<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Title</strong></th>
<th>On p-radical groups G and the nilpotency indices of J(kG). II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Author(s)</strong></td>
<td>Fukushima, Hiroshi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Citation</strong></td>
<td>Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 33(3) P.637–P.648</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Issue Date</strong></td>
<td>1996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Text Version</strong></td>
<td>publisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>URL</strong></td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5488">https://doi.org/10.18910/5488</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOI</strong></td>
<td>10.18910/5488</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>rights</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ON \( p \)-RADICAL GROUPS \( G \) AND 
THE NILPOTENCY INDICES OF \( J(kG) \) II 

HIROSHI FUKUSHIMA 

(Received May 10, 1995)

1. Introduction

Let \( G \) be a finite \( p \)-solvable group with a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of order \( p^n \), \( k \) an algebraically closed field of characteristic \( p > 0 \), \( kG \) the group algebra of \( G \) over \( k \), \( t(G) \) the nilpotency index of the radical \( J(kG) \) of \( kG \) and \( l(M) \) the Loewy length of (right) \( kG \)-modules \( M \).

S. Koshitani [4] and D.A.R. Wallace [14] proved that \( a(p - 1) + 1 \leq t(G) \leq p^a \). Y. Tsushima [12] proved that the second equality \( t(G) = p^a \) holds if and only if a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( G \) is cyclic. Here we shall study the structure of \( G \) with \( t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1 \). If \( G \) has \( p \)-length 1, then by K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [9] \( t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1 \) if and only if a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( G \) is elementary abelian. Therefore we shall be interested in the structure of \( G \) of \( p \)-length 2 with \( t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1 \). As such examples, we know the followings.

Let \( F = GF(p^n) \) be a finite field of \( p^n \) elements for some integer \( n \) with \( p \mid n \), \( \lambda \) a generator of the multiplicative group \( F^* \) of \( F \), \( v = \lambda^{p^n/p} \sim \) and \( V \) be the additive group of \( F \). Let \( T(p^n) \) be the set of semilinear transformations on \( V \) of the form \( v \mapsto \alpha v^\sigma \), \( \alpha \in F^* \), \( \sigma \) a field automorphism of \( F \) (see [11, p.229]). Then we can consider semidirect product \( V \rtimes T(p^n) \) of \( V \) by \( T(p^n) \). Let \( T_0 = \{v \mapsto xv^\sigma | \alpha \in \langle v \rangle, \sigma \in \text{Gal}(F/GF(p^n)) \} \subseteq T(p^n) \). Then we define \( A_{p,n,p} = V \rtimes T_0 \leq V \rtimes T(p^n) \) (see [3]). A Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( A_{p,n,p} \) is of order \( p^{n+1} \). In [7] K. Motose proved \( t(A_{p,n,p}) = (n+1)(p-1) + 1 \).

Now, following K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya [8] we call \( G \) \( p \)-radical if \( J(kG) \leq (kP)kG \), where \( P \) is a Sylow \( p \)-subgroup of \( G \). Then \( A_{p,n,p} \) is \( p \)-radical (see [13]). So we consider the structure of \( p \)-radical group \( G \) with \( t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1 \). In [3] we proved that such groups \( G \) satisfy \( G = 0_{p,P,F}(kG) \). In this paper, we shall prove the following result.

**Theorem.** For a \( p \)-radical group \( G \) the following conditions are equivalent.

1. \( t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1 \).
2. \( l(P_G(k)) = a(p - 1) + 1 \), where \( P_G(k) \) is the projective cover of the 1-dimensional trivial \( kG \)-module \( k_G \).
3. The following conditions hold.
(i) $O^p(G) = N \triangleleft H$ for some elementary abelian $p$-group $N$ and a ($p$-radical) group $H$, where $O^p(G)$ is the minimal normal subgroup of $G$ of index prime to $p$.

(ii) $H = M \rtimes P$, where $M$ is a $p'$-group and $P$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group.

(iii) $P \subseteq M_{C_H(x)}$ for all $x \in N$.

(4) The following conditions hold.

(i) $O^p(G/O_p(G)) = G_0 \times_{N_0}$, where $N_0$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group and $G_0$ is isomorphic to a normal subgroup of $\prod A_{p,n_1,p}$ with $p$-power index for some $n_i$'s.

(ii) $O^p_p(G_1) = O^p_p(G) \times O^p_p(G_1)$, where $G_1$ is an inverse image of $G_0$ in $G$.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we shall prepare some lemmas and propositions which will be used to show the theorem.

Throughout this section, except in the last four lemmas we shall treat a group $G$ of the form $G = N \rtimes H$, a semidirect product of a $p$-group $N$ by a group $H$. Under the conjugation action, $kN$ can be viewed as a $kH$-module.

Clearly $J(kN)$ is a $kH$-module for all integer $l \geq 0$. We put

$$grk^N := \bigotimes_{l \geq 0} J(kN)^l / J(kN)^{l+1},$$

where $J(kN)^0$ means $kN$.

**Lemma 1.1.** Assume $N = N_1 \times N_2$. Then the map $J(kN_1) \otimes J(kN_2) \rightarrow J(kN)$ $(a \otimes b \mapsto ab)$ induces a $k$-isomorphism

$$\bigoplus_{l_1 + l_2 = 1} J(kN_1)^{l_1} / J(kN_1)^{l_1+1} \otimes_k J(kN_2)^{l_2} / J(kN_2)^{l_2+1} \simeq J(kN)^l / J(kN)^{l+1}.$$  

This isomorphism is a $kH$-isomorphism if each $N_i$ is $H$-invariant.

Proof. For simplicity, put $J := J(kN)$, $J_1 := J(kN_1)$ and $J_2 := J(kN_2)$. Notice that the canonical map $J_1 \otimes J_2 \rightarrow J (a \otimes b \mapsto ab)$ induces an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{l_1 + l_2 = 1} J_1^{l_1} / J_1^{l_1+1} \otimes_k J_2^{l_2} / J_2^{l_2+1} \rightarrow J^l / J^{l+1}.$$  

Since $\Sigma_{l \geq 0} \Sigma_{l_1 + l_2 = 1} \dim_k (J_1^{l_1} / J_1^{l_1+1} \otimes_k J_2^{l_2} / J_2^{l_2+1}) = \dim_k kN = \Sigma_{l \geq 0} \dim_k J^l / J^{l+1}$, the above map must be an isomorphism. From the construction, the last statement is clear.

**Lemma 1.2.** Let $H = \langle s \rangle$ be a cyclic group of order $p$ and $N = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \cdots \times \langle x_p \rangle$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group of rank $p$. Assume that the action of $H$ on $N$ is given by $x_i^t = x_{i+1} (1 \leq i \leq p-1)$ and $x_p^t = x_1$. Then,
Theorem. For simplicity, put $J := J(N)$. By the assumption on $N$ and Lemma 1.1, we have a $k$-isomorphism $J^l / J^{l+1} \cong \bigoplus k(x_i - 1)^{l_i} \cdots (x_p - 1)^{l_p}$. We shall examine the action of $H$ on $J^l / J^{l+1}$.

Let $\alpha_{l_1, \ldots, l_p}$ be element in $J^l / J^{l+1}$ which corresponds to $(x_1 - 1)^{l_1} \cdots (x_p - 1)^{l_p}$. Then $\{\alpha_{l_1, \ldots, l_p}; l_1 + \cdots + l_p = l\}$ forms a $k$-basis of $J^l / J^{l+1}$. $\alpha_{l_1, \ldots, l_p} = \alpha_{l_1, \ldots, l_{p-1}}$ so $s$ permutes the above $k$-basis and we see that $\alpha_{l_1, \ldots, l_p}$ is stabilized by $s$ if and only if $l_1 = l_2 = \cdots = l_p ( = l/p)$. Thus the assertion follows.

Lemma 1.3. Let $N$ be an elementary abelian $p$-group and $H$ be an abelian $p'$-group with $C_H(N) = 1$. Then there exists an element $x \in N$ such that $C_H(x) = 1$.

Proof. If $H$ acts on $N$ irreducibly, then $H$ is cyclic and $C_H(x) = 1$ for all $1 \neq x \in N$.

Since $H$ is a $p'$-group, we have a decomposition where $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where $N_1$ is $H$-irreducible and $N_2$ is $H$-invariant. Assume $N_2 \neq 1$ and we shall use induction on $|N|$. Since $H/C_H(N_1)$ acts on $N_1$ irreducibly and faithfully, there exists $x_1 \in N_1$ such that $C_H(x_1) = C_H(N_1)$. Since the action of $C_H(N_1)$ on $N_2$ is faithful, there exists $x_2 \in N_2$ such that $C_H(x_2) \cap C_H(N_1) = 1$ by induction. For $x = x_1 x_2 \in N$, $C_H(x) = 1$ as desired.

Proposition 1.4. Let $H = M \rtimes \langle s \rangle$ be a Frobenius group with $p'$-group $M$ and $|s| = p$. Assume $N$ is an elementary abelian $p$-group. If $grkN$ is a semisimple $kH$-module, then $s \in M C_H(x)$ for all $x \in N$.

Proof. Let $n$ be the number of $M$-conjugacy classes on $N$. We shall show $|C_N(s)| = n$. First we shall prove that $grkN \cong n(k_H) \oplus (a$ projective $kH$-module). Since $kM$ is semisimple, we have $\text{Inv}_M(grkN) \cong \text{Inv}_M(kN)$ and $\dim_k \text{Inv}_M(kN) = n$. As $M \triangleleft H$, $\text{Inv}_M(grkN)$ is a $kH$-submodule of $grkN$ and is semisimple. Thus, $\text{Inv}_M(grkN) = \text{Inv}_H(grkN)$. $H$ is Frobenius and $p$-nilpotent, so its simple modules are trivial or projective ones. Hence we have $grkN \cong \text{Inv}_H(grkN) \oplus (a$ projective $kH$-module)$ \cong n(k_H) \oplus (a$ projective $kH$-module)$ as desired.

Secondly, we shall give another decomposition of $grkN$. Notice that $N = [N, M] \times C_N(M)$ and put $N_0 := C_N(M)$. By Lemma 1.1, $grkN_0$ is a semisimple $kH$-module and therefore has a trivial $\langle s \rangle$-action. So, $grkN_0 = |N_0(k_{\langle s \rangle})$ as
Furthermore, \( t(N_0 \langle s \rangle) = t(N_0) + t(\langle s \rangle) - 1 \) by Theorem 2.7 of \([6]\). Hence \( N_0 \langle s \rangle \) is elementary abelian, and so \( \langle s \rangle \) centralizes \( N_0 \).

Assume that \([N, M] = 1\). Then \( N = N_0 \), and so \( \text{grk} N = |N| (\langle s \rangle) \) as \( k(\langle s \rangle) \)-modules. Hence \( n = |N| = |C_N(s)| \).

Next assume that \([N, M] \neq 1\). Since \( H \) acts on \([N, M]\) by conjugation, we can regard \([N, M]\) as an \( H\)-module. Every \( H\)-constituent of \([N, M]\) doesn't contain \( M \) in its kernel, and so is projective by the assumption on \( H\). Hence \( \langle s \rangle \) is a projective \( k(\langle s \rangle) \)-module. Therefore, by Lemma 1.1, we have \( \text{grk} N \approx p^m[N_0 (k(\langle s \rangle))] \) as \( k(\langle s \rangle) \)-modules.

The above two decompositions of \( \text{grk} N \) imply \( n = p^m |N_0| \). Notice that \( p^m |N_0| = |C_N(s)| \) since \( |C_N(s)| = p \) for all \( i \). Consequently we have \( |C_N(s)| = n \).

Now, two distinct elements of \( C_N(s) \) are not \( M\)-conjugate each other: otherwise they are \( C_M(s) \)-conjugate but this does not happen since \( C_M(s) = 1 \). Therefore \( C_N(s) \) is a set of representatives of \( M\)-conjugacy classes of \( N \) as \( |C_N(s)| = n \) and we get the result.

**Proposition 1.5.** Let \( H, M \) and \( s \) be as in Proposition 1.4. If \( N \) is elementary abelian and \( s \in M C_H(x) \) for all \( x \in N \), then \( M / C_M(N) \) is abelian.

Proof. We imitate the proof of Theorem 3.3 in \([15]\) and shall use induction on \(|H|\). We may assume that \( C_M(N) = 1 \). And we may also assume that \( p \) is odd as \( H \) is a Frobenius group. Notice that \( M \) is nilpotent.

**Step 1.** \( N \) and \( N_M \) are irreducible.

Proof. Let \( N_0 \) be an irreducible \( M\)-submodule of \( N \) and \( N_0 = \langle x^M \rangle \). Then by our assumption \( N_0 = \langle x^H \rangle \) and is \( H\)-invariant. This implies that \( N \) is completely reducible as an \( H\)-module and the result follows by induction.

**Step 2.** \( N_K \) is homogeneous for all \( K \triangleleft H \).

Proof. Suppose not and choose \( K \triangleleft H \) maximal such that \( N_K \) is not homogeneous. Let \( N_K = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_t \), where \( N_i \)'s are the homogeneous components of \( N_K \). By Step 1 \( K \not\triangleleft M \) as \( H \) is Frobenius. Let \( L \triangleleft H \) with \( 1 \neq L / K \subseteq Z(M / K) \).

By the maximality of \( K \), \( N_L \) is homogeneous and therefore \( L \) acts on \( N_i \) transitively. In particular, \( H = N_H(N_1) L \). Thus \( N_M(N_1) \triangleleft H \) as \( K \subseteq N_M(N_1) \triangleleft N_H(N_1) \) and \( L / K \subseteq Z(M / K) \). \( N \) is not a homogeneous \( N_M(N_1)\)-module since \( N_M(N_1) \) can not act on \( \{N_i\} \) transitively and \( N_M(N_1) = K \) by the maximality of \( K \). So \( L = M \) and \( N_H(N_1) = K \) for all \( i \). We may assume that \( s \in N_H(N_1) \). We claim that \( N_H(N_1) \cap N_H(N_2) = K \). Suppose \( N_H(N_1) = N_H(N_2) \). Then \( s \in N_H(N_2) \). On
the other hand, \( N_2 = N_4 \) for some \( t \) in \( M \setminus K \) and therefore \( s, s^{-1} \in N_\mathcal{H}(N_1) \). So \( [s, t] \in N_\mathcal{H}(N_1) \cap M = K \) and this contradicts to the hypothesis that \( H \) is Frobenius. Now take \( 1 \neq x \in N_1 \) and \( 1 \neq y \in N_2 \). For \( h \in C_\mathcal{H}(xy) \), \( h^2 \in C_\mathcal{H}(x) \cap C_\mathcal{H}(y) \) as \( h \) permutes \( \{N_i\} \) and \( x^h = x, y^h = y \) or \( x^h = y, y^h = x \). Thus \( h^2 \in N_\mathcal{H}(N_1) \cap N_\mathcal{H}(N_2) = K \subseteq M \). Because we are assuming that \( p \) is odd, \( h \in M \) and \( C_\mathcal{H}(xy) \subseteq M \). This contradicts to our assumption and Step 2 follows.

**Step 3.** If \( A \triangleleft H \) is abelian, then \( A \subseteq Z(M) \). Furthermore, \( Z(M) \) is cyclic.

Proof. By Step 2 \( A \) is cyclic. Thus \( \text{Aut } A \) is abelian and \( M \subseteq C_\mathcal{H}(A) \) as \( H \) is a Frobenius group with kernel \( M \).

**Step 4.** (Conclusion) \( M = Z(M) \).

Proof. Notice that the prime factors of \( |M| \) and \( |Z(M)| \) coincide as \( M \) is nilpotent. Suppose \( M \neq Z(M) \) and let \( A / Z(M) \) be a chief factor of \( H \) in \( Z(M / Z(M)) \). Then \( A / Z(M) \) is an elementary abelian \( q \)-group for some prime \( q \). \( p \mid (q - 1) \) since \( \langle s \rangle \) acts on \( Z(M) \) regularly and \( q \mid |Z(M)| \). Hence \( GF(q) \) is a splitting field for \( \langle s \rangle \). Thus an \( \langle s \rangle \)-invariant minimal subgroup of \( A / Z(M) \) is of order \( q \) and it is \( M \)-invariant as \( A / Z(M) \subseteq Z(M / Z(M)) \). Thus \( |A / Z(M)| = q \) and \( A \) is abelian. By Step 3 this is a contradiction.

We close this section with the following four lemmas.

**Lemma 1.6.** Let \( P \) be an abelian group and \( P \) act on a group \( M \) with \( (|P|, |M|) = 1 \). If \( [M, x] \) is abelian for all \( x \in P \), then \( [M, P] \) is abelian.

Proof. It suffices to show that \( M_0 \equiv [M, x][M, y] \) is abelian for all \( x, y \in P \). Notice that \( M_0 \equiv [M, \langle x \rangle \langle y \rangle] \) as \( P \) is abelian. \( [M, y] \) is an \( \langle x \rangle \)-invariant normal subgroup of \( M_0 \). Let \( \tilde{M}_0 = M / [M, y] \), then \( \tilde{M}_0 \equiv [\tilde{M}, x] = [M_0, x] \). Since \( \tilde{M}_0 \) is abelian, \( C_{\tilde{M}_0}(x) = 1 \). This implies \( C_{M_0}(x) \subseteq [M, y] \). Similarly, we can show \( C_{M_0}(x) \subseteq [M, xy] \). Hence, \( C_{M_0}(x) \subseteq [M, y] \cap [M, xy] \). Since \( M_0 \equiv [M, y][M, xy] \) and \( [M, y], [M, xy] \) are abelian, \( C_{M_0}(x) \subseteq Z(M_0) \). Therefore, \( M_0 = C_{M_0}(x)[M_0, x] \) is abelian.

**Lemma 1.7.** Let \( M \) be a \( p' \)-group, \( P \) a \( p \)-group and \( H = M \rtimes P \) a semidirect product of \( M \) by \( P \). If \( H \) is \( p \)-radical, then \( J(kH) = \sum_{Q \subseteq P} J(kQ)[\widetilde{M}, Q]kH \), where \( \widetilde{M}, Q \) is the sum of all elements of \( [M, Q] \) in \( kH \).

Moreover, if \( P \) is abelian, then \( J(kH)^n = \sum_{Q \subseteq P} J(kQ)^n[\widetilde{M}, Q]kH \) for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \).

Proof. If \( P \) is abelian, then for a subgroup \( Q \) of \( P \), \( [\widetilde{M}, Q] \in Z(kH) \) and \( [M, Q]Q \triangleleft H \). Thus for \( Q, R \subseteq P \), \( J(kQ)^n[\widetilde{M}, Q]J(kR)^n[\widetilde{M}, R] \subseteq J(kQR)^n[\widetilde{M}, Q] \)
for all $\lambda, \mu \in N$. So it suffices to show the first statement.

(2) Let $Q$ be any subgroup of $P$. Since $(1-x)[\hat{M}, Q] = [\hat{M}, Q](1-x)$ for all $x \in Q$ and $Q$ is a $p$-group, $J(kQ)[\hat{M}, Q] \subseteq J(k[\hat{M}, Q]Q)$. Now $J(k[\hat{M}, Q]Q)kH \subseteq J(kH)$ as $[\hat{M}, Q]Q \triangleleft H$. Thus $J(kH) \supseteq \Sigma_{Q \subseteq P} J(kQ)[\hat{M}, Q]kH$.

(3) Let $\Sigma_{i=1}^{r} e_i = 1$ be a decomposition of 1 into the orthogonal sum of primitive idempotents of $kM$, $T_i$ denote the inertial group of $e_i kM$ in $H$ and $Q_i$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $T_i$ in $P$. So $Q_i$ is a defect group of the unique block of $kT_i$ which covers $e_i kM$. Clifford's theorem says that $J(kH) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{r} (e_i kH)J(kH) = \Sigma_{i=1}^{r} e_i J(kT_i)kH$ as $H$ is $p$-nilpotent. Now $T_i = M > Q_i$ is $p$-radical and $p$-nilpotent as $H$ is $p$-radical and $p$-nilpotent. Thus, $[M, Q_i] \subseteq \text{Ker}(e_i kM)$ by [13, Lemma 7] and $\tilde{T}_i := T_i / [M, Q_i] \simeq Q_i \times C_M(Q_i)$ by [13, Theorem 2]. Then $J(k\tilde{T}_i) = J(kQ_i)k\tilde{T}_i$. Therefore, $e_i J(kT_i)kH = e_i [\hat{M}, Q_i]J(k\tilde{T}_i)kH = e_i [\hat{M}, Q_i]J(kQ_i)kH \subseteq [\hat{M}, Q_i]J(kQ_i)kH$, so $J(kH) \supseteq \Sigma_{Q \subseteq P} J(kQ)[\hat{M}, Q]kH$.

Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be the family of all finite group $G$ such that $l(P_\alpha(k)) = a(p-1)+1$, where $p^a$ is the order of a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$. 

**Lemma 1.8.** Let $G$ be a $p$-solvable group and $N \triangleleft G$. If $G \in \mathcal{F}_0$, then $G/N$, $N \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

**Proof.** Let $p^a$, $p^b$ be the orders of Sylow $p$-subgroups of $G$ and $N$, respectively. By [15, Corollary 3.6] and [6, Lemma 1.1], $b(p-1)+1 + (a-b)(p-1)+1-1 \leq l(P_\alpha(k)) = l(P_\alpha n(k)) - 1 \leq l(P_\alpha(k)) = a(p-1)+1$. Hence $l(P_\alpha n(k)) = (a-b)(p-1)+1$ and $l(P_\alpha(k)) = b(p-1)+1$, and so $G/N$, $N \in \mathcal{F}_0$.

**Lemma 1.9.** Let $G$ be a $p$-group with $G \in \mathcal{F}_0$. Then $G$ is elementary abelian.

**Proof.** Since $P_\alpha(k) = kG$, $G$ is elementary abelian by [9, Theorem 1].

3. Proof of theorem

In this section we shall prove the theorem stated in the introduction. By [15, Corollary 3.6], the condition (1) implies the condition (2) in the theorem. Now we shall prove the condition (2) implies (3).

In the proof of [3, Theorem 3], if we reset $\mathcal{F}_0$ instead of $\mathcal{F}$ and reset Lemma 1.8 (respectively, Lemma 1.8, 1.9) instead of Lemma 2.6, 2.7 (respectively, Theorem 3.1 of [14]), then we have the following result.

If $G$ is a $p$-radical group with $G/O_p(G) \in \mathcal{F}_0$, then $G = O_{p', p, p'}(G)$. Therefore, if $l(P_\alpha(k)) = a(p-1)+1$ and $G$ is a $p$-radical group with $O_p^r(G) = G$, then $G = O_{p, p', p'}(G)$. Let $M$ be a Hall $p'$-subgroup of $G$ and let $H = N_G(M)$. By the Frattini argument, $G = O_p(G)H$. By [13, Theorem 2], $[O_p(G), M] \cap C_{O_p(G)}(M) = 1$. Since $C_{O_p(G)}(M) = O_p(G) \triangleleft H$, $G = [O_p(G), M] \triangleleft H$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup
of \( H \) and let \( N = [O_p(G), M] \). By Lemma 1.8, 1.9, \( G \) has the following form.

\( * \) \( G = N \triangleleft H \) and \( H = M \triangleleft P \), where \( N \) and \( P \) are elementary abelian \( p \)-groups, \( M \) is a \( p' \)-group and \( H \) is \( p \)-radical with \([M, P] = M\).

**Theorem A.** In the above notations if \( l(P_\alpha(k)) = a(p-1) + 1 \), then \( P \subseteq M C_H(x) \) for all \( x \in N \).

**Proof.** \( grkN \) is semisimple as a \( kH \)-module by [6, Lemma 1.4]. For \( 1 \neq s \in P \), \([M, s] \langle s \rangle \) is a normal subgroup of \( H \) and Frobenius by [13, Theorem 2]. As \([M, s] \langle s \rangle < H \), \( grkN \) is also semisimple as a \( k([M, s] \langle s \rangle ) \)-module. Thus \( s \in M C_H(x) \) for all \( x \in N \) by Proposition 1.4. Hence \( s \in C_H(x)M \) and \( P \subseteq C_H(x)M \), so \( P \subseteq M C_H(x) \).

Next we consider the condition (3) and (4) in the theorem.

**Theorem B.** The following conditions are equivalent.

(1) \( G \) satisfies \( * \) and \( P \subseteq M C_H(x) \) for all \( x \in N \).

(2) The following conditions hold.

(i) \( G \) is \( p \)-radical with \( O^p(G) = G \).

(ii) \( G / O^p(G) \) is a direct product of an elementary abelian \( p \)-group and \( G_0 \), which is isomorphic to a normal subgroup of \( \tilde{G} \) containing \( O^p(\tilde{G}) \), where \( \tilde{G} \cong A_{p,n_1,p} \times \cdots \times A_{p,n_m,p} \) for some \( n_1, \ldots, n_m \) and \( O_{p'}(G_i) = O_p(G) \times O_p(G_i) \), where \( G_i \) is the inverse image of \( G_0 \) in \( G \).

**Proof.** First we prove that the condition (1) implies (2). Assume that the condition (1) is satisfied. Then \( G \) is \( p \)-radical and \( O^p(G) = G \). \( C_p(M) \) is a direct factor of \( G \) and therefore we may assume \( C_p(M) = 1 \). Then \( O_{p'}(G) = C_{p'}(N) \), \( O_p(G) = N \). We shall prove that the condition (2)(ii) is satisfied for \( G \) in the following steps.

**Step 1.** We may assume \( C_{p'}(N) = 1 \).

**Proof.** If \( O_{p'}(G) = C_{p'}(N) \neq 1 \), then by using induction on \( |G| \) for \( \tilde{G} := G / O_{p'}(G) \), \( \tilde{G} = G_0 \times N_0 \), where \( G_0 \) is isomorphic to a normal subgroup of \( \Pi_i A_{p,n_i,p} \) containing \( O^p(\Pi_i A_{p,n_i,p}) \) for some \( n_i \)'s and \( N_0 \) is an elementary abelian \( p \)-group. Let \( G_1 \) be the inverse image of \( G_0 \) in \( G \). So, \( O_{p'}(G_1) = O_{p'}(G) P_1 \) for some \( p \)-group \( P_1 \). In the definition of \( A_{p,n,p} \), \( [V, V] = V \). Hence \( [P_1, \tilde{M}] = P_1 \), so \( P_1 \subseteq O_{p'}(G) [P_1, \tilde{M}] \). On the other hand, \([P_1, \tilde{M}] \subseteq MN \), so \( P_1 \subseteq MN \) and \( P_1 \subseteq N \). Therefore, \( O_{p'}(G_1) = O_{p'}(G) \times O_{p'}(G_1) \), so we may assume \( C_{p'}(N) = 1 \).

**Step 2.** For any \( Q \subseteq P, N \triangleleft ([M, Q] \triangleleft Q) \) is normal in \( G \) and satisfies the condition (1).
Proof. As $H$ is $p$-radical and $p$-nilpotent, $[M, Q] \vartriangleleft Q$ is so and $[[M, Q], Q] = [M, Q]$. For all $x \in N$, $P \subseteq M C_H(x)$. So $Q \subseteq [M, Q] C_H(x)$ as $M = C_M(Q)[M, Q]$. 

**Step 3.** $M$ is abelian.

Proof. Let $1 \neq s \in P$ and consider the subgroup $N \vartriangleleft ([M, s] \vartriangleleft s)$. This satisfies the condition (1) by Step 2 and $[M, s] \vartriangleleft s$ is Frobenius by [13, Theorem 2]. Thus $[M, s]$ is abelian by Proposition 1.5 and the result follows from Lemma 1.6.

**Step 4.** The following conditions hold.
1. An $M$-invariant subgroup of $N$ is $H$-invariant.
2. Suppose $N = N_1 \times N_2$, where $N_i$ are $M$-invariant. Then $M = C_M(N_1) \times C_M(N_2)$.

Proof. Let $N_0 \subseteq N$ be $M$-invariant. To show that $N_0$ is $H$-invariant we may assume that $N_0$ is $M$-irreducible as $M$ is a $p'$-group. Then $N_0 = \langle x^M \rangle$ for some $x \in N_0$. By our assumption $P \subseteq M C_H(x)$ and therefore $N_0 = \langle x^H \rangle$ is $H$-invariant. Thus (1) follows. Suppose $N = N_1 \times N_2$ for $M$-invariant subgroups $N_i$. By Lemma 1.3 $C_M(N_i) = C_M(x_i)$ for some $x_i \in N_i$. Because $N_i$ are $M$-invariant we may take $x_i$ with $P \subseteq C_H(x_i)$. Let $a \in M$ and apply our assumption on $x_i x_2$. There exists an element $b \in M$ such that $P^b \subseteq C_H(x_i x_2) = C_H(x_1) \cap C_H(x_2)$, here we used the fact that $N_i$ are $H$-invariant. Thus $P^b = P^c$ for some element $c \in C_M(x_2) = C_M(N_2)$. Therefore $bc^{-1} \in N_0(P) = C_M(P)$. By [13, Theorem 2] $C_M(P) = 1$ and it follows that $b = c \in C_M(N_2)$. We also have that $P^b = P^{ac}$ for some $c' \in C_M(x_i)^p = C_M(N_i)^p = C_M(N_i)$ and $ba^{-1} \in C_M(N_1)$ by the similar argument in the above. Thus $a = (ab^{-1})_b \in C_M(N_1) C_M(N_2)$ and the result follows.

**Step 5.** We may assume $C_N(M) = 1$.

Proof. For all $x \in C_N(M)$, $P \subseteq C_H(x)$. Thus $C_N(M) \subseteq Z(G)$ and $G = C_N(M) \times ([N, M] \vartriangleleft H)$.

Let $N = N_1 \times \cdots \times N_m$, where $N_i$'s are $M$-irreducible and put $M_i := C_M(\prod_{j \neq i} N_j)$.

**Step 6.** $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ and $N \vartriangleleft M = (N_1 \vartriangleleft M_1) \times \cdots \times (N_m \vartriangleleft M_m)$ and $M_i$'s are $P$-invariant.

Proof. Since $M = C_M(N_2) \times M_2$ by Step 4, $C_M(N_1) = M_2 \times C_M(N_1 \times N_2)$. Hence $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times C_M(N_1 \times N_2)$. Similarly we have $C_M(N_1 \times N_2) = M_3 \times C_M(N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3)$, and hence $M = M_1 \times M_2 \times M_3 \times C_M(N_1 \times N_2 \times N_3)$. By the similar argument, $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$ since $C_M(N) = 1$.

**Step 7.** $N_i \vartriangleleft M_i P / C_P(N_i) \simeq A_{p, n_i, p}$ for some $n_i$. 
Proof. $N_i$ is $M_i$-irreducible and therefore $M_i$ is cyclic as $M_i$ is abelian. Also $[M_i,P] = M_i$ and $P \subseteq M_i C_{M_i}(x)$ for all $x \in N_i$. Thus [11, Proposition 19.8] implies $N_i \lhd M_i P / C_{p}(N_i) \simeq A_{p,n_i,p}$ for some $n_i$. (see [2, Theorem])

Step 8. (Conclusion.)

Proof. $N_i \lhd H / C_{h}(N_i) \simeq N_i \lhd M_i P / C_{p}(N_i), N_i \lhd P$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\Pi_i N_i \lhd H / C_{h}(N_i)$ containing $\Pi_i N_i \lhd M_i C_{h}(N_i) / C_{h}(N_i) = \Pi_i N_i \lhd M_i$ by Step 7. Now the result follows from Step 7.

The group $A_{p,n_i,p}$ satisfies $(\ast)$ and the condition $(1)$ in Theorem B. Thus it follows easily that the condition $(2)$ implies $(1)$ and Theorem B is proved.

By Theorem B the conditions $(3)$ and $(4)$ in our main theorem are equivalent. Theorem C in the following says that the condition $(3)$ (and $(4)$) implies $(1)$. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the family of all finite group $G$ such that $t(G) = a(p - 1) + 1$, where $p^a$ is the order of a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $G$.

**Theorem C.** If $G$ satisfies the condition $(3)$ in the theorem, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.

Proof. Put $|N| = p^b, |H| = p^c$ and $a = b + c$. It suffices to show $t(G) \leq a(p - 1) + 1$ by [4] and [14]. Notice that $N_i H \in \mathcal{F}$.

Step 1. We may assume $C_{M_i}(N) = 1$.

Proof. Let $M_0$ denote $C_{M_i}(N)$, $B$ be a block of $kG$ and $b$ a block of $kM_0$ covered by $B$. Then $b$ has the unique irreducible character, say $\varphi$ and let $Q$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $I_G(\varphi)$. Notice that $Q$ is a defect group of the block of $I_G(\varphi)$, which covers $b$. It suffices to prove that $t(B) \leq a(p - 1) + 1$ as $t(G) = \max_{B \in B_i(G)} t(B)$.

Now, $[M,Q] \cap M_0 = [M_0,Q][M,Q] \cap C_{M_0}(Q) = [M_0,Q] \subseteq \text{Ker} \varphi$ by [13, Lemma 7 and Theorem 2]. In particular, $[M_0,Q] < H$, and so $[M_0,Q] < G$. Therefore, we may assume $[M_0,Q] = 1$ since $\varphi$ is regarded as an irreducible character of $k(M_0/[M_0,Q])$ and $B$ can be considered as a block of $k(G/[M_0,Q])$. In this case $[[M,Q],M_0] = 1$, so $I_G(\varphi) \geq [M,Q] M_0 \lhd Q$ as $I_G(\varphi) \supseteq [M,Q] Q$. Therefore, $N \lhd ([M,Q] M_0 \lhd Q)$ is normal in $I_G(\varphi) = N \lhd I_H(\varphi)$ and of $p'$-index. Now let $\tilde{b}$ denote the Fong correspondent of $B$ w.r.t. $(G, I_G(\varphi))$, i.e., $\tilde{b}$ is the unique block of $k(I_H(\varphi))$ such that $\tilde{b}^G = B$. Then it suffices to show $I_G(\varphi) \in \mathcal{F}$ as $t(B) = t(\tilde{b})$ (see [5]).

Now $I_G(\varphi) \in \mathcal{F} \iff N \lhd [M,Q] M_0 \in \mathcal{F} \iff N \lhd [M,Q] Q \in \mathcal{F}$, since $N \lhd [M,Q] M_0 Q = (N \lhd [M,Q] Q) \times M_0$. $N \lhd [M,Q] Q$ satisfies the assumption in Theorem C (see Step 2 in the proof of Theorem B) and $C_{[M,Q]}(N) = 1$. So we may assume $M_0 = C_{M_i}(N) = 1$. 


Step 2. We may assume $C_N(M) = 1$.

Proof. Since $N = [N, M] \times C_P(M)$ the assumption in Theorem C implies that $G = ([N, M] \triangleleft H) \times C_N(M)$ (see Step 5 in the proof of Theorem B). Then $t(G) = t([N, M] \triangleleft H) + t(C_N(M)) - 1$. Therefore, we may assume $C_N(M) = 1$.

Step 3. $J(kH) J(kN)^+ J(kH) = \Sigma_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 = \mu} J(kN)^{\mu_1} J(kH)^{\mu_1} J(kN)^{\mu_2}$.

Proof. We may use the same notations as in Step 6 in the proof of Theorem B. So, by Theorem B for all $Q \subseteq P$ and all $i$, 
$$[M_i, Q] = \begin{cases} M_i & \text{if } Q \nsubseteq C_P(N_i) \\ 1 & \text{if } Q \subseteq C_P(N_i) \end{cases}.$$ 

Therefore, 
$$[M, Q] = \Pi_{i=1}^{\mu} [M_i, Q] = \Pi_{Q \nsubseteq C_P(N_i)} M_i.$$ 

For subgroups $Q, R$ of $P$, set $\pi_Q = \{ i \mid Q \nsubseteq C_P(N_i) \}$ and $\pi_R = \{ j \mid R \nsubseteq C_P(N_i) \}$. Let $N = N_Q \times N_0 \times N_R$ be a decomposition of $N$, where $N_Q = \Pi_{i \in \pi_Q} N_i$, $N_0 = \Pi_{i \in \pi_0} N_i$ and $N_R = \Pi_{i \in \pi_R} N_i$.

Then $[M, Q]Q$ (resp. $[M, R]R$) acts on $N_Q$ (resp. $N_R$) trivially. Now put $M_0 = [M, Q] \cap [M, R]$, then $P \subseteq M_0 C_H(x)$ for all $x \in N_0$. For all $x \in J(kQ)^4$ and $\beta \in J(kR)^4$,

$$\alpha[M_0, Q] J(kN)^{\mu} \beta[M, R] = \alpha[M, Q] J(kN)^{\mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_1} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} j(kN_R)^{\mu_3} \beta[M, R]$$

$$= \Sigma_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu} \alpha[M, Q] J(kN_0)^{\mu_1} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} j(kN_R)^{\mu_3} \beta[M, R]$$

$$= \Sigma_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_1} \alpha[M, Q] J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \beta[M, R] J(kN_R)^{\mu_3}.$$ 

Let $\gamma \in J(kN_0)^{\mu_2}$. Since $M_0 = \Pi_{i \in \pi_0} M_i$, $M = M_0 \times L$, where $L = \Pi_{i \in \pi_R} M_i$.

Then $L$ centralizes $M_0$ and $N_0$, and so $(\Sigma \gamma^a) = \Sigma \gamma^a$ for all $y \in L$. Let $\gamma = \Sigma c_x x$, $\forall x \in N_0$, where $c_x \in k$. Then $\Sigma \gamma^a = \Sigma \Sigma c_x x^a = \Sigma c_x \Sigma x^a$. Since $P \subseteq C_H(x)$ for all $x \in N_0$, $(\Sigma x^a)^y = \Sigma x^a$, and so $(\Sigma \gamma^a)^y = \Sigma \gamma^a$ for all $y \in P$. Thus $\Sigma \gamma^a \in Z(kG)$. Therefore $M_0 \gamma M_0 = M_0 \Sigma \gamma^a \in M_0 (J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \cap Z(kG))$ for all $\gamma \in J(kN_0)^{\mu_2}$.

Then,
$$\alpha[M, Q] J(kN)^{\mu} \beta[M, R] = \alpha[M, Q] J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} M_0 \beta[M, R]$$
\[ J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \leq J(kQR)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \]

by Lemma 1.7.

Thus,

\[ J(kH)^{\lambda} J(kN)^{\mu} J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \leq J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \]

by Lemma 1.7.

Therefore, by Lemma 1.7,

\[ J(kH)^{\lambda} J(kN)^{\mu} J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \leq J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN)^{\mu_2} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_1} \alpha [\overline{M}, \overline{Q}] J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \beta [\overline{M}, \overline{R}] J(kN_0)^{\mu_3} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_1} J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} J(kN_0)^{\mu_3} \]

\[ \leq \sum_{\mu_1 + \mu_2 + \mu_3 = \mu} J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kN)^{\mu_2 + \mu_3} \]

Step 4. (Conclusion.) \( t(G) \leq a(p-1) + 1 \).

Proof. Now \( J(kG) = J(kH) + J(kN)kH \). So, we can easily show that \( J(kG)^{\nu} = \sum_{\lambda + \mu + \nu = \nu} J(kN)^{\lambda} J(kH)^{\mu + \nu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \) using Step 3 and induction on \( \nu \). Let \( \lambda + \mu + \nu = a(p-1) + 1 \). If \( \mu \geq c(p-1) + 1 \), then \( J(kN)^{\lambda} J(kH)^{\mu + \nu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} = 0 \) as \( H \in \mathcal{F} \). If \( \mu \leq c(p-1) \), then \( \lambda + \mu + \nu = a(p-1) + 1 - c(p-1) = b(p-1) + 1 \). So, \( J(kN)^{\lambda} J(kH)^{\mu + \nu} J(kN_0)^{\mu_2} \leq J(kN)^{\lambda + \mu} J(kH)^{\lambda + \mu} kG = 0 \) as \( N \triangleleft G \) and \( N \in \mathcal{F} \).

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Professor Tetsuro Okuyama and Yukio Tsushima for their many helpful suggestions, especially Professor Tetsuro Okuyama showed him the proof of Proposition 1.4, 1.5. The author also thanks Yoshimasa Hieda who was very helpful while he was preparing the manuscript for this paper.

References


Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Education
Gunma University
Maebashi 371, Japan