

Title	The first crystal structure of an alkaline metal salt of thioglucose : potassium 1-thio- β -D-glucoside monohydrate
Author(s)	Nobuto, Yoshinari; Naoki, Kitani; Konno, Takumi
Citation	Acta Crystallographica Section C. 2012, 68(12), p. m363-m366
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://hdl.handle.net/11094/54383
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka





The first crystal structure of an alkaline metal salt of thioglucose: potassium 1-thio- β -D-glucoside monohydrate

Nobuto Yoshinari, Naoki Kitani and Takumi Konno

Acta Cryst. (2012). C68, m363-m366



IUCr Journals CRYSTALLOGRAPHY JOURNALS ONLINE

Copyright © International Union of Crystallography

Author(s) of this paper may load this reprint on their own web site or institutional repository provided that this cover page is retained. Republication of this article or its storage in electronic databases other than as specified above is not permitted without prior permission in writing from the IUCr.

For further information see http://journals.iucr.org/services/authorrights.html



Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications ISSN 0108-2701

The first crystal structure of an alkaline metal salt of thioglucose: potassium 1-thio- β -D-glucoside monohydrate

Nobuto Yoshinari,* Naoki Kitani and Takumi Konno

Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan Correspondence e-mail: nobuto@chem.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

Received 10 August 2012 Accepted 15 November 2012 Online 24 November 2012

In the crystal structure of the title hydrated salt, poly[(μ_2 -aqua)(μ_4 -1-sulfido- β -D-glucoside)potassium], [K(C₆H₁₁O₅S)-(H₂O)]_n or K⁺·C₆H₁₁O₅S⁻·H₂O, each thioglucoside anion coordinates to four K⁺ cations through three of its four hydroxy groups, forming a three-dimensional polymeric structure. The negatively charged thiolate group in each anion does not form an efficient coordination bond with a K⁺ cation, but forms intermolecular hydrogen bonds with four hydroxy groups, which appears to sustain the polymeric structure. The Cremer–Pople parameters for the thioglucoside ligand (Q = 0.575, $\theta = 8.233^{\circ}$ and $\varphi = 353.773^{\circ}$) indicate a slight distortion of the pyranose ring.

Comment

In recent years, thiosugars, especially thiol-containing monosaccharides, have attracted considerable attention; this is because of their unique chemical reactivity due to the presence of the thiol group, as well as the physicochemical and biochemical properties that are inherent in sugars (Witczak & Culhane, 2005). Among this class of compounds, 1-thio- β -Dglucose (H₅tg) is one of the simplest thiol-containing hexoses and is commonly used as a raw material for artificial organic thioglycosides. In the field of coordination chemistry, H₅tg has been used as a chiral ligand toward thiophilic metal centres, giving metal complexes with a deprotonated thiolate donor (Okamoto et al., 1994; Leipnitz et al., 2001). Owing to the presence of a sugar backbone in their structures, metal complexes derived from H₅tg often show hydrophilicity and biocompatibility. Hence, H5tg and/or its metal complexes have been applied not only as antirheumatoid drugs (Shaw, 1999), but also as coating reagents for gold nanoparticles (Watanabe et al., 2010).

While the applications of H_5 tg have developed rapidly, crystallographic information is not extensive. The first structural investigation was made by Waser & Watson (1963) who elucidated the molecular structure of a H_5 tg residue in Sinigrin

doi:10.1107/S0108270112047014 electronic reprint

[potassium (*E*)-1-{[(2*S*,3*R*,4*S*,5*S*,6*R*)-3,4,5-trihydroxy-6-(hydroxymethyl)oxan-2-yl]sulfanyl}but-3-enylideneamino sulfate], which is a kind of thioglycoside extracted from cruciferous plants. After this investigation, a number of S-substituted derivatives of H₅tg were prepared and structurally characterized (Marsh & Waser, 1970; Jaki et al., 2002; Kuhn et al., 2004). Nevertheless, the structural determination of H₅tg itself has not vet been reported and, furthermore, only two transition metal complexes derived from H₅tg, namely $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S, O)-$ (en)₂]NO₃ (en is ethylenediamine) [Cambridge Structural Database (CSD) refcode ZIGGOL; Okamoto et al., 1994] and $[\text{ReO}(\text{tren})(\text{H}_4\text{tg-}\kappa S)]$ [tren is tris(2-aminoethyl)amine; CSD refcode AMONUL; Leipnitz et al., 2001], have been structurally characterized to date. In these complexes, H₅tg is partially deprotonated and strongly bound to the metal centre through its thiolate donor group.



In the course of our study on the preparation of metal complexes derived from H_5tg , we found that the hydrolysis of 2,3,4,6-*O*-acetyl-1-thio- β -D-glucose with KOH gave a mono-hydrate form of potassium 1-thio- β -D-glucose, *viz*. KH₄tg: H₂O, (I), which was easily crystallized from water by diffusing acetone. Herein we report the molecular and supramolecular structure of (I). This is the first structural determination of an alkaline metal salt of thioglucose.

Salt (I) consists of one K⁺ cation, one H₄tg⁻ anion and one water molecule, all in general positions in the asymmetric unit (Fig. 1). The absolute configuration of five asymmetric C atoms (C1, C2, C3, C4 and C5) in the H₄tg⁻ anion were determined to be *S*, *R*, *S*, *S* and *R*, respectively, based on the Flack (1983) parameter. For the H₄tg⁻ anion, a thiol group is





A perspective view of the title compound, showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 70% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iii) x - 1, y, z; (vi) x + 1, y, z; (viii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (ix) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y, z - \frac{1}{2}$.]



Figure 2

A view of the one-dimensional chain structure along the crystallographic *a* axis in (I). Dashed lines indicate $O-H \cdot \cdot \cdot S$ hydrogen bonds. [Symmetry codes: (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (vi) x + 1, y, z.]

deprotonated while four hydroxy groups remain protonated. This is consistent with the higher acidity of a thiol group compared with a hydroxy group. As shown in Fig. 1, a thiolate S atom and three of four hydroxy O atoms in each H_4tg^- anion, together with a water O atom, bind to symmetry-related K⁺ ions. On the other hand, the K⁺ ion is eight-coordinate, being bound by one thiolate S atom (S1), four bridging hydroxy O atoms (O1, O1ⁱⁱ, O2ⁱⁱ and O2ⁱⁱⁱ, with the bridges being to adjacent K⁺ cations) and one monodentate hydroxy O atom (O5ⁱ) from four H_4tg^- anions, as well as two bridging water O atoms (O6 and O6ⁱⁱ) (symmetry codes as in Fig. 1). It is noteworthy that the K–S distance [K1–S1 = 3.5273 (6) Å; Table 1] is longer than the distances found in general potassium thiolates (3.055–3.216 Å; Chadwick *et al.*, 1997). This

implies that the thiolate group in the H_4tg^- anion does not have a high coordination ability to a K⁺ ion. The other bond lengths and angles, including K–O bonds [2.7669 (14)– 3.099 (2) Å], are in the ranges normally observed for related compounds.

In (I), each K^+ cation is triply bridged to each of two neighbouring K^+ cations by O atoms (O1, O2, O6 and their symmetry-related counterparts) to give a one-dimensional chain structure along the crystallographic *a* axis (Fig. 2). This chain structure is supported by K-S bonding interactions and intermolecular O-H···S hydrogen bonds $[O5\cdots S1^{vi};$ symmetry code: (vi) x + 1, y, z]. Moreover, each one-dimensional chain connects with four neighbouring chains through a linkage involving the K1-O5ⁱ bond (symmetry code as in Fig. 1), completing a three-dimensional network structure in the crystal packing (Fig. 3). There exist four different O-H···O hydrogen bonds (Table 2) which also support the threedimensional structure in (I).

As described above, the thiolate group of the H_4tg^- anion does not form an efficient coordination bond with a K⁺ cation but forms intermolecular hydrogen bonds with four hydroxy groups in (I). This result obviously shows that the thiolate group in the H_4tg^- anion acts as a good hydrogen-bonding acceptor even when an alkaline metal ion exists in its vicinity. Note that the thiolate group in $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S,O)(en)_2]NO_3$ forms only one intermolecular $N-H\cdots S$ hydrogen bond $(N\cdots S = 3.29 \text{ Å})$ and $[ReO(tren)(H_4tg-\kappa S)]$ does not form any hydrogen bonds involving the S atom, which is understood by the formation of a strong coordination bond with the transition metal centre.

In order to estimate the distortion of the pyranose ring, the Cremer–Pople theory (Cremer & Pople, 1975) is useful. The parameters of (I), $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S,O)(en)_2]NO_3$ and $[ReO(tren)-(H_4tg-\kappa S)]$ are as follows: Q = 0.575, $\theta = 8.233^{\circ}$ and $\varphi =$



Figure 3

A view of the three-dimensional framework structure in (I). Darker and lighter (black and red in the electronic version of the paper) dashed lines indicate O-H···S and O-H···O hydrogen bonds, respectively. [Symmetry codes: (iv) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) -x + 2, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) x + 1, y, z; (vii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}$, -y + 1, $z + \frac{1}{2}$.]

353.773° for (I); Q = 0.577, $\theta = 14.303^{\circ}$ and $\varphi = 52.810^{\circ}$ for $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S, O)(en)_2]NO_3; Q = 0.555, \theta = 3.112^\circ \text{ and } \varphi =$ 313.544° for [ReO(tren)(H₄tg- κ S)]. The θ value increases for the pyranose ring, which measures the deviation from an ideal chair conformation ($\theta = 0$ or 180°), in the sequence [ReO- $(\text{tren})(\text{H}_4\text{tg}-\kappa S)] < (I) < [Co(\text{H}_3\text{tg}-\kappa^2 S,O)(\text{en})_2]NO_3$. This trend in the ring distortion is explained by the fact that the thioglucoside ligand coordinates to a metal centre in a chelating mode in (I) and $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S,O)(en)_2]NO_3$, while the ligand coordinates to a metal centre in a monodentate mode in [ReO(tren)(H₄tg- κS)]. In addition, the ligand coordinates to a K^+ centre much more weakly than to a Co^{3+} centre, which is responsible for the less distorted pyranose ring in (I) compared with that in $[Co(H_3tg-\kappa^2 S,O)(en)_2]NO_3$.

In summary, this study provides a significant insight into the molecular and supramolecular structures of an alkaline metal salt of 1-thio- β -D-glucose for the first time. The crystal structure of (I) is composed of one-dimensional chains sustained by K-O coordination bonds, which are further assembled into a three-dimensional structure by forming K-O coordination bonds and O-H···O hydrogen bonds. Unlike the known transition metal complexes derived from H₅tg, the thiolate group in H₄tg⁻ does not form an efficient coordination bond with K^+ in (I) but forms multiple $O-H \cdots S$ hydrogen bonds. This observation demonstrates that the thiolate group in H₄tg⁻ acts as a good hydrogen-bond acceptor, which should contribute to the design and development of new thioglucosebased supramolecular systems.

Experimental

A sample of 2,3,4,6-O-acetyl-1-thio- β -D-glucose (4.99 g, 0.144 mol) was added to a solution containing KOH (2.23 g, 0.391 mol) in methanol (200 ml). After stirring for 9 h under an N2 atmosphere, the resulting white powder was collected by filtration, washed with ethanol and acetone, and dried in vacuo (yield 2.47 g, 70%). Analysis calculated for C₆H₁₃KO₆S: C 28.56, H 5.19%; found: C 28.53, H 4.95%. ¹H NMR [400 MHz, D₂O, p.p.m. from sodium 3-(trimethylsilvl)propane-1-sulfonate (DSS)]: δ 4.52 (1H, t, J = 9.0 Hz), 3.84 (1H, dd, J = 12.5, 1.5 Hz), 3.67-3.64 (1H, m), 3.42-3.37 (3H, m), 3.03-2.99 (1H, m). Single crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by diffusion of acetone to its aqueous solution.

Crystal data

$[K(C_6H_{11}O_5S)(H_2O)]$	$V = 970.88 (7) \text{ Å}^3$
$M_r = 252.32$	Z = 4
Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 6.8625 (3) Å	$\mu = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 10.0319 (4) Å	T = 200 K
c = 14.1026 (6) Å	$0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

Table 1

Selected bond lengths (Å).

$K1 - O5^i$	2.7669 (14)	K1-O6	2.8774 (16)
K1-O1 ⁱⁱ	2.7699 (14)	$K1 - O2^{ii}$	3.0795 (15)
K1-O1	2.7890 (14)	$K1 - O6^{ii}$	3.099 (2)
K1-O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.8387 (14)	K1-S1	3.5273 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y, z + \frac{1}{2}$; (ii) $x - \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (iii) x - 1, y, z.

Table 2

H	lyd	rogen-	bond	geome	etry	(A,	°).
---	-----	--------	------	-------	------	-----	-----

$D - H \cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdot \cdot \cdot A$
$O1-H1\cdots S1^{iv}$	0.80 (2)	2.49 (2)	3.2659 (14)	164 (2)
$O2-H2\cdots O4^{v}$	0.80(2)	2.27 (2)	3.0505 (18)	167 (3)
$O2-H2\cdots O5^{v}$	0.80(2)	2.55 (2)	3.026 (2)	119 (2)
$O3-H3 \cdot \cdot \cdot S1^v$	0.83(2)	2.41 (2)	3.1839 (15)	156 (2)
$O5-H5\cdots S1^{vi}$	0.85(2)	2.61(2)	3.3971 (16)	154 (2)
O6−H6···O4 ^{iv}	0.82(2)	2.55 (2)	3.163 (2)	134 (3)
O6−H6···S1 ^{iv}	0.82(2)	2.79 (2)	3.5839 (16)	164 (3)
$O6-H6A\cdots O3^{vii}$	0.82 (2)	2.08 (2)	2.892 (2)	175 (3)

Symmetry codes: (iv) -x + 1, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (v) -x + 2, $y + \frac{1}{2}$, $-z + \frac{1}{2}$; (vi) x + 1, y, z; (vii) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, -y + 1, z + \frac{1}{2}$.

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID	9524 measured reflections
diffractometer	2209 independent reflections
Absorption correction: multi-scan	2171 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
(ABSCOR; Rigaku, 1995)	$R_{\rm int} = 0.079$
$T_{\min} = 0.803, \ T_{\max} = 0.894$	
Refinement	

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$	H atoms treated by a mixture of
$wR(F^2) = 0.078$	independent and constrained
S = 1.10	refinement
2209 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.60 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
145 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.30 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$
6 restraints	Absolute structure: Flack (1983),
	913 Friedel pairs
	Flack parameter: -0.01 (4)

H atoms bound to C atoms were placed at calculated positions $[C-H = 0.99 (CH_2)$ and 1.00 Å (CH)] and refined as riding, with $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2 U_{\rm eq}({\rm C})$. All H atoms bound to O atoms were located in a difference Fourier map and were refined with distance restraints and constrained displacement parameters [O-H = 0.84 (2) Å and $U_{\rm iso}({\rm H}) = 1.2U_{\rm eq}({\rm O})].$

Data collection: RAPID-AUTO (Rigaku, 2000); cell refinement: RAPID-AUTO; data reduction: RAPID-AUTO; program(s) used to solve structure: SIR92 (Altomare et al., 1994); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: Yadokari-XG 2009 (Kabuto et al., 2009); software used to prepare material for publication: Yadokari-XG 2009.

This work was supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (grant No. 23350026) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology of Japan, and by the Kurata memorial Hitachi Science and Technology Foundation.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: EM3054). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

Altomare, A., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Burla, M. C., Polidori, G. & Camalli, M. (1994). J. Appl. Cryst. 27, 435.

Chadwick, S., Englich, U. & Ruhlandt-Senge, K. (1997). Organometallics, 16, 5792-5803

Cremer, D. & Pople, J. A. (1975). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 97, 1354-1358.

Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.

Jaki, B., Sticher, O., Veit, M., Fröhlich, R. & Pauli, G. F. (2002). J. Nat. Prod. 65, 517-522

- Kabuto, C., Akine, S., Nemoto, T. & Kwon, E. (2009). Nihon Kessho Gakkaishi, 51, 218–224.
- Kuhn, J., Pettersson, E. M., Feld, B. K., Burse, A., Termonia, A., Pasteels, J. M. & Boland, W. (2004). *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA*, **101**, 13808–13813.
- Leipnitz, P., Reck, G., Pietzsch, H.-J. & Spies, H. (2001). Forschungszent Rossendorf (Ber), 311, 102-103.
- Marsh, R. E. & Waser, J. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 1030-1037.
- Okamoto, K.-I., Arashi, K., Hidaka, J. & Konno, T. (1994). Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn, 67, 2736–3743.
- Rigaku (1995). ABSCOR. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Rigaku (2000). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Shaw, C. F. III (1999). Chem. Rev. 99, 2589-2600
- Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). Acta Cryst. A64, 112–122.
- Waser, J. & Watson, W. H. (1963). Nature, 198, 1297–1298.
- Watanabe, S., Yoshida, K., Shinkawa, K., Kumagawa, D. & Seguchi, H. (2010). Colloids Surf. A, 81, 570–577.
- Witczak, Z. J. & Culhane, J. M. (2005). Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol. 69, 237– 244.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2012). C68, m363-m366 [doi:10.1107/S0108270112047014]

The first crystal structure of an alkaline metal salt of thioglucose: potassium 1-thio- β -D-glucoside monohydrate

Nobuto Yoshinari, Naoki Kitani and Takumi Konno

Poly[(μ_2 -aqua)(μ_4 -1-sulfido- β -D-glucoside)potassium]

Crystal data

K⁺·C₆H₁₁O₅S⁻·H₂O $M_r = 252.32$ Orthorhombic, $P2_12_12_1$ Hall symbol: P 2ac 2ab a = 6.8625 (3) Å b = 10.0319 (4) Å c = 14.1026 (6) Å V = 970.88 (7) Å³ Z = 4

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID diffractometer Radiation source: rotating-anode X-ray tube Detector resolution: 10.000 pixels mm⁻¹ ω scans Absorption correction: multi-scan (*ABSCOR*; Rigaku, 1995) $T_{min} = 0.803, T_{max} = 0.894$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 Least-squares matrix: full $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.038$ $wR(F^2) = 0.078$ S = 1.102209 reflections 145 parameters 6 restraints Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map F(000) = 528 $D_x = 1.726 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation, $\lambda = 0.71075 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 9342 reflections $\theta = 3.3-27.5^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.76 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 200 KBlock, colourless $0.30 \times 0.15 \times 0.15 \text{ mm}$

9524 measured reflections 2209 independent reflections 2171 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{int} = 0.079$ $\theta_{max} = 27.5^{\circ}, \ \theta_{min} = 3.3^{\circ}$ $h = -8 \rightarrow 8$ $k = -12 \rightarrow 12$ $l = -18 \rightarrow 17$

Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained refinement $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0268P)^2 + 0.2347P]$ where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} < 0.001$ $\Delta\rho_{max} = 0.60 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ $\Delta\rho_{min} = -0.30 \text{ e } \text{Å}^{-3}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983), 913 Friedel pairs Flack parameter: -0.01 (4)

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted *R*-factor *wR* and goodness of fit *S* are based on F^2 , conventional *R*-factors *R* are based on *F*, with *F* set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating *R*-factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. *R*-factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on *F*, and *R*- factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

	x	у	Ζ	$U_{\rm iso}$ */ $U_{\rm eq}$
K1	0.31561 (6)	0.16793 (4)	0.45442 (3)	0.01885 (13)
S1	0.48800 (7)	0.05321 (4)	0.23337 (3)	0.01553 (13)
01	0.6474 (2)	0.27794 (13)	0.37124 (9)	0.0157 (3)
H1	0.593 (3)	0.3434 (19)	0.3516 (18)	0.019*
O2	1.0557 (2)	0.34495 (14)	0.36133 (9)	0.0164 (3)
H2	1.077 (4)	0.4226 (17)	0.3659 (18)	0.020*
O3	1.1838 (2)	0.38611 (14)	0.16017 (10)	0.0196 (3)
Н3	1.268 (3)	0.409 (2)	0.1990 (17)	0.023*
O4	0.80599 (19)	0.13386 (11)	0.14342 (9)	0.0130 (3)
O5	1.1684 (2)	0.07274 (13)	0.04992 (10)	0.0220 (3)
Н5	1.251 (4)	0.097 (2)	0.0918 (16)	0.026*
O6	0.4025 (3)	0.44051 (14)	0.50182 (11)	0.0285 (4)
H6	0.415 (4)	0.481 (3)	0.4519 (15)	0.034*
H6A	0.377 (4)	0.493 (2)	0.5441 (17)	0.034*
C1	0.6660 (3)	0.18275 (17)	0.21185 (12)	0.0117 (3)
H1A	0.5982	0.2622	0.1846	0.014*
C2	0.7766 (3)	0.22580 (17)	0.30113 (13)	0.0116 (4)
H2A	0.8406	0.1448	0.3284	0.014*
C3	0.9375 (3)	0.32768 (17)	0.27842 (12)	0.0116 (4)
H3A	0.8759	0.4149	0.2616	0.014*
C4	1.0637 (3)	0.28117 (18)	0.19605 (13)	0.0121 (4)
H4	1.1473	0.2051	0.2170	0.015*
C5	0.9372 (3)	0.23761 (16)	0.11335 (13)	0.0122 (4)
H5A	0.8579	0.3158	0.0922	0.015*
C6	1.0506 (3)	0.18609 (18)	0.02932 (13)	0.0152 (4)
H6B	0.9579	0.1624	-0.0217	0.018*
H6C	1.1353	0.2584	0.0052	0.018*

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\hat{A}^2)

Atomic displacement parameters $(Å^2)$

/23
0.00053 (15)
-0.00087 (16)
-0.0004 (5)
-0.0025 (5)
0.0021 (5)
-0.0017 (4)
).(-() -()).'

Acta Cryst. (2012). C68, m363-m366

supplementary materials

05	0.0263 (8)	0.0176 (7)	0.0220(7)	0.0064 (6)	-0.0021 (6)	-0.0056(5)
06	0.0476 (11)	0.0181 (7)	0.0197 (8)	0.0009 (7)	0.0056 (7)	0.0001 (6)
C1	0.0103 (9)	0.0125 (8)	0.0123 (8)	0.0003 (7)	-0.0002(6)	-0.0007(6)
C2	0.0109 (9)	0.0131 (8)	0.0107 (8)	0.0006 (7)	0.0005 (7)	-0.0001(6)
C3	0.0127 (9)	0.0110(7)	0.0112 (8)	-0.0010(7)	-0.0022(6)	-0.0015(6)
C4	0.0127(10)	0.0123 (8)	0.0123(8)	0.0003 (6)	0.00022(0)	0.0013 (6)
C5	0.0129(10)	0.0112(8)	0.0120(8)	-0.0012(6)	-0.0002(7)	0.0006 (6)
<u>C6</u>	0.0164 (10)	0.0163 (9)	0.0128 (8)	-0.0016(7)	0.0029 (7)	0.0000 (6)
Geome	etric parameters ((Å, °)				
K1—0)5 ⁱ	2.76	669 (14)	O4—C1		1.447 (2)
K1-0	D1 ⁱⁱ	2.76	599 (14)	O5—C6		1.425 (2)
K1—(01	2.78	390 (14)	05—K1 ^{vi}		2.7669 (14)
K1-0	D2 ⁱⁱⁱ	2.83	87 (14)	O5—H5		0.852 (17)
K1—(06	2.87	74 (16)	06—K1 ^{iv}		3.099 (2)
K1-0	D2 ⁱⁱ	3.07	/95 (15)	O6—H6		0.815 (18)
K1-(D6 ⁱⁱ	3.09	9 (2)	06—H6A		0.816 (17)
K1—5	51	3.52	273 (6)	C1—C2		1.532 (2)
K1—F		2.97	(2)	C1—H1A		1.0000
S1—0	21	1.80	92 (18)	C2—C3		1.538 (3)
01-0	22	1.42	27 (2)	C2—H2A		1.0000
01—k	K1 ^{iv}	2.76	599 (14)	C3—C4		1.522 (3)
01—H	1 1	0.80	3 (17)	С3—НЗА		1.0000
02—0	23	1.43	64 (2)	C4—C5		1.518 (3)
02—ŀ	K1 ^v	2.83	88 (14)	C4—H4		1.0000
02—ŀ	K1 ^{iv}	3.07	95 (15)	C5—C6		1.509 (3)
02—H	-12	0.79	95 (16)	С5—Н5А		1.0000
03—0	C4	1.43	0(2)	C6—H6B		0.9900
03—H	43	0.83	0 (17)	C6—H6C		0.9900
04—0	25	1.44	0 (2)			
05 ⁱ —1	K1—O1 ⁱⁱ	75.8	35 (4)	K1 ^{iv} —O2—H2		94.2 (19)
05 ⁱ —1	K1—01	121.	.18 (5)	С4—О3—Н3		111.8 (19)
01 ⁱⁱ —	K1—01	129.	.49 (4)	C5—O4—C1		111.49 (12)
05 ⁱ —1	K1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	142.	.74 (5)	C6		128.28 (11)
01 ⁱⁱ —	K1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	91.4	9 (4)	C6—O5—H5		107.0 (18)
01—ŀ	K1—O2 ⁱⁱⁱ	94.0	07 (4)	K1 ^{vi} —O5—H5		123.9 (18)
05 ⁱ —1	K1—06	135.	.07 (5)	K1-06-K1 ^{iv}		84.39 (4)
01 ⁱⁱ —	K1—O6	72.1	7 (4)	K1—O6—H6		107 (2)
01—ŀ	K1—06	63.4	2 (5)	K1 ^{iv} —O6—H6		104 (2)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —	-K1—O6	69.0	9 (5)	K1—O6—H6A		138 (2)
05 ⁱ —1	K1—O2 ⁱⁱ	62.0	06 (4)	K1 ^{iv} —O6—H6A	A	106 (2)
01 ⁱⁱ —	K1—O2 ⁱⁱ	58.8	31 (4)	H6—O6—H6A		110 (3)
01—ŀ	K1—O2 ⁱⁱ	86.2	25 (4)	O4—C1—C2		108.34 (14)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —	-K1—O2 ⁱⁱ	138.	.82 (2)	O4—C1—S1		108.46 (11)
06—ŀ	K1—O2 ⁱⁱ	74.5	52 (4)	C2-C1-S1		113.52 (12)
05 ⁱ —l	K1—O6 ⁱⁱ	68.4	6 (5)	O4—C1—H1A		108.8
01 ⁱⁱ —	K1—O6 ⁱⁱ	60.7	'1 (4)	C2—C1—H1A		108.8
01—ŀ	K1—O6 ⁱⁱ	165.	.85 (4)	S1—C1—H1A		108.8

Acta Cryst. (2012). C68, m363-m366

electronic reprint

02^{iii} —K1— 06^{ii}	74,74 (4)	01—C2—C1	111.40 (15)
$06-K1-06^{ii}$	118.48 (5)	01-C2-C3	110.28 (14)
02^{ii} K1 -06^{ii}	107 86 (4)	C1-C2-C3	111 82 (14)
05^{i} K1 - S1	97.60 (3)	01-C2-H2A	107.7
01^{ii} K1 S1	171 19 (3)	C1 - C2 - H2A	107.7
01-K1-S1	58 91 (3)	$C_3 - C_2 - H_2 A$	107.7
$02^{ii} - K1 - S1$	90.35 (3)	02 - C3 - C4	109 74 (14)
06-K1-S1	116 48 (4)	02-03-02	109.71(11) 108.46(14)
02^{ii} K1 S1	123 54 (3)	C4-C3-C2	111 31 (14)
$06^{ii}-K1-S1$	111 61 (3)	02—C3—H3A	109.1
05 ⁱ —K1—H1	136.8 (4)	C4—C3—H3A	109.1
01 ⁱⁱ —K1—H1	125.7 (5)	C2-C3-H3A	109.1
01—K1—H1	156(4)	03-C4-C5	105.1
02^{iii} K1—H1	78 9 (4)	03-C4-C3	111 86 (14)
06—K1—H1	54 4 (5)	$C_{5} - C_{4} - C_{3}$	110.60(11) 110.45(15)
02^{ii} K1—H1	954(4)	03—C4—H4	109.6
0.2 K1 H1 0.6^{ii} K1 H1	153 1 (4)	C5-C4-H4	109.6
S1_K1_H1	63.1.(5)	$C_3 - C_4 - H_4$	109.6
C1 = S1 = K1	98.07.(6)	04-05-06	107.83(14)
$C_{2}=01=K_{1}^{iv}$	115 34 (11)	04 - C5 - C4	107.03(14) 109.83(15)
$C_{2} = 01 - K_{1}$	130.80 (11)	C6-C5-C4	114 00 (16)
$K_{1iv} = 01 = K_1$	92 55 (4)	04 - C5 - H5A	108.3
$C_2 = 01 = H1$	110 3 (19)	C6-C5-H5A	108.3
$K_{1iv} = 01 = H_1$	109.8(18)	C4-C5-H5A	108.3
K1_01_H1	95.2 (18)	05-06-05	113.95 (15)
$C_{3}=0^{2}=K_{1}^{v}$	131.08 (10)	05-C6-H6B	108.8
$C_{3} = 0^{2} = K_{1}^{iv}$	112 36 (10)	C5-C6-H6B	108.8
$K_1^{v} = 02 = K_1^{iv}$	85 39 (<i>A</i>)	05-C6-H6C	108.8
$C_3 = C_2 = K_1$	106.8 (18)	C5 C6 H6C	108.8
С5—02—112 К1 ^v —02—H2	117.2(19)	Н6 <u>В</u> С6Н6С	103.3
	117.2 (17)	hob eo hoe	107.7
O5 ⁱ —K1—S1—C1	-132.51 (7)	K1—S1—C1—O4	159.21 (10)
O1—K1—S1—C1	-10.54 (7)	K1—S1—C1—C2	38.74 (13)
$O2^{iii}$ —K1—S1—C1	84.00 (7)	$K1^{iv}$ —O1—C2—C1	172.83 (10)
O6—K1—S1—C1	17.31 (7)	K1—O1—C2—C1	54.55 (19)
$O2^{ii}$ —K1—S1—C1	-71.11 (7)	K1 ^{iv} —O1—C2—C3	-62.39 (15)
$O6^{ii}$ —K1—S1—C1	157.70 (7)	K1—O1—C2—C3	179.33 (10)
O5 ⁱ —K1—O1—C2	57.77 (15)	O4—C1—C2—O1	178.78 (13)
O1 ⁱⁱ —K1—O1—C2	155.14 (13)	S1—C1—C2—O1	-60.69 (18)
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —K1—O1—C2	-109.56 (14)	O4—C1—C2—C3	54.87 (18)
O6—K1—O1—C2	-173.73 (15)	S1—C1—C2—C3	175.40 (12)
O2 ⁱⁱ —K1—O1—C2	111.72 (14)	K1 ^v —O2—C3—C4	-53.89 (19)
O6 ⁱⁱ —K1—O1—C2	-72.4 (2)	K1 ^{iv} —O2—C3—C4	-157.98 (10)
S1—K1—O1—C2	-21.61 (13)	K1 ^v —O2—C3—C2	67.90 (18)
$O5^{i}$ —K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	-69.41 (5)	K1 ^{iv} —O2—C3—C2	-36.18 (15)
$O1^{ii}$ —K1—O1—K 1^{iv}	27.96 (6)	01-C2-C3-02	65.60 (18)
$O2^{iii}$ —K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	123.26 (4)	C1—C2—C3—O2	-169.86 (15)
O6—K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	59.09 (5)	O1—C2—C3—C4	-173.58 (15)
$O2^{ii}$ —K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	-15.46 (4)	C1—C2—C3—C4	-49.0 (2)

Acta Cryst. (2012). C68, m363-m366

O6 ⁱⁱ —K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	160.44 (15)	O2—C3—C4—O3	-73.20 (18)	
S1—K1—O1—K1 ^{iv}	-148.79 (5)	C2—C3—C4—O3	166.72 (15)	
$K1^{ii}$ — $K1$ — $O1$ — $K1^{iv}$	87.04 (5)	O2—C3—C4—C5	169.42 (13)	
$O5^{i}$ —K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	58.21 (8)	C2—C3—C4—C5	49.34 (19)	
O1 ⁱⁱ —K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	104.88 (5)	C1	-169.13 (14)	
O1—K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	-50.34 (4)	C1	66.10 (17)	
O2 ⁱⁱⁱ —K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	-156.37 (5)	O3—C4—C5—O4	-178.36 (13)	
$O2^{ii}$ —K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	43.26 (3)	C3—C4—C5—O4	-57.22 (18)	
O6 ⁱⁱ —K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	145.49 (5)	O3—C4—C5—C6	60.52 (19)	
S1—K1—O6—K1 ^{iv}	-76.91 (4)	C3—C4—C5—C6	-178.34 (14)	
$K1^{ii}$ — $K1$ — $O6$ — $K1^{iv}$	150.97 (4)	K1 ^{vi} —O5—C6—C5	128.85 (14)	
C5—O4—C1—C2	-64.04 (17)	O4—C5—C6—O5	-62.2 (2)	
C5—O4—C1—S1	172.33 (12)	C4—C5—C6—O5	60.1 (2)	

Symmetry codes: (i) -x+3/2, -y, z+1/2; (ii) x-1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (iii) x-1, y, z; (iv) x+1/2, -y+1/2, -z+1; (v) x+1, y, z; (vi) -x+3/2, -y, z-1/2.

Hydrogen-bond geometry (Å, °)

D—H···A	D—H	H…A	D····A	D—H···A
O1—H1···S1 ^{vii}	0.80 (2)	2.49 (2)	3.2659 (14)	164 (2)
O2—H2···O4 ^{viii}	0.80 (2)	2.27 (2)	3.0505 (18)	167 (3)
O2—H2···O5 ^{viii}	0.80 (2)	2.55 (2)	3.026 (2)	119 (2)
O3—H3···S1 ^{viii}	0.83 (2)	2.41 (2)	3.1839 (15)	156 (2)
O5—H5…S1 ^v	0.85 (2)	2.61 (2)	3.3971 (16)	154 (2)
O6—H6···O4 ^{vii}	0.82 (2)	2.55 (2)	3.163 (2)	134 (3)
O6—H6…S1 ^{vii}	0.82 (2)	2.79 (2)	3.5839 (16)	164 (3)
06—H6A····O3 ^{ix}	0.82 (2)	2.08 (2)	2.892 (2)	175 (3)

Symmetry codes: (v) x+1, y, z; (vii) -x+1, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (viii) -x+2, y+1/2, -z+1/2; (ix) -x+3/2, -y+1, z+1/2.