

Title	On the Jacobi differential operators associated to minimal isometric immersions of symmetric spaces into spheres. II
Author(s)	Nagura, Toshinobu
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1982, 19(1), p. 79-124
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5349
rights	
Note	

# The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# ON THE JACOBI DIFFERENTIAL OPERATORS ASSOCIATED TO MINIMAL ISOMETRIC IMMERSIONS OF SYMMETRIC SPACES INTO SPHERES II

#### Toshinobu NAGURA

(Received April 21, 1980)

#### Contents

Int	roduction	79
6.	Subrepresentations	80
7.	3-dimensional subalgebras	92
8.	Symmetric R-spaces	97
	Representations of the special orthogonal group $SO(n+1)$	

#### Introduction

This is a continuation of our first paper [6]. In this paper we shall study the linear mappings  $S_{\sigma}$  in our paper [6].

In section 6 we study subrepresentations of a representation of a compact Lie group G with respect to its closed subgroup K. We introduce certain constants associated to a representation of G which describe some intertwining homomorphisms. And under certain conditions, called  $(P_1)$  and  $(P_2)$ , we prove some properties of these constants, which play important roles for the study of the linear mappings  $S_{\sigma}$ .

In section 7 we consider an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \sigma)$ , and study a 3-dimensional subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as well as its representation induced from that of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The results in section 6 and 7 will be used in the later computations.

In section 8 we study minimally imbedded symmetric R-spaces into spheres. It is shown that in these cases the Jacobi differential operator  $\tilde{S}$  reduces to Casimir operators (Proposition 8.3.1).

In section 9 we recall some basic results on representations of the special orthogonal group SO(n+1), and study in detail certain representations of the group SO(n+1). It is shown that the properties  $(P_1)$  and  $(P_2)$  are satisfied in the cases where immersed manifolds are spheres.

In the forthcoming paper III, applying the results in sections 6, 7 and 9, we

shall study on the spectra of the Jacobi differential operator  $\tilde{S}$  for the minimally immersed spheres.

We shall denote by [I] our first paper [6] for short, and retain the definitions and notation in [I].

The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor M. Takeuchi and Professor S. Murakami for their valuable suggestions and encouragements.

#### 6. Subrepresentations

6.1. Let H be a Lie group. Let  $\rho: H \to GL(V)$  and  $\sigma: H \to GL(W)$  be complex representations of H. We denote by  $V^*$  (resp. by  $W^*$ ) the dual space of V(resp. of W). The dual spaces  $V^*$  and  $W^*$  are H-modules by the contragradient representations  $\rho^*: H \to GL(V^*)$  and  $\sigma^*: H \to GL(W^*)$ . For a linear mapping  $f: V \to W$ , we define a subspace  $V^*_f$  of  $V^*$  by  $V^*_f = {}^t f(W^*)$ , where  ${}^t f$  is the transposed mapping of f. Let Hom(V, W) be the vector space of all linear mappings of V to W. We identify Hom(V, W) with  $V^* \otimes W$  in a canonical manner.

The following two lemmas are obtained easily.

**Lemma 6.1.1** If a linear mapping  $f: V \rightarrow W$  is an H-homomorphism, so is  ${}^{t}f: W^* \rightarrow V^*$ .

- **Lemma 6.12.** (1) A linear mapping  $f: V \rightarrow W$  is an H-homomorphism, if and only if  $(\rho^* \otimes \sigma)(x) f = f$  for every  $x \in G$ .
- (2) If W is an irreducible H-module and if  $f: V \to W$  is a non-trivial H-homomorphism, then  ${}^tf: W^* \to V^*{}_f$  is an H-isomorphism.
- 6.2. In the rest of this section we assume the followings. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and K a closed subgroup of G. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the subspaces  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are the same as in subsection 2.1 of [I]. We also denote by  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  the Hermitian inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ , which is the extension of the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  is a unitary G-module via the adjoint action of G. We denote by  $(\ ,\ )$  the symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ , which is the G-bilinear extension of the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . In this paper we will not distinguish G-modules and representations of G.
- Let  $\chi: G \to GL(W)$  be a unitary representation of G (not necessarily irreducible), and  $W = W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_h$  a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules. If any pair  $W_i$ ,  $W_j$  of the components with  $i \neq j$  are not K-isomorphic, we say that  $\chi$  has the property  $(P_1)$ .

Let U and V be complex vector spaces. We define a equivalence relation  $\sim$  in Hom(U,V) as follows: For  $f,g \in \text{Hom}(U,V)$ ,  $f \sim g$ , if there exists a complex

number c such that |c|=1 and that g=cf. We denote by [f] the equivalence class to which f belongs, and by [Hom(U,V)] the set of all  $\sim$ -equivalence classes of Hom(U,V).

Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules and  $\rho: G \to GL(W)$  a unitary representation of G with the property  $(P_1)$ . We define  $\rho_{V',W'} \in [\text{Hom } (\mathfrak{g}^c \otimes V', W')]$  as follows:

(1) The case where both V' and W' are contained in the representation  $\rho_{|K}$ , the restriction of  $\rho$  to  $K(\text{More precisely each one is isomorphic to a <math>K$ -submodule of W, regarding W as a K-module via  $\rho_{|K}$ : Let  $W=W_1+W_2+\cdots+W_k$  be a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules. Let  $f_i\colon V'\to W_i$  and  $f_j\colon W'\to W_j$  be unitary K-isomorphisms. We define a linear mapping  $f\colon \mathfrak{g}^c\otimes V'\to W'$  by

$$f(X \otimes v) = f_j^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_i(v))^j)$$
 for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $v \in V'$ ,

where  $(d\rho(X)f_i(v))^j$  denotes the  $W_j$ -component of  $d\rho(X)f_i(v)$  with respect to the above direct sum decomposition of W. We define  $\rho_{V'|W'}$  by  $\rho_{V'|W'}=[f]$ .

(2) Otherwise: We define  $\rho_{V',W'}$  by  $\rho_{V',W'}=[0]$ .

REMARK 6.2.1. Suppose that both V' and W' are contained in the representation  $\rho_{|K}$ . Then for every  $f' \in \rho_{V',W'}$  there exist unitary K-isomorphisms  $f'_i \colon V' \to W_i$  and  $f'_j \colon W' \to W_j$  such that  $f'(X \otimes v) = f'_j^{-1}((d\rho(X)f'_i(v))^j)$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $v \in V'$ . The above fact is evident by the definition of  $\rho_{V',W'}$ .

**Lemma 6.2.1.** Let  $\phi: K \rightarrow GL(V')$  and  $\psi: K \rightarrow GL(W')$  be irreducible unitary representations, and  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be a unitary representation of G with the property  $(P_1)$ . Then we have for every  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$ 

$$f \circ (Ad \otimes \phi)(k) = \psi(k) \circ f$$
 for  $k \in K$ .

Proof. (1) The case where both V' and W' are contained in the representation  $\rho_{|K|}$ : Let  $W=W_1+W_2+\cdots+W_h$  be a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules. By Remark 6.2.1 there exist unitary K-isomorphisms  $f_i\colon V'\to W_i$  and  $f_j\colon W'\to W_j$  such that  $f(X\otimes v)=f_j^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_i(v))^j)$  for  $X\in\mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $v\in V'$ . Hence

$$f((Ad \otimes \phi) (k) (X \otimes v)) = f_j^{-1}(\{d\rho(Ad(k)X)f_i(\phi(k)v)\}^j)$$

$$= f_j^{-1}(\{(\rho(k)d\rho(X)\rho(k^{-1})) (\rho(k)f_i(v))\}^j)$$

$$= f_j^{-1}(\rho(k)\{d\rho(X)f_i(v)\}^j)$$

$$= \psi(k)f_j^{-1}(\{d\rho(X)f_i(v)\}^j)$$

$$= \psi(k)f(X \otimes v).$$

(2) Otherwise: Since f=0, the statement is evident. Q.E.D.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{F}^c$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{P}^c$ ) the complex subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  generated by

 $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). These spaces  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  and  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  are unitary K-submodules of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with the adjoint K-action.

**Lemma 6.2.2.** Under the assumptions of the above lemma, for every  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$  the linear mappings  $f \colon \mathfrak{t}^{c} \otimes V' \to W'$  and  $f \colon \mathfrak{p}^{c} \otimes V' \to W'$  induced from f are K-homomorphisms.

Proof. We have the lemma by the proof of the above lemma. Q.E.D.

Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules and  $\rho: G \to GL(W)$  a unitary representation of G with the property  $(P_1)$ . We define  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{k})$ ,  $\rho_{V'W'}(\mathfrak{p}) \in [\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^c \otimes V', W')]$  as follows: Take an element  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$ . Let  $f_{\mathfrak{k}}$  and  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be the linear mappings of  $\mathfrak{g}^c \otimes V'$  to W' defined by

$$\begin{split} f_{\dagger | \dagger} \sigma_{\otimes V'} &= f_{| \dagger} \sigma_{\otimes V'} \,, \qquad f_{\dagger | \mathfrak{p}} \sigma_{\otimes V'} = 0 \;, \\ f_{\mathfrak{p} | \dagger} \sigma_{\otimes V'} &= 0 \;, \qquad \qquad f_{\mathfrak{p} | \mathfrak{p}} \sigma_{\otimes V'} = f_{| \mathfrak{p}} \sigma_{\otimes V'} \,. \end{split}$$

We define  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{k})$  (resp.  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p})$ ) by  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{k})=[f_{\mathfrak{k}}]$  (resp. by  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p})=[f_{\mathfrak{p}}]$ ). Then we have

**Lemma 6.2.3.** (1) If V' is not K-isomorphic to W', we have

$$\rho_{v'|w'} = \rho_{v'|w'}(\mathfrak{p})$$
.

(2) Under the same assumption of (1), if moreover the K-module  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V'$  does not contain W', then we have

$$\rho_{V',W'} = [0].$$

(3) If the K-module  $\mathfrak{P}^{c} \otimes V'$  does not contain V', we have

$$\rho_{v'v'} = \rho_{v'v'}(\mathfrak{k})$$
.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the statements when both V' and W' are contained in the representation  $\rho_{1K}$ . Let  $W=W_1+W_2+\cdots+W_k$  be a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules. Let  $f_i \colon V' \to W_i$  and  $f_j \colon W' \to W_j$  be unitary K-isomorphisms. We define  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$  by

$$f(X \otimes v) = f_j^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_i(v))^j)$$
 for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $v \in V'$ .

(1) If  $X \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbf{c}}$ , then  $d\rho(X)f_i(v)$  is contained in  $W_i$  for  $v \in V'$ . Hence  $f(X \otimes v) = f_j^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_i(v))^j) = 0 \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{k}^{\mathbf{c}} \text{ and } v \in V'.$ 

Therefore we have  $f=f_n$ , and hence we have  $\rho_{v',w'}=\rho_{v',w'}(\mathfrak{p})$ .

- (2) It follows from Lemma 6.2.2 and Schur's lemma that  $f(\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V') = \{0\}$ . Therefore we have f=0, and obtain the assertion.
  - (3) It follows from Lemma 6.2.2 and Schur's lemma that  $f(\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V') = \{0\}$

Therefore we have  $f = f_{\mathbf{r}}$ .

Q.E.D.

Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules. The Hermitian inner products on  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}}$ , V' and W' induce a natural Hermitian inner product on Hom  $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes V', W')$ , identifying  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes V', W')$  with  $(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}})^* \otimes (V')^* \otimes W'$ . We denote by  $\langle , \rangle$  this Hermitian inner product on  $\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes V', W')$ . For [f],  $[g] \in [\operatorname{Hom}(\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbf{c}} \otimes V', W')]$ , we define a real number ([f], [g]) by

$$(6.2.1) \qquad (\lceil f \rceil, \lceil g \rceil) = |\langle f, g \rangle|.$$

6.3. Throughout this subsection we assume the followings. Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  and  $\chi: G \rightarrow GL(W)$  be unitary representations of G with the property  $(P_1)$ . We define a linear mapping  $L(\chi, \rho)$  of  $W \otimes V$  as in subsection 5.2 of [I]. Then we have

(6.3.1) 
$$L(\mathfrak{X}, \rho) ((W \otimes V)_0) \subset (W \otimes V)_0,$$

where  $(W \otimes V)_0 = \{u \in W \otimes V; (X \otimes \rho)(k)u = u \text{ for } k \in K\}$ . We decompose V and W into a vector space direct sum with the following properties:

- (a)  $V = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_k$ ,  $W = W_1 + W_2 + \cdots + W_m$ , here each  $V_k$ (resp.  $W_i$ ) is an irreducible K-module.
- (b) There exists a non-negative integer  $d(d \le k)$  with the following two properties:
  - (1) If  $h \leq d$ ,  $V_h$  is K-isomorphic to  $W_h$ .
  - (2) If d < h,  $W_h$  is not K-isomorphic to any  $V_i$ .

For  $h \leq d$ , let  $a_h: V_h \to W_h$  be a unitary K-isomorphism. We choose an orthonormal basis  $\{v_h:_1, \cdots, v_h:_{n(h)}\}$  (resp.  $\{w_j:_1, \cdots, w_j:_{p(j)}\}$ ) of  $V_h$ (resp. of  $W_j$ ) such that  $a_h(v_h:_\alpha) = w_h:_\alpha(h \leq d)$ . Let  $\{w_j:_1^*, \cdots, w_j:_{p(j)}^*\}$  be the basis of  $W_j^*$  dual to  $\{w_j:_1, \cdots, w_j:_{p(j)}\}$ . In the followings we assume that  $d \geq 1$ . Then

$$\{\omega_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} w_h; \alpha^* \otimes v_h; \alpha; h = 1, 2, \dots, d\}$$

is a basis of  $(W^* \otimes V)_0$ . By (6.3.1) we define complex numbers  $c(X^*, \rho)^{i_h}$  by

(6.3.2) 
$$L(X^*, \rho)\omega_h = \sum_{j=1}^d c(X^*, \rho)_h^j \omega_j.$$

In particular if  $X = \rho$ , we choose the identity mapping of  $V_h$  as  $a_h$ ,  $h = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $\{\omega_h = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} v_h; \alpha^* \otimes v_h; \alpha; h = 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  is independent of the choice of an orthonormal basis of  $V_h$ . We denote  $c(\rho^*, \rho)^{j_h}$  by  $c(\rho)^{j_h}$ . Let  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Put

(6.3.3) 
$$d\rho(E_i)v_{h;\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} A_{i,\beta}^{\beta} v_{j;\beta}$$

and

$$(6.3.4) d\chi(E_i)w_{s;\gamma} = \sum_{t=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^{p(t)} B_{i,s}^{tk} w_{t;k}.$$

We have

**Lemma 6.3.1.** The following equality holds for  $h=1,2,\dots,d$ :

$$(6.3.5) \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{B}_{i,h\alpha}^{\dagger\delta} = \begin{cases} c(\chi^*, \rho)^j_h, & \text{if } j \leq d, t=j \text{ and } \delta = \beta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\bar{B}_{i,h}^{t,\delta}$  is the complex conjugate of  $B_{i,h}^{t,\delta}$ . Therefore each  $c(\rho)^{j}_{h}$  is a non-negative real number.

Proof. By the definitions of  $L(X^*, \rho)$  and  $\omega_h$ , we have

$$\begin{split} L(\mathcal{X}^*, \rho)\omega_h &= (\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} d\mathcal{X}^*(E_i) \otimes d\rho(E_i)) \left(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} w_{h;\alpha}^* \otimes v_{h;\alpha}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{h} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\delta=1}^{p(i)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{\ j\beta} \bar{B}_{i,h\alpha}^{\ i\delta}\right) w_{t;\delta}^* \otimes v_{j;\beta} \ . \end{split}$$

Comparing the above equality with the right hand side of (6.3.2), we obtain (6.3.5). Q.E.D.

#### **Lemma 6.3.2.** We have

 $c(X^*, \rho)^j_h \ dim \ V_j = \overline{c}(X^*, \rho)^h_j \ dim \ V_h \qquad for \ h, j = 1, 2, \dots, d,$  where  $\overline{c}(X^*, \rho)^h_j$  is the complex conjugate of  $c(X^*, \rho)^h_j$ .

Proof. By (6.3.5) we have

$$c(\chi^*, \rho)^{j_h} \dim V_j = \sum_{k=1}^{n(j)} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(k)} A_{i, h\alpha} \bar{B}_{i, h\alpha}^{j\beta}).$$

Since  $\rho$  and  $\chi$  are unitary representations, we have

$$c(\mathbf{X}^*, \rho)^{j_h} \dim V_j = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+\beta} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} \overline{A}_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha} B_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha})$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} (\sum_{i=1}^{n+\beta} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} \overline{A}_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha} B_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha})$$

$$= \overline{c}(\mathbf{X}^*, \rho)^{h_j} \dim V_h. \qquad Q.E.D.$$

Let  $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_n\}$  and  $\{F'_1, F'_2, \dots, F'_n\}$  be bases of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  with the property  $(F_i, F'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $\{F_{n+1}, F_{n+2}, \dots, F_{n+p}\}$  and  $\{F'_{n+1}, F'_{n+2}, \dots, F'_{n+p}\}$  be bases of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  with the property  $(F_i, F'_j) = \delta_{ij}$ ,  $i, j = n+1, n+2, \dots, n+p$ . We define linear mappings  $L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{k}}$  and  $L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{k}}$  of  $W \otimes V$  as follows:

$$\begin{split} L(\mathcal{X}, \, \rho)_{\mathfrak{k}} &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \!\! d\mathcal{X}(F_i) \otimes d\rho(F'_i) \,, \\ L(\mathcal{X}, \, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}} &= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n} d\mathcal{X}(F_i) \otimes d\rho(F'_i) \,. \end{split}$$

Then this linear mapping  $L(\chi, \rho)_{t}$  (resp.  $L(\chi, \rho)_{n}$ ) is independent of the choice of bases of  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  (resp. of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$ ) with the above property by the same reason as for  $L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  is orthogonal to  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  with respect to the bilinear form  $(\ ,\ )$ on  $g^c$ , we have

(6.3.6) 
$$L(\mathcal{X}, \rho) = L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathbf{i}} + L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}}.$$

**Lemma 6.3.3.** We have for  $k \in K$ 

$$(\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) \circ L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{f}} = L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{f}} \circ (\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) ,$$
  
 $(\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) \circ L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}} = L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}} \circ (\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) .$ 

Therefore the linear mappings  $L(X, \rho)_{\sharp}$  and  $L(X, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of  $W \otimes V$  leave  $(W \otimes V)_{\mathfrak{q}}$ invariant.

Proof. We have for  $k \in K$ 

$$(\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) \circ L(\mathcal{X}, \rho)_{\mathfrak{k}}$$

$$= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \{ \mathcal{X}(k) d\mathcal{X}(F_i) \mathcal{X}(k^{-1}) \} \otimes \{ \rho(k) d\rho(F'_i) \rho(k^{-1}) \} \circ (\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k)$$

$$= \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} d\mathcal{X}(Ad(k)F_i) \otimes d\rho(Ad(k)F'_i) \circ (\mathcal{X} \otimes \rho) (k) .$$

Since the bilinear form (,) on  $g^c$  is Ad(G)-invariant, we have

$$\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} d\chi(Ad(k)F_i) \otimes d\rho(Ad(k)F'_i) = L(\chi,\rho)_{!}.$$

Thus we obtain the first equality. The second equality is obtained in the same way.

By the above lemma we define complex numbers  $c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{k})^{j_h}$  and  $c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^{j_h}$ bv

(6.3.7) 
$$\begin{cases} L(\mathcal{X}^*, \, \rho)_{\mathfrak{f}} \omega_h = \sum_{j=1}^d c(\mathcal{X}^*, \, \rho; \, \mathfrak{k})^j{}_h \omega_j \,, \\ L(\mathcal{X}^*, \, \rho)_{\mathfrak{p}} \omega_h = \sum_{j=1}^d c(\mathcal{X}^*, \, \rho; \, \mathfrak{p})^j{}_h \omega_j \,. \end{cases}$$

We denote by  $c(\rho; \mathfrak{k})^{j_h}$  (resp. by  $c(\rho; \mathfrak{p})^{j_h}$ )  $c(\rho^*, \rho; \mathfrak{k})^{j_h}$  (resp.  $c(\rho^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^{j_h}$ ). Let  $\sigma_h$  be the irreducible representation  $\rho: K \to GL(V_h)$  induced from  $\rho$ , and  $c_{\sigma_h}$ the eigenvalue of the Casimir operator  $C_{\sigma_h}$  of  $\sigma_h$ ,  $h=1,2,\dots,k$ . Then we have the following lemma.

#### Lemma 6.3.4.

- (1)  $c(X^*, \rho)^j_h = c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{k})^j_h + c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^j_h$  for  $j, h = 1, 2, \dots, d$ . (2)  $c(X^*, \rho)^j_h = c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^j_h$  for  $j, h = 1, 2, \dots, d$  with  $j \neq h$ .
- (3)  $c(\rho; \mathfrak{t})^h_h = -c_{\sigma_h}$  for  $h=1,2,\dots,k$ .

86

Proof. (1) We have the equality by (6.3.2), (6.3.6) and (6.3.7).

(2) Take an orthonormal basis  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\{E_1, \dots, E_n\}$  (resp.  $\{E_{n+1}, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$ ) is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}$ (resp. of  $\mathfrak{k}$ ). Then for  $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, n(j)$ , we have the followings in the same way as in (6.3.5):

(6.3.8) 
$$\begin{cases} c(\chi^*, \rho; \mathfrak{t})^j_{h} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{B}_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta}, \\ c(\chi^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^j_{h} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{B}_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have for  $j, h=1, 2, \dots, d$  with  $j \neq h$ 

$$c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{k})^{j}_{h} = 0$$
.

Hence we obtain the desired equality by (1).

(3) Let  $\{E_1, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the proof of (2). Since  $C_{\sigma_k} = \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} d\rho(E_i) d\rho(E_i)$  and since  $\sigma_h$  is a unitary representation, we have by (6.3.8)

$$\begin{split} C_{\sigma_h} v_h;_{\alpha} &= (\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{h\beta} A_{i,h\beta}^{h\alpha}) v_h;_{\alpha} \\ &= -(\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,h\beta}^{h\alpha} \bar{A}_{i,h\beta}^{h\alpha}) v_h;_{\alpha} \\ &= -c(\rho; \mathfrak{t}^{h})_h^{h} v_h;_{\alpha}. \end{split}$$
 Q.E.D.

Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules. We define a subspace  $V'(\rho; W')$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}^c)^* \otimes (V')^*$  by  $V'(\rho; W') = {}^t f((W')^*)$ , taking an element  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$ . We denote by  $\langle , \rangle$  the Hermitian inner product on  $(\mathfrak{g}^c)^* \otimes (V')^*$  induced from the Hermitian inner products on  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  and V'. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}^c)^* \otimes (V')^*$  is a unitary K-module.

**Proposition 6.3.5.** Let U', V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules. If U' is not K-isomorphic to W', then the subspace  $V'(\rho; U')$  is orthogonal to  $V'(\chi; W')$ .

Proof. If  $\chi_{V',W'}=[0]$  or  $\rho_{V',U'}=[0]$ , the statement is evident. Suppose that  $\chi_{V',W'}$ ,  $\rho_{V',U'} \neq [0]$ . Then it follows from Lemma 6.2.1 and (2) of Lemma 6.1.2 that  $V'(\chi;W')$  (resp.  $V'(\rho;U')$ ) is K-isomorphic to  $(W')^*$ (resp.  $(U')^*$ ). Hence the irreducible K-module  $V'(\rho;U')$  is not K-isomorphic to the irreducible K-module  $V'(\chi;W')$ . Therefore we obtain the proposition by the K-invariance of the Hermitian inner product on  $(g^c)^* \otimes (V')^*$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 6.3.6.** Suppose that an irreducible unitary K-module V'(resp. W') is K-ismorphic to  $V_1$ (resp. to  $V_h$ ). Let  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n(h)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of W' and  $\{w_1^*, w_2^*, \dots, w_{n(h)}^*\}$  its dual basis of  $(W')^*$ . Then we have for

 $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$ 

$$\langle {}^tf(w_{\alpha}^*), {}^tf(w_{\alpha}^*) \rangle = c(\rho)^h_1, \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n(h).$$

If  $V'(\rho; W') \neq \{0\}$ ,  $\{{}^tf(w_1^*), {}^tf(w_2^*), \dots, {}^tf(w_{n(h)}^*)\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $V'(\rho; W')$ .

Proof. Let  $f_1: V' \to V_1$  and  $f_h: W' \to V_h$  be unitary K-isomorphisms with the following property (Remark 6.2.1):

$$f(X \otimes v) = f_h^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_1(v))^h)$$
 for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^C$  and  $v \in V'$ .

Let  $\{v_{j:1}, v_{j:2}, \dots, v_{j:n(j)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_j(j=1,2,\dots,k)$  such that  $f_k(w_\alpha) = v_{k:\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n(h)$ . Choose the orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n(1)}\}$  of V' such that  $f_1(v_\alpha) = v_{1:\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n(1)$ , and let  $\{v_1^*, v_2^*, \dots, v_{n(1)}^*\}$  be its dual basis of  $(V')^*$ . By (6.3.3) we have

$$f(E_i \otimes v_{\alpha}) = \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(h)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\beta} w_{\beta}.$$

Hence

$$^t f(w_{eta}^*) = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum\limits_{lpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1}^{heta} E_i^* \otimes v_{lpha}^*$$
 ,

where  $\{E_1^*, E_2^*, \dots, E_{n+p}^*\}$  is the basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^*$  dual to the orthonormal basis  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$ . Therefore we have by (6.3.5)

(6.3.9) 
$$\langle f(w_{\beta}^*), f(w_{\gamma}^*) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\beta} \bar{A}_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma}$$

$$= \begin{cases} c(\rho)^h_1, & \text{if } \beta = \gamma, \\ 0, & \text{if } \beta \neq \gamma. \end{cases}$$

If  $V'(\rho; W') \neq \{0\}$ , (6.3.9) shows that  $\{f(w_1^*), f(w_2^*), \dots, f(w_{n(k)}^*)\}$  is an orthogonal basis of  $V'(\rho; W')$ . Q.E.D.

**Proposition 6.3.7.** Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules.

(a) The case where both V' and W' are contained in the representations  $\rho_{|K}$  and  $\chi_{|K}$ : Suppose that  $V_1(resp.\ V_h)$  is K-isomorphic to  $V'(resp.\ W')$ . Then we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (\rho_{V',W'}, \chi_{V',W'}) = |c(\chi^*, \rho)^h_1| \ \text{dim} \ W', \\ (\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{k}), \chi_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{k})) = |c(\chi^*, \rho; \mathfrak{k})^h_1| \ \text{dim} \ W', \\ (\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p}), \chi_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p})) = |c(\chi^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^h_1| \ \text{dim} \ W'. \end{array}$$

(b) Otherwise: We have

$$(\rho_{v'}, \chi_{v'}, \chi_{v'}, \chi_{v'}) = 0$$
.

Proof. (a) Let  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_{n+p}\}$  be the orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  in the proof

of Lemma 6.3.4. Let  $f_1: V' \to V_1$  and  $f_h: W' \to V_h$  be unitary K-isomorphisms. Put  $g_1 = a_1 \circ f_1$  and  $g_h = a_h \circ f_h$ . Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{n(1)}\}$  (resp.  $\{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_{n(h)}\}$ ) of V'(resp. of W') such that  $f_1(v_\alpha) = v_1 : \alpha$ (resp.  $f_h(w_\beta) = v_h : \beta$ ). We define an element of  $f \in \rho_{V',W'}$ (resp.  $g \in \mathcal{X}_{V',W'}$ ) by  $f(X \otimes v) = f_h^{-1}((d\rho(X)f_1(v))^h)$  (resp. by  $g(X \otimes v) = g_h^{-1}((d\mathcal{X}(X)g_1(v))^h)$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}^c$  and  $v \in V'$ . Then we have by (6.3.3) and (6.3.4)

$$\begin{cases} f = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_i^* \otimes v_{\alpha}^* \otimes w_{\gamma}, \\ g = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} B_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_i^* \otimes v_{\alpha}^* \otimes w_{\gamma}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $f_{\mathfrak{f}}$ ,  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ,  $g_{\mathfrak{f}}$  and  $g_{\mathfrak{p}}$  be those in subsection 6.2. Then we have

$$\begin{cases} f_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_{i}^{*} \otimes v_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes w_{\gamma} , \\ f_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_{i}^{*} \otimes v_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes w_{\gamma} , \\ \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} g_{\mathfrak{k}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=n+1}^{n+p} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} B_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_{i}^{*} \otimes v_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes w_{\gamma} , \\ g_{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} B_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} E_{i}^{*} \otimes v_{\alpha}^{*} \otimes w_{\gamma} . \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have by (6.2.1) and (6.3.5)

$$(\rho_{v',w'}, \chi_{v',w'}) = |\langle f, g \rangle| = |\sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(h)} \sum_{i=1}^{n+h} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(1)} A_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma} \bar{B}_{i,1\alpha}^{h\gamma}|$$
$$= |c(\chi^*, \rho)^{h_1}| \dim W'.$$

Applying (6.3.8), we obtain the other two equalities.

(b) Since 
$$\rho_{v',w'}=[0]$$
 or  $\chi_{v',w'}=[0]$ , the statement is evident. Q.E.D.

We denote by  $C_{\rho}$  the Casimir operator of the representation  $\rho$  of G. Then we have

$$\rho(k) \circ C_{\rho} = C_{\rho} \circ \rho(k) \text{ for } k \in K.$$

Therefore it follows from the property  $(P_1)$  and Schur's lemma that there exist complex numbers  $c(\rho)_h, h=1,2,\dots,k$ , such that  $C_{\rho|V_h}=c(\rho)_h 1_{V_h}$ . Here  $C_{\rho|V_h}$  is the restriction of  $C_\rho$  to  $V_h$ . Then we have

#### Proposition 6.3.8.

(6.3.10) 
$$c(\rho)_h = -\sum_{i=1}^k c(\rho)^h_i, \quad h = 1, 2, \dots, k.$$

Proof. We have by (6.3.3) and (6.3.5)

$$\begin{split} C_{\rho}(v_h; \alpha) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+\rho} d\rho(E_i) \left( d\rho(E_i) v_h; \alpha \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+\rho} \sum_{j,s=1}^{h} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{r(s)} A_{i,j\alpha}^{j\beta} A_{i,j\beta}^{s\gamma} v_s; \gamma \\ &= -\sum_{j,s=1}^{h} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(s)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+\rho} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} A_{i,j\beta}^{s\gamma} \bar{A}_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha} \right) v_s; \gamma \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^{h} c(\rho)^h_j v_h; \alpha \,. \end{split}$$

This proves (6.3.10).

Q.E.D.

Let  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_q, X_{\lambda}, X_{-\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with the following property: Put  $e_{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_{\lambda} + X_{-\lambda})$  and  $f_{\lambda} = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} (X_{\lambda} - X_{-\lambda})$ . Then  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_q, e_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Put

$$\begin{split} d\rho(E_i)v_{h\,;\,\alpha} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} C_{i,\,\beta\alpha}^{\ i\,\beta}v_{j\,;\,\beta}\,,\\ d\rho(X_{\pm\lambda})v_{h\,;\,\alpha} &= \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} C_{\pm\lambda,\,\beta\alpha}^{\ i\,\beta}v_{j\,;\,\beta}\,,\\ d\chi(E_i)w_{s\,;\,\gamma} &= \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{\delta=1}^{\rho(i)} D_{i,\,s\gamma}^{\ i\,\delta}w_{t\,;\,\delta} \end{split}$$

and

$$d\chi(X_{\pm\lambda})w_{s\,;\,\gamma}=\sum_{t=1}^{m}\sum_{\delta=1}^{p(t)}D_{\pm\lambda,s\gamma}^{\ \ t\,\delta}w_{t\,;\,\delta}\,.$$

Then we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 6.3.9.** (a) We have for  $h=1,2,\dots,d$ 

(6.3.11) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{q} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} C_{i,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{D}_{i,h\alpha}^{i\delta} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)} (C_{\lambda,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{D}_{\lambda,h\alpha}^{i\delta} + C_{-\lambda,h\alpha}^{j\beta} \bar{D}_{-\lambda,h\alpha}^{i\delta})$$

$$= \begin{cases} c(X^*, \rho)^{j}_{h}, & \text{if } j \leq d, \ t = j \ \text{and} \ \delta = \beta, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(b) Suppose that  $\{E_1, E_2, \dots, E_r, e_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda_1\}$  (resp.  $\{E_{r+1}, E_{r+2}, \dots, E_q, e_{\lambda}, f_{\lambda}; \lambda \in \Lambda_2\}$ ) is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp. of  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Then we have for  $h, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$ 

$$\begin{split} &c(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^*,\,\rho\,;\,\boldsymbol{\mathring{t}})^{j}{}_{h}\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^{r}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{n(h)}C_{i,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{i\beta}\bar{D}_{i,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\Lambda_{1}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{n(h)}(C_{\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta}\bar{D}_{\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta} + C_{-\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta}\bar{D}_{-\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta})\,,\\ &c(\boldsymbol{\mathcal{X}}^*,\,\rho\,;\,\boldsymbol{\mathfrak{P}})^{j}{}_{h}\\ =&\sum_{i=r+1}^{q}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{n(h)}C_{i,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta}\bar{D}_{i,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta} + \sum_{\boldsymbol{\lambda}\in\Lambda_{2}}\sum_{\boldsymbol{\omega}=1}^{n(h)}(C_{\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta}\bar{D}_{i,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta} + C_{-\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta}\bar{D}_{-\lambda,,\boldsymbol{\mathring{h}}\boldsymbol{\omega}}^{j\beta})\,. \end{split}$$

Proof. (a) We have by the definition of  $C_{i,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}$ ,  $C_{\pm\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}$ ,  $D_{i,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}$  and  $D_{\pm\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}$ ,  $L(\chi^*,\rho)\omega_h=\{\sum_{i=1}^q d\chi^*(E_i)\otimes d\rho(E_i)+\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}(d\chi^*(e_\lambda)\otimes d\rho(e_\lambda)+d\chi^*(f_\lambda)\otimes d\rho(f_\lambda))\}(\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)}w_h;\alpha^*\otimes v_h;\alpha)$   $=\sum_{j=1}^h\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)}\sum_{\delta=1}^{p(i)}(\sum_{i=1}^q\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)}C_{i,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}\bar{D}_{i,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha}+\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)}\{\frac{1}{2}(C_{\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}+C_{-\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha})(\bar{D}_{\lambda,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha}+\bar{D}_{\lambda,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha})+\sum_{j=1}^m\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{\beta=1}^n\sum_{\delta=1}^{n(j)}\sum_{\delta=1}^p\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n(h)}(C_{\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}\bar{D}_{i,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha}+\bar{D}_{\lambda,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha})\})w_t;\delta^*\otimes v_j;\beta$   $=\sum_{j=1}^h\sum_{i=1}^m\sum_{\beta=1}^n\sum_{\delta=1}^{n(j)}\sum_{\delta=1}^p\sum_{\delta=1}^{n(h)}(C_{\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}\bar{D}_{\lambda,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha}+C_{-\lambda,\stackrel{j}{h}\alpha}\bar{D}_{-\lambda,\stackrel{k}{h}\alpha})\}w_t;\delta^*\otimes v_j;\beta$ 

Comparing the above equality with the right hand side of (6.3.2), we obtain (6.3.11).

- (b) We obtain the equalities in the similar way to above. Q.E.D.
- 6.4. We say that the pair (G,K) has the property  $(P_2)$ , if the following condition is satisfied: Let V' be an arbitrary irreducible K-module and  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V' = U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_k$  a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules. Then any pair  $U_i$ ,  $U_j$  of the components with  $i \neq j$  are not K-isomorphic.
- **Lemma 6.4.1.** Suppose that the pair (G,K) has the property  $(P_2)$ . Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules. Then there exists a K-homomorphism  $f_0: \mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V' \to W'$  with the following property: For every K-homomorphism  $f: \mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V' \to W'$  there exists a complex number  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $f = cf_0$ . Moreover if the K-module  $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V'$  contains the K-module W', We may choose  $f_0$  in such a way that  $\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle = \dim W'$ . Here  $\langle , \rangle$  denotes the Hermitian inner product on  $Hom(\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}} \otimes V', W')$  induced from the Hermitian inner products on  $\mathfrak{P}^{\mathbb{C}}$ , V' and W'.
- Proof. When the K-module  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V'$  does not contain W', any K-homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V'$  to W' is trivial. So the first statement is evident. Suppose that the K-module  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V'$  contains W'. In the decomposition  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V' = U_1 + U_2 + \cdots + U_h$ , we may assume that  $U_1$  is K-isomorphic to W'. Let  $g: U_1 \rightarrow W'$  be a unitary K-isomorphism. Choose an orthonormal basis  $\{u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_k\}$  (resp.  $\{w_1, w_2, \cdots, w_k\}$ ) of  $U_1$ (resp. of W') such that  $g(u_\alpha) = w_\alpha$ ,  $\alpha = 1, 2, \cdots, k$ . Let  $\{u_1, \cdots, u_k, u_{k+1}, \cdots, u_m\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $\mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V'$  and  $\{u_1^*, u_2^*, \cdots, u_m^*\}$  its dual basis of  $(\mathfrak{p}^c)^* \otimes (V')^*$ . By the property  $(P_2)$ , for every K-homomorphism

 $f: \mathfrak{p}^c \otimes V' \rightarrow W'$  there exists a complex number  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$f = c \sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} u_{\alpha}^* \otimes w_{\alpha}$$
.

If we put 
$$f_0 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^k u_{\alpha}^* \otimes w_{\alpha}$$
, we have  $\langle f_0, f_0 \rangle = \dim W'$ . Q.E.D.

Suppose that the pair (G, K) has the property  $(P_2)$ . Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules. Then we extend  $f_0$  in Lemma 6.4.1 to a Khomomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}^c \otimes V'$  to W', which is also denoted by  $f_0$ , by defining as  $f_0(\mathfrak{k}^c \otimes V') = \{0\}.$ 

**Proposition 6.4.2.** Suppose that the pair (G, K) has the property  $(P_2)$ . Let V' and W' be irreducible unitary K-modules.

- (1) Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  be a unitary representation of G with the property  $(P_1)$ . Then there exists a complex number  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p}) = [cf_0]$ .
- (2) Let  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  and  $\chi: G \to GL(W)$  be unitary representations with the property  $(P_1)$ . Suppose that both of the irreducible unitary K-modules V' and W' are contained in the representations  $\rho_{1K}$  and  $\chi_{1K}$ . Let  $V=V_1+V_2+\cdots+V_{NK}$  $V_k$  and  $W=W_1+W_2+\cdots+W_m$  be the direct sum decompositions in the beginning of subsection 6.3. Suppose that  $V_1(resp. V_h)$  is K-isomorphic to V'(resp. to W'). By (1) above there exists a complex number c(resp. d) such that  $\rho_{v'|w'}(\mathfrak{p}) = [cf_0]$  (resp.  $\chi_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p})=[df_0]$ . If the K-module  $\mathfrak{p}^c\otimes V'$  contains W', then we have

$$|c(X^*, \rho; \mathfrak{p})^{k_1}| = |cd|.$$

Proof. (1) Take  $f \in \rho_{v',w'}$ . Then it follows from Lemma 6.2.2 and Lemma 6.4.1 that there exists a complex number c such that  $f_{\mathfrak{p}}=cf_{\mathfrak{0}}$ . Therefore we obtain (1).

(2) We have by Lemma 6.4.1

$$(\rho_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p}), \chi_{V',W'}(\mathfrak{p})) = |\langle cf_0, df_0 \rangle| = |cd| \dim W'.$$

Therefore we have (6.4.1) by Proposition 6.3.7.

Q.E.D.

6.5. In this subsection the assumptions and the notation are the same as in subsection 3.3 of [I]. Moreover we assume that the minimal isometric immersion  $F: (M, c\langle , \rangle) \rightarrow S$  is full and that the unitary representation  $\rho: G \rightarrow$  $GL(V^c)$  has the property  $(P_1)$ . Here  $V^c$  is the complexification of V. Let  $V^c = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_m$  be a direct sum decomposition into irreducible components as K-modules such that  $(V^N)^c = V_1 + V_2 + \cdots + V_k$  and that  $(V^0)^c + (V^T)^c =$  $V_{k+1}+V_{k+2}+\cdots+V_m$ . It follows from Lemma 5.2.3 of [I] and the property  $(P_1)$  that the operator  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \{d\rho(E_i) (d\rho(E_i)^*)^N\}^N$  leaves every  $V_h$  invariant. Therefore by Schur's lemma there exist complex numbers  $c_h$ ,  $h=1,2,\dots,m$ , such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \left\{ d\rho(E_i) \left( d\rho(E_i) * \right)^N \right\}_{|V_h} = c_h 1_{V_h},$$

where  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \{d\rho(E_i) (d\rho(E_i)*)^N\}_{|V_h}^N$  denotes the restriction of  $\sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \{d\rho(E_i) (d\rho(E_i)*)^N\}_{i=1}^N$  to  $V_h$ . Then we have

## Proposition 6.5.1.

$$c_{h} = \begin{cases} -\sum_{j=1}^{k} c(\rho)^{h_{j}}, & \text{if } h = 1, 2, \dots, k, \\ 0, & \text{if } h = k+1, k+2, \dots, m. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let  $\{v_{j:1}, v_{j:2}, \dots, v_{j:n(j)}\}$  be an orthonormal basis of  $V_j$  and define  $A_i$  is by (6.3.3). Then we have by (6.3.5)

$$\begin{split} c_h v_h :_{\alpha} &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \left\{ d\rho(E_i) \left( d\rho(E_i) v_h ;_{\alpha} \right)^N \right\}^N \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{s,j=1}^k \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(s)} A_{i,h\alpha}^{(s)} A_{i,j\beta} v_s ;_{\gamma} \\ &= -\sum_{s,j=1}^k \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n(s)} \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n+p} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n(j)} A_{i,j\beta}^{(s)} \bar{A}_{i,j\beta}^{h\alpha} \right) v_s ;_{\gamma} \\ &= -\sum_{i=1}^k c(\rho)^h_j v_h ;_{\alpha} \,. \end{split}$$

Q.E.D.

It is evident that  $c_h=0$  for  $h=k+1,k+2,\cdots,m$ .

#### 7. 3-dimensional subalgebras

7.1. In this section we assume the followings. Let G be a compact connected Lie group, K a closed subgroup of G and (G,K) a Riemannian symmetric pair. The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the subspaces  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  are the same as in subsection 2.1 of [I]. The Hermitian inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  and the symmetric bilinear form  $(\ ,\ )$  on  $\mathfrak{g}^c$ , the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , are the same as in subsection 6.2. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{k}$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{k}^c$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  and by  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ ) the complex subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  generated by  $\mathfrak{k}$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{p}$  and by  $\mathfrak{h}$ ). Let  $\sigma$  be the involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  associated to the Riemannian symmetric pair (G,K), and  $\tau$  the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

We have

(7.1.1) 
$$\langle X, Y \rangle = (X, \tau Y)$$
 for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^c$ .

For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^c$  we define a subspace  $\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}^c$  of  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  by

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}{}^{\mathbf{c}} = \{X \!\in\! \mathfrak{p}^{\mathbf{c}}; [H, X] = \sqrt{-1} \, (\lambda, H) X \qquad \text{for } H \!\in\! \mathfrak{h}^{\mathbf{c}} \} \; .$$

Put  $\mathfrak{S} = \{ \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^c; \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}{}^c \neq \{0\} \}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 7.1.1** (cf. Araki [1] p. 4). (1) If  $\lambda$  is an element in  $\mathfrak{S}$ , then  $\lambda$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Therefore the linear form  $(\lambda, *)$  is real valued on  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

(2) The subspace  $\mathfrak{p}^c$  is decomposed into a vector space direct sum in the following way:

$$\mathfrak{p}^{c} = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}} \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}^{c} .$$

The following lemmas are proved in the similar way to the case of root systems of compact Lie groups.

**Lemma 7.1.2.** If  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}^{c}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}^{c}$ , then [X,Y] is contained in  $\mathfrak{h}^{c}$ .

**Lemma 7.1.3.** If  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}{}^{c}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{S}$ , then  $\tau X$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}{}^{c}$ . Therefore we have dim  $\mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}{}^{c} = \dim \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}{}^{c}$ .

**Lemma 7.1.4.** Suppose that  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}^{c}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{\mu}^{c}$ . Then we have (X,Y) = 0, if  $\lambda + \mu \neq 0$ . In particular the following equality holds:

$$(7.1.2) (X, X) = 0.$$

**Lemma 7.1.5.** If  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}{}^{c}$  and  $Y \in \mathfrak{p}_{-\lambda}{}^{c}$ , then we have

$$[X, Y] = \sqrt{-1} (X, Y) \lambda.$$

7.2. Let  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{F}$  with  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Choose an element  $X_{\lambda} \in \mathfrak{p}_{\lambda}^{c}$  with the property  $(X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}) = \langle X_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda} \rangle = 1$ . We define elements e and f of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows:

$$e = rac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (X_{\lambda} + \tau X_{\lambda}),$$
 $f = rac{\sqrt{-1}}{\sqrt{2}} (X_{\lambda} - \tau X_{\lambda}).$ 

It follows from Lemma 7.1.3 and (7.1.2) that

$$\langle e, e \rangle = \langle e, e \rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (X_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda}) + 2(X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}) + (\tau X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}) \right\}$$
  
= 1.

Similarly we obtain  $\langle f, f \rangle = 1$ . We have the following lemma.

Lemma 7.2.1.

$$(7.2.1) [X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}] = \sqrt{-1} \lambda.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 7.1.3 and (7.1.3), we have

$$[X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}] = \sqrt{-1} (X_{\lambda}, \tau X_{\lambda}) \lambda = \sqrt{-1} \lambda$$
.

Q.E.D.

Put  $Y_{\lambda} = \tau X_{\lambda}$  and  $H_{\lambda} = \sqrt{-1} \lambda$ . We define a complex subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}^{c}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^{c}$  and a real subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  as follows:

$$g_{X_{\lambda}}{}^{c} = \{X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}, H_{\lambda}\}_{c},$$

$$g_{X_{\lambda}} = \{e, f, h\}_{R},$$

where  $h=\sqrt{-1} H_{\lambda}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 7.2.2.** The subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}$  is a real Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}{}^{c}$  is a complex Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^{c}$ . The Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{X_{\lambda}}{}^{c}$ .

Proof. We have the following equalities by the definitions of  $X_{\lambda}$ ,  $Y_{\lambda}$  and  $H_{\lambda}$ :

(7.2.2) 
$$\begin{cases}
[H_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda}] = -(\lambda, \lambda)X_{\lambda}, \\
[H_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}] = (\lambda, \lambda)Y_{\lambda}, \\
[X_{\lambda}, Y_{\lambda}] = H_{\lambda}.
\end{cases}$$

These show that  $g_{x_{\lambda}}^{c}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $g^{c}$ . Applying (7.2.2), we have

$$[h, e] = -(\lambda, \lambda)f, [h, f] = (\lambda, \lambda)e, [e, f] = -h.$$

By (1) of Lemma 7.1.1,  $(\lambda, \lambda)$  is a real number. Therefore  $\mathfrak{g}_{x_{\lambda}}$  is a Lie subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is evident that  $\mathfrak{g}_{x_{\lambda}}$  is a real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_{x_{\lambda}}{}^{c}$ . Q.E.D.

We denote by  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$  (resp. by  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ ) the Lie algebra of the special linear group  $SL(2, \mathbf{C})$  (resp. the Lie algebra of the special unitary group SU(2)). Then  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$  is a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ . We choose a basis  $\{X_0, Y_0, H_0\}$  of  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$  as follows:

$$X_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad Y_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad H_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

(7.2.3) 
$$\begin{cases} [H_{0}, X_{0}] = 2X_{0}, \\ [H_{0}, Y_{0}] = -2Y_{0}, \\ [X_{0}, Y_{0}] = H_{0}. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ ,  $(\lambda, \lambda)$  is strictly positive. Put  $k = (\lambda, \lambda)$ . We define a linear mapping  $\phi: \mathfrak{g}_{x_{\lambda}}{}^{c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{SI}(2, \mathbb{C})$  by

$$\phi(H_{\lambda}) = -\frac{k}{2}H_{0}, \ \phi(X_{\lambda}) = \sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}X_{0}, \ \phi(Y_{\lambda}) = -\sqrt{\frac{k}{2}}Y_{0}.$$

Then we have

**Lemma 7.2.3.** The linear mapping  $\phi: g_{X_{\lambda}}^{c} \to \mathfrak{SI}(2, \mathbb{C})$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism and maps  $g_{X_{\lambda}}$  onto  $\mathfrak{SU}(2)$ .

Proof. Applying (7.2.3), we have

$$egin{aligned} \left[\phi(H_{\lambda}),\phi(X_{\lambda})
ight] &= -(\lambda,\lambda)\phi(X_{\lambda})\,, \ \left[\phi(H_{\lambda}),\phi(Y_{\lambda})
ight] &= (\lambda,\lambda)\phi(Y_{\lambda})\,, \ \left[\phi(X_{\lambda}),\phi(Y_{\lambda})
ight] &= \phi(H_{\lambda})\,. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore it follows from (7.2.2) that  $\phi$  is a Lie algebra isomorphism. We have

$$\phi(e) = \frac{\sqrt{k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \phi(f) = \frac{\sqrt{k} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{-1} \\ \sqrt{-1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \phi(h) = -\frac{k}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -\sqrt{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore  $\phi(e)$ ,  $\phi(f)$  and  $\phi(h)$  are contained in  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U}(2)$ . Thus the lemma is proved. Q.E.D.

7.3. We define a symmetric bilinear form ( , ) on  $\mathfrak{A}(2, \boldsymbol{C})$  by

$$(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} Tr(XY)$$
 for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbf{C})$ ,

where Tr(XY) denotes the trace of the matrix XY. Then this bilinear form  $(\ ,\ )$  is  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2,\mathbf{C})$ -invariant and positive definite on  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . Put

$$\mathbf{t} = \left\{ \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & -a \end{pmatrix}; a \in \mathbf{R} \right\}.$$

Then t is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . Let  $\rho: \mathfrak{Su}(2) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  be a complex representation of  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . An element  $\psi \in \mathfrak{t}$  is called a *weight* of  $\rho$ , if there exists a non-zero vector  $v \in V$  such that  $\rho(H)v = \sqrt{-1} \ (\psi, H)v$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ . And this vector v is called a  $\psi$ -weight vector or a weight vector belonging to  $\psi$ . Put

$$\phi = \sqrt{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Choose a linear order > on t such that  $\phi>0$ . Suppose that  $\rho: \mathfrak{Su}(2) \to \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is an irreducible complex representation. Then the highest weight of  $\rho$  is equal to  $m\phi$  for some non-negative integer m, the weights of  $\rho$  are  $\{(m-2i)\phi; i=0,1,\dots,m\}$ , and dim V=m+1 (cf. Serre [8] Chapitre IV).

Let  $\rho_m : \mathfrak{SI}(2, \mathbf{C}) \to \mathfrak{gI}(U_m)$  be an irreducible representation with the highest weight  $m\phi$ . Then there exists a basis  $\{u_{-m}, u_{-m+2}, \cdots, u_{m-2}, u_m\}$  of  $U_m$  with the following properties:

(7.3.1) 
$$\begin{cases} \rho_m(H_0)u_{m-2i} = (m-2i)u_{m-2i}, \\ \rho_m(X_0)u_{m-2i} = iu_{m+2-2i}, \\ \rho_m(Y_0)u_{m-2i} = (m-i)u_{m-2i-2}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

where  $u_{m+2}=u_{-m-2}=0$ . We introduce a Hermitian inner product  $\langle , \rangle_0$  on  $U_m$  such that  $\{\sqrt{\frac{1}{i!(m-i)!}} u_{m-2i}; i=0,1,\cdots,m\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $U_m$ . Then  $\langle , \rangle_0$  is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ , i.e.  $\langle \rho_m(X)u,v\rangle_0 + \langle u,\rho_m(X)v\rangle_0$ =0 for  $u, v \in U_m$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . A Hermitian inner product on  $U_m$  which is invariant under the action of su(2) is unique up to constant multiple. Then we have

**Lemma 7.3.1.** Let  $\langle , \rangle$  be a Hermitian product on  $U_m$  which is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{e_{-m}, e_{-m+2}, \cdots, e$  $e_{m-2}, e_m$  of  $U_m$  with the following properties:

(7.3.2) 
$$\begin{cases} \rho_m(H_0)e_i = ie_i, \\ \rho_m(X_0)e_i = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(m-i)(m+i+2)}e_{i+2} \\ \rho_m(Y_0)e_i = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{(m+i)(m-i+2)}e_{i-2}, \quad i = -m, -m+2, \cdots, m-2, m. \end{cases}$$
When  $m=2m'$  is even one but  $f_i=e_i$ ,  $i=-m'$ ,  $\dots =1,0,1,\dots,m'$ . Then one have

When m=2m' is even, we put  $f_i=e_{2i}, i=-m', \dots, -1, 0, 1, \dots, m'$ . Then we have

$$(7.3.3) \begin{cases} \rho_{2m'}(H_0)f_i = 2if_i, \\ \rho_{2m'}(X_0)f_i = \sqrt{\overline{(m'-i)(m'+i+1)}}f_{i+1}, \\ \rho_{2m'}(Y_0)f_i = \sqrt{\overline{(m'+i)(m'-i+1)}}f_{i-1}, \quad i = -m', \dots, 0, \dots, m'. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By (7.3.1) we have

$$\begin{split} \rho_{\mathit{m}}(X_0) \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{i!(m-i)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m-2i}} \right) &= \frac{i}{\sqrt{i!(m-i)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m+2-2i}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{i(m-i+1)}{(i-1)!(m-i+1)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m+2-2i}} \, , \\ \rho_{\mathit{m}}(Y_0) \left( \sqrt{\frac{1}{i!(m-i)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m-2i}} \right) &= \frac{m-i}{\sqrt{i!(m-i)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m-2i-2}} \\ &= \sqrt{\frac{(m-i)\,(i+1)}{(i+1)!(m-i-1)!}} \ u_{\scriptscriptstyle{m-2i-2}} \, . \end{split}$$

Since  $\langle , \rangle$  is a constant multiple of  $\langle , \rangle_0$ , we obtain (7.3.2). We have easily (7.3.3) by (7.3.2). Q.E.D.

Let  $\rho: G \rightarrow GL(V)$  be a unitary representation. Then by Lemma 7.2.3 we

may consider the differential  $d\rho$  of  $\rho$  as a representation of  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  such that the Hermitian inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on V is invariant under the action of  $\mathfrak{Su}(2)$ . Let |v| denote the length  $\sqrt{\langle v,v\rangle}$  of a vector  $v \in V$ . We decompose V into a vector space direct sum in the following way:

$$(7.3.4) V = \sum_{m=0}^{s} V^{m},$$

where  $V^m$  is the subspace of V generated by  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -submodules which are  $\mathfrak{Sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -isomorphic to  $U_m$ . Then we have

**Lemma 7.3.2.** (1) Let v be an  $i\phi$ -weight vector with |v|=1. If v is contained in  $V^m$ , we have

(7.3.5) 
$$\begin{cases} |d\rho(X_{\lambda})v|^{2} = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}{8} (m-i) (m+i+2), \\ |d\rho(Y_{\lambda})v|^{2} = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}{8} (m+i) (m-i+2). \end{cases}$$

(1)' Let v be a  $2i\phi$ -weight vector with |v|=1. If v is contained in  $V^{2m}$ , we have

(7.3.6) 
$$\begin{cases} |d\rho(X_{\lambda})v|^{2} = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}{2} (m-i) (m+i+1), \\ |d\rho(Y_{\lambda})v|^{2} = \frac{\langle \lambda, \lambda \rangle}{2} (m+i) (m-i+1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. The  $\mathfrak{Al}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -invariant subspace generated by v is  $\mathfrak{Al}(2, \mathbb{C})$ -isomorphic to  $U_m$ . Therefore we obtain (7.3.5) by Lemma 7.2.3 and (7.3.2). We have (7.3.6) by (7.3.5) easily. Q.E.D.

# 8. Symmetric R-spaces

8.1. Let  $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  be an orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of compact type. Put  $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{g}+\mathfrak{m}$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}(\text{resp. m})$  is the 1-eigenspace (resp. -1-eigenspace) of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$  be the group of all automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Identifying the Lie algebra of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$  with  $\mathfrak{h}$ , let G be the connected Lie subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$  corresponding to the Lie subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then G leaves the subspace  $\mathfrak{m}$  invariant. Let  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  be an  $\text{Aut}(\mathfrak{h})$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . The Lie group G acts as an isometry group on the Euclidean space  $\mathfrak{m}$  with the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ , the restriction of the inner product  $\langle \ , \ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$  to  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Let G be the unit sphere of G with center G, the origin of G. Let G be an element of G and G the orbit of G through G. Denoting by G the stabilizer of G in G, the space G may be identified with the quotient space G, which is called an G-space associated to G, G, if G, G is a Riemannian symmetric pair, G is a Riemannian

symmetric space (Takeuchi [9] p. 112).

Let  $\mathfrak{a}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$  we define a subspace  $\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}(\text{resp. }\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda})$  of  $\mathfrak{g}(\text{resp. }\mathfrak{o})$  as follows:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; ad(H)^2 X = -\langle \lambda, H \rangle^2 X \quad \text{for any } H \in \mathfrak{a} \},$$

$$\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda} = \{X \in \mathfrak{m}; ad(H)^2 X = -\langle \lambda, H \rangle^2 X \quad \text{for any } H \in \mathfrak{a} \}.$$

Then  $\mathfrak{g}_{-\lambda} = \mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_{-\lambda} = \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}_{0} = \mathfrak{a}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{r} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}; \lambda \neq 0, \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda} \neq \{0\}\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a root system in  $\mathfrak{a}$  (Satake [7] p. 81). This root system  $\mathfrak{r}$  is called the restricted root system of  $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ . Choose a linear order in  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\Delta$  be the fundamental system of  $\mathfrak{r}$  and  $\mathfrak{r}^{+}$  the set of all positive roots in  $\mathfrak{r}$ . Then we have the following orthogonal decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(cf)$ . Helgason [4]):

$$\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_0+\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{r}^+}\mathfrak{g}_\lambda,\ \mathfrak{m}=\mathfrak{a}+\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{r}^+}\mathfrak{m}_\lambda$$
 .

By virtue of the following lemma we may assume that  $H \in S \cap \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\langle \lambda, H \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^+$ .

**Lemma 8.1.1** (cf. Helgason [4] p. 211, p. 248). For any  $H \in \mathfrak{m}$  there exists an element  $x \in G$  such that  $xH \in \mathfrak{a}$  and  $\langle \lambda, xH \rangle \geq 0$  for any  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}^+$ .

We identify the tangent space  $T_H(M)$  of M at H with a subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}$  in a canonical manner. Then we have  $T_H(M) = [\mathfrak{g}, H]$ . Put

$$\begin{cases} \mathfrak{r}_1^+ = \{\lambda \!\in\! \!\mathfrak{r}^+; \langle \lambda, H \rangle = 0\} \text{ ,} \\ \mathfrak{r}_2^+ = \{\lambda \!\in\! \!\mathfrak{r}^+; \langle \lambda, H \rangle > 0\} \text{ .} \end{cases}$$

The tangent space  $T_H(M)$  and the normal space  $N_H(M)$  in S are given by

(8.1.1) 
$$\begin{cases} T_H(M) = \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{r}_2^+} \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}, \\ N_H(M) = \mathfrak{a}_H + \sum_{\lambda \in \mathbf{r}_1^+} \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}, \end{cases}$$

where  $a_H = \{X \in \mathfrak{a}; \langle X, H \rangle = 0\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the Lie algebra of the stabilizer K of H, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we have

(8.1.2) 
$$\dot{t} = g_0 + \sum_{\lambda \in r_1^+} g_{\lambda}, \quad \mathfrak{p} = \sum_{\lambda \in r_2^+} g_{\lambda}.$$

8.2. Put  $\Delta_1 = \{\lambda \in \Delta; \lambda \in \mathfrak{r}_1^+\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{h}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{h}$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}^c$  the subspace of  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{t}$ . We denote by  $(\ ,\ )$  the symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{h}^c$ , which is the C-bilinear extension of the inner product  $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$  be the root system of  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}^c$ . Recall that an element  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{t}^c$  belongs to  $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ , if  $\alpha \neq 0$  and if there exists a non-zero vector  $X \in \mathfrak{t}^c$  such that  $[H, X] = \sqrt{-1}(\alpha, H)X$  for any  $H \in \mathfrak{t}^c$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t}$  contains the root system  $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$ . We denote by

the same letter  $\sigma$  the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{h}^c$  with respect to the real form  $\mathfrak{g}+\sqrt{-1}$  m. We choose a  $\sigma$ -order in  $\mathfrak{t}$  in the sense of Satake [7] which has the following property: Let  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  be the fundamental system with respect to this linear order in  $\mathfrak{t}$ , and let p denote the projection of  $\mathfrak{t}$  onto  $\mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\Delta = p(\widetilde{\Delta}) - \{0\}$ . We also denote by  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  the Satake diagram of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$ . Put  $\widetilde{\Delta}_1 = p^{-1}(\Delta_1)$ . It is known (Takeuchi [9] p. 102) that isomorphic pairs  $(\widetilde{\Delta}, \widetilde{\Delta}_1)$  of Satake diagrams give rise to isomorphic pairs (G, K). Here we say that the pair  $(\widetilde{\Delta}, \widetilde{\Delta}_1)$  is isomorphic to the pair  $(\widetilde{\Delta}', \widetilde{\Delta}'_1)$ , if there exists an isomorphism  $\phi$  of  $\widetilde{\Delta}$  onto  $\widetilde{\Delta}'$  with  $(\widetilde{\Delta}_1) = \widetilde{\Delta}'_1$ , and we say that the pair (G, K) is isomorphic to the pair (G', K'), if there exists an isomorphism f of G onto G' with f(K) = K'.

REMARK 8.2.1. Let  $\Delta_1$  be a subsystem of  $\Delta$ , and  $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Delta}_1)$  the pair of Satake diagram determined by  $\Delta_1$ . Then there exists a minimal R-space M such that the pair of Satake diagram corresponding to M is isomorphic to  $(\tilde{\Delta}, \tilde{\Delta}_1)$  (Nagura [5] p. 210).

8.3. We decompose  $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  into a direct sum of irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebras  $(\mathfrak{h}_i, \sigma_i)$ :

$$\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{h}_1 + \mathfrak{h}_2 + \cdots + \mathfrak{h}_r$$
,  $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 + \cdots + \sigma_r$ .

Put  $\mathfrak{g}_i = \mathfrak{g} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}_i = \mathfrak{m} \cap \mathfrak{h}_i$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_i = \mathfrak{m}_i \cap \mathfrak{a}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_i$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{m}_i$ . Let M be an R-space associated to  $(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ . Put

$$\mathfrak{r}_i = \mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{a}_i, \ (\mathfrak{r}_i)_1^+ = \mathfrak{r}_1^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_i, \ (\mathfrak{r}_i)_2^+ = \mathfrak{r}_2^+ \cap \mathfrak{a}_i.$$

Then

$$\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1 \cup \dots \cup \mathbf{r}_r$$
,  
 $\mathbf{r}_1^+ = (\mathbf{r}_1)_1^+ \cup \dots \cup (\mathbf{r}_r)_1^+$ ,  
 $\mathbf{r}_2^+ = (\mathbf{r}_1)_2^+ \cup \dots \cup (\mathbf{r}_r)_2^+$ ,

We say that the R-space M has the property (\*), if the following condition is satisfied:

(\*) 
$$(r_i)_2^+ \neq \phi, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r.$$

Since  $ad(\mathfrak{g}_i)$  acts on  $\mathfrak{m}_i$  irreducibly, the R-space M in S is full, if and only if M has the property (\*).

**Proposition 8.3.1.** Let M be a minimal symmetric R-space with the property (\*). Then the operator  $S_1$  in subsection 3.3 of [I] vanishes.

Proof. The assumptions of Theorem 1 of [I] are satisfied by the arguments of subsections 8.1 and 8.2. Since  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda},\mathfrak{a}]\subset\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}$  and  $[\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda},\mathfrak{m}_{\mu}]\subset\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda+\mu}+\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda-\mu}$  for  $\lambda$ ,  $\mu\in\mathfrak{r}^+$ , we have for  $X\in\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{r}_2^+}\mathfrak{g}_{\lambda}$ ,  $v\in\mathfrak{a}_H+\sum_{\lambda\in\mathfrak{r}_1^+}\mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}$ 

100 T. NAGURA

$$[X, v] \in \sum_{\lambda \in r_2^+} \mathfrak{m}_{\lambda}$$
.

Therefore the operator  $S_1$  vanishes by Lemma 3.3.1 of [I], (8.1.1) and (8.1.2). Q.E.D.

REMARK 8.3.1. Let  $\mathfrak{h}$  be the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{Su}(n+1)$  of the special unitary group SU(n+1),  $\sigma$  the complex conjugation of  $\mathfrak{Su}(n+1)$ ,  $i.e.(\mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  is an irreducible orthogonal symmetric Lie algebra of type A I. Then

$$G = SO(n+1)$$
,

 $\mathfrak{m} = \{\sqrt{-1} \ X; X \text{ is a real symmetric matrix and } Tr \ X = 0\}.$  The representation  $\rho \colon SO(n+1) \to GL(\mathfrak{m}), \ \rho(x)X = xXx^{-1}X \in \mathfrak{m}$ , is the spherical representation  $\rho_2$  in (1) of Remark 3.3.2 of [I]. Therefore by the above proposition we have  $S_1 = 0$  in the case (1) of Remark 3.3.2 of [I].

## 9. Representations of the special orthogonal group SO(n+1)

9.1. In this section we assume the followings. Let G=SO(n+1) and  $K=\left\{\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix} \in G; A \in SO(n) \right\}$ . Let  $\langle , \rangle$  be the Ad(G)-invariant inner product on the Lie algebra  $g=\mathfrak{So}(n+1)$  of G, which is defined by

$$\langle X,Y\rangle = -\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{Tr}(XY) \quad \text{for } X,Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

The Cartan decomposition g = t + p is given by

$$\mathbf{f} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & X \end{pmatrix}; X \in \mathfrak{So}(n) \right\} \cong \mathfrak{So}(n),$$

$$\mathfrak{p} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -{}^{t}v \\ v & 0 \end{pmatrix}; v \in \mathbf{R}^{n} \right\}.$$

Put

$$\mathbf{t} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} (0) & 0 \\ \frac{0}{\lambda_k} & 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 & \frac{0}{\lambda_1} & 0 \end{pmatrix}; \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k \in \mathbf{R}, \right\}$$

where  $h = \left[\frac{n+1}{2}\right]$  and [\*] denotes the Gauss symbol. Then t is a Cartan subalgebra of g. Put

$$\phi_i = egin{pmatrix} 0 & \cfrac{1}{0} & \cfrac{h+1-i}{0} & \cfrac{h}{0} & \cfrac{1}{0} & \cfrac{1}{$$

Then  $\{\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_h\}$  is an orthonormal basis of t. We introduce a linear order > on t such that  $0 < \phi_1 < \phi_2 < \dots < \phi_h$ .

The root system  $\mathfrak{r}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}^c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{t}$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{r} = \begin{cases} \{\pm \phi_i \pm \phi_j; i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, h \text{ with } i \neq j\}, & \text{if } n = 2h - 1, \\ \{\pm \phi_i, \pm \phi_i \pm \phi_i; i, j = 1, 2, \cdots, h \text{ with } i \neq j\}, & \text{if } n = 2h. \end{cases}$$

Put for  $i, j=1, 2, \dots, h$  with i < j

$$X_{\phi_{j}-\phi_{i}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ 0 & \hline 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} < h+1-j \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\phi_{i}-\phi_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ \hline 1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\phi_{i}+\phi_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ \hline 0 & \hline 1 & -\sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{\phi_{i}+\phi_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ \hline 0 & \hline 1 & -\sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} < h+1-j \\ \hline \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{\phi_{i}+\phi_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ \hline -1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A_{\phi_{i}+\phi_{j}} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-j & h+1-i \\ \hline -1 & \sqrt{-1} \\ -\sqrt{-1} & -1 \end{pmatrix} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$X_{-\phi_{i}-\phi_{j}} = rac{1}{2}egin{pmatrix} h+1-j & h+1-i \ 0 & \hline 1 & \sqrt{-1} \ \sqrt{-1} & -1 \ \hline -1-\sqrt{-1} \ -\sqrt{-1} & 1 \ \end{bmatrix} < h+1-j \ < h+1-i \ 0 \ \end{pmatrix}.$$

Put for  $i=1,2,\dots,h-1$  in the case of n=2h-1 (resp. for  $i=1,2,\dots,h$  in the case of n=2h)

$$X_{\phi_i} = \sqrt{rac{1}{2}} egin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-i \ \hline |\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \ \end{pmatrix} < h+1-i \ X_{-\phi_i} = \sqrt{rac{1}{2}} egin{pmatrix} (0) & h+1-i \ \hline |\sqrt{-1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} & 0 \ \end{pmatrix} < h+1-i \ \hline 1 & 0 \ \end{pmatrix} < h+1-i \ \end{pmatrix}$$

Then for  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $X_{\lambda}$  is a  $\lambda$ -root vector with  $|X_{\lambda}| = \sqrt{\langle X_{\lambda}, X_{\lambda} \rangle} = 1$ . Let  $\tau$  be the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^{c}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we have

$$\tau X_{\pm \phi_i} = X_{\mp \phi_i}.$$

Put

$$\mathfrak{h}=egin{cases} \{\phi_1,\,\phi_2,\,\cdots,\,\phi_{h-1}\}_{R} & \quad ext{if} \ n=2h-1 \ ext{,} \ & \quad ext{if} \ n=2h \ . \end{cases}$$

Then h is a Cartan subalgebra of t. Let s be the one in subsection 7.1. Then we have

$$\mathfrak{F} = \begin{cases} \{0, \phi_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1\} & \text{if } n = 2h-1, \\ \{\phi_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, h\} & \text{if } n = 2h. \end{cases}$$

Every dominant integral form  $\Lambda$  of G with respect to t is uniquely expressed as follows:

$$\Lambda = k_1 \phi_1 + k_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k_k \phi_k$$

where  $k_1, k_2, \dots, k_h$  are integers satisfying

(9.1.3) 
$$\begin{cases} |k_1| \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_h & \text{if } n = 2h-1, \\ 0 \leq k_1 \leq k_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_h & \text{if } n = 2h. \end{cases}$$

REMARK 9.1.1. Suppose that  $n \ge 2$ . Then the Riemannian symmetric pair (G,K) is of rank 1, and the dominant integral form  $\phi_h$  is the fundamental weight of the pair (G,K) (cf. Takeuchi [10] p. 118). It follows from Remark 3.2.2 of [I] that when we consider a full equivariant minimal isometric immersion of  $S^n$  into a unit sphere, it is sufficient to consider the following real representations  $\rho_k$  of  $G, k=2,3,\cdots$ : The representation  $\rho_k$  is the real spherical representation of (G,K) whose complexification has the highest weight  $k\phi_h$ .

We denote by  $\delta_G$  the half sum of all positive roots of  $g^c$ . Then we have

(9.1.4) 
$$\delta_G = \begin{cases} \phi_2 + 2\phi_3 + \dots + (h-1)\phi_h & \text{if } n = 2h-1, \\ \frac{1}{2} (\phi_1 + 3\phi_2 + \dots + (2h-1)\phi_h) & \text{if } n = 2h. \end{cases}$$

Let  $W_G$  be the Weyl group of G. For an element  $\lambda \in \mathfrak{t}$  we denote by  $\xi_{\lambda}$  the principal alternating sum associated to  $\lambda$  defined by

$$\xi_{\lambda} = \sum_{ au \in W_G} \det( au) \, e( au\lambda)$$
 ,

where  $e(\lambda)(H) = \exp \sqrt{-1}(\lambda, H)$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{t}$ . For a complex irreducible representation  $\sigma$  of G, we denote by  $\Lambda_{\sigma}(\text{resp. by } \mathcal{X}_{\sigma})$  the highest weight of  $\sigma(\text{resp. the pull back to t via exp: } \mathfrak{t} \to G$  of the character of  $\sigma$ ). Then we have by the character formula of Weyl (cf. Takeuchi [10] p. 153)

$$\xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}+\delta_{\sigma}}=\chi_{\sigma}\xi_{\delta_{\sigma}}.$$

For complex irreducible representations  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  of G, the character  $\chi_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'}$  of the tensor product  $\sigma \otimes \sigma'$  is given by

$$(9.1.6) \chi_{\sigma \otimes \sigma'} = \chi_{\sigma} \chi_{\sigma'}.$$

Let  $V_{\mu}$  and  $V_{\nu}$  be complex irreducible G-modules with the highest weights  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively. For an integral form  $\lambda$  of G we define a non-negative integer  $m(\lambda; \mu)$  to be the multiplicity of  $\lambda$  in the G-module  $V_{\mu}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be a dominant integral form of G and  $U_{\Lambda}$  a complex irreducible G-module with the highest weight  $\Lambda$ . We denote by  $m_{\Lambda}$  the number of times that  $U_{\Lambda}$  is contained in the G-module  $V_{\mu} \otimes V_{\nu}$ . Then we have

Lemma 9.1.1 (Bourbaki [3] pp. 153-154).

$$m_{\Lambda} = \sum_{\tau \in W_G} \det(\tau) m(\Lambda + \delta_G - \tau(\nu + \delta_G); \mu).$$

9.2. The following proposition gives the decomposition of an irreducible G-module into a direct sum of irreducible K-submodules.

**Proposition 9.2.1** (Boerner [2] pp. 267–269). Let  $\sigma: G \to GL(W)$  be a complex irreducible representation with the highest weight  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = k_1 \phi_1 + k_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k_h \phi_h \in \mathfrak{t}$ . Then W is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible K-submodules of W as follows:

(1) The case n=2h-1:

$$W = \sum W_{k'_1\phi_1 + k'_2\phi_2 + \dots + k'_{h-1}\phi_{h-1}}$$
,

where the summation runs over all integers  $k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_{h-1}$  such that

$$|k_1| \leq k'_1 \leq k_2 \leq k'_2 \leq \cdots \leq k_{h-1} \leq k'_{h-1} \leq k_h$$

and  $W_{k'_1\phi_1+k'_2\phi_2+\cdots+k'_{h-1}\phi_{h-1}}$  denotes the irreducible K-submodule of W with the highest weight  $k'_1\phi_1+k'_2\phi_2+\cdots+k'_{h-1}\phi_{h-1}$ .

(2) The case n=2h:

$$W = \sum W_{k',\phi_1+k',\phi_2+\cdots+k',h,\phi_k},$$

where the summation runs over all integers  $k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_h$  such that

$$|k'_1| \leq k_1 \leq k'_2 \leq k_2 < \cdots \leq k_{h-1} \leq k'_h \leq k_h.$$

The following corollary is an immediate consequence of the above proposition.

**Corollary.** Every complex irreducible representation of G has the property  $(P_1)$ .

Let  $C^{n+1}$  be the vector space of ((n+1)-tuples) of complex numbers, and  $\langle , \rangle$  the Hermitian inner product on  $C^{n+1}$  defined by  $\langle u,v\rangle = {}^{t}uv$ . Let  $\iota: G \to GL(C^{n+1})$  be the canonical representation of G. Put

$$v_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ \sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < h \quad , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (0) \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < h \quad , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} (1) \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ -\sqrt{-1} \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} < h \quad , \qquad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then we have

**Lemma 9.2.2.** (1) The case n=2h-1: The vector  $v_i(resp.\ v_{-i})$  is a  $\phi_i$ -weight vector(resp.  $-\phi_i$ -weight vector),  $i=1,2,\dots,h$ , and  $\{v_i,v_{-i};i=1,2,\dots,h\}$  is

an orthonormal basis of  $C^{n+1}$ . Therefore the character  $X_{\iota}$  of  $\iota$  is given by

(9.2.1) 
$$\chi_{\iota} = \sum_{i=1}^{h} (e(\phi_{i}) + e(-\phi_{i})).$$

(2) The case n=2h: The vector  $v_i(resp.\ v_{-i}\ and\ v_0)$  is a  $\phi_i$ -weight vector  $(resp.\ -\phi_i$ -weight vector and 0-weight vector),  $i=1,2,\cdots,h$ , and  $\{v_0,v_i,v_{-i};\ i=1,2,\cdots,h\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Therefore we have

(9.2.2) 
$$\chi_{\iota} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{h} (e(\phi_{i}) + e(-\phi_{i})).$$

We have the following lemma by straightforward calculation.

**Lemma 9.2.3.** (a) The both cases n=2h-1 and n=2h:

$$\begin{cases} X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_i , \\ X_{\phi_j-\phi_i}v_k = \delta_{ik}v_j , \\ X_{\phi_i+\phi_j}v_k = 0 , \\ X_{-\phi_i-\phi_j}v_k = \delta_{jk}v_{-i} - \delta_{ik}v_{-j} , \end{cases} \begin{cases} X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_{-k} = -\delta_{ik}v_{-j} , \\ X_{\phi_j-\phi_i}v_{-k} = -\delta_{jk}v_{-i} , \\ X_{\phi_j-\phi_i}v_{-k} = \delta_{jk}v_{-i} - \delta_{ik}v_j , \\ X_{-\phi_i-\phi_j}v_{-k} = 0 , \end{cases}$$
 for  $i,j,k=1,2,\cdots,h$  with  $i < j$ .

(b) The case n=2h:

$$egin{aligned} \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i}v_k &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i}v_k &= -\delta_{ik}v_0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{-\phi_i}v_{-k} &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{-\phi_i}v_{-k} &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{-\phi_i}v_{-k} &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i}v_0 &= v_i \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{-\phi_i}v_0 &= v_{-i} \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_0 &= X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_0 &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_0 &= X_{\phi_i-\phi_j}v_0 &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i-\phi_i}v_0 &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{aligned} X_{\phi_i-\phi_i}v_0 &= 0 \ , & \left\{ egin{ali$$

**Lemma 9.2.4.** Let  $\sigma: G \to GL(W)$  be a complex irreducible representation with the highest weight  $\Lambda_{\sigma} = k_1 \phi_1 + k_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k_h \phi_h$ . Then the G-module  $C^{n+1} \otimes W$  is decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible G-submodules as follows:

(1) The case n=2h-1:

$$C^{n+1} \otimes W = \sum W_{k'_1 \phi_1 + k'_2 \phi_2 + \dots + k'_h \phi_h}$$

where  $W_{k'_1\phi_1+k'_2\phi_2+\cdots+k'_h\phi_h}$  is the irreducible G-submodule of  $C^{n+1}\otimes W$  with the highest weight  $k'_1\phi_1+k'_2\phi_2+\cdots+k'_h\phi_h$  and the summation runs over all integers  $k'_1$ ,  $k'_2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $k'_h$  satisfying (9.1.3) and the following additional condition (\*): There exists i,  $1\leq i\leq h$ , such that

$$\begin{cases} k'_i = k_i + 1 \text{ or } k_i - 1, \\ k'_j = k_j & \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, h \text{ with } j \neq i. \end{cases}$$

(2) The case n=2h:

$$m{C}^{n+1} igotimes W = egin{cases} \sum W_{k'_1 \phi_1 + k'_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k'_h \phi_h} \ W_{k_1 \phi_1 + k_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k_h \phi_h} + \sum W_{k'_1 \phi_1 + k_2 \phi_2 + \cdots + k'_h \phi_h} \ if \ k_1 > 0 \ , \end{cases}$$

where the summation runs over all integers  $k'_1, k'_2, \dots, k'_h$  satisfying the same condition as above (1).

Proof. It follows from (9.1.5) and (9.1.6) that

$$\chi_{\iota \otimes \sigma} \xi_{\delta_{\sigma}} = \chi_{\iota} \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma} + \delta_{\sigma}}$$
.

We denote by  $\mathcal{Z}_h$  the symmetric group of degree h. Let  $\lambda = m_1\phi_1 + m_2\phi_2 + \cdots + m_h\phi_h \in \mathfrak{t}$  be an integral form of G.

(1) The principal alternating sum  $\xi_{\lambda}$  associated to  $\lambda$  is given by

$$\begin{split} \xi_{\lambda} &= \sum_{0 \leq 2\bar{s} \leq h} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{2s} \leq h} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{s}_{h}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) e(m_{\tau(1)} \phi_{1} + \dots \\ &- m_{\tau(i_{1})} \phi_{i_{1}} + \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})} \phi_{i_{2s}} + \dots + m_{\tau(h)} \phi_{h}) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq 2\bar{s} \leq h} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{2s} \leq h} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{s}_{h}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) e(m_{\tau(j_{1})} \phi_{j_{1}} + \dots \\ &+ m_{\tau(j_{h-2s})} \phi_{j_{h-2s}} - m_{\tau(i_{1})} \phi_{i_{1}} - \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})} \phi_{i_{2s}}), \end{split}$$

where  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{2s}, j_1, j_2, \dots, j_{h-2s}\} = \{1, 2, \dots, h\}$  and  $1 \le j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_{h-2s} \le h$ . Therefore we have by (9.2.1)

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\iota}\xi_{\lambda} &= \sum_{0 \leq 2s \leq h} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{2s} \leq h} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{B}_{h}} \operatorname{sgn}(\tau) \times \\ &\{ \sum_{q=1}^{h-2s} e(m_{\tau(j_{1})}\phi_{j_{1}} + \dots + (m_{\tau(j_{q})} + 1)\phi_{j_{q}} + \dots + m_{\tau(j_{h-2s})}\phi_{j_{h-2s}} \\ &\qquad \qquad - m_{\tau(i_{1})}\phi_{i_{1}} - \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})}\phi_{i_{2s}} ) \\ &\qquad \qquad + \sum_{r=1}^{2s} e(m_{\tau(j_{1})}\phi_{j_{1}} + \dots + m_{\tau(j_{h-2s})}\phi_{j_{h-2s}} \\ &\qquad \qquad - m_{\tau(i_{1})}\phi_{i_{1}} - \dots - (m_{\tau(i_{r})} + 1)\phi_{i_{r}} - \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})}\phi_{i_{2s}} ) \\ &\qquad \qquad + \sum_{q=1}^{h-2s} e(m_{\tau(j_{1})}\phi_{j_{1}} + \dots + (m_{\tau(j_{q})} - 1)\phi_{j_{q}} + \dots + m_{\tau(j_{h-2s})}\phi_{j_{h-2s}} \\ &\qquad \qquad - m_{\tau(i_{1})}\phi_{i_{1}} - \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})}\phi_{i_{2s}} ) \\ &\qquad \qquad + \sum_{r=1}^{2s} e(m_{\tau(j_{1})}\phi_{j_{1}} + \dots + m_{\tau(j_{h-2s})}\phi_{j_{h-2s}} \\ &\qquad \qquad - m_{\tau(i_{1})}\phi_{i_{1}} - \dots - (m_{\tau(i_{r})} - 1)\phi_{i_{r}} - \dots - m_{\tau(i_{2s})}\phi_{i_{2s}} ) \} \; . \end{split}$$

Put

$$\begin{cases} m^{(\pm j)}{}_i = \begin{cases} m_i & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ m_j \pm 1 & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases} \\ \lambda^{(\pm j)} = \sum_{j=1}^h m^{(\pm j)}{}_i \phi_i. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{split} \chi_{\iota} \xi_{\lambda} &= \sum_{0 \leq 2s \leq h} \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{2s} \leq h} \sum_{j=1}^{h} \sum_{\tau \in \mathfrak{s}_{h}} \mathrm{sgn}(\tau) \times \\ & \{ e(m^{(+j)}_{\tau(1)} \phi_{1} + \dots - m^{(+j)}_{\tau(i_{1})} \phi_{i_{1}} + \dots - m^{(+j)}_{\tau(i_{2s})} \phi_{i_{2s}} + \dots \\ & \qquad \qquad + m^{(+j)}_{\tau(h)} \phi_{h} ) \\ & + e(m^{(-j)}_{\tau(1)} \phi_{1} + \dots - m^{(-j)}_{\tau(i_{1})} \phi_{i_{1}} + \dots - m^{(-j)}_{\tau(i_{2s})} \phi_{i_{2s}} + \dots \\ & \qquad \qquad \qquad + m^{(-j)}_{\tau(h)} \phi_{h} ) \} \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{h} \left( \xi_{\lambda}^{(+j)} + \xi_{\lambda}^{(-j)} \right). \end{split}$$

Therefore we have

$$\chi_{\iota \otimes \sigma} \xi_{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} = \sum_{j=1}^{\hbar} (\xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}} (+j)_{+\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} + \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}} (-j)_{+\delta_{\mathcal{G}}}).$$

The integral forms  $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(\pm j)} + \delta_{G}(j=1,2,\dots,h)$  of G are all dominant. Since a dominant integral form  $m_{1}\phi_{1} + m_{2}\phi_{2} + \dots + m_{h}\phi_{h} + \delta_{G}$  of G is regular if and only if the integers  $m_{1}, m_{2}, \dots, m_{h}$  satisfy (9.1.3), we obtain the assertion.

(2) We have in the similar way to the proof of (1)

$$\chi_{\iota \otimes \sigma} \xi_{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} = \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma} + \delta_{\mathcal{G}}} + \sum_{j=1}^{h} (\xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}} (+j)_{+\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} + \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}} (-j)_{+\delta_{\mathcal{G}}}).$$

Suppose that  $k_1=0$ . Then the integral forms  $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(\pm i)}+\delta_G(j=1,2,\cdots,h)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  except for  $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(-1)}+\delta_G$  are dominant. Let  $\{1\}$  be the element of  $W_G$  such that  $\{1\}(\phi_1)=-\phi_1$  and  $\{1\}(\phi_i)=\phi_i,\ i=2,3,\cdots,h$ . Then we have

$$\{1\} (\Lambda_{\sigma} + \delta_{G}) = \Lambda_{\sigma}^{(-1)} + \delta_{G}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\chi_{\iota \otimes \sigma} \xi_{\delta_{\mathcal{G}}} = \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(+1)} + \delta_{\mathcal{G}}} + \sum_{i=2}^{h} (\xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(+j)} + \delta_{\mathcal{G}}} + \xi_{\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(-j)} + \delta_{\mathcal{G}}}).$$

Considering the regularity of the integral forms, we obtain the assertion. Suppose that  $k_1>0$ . Then the integral forms  $\Lambda_{\sigma}^{(\pm j)}+\delta_{\sigma}(j=1,2,\cdots,h)$  of  $\mathfrak g$  are dominant. Considering the regularity of the integral forms, we obtain the assertion. Q.E.D.

**Corollary.** The pair (G,K) has the property  $(P_2)$ .

Proof. Since there exists a canonical unitary K-isomorphism of  $\mathfrak{p}^{\mathbf{c}}$  onto  $\mathbf{c}^n$  which sends  $X_{\pm\phi_i}$  to  $v_{\pm i}$ ,  $i=1,2,\cdots,h$ , we have the corollary by the above lemma. Q.E.D.

9.3. In this subsection we assume that  $n \ge 2$ . Then the canonical representation  $\iota$  of G is irreducible. Let  $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  be the space of symmetric k-tensors over  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . The space  $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  has the Hermitian inner product, also

denoted by  $\langle , \rangle$ , induced from the Hermitian inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $C^{n+1}$ . Suppose that n=2h-1. Let  $(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_k)$  be a k-tuple of integers such that  $1 \leq |i_s| \leq h, s=1,2,\cdots,k$ . If i(resp.-i) is contained  $a_i$ -times(resp.  $b_i$ -times) in  $(i_1,i_2,\cdots,i_k), i=1,2,\cdots,h$ , we denote it by

$$\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\} = \{1^{a_1}, (-1)^{b_1}, 2^{a_2}, (-2)^{b_2}, \dots, h^{a_k}, (-h)^{b_k}\}$$

We define a vector  $v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdot v_2^{a_2} \cdot v_{-2}^{b_2} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h}$  in  $S^k(C^{n+1})$  by

$$v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h} = \sqrt{\frac{a_1! b_1! \cdots a_h! b_h!}{k!}} \sum v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_h},$$

where the summation runs over k-tuples  $(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)$  such that  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$  =  $\{1^{a_1}, (-1)^{b_1}, 2^{a_2}, (-2)^{b_2}, \dots, h^{a_k}, (-h)^{b_k}\}$ . Then

$$A_k = \{v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h}; a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h + b_1 + b_2 + \cdots + b_h = k\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of  $S^k(C^{n+1})$ . Suppose that n=2h. We define a vector  $v_0^c \cdot v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h}$  in  $S^k(C^{n+1})$  in the same way as above. Then

$$A_k = \{v_0^c \cdot v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h}; a_1 + \cdots + a_h + b_1 + \cdots + b_h + c = k\}$$

is an orthonormal basis of  $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$ .

Let  $\otimes^k C^{n+1}$  be the space of k-tensors over  $C^{n+1}$  and  $\otimes^k \iota \colon G \to GL(\otimes^k C^{n+1})$  the k-th tensor product of  $\iota$ . Then the space  $S^k(C^{n+1})$  is a G-submodule of  $\otimes^k C^{n+1}$ . We denote by  $\sigma_k$  this representation  $\otimes^k \iota \colon G \to GL(S^k(C^{n+1}))$ . Then we have

**Theorem 9.3.1** (cf. Takeuchi [10] p. 255). Suppose that  $k \ge 2$ . Let  $\sigma: G \to GL(W)$  be a complex irreducible representation with the highest weight  $k\phi_h$ . Then the G-module  $S^k(C^{n+1})$  is G-isomorphic to the direct sum  $W+S^{k-2}(C^{n+1})$ .

We also denote by  $\rho_k$  the complexification of the k-th real spherical representation  $\rho_k$ . We have

#### **Proposition 9.3.2.** Suppose that $k \ge 2$ .

(1) The case n=2h-1: The set of weights of the representation  $\rho_k$  is

$$\{m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h; m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_h \text{ are integers such that } k-\sum_{i=1}^h |m_i| \text{ is a non-negative even integer} \}$$
.

The multiplicity  $m(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots m_h\phi_h;k\phi_h)$  is given by

$$m(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h;k\phi_h)={}_hH_q-{}_hH_{q-1}$$
,

where  $2q=k-\sum_{i=1}^{h}|m_i|$ ,  $_{h}H_{-1}=0$  and  $_{h}H_{i}$ ,  $i\geq 0$ , denotes the number of ways of choos-

ing i elements, allowing repetition, from a set of h elements.

(2) The case n=2h: The set of weights of  $\rho_k$  is

$$\{m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h; m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_h \text{ are integers such that}\}$$
  
 $k-\sum_{i=1}^h |m_i| \text{ is non-negative}\}.$ 

The multiplicity  $m(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h;k\phi_h)$  is given by

$$m(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h;k\phi_h)={}_hH_{[q'/2]},$$

where  $q'=k-\sum_{i=1}^{h} |m_i|$  and [\*] is the Gauss symbol.

Proof. (1) The vector  $v_1^{a_1} \cdot v_{-1}^{b_1} \cdot v_2^{a_2} \cdot v_{-2}^{b_2} \cdots v_h^{a_h} \cdot v_{-h}^{b_h}$  of  $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  is a weight vector belonging to the weight  $(a_1 - b_1)\phi_1 + (a_2 - b_2)\phi_2 + \cdots + (a_h - b_h)\phi_h$  of the representation  $\sigma_k$ . Therefore the set of weights of  $\sigma_k$  is

$$\{m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h; m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_h \text{ are integers such that}\}$$

$$k-\sum_{h=1}^h |m_i| \text{ is a non-negative even integer}\}.$$

We denote by  $m_k(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h)$  the multiplicity of an integral form  $m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h$  in the G-module  $S^k(C^{n+1})$ . Let  $m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h$  be a weight of  $\sigma_k$ . Put  $2q=k-\sum_{i=1}^h |m_i|$  and

$$p_i = \begin{cases} m_i & \text{if } m_i > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } m_i \leq 0, \end{cases}$$
 $p_{-i} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } m_i > 0, \\ -m_i & \text{if } m_i \leq 0, \end{cases}$ 

Then the weight vectors in  $A_k$  belonging to the weight  $m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_k\phi_k$  are

$$\begin{cases} v_1^{(\rho_1+a_1)} \cdot v_{-1}^{(\rho_{-1}+a_1)} \cdots v_h^{(\rho_h+a_h)} \cdot v_{-h}^{(\rho_{-h}+a_h)}; \\ a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_h \text{ are non-negative integers such that} \\ a_1+a_2+\cdots+a_h=q \end{cases} .$$

Therefore we have

$$m_k(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h)={}_hH_q$$
,

and hence

$$m_{k-2}(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h) = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } q=0 \ , \ H_{q-1} & ext{if } q\geqq 1 \ . \end{cases}$$

We have the assetion by the above equalities and Theorem 9.3.1.

(2) We have the followings in the similar way to the proof of (1). The set of weights of  $\sigma_k$  is

$$\{m_1\phi_2+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h; m_1, m_2, \cdots, m_h \text{ are integers such that}\}$$
  
 $k-\sum_{i=1}^h |m_i| \text{ is non-negative }\}$ 

and the weight vectors in  $A_k$  belonging to the weight  $m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_k\phi_k$  are

$$\left\{ v_0^c \cdot v_1^{(p_1 + a_1)} \cdot v_{-1}^{(p_{-1} + a_1)} \cdots v_h^{(p_h + a_h)} \cdot v_{-h}^{(p_{-h} + a_h)}; \atop a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_h, c \text{ are non-negative integers such that} \atop 2a_1 + 2a_2 + \cdots + 2a_h + c = q' \right\},$$

where  $q' = k - \sum_{i=1}^{h} |m_i|$ . Therefore

$$c=q'$$
,  $q'$ -2, ...,  $q'$ -2 $\left[\frac{q'}{2}\right]$ .

Since the number of the weight vectors in  $A_k$  belonging to the weight  $m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_k\phi_k$  with c=q'-2i is equal to  $_kH_i$ , the multiplicity  $m_k(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_k\phi_k)$  is given

$$m_k(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h)=\sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor q'/2\rfloor} H_i$$
.

Hence

$$m_{k-2}(m_1\phi_1+m_2\phi_2+\cdots+m_h\phi_h) = egin{cases} 0 & ext{if } q'=0,1\,, \ \sum_{i=1}^{\lceil q'/2 
ceil-1} {}_h H_i & ext{if } q'\geqq 2\,. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have the assertion in the same way as in (1). Q.E.D.

Let  $V_k$  be the irreducible G-submodule of  $S^k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$  with the highest weight  $k\phi_k$ , and  $V_k(\lambda)$  the  $\lambda$ -weight space of  $V_k$ , i. e.  $V_k(\lambda) = \{\lambda$ -weight vectors of  $V_k\}$   $\cup \{0\}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 9.3.3.** Suppose that  $k \ge 2$ .

- (1) The case n=2h-1:
- (a)  $V_k(\pm \phi_i + (k-1)\phi_h) = \{v_{\pm i} \cdot v_h^{k-1}\}_C$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ .

(b) 
$$V_k((k-2)\phi_k) = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} \, v_i \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_k^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} \, v_k^{k-1} \cdot v_{-k}; \right\}_C$$
  
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ 

- (2) The case n=2h:
- (a)  $V_k(\pm \phi_i + (k-1)\phi_k) = \{v_{\pm i} \cdot v_k^{k-1}\}_C$   $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ .
- (b)  $V_k((k-1)\phi_k) = \{v_0 \cdot v_k^{k-1}\}_C$ .

(c) 
$$V_{k}((k-2)\phi_{k}) = \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_{0}^{2} \cdot v_{k}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_{k}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-k}, \right\}$$

$$\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{k}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{k}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-k};$$

$$i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1 \}_{C}.$$

Proof. (1) We have by the above proposition

$$m(\pm \phi_i + (k-1)\phi_h; k\phi_h) = 1$$
,  
 $m((k-2)\phi_h; k\phi_h) = h-1$ .

Applying Lemma 9,2.3, we have

$$\begin{cases} d\sigma_{\textit{k}}(X_{\pm\phi_{\textit{i}}-\phi_{\textit{k}}})\left(v_{\textit{k}}^{\;\textit{k}}\right) = \sqrt{\;\textit{k}\;}\; v_{\pm\textit{i}}\!\cdot\!v_{\textit{k}}^{\;\textit{k}-1}\;,\\ d\sigma_{\textit{k}}(X_{\phi_{\textit{i}}-\phi_{\textit{k}}})\left(v_{-\textit{i}}\!\cdot\!v_{\textit{k}}^{\;\textit{k}-1}\right) = \sqrt{\;\textit{k}-1}\;v_{\textit{i}}\!\cdot\!v_{-\textit{i}}\!\cdot\!v_{\textit{k}}^{\;\textit{k}-2} - v_{\textit{k}}^{\;\textit{k}-1}\!\cdot\!v_{-\textit{k}}\;. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have the assertion.

(2) We have the followings in the similar way to above:

$$\begin{cases} m(\pm\phi_{i}+(k-1)\phi_{h}; k\phi_{h}) = m((k-1)\phi_{h}; k\phi_{h}) = 1, \\ m((k-2)\phi_{h}; k\phi_{h}) = h, \\ d\sigma_{k}(X_{-\phi_{h}})(v_{h}^{k}) = -\sqrt{k} v_{0} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}, \\ d\sigma_{k}(X_{-\phi_{h}})(v_{0} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) = v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} - \sqrt{2(k-1)} v_{0}^{2} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2}. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have the assertion.

Q.E.D.

9.4. In this subsection we shall compute the components of the vector  $v_{-k} \otimes v_k^{\ k}$  of  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \otimes V_k$  with respect to the decomposition of the G-module  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \otimes V_k$ . This is important for the later computation. The G-module  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \otimes V_k$  has the Hermitian inner product, also denoted by  $\langle , \rangle$ , induced from the Hermitian inner products on  $\mathbf{C}^{n+1}$  and  $S^k(\mathbf{C}^{n+1})$ . We denote by  $\psi_k$  the tensor product  $\iota \otimes \sigma_k \colon G \to GL(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \otimes V_k)$ . We have by Lemma 9.2.4

$$(9.4.1) \quad \boldsymbol{C}^{n+1} \otimes \boldsymbol{V}_k = \begin{cases} W_{(k+1)\phi_1} + W_{(k-1)\phi_1} & \text{if } n = 1 \text{,} \\ W_{(k+1)\phi_1} + W_{k\phi_1} + W_{(k-1)\phi_1} & \text{if } n = 2 \text{ and } k > 0 \text{,} \\ W_{(k+1)\phi_2} + W_{\phi_1 + k\phi_2} + W_{-\phi_1 + k\phi_2} + W_{(k-1)\phi_2} & \text{if } n = 3 \text{ and } k > 0 \text{,} \\ W_{(k+1)\phi_h} + W_{\phi_{h-1} + k\phi_h} + W_{(k-1)\phi_h} & \text{if } n \geq 4 \text{ and } k > 0 \text{.} \end{cases}$$

Since the Hermitian inner product  $\langle , \rangle$  on  $C^{n+1} \otimes V_k$  is G-invariant, any pair of the irreducible components of  $C^{n+1} \otimes V_k$  is orthogonal. For a G-module U and an integral form  $\lambda$  of G, we denote by  $U(\lambda)$  the  $\lambda$ -weight space of U.

Suppose that  $n \ge 4$ . We define some vectors of  $C^{n+1} \otimes V_k$  as follows:

(a) The case n=2h-1 or n=2h: For  $i=1,2,\dots,h-1$ 

$$\alpha_{i} = \begin{cases} v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} - v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{k} (v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) \\ + k v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \\ & \text{if } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{i} = \begin{cases} v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} - v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} + v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ k v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} - v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - \sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \\ & \text{if } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{i} = \begin{cases} -v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} + v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ -v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + k v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - \sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \end{cases}$$

(b) The case n=2h-1:

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{h} (v_i \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_i) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{k} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (v_i \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_i \cdot v_h^{k-1}) \\ -\frac{k}{k+h-2} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} v_k \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_i \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{-h} \cdot v_h^{k-1} \right) \\ +kv_{-h} \otimes v_h^k & \text{if } k \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

(c) The case n=2h:

$$\beta_0 = \begin{cases} 2v_0 \otimes v_0 - v_h \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_h & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ 2\sqrt{k}v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_h^{k-1} & +\sqrt{k(2k-1)}v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}}v_0^2 \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}}v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right) \\ -v_{-h} \otimes v_h^{k} & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{.} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_0 = \begin{cases} -v_{h-1} \otimes v_{-(h-1)} + v_{-(h-1)} \otimes v_{h-1} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ (k-1)v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_h^{k-1} - kv_{h-1} \otimes v_{-(h-1)} \cdot v_h^{k-1} + v_{-(h-1)} \otimes v_{h-1} \cdot v_h^{k-1} \\ -\sqrt{2k-1}v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}}v_0^2 \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}}v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right) \\ +\sqrt{k}v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}}v_{h-1} \cdot v_{-(h-1)} \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}}v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right) \\ & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{,} \end{cases}$$

$$\delta = \begin{cases} v_0 \otimes v_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{h} (v_i \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_i) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{k} v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_h^{k-1} + \sqrt{k} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (v_i \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_i \cdot v_h^{k-1}) \\ - \frac{\sqrt{k(2k-1)}}{2k+2h-3} v_h \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_0^2 \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ - \frac{2k}{2k+2h-3} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} v_h \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_i \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ + k v_{-h} \otimes v_h^k & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Then we have

Lemma 9.4.1. Snppose that  $n \ge 4$ .

(1) The case  $n=2h-1(h \ge 3)$ :

$$\begin{cases} W_{(k+1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots, \alpha_{h-1}\}_C, \\ W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_{h-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_{h-1}\}_C, \\ W_{(k-1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\delta\}_C. \end{cases}$$

(2) The case  $n=2h(h\geq 2)$ ;

$$\begin{cases} W_{(k+1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_{h-1}\}_C, \\ W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \cdots, \beta_{h-1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2, \cdots, \gamma_{h-1}\}_C, \\ W_{(k-1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \{\delta\}_C. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) It follows from Lemma 9.3.3 that the space  $(C^{n+1} \otimes V_k)$   $((k-1)\phi_k)$  is spanned by

$$\begin{cases} \{v_i \otimes v_{-i}, v_{-i} \otimes v_i; i = 1, 2, \cdots, h\} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \{v_i \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-1}, v_{-i} \otimes v_i \cdot v_h^{k-1}, \\ v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_i \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right), v_{-h} \otimes v_h^k; \\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, h-1 \end{cases}$$

Therefore we have by Lemma 9.3.3 and (9.4.1)

(9.4.2) 
$$\dim W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } k=1, \\ 2h-2 & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Applying Lemma 9.2.3, we have

$$d\psi_k(X_{\phi_i-\phi_h})d\psi_k(X_{-\phi_i-\phi_h})(v_h\otimes v_h^k)=\alpha_i \qquad i=1,2,\cdots,h-1.$$

Therefore by the proof of Lemma 9.3.3 we obtain the first assertion. Since

 $\dim(\mathbf{C}^{n+1} \otimes V_k) (\phi_{h-1} + k\phi_h) = 2$  and

$$d\psi_{k}(X_{\phi_{k-1}-\phi_{k}})(v_{k}\otimes v_{k}^{k})=v_{k-1}\otimes v_{k}^{k}+\sqrt{k}v_{k}\otimes v_{k-1}^{*}v_{k}^{k-1}$$
 ,

the vector  $\omega = \sqrt{k} v_{h-1} \otimes v_h^k - v_h \otimes v_{h-1} \cdot v_h^{k-1}$  is a highest weight vector of  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}$ . Applying Lemma 9.2.3, we have

$$\begin{cases} d\psi_k(X_{-\phi_i-\phi_h})d\psi_k(X_{\phi_i-\phi_{h-1}})\left(\omega\right) = \beta_i & i = 1, 2, \cdots, h-2, \\ d\psi_k(X_{\phi_i-\phi_h})d\psi_k(X_{-\phi_i-\phi_{h-1}})\left(\omega\right) = \gamma_i & i = 1, 2, \cdots, h-2, \\ d\psi_k(X_{-\phi_{h-1}-\phi_h})\left(\omega\right) = \beta_{h-1}, \\ d\psi_k(X_{\phi_{h-1}-\phi_h})d\psi_k(X_{-\phi_i-\phi_{h-1}})d\psi_k(X_{\phi_i-\phi_{h-1}})\left(\omega\right) = -\gamma_{h-1}. \end{cases}$$

If k=1 (resp.  $k\geq 2$ ),  $\{\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_{h-1},\gamma_1\}$  (resp.  $\{\beta_1,\beta_2,\dots,\beta_{h-1},\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\dots,\gamma_{h-1}\}$ ) is linear independent. Therefore by (9.4.2) we obtain the second assertion. Since the vector  $\delta$  is orthogonal to  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\dots,h-1$ , we have the last assertion.

(2) We have the followings in the same way as above: The space  $(C^{n+1} \otimes V_k)$   $((k-1)\phi_k)$  is spanned by

$$\begin{cases} \{v_0 \otimes v_0, v_i \otimes v_{-i}, v_{-i} \otimes v_i; i = 1, 2, \cdots, h\} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_h^{k-1}, v_i \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-1}, v_{-i} \otimes v_i \cdot v_h^{k-1}, \\ v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_0^2 \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right), \\ v_h \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_i \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_h^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_h^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h}\right), v_{-h} \otimes v_h^k; \\ i = 1, 2, \cdots, h-1 \\ \text{if } k \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\dim W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) = \begin{cases} h & \text{if } k=1, \\ 2h-1 & \text{if } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

and the vector  $\omega = \sqrt{k} v_{h-1} \otimes v_h^k - v_h \otimes v_{h-1} \cdot v_h^{k-1}$  is a highest weight vector of  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}$ . Applying Lemma 9.2.3, we have

$$egin{cases} d\psi_{\it k}(X_{-\phi_{\it k}})^2(v_{\it k}\!\otimes\! v_{\it k}^{\it k}) = lpha_{\it 0}\ , \ d\psi_{\it k}(X_{-\phi_{\it k}-1})d\psi_{\it k}(X_{-\phi_{\it k}})\left(\omega
ight) = eta_{\it 0}\ . \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain the first and the second assertions. Since the vector  $\delta$  is orthogonal to  $\alpha_0$ ,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\alpha_i$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\gamma_i$ ,  $i=1,2,\cdots,h-1$ , we have the last assertion. Q.E.D.

Suppose that n=2. Put

$$\alpha_{(2)} = \begin{cases} 2v_0 \otimes v_0 - v_1 \otimes v_{-1} - v_{-1} \otimes v_1 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ 2\sqrt{k} v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_1^{k-1} & +\sqrt{k(2k-1)} v_1 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_0^2 \cdot v_1^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_1^{k-1} \cdot v_{-1}\right) \\ -v_{-1} \otimes v_1^k & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{,} \\ -v_{-1} \otimes v_1^k & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta_{(2)} = \begin{cases} v_1 \otimes v_{-1} - v_{-1} \otimes v_1 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ (k-1)v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_1^{k-1} & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ -\sqrt{2k-1} v_1 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_0^2 \cdot v_1^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_1^{k-1} \cdot v_{-1}\right) \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{-1} \otimes v_1^k & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{(2)} = \begin{cases} v_0 \otimes v_0 + v_1 \otimes v_{-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 & \text{if } k = 1 \\ (2k-1)v_0 \otimes v_0 \cdot v_1^{k-1} & \text{if } k = 1 \end{cases}$$

$$-\sqrt{2k-1} v_1 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_0^2 \cdot v_1^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_1^{k-1} \cdot v_{-1}\right) \\ +(2k-1)\sqrt{k} v_{-1} \otimes v_1^k & \text{if } k \geq 2 \text{.} \end{cases}$$
We have the following lamps in the similar way to Lemma 0.4.1.

We have the following lemma in the similar way to Lemma 9.4.1.

Lemma 9.4.2. If 
$$n=2$$
, we have

$$\begin{cases} W_{(k+1)\phi_1}((k-1)\phi_1) = \{lpha_{(2)}\}_{m{C}}, & W_{k\phi_1}((k-1)\phi_1) = \{eta_{(2)}\}_{m{C}} \ W_{(k-1)\phi_1}((k-1)\phi_1) = \{\gamma_{(2)}\}_{m{C}}. \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle W_{(k-1)\phi_1}((k-1)\phi_1) = \{\gamma_{(2)}\}_C. \\
&\text{Suppose that } n=3. \text{ Put} \\
&\alpha_{(3)} = \begin{cases}
v_1 \otimes v_{-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 - v_2 \otimes v_{-2} - v_{-2} \otimes v_2 & \text{if } k=1, \\
\sqrt{k}(v_1 \otimes v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 \cdot v_2^{k-1}) & \\
+kv_2 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_1 \cdot v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_2^{k-1} \cdot v_{-2}\right) - v_{-2} \otimes v_2^k & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\
& if \quad k \geq 2, \\
& kv_1 \otimes v_{-1} - v_{-1} \otimes v_1 + v_2 \otimes v_{-2} - v_{-2} \otimes v_2 & \text{if } k = 1, \\
& kv_1 \otimes v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-1} - v_{-1} \otimes v_1 \cdot v_2^{k-1} & \\
& -\sqrt{k} v_2 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_1 \cdot v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_2^{k-1} \cdot v_{-2}\right) \\
& -\sqrt{k} v_{-2} \otimes v_2^k & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\
& \gamma_{(3)} = \begin{cases}
-v_1 \otimes v_{-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 + v_2 \otimes v_{-2} - v_{-2} \otimes v_2 & \text{if } k = 1, \\
-v_1 \otimes v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-1} + kv_{-1} \otimes v_1 \cdot v_2^{k-1} & \\
& -\sqrt{k} v_2 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_1 \cdot v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_2^{k-2} \cdot v_{-2}\right) \\
& -\sqrt{k} v_{-2} \otimes v_2^k & \text{if } k \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\delta_{(3)} = \begin{cases} v_1 \otimes v_{-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 + v_2 \otimes v_{-2} + v_{-2} \otimes v_2 & \text{if } k = 1 \text{,} \\ \sqrt{k} (v_1 \otimes v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-1} + v_{-1} \otimes v_1 \cdot v_2^{k-1}) & \\ -v_2 \otimes \left(\sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_1 \cdot v_{-1} \cdot v_2^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_2^{k-1} \cdot v_{-2}\right) & \\ +k v_{-2} \otimes v_2^k & \text{if } k \ge 2 \text{.} \end{cases}$$

We have the following lemma in the similar way to Lemma 9.4.1.

Lemma 9.4.3. If n=3, we have

$$\begin{cases} W_{(k+1)\phi_2}((k-1)\phi_2) = \{\alpha_{(3)}\}_C, & W_{\phi_1+k\phi_2}((k-1)\phi_2) = \{\beta_{(3)}\}_C, \\ W_{-\phi_1+k\phi_2}((k-1)\phi_2) = \{\gamma_{(3)}\}_C, & W_{(k-1)\phi_2}((k-1)\phi_2) = \{\delta_{(3)}\}_C. \end{cases}$$

Suppose that  $n \ge 4$ . Define as follows:

(a) The case n=2h-1 or n=2h: For  $i=1,2,\dots,h-1$ 

$$\alpha'_{i} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2i(i+1)}} \left\{ -\sum_{p=1}^{i-1} (v_{p} \otimes v_{-p} + v_{-p} \otimes v_{p}) + i(v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i}) \\ -v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} \right\} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{(k+1)(k+i)(k+i-1)}} \left\{ -\sum_{p=1}^{i-1} (v_{p} \otimes v_{-p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-p} \otimes v_{p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) \\ +(k+i-1)(v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) \\ -\sqrt{k} \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{p} \cdot v_{-p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ +(k+i-1)\sqrt{k} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \end{cases} & \text{if } k \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left( v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-k} \otimes v_{h} \right) \right\} \qquad \text{if } k = 1,$$

$$\beta'_{i} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left( v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} \right) & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(k-1)(k+1)(k+2i-1)(k+2i-3)}} \times \\ \left\{ -2(k-1) \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} \left( v_{p} \otimes v_{-p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-p} \otimes v_{p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} \right) \\ +(k-1)(k+2i-3) \left( v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} \right) \\ +4\sqrt{k} \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{p} \cdot v_{-p} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ -2(k+2i-3)\sqrt{k} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ -2(k-1)\sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \end{cases} \qquad \text{if } k \geq 2,$$

$$\gamma'_{i} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left( v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} - v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v^{k-1} \right) \qquad \text{if } k \ge 1.$$

(b) The case n=2h-1:

$$\delta' = \sqrt{\frac{k+h-2}{k(k+h-1)(k+2h-3)}} \delta$$
 if  $k \ge 1$ .

(c) The case n=2h:

$$\alpha'_{0} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2h(2h+1)}} \left\{ 2hv_{0} \otimes v_{0} - \sum_{i=1}^{h} (v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i}) \right\} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{(k+1)(k+h-1)(2k+2h-1)}} \left\{ 2(k+h-1)v_{0} \otimes v_{0} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} - \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) + (k+h-1)\sqrt{2k-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_{0}^{2} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - \sqrt{k} \sum_{h=1}^{h-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - \sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \end{cases} & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \beta'_{0} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{(k-1)(k+1)(k+2h-2)(k+2h-3)}} \left\{ (k-1)(k+2h-3)v_{0} \otimes v_{0} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} - (k-1)\sum_{i=1}^{h-1} (v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1}) - (k+2h-3)\sqrt{2k-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{2(k-1)}{2k-1}} v_{0}^{2} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{2k-1}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) + 2\sqrt{k} \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) - (k-1)\sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \right\} & \text{if } k \geq 2, \\ \delta' = \sqrt{\frac{2k+2h-3}{k(k+2h-2)(2k+2h-1)}} \delta & \text{if } k \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

The following lemma gives orthonormal bases of  $W_{(k+1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ ,  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$  and  $W_{(k-1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ .

**Lemma 9.4.4.** (1) The case  $n=2h-1(h\geq 3)$ :

$$\begin{array}{llll} & & & & & & & \\ \{\alpha'_1,\,\alpha'_2,\,\cdots,\,\alpha'_{h-1}\} & & & & & & \\ \{\beta'_1,\,\gamma'_1,\,\gamma'_2,\,\cdots,\,\gamma'_{h-1}\} & & & & & & \\ \{\beta'_1,\,\beta'_2,\,\cdots,\,\beta'_{h-1}, & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \gamma'_1,\,\gamma'_2,\,\cdots,\,\gamma'_{h-1}\} & & & & \\ \end{array} \right\} W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) \qquad \qquad \text{if } k \geq 1 \,, \\ W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ & & & & & \\ \end{array} \right\} W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h) \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{if } k \geq 2 \,,$$

$$\{\delta'\}$$
  $W_{(k-1)\phi_k}((k-1)\phi_k)$  if  $k \ge 1$ .

(2) The case  $n=2h(h\geq 2)$ :

orthonormal basis space  $\{\alpha'_{0}, \alpha'_{1}, \dots, \alpha'_{h-1}\} \qquad W_{(k+1)\phi_{h}}((k-1)\phi_{h}) \qquad \text{if } k \geq 1,$   $\{\beta'_{0}, \gamma'_{1}, \gamma'_{2}, \dots, \gamma'_{h-1}\} \qquad \text{if } k = 1,$   $\{\beta'_{0}, \beta'_{1}, \beta'_{2}, \dots, \beta'_{h-1}, \gamma'_{h-1}\} \qquad \text{if } k \geq 1,$   $\{\beta'_{0}, \beta'_{1}, \gamma'_{2}, \dots, \gamma'_{h-1}\} \qquad \text{if } k \geq 2,$   $\{\delta'\} \qquad W_{(k-1)\phi_{h}}((k-1)\phi_{h}) \qquad \text{if } k \geq 1.$ 

For the proof of the lemma, we need the following lemma.

**Lemma 9.4.5.** We define (p,p)-matrices  $A_p(m)$  and  $B_p(m)$  by

$$A_{p}(m) = \begin{pmatrix} m+1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & m+1 & \cdots & & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ m+1 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & m+1 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

Then we have

$$\det A_{p}(m) = m^{p-1}(m+p),$$
  
 
$$\det B_{p}(m) = (-1)^{p-1}m^{p-1}.$$

Proof. We shall prove the above equalities by the induction on p. If p=1, the equalities are evident. Suppose that the equalities hold for p-1. Then we have

$$\det A_{p}(m) = \sum_{j=1}^{p} (-1)^{p+i} \det \begin{pmatrix} m+1 & 1 & \ddots & \hat{1} & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & m+1 & \ddots & \hat{1} & & 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ \hat{1} & \hat{1} & \ddots & \hat{1} & & m+1 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{p+i}(-1)^{i-1}\det B_{p-1}(m)+(m+1)\det A_{p-1}(m)$$

$$=m^{p-1}(m+p),$$

$$\det B_{p}(m)=\sum_{i=1}^{p}(-1)^{1+i}\det\begin{pmatrix}\hat{1} & \hat{1} & \ddots & \hat{1} & \ddots & \ddots & \hat{1} \\ m+1 & 1 & \hat{1} & & 1 \\ 1 & m+1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots \\ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \hat{1} & \ddots & 1 & m+1 & 1\end{pmatrix}$$

$$=\sum_{i=1}^{p-1}(-1)^{1+i}(-1)^{i-1}\det B_{p-1}(m)+(-1)^{p+1}\det A_{p-1}(m)$$

$$=(-1)^{p-1}m^{p-1}.$$

In the above matrices the symbol ^ means that the components are omitted. Thus the lemma is proved. Q.E.D.

Proof of Lemma 9.4.4. Put  $a_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k+1}} \alpha_i$  for  $i=1,2,\cdots,h-1$ . Then we have for  $k \ge 1$ 

$$\langle a_i, a_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ k+1 & \text{if } i = j. \end{cases}$$

Put for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ 

$$a'_{i} = \det \begin{pmatrix} k+1 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & k+1 & \cdots & & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & k+1 & 1 \\ a_{1} & \cdots & a_{i-2} & a_{i-1} & a_{i} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then  $\{a'_1, a'_2, \dots, a'_{k-1}\}$  is an orthogonal system. We have by Lemma 9.4.5

$$\begin{cases} a'_i = k^{i-2} \{ (k+i-1)a_i - \sum_{p=1}^{i-1} a_p \} , \\ \langle a'_i, a'_i \rangle = \det A_{i-1}(k) \det A_i(k) = k^{2i-3}(k+i) (k+i-1) . \end{cases}$$

It follows that  $\alpha'_i = \frac{1}{|\alpha'_i|} \alpha'_i$ , and therefore  $\{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal system in  $W_{(k+1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ . We have for  $k \ge 1$ 

$$\gamma'_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2(k+1)}} (\beta_{i} - \gamma_{i}).$$

Put

$$b_i = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left\{ \beta_i - \sum_{p=1}^{h-1} \langle \beta_i, \gamma'_p \rangle \gamma'_p \right\} \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1.$$

Then

$$b_{i} = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}} \left( v_{h} \otimes v_{-h} - v_{-h} \otimes v_{h} \right) = \beta'_{i} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \sqrt{\frac{1}{k+1}} \left\{ \frac{k-1}{2} \left( v_{i} \otimes v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} + v_{-i} \otimes v_{i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-1} \right) \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{h} \otimes \left( \sqrt{\frac{k-1}{k}} v_{i} \cdot v_{-i} \cdot v_{h}^{k-2} - \sqrt{\frac{1}{k}} v_{h}^{k-1} \cdot v_{-h} \right) \\ -\sqrt{k} v_{-h} \otimes v_{h}^{k} \right\} & \text{if } k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

If k=1,  $\{\beta'_1, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal system in  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ . Suppose that  $k\geq 2$ . We have for  $i,j=1,2,\dots,h-1$ 

$$egin{cases} \langle b_i, \gamma'_j 
angle = 0 \ , \ \langle b_i, b_j 
angle = egin{cases} 1 & ext{if } i \neq j \ , \ rac{k+1}{2} & ext{if } i = j \ . \end{cases}$$

Put for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ 

$$b'_i = \det egin{pmatrix} rac{k+1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & 1 \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & rac{k+1}{2} & 1 \ b_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & b_{i-1} & b_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying Lemma 9.4.5, we have  $\frac{1}{|b'_i|}b'_i=\beta_i$ . Therefore if  $k \ge 2$ ,  $\{\beta'_1, \beta'_2, \cdots, \beta'_{h-1}, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \cdots, \gamma'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal system in  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ .

(1) It follows from Lemma 9.4.1 and the above arguments that the first and the second assertions are valid. Since  $\langle \delta, \delta \rangle = \frac{k(k+h-1)(k+2h-3)}{k+h-2}$  for  $k \ge 1$ , we have the third assertion.

(2) Put 
$$a_0 = \sqrt{\frac{1}{k+1}} \alpha_0$$
 for  $k \ge 1$ . Then 
$$\begin{cases} \langle a_0, a_0 \rangle = 2k+1, \\ \langle a_0, a_i \rangle = 1 \end{cases}$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ .

Put

$$a'_0 = \det egin{pmatrix} k+1 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & k+1 & 1 \ a_1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & a_{h-1} & a_0 \end{pmatrix} \; .$$

Then we have by Lemma 9.4.5

It follows that  $\frac{1}{|a'_0|}a'_0=\alpha'_0$ . Therefore by Lemma 9.4.1  $\{\alpha'_0,\alpha'_1,\cdots,\alpha'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W_{(k+1)\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ . If  $k=1,\ \{\beta'_1,\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2,\cdots,\gamma'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ . Suppose that  $k\geq 2$ . Put

$$b_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \sqrt{rac{1}{k\!+\!1}} \left\{eta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} - \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k-1} \langleeta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}, \gamma'_{i}
angle \gamma'_{i}
ight\} \,.$$

Then

$$\langle b_0, \gamma'_i \rangle = 0$$
  $i = 1, 2, \dots, h-1$ ,  $\langle b_0, b_0 \rangle = \frac{3}{2} (k-1)$ ,  $\langle b_0, b_i \rangle = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 1, 2, \dots, h-2, \\ -\frac{1}{2} (k-1) & \text{if } i = h-1. \end{cases}$ 

Put

$$b'_0 = \det egin{pmatrix} rac{k+1}{2} & 1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 \ 1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & & \cdot \ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & 0 \ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 1 & rac{k+1}{2} & -rac{k-1}{2} \ b_1 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & b_{h-1} & b_0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then we have

$$b'_{0} = \sum_{i=1}^{h-2} (-1)^{h+i+i-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k+1}{2} & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ \frac{k+1}{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & \frac{k+1}{2} & -\frac{k-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} b_{i}$$

$$+(-1)^{2h-1} \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k+1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & \ddots & 1 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{k+1}{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \frac{k+1}{2} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & -\frac{k-1}{2} \end{pmatrix} b_{h-1}$$

$$+A_{h-1} \left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right) b_{0}$$

$$= \left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)^{h-2} \left(\frac{k+2h-3}{2}b_{0} + \frac{k+2h-5}{2}b_{h-1} - \sum_{i=2}^{h-2}b_{i}\right),$$

and

$$\langle b'_0, b'_0 \rangle = \det A_{h-1} \left( \frac{k-1}{2} \right) \det \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k+1}{2} & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \cdots & \ddots & 1 & \frac{k+1}{2} & -\frac{k-1}{2} \\ 0 & \cdots & \ddots & 0 & -\frac{k-1}{2} & \frac{3(k-1)}{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \left(\frac{k-1}{2}\right)^{2h-3} \frac{(k+2h-2)(k+2h-3)}{2}.$$

It follows that  $\frac{1}{|b'_0|}b'_0=\beta'_0$ . Therefore by Lemma 9.4.1  $\{\beta'_0,\beta'_1,\beta'_2,\cdots,\beta'_{h-1},\gamma'_1,\gamma'_2,\cdots,\gamma'_{h-1}\}$  is an orthonormal basis of  $W_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}((k-1)\phi_h)$ . Since  $\langle \delta,\delta \rangle = \frac{k(k+2h-2)(2k+2h-1)}{2k+2h-3}$  for  $k \ge 1$ , we have the third assertion. Q.E.D.

Put  $w=v_{-h}\otimes v_h^k$ . We denote by  $w_\lambda$  the  $W_\lambda$ -component of w with respect to the decomposition (9.4.1). Then we have

**Lemma 9.4.6.** (1) The case n=2:

$$|w_{(k+1)\phi_1}| = \sqrt{rac{1}{(k+1)\left(2k+1
ight)}}, \ |w_{k\phi_1}| = \sqrt{rac{1}{k+1}}, \ |w_{(k-1)\phi_1}| = \sqrt{rac{2k-1}{2k+1}}.$$

(2) The case n=3:

$$egin{aligned} |w_{(k+1)\phi_2}| &= rac{1}{k+1}, \ |w_{\phi_1+k\phi_2}| &= rac{\sqrt{k}}{k+1}, \ |w_{-\phi_1+k\phi_2}| &= rac{\sqrt{k}}{k+1}, \ |w_{(k-1)\phi_2}| &= rac{k}{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) The case  $n=2h-1(h\geq 3)$ :  $|w_{(k+1)\phi_h}| = \sqrt{\frac{h-1}{(k+1)(k+h-1)}}, |w_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}| = \sqrt{\frac{2k(h-1)}{(k+1)(k+2h-3)}},$   $|w_{(k-1)\phi_h}| = \sqrt{\frac{k(k+h-2)}{(k+h-1)(k+2h-3)}}.$ 

(4) The case  $n=2h(h\geq 2)$ :

$$|w_{(k+1)\phi_{m{h}}}| = \sqrt{rac{2h-1}{(k+1)(2k+2h-1)}}, \ |w_{\phi_{m{h}-1}+k\phi_{m{h}}}| = \sqrt{rac{k(2h-1)}{(k+1)(k+2h-2)}}, \ |w_{(k-1)\phi_{m{h}}}| = \sqrt{rac{k(2k+2h-3)}{(k+2h-2)(2k+2h-1)}}.$$

(3) It follows from Lemma 9.4.4 that  $\{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_{h-1}, \beta'_1, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_{h-1}, \delta'\}$  (resp.  $\{\alpha'_1, \alpha'_2, \dots, \alpha'_{h-1}, \beta'_1, \beta'_2, \dots, \beta'_{h-1}, \gamma'_1, \gamma'_2, \dots, \gamma'_{h-1}, \delta'\}$ ) is an

orthonormal basis of  $(C^{n+1} \otimes V_k)$   $((k-1)\phi_k)$ , if k=1 (resp. if  $k \ge 2$ ). Therefore we have by Lemma 9.4.4

$$\langle w_{(k+1)\phi_h}, w_{(k+1)\phi_h} \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{h-1} \frac{k}{(k+1)(k+i)(k+i-1)}$$

$$= \frac{h-1}{(k+1)(k+h-1)} \quad \text{for } k \ge 1,$$

$$\langle w_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h}, w_{\phi_{h-1}+k\phi_h} \rangle = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } k = 1, \end{cases}$$

$$= \frac{2k(k-1)}{(k+1)(k+2i-1)(k+2i-3)} \quad \text{if } k \ge 2,$$

$$|w_{(k-1)\phi_h}| = \sqrt{\frac{k(k+h-2)}{(k+h-1)(k+2h-3)}} \quad \text{for } k \ge 1.$$

Therefore we have the assertion.

(4) We obtain the equalities in the same way as above.

Q.E.D.

#### **Bibliography**

- [1] S. Araki: On root systems and infinitesimal classifications of irreducible symmetric spaces, Math. J. Osaka City Univ. 13 (1962), 1-34.
- [2] H. Boerner: Representations of groups, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1963.
- [3] N. Bourbaki: Groupes et algèbres de Lie, Chapitres 7 et 8, Hermann, Paris, 1975.
- [4] S. Helgason: Differential geometry and symmetric spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [5] T. Nagura: On the lengths of the second fundamental forms of R-spaces, OsakaJ. Math. 14 (1977), 207-223.
- [6] ———: On the Jacobi differential operators associated to minimal isometric immersions of symmetric spaces into spheres, I. Osaka J. Math. 18 (1981), 115-145.
- [7] I. Satake: On representations and compactifications of symmetric Riemannian spaces, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 77-110.
- [8] J.P. Serre: Algèbres de Lie semi-simples complexes, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1966.
- [9] M. Takeuchi: Cell decompositions and Morse equalities on certain symmetric spaces,
   J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, 12 (1965), 81-192.
- [10] —: Theory of spherical functions (in Japanese), Iwanami, Tokyo, 1974.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Kobe University Kobe 657, Japan