

Title	Galois theory and ideals in commutative rings
Author(s)	Kreimer, H. F.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 12(2) P.441-P.448
Issue Date	1975
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5372">https://doi.org/10.18910/5372</a>
DOI	10.18910/5372
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## GALOIS THEORY AND IDEALS IN COMMUTATIVE RINGS\*

H.F. KREIMER

(Received May 7, 1974)

**Introduction.** Let  $A$  be a commutative ring with 1. For any ideal  $i$  of  $A$ , let  $r(i)$  denote the radical of  $i$ , which is the set of all elements of  $A$  some power of which lies in  $i$  or, equivalently, the intersection of all prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ . If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  and  $X$  is a unital  $A$ -module, let  $X_{\mathfrak{p}}$  denote the module of fractions of  $X$  with respect to the complement of  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ . If  $q$  is a primary ideal of  $A$ ; then  $\mathfrak{p} = r(q)$  is a prime ideal of  $A$  and the ideal-length of  $q$ , denoted by  $\lambda(q)$ , is the length of a composition series for the  $A$ -module  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/q A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

In the sequel, let  $B$  be a given commutative ring with 1, let  $G$  be a given finite group of automorphisms of  $B$ , and let  $A$  be the subring of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B$ . For any prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ ,  $G$  is represented as a group of automorphisms of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by the formula  $\sigma\left(\frac{b}{s}\right) = \frac{\sigma(b)}{s}$  for  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $b \in B$ , and  $s \in A - \mathfrak{p}$  and  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the subring of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  by [4, Chap. V, §1, Prop.

---

*Abstract.* Let  $B$  be a commutative ring with 1, Let  $G$  be a finite group of automorphisms of  $B$ , and let  $A$  be the subring of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B$ . For an  $A$ -subalgebra  $A'$  of  $B$ , which has the property that  $A'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a separable  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ , the following assertions are proved. If  $q$  is a primary ideal of  $A$ ; then  $q A'$  has a unique irredundant representation as a finite intersection of primary ideals of  $A'$ , each primary component of  $q A'$  lies over  $q$  and has ideal-length equal to the ideal-length of  $q$ , and the associated prime ideals of  $q A'$  are the prime ideals of  $A'$  which lie over the radical of  $q$ . If, in addition,  $A'$  is  $G$ -stable, then the contraction map is an isomorphism of the lattice of  $G$ -stable ideals of  $A'$  onto the lattice of ideals of  $A$ . Also it is demonstrated that there exists a maximal  $A$ -subalgebra  $A'$  of  $B$  which has the property that  $A'_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a separable  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $A$ , and this subalgebra is unique and  $G$ -stable.

---

*AMS 1970 subject classification.* Primary 13B05, 13B10; Secondary 13A15, 13B15.

*Key words and phrases.* Automorphism, commutative ring, prime ideal, primary ideal, separable algebra.

\* The author gratefully acknowledges support in his research from the National Science Foundation under grant #33027x.

23]. Letting  $A'$  be an  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$ ,  $A'_p$  is an  $A_p$ -subalgebra of  $B_p$  and the following property will be considered.

(P)  $A_p$  is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra for every prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$ .

If  $A'$  satisfies property (P); then  $A'_p$  is a finitely generated, projective  $A_p$ -module for every prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$ , according to the main theorem of [8]. In particular,  $A_p$  is a flat  $A_p$ -module for every prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$ ; and therefore  $A'$  is a flat  $A$ -module by [4, Chap. II, §3, Corollary to Prop. 15]. But let  $A'$  be any  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which is a flat  $A$ -module. Since  $B$  is integral over  $A$  [4, Chap. V, §1, Prop. 22],  $A'$  must also be integral over  $A$ . Consequently, every prime ideal of  $A$  is the contraction of a prime ideal of  $A'$  [4, Chap. V, §2, Thm. 1], and  $A'$  is a faithfully flat  $A$ -module by [4, Chap. I, §3, Prop. 9]. Then for any ideal  $i$  of  $A$ , the inclusion map of  $i$  into  $A$  induces an isomorphism of  $i \otimes_A A'$  onto  $i A'$  since  $A'$  is a flat  $A$ -module, and  $A \cap (i A') = i$  by [4, Chap. I, §3, Prop. 9]. Finally, if  $A'$  is an  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which satisfies property (P); then  $A'_p/p A'_p$  is a separable  $A_p/p A_p$ -algebra by [3, Prop. 1.4], and so  $A'$  is an unramified  $A$ -algebra as defined in [1].

**1. Ideal theory.** Notice that for any ideal  $i$  of  $A$ ,  $B \cap i$  is a  $G$ -stable ideal of  $B$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $B$  satisfies property (P), i.e.  $B_p$  is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra for every prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$ . The mapping  $I \mapsto A \cap I$  is an isomorphism of the lattice of  $G$ -stable ideals of  $B$  onto the lattice of ideals of  $A$ , and the inverse of this isomorphism is the mapping  $i \mapsto B \cap i$ .*

*Proof.* It is evident that the mapping  $I \mapsto A \cap I$  is a homomorphism of the lattice of  $G$ -stable ideals of  $B$  into the lattice of ideals of  $A$ ; and, to complete the proof, it is only necessary to show that the mapping  $i \mapsto B \cap i$  from the lattice of ideals of  $A$  into the lattice of  $G$ -stable ideals of  $B$  is the inverse of this homomorphism. It was noted in the introduction that  $A \cap (B \cap i) = i$  for any ideal  $i$  of  $A$ , and it remains to show that  $(A \cap I) \cap B = I$  for every  $G$ -stable ideal  $I$  of  $B$ . Letting  $/$  be a  $G$ -stable ideal of  $B$ , however,  $(A \cap I) \cap B \subseteq I$ ; and  $(A \cap I) \cap B = I$  if and only if  $[(A \cap I) \cap B]_p = I_p$  for every prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$  [4, Chap. II, §3, Thm. 1]. Moreover, for any given prime ideal  $p$  of  $A$ , it is readily verified that  $I_p$  is a  $G$ -stable ideal of  $B_p$ , it follows from [4, Chap. II, §2, Prop. 18] that  $[(A \cap I) \cap B]_p = (A \cap I)_p \cap B_p$ , and  $(A \cap I)_p = A_p \cap I_p$  by [4, Chap. II, §2, Remark following Thm. 1]. Consequently the proof may be restricted to the case in which  $B$  is a separable  $A$ -algebra. Accordingly, assume  $B$  is a separable  $A$ -algebra and let  $\Omega = \text{Hom}_A(B, B)$ . Since  $\Omega$  is generated as a left  $B$ -module by  $G$  [8, Prop. 3],  $/$  is a submodule of the left  $\Omega$ -module  $B$ ; and it is readily verified that the correspondence  $f \mapsto f(1)$  is an  $A$ -module isomorphism of  $\text{Hom}_\Omega(B, /)$  onto  $A \cap I$ . Also  $B$  is a finitely generated, projective  $A$ -module by

the main theorem of [8], and it follows from [2, Prop. A.3] that the trace ideal of  $B$  in  $A$  is all of  $A$ . Therefore the homomorphism of  $(A \cap I) \otimes_A B$  into  $I$ , which maps  $a \otimes b$  onto  $ab$  for  $a \in A \cap I$  and  $b \in B$ , is an isomorphism by [2, Prop. A.6]; and so  $(A \cap I)B = I$ .

If  $A'$  is an  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which is  $G$ -stable, then  $G$  may be represented as a group of automorphisms of  $A'$  for which  $A$  is the subring of invariant elements by restricting the elements of  $G$  to mappings on  $A'$ . Therefore theorem 1 may be applied to any  $G$ -stable,  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which satisfies property (P).

**Lemma.** *Let  $i$  be an ideal of a commutative ring  $A$  such that  $r(i)$  is the intersection of finitely many prime ideals of  $A$ .*

(i) *If  $p$  is a minimal element in the set of all prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ , then the set  $q = \{x \in A \mid xA \not\subseteq p\}$  is a primary ideal of  $A$  and  $r(q) = p$ .*

(ii) *If  $r(i)$  is the intersection of finitely many maximal ideals of  $A$  then  $i$  has a unique irredundant representation as a finite intersection of primary ideals of  $A$ , there are only finitely many maximal ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ , and they are the associated prime ideals of  $i$ .*

Proof. Let  $p$  be a prime ideal of  $A$  which contains  $i$ , and let  $q = \{x \in A \mid xA \not\subseteq p\}$ . Note that  $i_p$  is an ideal of  $A_p$ , while  $q$  is the contraction of  $i_p$  and it is the kernel of the canonical homomorphism of  $A$  into  $(A/i)_{(p/i)}$  by [4, Chap. II, §2, Prop. 10 and Prop. 11]. If  $a$  and  $b$  are elements of  $A$  such that  $ab \in q$ , then there exists  $c \in A$  such that  $abc \in i$  but  $c \notin p$ . If  $a \notin q$ ; then  $i : aA \subseteq p$ , in particular  $bc \in p$ , and so  $b \in p$ . Since  $i \subseteq p$ ,  $r(i) \subseteq p$ ; and, letting  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  be distinct prime ideals of  $A$  such that  $r(i) = \bigcap_{k=1}^n p_k$ , there must exist an integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , such that  $p_k \subseteq p$ . Thus, if  $p$  is a minimal element in the set of all prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ ; then  $p = p_k$  for some integer  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , say  $p = p_1$ , and  $p_k \not\subseteq p$  for  $k \neq 1$ . Therefore  $\bigcap_{k=2}^n p_k \not\subseteq p$ , and there exists  $c \in \prod_{k=2}^n p_k$  such that  $c \notin p$ . Now if  $b \in p$ , then  $bc \in r(i)$  and there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $b^m c^m \in i$ . But since  $c \notin p$ ,  $c^m \notin p$  and therefore  $b^m \in q$ . Thus  $q$  is a primary ideal of  $A$  and  $r(q) = p$  by [10, Chap. III, Thm. 13].

Now suppose that  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are maximal ideals of  $A$ . In this case, if  $p$  is any prime ideal of  $A$  which contains  $i$ , then  $p = p_k$  for some integer  $k$ ,  $1 < k < n$ . Therefore  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  are the only prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ , and each of them is a minimal element in the set of all prime ideals of  $A$  which contain  $i$ . Setting  $q_k = \{x \in A \mid xA \not\subseteq p_k\}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $i = \bigcap_{k=1}^n q_k$  since the canonical homomorphism of  $A/i$  into the direct product  $\prod_{k=1}^n (A/i)_{(p_k/i)}$  is injective by [4,

Chap. II, §3, Cor. 2 to Thm. 1]. Thus a finite, irredundant primary representation for  $\iota$ , in which the primary components are isolated, is obtained; and this representation is unique by [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 8].

**Theorem 2.** *If  $A'$  is an unramified  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  and a flat  $A$ -module, and  $q$  is a primary ideal of  $A$  then:*

- (i)  *$q A'$  has a unique irredundant representation as a finite intersection of primary ideals of  $A'$*
- (ii) *The associated prime ideals of  $q A'$  are the prime ideals of  $A'$  which lie over  $r(q)$*
- (iii) *The primary components of  $q A'$  lie over  $q$ ;*
- (iv) *For any primary component  $q'$  of  $q A'$ ,  $\lambda(q') = \lambda(q)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A'$  be an unramified  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which is a flat  $A$ -module, let  $q$  be a primary ideal of  $A$ , and let  $p = r(q)$ . Then  $q A_p$  is a primary ideal of  $A_p$ ,  $p A_p$  is its radical, and  $q$  and  $p$  are contracted ideals by [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 16]. In particular,  $q$  is the kernel of the canonical homomorphism of  $A$  into  $A_p/q A_p$ . Since  $A'$  is a flat  $A$ -module,  $q \otimes_A A'$  is naturally isomorphic to the kernel of the canonical homomorphism of  $A'$  into  $A_p/q A_p$ ; and therefore  $q A'$  is the contraction of the ideal  $q A_p$ . Also, for any prime (resp. primary) ideal  $q'$  of  $A'$ ,  $A \cap q'$  is a prime (resp. primary) ideal of  $A$ ,  $(A \cap q' A_p = A_p \cap (q' A_p))$  by [4, Chap. II, §2, Remark following Thm. 1], and  $\lambda(q') = \lambda(q A_p)$  while  $\lambda(q) = \lambda(q A_p)$  by [10, Chap. IV, Cor. to Thm. 26]. It now follows from [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 15, Thm. 16, and their corollaries] that if the theorem is verified for the ideals  $q A_p$  and  $q A'_p$ , then it is true for the ideals  $q$  and  $q A'$ . Thus it is sufficient to prove the theorem under the additional assumptions that  $A$  is a local ring,  $p = r(q)$  is the unique maximal ideal of  $A$ , and  $A'/p A'$  is a separable algebra over the field  $A/p$ . Then  $A'/p A'$  is a finite dimensional algebra over  $A/p$  by [9, Prop. 1.1], it is a semi-simple algebra by [5, Chap. IX, Prop. 7.3 and Prop. 7.7], and it follows readily that  $p A'$  is an intersection of finitely many maximal ideals of  $A'$ . Consequently  $p A'$  must be the radical of  $q A'$ ; and by the preceding lemma,  $q A'$  has a unique irredundant representation as a finite intersection of primary ideals of  $A'$  and the associated prime ideals of  $q A'$  are the maximal ideals of  $A'$  which contain  $q A'$ .

Any prime ideal of  $A'$  which lies over  $p$  contains  $q A'$ , and therefore it must coincide with one of the maximal ideals of  $A'$  which is an associated prime of  $q A'$  [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 7]. Now let  $q'$  be a primary component of  $q A'$  and let  $p' = r(q')$ . Then  $A \cap p'$  must coincide with the maximal ideal  $p = r(q)$ . According to the proof of the preceding lemma or [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 8]

$q' = \{x \in A' \mid qA' : xA' \not\subseteq p'\}$ , while  $q = \{x \in A \mid q : xA \not\subseteq p\}$  since  $q$  is a primary ideal. Given  $x \in A, (q : xA) A' = (q A' : xA')$  by [4, Chap. I, §2, remark following Cor. 2 to Prop. 12], since  $A'$  is a flat  $A$ -module. If  $x \notin q$ ; then  $q : xA \subseteq p, q A' : xA' \subseteq p A' \subseteq p'$ , and  $x \notin q'$ . Thus  $A \cap q' \subseteq q$ , but clearly  $q = A \cap q A' \subseteq A \cap q'$ , and so  $A \cap q' = q$ . Since  $A$  is a local ring with unique maximal ideal  $p, A_p = A$  and  $\lambda(q)$  is the length of a composition series for the  $A$ -module  $A/q$ . Let  $i$  and  $j$  be ideals of  $A$  such that  $q \subseteq j \subseteq i \subseteq p$  and  $i/j$  is isomorphic as an  $A$ -module to  $A/p$ . Since  $A'_p$  is a flat  $A$ -module by [4, Chap. II, §3, Prop. 14];  $q A'_p \subseteq j A'_p \subseteq i \cdot A'_p \subseteq p \cdot A'_p$  and  $i \cdot A'_p / j \cdot A'_p \simeq (i/j) \otimes_A A'_p \simeq (A/p) \otimes_A A'_p \simeq A'_p / p A'_p$  as  $A'$ -modules. But  $p A'_p = (p \circ A') \cdot A'_p$  coincides with the maximal ideal  $p' \cdot A'_p$  of  $A'_p$  by [10, Chap. IV, Thm. 17], and it then follows readily that  $\lambda(q) = \lambda(q')$ .

As indicated in the introduction, any  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which satisfies property (P) will satisfy the hypotheses of theorem 2.

**2. Examples and an existence theorem.** The purpose of this section is to investigate further the property (P). The following example shows that the ring  $B$  may satisfy property (P) but fail to be a separable  $A$ -algebra.

EXAMPLE 1. Consider a ring of infinite sequences of complex numbers with the usual component-wise addition and multiplication of sequences, and let  $B$  be the subring of those infinite sequences for which all but finitely many terms of the sequence are real and equal. An automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $B$  is determined by assigning to each element  $b$  of  $B$  the infinite sequence whose terms are complex conjugates of the terms of  $b$ , and  $\sigma^2$  is the identity map on  $B$ . Letting  $G$  be the group consisting of  $\sigma$  and the identity automorphism of  $B$ , the subring  $A$  of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B$  consists of all infinite sequences of real numbers for which all but finitely many terms of the sequence are equal. For any prime ideal  $p$  in  $A$ , it is readily verified that either there exists a positive integer  $k$  such that  $p$  consists of all elements of  $A$  with zero  $k$ -th term, in which case  $B_p$  is isomorphic to the field of complex numbers and  $A_p$  is isomorphic to the subfield of real numbers, or  $p$  consists of all elements of  $A$  with only finitely many non-zero terms, in which case  $B_p$  and  $A_p$  are equal and isomorphic to the field of real numbers. Therefore  $B$  satisfies property (P). But it is also easily seen that  $B$  is not a finitely generated  $A$ -module. As a consequence of the main theorem of [8],  $B$  is not a separable  $A$ -algebra.

The next example demonstrates that  $B$  may be an unramified  $A$ -algebra and a flat  $A$ -module, but fail to satisfy property (P). Thus property (P) is a sufficient but not necessary condition for the conclusions of theorem 2 to be obtained.

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $\Phi$  be a field of characteristic not equal to two, and let  $\{x_i | i=1, 2, \dots\}$  be a countably infinite set of elements which are algebraically independent over  $\Phi$ . Letting  $B_i$  be the localization of  $\Phi[x_i]$  with respect to its maximal ideal  $(x_i)$ , the  $\Phi$ -algebra homomorphism of  $\Phi[x_i]$  into  $\Phi[x_{i+1}]$  which maps  $x_i$  onto  $x_{i+1}^3$  has a unique extension to a homomorphism of  $B_i$  into  $B_{i+1}$ . Using these homomorphisms, the direct limit  $B$  of the rings  $B_i$  may be formed; and letting  $\omega_i$  be the canonical homomorphism of  $B_i$  into  $B$  and  $y_i = \omega_i(x_i)$ ,  $y_i = y_{i+1}^3$  for  $i=1, 2, \dots$ . For each positive integer  $i$ , the  $\Phi$ -algebra automorphism of  $\Phi[x_i]$  which maps  $x_i$  onto  $-x_i$  has a unique extension to an automorphism of  $B_i$ ; and these automorphisms determine a  $\Phi$ -algebra automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $B$  such that  $\sigma(y_i) = -y_i$  and  $\sigma^2$  is the identity map. Let  $G$  be the group consisting of  $\sigma$  and the identity automorphism, and let  $A$  be the subring of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B$ . For a given positive integer  $i$ , consider the subring  $A[y_i]$  of  $B$ . Since  $y_i^2 \in A$ ,  $A[y_i]$  is generated as an  $A$ -module by its elements 1 and  $y_i$ . If  $a_0 y_i^2 + a_1 y_i - 0 = 0$  for  $a_0, a_1 \in A$ , then  $a_0 - a_1 y_i = \sigma(a_0 + a_1 y_i) = 0$ . Adding the two equations,  $2a_0 = 0$ ; and hence  $a_0 = 0$  and  $a_1 = 0$ . Thus 1 and  $y_i$  freely generate the  $A$ -module  $A[y_i]$ ; and, in particular,  $A[y_i]$  is a flat  $A$ -module. Also, since  $y_i = y_{i+1}^3$ ,  $A[y_i] \subseteq A[y_{i+1}]$ . Consequently,  $B$  is the union of its subrings  $A[y_i]$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ ; and  $B$  is a flat  $A$ -module by [4, Chap. I, §2, Prop. 2].

Now let  $P$  be a prime ideal of  $B$  and let  $p = A \cap P$ . If  $P$  is the zero ideal of  $B$ ; then  $A_p/p \simeq A_p$  is the field of fractions of  $A$ , and from [4, Chap. V, §1, Prop. 23] it follows that  $B_p/p \simeq B_p$  is the field of fractions of  $B$  and  $A_p$  is the subfield of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $B_p$ . In that case,  $B_p/p \simeq B_p$  is a separable field extension of  $A_p/p \simeq A_p$ . Henceforth, assume that  $P$  is a proper prime ideal of  $B$ . Then there must exist a positive integer  $k$  such that  $\omega_i^{-1}(P)$  is a proper prime ideal of  $B_k$ . The only proper prime ideals of  $\Phi[x_k]$  are its maximal ideals, however, and so, by [4, Chap. II, §2, Prop. 11] there can be only one proper prime ideal of  $B_k$  and it is generated by  $x_k$ . Therefore  $y_k \in P$ , and from the equations  $y_i = y_{i+1}^3$  it follows that  $y_i \in P$  for every positive integer  $i$ . But it is readily verified that the ideal of  $B$  generated by the  $y_i$ ,  $i=1, 2, \dots$ , is a maximal ideal with a residue class ring which is naturally isomorphic to  $\Phi$  and so  $P$  must be this ideal. Then  $p$  is a maximal ideal of  $A$  by [4, Chap. V, §2, Prop. 1], and there exist natural isomorphisms of  $A_p/p \simeq A_p$  onto  $A/p$  and  $B_p/p \simeq (A_p/p) \otimes_A B$  onto  $B/p \simeq (A/p) \otimes_A B$  by [4, Chap. II, §3, Prop. 2]. Since  $y_{i+1}^2 \in p$  and  $y_i = y_{i+1}^3 \in B \cdot p$  for every positive integer  $i$ ,  $B/p = P$  and  $B/B \cdot p = B/P = \Phi = A/p$ . Again in this instance,  $B_p/p \simeq B_p$  is a separable field extension of  $A_p/p \simeq A_p$ ; and consequently  $B$  is an unramified  $A$ -algebra. Also  $A$  and  $B$  are local rings with maximal ideals  $p$  and  $P$  respectively, and hence the  $A$ -algebra  $B$  satisfies property (P) only if  $B$  is a separable  $A$ -algebra. Since  $B$  has no non-trivial idempotent elements; were  $B$  a separable  $A$ -algebra,  $B$  would be a Galois extension of  $A$  with Galois group  $G$  by [6, Thm. 1.3]. But

then the canonical representation of  $G$  as a group of automorphisms of  $E \setminus P$  would be faithful by [7, Thm. 1.4], and yet  $\sigma$  induces the identity automorphism on  $B/P$ . Therefore  $B$  is not a separable  $A$ -algebra.

The property (P), however, admits the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** *There exists an  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which satisfies property (P), is stable under  $G$ , and contains every other  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  satisfying property (P).*

*Proof.* Let  $p$  be any given prime ideal of  $A$ ; and notice that if  $A'$  is an  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  for which  $A'_p$  is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra, for instance if  $A$  satisfies property (P), then  $A'_p$  is a free  $A_p$ -module whose rank does not exceed the order of the group  $G$  by the main theorem of [8]. Partially order the  $A$ -subalgebras of  $B$  which satisfy property (P) by inclusion, let  $F$  be a chain of such subalgebras of  $B$ , and let  $\bar{A} = \bigcup_{A' \in F} A'$ . For the given prime ideal  $p$  of  $A$ , choose an element  $A'$  of  $F$  so that the rank of the  $A_p$ -module  $A'_p$  is as large as possible. If  $B'$  is an element of  $F$  such that  $A' \subseteq B'$ ; then  $A'_p \subseteq B'_p$ , and, as a consequence of [8, Lemma 1],  $B'_p/A'_p$  is also a free  $A_p$ -module and  $\text{rank}(A'_p) + \text{rank}(B'_p/A'_p) = \text{rank}(B'_p)$ . But because of the choice of  $A'$ ;  $\text{rank}(A'_p) = \text{rank}(B'_p)$ ,  $\text{rank}(B'_p/A'_p) = 0$ , and  $A'_p = B'_p$ . Consequently  $\bar{A}_p = A'_p$ , and  $A_p$  is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra. Thus it is seen that the  $A$ -algebra  $A$  satisfies property (P), and certainly it is an upper bound for  $F$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a maximal  $A$ -subalgebra  $C$  of  $B$  satisfying property (P). Now let  $A'$  be any  $A$ -subalgebra of  $B$  which satisfies property (P). Since  $(A' C)_p$  is a homomorphic image of the tensor product of the  $A_p$ -algebras  $A'_p$  and  $C_p$ , it is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra by [3, Prop. 1.4 and Prop. 1.5]. Thus  $A' C$  satisfies property (P), and so  $A' \cdot C = C$  or  $A' \subseteq C$ . Letting  $\sigma \in G$ ,  $\sigma$  induces an  $A_p$ -algebra isomorphism of  $C_p$  onto  $\sigma(C_p)$ , and thus  $\sigma(C_p)$  is a separable  $A_p$ -algebra. Therefore  $\sigma(C)$  satisfies property (P), and so  $\sigma(C) \subseteq C$ .

FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY

---

### References

- [1] M. Auslander and D. A. Buchsbaum: *On ramification theory in Noetherian rings*, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 749-765.
- [2] M. Auslander and O. Goldman: *Maximal orders*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 1-24.
- [3] ———: *The Brauer group of a commutative ring*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 97 (1960), 367-409.
- [4] N. Bourbaki: *Algebra Commutative*, Hermann, Paris, 1961.



- [5] H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg: *Homological Algebra*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J. 1956.
- [6] S.U. Chase, D.K. Harrison, and A. Rosenberg: *Galois theory and cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 15-33.
- [7] H.F. Kreimer: *Galois theory for noncommutative rings and normal bases*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1967), 42-49.
- [8] ———: *Automorphisms of commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 203 (1975), 77-85.
- [9] O.E. Villamayor and D. Zelinsky: *Galois theory for rings with finitely many idempotents*, Nagoya Math. J. 27 (1966), 721-731.
- [10] O. Zariski and P. Samuel: *Commutative Algebra*, Vol. 1, D. Van Nostrand Co. Inc. Princeton, N.J. 1958.