



Title	On induced representations
Author(s)	Okamoto, Kiyosato
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1967, 4(1), p. 85-94
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5601">https://doi.org/10.18910/5601</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

## ON INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS

KIYOSATO OKAMOTO\*

(Received March 10, 1967)

Let  $G$  be a locally compact topological group and  $K$  a compact subgroup of  $G$ . For any irreducible unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $K$ , we denote by  $U(\sigma)$  the induced representation generated by  $\sigma$  (see §1). In general,  $U(\sigma)$  is not irreducible.

The purpose of this paper is to give a method of extracting the irreducible components of  $U(\sigma)$  when  $G$  is one of the special types of Lie groups.

1. Let  $G$  be a connected non-compact semisimple Lie group with a finite dimensional faithful representation and  $K$  a maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ . We assume that  $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$ . For any given irreducible unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $K$  on a representation space  $V$ , we can construct a unitary representation  $U(\sigma)$  of  $G$  as follows. Let  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$  be the set of all "Haar-measurable"  $V$ -valued functions  $f$  which satisfy the following conditions;

$$f(kx) = \sigma(k)f(x) \quad (k \in K, x \in G)$$

and

$$\|f\|^2 = \int_G \|f(x)\|_V^2 dx < \infty$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_V$  denotes the norm in  $V$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$  is a Hilbert space if we identify functions which differ only on subsets of  $G$  of Haar measure zero. The inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  in  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$  is given by

$$(f_1, f_2) = \int_G (f_1(x), f_2(x))_V dx \quad (f_1, f_2 \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma))$$

where  $(\cdot, \cdot)_V$  denotes the inner product in  $V$ . Finally for any  $g \in G$ ,  $U_g(\sigma)$  is defined by

$$(U_g(\sigma)f)(x) = f(xg) \quad (f \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma), x \in G).$$

Thus we obtained the induced representation  $U(\sigma)$  generated by  $\sigma$  (cf. [7] (d)).

Our aim is to find out an irreducible closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$ .

---

\* The author is partially supported by the Sakkokai Foundation.

2. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{k}$ ) be the Lie algebra of  $G$  (resp.  $K$ ) and  $\mathfrak{p}$  the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  is a Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal torus in  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  the Lie algebra of  $T$ . Then since  $G$  has a finite dimensional faithful representation and  $\text{rank } G = \text{rank } K$ ,  $T$  is a Cartan subgroup of  $G$ ; i.e.

$$T = \{g \in G; \text{Ad}(g)H = H \text{ for all } H \in \mathfrak{h}\}$$

where  $\text{Ad}$  denotes the adjoint representation of  $G$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  denote the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all non-zero roots of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  with respect to the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$  ( $\mathfrak{h}^{\mathbb{C}}$  is the subspace of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$  spanned by  $\mathfrak{h}$ ). We denote by  $\Sigma_k$  the set of all compact roots (see for definition [8]). Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be the vector space over  $\mathbf{R}$  (the field of real numbers) consisting of all purely imaginary complex valued linear forms on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{F}$ . Introduce a linear order in  $\mathcal{F}$  and let  $P$  (resp.  $P_k$ ) be the set of all positive roots in  $\Sigma$  (resp.  $\Sigma_k$ ). We denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  the universal enveloping algebra of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}}$ . As usual we regard elements of  $\mathfrak{G}$  as left-invariant differential operators on  $G$ . Since  $V$  is finite dimensional, it has the canonical structure of an analytic manifold. We denote by  $C^0(G, V)$  the vector space of all continuous mappings from  $G$  to  $V$ . For any  $f \in C^0(G, V)$  and  $v \in V$ , we put

$$f_v(x) = (f(x), v)_V \quad (x \in G).$$

Let  $C^\infty(G)$  be the vector space of all infinitely differentiable complex valued functions on  $G$ . We denote by  $C^\infty(G, V)$  the set of all  $f \in C^0(G, V)$  such that

$$f_v \in C^\infty(G) \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

We often call  $f \in C^\infty(G, V)$  a  $V$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -function. Define

$$(U_X(\sigma)f)(g) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{t} (f(g \exp tX) - f(g)) \quad (g \in G)$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$  and  $f \in C^\infty(G, V)$ . Then we have a representation  $X \rightarrow U_X(\sigma)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  on  $C^\infty(G, V)$ . This extends uniquely to a representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . It is obvious that

$$(U_u(\sigma)f)_v = uf_v \text{ for all } u \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

In the following, we shall simply write  $uf$  instead of  $U_u(\sigma)f$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Z}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\Omega$  the Casimir operator of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{Z}$ . For any  $g \in G$ , we define

$$(R(g)f)(x) = f(xg) \quad (x \in G, f \in C^\infty(G)).$$

Then an element  $u$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{Z}$  if and only if  $R(g) \circ u = u \circ R(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ . Fix a subalgebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  such that  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ . We denote by  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{C})$  the set of all homomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathbf{C}$ . For any  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{C})$  we put

$$\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi) = \{f \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma) \cap C^\infty(G, V); \quad zf = \chi(z)f \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Then we have

**Proposition 1.**  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  is a closed *invariant* subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$ . Moreover, every element of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  is an analytic mapping from  $G$  into  $V$ .

Proof. Let  $B$  be the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}^C$  and put

$$\langle X, Y \rangle = -B(X, \theta(Y)) \quad (X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}^C)$$

where  $\theta$  denotes the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}^C$  with respect to the compact real form  $\mathfrak{g}_u = \mathfrak{k} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ . Then  $\langle, \rangle$  is an inner product in  $\mathfrak{g}^C$ . Select orthonormal bases  $(X_1, \dots, X_m)$  and  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  for  $\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively. Then, it follows from the definition of the Casimir operator  $\Omega$  that

$$\Omega = -(X_1^2 + \dots + X_m^2) + Y_1^2 + \dots + Y_n^2.$$

We put

$$\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}} = X_1^2 + \dots + X_m^2, \quad \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = Y_1^2 + \dots + Y_n^2.$$

For any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , let  $X'$  denote the right invariant vector field on  $G$  given by

$$(X'f)(x) = \left[ \frac{d}{dt} f(\exp(tX)x) \right]_{t=0} \quad (x \in G, f \in C^\infty(G)).$$

Then the mapping  $X \rightarrow X'$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ) can be extended uniquely to an anti-homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  onto the algebra of right-invariant differential operators on  $G$ . It is easy to see that  $\Omega' = \Omega$  as differential operators on  $G$ . For any  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ , we shall denote as usual by  $H_\lambda$  an element of  $\mathfrak{h}^C$  such that  $\lambda(H) = B(H_\lambda, H)$  for all  $H \in \mathfrak{h}$ ; the inner product  $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle$  of two linear forms  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathcal{F}$  means the value  $\langle H_\lambda, H_\mu \rangle$ . We denote by the same notation the infinitesimal representation of  $\sigma$ . Let  $\Lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  be the highest weight of  $\sigma$ . Then it is well known that

$$\sigma(\Omega_k) = -\langle \Lambda + 2\rho_k, \Lambda \rangle I$$

where  $\rho_k = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_k} \alpha$  and  $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $V$ . Fix any  $f \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  and  $v \in V$ . Then

$$\Omega'_{\mathfrak{k}} f_v(x) = (\sigma(\Omega_{\mathfrak{k}})f(x), v)_V = -\langle \Lambda + 2\rho_k, \Lambda \rangle f_v(x)$$

where  $f_v(x) = (f(x), v)_V$ . It follows that

$$\Omega' f_v(x) = 2\langle \Lambda + 2\rho_k, \Lambda \rangle f_v(x) + (\Omega'_{\mathfrak{k}} + \Omega'_{\mathfrak{p}})f_v(x).$$

On the other hand,

$$\Omega f_v(x) = (\Omega f(x), v)_V = \chi(\Omega) f_v(x)$$

Therefore, we have

$$(*) \quad (\Omega'_f + \Omega'_p)f_v = (\chi(\Omega) - 2\langle \Lambda + 2\rho_f, \Lambda \rangle)f_v.$$

Since  $\Omega'_f + \Omega'_p$  is obviously an elliptic differential operators on  $G$ , we conclude that  $f_v$  is an analytic function. This shows that  $f$  is an analytic mapping from  $G$  to  $V$ . Moreover, owing to the ellipticity of  $\Omega'_f + \Omega'_p$ , all solutions of the above equation  $(*)$  in the distribution sense are analytic. It is an immediate consequence of this fact that  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$ . Since  $R(g) \circ z = z \circ R(g)$  for all  $g \in G$ , we see that  $U_g(\sigma)U_z(\sigma) = U_z(\sigma)U_g(\sigma)$ . It follows immediately that  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  is an invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.

We denote by  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  the subrepresentation of  $U(\sigma)$  obtained by restricting  $U(\sigma)$  on  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$ . In the following, we shall discuss when  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is non-trivial and irreducible.

**3.** Let  $\mathcal{E}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{E}_K$ ) be the set of all equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of  $G$  (resp.  $K$ ). For any irreducible unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$ , let  $\pi|K$  denote the restriction of the representation  $\pi$  to the subgroup  $K$ . For any  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{E}_K$ , we denote by  $(\pi|K: \mathfrak{d})$  the multiplicity with which the representation  $\mathfrak{d}$  occurs in  $\pi|K$ .  $(\pi|K: \mathfrak{d})$  depends only on the equivalence class  $\omega$  which contains  $\pi$ . In this case, we also write  $(\omega|K: \mathfrak{d})$  instead of  $(\pi|K: \mathfrak{d})$ . Let  $\xi_\sigma$  be the character of  $\sigma$ . We define a projection operator  $E_\sigma$  by

$$E_\sigma = d(\sigma) \int_K \overline{\xi_\sigma(k)} U_k(\sigma, \chi) dk$$

where  $d(\sigma)$  denotes the degree of  $\sigma$  and  $dk$  is the normalized Haar measure of  $K$ . We denote by  $[\sigma]$  the class in  $\mathcal{E}_K$  to which  $\sigma$  belongs.

**Proposition 2.** *If  $(U(\sigma, \chi)|K: [\sigma]) = 1$ , then  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* It is sufficient to prove that every non-zero closed invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$  contains  $E_\sigma \mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{H}$  be an arbitrary non-zero closed invariant subspace of  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$ . Fix a non-zero element  $f \in \mathfrak{H}$ . Then from Proposition 1,  $f$  is analytic. Hence there exists a  $g_0 \in G$  such that  $f(g_0) \neq 0$ . Put  $f_0 = U_{g_0} f$ . Then it is obvious that  $f_0(1) = f(g_0) \neq 0$  ( $1$  is the identity element of  $G$ ) and that  $f_0$  is analytic on  $G$ . Notice that

$$\begin{aligned} (E_\sigma f_0)(1) &= d(\sigma) \int_K \overline{\xi_\sigma(k)} U_k(\sigma, \chi) f_0(1) dk \\ &= d(\sigma) \int_K \overline{\xi_\sigma(k)} f_0(k) dk \\ &= d(\sigma) \int_K \overline{\xi_\sigma(k)} \sigma(k) dk f_0(1) \\ &= f_0(1) \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then since  $E_\sigma f_0$  is again analytic, we can conclude that  $E_\sigma f_0 \neq 0$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{H}$  is closed invariant subspace, we have  $E_\sigma f_0 \in \mathfrak{H}$ . It follows from the assumption  $(U(\sigma, \chi)|K: [\sigma])=1$  that  $E_\sigma \mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi) \subset \mathfrak{H}$ . This proves the proposition.

We denote by  $\text{End}(V)$  the algebra of all linear endomorphisms of  $V$ . An  $\text{End}(V)$ -valued  $C^\infty$ -function  $\varphi$  on  $G$  is called a zornal spherical functions of type  $(\sigma, \chi)$  if it satisfies the conditions

- (1)  $\varphi(k_1 g k_2) = \sigma(k_1) \varphi(g) \sigma(k_2) \quad (k_1, k_2 \in K, g \in G)$
- (2)  $z\varphi = \chi(z)\varphi \quad \text{for all} \quad z \in \mathfrak{A}.$

Let  $\varphi$  be a zornal spherical function of type  $(\sigma, \chi)$ . We call  $\varphi$  square-integrable if

$$\int_G \|\varphi(g)\|_V^2 dg < +\infty$$

where  $\|\cdot\|_V$  is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of  $\text{End}(V)$ . Here we mean by the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of an element of  $A \in \text{End}(V)$  the square root of the trace of the operator  $A^*A$ , where  $A^*$  denotes the adjoint operator of  $A$ .

**Proposition 3.** *If there exists a non-zero square-integrable zornal spherical function of type  $(\sigma, \chi)$ , then  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is not trivial (i.e.  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi) \neq (0)$ ).*

*Proof.* Let  $\varphi$  be a non-zero square-integrable zornal spherical function of type  $(\sigma, \chi)$ . Then there exists  $v \in V$  such that  $\varphi_v \neq 0$  where  $\varphi_v(g) = \varphi(g)v$ . It is easy to see that  $\varphi_v \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi)$ . This completes the proof of the proposition.

**4.** Now we need some results of F.I. Mautner. For any unitary representation  $\pi$  of  $G$  or  $K$ , we denote by the  $[\pi]$  equivalence class to which  $\pi$  belongs. Then it is easy to see that  $[U(\sigma_1)] = [U(\sigma_2)]$  if  $[\sigma_1] = [\sigma_2] \in \mathcal{E}_K$ . In case  $\sigma \in \mathfrak{d}$ , we shall write  $U(\mathfrak{d})$  instead of  $[U(\sigma)]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *Put  $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{E}; (\omega|K: [\sigma]) \neq 0\}$ . Then*

$$[U(\sigma)] = \int_{\mathcal{E}(\sigma)} (\omega|K: [\sigma]) \omega d\mu(\omega) \quad (\text{direct integral})$$

where  $\mu$  is the Plancherel measure for  $G$ . This means that the multiplicity with which  $\omega$  occurs in  $U(\sigma)$  coincides with the multiplicity with which  $[\sigma]$  occurs in  $\omega|K$ .

For a proof, see [7] (c), and notice the following. Let  $R$  (resp.  $r$ ) be the right-regular representation of  $G$  (resp.  $K$ ). Then owing to the Peter-Weyl theorem, one knows that

$$[r] = \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\mathfrak{d}) \mathfrak{d} \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

where  $m(\mathfrak{d})$  is the multiplicity with which  $\mathfrak{d}$  occurs in  $r$  ( $m(\mathfrak{d}) = \deg \mathfrak{d}$ ). It follows from the theorem on inducing a representation “in stages” (see [7] (d)) that

$$[R] = \sum_{\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{E}_K} m(\mathfrak{d}) U(\mathfrak{d}) \quad (\text{direct sum}).$$

This shows that  $[U(\sigma)]$  is a subrepresentation of the regular representation of  $G$ .

Now we shall need another lemma due to F.I. Mautner.

Consider the decomposition in Lemma 1. Then there exists a choice of representatives  $\tilde{\pi}_\omega \in \omega$  ( $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ ) with the following property. Let  $\tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\omega$  denote the representation space of  $\tilde{\pi}_\omega$ . We denote by  $\pi_\omega$  the  $(\omega|K: [\sigma])$ -times direct sum of  $\tilde{\pi}_\omega$  and let  $\mathfrak{H}_\omega$  be the representation space of  $\pi_\omega$ . Then we have

$$\mathfrak{H}_\omega = \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\omega \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{H}}_\omega \quad ((\omega|K: [\sigma])\text{-times direct sum}).$$

Then we have

$$\mathfrak{H}(\sigma) = \int_{\mathcal{E}(\sigma)} \mathfrak{H}_\omega d\mu(\omega) \quad (\text{direct integral}).$$

For any  $f \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$ , let  $f_\omega$  denote the “component” of  $f$  in  $\mathfrak{H}_\omega$ . We denote by the same notations the infinitesimal representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for  $U(\sigma)$  (resp.  $\pi_\omega$ ) on the Gårding subspaces  $\mathfrak{H}^0(\sigma)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{H}_\omega^0$ ) where  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$  (cf. [7] (a))

**Lemma 2.** *For any  $f \in \mathfrak{H}^0(\sigma)$  and  $u \in \mathfrak{G}$ , we have*

$$(U_u(\sigma)f)_\omega = \pi_\omega(u)f_\omega$$

for almost every  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ .

For a proof, see [7] (a), (b).

Let  $\chi_\omega$  be the infinitesimal character of  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ . For any  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathbf{C})$ , we denote by  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{A}}$  the restriction of  $\chi$  on  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\chi|_{\mathfrak{A}} \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{C})$ . For any  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbf{C})$ , we put

$$\varepsilon(\chi) = \{\omega \in \mathcal{E}; \chi_\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}} = \chi\}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{E}_d$  be the set of all discrete classes in  $\mathcal{E}$  (see [4] (d)). We denote by  $L$  the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$  such that

$$\frac{2\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle}{\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle} \in \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \Sigma,$$

where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of all integers. Let  $L'$  be the set of all  $\lambda \in L$  such that  $\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle \neq 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . Then owing to the profound result of Harish-Chandra ([4] (d) Theorem 16, p. 96), one has that for any  $\lambda \in L'$ , there corresponds an element  $\omega(\lambda) \in \mathcal{E}_d$  such that

$$\chi_{\omega(\lambda)}(\Omega) = |\lambda|^2 - |\rho|^2$$

where  $||^2 = \langle, \rangle$  and  $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P} \alpha$ . As is easily seen,  $\lambda \rightarrow |\lambda|^2 - |\rho|^2$  ( $\lambda \in \mathcal{F}$ ) is a polynomial of degree 2 and its homogeneous part of degree 2 is a positive definite quadratic form. It follows that  $\mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d$  is finite set.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an arbitrary subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{B}$  such that  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{A}$  and let  $\chi$  be a homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathbb{C}$ . Then  $\mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d$  is a finite set. Moreover, let  $\sigma$  be an irreducible unitary representation of  $K$  such that*

$$(A) \quad \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) - \mathcal{E}_d$$

*is of measure zero with respect to the Plancherel measure for  $G$ . Then we have*

$$[U(\sigma, \chi)] = \sum_{\omega} (\omega | K : [\sigma]) \omega \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d).$$

*Proof.* We have already proved the first assertion. We consider the decompositions in Lemma 1 and 2 and use the notations in Lemma 2. Fix any  $f \in \mathfrak{H}(\sigma, \chi) \cap \mathfrak{H}^0(\sigma)$ . Then we know that

$$U_z(\sigma)f = \chi(z)f \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_{\omega}(z)f_{\omega} = \chi_{\omega}(z)f_{\omega} \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 2 we have

$$(U_z(\sigma)f)_{\omega} = \pi_{\omega}(z)f_{\omega}$$

for almost every  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$ . Hence, there exists a subset  $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{E}(\sigma)$  of measure zero such that

$$(\chi(z) - \chi_{\omega}(z))f_{\omega} = 0 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma) - \mathcal{N}.$$

In general,  $\mathcal{N}$  depends on  $z$  as well as  $f$ . But one knows that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is finitely generated. Therefore, every  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$  is uniquely determined by its values at a finite number of elements of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Hence, we can assume that  $\mathcal{N}$  does not depend on  $z$ . It follows immediately from the assumption (A) in the theorem that

$$f = \sum_{\omega} f_{\omega} \quad (\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d).$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

REMARK 1. For any real number  $c$ , define

$$\mathcal{E}_c = \{\omega \in \mathcal{E}; \chi_{\omega}(\Omega) = c\}.$$

Then in case  $\text{rank } G/K = 1$ , we can show that  $\mathcal{E}_c - \mathcal{E}_d$  is of measure zero with respect to the Plancherel measure for  $G$ , using the explicit form of the Plancherel measure given in [4] (c), [8]. We have a conjecture that it holds in general. If this is true, then the condition (A) in Theorem 1 is always satisfied



for all  $\sigma$ .

Now we have assumed that  $G$  has a compact Cartan subgroup  $T$ . Owing to Harish-Chandra [4] (d), one sees that  $\mathcal{E}_d \neq \emptyset$ . Fix an  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d$  and put  $\chi = \chi_\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Then it is obvious that there exists a  $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{E}_K$  such that  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d$ . It follows from Theorem 1 that  $\omega$  is a subrepresentation of  $U(\sigma, \chi)$ . If  $\pi \in \omega$ , we say that “ $\pi$  is a realization of  $\omega$ ” or that “ $\omega$  is realized by  $\pi$ .”

**Corollary.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{Z}$  such that  $\Omega \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Fix an  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d$  and put  $\chi = \chi_\omega|_{\mathfrak{A}}$ . Assume that there exists an irreducible unitary representation  $\sigma$  of  $K$  which satisfies the following conditions (A.1)~(A.3).*

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d = \{\omega\}.$$

$$(A.2) \quad (\omega|K : \sigma) = 1.$$

(A.3)  $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) - \mathcal{E}_d$  is of measure zero with respect to the Plancherel measure for  $G$ .

*Then  $\omega$  is realized by  $U(\sigma, \chi)$ .*

5. Consider the special case that  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Z}$ . Then it is known (see [4] (a)) that  $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi)$  is always a finite set. Hence, in case  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathfrak{Z}$ , the assumption (A) in Theorem 1 and the assumption (A.3) in the corollary to Theorem 1 are always satisfied.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix any  $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{E}_K$  and  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathbb{C})$ . Then  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is non-trivial and irreducible if and only if  $\sigma$  and  $\chi$  satisfy the following condition (C).*

$$(C) \quad \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d \text{ consists of only one element } \omega \text{ such that } (\omega|K : \sigma) = 1.$$

*Moreover, the condition (C) implies that  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is a realization of  $\omega$ .*

**REMARK 2.** Since  $K \backslash G$  is simply connected,  $\mathfrak{H}(\sigma)$  can be realized as  $V$ -valued square-integrable functions on a certain submanifold of  $G$  with respect to a certain measure. If the rank of the symmetric space  $K \backslash G$  is equal to be one, the radial components of  $U_z(\sigma)$  ( $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ ) coincide with ordinary differential equations (see [9] and cf. [4] (b)). It is very cumbersome to calculate the radial components of  $U_z(\sigma)$  ( $z \in \mathfrak{Z}$ ) even if  $G$  is the lower dimensional Lie group such as the universal covering group of De Sitter group. However, R. Takahashi [9] computed the radial component of  $U_\Omega(\sigma)$  in a very ingenious manner, making use of the quaternion field. Thus he proved that  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  is non-trivial and irreducible for a certain  $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{E}_K$  and  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$  in case  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}[\Omega]$  (the algebra of all polynomials of  $\Omega$ ).

Now we shall give here another proof of this fact, making use of the corollary to Theorem 1 and the result of J. Dixmier [1] (b). In the following,

we use the notations of [1] (b) and [9]. Let  $G$  be the universal covering group of De Sitter group. We consider the irreducible unitary representation  $\rho_K^{n,0}$  of the maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  (where  $2n \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq 1$ ). Put  $\sigma_n = \rho_K^{n,0}$ . Then it follows immediately from Fig. 2-3-4-5 ([1] (b) p. 24) that

$$\mathcal{E}(\sigma_n) = \left\{ \pi_{n,q}^+; q = n, n-1, \dots, 1 \text{ or } \frac{1}{2} \right\} \cup \{ \nu_{n,s}; s > 0 \}.$$

On the other hand, from (12) (in [1] (b) p. 12) and (53), (55) in ([1] (b) p. 27) one gets that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_{\pi_{n,q}^+}(\Omega) &= n^2 + n + q^2 - q - 2, \\ \chi_{\nu_{n,s}}(\Omega) &= n^2 + n - s - 2. \end{aligned}$$

We denote by  $\chi_{n,p}$  the unique element of  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\chi_{n,p}(\Omega) = n^2 + n + p^2 - p - 2$ . Then it is clear that  $\mathcal{E}(\sigma_n) \cap \mathcal{E}(\chi_{n,p}) = \{ \pi_{n,p}^+ \}$  for any  $p$  such that  $2p, n-p \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $n \geq p \geq 1$ . Since every  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{E}_K$  is contained at most once in each  $\omega|K$  ( $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ ), it follows from the Corollary to Theorem 1 that  $[U(\sigma_n; \chi_{n,p})] = \pi_{n,p}^+$ . This shows that  $U(\sigma_n, \chi_{n,p})$  is non-trivial and irreducible. If we take  $\sigma_n = \rho_K^{0,n}$ , similarly we have  $[U(\sigma_n, \chi_{n,p})] = \pi_{n,p}^-$ . These facts together with Theorem 1 and 2 in [1] (b) prove the following.

**Proposition 4.** (R. Takahashi) *Let  $G$  be the universal covering group of De Sitter group. Then every irreducible unitary representation of discrete class  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d$  can be realized by  $U(\sigma, \chi)$  for some  $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{E}_K$  and  $\chi \in \text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$  where  $\mathfrak{A} = \mathbb{C}[\Omega]$  (the algebra of all polynomials of  $\Omega$ ). More precisely,  $\omega$  is realized by*

$$\begin{aligned} &U(\rho_K^{n,0}, \chi_{n,p}) \text{ (resp. } U(\rho_K^{0,n}, \chi_{n,p})) \\ &\text{if } \omega = \pi_{n,p}^+ \text{ (resp. } \omega = \pi_{n,p}^-) \end{aligned}$$

where  $\chi_{n,p}$  is the unique element of  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\chi_{n,p}(\Omega) = n^2 + n + p^2 - p - 2.$$

**REMARK 3.** It is interesting to observe the fact that the theory of unitary representations has an application to the theory of partially differential equations; i.e. the differential equation (31) on page 399 in [9] has non trivial solutions in  $H_0^{p,p}$  (for the notations, see [9]).

**6.** Finally, we shall apply the above theory to the group  $SU(m, 1)$  and the universal covering group of  $SO_0(2m, 1)$  where  $m$  is an arbitrary positive integer (for the notations, see [5]). Let  $G$  be any one of these groups. Then it is known that every  $\mathfrak{d} \in \mathcal{E}_K$  is contained at most once in each  $\omega|K$  ( $\omega \in \mathcal{E}$ ) (cf. [1] (b), [2], [3]). We fix an element  $\chi$  of  $\text{Hom}(\mathfrak{A}, \mathbb{C})$ . If  $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in \mathcal{E}(\chi) \cap \mathcal{E}_d$ , then

$\omega_1|K$  and  $\omega_2|K$  are disjoint, that is,  $\omega_1|K$  and  $\omega_2|K$  have no irreducible components in common (see for proof, [2], [6]). Therefore, if  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}_d$ , then we have  $\mathcal{E}(\sigma) \cap \mathcal{E}(\mathcal{X}_\omega) \cap \mathcal{E}_d = \{\omega\}$ . Making use of Theorem 2 we obtain the following proposition.

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $G$  be either  $SU(m, 1)$  or the universal covering group of  $SO_0(2m, 1)$  where  $m$  is an arbitrary positive integer. Then every  $\omega \in \mathcal{E}_d$  is realized by  $U(\sigma, \mathcal{X}_\omega)$  for any  $[\sigma] \in \mathcal{E}_K$  such that  $(\omega|K: [\sigma]) \neq 0$ .*

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

---

### References

- [1] J. Dixmier:
  - (a) *Sur les représentations de certains groupes orthogonaux*, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris **250** (1960), 3263–3265.
  - (b) *Représentations intégrables du groupe de De Sitter*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **89** (1961), 9–41.
- [2] I.M. Gel'fand and M.I. Graev: *Finite dimensional irreducible representations of a unitary and full linear group and special functions connected with them*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR **29** (1965), 1324–1356.
- [3] R. Godement: *A theory of spherical functions*, I. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1952), 496–556.
- [4] Harish-Chandra:
  - (a) *Representations of semisimple Lie groups on a Banach space*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **37** (1951), 170–173.
  - (b) *Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie groups*, I. Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 241–310.
  - (c) *Two theorems on semisimple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. **83** (1966), 74–128.
  - (d) *Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups II*, Acta Math. **116** (1966), 1–111.
- [5] S. Helgason: *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*. Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [6] T. Hirai: *On irreducible representations of Lorenz group of  $n$ -th order*, Proc. Japan Acad. **38** (1962), 258–262.
- [7] F.I. Mautner:
  - (a) *Unitary representations of locally compact groups II*, Ann. of Math. **52** (1950), 528–556.
  - (b) *On the decomposition of unitary representations of Lie groups*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **2** (1951), 490–496.
  - (c) *A generalization of the Frobenius reciprocity theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **37** (1951), 431–435.
  - (d) *Induced representations*, Amer. J. Math. **74** (1952) 737–758.
- [8] K. Okamoto: *On the Plancherel formulas for some types of simple Lie groups*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 247–282.
- [9] R. Takahashi: *Sur représentations unitaires des groupes de Lorenz généralisés*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **91** (1963), 289–433.