

Title	Equivariant K-ring of G-manifold (U(n), adp) II			
Author(s)	Minami, Haruo			
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1973, 10(3), p. 571-583			
Version Type	VoR			
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5352			
rights				
Note				

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

EQUIVARIANT K-RING OF G-MANIFOLD $(U(n), ad_{\rho})$ II

HARUO MINAMI

(Received December 4, 1972)

Introduction

Let G be a compact Lie group. Throughout this paper K_G^* will denote the complex equivariant K-theory associated with the group G and R(G) the ring of virtual complex representations of G.

Let V be a G-module over the field of the complex numbers and U(V) the group of isometries of V with the action of G defined by conjugation. In [2], Hodgkin has announced the K_G -ring structure of U(V) without proof. So we have proved a special case of Hodgkin's theorem in [4]. The purpose of this paper is to give a proof of the general case.

1. Statement of the theorems

Let G be a compact Lie group and ρ a unitary representation of G of dimension n. That is, ρ is a continuous homomorphism of G into a unitary group U(n).

We consider U(n) a differentiable G-manifold together with the adjoint operation $ad_p: G \times U(n) \rightarrow U(n)$, defined by

$$ad_{\rho}(g, u) = \rho(g)u\rho(g)^{-1}$$
 $g \in G, u \in U(n)$

and then we denote the G-manifold U(n) by $(U(n), ad_0)$.

We denote by V the representation space of ρ over the field of the complex numbers C, by \underline{V} the product G-vector bundle with a fibre V over U(n) and by $\lambda^{k}(\underline{V}) = \underline{\lambda^{k}(V)}$ the k-th exterior power of \underline{V} for $1 \le k \le n$. Then we can define an automorphism θ^{k} of $\lambda^{k}(\underline{V})$ by

$$\theta_h^G(u,z) = (u, \lambda^h(u)(z))$$
 $n \in U(n), z \in \lambda^h(V)$.

Hence θ_k^G determines an element $[\lambda^k(\underline{V}), \theta_k^G]$ of $K_G^1(U(n), ad_p)$. Afterwards we shall use the same symbol θ_k^G in writing this induced element. Our main theorem is:

Theorem 1.1. Let G be a compact Lie group and ρ a unitary representation of G of dimension n. Then

$$K_G^*(U(n), ad_p) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G)$$

as an algebra over R(G).

Theorem 1.1 has the following corollaries.

Corollary 1.2. Let ρ be as in Theorem 1.1 and X a compact locally G-contractible G-space whose orbit space X/G has a finite covering dimension. Then the external tensor product homomorphism

$$\mu: K_G^*(U(n), ad_\rho) \underset{R(G)}{\otimes} K_G^*(X) \to K_G^*((U(n), ad_\rho) \times X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Put U=U(n) for the simplicity. $K_G^*(U, ad_\rho) \underset{R(G)}{\otimes} K_G^*(X)$ is an equivariant cohomology theory because $K_G^*(U, ad_\rho)$ is a free module over R(G) and also $K_G^*((U, ad_\rho) \times X)$ is an equivariant cohomology theory. As easily checked, we can construct spectral sequences of Segal's type for these equivariant cohomology theories [5].

Let X denote the orbit space of X by G. There are two sheaves over X, φ_* and τ_* whose stalks are respectively

$$\varphi_*(\bar{x}) = K_G^*(U, ad_p) \bigotimes_{R(G)} K_G^*(Gx)$$

and

$$\tau_*(x) = K_G^*((U, ad_\rho) \times Gx)$$

where $\bar{x} \in \bar{X}$ and $Gx \subset X$ is the orbit of $x \in X$ lying over \bar{x} .

The external tensor product homomorphism μ induces a map of the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\vec{X}, \varphi_q) \Rightarrow K_G^*(U, ad_p) \underset{R(G)}{\bigotimes} K_G^*(X)$$

to the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\overline{X}, \tau_q) \Rightarrow K_G^*((U, ad_p) \times X)$$
.

Let G_x denote the isotropy group at x. Since Gx is homeomorphic to G/G_x as a G-space we have

$$\varphi_*(\bar{x}) \cong K_G^*(U, ad_\rho) \underset{R(G)}{\otimes} K_G^*(G/G_x)$$
$$\cong K_G^*(U, ad_\rho) \underset{R(G)}{\otimes} R(G_x)$$

and

$$\tau_*(\bar{x}) \cong K_G^*((U, ad_\rho) \times G/G_x)$$

$$\cong K_{G_x}^*(U, ad_\rho')$$

where ρ' is the restriction of ρ onto G_x . Therefore, from Theorem 1.1 we see

$$\varphi_*(\bar{x}) \cong \Lambda_{R(G_x)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G), \ \tau_*(\bar{x}) \cong \Lambda_{R(G_x)}(\theta_1^{G_x}, \dots, \theta_n^{G_x})$$

and so μ induces an isomorphism on the E_2 -level. This permits the corollary.

Let X be a G-space as in Corollary 1.2 and E an n-dimensional complex G-vector bundle over X. Here we consider the unitary bundle $\pi \colon U(E) \to X$ of E (See [2], §3). For $1 \le k \le n$ we can define also an automorphism θ_*^E of the G-vector bundle $\pi^*(\lambda^k(E)) = \lambda^k(\pi^*(E))$ over U(E) by

$$\theta_k^E(u,z) = (u, \lambda^k(u)(z))$$
 $u \in U(E_x), z \in \lambda^k(E_x)$

and we write θ_k^E for an element of $K_G^1(U(E))$ determined by θ_k^E . Then we have the following

Corollary 1.3.

$$K_G^*(U(E)) = \Lambda_{K_G(X)}(\theta_1^E, \dots, \theta_n^E)$$

as an algebra over $K_G^*(X)$.

Proof. For the sake of simplicity, put U=U(n) and $ad=ad_{1_{U(n)}}$, the adjoint operation of the identity representation of U(n).

Let P be the associated principal bundle to E. Then P is a $G \times U$ -space on which U acts freely: P/U = X and

$$U(E) = P \times_{\pi} (U, ad)$$
.

We can regard (U, ad) as a $G \times U$ -space where G acts on (U, ad) trivially. Then we have

$$K_{G\times U}^*(U, ad) \cong R(G) \otimes K_U^*(U, ad)$$

by a parallel proof to that of [5], Proposition (2.2).

From Corollary 1.2 we obtain

$$K_{G\times U}^*(P) \bigotimes_{R(G\times U)} K_{G\times U}^*(U, ad) \cong K_{G\times U}^*(P\times (U, ad))$$
.

Hence we get

$$K_G^*(X) \underset{R(T)}{\bigotimes} K_U^*(U, ad) \simeq K_G^*(U(E))$$

by [5], Proposition (2.1). This shows the corollary from Theorem 1.1. In the following sections we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

2. Proof when G is connected

The proof consists of two steps.

Step 1. Proof when G is a compact abelian Lie group.

For the sake of simplicity we write $U(\rho)$ for the G-manifold $(U(n), ad_{\rho})$.

574 H. Minami

Since G is abelian, there exist 1-demensional representations of G, ρ_k : $G \rightarrow U(1)$ $1 \le k \le n$, such that ρ is equivalent to the sum $\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$. Then

$$U(\rho) \simeq U(\rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n)$$

as a G-manifold. So it suffices to show the theorem for $U(\rho)$, $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$. Before beginning the proof of the theorem we prepare an elementary lemma. Let W be the representation space over C of the representation $1 \oplus \rho_1^{-1} \rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_1^{-1} \rho_n$. Then the unit sphere S(W) in W is homeomorphic to the homogeneous space $U(\rho)/U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n)$ as a G-space where $U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n) = 1 \times U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n)$ and also S(W) has a fixed point $\rho = (1, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Lemma 2.1. For each point $q = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ of S(W) there exists a continuous map $f: [0, 1] \rightarrow U(n)$ such that f(0)(p) = q, f(1) = 1 and $\rho(g) f(t) \rho(g)^{-1} = f(t)$ for $g \in G_q$ and $t \in [0, 1]$ where G_q is the isotropy group at q.

Proof. We shall prove Lemma 2.1 by induction on n. For the case of n=1 we have nothing to do. Assume that the assertion is true for n < l. In case of n=l we consider two types of q as follows.

(i) If $z_2 \cdots z_n \neq 0$, then

$$\rho_1(g) = \cdots = \rho_n(g) \qquad g \in G_q.$$

Namely $\rho(g)$ is a diagonal matrix for any $g \in G_q$. So it is sufficient to show the existence of a continuous map $f: [0, 1] \to U(n)$ such that f(0)(p) = q and f(1) = 1. But this is clear because U(n) acts on S^{2n-1} transitively and U(n) is arcwise connected.

(ii) If there is an integer $k \ge 2$ such that $z_k = 0$, then we consider a subgroup, U'(n-1), of U(n) consisting of (n-1)-dimensional minors of which the (k, k)-component is 1, i.e.

$$k \begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & \\ * & \vdots & * & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ * & \vdots & * & \\ 0 & & & & \end{pmatrix} \in U(n) .$$

Let ρ' be a continuous homomorphism of G into U'(n-1) defined by

$$\rho' = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_{k-1} \oplus 1 \oplus \rho_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n.$$

In virtue of the inductive hypothesis there is a map $f': [0, 1] \rightarrow U'(n-1)$ satisfying the assertion mentioned in Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$\rho'(g)f'(t)\rho'(g)^{-1} = \rho(g)f'(t)\rho(g)^{-1}$$
 $g \in G_q$, $t \in [0, 1]$.

Therefore when we put

$$f = if'$$

where $i: U'(n-1) \rightarrow U(n)$ is the inclusion of U'(n-1), $f: G \rightarrow U(n)$ is a map which we require. q.e.d.

Now we proceed by induction on n to complete the step 1. In case of n=1, since G acts on $U(\rho_1)$ trivially we have

$$K_G^*(U(\rho_1)) \cong R(G) \otimes K^*(U(1))$$

by [5], Proposition (2,2). $K^*(U(1))$ is an exterior algebra with one generator θ and by the above isomorphism θ_1^G corresponds to $\rho_1 \otimes \theta$. Hence $K_C^*(U(\rho_1)) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G)$ is valid for any compact abelian Lie group G and any 1-demensional representation $\rho = \rho_1$ of G.

Let $\pi: U(\rho) \to S(W) (= U(\rho)/U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n))$ be the projection. From [4], Lemma 1 we get

Lemma 2.2. There exists an element g in $K^1_G(S(W))$ such that

$$K_G^*(S(W)) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(g)$$

as an algebra over R(G) and

$$\pi^*(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \rho_1^{-k} \theta_k^G \qquad \theta_k^G \in K_G^1(U(\rho))$$
.

Proof. We observe the exact sequence of the pair (D(W), S(W)) where D(W) is the unit disk in W. Then we see that

$$\tilde{K}_G^0(S(W)) = 0$$

and the coboundary homomorphism

$$\delta \colon K_G^1(S(W)) \to K_G^0(W)$$

is an isomorphism.

When we denote by λ_W the Thom class of the vector bundle $W \to P(=a \text{ point})$, $K_G^0(W)$ is a free module over R(G) generated by λ_W . So if we put $g = \delta^{-1}(\lambda_W)$, then

$$K_G^*(S(W)) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(g)$$
.

Next we consider the following diagram

$$K_{T}^{*}(U(n), ad_{i}) \xrightarrow{\rho^{*}} K_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}(U(\rho))$$

$$\pi'^{*} \uparrow \qquad \uparrow \pi^{*}$$

$$K_{T}^{*}(S(W')) \xrightarrow{\rho^{*}} K_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}(S(W))$$

$$\delta \downarrow \qquad \downarrow \delta$$

$$K_{T}^{*}(W') \xrightarrow{\rho^{*}} K_{\mathcal{E}}^{*}(W)$$

where $i: T \to U(n)$ is the inclusion map of the standard maximal torus T of U(n), ρ^* the homomorphism induced by the continuous homomorphism $\rho = \rho_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n$: $G \to T$ and $\pi': U(n) \to S(W')$ represents the map $\pi: U(n) \to S(C \oplus W)$ in [4], §2. Then this diagram commutes and $\rho^*(\lambda_{W'}) = \lambda_W$. Therefore we get

$$\pi^*(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} (-1)^k \rho_1^{-k} \theta_k^G$$

by [4], Lemma 1. q.e.d.

Let \mathfrak{M} be an exterior algebra over R(G) generated by $\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_{n-1}^G$ where $\theta_k^G \in K_G^1(U(\rho))$ for $1 \le k \le n-1$. Then we have a homomorphism

$$\kappa_1 \colon \mathfrak{M} \to K_G^*(U(\rho))$$

of algebras, defined by $\kappa_1(\theta_k^G) = \theta_k^G$. Because, when we observe the homomorphism $\rho^* \colon K_T^*(U(n), ad_i) \to K_G^*(U(\rho))$ mentioned in the proof of Lemma 2.2 we get

$$(\theta_k^G)^2 = \rho^*((\theta_k^T)^2) = 0$$
 for $1 \le k \le n$

since $(\theta_k^T)^2=0$ in $K_T^*(U(n), ad_i)$ by [4], Theorem 1 and also we get the relations $\theta_k^G\theta_l^G+\theta_l^G\theta_k^G=0$ for $1\leq k,\ l\leq n$ obviously since θ_k^G are the elements of $K_G^1(U(\rho))$. Morevoer, for each closed invariant subspace X of S(W) we can define a homomorphism

$$\lambda \colon K_G^*(X) \underset{R(G)}{\bigotimes} \mathfrak{M} \to K_G^*(\pi^{-1}(X))$$

by

$$\lambda(x \otimes y) = \pi^*(x) j^* \kappa_1(y)$$
 $x \in K_{\mathcal{C}}^*(X), y \in \mathfrak{M}$

where $j: \pi^{-1}(X) \rightarrow U(\rho)$ is the inclusion of $\pi^{-1}(X)$.

Under the assumption that the assertion of Theorem 1.1 in the step 1 is true for n < l the following lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.3. The homomorphism

$$\lambda \colon K_G^*(S(W)) \underset{R(g)}{\bigotimes} \mathfrak{M} \to K_G^*(U(\rho))$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $\overline{S(W)}$ denote the orbit space of S(W) by G. We have two

sheaves over $\overline{S(W)}$, φ_* and τ_* whose stalks are respectively

$$\varphi_*(\overline{q}) = K_G^*(Gq) \bigotimes_{R(G)} \mathfrak{M}$$

and

$$\tau_*(\overline{q}) = K_G^*(\pi^{-1}(Gq))$$

where $q \in S(W)$, $\overline{q} \in \overline{S(W)}$ and $Gq = \pi^{-1}(\overline{q})$.

Since \mathfrak{M} is a free module over R(G), $K_G^*(X) \underset{R(G)}{\otimes} \mathfrak{M}$ is an equivariant cohomology theory. Then λ induces a map of the spectral sequence [5]

$$E_{\mathbf{2}}^{p,q} = H^p(\overline{S(W)}, \varphi_q) \Rightarrow K_G^*(S(W)) \underset{\mathbb{R}(q)}{\bigotimes} \mathfrak{M}$$

to the spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} = H^p(\overline{S(W)}, \tau_q) \Rightarrow K_G^*(U(\rho)).$$

We shall prove that λ induces an isomorphism on the E_2 -level. Clearly we have

$$\varphi_*(\overline{q}) = K_G^*(Gq) \underset{R(G)}{\bigotimes} \mathfrak{M}$$

$$\cong K_G^*(G/G_q) \underset{R(G)}{\bigotimes} \mathfrak{M}$$

$$\cong \Lambda_{R(G_q)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_{n-1}^G).$$

Next we observe the stalks $\tau_*(\overline{q})$. Let $f: [0, 1] \to U(n)$ is a continuous map in Lemma 2.1. Then we have

$$\pi^{-1}(Gq) = \bigcup_{g \in g} (\rho(g)f(0)\rho(g)^{-1})U(n-1)$$

and so we can define a G-map

$$\phi \colon G/G_q \times U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n) \to \pi^{-1}(Gq)$$

by

$$\phi(gG_q, u) = (\rho(g)f(0)\rho(g)^{-1})u \qquad g \in G, u \in U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n)$$

because $\rho(g)f(0)\rho(g)^{-1}=f(0)$ for any $g \in G_q$. Further we can easily check that ϕ is an isomorphism. Therefore

$$\tau_*(\overline{q}) = K_G^*(\pi^{-1}(Gq))$$

$$\simeq K_G^*(G/G_q \times U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n))$$

$$\simeq K_{G_n}^*(U(\rho_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \rho_n)).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\tau_*(\overline{q}) \cong \Lambda_{R(G_q)}(\theta_1', \cdots, \theta_{n-1}')$$

by the inductive hypothesis where $\theta_k' = \theta_k^G \cdot \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Here we consider the homomorphism

$$\lambda' : \Lambda_{R(G_{\mathfrak{g}})}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_{n-1}^G) \to K_{G_{\mathfrak{g}}}^*(U(\rho_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \rho_n))$$

induced by the homomorphism

$$\lambda: \varphi_*(\overline{q}) \to \tau_*(\overline{q})$$
.

From the definition of θ_k^G we obtain easily

$$\lambda'(\theta_k^G) = [U(n-1) \times \lambda^k(V), \rho_k] \qquad (1 \le k \le n-1)$$

where ξ_k is an automorphism of the product G_q -bundle $U(n-1) \times \lambda^k(V)$ given by

$$\xi_k(u,z) = (u, \lambda^k(f(0)u)(z))$$
 $u \in U(n-1), z \in \lambda^k(V)$.

Since f is a homotopy from f(0) to the identity element of U(n) satisfying $\rho(g)f(t)=f(t)\rho(g)$ for any $g \in G_q$ and $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$\lambda'(\theta_k^G) = \begin{cases} \theta_1' & (k=1) \\ \theta_k' + \rho_1 \theta_{k-1}' & (2 \le k \le n-1) \end{cases}.$$

Hence we see that λ' is an isomorphism. This shows that λ induces an isomorphism on the E_2 -level. Consequently we obtain Lemma 2.3. q.e.d.

Lemma 2.2 and lemma 2.3 show that the assertion in the case of n=l is also true. This completes the step 1.

Step 2. Proof when G is connected.

Let T be a maximal torus of G and i: $T \rightarrow G$ the inclusion of T. Then from the step 1 we get

$$K_T^*(U(n), ad_{\rho_T}) = \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \dots, \theta_n^T)$$

where ρ_T is the restriction of ρ onto T and therefore, from [5], Proposition (3.8) and [4], Lemma 2 we get

$$K_G^*(U(n), ad_\rho) \cong K_T^*(U(n), ad_{\rho_T})^{W(G)}$$

where W(G) is the Weyl group of G. This shows

$$K_G^*(U(n), ad_p) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G).$$

3. Proof when G is not connected

We recall

Theorem 3.1. (Segal [6]) Let G be a compact Lie group. Then the restric-

tion $R(G) \rightarrow \sum_{S} R(S)$ is injective where S runs through the representatives of coniugacy classes of Cartan subgroups of G.

Then we have

Lemma 3.2. Let G be a compact Lie group and ρ a continuous homomorphism of G into U(n). Then $\Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G)$ is a subalgebra of $K_{\sigma}^*(U(n), ad_{\rho})$.

Proof. We have a homomorphism κ_2 of $\Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G)$ into $K_G^*(U(n), ad_p)$ as algebras defined by $\kappa_2(\theta_k^G) = \theta_k^G$, $1 \le k \le n$. This homomorphism is well-defined by the same reason as κ_1 in §2, Step 1 is so.

Let S be a Cartan subgroup of G and $i_S: S \rightarrow G$ the inclusion of S. Then we have

$$K_S^*(U(n), ad_{\rho_S}) = \Lambda_{R(S)}(\theta_1^S, \dots, \theta_n^S)$$

from §2, Step 1 where ρ_S is the restriction of ρ onto S. Therefore if

$$\sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_l \le n} \alpha_{i_1 \cdots i_l} \theta_{i_1}^G \cdots \theta_{i_l}^G = 0$$

for $\alpha_{i_1...i_l} \in R(G)$ in $K_G^*(U(n), ad_p)$, then

$$i_S^*(\alpha_{i_1...i_l})=0$$

for any Cartan subgroup S of G. So we get

$$\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_l} = 0$$
, $1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_l \le n$

from Theorem 3.1. This shows that κ_2 is injective. q.e.d.

Using the Segal's spectral sequence [5] we can easily check the following

Lemma 3.3. ([3], Proposition 2) Let G be a compact Lie group. Let X and Y be compact locally G-contractible G-spaces such that the orbit spaces X/G and Y/G are of finite covering dimension. If $K_G^*(X)$ or $K_G^*(Y)$ is a free abelian group, then the external tensor product

$$K_G^*(X) \otimes K_G^*(Y) \to K_{G \times G}^*(X \times Y)$$

is an isomorphism.

The following theorem is basic in proof of the general case.

Theorem 3.4. ([1], Proposition (4.9), [5], Proposition (3.8))

Let G be a compact connected Lie group and i: $T \rightarrow G$ the inclusion of a maximal torus. Then for each locally compact G-space X there is a natural homomorphism of $K_G^*(X)$ -modules $i_*: K_T^*(X) \rightarrow K_G^*(X)$ such that $i_*(1)=1$, and hence $i_*i^*=i$ dentity.

Theorem 3.5. Let G be a compact connected Lie group and $\rho: G \rightarrow U(n)$ a

580 H. Minami

unitary representation. Then, for each closed subgroup H of G we have

$$K_H^*(U(n), ad_{\rho_H}) = \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^H, \dots, \theta_n^H)$$

as an algebra over R(H) where ρ_H is the restriction of ρ onto H.

Proof. As in §2, we denote $(U(n), ad_{\rho})$ by $U(\rho)$. Let $\pi_1: U(\rho) \times G/H \rightarrow U(\rho)$ and $\pi_2: U(\rho) \times G/H \rightarrow G/H$ be the projections. Let $d: G \rightarrow G \times G$ be the diagonal map.

We consider the homomorphism

$$d^*: K^*_{G\times G}(U(\rho)\times G/H) \to K^*_G(U(\rho)\times G/H)$$
.

From Lemma 3.3 and §2, Step 2 we get

$$(1) K_{G\times G}^*(U(\rho)\times G/H) \cong K_G^*(U(\rho)) \otimes K_G^*(G/H)$$

$$\cong \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G) \otimes R(H).$$

From (1) we see that d^* induces a homomorphism

$$\mu_1: K_G^*(U(\rho)) \otimes K_G^*(G/H) \to K_G^*(U(\rho) \times G/H)$$

and then μ_1 is as follows:

$$\mu_1(x \otimes y) = \pi_1^*(x)\pi_2^*(y)$$
 for $x \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(U(\rho)), y \in K_{\mathcal{G}}^*(G/H)$.

Since $K_G^*(U(\rho) \times G/H) \simeq K_H^*(U(\rho_H))$ and $\Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^H, \dots, \theta_n^H)$ is a subalgebra of $K_H^*(U(\rho_H))$ by Lemma 3.2, $\Lambda_{R(H)}(\pi_1^*(\theta_1^G), \dots, \pi_1^*(\theta_n^G))$ is a subalgebra of $K_G^*(U(\rho) \times G/H)$ and also

(2)
$$\operatorname{Im} \mu_1 = \Lambda_{R(H)}(\pi_1^*(\theta_1^G), \dots, \pi_1^*(\theta_n^G)).$$

Therefore if we prove that μ_1 is an epimorphism, then we obtain Theorem 3.5. Let T be a maximal torus of G. First we consider the restriction $\rho_T \colon T \to \mathbb{R}$

U(n) of ρ onto T. As the case of $\rho: G \rightarrow U(n)$ we have

$$K_{T\times T}^*(U(\rho_T)\times G/H) \cong K_T^*(U(\rho_T)) \otimes K_T^*(G/H)$$

$$\cong \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \dots, \theta_n^T) \otimes K_T^*(G/H)$$

and so the homomorphism

$$\dot{\mu}_2: K_T^*(U(\rho_T)) \otimes K_T^*(G/H) \to K_T^*(U(\rho_T) \times G/H)$$

induced by d^* . Also we get

$$K_T^*(U(\rho_T) \times G/H) \cong K_T^*(U(\rho_T)) \underset{R(T)}{\bigotimes} K_T^*(G/H)$$

$$\cong \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \dots, \theta_n^T) \underset{R(T)}{\bigotimes} K_T^*(G/H)$$

from §2, Step 1 and a parallel argument to Corollary 1.2.

Now we observe the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_{1}^{G}, \cdots, \theta_{n}^{G}) \otimes R(H) & & & & & & & & \\
\cong & & & & & & & & & & & \\
K_{G}^{*}(U(\rho)) \otimes K_{G}^{*}(G/H) & & & & & & & \\
\downarrow \cong & & & & & & & & \\
K_{G}^{*}(U(\rho)) \otimes K_{G}^{*}(G/H) & & & & & & \\
\downarrow \cong & & & & & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T})) \otimes K_{T}^{*}(G/H) & & & & & \\
\downarrow \cong & & & & & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T})) \otimes K_{T}^{*}(G/H) & & & & \\
\downarrow \mu_{2} & & & & & \\
\downarrow \mu_{2} & & & & & \\
K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & & \\
\cong & & & & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & \\
& \cong & & & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & \\
\downarrow \mu_{2} & & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/H) & & \\
\downarrow K_{T}^{*}(U(\rho_{T}) \times G/$$

where i_1 , i_2 and j are the inclusion of T, and i_{1*} , i_{2*} and j_* denote the natural homomorphisms mentioned in Theorem 3.4.

For any $x \in K_G^*(U(\rho) \times G/H)$ we can write

$$(3) j^*(x) = \alpha \pi_2^*(y) + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_s \le n} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_s} \pi_1^*(\theta_{i_1}^T \dots \theta_{i_s}^T) \pi_2^*(y_{i_1 \dots i_s})$$

for α , $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_s} \in R(T)$ and y, $y_{i_1 \dots i_s} \in K_T^*(G/H)$. Let put

$$z = 1 \otimes \alpha y + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_r \leq n} \theta_{i_1}^T \cdots \theta_{i_r}^T \otimes \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_r} y_{i_1 \dots i_r}$$

in $K_T^*(U(\rho_T)) \otimes K_T^*(G/H)$. Then from (3) we get

(4)
$$\mu_2(z) = j^*(x).$$

Moreover

$$(i_{1*} \otimes i_{2*})(z) = 1 \otimes i_{2*}(\alpha y) + \sum_{1 \le i_1 < \dots < i_s \le n} \theta^G_{i_1} \cdots \theta^G_{i_s} \otimes i_{2*}(\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_s} y_{i_1 \dots i_s})$$

since $i_1^*\theta_k^G = \theta_k^T \ 1 \le k \le n$ and $i_1, i_1^* = 1$, and

(5)
$$\mu_{1}((i_{1*} \otimes i_{2*})(z)) = \pi_{2}^{*}i_{2*}(\alpha y) + \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{s} \leq n} \pi_{1}^{*}(\theta_{i_{1}}^{G} \dots \theta_{i_{s}}^{G}) \pi_{2}^{*}i_{2*}(\alpha_{i_{1} \dots i_{s}} y_{i_{1} \dots i_{s}})$$
$$= j_{*}\pi_{2}^{*}(\alpha y) + \sum_{1 \leq i_{1} < \dots < i_{s} \leq n} \pi_{1}^{*}(\theta_{i_{1}}^{G} \dots \theta_{i_{s}}^{G}) j_{*}\pi_{2}^{*}(\alpha_{i_{1} \dots i_{s}} y_{i_{1} \dots i_{s}})$$

because of $j_*\pi_2^*=\pi_2^*i_{i_*}$. By Theorem 3.4, j_* is the homomorphism of K_G^* $(U(\rho)\times G/H)$ -modules. Therefore (5) shows

(6)
$$\mu_1((i_{1*} \otimes i_{2*})(z)) = j_*(\alpha \pi_2^*(y) + \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_s \leq n} \alpha_{i_1 \dots i_s} \pi_1^*(\theta_{i_1}^T \dots \theta_{i_s}^T) \pi_2^*(y_{i_1 \dots i_s}))$$

because of $\pi_1^*i_1^*=j^*\pi_1^*$.

From (3) and (6) we obtain

(7)
$$\mu_1((i_{1*} \otimes i_{2*})(z)) = j_* j^*(x) = x$$

and so we see that μ_1 is an epimorphism. Hence (2) and (7) conclude

$$K_H^*(U(\rho_H)) = \Lambda_{R(H)}(\theta_1^H, \dots, \theta_n^H)$$
.

q.e.d.

Proof of the general case. Let G be a compact Lie group and $\rho: G \rightarrow U(n)$ a unitary representation of G.

Embed G in a unitary group U(m) and consider an embedding

$$f: G \to U(n) \times U(m)$$

defined by

$$f(g) = (\rho(g), g)$$
 $g \in G$.

Let $\pi: U(n) \times U(m) \to U(n)$ be the projection. If we regard G as a closed subgroup of $U(n) \times U(m)$ by f, then ρ is the restriction of π onto G. Therefore, from Theorem 3.5 we get

$$K_G^*(U(n), ad_p) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_n^G)$$
.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

4. The special unitary group SU(n)

Let G be a compact Lie group and $\rho: G \to U(n)$ a unitary representation of G. Then SU(n) becomes a G-submanifold of $(U(n), ad_{\rho})$ which we denote by $(SU(n), ad_{\rho})$.

Let $j: SU(n) \to U(n)$ be the inclusion of SU(n). We use the same symbol θ_k^G for the image of $\theta_k^G \in K_G^1(U(n), ad_p)$ by j^* for $1 \le k \le n-1$. In particular, $j^*(\theta_n^G) = 0$.

Let T be the standard maximal torus of U(n) and $i: T \rightarrow U(n)$ the inclusion of T. Then, by a parallel proof to that in [4] we obtain

Proposition 4.1. Using the notation of [4], Lemma 1 we have

(i) $K_T^*(S(C \oplus W)) = K_T^*(SU(n)/SU(n-1))$ is an exterior algebra over R(T) with one generator g satisfying

$$\pi^*(g) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} (-1)^k \rho_1^{-k} \theta_k^T$$

where $\pi: SU(n) \to S(C \oplus W) (=SU(n)/SU(n-1))$ is the projection, and therefore

(ii) $K_T^*(SU(n), ad_i) = \Lambda_{R(T)}(\theta_1^T, \dots, \theta_{n-1}^T)$ as an algebra over R(T).

From Proposition 4.1 an analogous statement can be made as follows.

Proposition 4.2. Let G be a compact Lie group and $\rho: G \rightarrow U(n)$ a unitary representation of G. Then

$$K_G^*(SU(n), ad_p) = \Lambda_{R(G)}(\theta_1^G, \dots, \theta_{n-1}^G)$$

as an algenra over R(G).

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] M.F. Atiyah: Bott periodicity and the index of elliptic operators, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 19 (1968), 113-140.
- [2] L. Hodgkin: An equivariant Künneth formula in K-theory, 1968, University of Warwick, preprint.
- [3] H. Minami: A Künneth formula for equivariant K-theory, Osaka J. Math. 6 (1969), 143-146.
- [4] —: Equivariant K-ring of G-manifold $(U(n),ad_G)$ I, Osaka J. Math. 9 (1972), 367–377.
- [5] G.B. Segal: Equivariant K-theory, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 129-151.
- [6] ——: The representation ring of a compact Lie group, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. 34 (1968), 113-128.