak{p} and $T_p^p: F \otimes E \rightarrow F \otimes E$ be the endomorphism

$$T_p^p = 2(\rho \otimes 1)(c) + (1 \otimes \sigma)(c') - (\rho \otimes 1)(c') - (\rho \otimes \sigma)(c').$$

Let \langle, \rangle denote the natural hermitian scalar product on $F \otimes E$ deduced from the involution θ and φ on \mathfrak{g}_0 . Then the hermitian quadratic form,

$$\eta \mapsto \langle T_p^p \eta, \eta \rangle$$

is positive definite for $p \leq q$ provided the hypothesis of the theorem holds.

As in [1], let $E = \sum_{\mu \in M(O)} E_\mu$ be a decomposition of E into its (\mathfrak{k} -) irreducible components and similarly $F = \sum_{\lambda \in L(O)} F_\lambda$ a decomposition of F into irreducible \mathfrak{k} -modules. The indexing sets are the dominant weights w.r.t. an admissible order O on the dual of \mathfrak{h}^* . We denote by $V_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ the \mathfrak{k} -irreducible components of $V_{\lambda\mu} = F_\lambda \otimes E_\mu$; then each $V_{\lambda\mu}^\nu$ is contained in a eigen-space of T^p and let $a(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ be the corresponding eigen-value. Let $a(\lambda, \mu) = a(\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu)$.

We assume in the sequel that G is simple (over \mathbf{R})

Assertion I. $a(\lambda, \mu, \nu) \geq a(\lambda, \mu)$ for all $\lambda \in L(O)$, $\mu \in M(O)$; equality occurs only if $\nu = \lambda + \mu$.

This is proved in [1].

Assertion II. Let f_λ be a dominant weight vector of F_λ , $\lambda \in L(O)$. Suppose that there exists $\alpha \in B^+(O)$ such that $E_{\alpha_0} f_\lambda \neq 0 \in F_{\lambda_1}$, $\lambda_1 \in L(O)$; then $a(\lambda, \mu) > a(\lambda_1, \mu)$.

For a proof see [1].

Assertion III. Suppose that f_λ is a (non-zero) dominant weight vector in F_λ and that $E_\alpha f_\lambda = 0$ for all $\alpha \in A^+(O) \cup B^+(O)$. Then if $a(\lambda, \mu) = 0$ for some $\mu \in M(O)$, there exists an admissible order O' on the dual of \mathfrak{h}^* such that f_λ is dominant for O' (i.e. $E_\alpha f = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta^+(O')$).

We need the following Lemma.

Lemma. Let $E_+ = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $E_- = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$ be the standard basis of $SL(2)$. Let τ be a finite dimensional irreducible representation of $SL(2)$ and v a weight vector for H of weight λ . Then

$$\tau(E_+E_- + E_-E_+)(v) = \lambda' \cdot v$$

with $\lambda' \geq |\lambda|$; also equality occurs if and only if λ is dominant (positive or negative).

Proof. Let $b = E_+E_- + E_-E_+ + H^2/2$ be the Casimir element. Then $\tau(b)$ is a scalar equal to $\lambda_0(H)^2/2 + \lambda_0(H)$ where λ_0 is the positive dominant weight. Thus

$$\tau(E_+E_- + E_-E_+)v = (\tau(b) - \tau(H^2/2))v = \lambda_0(H)^2/2 + \lambda_0(H) - \lambda(H)^2/2.$$

Now for any weight ν of τ , $\nu(H)^2 \leq \lambda_0(H)^2$, equality occurring if and only if ν is extremal. The lemma is now immediate.

Let f_λ be a unit dominant weight vector in F_λ ($\lambda \in L(O)$). Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(c)f_\lambda &= \{ \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+(O)} \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq l} \rho(H_i)^2 \} f_\lambda \\ &= (\sum_{\alpha \in A^+(O) \cup B^+(O)} \lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)})/2) \cdot f_\lambda \\ &\quad + (\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p'} \lambda(H_i)^2) f_\lambda + (\sum_{p' < i \leq l} \rho(H_i)^2) f_\lambda \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in C^+(O)} \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f_\lambda \end{aligned}$$

We have used here the fact $E_\alpha f_\lambda = 0$ for $\alpha \in A^+(O) \cup B^+(O)$. Also, we have

$$\rho(c')f_\lambda = \sum_{1 \leq i < p'} \lambda(H_i)^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1(O)} \lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)})/2 \cdot f_\lambda$$

where $\Sigma_1(O) = A^+(O) \cup \{ \alpha \in B^+(O) \mid \alpha > \theta(\alpha) \}$. Also for dominant weight vector $e_\mu \in E_\mu$ ($\mu \in M(O)$) of unit length

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(c')e_\mu &= \{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p'} \mu(H_i)^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1(O)} \mu(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)})/2 \} \cdot e_\mu \\ (\rho \otimes \sigma)(c')(f \otimes e_\mu) &= \{ \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p'} (\lambda + \mu)(H_i)^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in \Sigma_1(O)} (\lambda + \mu)(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)})/2 \} f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \end{aligned}$$

leading to:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T_p^b f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \in C^+(O)} \langle \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{p < i \leq l} \langle \rho(H_i)^2 f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle \\ &\quad + \sum_{\alpha \in B^+(O)} \lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)}) \\ &\quad - 2 \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p'} \lambda(H_i) \mu(H_i). \end{aligned}$$

Consider now $\langle \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle$ for $\alpha \in C^+(O)$. We claim that this can be zero if and only if f_λ is an extremal vector for $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$. To see this we decompose F_λ into $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$ -irreducible components

$$F_\lambda = \sum_q V(r)$$

We assume as we may that $V(r)$ are mutually orthogonal with respect to the scalar product on F . Let $f_\lambda = \sum f(r)$ with $f(r) \in V(r)$. We then have

$$\langle \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu, f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle = \sum \langle \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f(r) \otimes e_\mu, f(r) \otimes e_\mu \rangle$$

Each term on the right hand side is non-negative so that the left hand side is non negative and equals zero if and only if

$$\langle \rho(E_\alpha E_{-\alpha} + E_{-\alpha} E_\alpha) f(r) \otimes e_\mu, f(r) \otimes e_\mu \rangle = 0$$

for all r . Now by the lemma above, this means that for every r , $f(r)$ is an extremal weight vector for $\mathfrak{g}(\alpha)$. Also E_α (resp. $E_{-\alpha}$) annihilates $f(r)$ if $\lambda(H_\alpha) \geq 0$ (resp. ≤ 0) — $f(r)$ is a weight vector whose weight is $H_\alpha \mapsto \lambda(H_\alpha)$ for all r . Thus we see that all the $f(r)$ are annihilated by the same root vector: E_α or $E_{-\alpha}$. Thus $E_\alpha f_\lambda = 0$ or $E_{-\alpha} f_\lambda = 0$ proving our contention. Also since $E_\alpha f_\lambda = 0$ for all $\alpha \in A^+(O) \cup B^+(O)$ one sees that $\lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)}) \geq 0$. Since $H_i \in \mathfrak{h}_p$ for $i > p'$, $\langle \rho(H_i)^2 f_\lambda, f_\lambda \rangle \geq 0$. Finally

$$\sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \lambda(H_i) \mu(H_i) = \langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle \lambda, \sum_{1 \leq i \leq p} \pm (\alpha_i + \theta(\alpha_i)) / 2 \rangle$$

for suitable elements α_i , $1 \leq i \leq p$, in $\sum_2(O)$. We see then that if $\langle T_p^\rho(f \otimes e_\mu), f_\lambda \otimes e_\mu \rangle = 0$, we must necessarily have the following

- (i) $\rho(H_i) f_\lambda = 0$, $p' < i \leq l$
- (ii) $\lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)}) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in B^+(O)$
- (iii) $E_\alpha f_{-\lambda} = 0$ or $E_{-\alpha} f_\lambda = 0$ for any $\alpha \in C^+(O)$.

From the fact that $\rho(H_i) f_\lambda = 0$ for $p' < i \leq l$ we see that f_λ is an eigen-vector for all of \mathfrak{h} with the corresponding weight $\tilde{\lambda}$ being the unique extension of λ which is zero on \mathfrak{h}_p . Let $h_\lambda (= h_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ be the unique element of $i\mathfrak{h}_t$ such that $\varphi(h_\lambda, h) = \lambda(h)$ for all $h \in \mathfrak{h}_t$. Then we take an orthonormal basis H_1, \dots, H_l of \mathfrak{h}^* yielding an order O' on the dual of \mathfrak{h}^* with H_1 being a positive multiple of h_λ . Now since $\alpha(h_\lambda) \geq 0$ for all $\alpha \in A^+(O) \cup B^+(O)$ and $E_\alpha f_\lambda = 0$ for $\pm \alpha \in C^+$ if $\pm \alpha(h_\lambda) \geq 0$, we see that with respect to the order O' , f_λ is an extremal vector. We need only conclude that O' is admissible and this is obvious. This completes the proof of Assertion III.

Assertion IV. Fix an admissible order O on the dual of \mathfrak{h}^* . Let Λ be the dominant weight of ρ w.r.t. O and let λ_0 denote the restriction of λ to $i\mathfrak{h}_t$; then $a(\lambda_0, \mu) > 0$ for all $\mu \in M(O)$ provided that there are at least $(q+1)$ roots $\alpha \in \sum_2(O)$ such that $\Lambda(H_\alpha + H_{\theta(\alpha)}) > 0$.

The proof is given in [1].

REMARK. We have proved the theorem for the case of simple \mathfrak{g} but the general case is immediate from this.

TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH

References

- [1] M.S. Raghunathan: *Vanishing theorems for cohomology groups associated to discrete subgroups of semisimple Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. 3 (1966), 243–256.

