

Title	Metrical theory for Farey continued fractions
Author(s)	Brown, Gavin; Yin, Qinghe
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 33(4) P.951-P.970
Issue Date	1996
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5477">https://doi.org/10.18910/5477</a>
DOI	10.18910/5477
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## METRICAL THEORY FOR FAREY CONTINUED FRACTIONS

GAVIN BROWN and QINGHE YIN

(Received March 14, 1995)

### 1. Introduction

By making fundamental use of the Farey shift map and employing infinite (but  $\sigma$ -finite) measures together with the Chacon-Ornstein ergodic theorem it is possible to find new metrical results for continued fractions. Moreover this offers a unified approach to several existing theorems.

The application of ergodic theory to the study of continued fractions began with the Gauss transformation,  $G: [0,1] \mapsto [0,1]$ ,

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{x} - \left[ \frac{1}{x} \right], & x \neq 0 \\ 0, & x = 0, \end{cases}$$

which is ergodic with respect to the Gauss measure  $\mu_g$ , where

$$\mu_g(B) = \frac{1}{\log 2} \int_B \frac{1}{1+x} dx$$

for any Borel subset  $B$  of  $[0,1]$ . H. Nakada [11] extended  $G$  to the 2-dimensional case. Let  $\tilde{G}: [0,1] \times [0,1] \mapsto [0,1] \times [0,1]$  be defined to be

$$\tilde{G}(x,y) = \left( G(x), \frac{1}{a_1 + y} \right)$$

where  $a_1 = \left[ \frac{1}{x} \right]$ . The absolutely continuous invariant measure of  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $\tilde{\mu}_g$ , is given by

$$d\tilde{\mu}_g = \frac{1}{\log 2} \cdot \frac{dx dy}{(1+xy)^2}.$$

Then the dynamical system  $([0,1] \times [0,1], \mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\mu}_g, \tilde{G})$  is the natural extension of  $([0,1], \mathcal{B}_1, G)$  where  $\mathcal{B}_n$  is the Borel algebra of  $\mathcal{R}^n$ . Hence  $\tilde{G}$  is ergodic with respect

to  $\tilde{\mu}_g$ . Many metrical results for regular continued fractions can be proved using the ergodicity of  $G$  or  $\tilde{G}$ . For example, [3] (W. Bosma *et al*) gave the distribution of the sequences of approximation constants  $\{\theta_n\}$ .

In this paper we focus on the convergents and the mediants of the Farey (or slow) continued fractions. Define  $T: [0,1] \mapsto [0,1]$  by

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{x}{1-x}, & 0 \leq x \leq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \frac{1-x}{x}, & \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1. \end{cases}$$

$T$  is called the Farey shift map (see [10]).  $T$  preserves the measure  $\nu$  given by

$$d\nu = \frac{1}{\log 2} \cdot \frac{dx}{x},$$

which is  $\sigma$ -finite but not a probability measure, and  $T$  is ergodic with respect to this measure (see [12] or [10]). The natural extension of  $T$ , denoted by  $\tilde{T}$ , is the transformation on  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  given by

$$\tilde{T}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{x}{1-x}, \frac{y}{1+y} \right), & 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ \left( \frac{1-x}{x}, \frac{1}{1+y} \right), & \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{cases}$$

The absolutely continuous invariant measure,  $\tilde{\nu}$ , of  $\tilde{T}$  is determined by

$$d\tilde{\nu} = \frac{dx dy}{(x+y-xy)^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\log 2}.$$

The ergodicity of  $\tilde{T}$  can be established from that of  $\tilde{G}$  using an argument of [16] or by direct appeal to a general result given in [4]. Since the  $\nu$  or  $\tilde{\nu}$  are infinite ( $\sigma$ -finite, though), the Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem is not applicable for  $T$  or  $\tilde{T}$ . To avoid this disadvantage, Ito considered another transformation  $T_1$  induced by  $T$ , the invariant measure of which is a probability measure. By the ergodicity of  $T_1$  and its natural extension, he obtained in [8] many metrical results related to convergents and nearest mediants. In this paper we consider  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$  directly. We shall establish an ergodic theorem for  $\tilde{T}$  through the Chacon-Ornstein ergodic theorem. In this way the results of [8] can be generalized for we can derive metrical results on Diophantine approximation by all the mediants not only the nearest ones. The results for nearest mediants become a special case. Any other metrical results obtained by applying the Birkhoff ergodic theorem for  $G$  or

$\tilde{G}$  can also be obtained by using the ergodic theorem we build for  $\tilde{T}$ .

In Section 2, we recall some basic results about Farey and regular continued fractions and give some basic properties of  $T$  and  $\tilde{T}$ . In Section 3, we establish an ergodic theorem for  $T$  through the Chacon-Ornstein Ergodic Theorem. In Section 4, we apply the ergodic theorem established in Section 3 to prove some old and new metrical results both for the regular continued fractions and the Farey continued fractions.

**2. Preliminaries**

For an irrational  $x \in [0,1]$  with regular continued fraction expansion

$$\frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots}} = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots],$$

the  $n$ -th convergent is given by

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n}}} = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n].$$

The integers  $p_n, q_n$  can be described inductively by setting

$$p_{-1} = 1, \quad p_0 = 0, \quad q_{-1} = 0, \quad q_0 = 1, \\ p_n = a_n p_{n-1} + p_{n-2}, \quad q_n = a_n q_{n-1} + q_{n-2}.$$

We shall be concerned with a slower sequence  $\{P_n/Q_n\}$  of approximations to  $x$ , corresponding to a branch of the Farey tree (see [7], [14] for details). For our present purpose it suffices to know that

$$P_n = k p_m + p_{m-1}, \quad Q_n = k q_m + q_{m-1},$$

where

$$n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_m + k, \quad 0 < k \leq a_{m+1}, \quad (a_0 = 0).$$

The sequence  $\{p_n/q_n\}$  consists of the convergents of  $x$ , while  $\{P_n/Q_n\}$  is the sequence of convergents and mediant.

The Farey shift map  $T: [0,1] \mapsto [0,1]$ , defined in the introduction, may be characterised as follows. For  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ , we have

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} [0; a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots], & a_1 \geq 2 \\ [0; a_2, a_3, \dots], & a_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ ,  $y = [0; b_1, b_2, \dots]$  we have

$$\tilde{T}(x, y) = \begin{cases} ([0; a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots], [0; b_1 + 1, b_2, \dots]) & a_1 \geq 2 \\ ([0; a_2, a_3, \dots], [0; 1, b_1, b_2, \dots]), & a_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

We make basic use of the numbers  $X_n, Y_n$  defined by  $\tilde{T}^n(x, 1) = (X_n, Y_n)$ . Note, in particular, that

$$Y_n = \begin{cases} [0; k + 1, a_m, \dots, a_1], & m \geq 1 \\ [0; k + 1], & m = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_m + k, \quad 0 \leq k < a_{m+1}.$$

It is well-known that for  $\tilde{G}$ , defined in the introduction,

$$\tilde{G}^m(x, 0) = (x_m, y_m) = ([0; a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}, \dots], [0; a_m, \dots, a_1]),$$

where  $x_m = G^m x$ ,  $y_m = q_{m-1} / q_m$ .

Let us write

$$(1) \quad \Theta_n = Q_n^2 \left| x - \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \right|$$

and

$$(2) \quad \theta_m = q_m^2 \left| x - \frac{p_m}{q_m} \right|.$$

Then we have

$$(3) \quad \theta_m = x_m (1 + x_m y_m)^{-1}$$

and this is contained in the following formula, given in [2],

$$(4) \quad \Theta_n = (1 - kx_m)(k + y_m)(1 + x_m y_m)^{-1},$$

where

$$n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_m + k, \quad 0 < k \leq a_{m+1}.$$

(4) allows discussion of  $\Theta_n$  via  $\tilde{G}$  but our strategy is to work directly with  $\tilde{T}$  so we set about expressing the quantities  $Q_{n-1} / Q_n$  and  $\Theta_n$  in terms of  $X_n, Y_n$ .

**Lemma 1.** For  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we have

$$Q_n / Q_{n+1} = (1 + Y_{n-1})^{-1} = \max\{Y_n, 1 - Y_n\}.$$

Proof. We know that  $Y_n$  equals  $Y_{n-1}(1 + Y_{n-1})^{-1}$  or  $(1 + Y_{n-1})^{-1}$  and that  $0 < Y_{n-1} \leq 1$ . Hence  $(1 + Y_{n-1})^{-1}$  equals  $\max\{Y_n, 1 - Y_n\}$ .

Next we use induction. When  $n=1$ , we have  $Y_1=1/2, Q_1=1$ . And we always have  $Q_2=2$ . Hence

$$Q_1 / Q_2 = 1/2 = Y_1 = \max\{Y_1, 1 - Y_1\}.$$

Suppose for  $l \leq n$  we have

$$Q_l / Q_{l+1} = \max\{Y_l, 1 - Y_l\}.$$

Assume that  $n = a_0 + a_1 + 2 + \dots + a_m + k, 0 \leq k < a_{m+1}$ . If  $k=0$ , then  $Q_n = q_m, Q_{n+1} = 1 \cdot q_m + q_{m-1}$  and  $Y_n = [0; 1, a_m, a_{m-1}, \dots, a_1] \geq 1/2$ . Hence  $Q_n / Q_{n+1} = Y_n$ . If  $a_{m+1} \geq 2$ , then  $Q_{n+2} = 2 \cdot q_m + q_{m-1} = Q_n + Q_{n+1}$ . Thus

$$Q_{n+1} / Q_{n+2} = (1 + Q_n / Q_{n+1})^{-1} = (1 + Y_n)^{-1}.$$

If  $a_{m+1} = 1$ , then  $Q_{n+1} = q_{m+1}$  and  $Q_{n+2} = q_m + q_{m+1}$ . Again we have  $Q_{n+2} = Q_n + Q_{n+1}$  and again we get  $Q_{n+1} / Q_{n+2} = (1 + Y_n)^{-1}$ .

When  $0 < k < a_{m+1} - 1$ , we have  $Q_n = kq_m + q_{m-1}, Q_{n+1} = (k+1)q_m + q_{m-1}$  and  $Q_{n+2} = (k+2)q_m + q_{m-1}$ , and  $Y_n$ , which equals  $[0; k+1]$  or  $[0; k+1, a_m, \dots, a_1]$ , is at most  $1/2$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{n+1} / Q_{n+2} &= Q_{n+1}(2q_m + Q_n)^{-1} \\ &= (2q_m / Q_{n+1} + (1 - Y_n))^{-1} \\ &= (2(k+1 + y_m)^{-1} + (1 - Y_n))^{-1} \\ &= (2Y_n + 1 - Y_n)^{-1} = (1 + Y_n)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Lastly we consider  $k = a_{m+1} - 1 > 0$ . We have  $Q_n = kq_m + q_{m-1} = q_{m+1} - q_m, Q_{n+1} = q_{m+1}$  and  $Q_{n+2} = q_{m+1} + q_m$ . We also have  $Y_n = y_{m+1} \leq 1/2$ . Hence, once more,  $Q_{n+1} / Q_{n+2} = (1 + Y_n)^{-1}$ .

**Lemma 2.** For  $n=2,3,\dots$ , we have

$$(5) \quad \Theta_n = \begin{cases} (1 - Y_n)(X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}, & Y_n < 1/2, \\ X_n Y_n (X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}, & Y_n > 1/2, \end{cases}$$

$$(6) \quad \Theta_{n+1} = (1 - X_n)(X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}.$$

Proof. For  $n = a_0 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m \geq 2$ , we have  $X_n = x_m$  and  $Y_n = (1 + y_m)^{-1} > 1/2$ . An application of (3) gives

$$\Theta_n = \theta_n = X_n(1 + X_n(Y_n^{-1} - 1))^{-1} = X_n Y_n (X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}.$$

For  $n = a_1 + \dots + a_m + k \geq 2$  where  $0 < k < a_{m+1}$ , we apply (4) after noting that

$$X_n = (x_m^{-1} - k)^{-1}, \quad Y_n = (k + 1 + y_m)^{-1} < 1/2.$$

This gives

$$\Theta_n = (1 - Y_n)(X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}.$$

It is now easy to see that  $Y_{n+1} > 1/2$  if and only if  $X_n > 1/2$ . When  $X_n > 1/2$

$$(X_{n+1}, Y_{n+1}) = \tilde{T}(X_n, Y_n) = (X_n^{-1}(1 - X_n), (1 + Y_n)^{-1}).$$

In this case we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_{n+1} &= X_{n+1} Y_{n+1} (X_{n+1} + Y_{n+1} - X_{n+1} Y_{n+1})^{-1} \\ &= (1 - X_n)(X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

For the case  $X_n < 1/2$ , we substitute  $X_{n+1} = (1 - X_n)^{-1} X_n$ ,  $Y_{n+1} = Y_n(1 + Y_n)^{-1}$  in the formula

$$\Theta_{n+1} = (1 - Y_{n+1})(X_{n+1} + Y_{n+1} - X_{n+1} Y_{n+1})^{-1}$$

to obtain the required result. ■

### 3. Ergodicity and ergodic theorem for $\tilde{T}$

We begin this section by showing that  $\tilde{G}$  can be induced from  $\tilde{T}$ .

**Theorem 1.** *The dynamical system  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\mu}_g, \tilde{G})$  is (isomorphic with) the system induced from  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{T})$  on the set  $E = \{(x, y) : y > 1/2\}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall that for  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ ,  $y = [0; b_1, b_2, \dots]$  we have

$$\tilde{T}(x, y) = \begin{cases} ([0; a_1 - 1, a_2, \dots], [0; b_1 + 1, b_2, \dots]), & a_1 \geq 2, \\ ([0; a_2, a_3, \dots], [0; 1, b_1, b_2, \dots]), & a_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular the second coordinate of  $\tilde{T}(x, y)$  is greater than  $1/2$  if and only if  $a_1 = 1$ . Accordingly the induced map  $\tilde{T}_E$  is given by

$$\tilde{T}_E(x, y) = (x^{-1} - a_1, (1 + (y^{-1} + a_1 - 1)^{-1})^{-1}).$$

Now consider the map  $\phi : E \rightarrow \Omega$  given by

$$\phi(x,y)=(x,y^{-1}-1).$$

The map transforms to  $(x,y) \mapsto (x^{-1}-a_1, (y+a_1)^{-1})$  and the measure  $dx dy (x+y-x y)^{-2}$  transforms to  $dx dy (1+xy)^{-2}$ . ■

By Theorem 1 and the ergodicity of  $\tilde{G}$  together with a result of [16], we obtain the ergodicity of  $\tilde{T}$ . Theorem 1 also gives an abstract justification of the statement that any result derived from  $G$  or  $\tilde{G}$  can be obtained from  $\tilde{T}$ .

The map  $\tilde{T}$  is ergodic and invertible and  $\tilde{\nu}$  is non-atomic so it follows (see [5]) that  $\tilde{T}$  is conservative. Therefore we may apply the Chacon-Ornstein theorem (cf. [13]) on the system  $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\nu}, \tilde{T})$  (we use  $\Omega$  to denote  $[0,1] \times [0,1]$  for the remainder of the paper) to derive the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *For any  $f, g \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_2, \tilde{\nu})$  with  $\int g d\tilde{\nu} \neq 0$ , one has*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{T}^k(x,y))}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(\tilde{T}^k(x,y))} = \frac{\int f d\tilde{\nu}}{\int g d\tilde{\nu}} \text{ a.e.}$$

Next we show that under Lipschitz conditions on  $f, g$ , the points  $\tilde{T}^k(x,y)$  in Theorem 2 can be replaced by  $(X_k, Y_k) = \tilde{T}^k(x, 1)$ .

**Theorem 3.** *Suppose that  $f, g \in L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{B}, \tilde{\nu})$  satisfy*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x,y) - f(x,y')| &\leq L|y - y'|^\alpha \\ |g(x,y) - g(x,y')| &\leq L|y - y'|^\alpha \end{aligned}$$

where  $L > 0, \alpha > 0$  are constants. If  $\int g d\tilde{\nu} \neq 0$ , then for almost all  $(x,y) \in \Omega$  one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(\tilde{T}^k(x,y))}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(\tilde{T}^k(x,y))} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(X_k, Y_k)}{\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g(X_k, Y_k)}.$$

**Proof.** Let  $Z_i$  be the second coordinate of  $\tilde{T}^i(x,y)$ , i.e.  $\tilde{T}^i(x,y) = (X_i, Z_i)$ . We claim that for almost all  $x \in [0,1]$  and all  $y \in (0,1]$ , we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |Z_i - Y_i|^\alpha < +\infty.$$

In fact, for  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ ,  $i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m + k$ ,  $0 \leq k < a_{m+1}$ , one has



$$Z_i = [0; k + 1, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1 - 1 + y^{-1}]$$

and

$$Y_i = [0; k + 1, a_m, \dots, a_2, a_1].$$

Hence we have

$$|Y_i - Z_i| \leq c / q_m^2$$

for some constant  $c$ , where  $q_m$  is the denominator of the  $m$ -th convergent  $\frac{p_m}{q_m}$  of the regular continued fraction expansion of  $x$  (cf. [1] p.42). Therefore we get that

$$\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} |Z_i - Y_i|^\alpha \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{a_{m+1}} \left(\frac{c}{q_m^2}\right)^\alpha = c^\alpha \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m+1}}{q_m^{2\alpha}}.$$

By induction we can see that

$$q_m \geq 2^{(m-1)/2}.$$

We need the following theorem (see [15]).

**Theorem A.** *Let  $F(n) > 1$ , for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , and suppose that  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{F(n)} < \infty$ .*

*Then the set*

$$A = \{x \in [0, 1], a_k(x) > F(k) \text{ infinitely many times}\}$$

*has Lebesgue measure 0.*

Now we choose  $F(n) = 2^{n\alpha/2}$ . By the above theorem we see that the set

$$E = \{x \in [0, 1], a_k(x) > F(k) \text{ only finitely many times}\}$$

has Lebesgue measure 1. Hence for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{m+1}}{q_m^{2\alpha}} \leq C(x) + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} 2^{\alpha(2-m)/2} < \infty.$$

Where  $C(x) = \sum_{a_m > F(m)} \frac{a_{m+1}}{q_m^{2\alpha}}$ . The required result follows easily when we bear in mind the fact that  $\Sigma g(\tilde{T}^k(x, y))$  diverges almost everywhere because  $\tilde{T}$  is conservative and ergodic. ■

For some functions  $f(x, y)$  though we do not have

$$(*) \quad |f(x,y) - f(x,y')| \leq L|y - y'|^\alpha$$

for all  $y, y' \in [0, 1]$ , it is still true that

$$|f(T^i(x,y)) - f(X_i, Y_i)| \leq L|Z_i - Y_i|^\alpha, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

for almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $i$  large enough.

EXAMPLE. Let

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} \log(1-y) & y \in [0, 1) \\ 0 & y = 1 \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in L^1(\Omega)$ . We do not have (\*) for all  $y, y' \in [0, 1]$ .

For  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$ , let

$$i = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m + k, \quad 0 \leq k < a_{m+1}$$

where  $m \geq 2$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & |f(T^i(x,y)) - f(X_i, Y_i)| \\ &= |\log(1 - Z_i) - \log(1 - Y_i)| \\ &= \frac{1}{|1 - \xi_i|} |Z_i - Y_i| \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_i$  is in between  $y_i$  and  $Y_i$ . It is easy to see that  $1 - \xi_i \geq 1/q_m$ , i.e.

$$\frac{1}{1 - \xi_i} \leq q_m \leq c|Y_i - Z_i|^{1/2}.$$

Therefore,  $|f(T^i(x,y)) - f(X_i, Y_i)| \leq c|Y_i - Z_i|^{1/2}$ .

#### 4. Applications

In this section we apply the ergodic theorems for  $\tilde{T}$  to obtain metrical results for convergents and medians of regular continued fractions. For all the functions  $f, g$  involved in this section it is valid to replace  $\tilde{T}^k(x,y)$  by  $(X_k, Y_k)$  as in Theorem 3 but omit the tedious verification.

For an irrational  $x = [0; a_1, a_2, \dots]$  we shall call

$$\frac{kp_n + p_{n-1}}{kq_n + q_{n-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{(a_{n+1} - k)p_n + p_{n-1}}{(a_{n+1} - k)q_n + q_{n-1}}$$

the  $k$ -th mediants of  $x$ , when  $a_{n+1} \geq 2k$ . We let  $P_n^{(k)} / Q_n^{(k)}$  denote the sequence which consists of all convergents and  $i$ -th mediants of  $x$  for all  $i \leq k$ . When  $k=0$ , we recover the convergents  $\{p_m/q_m\}$  and, when  $k=1$ , we obtain the so-called nearest mediants of Ito, [8]. It is easy to see that the event “ $P_n / Q_n$  appears as some  $P_i^{(k)} / Q_i^{(k)}$ ” is characterised by  $X_n > 1 / (k + 1)$  or  $Y_n > 1 / (k + 2)$  while “ $P_{n+1} / Q_{n+1}$  appears as some  $P_i^{(k)} / Q_i^{(k)}$ ” is by  $X_n > 1 / (k + 2)$  or  $Y_n > 1 / (k + 1)$ .

Let us write also

$$\Theta_n^{(k)} = (Q_n^{(k)})^2 |x - P_n^{(k)} / Q_n^{(k)}|.$$

Our main theorem can now be stated.

**Theorem 4.** *For almost all  $x$  we have*

(i). for  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log Q_n^{(k)} = \frac{\pi^2}{12 \log(2k + 2)},$$

(ii). for  $k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left| x - \frac{P_n^{(k)}}{Q_n^{(k)}} \right| = -\frac{\pi^2}{6 \log(2k + 2)},$$

(iii). for  $k=1, 2, \dots$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{i: i \leq n, \Theta_i^{(k)} < z\} = \frac{1}{\log(2k + 2)} \begin{cases} z, & 0 \leq z < 1 \\ 1 + \log z, & 1 \leq z < \frac{k + 1}{2} \\ 2 - \frac{2z}{k + 1} + \log \frac{2z^2}{k + 1}, & \frac{k + 1}{2} \leq z < k + 1 \\ \log(2k + 2), & k + 1 < z \end{cases}$$

$$(iv). \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\log Q_n} \#\{i: i \leq n, \Theta_i < z\} = \frac{12}{\pi^2} \begin{cases} z, & 0 < z \leq 1, \\ 1 + \log z, & 1 < z. \end{cases}$$

REMARK. The case  $k=0$  of (i) and (ii) are the basic results of Levy (see [1]), and the case  $k=1$  of (i), (ii) and (iii) give results of Ito, [8]. The important result of Bosma *et al* in [3] corresponds to the case  $k=0$  of (ii) and the proof which

follows could be simplified to yield that special case. Nevertheless a suitable interpretation of the three terms corresponding to  $1/2 \leq z < 1$  yields the appropriate distribution. We take the signed sum, i.e.

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{i: i \leq n, \theta_n < z\} = \frac{1}{\log 2} \begin{cases} z, & 0 \leq z < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - z + \log(2z), & \frac{1}{2} \leq z < 1 \\ \log 2, & 1 \leq z \end{cases}$$

where

$$1 - z + \log(2z) = z - (1 + \log z) + [2 - 2z + \log(2z^2)].$$

Proof. For (i) we take

$$f(x, y) = \log(\max\{y, 1 - y\}),$$

$$g(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x > (k + 1)^{-1}, \text{ or } y > (k + 2)^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int f d\tilde{\nu} = -\frac{\pi^2}{12 \log 2}, \quad \int g d\tilde{\nu} = \frac{\log(2k + 2)}{\log 2}.$$

For  $n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_m + k$ ,  $0 \leq k < a_{m+1}$ , we have, by Lemma 1,

$$\log \frac{Q_n}{Q_{n+1}} = f(X_n, Y_n)$$

while

$$\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, Y_i) = \#\{i: i \leq n, Q_i \text{ appears as some } Q_j^{(k)}\}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i, Y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, Y_i)} = -s^{-1}(\log Q_{n+1} - \log Q_1).$$

where  $s$  is determined by  $Q_s^{(k)} \leq Q_n < Q_{s+1}^{(k)}$ . By the ergodic theorem of the last section

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Q_s^{(k)}}{s} = -\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i, Y_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^n g(X_i, Y_i)} = -\int f d\tilde{\nu} / \int g d\tilde{\nu},$$

and the required result follows.

For (ii) noting that  $\Theta_n^{(k)} = (Q_n^{(k)})^2 |x - P_n^{(k)} / Q_n^{(k)}|$ , by (i) it suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Theta_n^{(k)}}{n} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Remember that  $\Theta_{n+1}$  is one of  $\Theta_s^{(k)}$  if and only if  $X_n > (k+2)^{-1}$  or  $Y_n > (k+1)^{-1}$ . Then when  $\Theta_{n+1}$  is one of  $\Theta_s^{(k)}$  we have

$$\Theta_{n+1} = \frac{1 - X_n}{X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n} < k + 1.$$

On the other hand,

$$\Theta_{n+1} \geq 1 - X_n \geq 1 - [0; 1, a_{m+1}, \dots] > 1 / (a_{m+1} + 1) \geq 1 / 2a_{m+1},$$

where  $m$  is determined by  $Q_n \leq q_m < Q_{n+1}$ . Therefore,

$$-\frac{\log a_{m+1} + \log 2}{s} < \frac{\Theta_s^{(k)}}{s} < \frac{\log(k+1)}{s}.$$

Noting that  $m \leq s \leq (2k+1)m$  we obtain

$$\frac{\log a_{m+1}}{s} \leq \frac{\log a_{m+1}}{m} = \left(\frac{a_{m+1}}{m^\alpha}\right)^{1/\alpha} \cdot \frac{\log a_{m+1}}{a_{m+1}^{1/\alpha}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

by Theorem A, where  $\alpha > 1$  is a constant. Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \Theta_n^{(k)}}{n} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

To prove (iii) and (iv) we also consider  $\Theta_{n+1}$  instead of  $\Theta_n$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} E_{z,t} = & \{(x,y) \in \Omega : \frac{1-x}{x+y-xy} < z, x > \frac{t}{1+t}\} \\ & \cup \{(x,y) \in \Omega : \frac{1-x}{x+y-xy} < z, y > t\} = E_1 \cup E_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $0 < z, 0 \leq t \leq 1/2$  and

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & (x,y) \in E_{z,t} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We recast the inequality

$$(1-x)(x+y-xy)^{-1} < z$$

in the form

$$x > (1-zy)(1+z(1-y))^{-1} = u(y), \text{ say,}$$

and note that  $(1-zy)(1+z(1-y))^{-1} > t/(1+t)$  if and only if  $y > z^{-1} - t$ . Therefore

$$E_1 = \begin{cases} \{x > u(y), 0 < y < 1\}, & 0 < z < \frac{1}{1+t} \\ \{x > u(y), 0 < y < \frac{1}{z} - t\} \cup \{x > \frac{t}{1+t}, \frac{1}{z} - t < y < 1\}, & \frac{1}{1+t} < z < \frac{1}{t} \\ \{x > \frac{t}{1+t}, 0 < y < 1\}, & \frac{1}{t} < z. \end{cases}$$

Suppose first that  $0 < z < 1/(1+t)$ . Then  $E_{z,t} = E_1 = \{x > u(y), 0 > y > 1\}$ . Hence

$$\log 2 \int f d\tilde{\nu} = \int_0^1 dy \int_{u(y)}^1 (x+y-xy)^{-2} dx = z.$$

Now consider the case  $1/(1+t) \leq z < 1$ . Note that  $t \leq 1-t < z^{-1} - t$ . Then again  $E_{z,t} = \{x > u(y), 0 < y < 1\}$  and  $\log 2 \int f d\tilde{\nu} = z$ .

When  $1 < z < 1/2t$  we also have  $z^{-1} - t > t$ . Remember that  $u(y) > 0$  when  $y > z^{-1}$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E_{z,t} &= \{(x,y) \in \Omega: x > u(y), 0 < y < 1\} \\ &= \{x > u(y), 0 < y < z^{-1}\} \cup \{x > 0, z^{-1} < y < 1\}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\log 2 \int f d\tilde{\nu} = 1 + \log z.$$

If  $1/2t < z < 1/t$ , then  $0 < z^{-1} - t < t$  and

$$\begin{aligned} E_{z,t} &= \{x > u(y), 0 < y < \frac{1}{z} - t\} \cup \{x > \frac{t}{1+t}, \frac{1}{z} - t < y < t\} \\ &\cup \{x > u(y), t < y < \frac{1}{z}\} \cup \{x > 0, \frac{1}{z} < y < 1\} \end{aligned}$$

Calculate that

$$\log 2 \int f d\tilde{\nu} = 2 - 2tz + \log(2tz^2).$$

Lastly, when  $z > 1/t$  we have

$$E_{z,t} = \{x > t/(1+t), 0 < y < t\} \cup \{x > 0, t < y < 1\}$$

and  $\log 2 \int f d\tilde{\nu} = \log 2 - \log t$ .

It is now easy to piece together the result of (iii) by taking  $t = (k+1)^{-1}$  and

$$g(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{when } x > (k+2)^{-1} \text{ or } y > (k+1)^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

To obtain (iv) we set  $t=0$  and replace  $g$  by the function  $\log(\max\{y, 1-y\})$  used as  $f$  in proving (i). This completes the proof. ■

REMARK. Part (iii) of the theorem (in some sense a limiting case as  $k \rightarrow \infty$ ) shows that  $\frac{1}{n} \#\{i: i \leq n, \Theta_n < z\} \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and hence that  $\{\Theta_n\}$  does not have a distribution function. We can obtain some more information about  $\Theta_n$ . We have

For almost all  $x \in [0,1]$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

- (i).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Theta_n}{m^{1+\varepsilon}} = 0$ , where  $q_m \leq Q_n < q_{m+1}$ ;
- (ii).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_i = \infty$ ; and
- (iii).  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n^{2+\varepsilon}} \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_i = 0$ .

In fact, for  $n = a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_m + k$ , where  $0 \leq k < a_{m+1}$ , by Lemma 2

$$(*) \quad \Theta_{n+1} < Y_n = [k+1; a_m, \dots, a_1] < k+2.$$

Then (i) follows from Theorem A. Using (\*) we can get the the following estimation:

$$(**) \quad \frac{1}{16} \left[ \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 + k^2 - n \right] - (m+1) < \sum_{i=1}^n \Theta_i < \sum_{i=1}^m a_i^2 + k^2.$$

Then follow (ii) and (iii).

Next let us compare Theorem 4 (iv) with some results of P. Erdős [6] and

J. Blom [2]. Let

$$\Theta\left(\frac{p}{q}, x\right) = q^2 \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right|.$$

Define

$$U(x, z, n) = \#\{(p, q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N} : (p, q) = 1, \Theta\left(\frac{p}{q}, x\right) \leq z, q \leq n\},$$

$$U_1(x, z, n) = \#\{(p, q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N} : (p, q) = 1, \Theta\left(\frac{p}{q}, x\right) \leq z, q \leq n, \frac{p}{q} \text{ is a convergent of } x\}$$

and

$$U_2(x, z, n) = \#\{(p, q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{N} : (p, q) = 1, \Theta\left(\frac{p}{q}, x\right) \leq z, q \leq n, \frac{p}{q} \text{ is a best approximant of } x\}.$$

By best approximant we mean that if there is a fraction  $\frac{a}{b}$  different from  $\frac{p}{q}$  such that

$$\left| \frac{a}{b} - x \right| < \left| \frac{p}{q} - x \right|$$

then  $b > q$ . Erdős [6]) proved that for any  $z \geq 0$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U(x, z, n)}{n} = \frac{12}{\pi^2} z \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Blom [2] gave that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_1(x, z, n)}{n} = \frac{12}{\pi^2} (f)z \quad \text{a.e.}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{U_2(x, z, n)}{n} = \frac{12}{\pi^2} (f(z) + h(z)) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

where



$$f(z) = \begin{cases} z & 0 \leq z \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 - z + \log(2z) & \frac{1}{2} \leq z \leq 1 \\ \log 2 & z \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

$$h(z) = \begin{cases} 0 & 0 \leq z \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ z - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \log(2z) & \frac{1}{2} \leq z \leq 1 \\ \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log(2z) & z \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

When  $z \leq 1$  Theorem 4(iii) corresponds to the result of Erdős [6]. [8] and [9] also gave new proofs in this case. When  $z > 1$  this result takes a different form. This fact tells us that, for  $z > 1$ , there is no result for convergents and mediant analogues to the theorem of Legendre for  $z = 1/2$  or the theorem of Fatou and Koksma for  $z = 1$  (see [9]).

The result of Blom [2] can also be proved by Theorem 2 or 3. In fact for irrational  $x \in [0, 1)$ , a best approximant is an element of  $\left\{ \frac{P_n}{Q_n} \right\}$  characterized by

$$Y_n > \frac{1}{2} \text{ or } Y_n^{-1} > X_n^{-1} + 1, \quad n \geq 2.$$

Thus we can prove these results by choosing appropriate functions  $f$  and  $g$ .

Jager [9] considered the two sequences

$$\left\{ \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}} \right\}, \quad \left\{ \frac{(a_{n+1} - 1)p_n + p_{n-1}}{(a_{n+1} - 1)q_n + q_{n-1}} \right\}$$

separately and obtained some metrical results. If  $P_N/Q_N$  appears as  $(p_n + p_{n-1})/(q_n + q_{n-1})$  then we have

$$X_N = [0; a_{n+1} - 1, a_{n+2}, \dots], \quad Y_N = [0; 2, a_n, \dots, a_1] \quad \text{when } a_{n+1} \geq 2,$$

or

$$X_N = [0; a_{n+2}, \dots], \quad Y_N = [0; 1, 1, a_n, \dots, a_1] \quad \text{when } a_{n+1} = 1.$$

Thus the first sequence is characterised by  $1/3 < Y_n < 2/3$ . The second one is more complicated. If  $a_{n+1} \geq 2$ , then  $((a_{n+1} - 1)p_n + p_{n-1})/((a_{n+1} - 1)q_n + q_{n-1})$  corresponds

$$X_N = [0; 1, a_{n+2}, \dots] \in (1/2, 1), \quad Y_N = [0; a_{n+1}, \dots, a_1] \in (0, 1/2).$$

When  $a_{n+1} = 1$  we get  $p_{n-1}/q_{n-1}$  which corresponds to

$$\begin{cases} X_N = [0; a_n, 1, a_{n+2}, \dots] \in \left( \frac{1}{a_n + 1}, \frac{2}{2a_n + 1} \right), \\ Y_N = [0, 1, a_{n-1}, \dots, a_1] \in (1/2, 1). \end{cases}$$

Hence the second one is characterised by

$$X_n \in (1/2, 1), Y_n \in (0, 1/2) \text{ or } X_n \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{i+1}, \frac{2}{2i+1} \right), Y_n \in (1/2, 1).$$

However, those two sequences are not “pure” nearest mediants. We shall consider the sequences

$$\left\{ \frac{p_n + p_{n-1}}{q_n + q_{n-1}}, a_{n+1} \geq 2 \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \frac{(a_{n+1} - 1)p_n + p_{n-1}}{(a_{n+1} - 1)q_n + q_{n-1}}, a_{n+1} \geq 2 \right\}$$

which are characterised by  $1/3 < Y_n < 1/2$  and  $X_n > 1/2, Y_n < 1/2$  respectively. In general we use  $\{a_n^{(k)}/b_n^{(k)}\}$  and  $\{c_n^{(k)}/d_n^{(k)}\}$  to denote the “pure”  $k$ -th mediant sequences for each of the two directions

$$\left\{ \frac{kp_n + p_{n-1}}{kq_n + q_{n-1}}, a_{n+1} \geq 2k \right\} \text{ and } \left\{ \frac{(a_{n+1} - k)p_n + p_{n-1}}{(a_{n+1} - k)q_n + q_{n-1}}, a_{n+1} \geq 2k \right\}$$

respectively, where  $k \leq 1$ . It is not hard to see that  $\{a_n^{(k)}/b_n^{(k)}\}$  is the subsequence of  $\{P_n/Q_n\}$  determined by  $X_n < 1/k$  and  $(k+2)^{-1} < Y_n < (k+1)^{-1}$  while  $\{c_n^{(k)}/d_n^{(k)}\}$  determined by  $(k+1)^{-1} < X_n < k^{-1}$  and  $Y_n < (k+1)^{-1}$ . Define

$$\sigma_n^{(k)} = b_n^{(k)} |b_n^{(k)}x - a_n^{(k)}|$$

and

$$\rho_n^{(k)} = d_n^{(k)} |d_n^{(k)}x - c_n^{(k)}|.$$

**Theorem 5.** For almost all  $x \in [0, 1]$  and  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ , one has

- (i). 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log b_n^{(k)}}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log d_n^{(k)}}{n} = \frac{\pi^2}{12(\log(2k+1) - \log(2k))},$$
- (ii). 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left| x - \frac{a_n^{(k)}}{b_n^{(k)}} \right| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \left| x - \frac{c_n^{(k)}}{d_n^{(k)}} \right| = -\frac{\pi^2}{6(\log(2k+1) - \log(2k))},$$
- (iii). 
$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{\sigma_i^{(k)} < z, i \leq n\} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \#\{\rho_i^{(k)} < z, i \leq n\}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\log(2k+1) - \log(2k)} \begin{cases} \frac{2z}{k} - 1 - \log \frac{2z}{k}, & \frac{k}{2} < z \leq \frac{k^2+k}{2k+1} \\ \frac{z}{k^2+k} - \log \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2k+1} \right), & \frac{k^2+k}{2k+1} < z \leq k \\ 1 - \frac{z}{k+1} - \log \frac{2(k^2+k)}{(2k+1)z}, & k < z \leq k+1 \\ \log(2k+1) - \log(2k), & k+1 < z. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For (i) we take  $f$  to be the same function as in the proof of Theorem 4(i). We let

$$g_1(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & 0 < x < k^{-1}, (k+2)^{-1} < y < (k+1)^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for the first one and

$$g_2(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & (k+1)^{-1} < y < k^{-1}, 0 < x < (k+1)^{-1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\int g_1 d\tilde{\nu} = \int g_2 d\tilde{\nu} = \frac{\log(2k+1) - \log(2k)}{\log 2}.$$

Therefore we get (i).

(ii) can be proved by a similar argument as the proof of Theorem 4(ii).

As for (iii), we take  $\sigma_n^{(k)}$  as an example. Since we are concerned  $X_n < 1/k$  and  $(k+2)^{-1} < Y_n < (k+1)^{-1}$ , we have

$$\Theta_n = (1 - Y_n)(X_n + Y_n - X_n Y_n)^{-1}$$

by Lemma 2. It is easy to see that for the  $\Theta_n$  in consideration we have

$$k/2 < \Theta_n < k+1.$$

Let

$$f(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \frac{1-y}{x+y-xy} < z, x < \frac{1}{k}, \frac{1}{k+2} < y < \frac{1}{k+1} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $\sum_{i=1}^n f(X_i, Y_i)$  counts the number of  $\Theta_i, i \leq n$  appears as some  $\sigma_j^{(k)}$  and  $< z$ . The non-zero regions of  $f$  with respect to different values of  $z$  are as follows:

$$\left\{ \frac{1-zx}{1+z-zx} < y < \frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k-z}{kz} < x < \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \text{ when } \frac{k}{2} < z \leq \frac{k^2+k}{2k+1},$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1-zx}{1+z-zx} < y < \frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k-z}{kz} < x \leq \frac{k+1-z}{(k+1)z} \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \frac{1}{k+2} < y < \frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k+1-z}{(k+1)z} < x < \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \text{ when } \frac{k^2+k}{2k+1} < z \leq k,$$

$$\left\{ \frac{1-zx}{1+z-zx} < y < \frac{1}{k+1}, 0 < x \leq \frac{k+1-z}{(k+1)z} \right\}$$

$$\cup \left\{ \frac{1}{k+2} < y < \frac{1}{k+1}, \frac{k+1-z}{(k+1)z} < x < \frac{1}{k} \right\}, \text{ when } k < z \leq k+1.$$

The proof is completed by calculating several integrals and taking  $g$  as  $g_1$  in the proof of (i). ■

**References**

[1] P. Billingsley: *Ergodic Theory and Information*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1965.  
 [2] J. Blom: *Metrical properties of best approximation*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Ser. A), **53** (1992), 78-91.  
 [3] W. Bosma, H. Jager and F. Wiedijk: *Some metrical observations on the approximation by continued fractuibs*, Indag. Math., **45** (1983), 281-299.  
 [4] G. Brown and Q. Yin: *Natural extensions with infinite invariant measure*, submitted.  
 [5] N.A. Friedman: *Introduction to Ergodic Theory*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1970.  
 [6] P. Erdős: *Some resuls on Diophantine approximation*, Acta Arith., **5** (1959), 359-369.  
 [7] L. Goldberg and C. Tresser: *Rotation orbits and the Farey, tree, preprint*.  
 [8] S. Ito: *Algorithms with mediant convergents and their metrical theory*, Osaka J. Math., **26** (1989), 557-578.  
 [9] H. Jager: *Some metrical observations on the approximation of an irrational number by its nearest mediants*, Period. Math. Hungar., **23** (1991), 5-16.  
 [10] J.C. Lagarias: *Number theory and dynamical systems*, Proc. Symp. Appl. Math., **46** (1992), 35-72.  
 [11] H. Nakada: *Metrical theory for a class of continued fraction transformations and their natural extensions*, Tokyo J. Math., **4** (1981), 499-426.  
 [12] W. Parry: *Ergodic properties of some permutation processes*, Biometrika, **49** (1962), 151-154.  
 [13] K. Petersen: *Ergodic Theory*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1983.  
 [14] I. Richards: *Continued fractions without tears*, Math. Mag., **54** (1981), 163-171.  
 [15] A.M. Rockett and P. Szusz: *Continued Fractios*, World Scientific, 1992.  
 [16] M. Thaler: *Transformations on [0,1] with infinite invariant measures*, Isr. J. Math, **46** (1983), 67-96.

Department of Pure Mathematics  
The University of Adelaide  
Adelaide, SA 5005, Australia

Vice-Chancellor  
The University of Sydney  
Sydney, NSW 2006, Australia