

Title	On multiply transitive groups. IX
Author(s)	Oyama, Tuyosi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1970, 7(1), p. 41– 56
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5666
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Óyama, T. Osaka J. Math. 7 (1970), 41–56

ON MULTIPLY TRANSITIVE GROUPS IX

Tuyosi OYAMA

(Received September 5, 1969)

1. Introduction

Let G be a 4-fold transitive group on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of a stabilizer of four points in G. In a previous paper [6] the following theorem has been established: If P fixes exactly six points, then G must be $A_{\mathfrak{s}}$.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

Theorem. Let G be a 4-fold transitive group. If a Sylow 2-subgroup of a stabilizer of four points in G fixes exactly eleven points, then G must be M_{11} .

Therefore, by a theorem of M. Hall [1. Theorem 5.8.1], this theorem implies the following

Corollary. Let G be a 4-fold transitive group. If a Sylow 2-subgroup P of a stabilizer of four points in G is not identity, then P fixes exactly four, five or seven points.

We shall follow the notations of T. Oyama [5] and [6].

2. Preliminary lemmas

Lemma 1. Let R be a 2-subgroup of a group G and H a subgroup of G. If $R \leq N_G(H)$ and |H| is even, then there exists an involution a of H such that $R \leq C_G(a)$.

Proof. Since the number of Sylow 2-subgroups of H is odd, by assumption R normalizes some non-identity Sylow 2-subgroup Q of H. Since the number of central involutions of Q is also odd, R centralizes some involution of Q.

Lemma 2. Let G be a permutation group and H a stabilizer of some points in G. Suppose that a subgroup U of H has the following property :

(*) If a subgroup V of H is conjugate to U in G, then it is conjugate to U in H. Then there is a subgroup N of $N_G(U)$ such that N fixes I(H) as a set and $N^{I(H)} = N_G(H)^{I(H)}$. Т. Очама

Proof. Let N be a subgroup of $N_G(U)$ consisting of all the elements of $N_G(U)$ which fix I(H) as a set. Obviously $N^{I(H)} \leq N_G(H)^{I(H)}$. Let x be any element of $N_G(H)$. Then U^x is a subgroup of H. By (*), there is an element y of H such that $U^x = U^y$. Then $xy^{-1} \in N_G(U)$. Since xy^{-1} fixes I(H) as a set, $xy^{-1} \in N$. Furthermore $(xy^{-1})^{I(H)} = x^{I(H)} \cdot (y^{-1})^{I(H)} = x^{I(H)}$. Hence $x^{I(H)} \in N^{I(H)}$. Thus $N^{I(H)} = N_G(H)^{I(H)}$.

3. Proof of the theorem

Let G be a 4-fold transitive group. By the theorem of M. Hall, if a stabilizer of four points in G is of odd order, then G must be one of the following groups: S_4 , S_5 , A_6 , A_7 or M_{11} . Therefore to prove our theorem we may assume that a Sylow 2-subgroup of a stabilizer of four points in G is not identity.

Lemma 3. Let G be a 4-fold transitive group on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and P a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{1234} . Suppose that P is not identity and $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$. For a point t of a minimal orbit of P in $\Omega - I(P)$ let $P_t = Q$, $N_G(Q) = N$ and $I(Q) = \Delta$. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup R of N_{ijkl} satisfies the following conditions, where $\{i, j, k, l\} \subset \Delta$.

- (a) I(R)=I(P'), where P' is some Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{ijkl} .
- (b) R^{Δ} is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $(N^{\Delta})_{ijkl}$.
- (c) R^{Δ} is a non-identity semi-regular group.
- $(d) \qquad N_N(R)^{I(R)} \leq M_{11}.$

Proof. (a), (b) and (c) follow from Lemma 1 in [6].

(d). Obviously $N_N(R)^{I(R)} \leq N_G(G_{I(R)})^{I(R)}$. By (a) and Lemma 2 $N_G(G_{I(R)})^{I(R)} = N_G(P')^{I(P')}$. Hence $N_N(R)^{I(R)} \leq M_{11}$.

In the following lemma we consider a permutation group G on $\Omega = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which is not necessarily 4-fold transitive.

Lemma 4. Let P be a Sylow 2-subgroup of any stabilizer of four points in G. Then there is no group, which satisfies the following conditions.

- (a) $|I(P)| = 11 \text{ and } N_G(P)^{I(P)} \leq M_{11}$.
- (b) P is a non-identity semi-regular group.

The proof will be given in various steps. Suppose by way of contradiction that G is a counterexample to Lemma 4.

(1) P has only one involution.

Proof. By the same argument as in Case I of [5] we have this assertion.

(2) Any involution of G fixes exactly eleven points.

Proof. Let x be an arbitrary involution of G. If $|I(x)| \ge 4$, then |I(x)|

=11 by assumption. Since $|\Omega|$ is odd, |I(x)| is odd and so |I(x)|=1, 3 or 11.

Suppose |I(x)| = 1 or 3. We may assume that x is of the form

$$x = (1 \ 2) (3 \ 4) \cdots$$

Since $x \in N_G(G_{1234})$, x normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup P' of G_{1234} . Let $I(P') = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$. By assumption $x^{I(P')} \in M_{11}$. Hence we may assume that x is of the form

$$x = (1 \ 2) (3 \ 4) (5 \ 6) (7 \ 8) (9) (10) (11) (ij) \cdots$$

Thus $|I(x)| \neq 1$. Let *a* be a involution of *P'*. Then *x* commutes with *a* by (1). Suppose $x^{\Omega-I(P')} \neq a^{\Omega-I(P')}$. Then we may assume that *x* and *a* have two 2-cycles (ij)(kl) and (ik)(jl) respectively. Since $\langle x, a \rangle < N_G(G_{ijkl}), \langle x, a \rangle$ normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup *P''* of G_{ijkl} . Since $x^{I(P'')}$ is an involution of M_{11} and *x* fixes only three points 9, 10 and 11, $x^{I(P'')}$ fixes these three points. Therefore $(N_G(P'')^{I(P'')})_{g_{1011}} \geq \langle x, a \rangle^{I(P'')}$ and $x^{I(P'')} \neq a^{I(P'')}$. But this is a contradiction, because a stabilizer of three points in M_{11} is a quaternion group. Therefore $x^{\Omega-I(P')} = a^{\Omega-I(P')}$, and so $a=(1)(2)\cdots(11)(ij)\cdots$. Then $\langle a, x \rangle$ also normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup *P'''* of G_{12ij} . In the same way we get $I(P''') \supset \{9, 10, 11\}$. Since $I(P''') \supset \{1, 2, 9, 10, 11, i, j\}, a^{I(P''')} = (1)(2)$ (9)(10)(11)(*i*).... By assumption (*a*) this is a contradiction.

Thus |I(x)| = 11.

(3) $|\Omega| \ge 27$.

Proof. Let x be an involution. By (2), we may assume that x is of the form

$$x = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)\cdots$$
.

By Lemma 1, x commutes with some involution y of G_{121213} . By (2), |I(y)| = 11. Since $x^{I(y)} \in M_{11}$ and $y^{I(x)} \in M_{11}$, we may assume that x and y are of the forms

$$y = (1)(2)(3)(45)(67)(89)(1011)(12)(13)\cdots(19)\cdots,$$

$$x = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(1213)(1415)(1617)(1819)\cdots.$$

Then xy is also an involution. Hence |I(xy)| = 11. Therefore xy must be of the following form

$$xy = (1)(2)(3)(45)(67)\cdots(1819)(20)(21)\cdots(27)\cdots$$

Thus $|\Omega| \ge 27$.

(4) $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is one of the following:

Case I. $N_{G}(P)^{I(P)}$ is transitive. $N_{G}(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$ or $LF_{2}(11)$.

Case II. $N_{G}(P)^{I(P)}$ has exactly two orbits, say Δ and Γ .

(i) $|\Delta| = 1$ and $|\Gamma| = 10$. $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} , where M'_{10} is a commutator subgroup of M_{10} .

(ii) $|\Delta| = 2$ and $|\Gamma| = 9$. $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, where $N(M_9) = N_{M_1}(M_9)$ and $|N(M_9): N(M_9^*)| = 2$.

(iii) $|\Delta| = 5$ and $|\Gamma| = 6$. $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, where $S_5 \cdot S_6^*$ is isomorphic to S_5 .

Proof. Let $I(P) = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$. Then we may assume that an involution a of P is of the form

$$a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(ij)\cdots.$$

For any two points i_1 , i_2 in I(P) a normalizes $G_{i_1i_1j_k}$. By Lemma 1, there is an involution $x_{i_1i_2}$ of $G_{i_1i_2i_j}$ such that $x_{i_1i_2}$ commutes with a. We denote the restriction of $x_{i_1i_2}$ on I(P) by $a_{i_1i_2}$. By assumption (a) $a_{i_1i_2}$ fixing a point i_3 , is of the form

$$a_{i_1i_2} = (i_1)(i_2)(i_3)(i_4i_5)(i_6i_7)(i_8i_9)(i_{10}i_{11}) .$$

Let $T = \langle \{a_{i_1 i_2} | \{i_1, i_2\} \subset I(P)\} \rangle$. Then $T \leq N_G(a)^{I(P)}$. Since a is a unique involution of P, by Lemma 2 $N_G(a)^{I(P)} = N_G(G_{I(P)})^{I(P)} = N_G(P)^{I(P)}$. Therefore $T \leq N_G(P)^{I(P)} \leq M_{11}$.

Case I. Let $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ be transitive. Since there exists an involution in T fixing three points, by a theorem of Galois [7. Theorem 11.6] $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is nonsolvable. Since a nonsolvable transitive group of degree 11 in M_{11} is M_{11} or $LF_2(11)$ (see [2]),

$$N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{12}$$
 or $LF_2(11)$.

Case II. Let $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ be intransitive. Since $T \leq N_G(P)^{I(P)}$, T is also intransitive. Therefore we denote one of the T-orbits by Δ .

i) Suppose $|\Delta|=1$. Let $\Delta=\{1\}$ and $\Gamma=\{2, 3, \dots, 11\}$. For any two points i_1, i_2 in Γ there is an involution $a_{i_1i_2}$ of the following form

$$a_{i_1 i_2} = (1)(i_1)(i_2)(i_3 i_4)(i_5 i_6)(i_7 i_8)(i_9 i_{10}) .$$

By a lemma of D. Livingstone and A. Wagner [3. Lemma 6] T is doubly transitive on Γ . Since $T \leq M_{10}$, $|T| = 10.9 \cdot 2k$, where k=1, 2 or 4. By a theorem of G. Frobenius [4. Proposition 14.5],

$$\sum_{x\in T} \alpha_2(x) = \frac{|T|}{2} = 10 \cdot 9 \cdot k \,.$$

On the other hand since any two points j_1, j_2 in Γ determin uniquely an in-

volution $a_{j_1 j_2}$ and conversely any involution x' of T determines exactly two points of Γ , which are fixed by x', the number of involutions is $\binom{10}{2}$. Therefore

$$\sum_{x'} \alpha_2(x') = {10 \choose 2} 4 = 10 \cdot 9 \cdot 2$$
 ,

where x' ranges over all involutions of T. Since $\sum_{x} \alpha_2(x) \ge \sum_{x'} \alpha_2(x')$, $k \ge 2$. Thus $T = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} , where M'_{10} is a commutator subgroup of M_{10} . Since

 $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is intransitive and $T \leq N_G(P)^{I(P)}$, $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} . ii) Suppose $|\Delta| = 2$. Let $\Delta = \{1, 2\}$ and $\Gamma = \{3, 4, \dots, 11\}$. For any point

i) Suppose $|\Delta| = 2$. Let $\Delta = (1, 2)$ and 1 = (5, 1, -5, 1). For any point i_1 of Γ there is an involution a_{1i_1} of the form

$$a_{1i_1} = (1)(2)(i_1)(i_2 i_3)(i_4 i_5)(i_6 i_7)(i_8 i_9)$$

By Lemma 6 of [3] T_{12} is transitive on Γ . Since $T_{12} \leq M_9$, $|T_{12}| = 9 \cdot 2k$, where k=1, 2 or 4. Since T contains an involution $a_{34}=(1\ 2)(3)(4) \cdots$, $T=T_{12}+T_{12}a_{34}$ and so $|T|=2\cdot9\cdot2k$. From the theorem of G. Frobenius

$$\sum_{x \in T} \alpha_1(x^{\Gamma}) = 9 \cdot 4k$$
,
 $\sum_{x' \in T} \alpha_1(x'^{\Gamma}) = 9 \cdot 2k$,

On the other hand since two points j_1, j_2 in Γ determine uniquely an involution $a_{j_1 j_2}$, which fixes three points of Γ , the number of involutions of $T_{12}a_{34}$ is $\begin{pmatrix} 9\\2 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \frac{1}{3}$. Hence

$$\sum_{x''} \alpha_1(x''^{\Gamma}) = \left(\frac{9}{2}\right) \cdot \frac{1}{3} \cdot 3 = 9 \cdot 4,$$

where x'' ranges over all involutions of $T_{12}a_{34}$. Since

$$\sum_{x} \alpha_{1}(x^{\Gamma}) \geq \sum_{x'} \alpha_{1}(x'^{\Gamma}) + \sum_{x''} \alpha_{1}(x''^{\Gamma}),$$

9.4k \ge 9.2k + 9.4.

Hence $k \ge 2$ or 4. Thus $T = N_{M_{11}}(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, where $N(M_9^*)$ is the following group: The index of $N(M_9^*)$ in $N_{M_{11}}(M_9)$ is 2 and $N(M_9^*)$ -orbits are Δ and Γ . Similarly to i) $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$.

iii) Let $|\Delta|=3$ and $I(P)-\Delta=\Gamma$. For any two points i_1, i_2 in Γ since $|\Gamma|$ is even, there is an involution such that it's restriction on Γ fixes exactly these two points. Therefore again by Lemma 6 of [3], T^{Γ} is doubly transitive and so $|T|=8\cdot7\cdot k$. But this is impossible since $7 \not| |M_{11}|$. Therefore there is no such T that $|\Delta|=3$.

iv) Let $|\Delta| = 4$ and $I(P) - \Delta = \Gamma$. From the results above the length of a *T*-orbit in Γ is not 1, 2 or 3. Therefore T^{Γ} is transitive. Since $|\Gamma| = 7$, in the same way as in iii) we have a contradiction. Thus $|\Delta| = 4$.

v) Suppose $|\Delta|=5$. Let $\Delta=\{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ and $\Gamma=\{6, 7, \dots, 11\}$. For any two points i_1, i_2 of Γ since $|\Gamma|$ is even, there is an involution such that it's restriction on Γ fixes exactly these two points. Therefore again by Lemma 6 of [3], T^{Γ} is doubly transitive. Since $T \leq M_{11}, T_{\Delta}=T_{\Gamma}=\{1\}$. Hence |T|= $|T^{\Delta}|=|T^{\Gamma}|\geq 6\cdot 5\cdot 2k$. Since $|\Delta|=5$, $|T^{\Delta}|=60$ or 120, namely $T^{\Delta}=A_5$ or S_5 . On the other hand T^{Δ} has a transposition (1)(2) $(j_1)(j_2j_3)$. Therefore $T^{\Delta}=S_5$. Thus T is isomorphic to S_5 . We denote this group by $S_5 \cdot S_6^*$. Similarly to i) $N_G(P)^{I(P)}=S_5 \cdot S_6^*$.

vi) If $|\Delta| \ge 6$, then $|I(P) - \Delta| \le 5$. Considering the length of *T*-orbit in $I(P) - \Delta$, we have that $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is one of the groups above.

REMARK. Every involution $x_{i_1i_2}$ has the following property: $x_{i_1i_2}$ commutes with a and fixes two points i, j, where (ij) is a 2-cycle of a. Therefore from now on we denote T by $\mathcal{F}_{ij}(a)$ or \mathcal{F} .

(5) P is cyclic or a generalized quaternion group.

Proof. This follows immediately from (1).

(6) If P is cyclic, then the automorphism group A(P) of P is a 2-group. If P is a quaternion group, then $A(P)=S_4$. If P is a generalized quaternion group and |P|>8, then A(P) is a 2-group.

Proof. For a proof see [8. IV, §3].

(7)* Let b be an involution of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)} - P$ and $|P| \ge 4$. If there is an involution c of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)} - P$ such that c commutes with b and $b^{I(P)} \neq c^{I(P)}$, then $b \notin C_G(P)$.

Proof. Let R be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P)$. Then $R^{I(P)}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P)^{I(P)}$. Set $S=R \cdot P$. Then S is a 2-group and $S^{I(P)} = R^{I(P)}$. Furthermore $S_{I(P)} = (R \cdot P)_{I(P)} = P$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Since

$$\frac{|C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}|}{|S|} = \frac{|C_G(P)^{I(P)}| \cdot |N_G(P)_{I(P)}|}{|R^{I(P)}| \cdot |P|},$$

S is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Let S' be an arbitrary Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Then $S^x = S'$, where x is some element of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Since $C_G(P)$ is a normal subgroup of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$,

^{* (7)} and (8) are due to Professor H. Nagao. The auther is grateful to Professor H. Nagao for communicating these results,

 $R^{*}=R'$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_{G}(P)$ contained in S'. Since $I(P)^{*}=I(P)$ and $R^{I(P)}=S^{I(P)}$, $R'^{I(P)}=S'^{I(P)}$. Thus an arbitrary Sylow 2-subgroup S' of $C_{G}(P)$. $\cdot N_{G}(P)_{I(P)}$ contains a Sylow 2-subgroup R' of $C_{G}(P)$ such that $R'^{I(P)}=S'^{I(P)}$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that b belongs to $C_G(P)$. Since $|P| \ge 4$, P has an element of order 4 by (5). If $c \in C_G(P)$, then c commutes with an element of P, whose order is at least 4. If $c \notin C_G(P)$, then above remark yields that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$ containing b and c has an element c' of $C_G(P)$ such that $c'^{I(P)} = c^{I(P)}$. Then $cc' \in P$ but $cc' \notin C_G(P)$. Since $c' \in C_G(P)$, c' commutes with cc', and so c commutes with cc'. Since cc' does not belong to the center of P, the order of cc' is at least 4. In any case, c commutes with some element y of P, where $|y| \ge 4$. Since $b \in C_G(P)$, b also commutes with y. Since b commutes with c, $I(b) \neq I(c)$ by (1). Hence $c^{I(b)}$ fixes exactly three points, namely $|I(b) \cap I(c)| = 3$. Since $(I(b) \cap I(c))^y = I(b) \cap I(c)$ and y has no 2-cycle, y fixes $I(b) \cap I(c)$ pointwise. Thus $I(P) = I(y) \supset I(b) \cap I(c)$. Therefore $b^{I(P)}$ and $c^{I(P)}$ fix the same three points. But this is impossible since $b^{I(P)} \neq c^{I(P)}$ and the stabilizer of three points in M_{11} has only one involution. Therefore $b \notin C_G(P)$.

(8) If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$, then |P| = 2.

Proof. Since $N_G(P)/C_G(P) \leq A(P)$ and $N_G(P)/N_G(P)_{I(P)} \approx N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$, $N_G(P)_{I(P)} \gtrless C_G(P)$ by (6). Hence $\{1\} \rightleftharpoons (C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)})/N_G(P)_{I(P)} \leq N_G(P)/N_G(P)_{I(P)} \approx M_{11}$. Since M_{11} is a simple group, $(C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)})/N_G(P)_{I(P)} = N_G(P)/N_G(P)_{I(P)}$ and so $C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)} = N_G(P)$. Furthermore from this relation we get $M_{11} = N_G(P)^{I(P)} = (C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)})^{I(P)} = C_G(P)^{I(P)}$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that $|P| \ge 4$. Let *a* be an involution of *P* and $I(P) = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$. We may assume that *a* is of the form

 $a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)\cdots$.

First assume that P is cyclic. Then $C_G(P)_{I(P)} \ge P$. From $N_G(P) = C_G(P) \cdot N_G(P)_{I(P)}$ we get $N_G(P)/C_G(P) \cong N_G(P)_{I(P)}/C_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Since P is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)_{I(P)}$ and $C_G(P)_{I(P)} \ge P$, the order of $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is odd. On the other hand by (6), A(P) is a 2-group. Therefore $|N_G(P)/C_G(P)| = 1$. Thus $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$.

Now since a normalizes G_{121213} , there is an involution b of G_{121213} commuting with a by Lemma 1. We may assume that b is of the form

 $b = (1)(2)(3)(45)(67)(89)(1011)(12)(13)\cdots$

Since $\langle a, b \rangle \langle N_G(G_{451213}))$, there is also an involution c of G_{451213} commuting with both a and b by Lemma 1. Since $\langle b, c \rangle \langle N_G(G_{I(P)}), \langle b, c \rangle$ normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup P' of $G_{I(P)}$. Obviously $I(P)=I(P'), b^{I(P')} \neq c^{I(P')}$ and $N_G(P')$

Т. Очама

 $=C_{G}(P')$. Hence both b and c belong to $C_{G}(P')-P'$, which is a contradiction by (7).

Next assume that P is a generalized quaternion group. Since $C_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$, there are two 2-elements d and f of $C_G(P)$ such that $d^{I(P)}$ and $f^{I(P)}$ are involutions, $d^{I(P)}$ commutes with $f^{I(P)}$ and $d^{I(P)} \neq f^{I(P)}$. Let $I(d^{I(P)}) = \{i, j, k\}$. Let Q be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C_G(P)_{ijk}$ containing d. Since $Q_{I(P)} = Q \cap (P \cap C_G(P)) = \langle a \rangle$, $Q^{I(P)} \cong Q/Q_{I(P)} = Q/\langle a \rangle$. On the other hand from $C_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$, $Q^{I(P)}$ is a quaternion group. Suppose that d is not an involution. Then a is only one involution of Q. Therefore Q is cyclic or a generalized quaternion group. Hence $Q/\langle a \rangle$ is cyclic or a dihedral group, which is a contradiction. Therefore d is an involution of $C_G(P)$. The same is true for f and af. But this is impossible by (7).

Thus |P| = 2.

(9) If $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is $LF_2(11)$, M_{10} or M'_{10} , then P is a generalized quaternion group.

Proof. Let *a* be an involution of *P*, and $I(P) = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. In the following proof if $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} , then we assume that it's orbits are $\{1\}$ and $\{2, 3, \dots, 11\}$. We may assume that *a* is of the form

$$a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)\cdots$$
.

Since $a \in N_G(G_{121213})$, there is an involution b of G_{121213} commuting with a. We may assume that b is of the form

$$b = (1)(2)(3)(45)(67)(89)(1011)(12)(13)\cdots(19)\cdots$$

Hence

$$a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)(14\ 15)(16\ 17)(18\ 19)\cdots$$

Since $\langle a, b \rangle < N_G(G_{451213})$, there is an involution c of G_{451213} commuting with both a and b. We may assume that c is of the form

 $c = (1)(2 \ 3)(4)(5)(6 \ 7)(8 \ 10)(9 \ 11)(12)(13)(14 \ 15)(16 \ 18)(17 \ 19)\cdots$

First assume that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = LF_2(11)$. Then $\mathcal{F} \leq LF_2(11)$, where \mathcal{F} is one of the groups obtained in the proof of (4). Comparing the orders of these groups of (4) we have $\mathcal{F} = LF_2(11)$. Since $c^{I(P)} \in LF_2(11)$, there is a 2-element c'such that $c^{I(P)} = c'^{I(P)}$ and $c'^{I(P)} \in \mathcal{F}_{1617}(a)$. Since $I(c'^2) \supset \{1, 2, \dots, 11, 16, 17\}, c'$ is an involution. Next assume that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} . Similarly $\mathcal{F} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} , and so we get the same element c'. Thus c' is an involution of the form

$$c' = (1)(2 \ 3)(4)(5)(6 \ 7)(8 \ 10)(9 \ 11)(16)(17)\cdots$$

Since

$$bc' = (1)(2 \ 3)(4 \ 5)(6)(7)(8 \ 11)(9 \ 10)(16)(17) \cdots$$

the order of bc' is also 2k, where k is odd. Therefore $(bc')^k$ is a central involution of a dihedral group $\langle b, c' \rangle$. Thus we get an involution

 $c'' = b(bc')^{k} = (1)(2 \ 3)(4)(5)(6 \ 7)(8 \ 10)(9 \ 11)(16)(17)\cdots$

commuting with both a and b. Then $cc'' \in G_{I(P)}$ and $(cc'')^{I(b)}$ is of order 4. Thus $G_{I(P)}$ has an element of order 4. Hence $|P| \ge 4$.

Suppose that P is cyclic. If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = LF_2(11)$ or M'_{10} , then $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is a simple group. Since $|P| \ge 4$, by the same argument as in (8) we have a contradiction. If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$, then M'_{10} is only one non-identity normal subgroup of M_{10} . Therefore similary to (8) we have $C_G(P)^{I(P)} \ge M'_{10}$ and $C_G(P) \ge N_G(P)_{I(P)}$. Thus b and c belong to $C_G(P)$. But this is a contradiction by (7).

Thus P must be a generalized quaternion group.

(10) If $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is $S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, $N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, then P is a generalized quaternion group whose order is at least 16.

Proof. Let $I(P) = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$. We may assume that if $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is $S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, then $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbits are $\{1, 2, \dots, 5\}$ and $\{6, 7, \dots, 11\}$, and if $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is $N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, then $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbits are $\{1, 2\}$ and $\{3, 4, \dots, 11\}$. Let an involution a of P be of the form

$$a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)(14\ 15)(16\ 17)(18\ 19)\cdots$$

Since $a \in N_G(G_{341213})$, there is an involution b of G_{341213} commuting with a. By assumption on $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbits we may assume that b is of the form

 $b = (1 \ 2)(3)(4)(5)(6 \ 7)(8 \ 9)(10 \ 11)(12)(13)\cdots(19)\cdots$

Since $\langle a, b \rangle < N_G(G_{6^{7}1213})$, there is an involution c of $G_{6^{7}1213}$ commuting with both a and b. In the same way c is of the form

 $c = (1 \ 2)(3)(4 \ 5)(6)(7)(8 \ 10)(9 \ 11)(12)(13)(14 \ 15)(16 \ 18)(17 \ 19)\cdots$

On the other hand since $\langle a, b \rangle < N_G(G_{671617})$, there is an involution d of G_{671617} commuting with both a and b. In the case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_3^*)$, by assumption on $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbits $d^{I(P)} = (1\ 2)(6)(7)\cdots$. Since $c^{I(P)} = (1\ 2)(6)(7)\cdots$, $d^{I(P)} = c^{I(P)}$. In the case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, since the restriction of c on the orbit {6, 7, ..., 11} is (6)(7)(8\ 10)(9\ 11), $S_5 \cdot S_6^*$ has no element of a form (6)(7) (8\ 9)(10\ 11)\cdots. Hence the restriction of d on {6, 7, ..., 11} is the same form as c. Therefore $c^{I(P)} = d^{I(P)}$. Thus in both cases $c^{I(P)} = d^{I(P)}$. On the other hand since $c^{I(b)} = (3)(4\ 5)(12)(13)(14\ 15)(16\ 18)(17\ 19)$ and $d^{I(b)} = (3)(4\ 5)(16)(17)\cdots$, $(cd)^{I(b)}$ is of order 4. Thus d is of the form

$$d = (1\ 2)(3)(4\ 5)(6)(7)(8\ 10)(9\ 11)(12\ 14)(13\ 15)(16)(17)(18\ 19)\cdots$$

Hence

 $f = cd = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 14\ 13\ 15)(16\ 19\ 17\ 18)\cdots$

Next since $\langle a, b \rangle \langle N_G(G_{891213})$, there is an involution c' of G_{891213} commuting with both a and b. By assumption on $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbits $c'^{I(P)} = (1\ 2)(3)$ (45)(8)(9)... or $c'^{I(P)} = (1\ 2)(4)(3\ 5)(8)(9)$... But $c'^{I(P)} \neq (1\ 2)(3)(4\ 5)(8)(9)$..., since $c^{I(P)} = (1\ 2)(3)(4\ 5)(6)(7)(8\ 10)(9\ 11)$. Therefore c' is of the form

 $c' = (1\ 2)(4)(3\ 5)(8)(9)(6\ 10)(7\ 11)(12)(13)\cdots$

Since $(cc')^{I(b)} = (3 5 4)(12)(13) \cdots, |(cc')^{I(b)}| = 3$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} c' &= (1\ 2)(4)(3\ 5)(8)(9)(6\ 10)(7\ 11)(12)(13)(14\ 16)(15\ 17)(18\ 19)\cdots \text{ or} \\ c' &= (1\ 2)(4)(3\ 5)(8)(9)(6\ 10)(7\ 11)(12)(13)(14\ 18)(15\ 19)(16\ 17)\cdots. \end{aligned}$$

Let c' be of the first form. Then c'fc'=f' is of the form

 $f' = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 16\ 13\ 17)(14\ 18\ 15\ 19)\cdots$

Let c' be of the second form. Then c'fc' = f' is of the form

 $f' = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 18\ 13\ 19)(14\ 17\ 15\ 16)\dots$

Thus in any case $H = \langle f, f' \rangle$ is a subgroup of $G_{I(P)}$, and a Sylow 2-subgroup P' of H is a quaternion group, because the restrictions of P' and H on $\{12, 13, \dots, 19\}$ have the same form. From now on we may assume that c' is of the first form.

Suppose |P| = 8. Then P' is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{I(P)}$. Since $\langle b, c' \rangle < N_G(H)$, there is a Sylow 2-subgroup P" of H such that $\langle b, c' \rangle < N_G(P")$. Since $b \in C_G(H)$, $b \in C_G(P")$. By the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups of $G_{I(P)}$, $N_G(P")^{I(P'')} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, $N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$.

First assume that $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$. Since $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} \ge C_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$, $C_G(P'')^{I(P'')} \simeq S_5$, A_5 or {1}. On the other hand $C_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ has an involution $b^{I(P'')}$, whose restriction on {1, 2, ..., 5} is a transposition. Therefore $C_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ $= N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} \simeq S_5$. Hence $N_G(P'') = C_G(P'') \cdot N_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$. Thus the involution c' belongs to $C_G(P'') \cdot N_G(P'')_{I(P'')} - P''$ and commutes with b, which is impossible by (7).

Next assume that $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$. Since $\langle b, c' \rangle^{I(P'')} < (N_G(P'')^{I(P'')})_{124}$, $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ has the following element

x = (1)(2)(4)(3 8 5 9)(6 10 11 7).

Then $(b^{I(P'')})^{x} = c'^{I(P'')}$. Since $b \in C_{G}(P'')$ and $N_{G}(P'')^{I(P'')} \supseteq C_{G}(P'')^{I(P'')}$,

 $c'^{I(P'')} \in C_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$. Therefore there is an element $y \in C_G(P'')$ such that $c'^{I(P'')} = y^{I(P'')}$. Then $yc' \in N_G(P'')_{I(P'')}$, and so $c' \in y^{-1} N_G(P'')_{I(P'')}$. Thus $c' \in C_G(P'') \cdot N_G(P'')_{I(P'')}$, which is a contradiction by (7).

Thus we have $|P| \ge 16$.

(11) The case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$ does not occur. If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = LF_2(11)$, M_{10} or M'_{10} , then P is a quaternion group.

Proof. Let $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$, $LF_2(11)$, M_{10} or M'_{10} . By (9) and (10) P is a generalized quaternion group. Since $N_G(P)/C_G(P)$ is a subgroup of A(P) and $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is a simple group or $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ has a simple normal subgroup of index 2, $N_G(P)^{I(P)}/C_G(P)^{I(P)}$ is of order 1 or 2 by (6). Hence $C_G(P)$ has 2-element x such that $x^{I(P)}$ is an involution.

If x is an involution, then x fixes eight points of $\Omega - I(P)$. Since P is semiregular and $x \in C_G(P)$, |P| = 8.

If x is not an involubiton, then $x^2 = a$, where a is an involution of P. Let b and c be the generators of P such that $b^{2k} = c^2 = a$. Set $y = b^{2^{k-1}}$. Then y is of order 4. Since $x \in C_G(P)$, $(xy)^2 = x^2y^2 = a \cdot a = 1$. Thus xy is an involution commuting with b. Since xy fixes eight points of $\Omega - I(P)$, the order of b is at most 8. If b is of order 8, then $b^{I(xy)}$ has a 8-cycle and three fixed points. But M_{11} has no such element. Therefore b is of order 4. Thus |P| = 8.

In particular by (10) there is no group such that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = S_5 \cdot S_6^*$

(12) The case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} does not occur.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} . In the proof of (11) we have showed that $C_G(P)^{I(P)} \ge M'_{10}$. Hence let x be a 2-element of $C_G(P)$ such that $x^{I(P)}$ is an involution.

Suppose that x is not an involution. Since $C_G(P)^{I(P)} \ge M'_{10}$, there is a 2-element y of $C_G(P)$ such that $(y^2)^{I(P)} = x^{I(P)}$. Then a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\langle x, y \rangle$ containing x has an element z such that $z^{I(P)} = y^{I(P)}$. Since z^4 and xz^2 are 2-elements of $G_{I(P)}$ centralizing P, $z^4=1$ or a and $xz^2=1$ or a, where a is an involution of P. If $z^4=1$, then $xz^2 \pm 1$ because x is not an involution. Therefore $xz^2=a$. Then $z^4=(x^{-1}a)^2=x^{-2}a^2=x^{-2}=1$, which is also a contradiction. Therefore $z^4=a$. By (11) P has an element b of order 4. Then $(bz^2)^2 = b^2 z^4 = a \cdot a = 1$. Thus bz^2 is an involution commuting with z. Since $z^4=a$, z is of order 8. Then $z^{I(P)}$ has two 4-cycles and three fixed points, hence $z^{\Omega-I(P)}$ has only 8-cycles. Since bz^2 fixes three points in I(P), bz^2 fixes eight points in $\Omega - I(P)$. Thus $z^{I(bz^2)}$ has one 8-cycle and three fixed points. But M_{11} has no such element. Therefore x must be an involution.

Now since $C_G(P)^{I(P)} \ge M'_{10}$, there are two 2-elements u and v in $C_G(P)$ such that $u^{I(P)}$, $v^{I(P)}$ and $(uv)^{I(P)}$ are all different involutions. Then by the above proof u, v and uv are involutions. Thus u commutes with v. But this is a

contradiction by (7).

This contradiction shows that there is no group such that $N_G(P)^{(P)} = M_{10}$ or M'_{10} .

(13) If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$, then there are four points *i*, *j*, *k* and *l* of Ω such that $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, where P' is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{ijkl} .

Proof. Let $I(P) = \{1, 2, \dots, 11\}$, and a be an involution of P. By (8) |P| = 2. We may assume that a is of the form

$$a = (1)(2)\cdots(11)(12\ 13)(14\ 15)(16\ 17)(18\ 19)(20\ 21)(22\ 23)(24\ 25)(26\ 27)\dots$$

Since $a \in N_G(G_{121213})$, there is an involution b of G_{121213} commuting with a. Since |I(ab)| = 11, we may assume that b is of the form

$$b = (1)(2)(3)(45)(67)(89)(1011)(12)(13)\cdots(19)(2021)(2223)(2425)(2627))$$

Since $\langle a, b \rangle < N_G(G_{451213})$, there is an involution c of G_{451213} commuting with both a and b. We may assume that c is of the form

$$c = (1\ 2)(3)(4)(5)(6\ 7)\ (8\ 10)(9\ 11)(12)(13)(14\ 15)(16\ 18)(17\ 19)(20)(21)$$
$$(22\ 23)(24\ 26)(25\ 27)\cdots.$$

Since there is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ such that it contains $\langle b, c \rangle^{I(P)}$ and two elements (1)(2)(3)(4657)(810911), (1)(2)(3)(410511)(6879), there is a 2-group of $N_G(P)$ containing $\langle a, b, c \rangle$ and the following two elements

$$x = (1)(2)(3)(4 \ 6 \ 5 \ 7)(8 \ 10 \ 9 \ 11) \cdots,$$

$$y = (1)(2)(3)(4 \ 10 \ 5 \ 11)(6 \ 8 \ 7 \ 9) \cdots.$$

Since $x^2b \in P$, $x^2b=1$ or a. Set $\Delta = \{12, 13, \dots, 19\}$ and $\Gamma = \{20, 21, \dots, 27\}$. If $x^2 = b$, then $x^{\Delta} = 1$ or x^{Δ} has four 2-cycles. In the later case since $\langle x, a \rangle < N_G(G_{I(b)})$ and $I(x^{I(b)}) = I(a^{I(b)}) = \{1, 2, 3\}, x^{I(b)} = a^{I(b)}$. Thus xa fixes Δ pointwise. If $x^2b = a$, then $x^2 = ab$. In the same way x or xa fixes Γ pointwise. Therefore if necessary we take xa instead of x, we may assume that x fixes Δ or Γ pointwise. The same is true for y.

Suppose that x fixes Δ pointwise and y fixes Γ pointwise. Since both x and y are of order 4, x^{Γ} has two 4-cycles and y^{Δ} has two 4-cycles. Since $(y^{-1}xy)^{I(P)} = (x^{-1})^{I(P)}$, $x^{\Delta} = 1$ and $y^{\Gamma} = 1$, $y^{-1}xyx$ fixes $\{1, 2, \dots, 19\}$ pointwise and has 2-cycles on Γ . This is a contradiction by (2).

Therefore x and y fixes the same eleven points. Let P' be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{I(<x, y>)}$ containing $Q=\langle x, y\rangle$. Then P' is a generalized quaternion group. By (8), (11) and (12) $N_G(P')^{I(P')}=N(M_g)$, $N(M_g^*)$ or $LF_2(11)$.

Suppose that $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = LF_2(11)$. By (11) P' = Q. Similarly to the proof in (11) $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = C_G(P')^{I(P')}$. Since $c \in N_G(P')$, $c \in C_G(P') \cdot N_G(P')_{I(P')}$. On the other hand $a \in C_G(P')$ and a commutes with c. Since $a^{I(P')} \neq c^{I(P')}$, we have a contradiction by (7).

Therefore $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$

(14) The case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = LF_2(11)$ does not occur.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $N_G(P)^{I(G)} = LF_2(11)$. By (11) P is a quaternion group. Let R be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_{c}(P)$. Then the lengthes of R-orbits on I(P) are at most 4, but on $\Omega - I(P)$ these lengthes are at least 8. Therefore a 2-group R', which contains R as a normal subgroup, fixes I(P). Hence R' normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup P' of $G_{I(P)}$. By the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{I(P)} |N_G(P)| = |N_G(P')|$. Since R is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)$, $|R'| \leq |R|$. Hence R' = R. This shows that R is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Since $R^{I(P)}$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ = $LF_{2}(11)$, there are exactly three $R^{I(P)}$ -orbits of length 2. Suppose that there is a Sylow 2-subgroup P'' of G_{ijkl} such that $N_G(P'')^{t(P'')} \neq LF_2(11)$, where *i*, *j*, *k* and l are some points in Ω . By (13), we may assume that $N_G(P'') = N(M_g)$ or $N(M_9^*)$. Then by (10) $|P| \ge 16$. In the same way a Sylow 2-subgroup R'' of $N_G(P'')$ is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Since $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} = N(M_{\circ})$ or $N(M_{2}^{*})$, there is only one R"-orbit of length 2, which contradicts the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups. Thus for any points *i*, *j*, *k* and $l N_G(P'')^{I(P'')} = LF_2(11)$, where P'' is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{ijkl} .

Now by (11) P has an element x of order 4. For a 4-cycle $(i_1i_2i_3i_4)$ of $x \ x \in N_G(G_{i_1i_2i_3i_4})$. Therefore x normalizes some Sylow 2-subgroup P''' of $G_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}$. Then $N_G(P''')^{I(P''')}$ has the element $x^{I(P''')}$ of order 4. Hence $N_G(P''')^{I(P''')} \neq LF_2(11)$, which is a contradiction.

Thus there is no group such that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = LF_2(11)$.

(15) If $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$, then G has two orbits, say Γ_1 and Γ_2 . The length of Γ_1 is odd and the length of Γ_2 is 2.

Proof. By (10), $|P| \ge 16$. Let R be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)$. Then the lengthes of R-orbits in I(P) are at most 8 and in $\Omega - I(P)$ these lengthes are at least 16. Therefore in the same way as in (14) R is a Sylow 2-subgroup of G. Let $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$ -orbit of length 2 be $\{1, 2\}$. Since R fixes exactly one point *i*, which does not belong to $\{1, 2\}$, R is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_i . Since R_1 is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P)_1$, in the same way R_1 is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_1 . If G is transitive, then G_i is conjugate to G_1 . Hence R is conjugate to R_1 , which is a contradiction. Thus G is intransitive.

Let three points i_1 , i_2 and i_3 belong to different orbits. For a point i_4 in $\Omega - \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ let P' be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{i_1i_2i_3i_4}$. Since $N_G(P')^{I(P')}$ is M_{11} ,

 $N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^2)$, at least two points of $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$ belong to the same orbit of $N_G(P)^{I(P)}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore G has exactly two orbits, say Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Since $|\Omega|$ is odd, we may assume that $|\Gamma_1|$ is odd and $|\Gamma_2|$ is even.

Suppose that $|\Gamma_2| \ge 2$. Then for three points j_1, j_2 and j_3 of Γ_2 and a point j_4 of Γ_1 let P'' be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{j_1j_2j_3j_4}$. Since $I(P'') \cap \Gamma_1 \ni j_4$ and $I(P'') \cap \Gamma_2 \ni j_1, N_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ is intransitive. Hence $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ is $N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$. Since the lengthes of Γ_2 and P''-orbits in $\Omega - I(P'')$ are even, $|\Gamma_2 \cap I(P'')|$ is even or 0. On the other hand the length of a $N_G(P'')^{I(P'')}$ -orbit is 2 or 9. Hence $|\Gamma_2 \cap I(P'')| = 0$ or 2. But $\Gamma_2 \cap I(P'') \supset \{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $|\Gamma_2| = 2$.

(16) The case $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_g)$ or $N(M_g^*)$ does not occur.

Proof. Suppose by way of contradiction that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$. Then by (15) G has two orbits, say Γ_1 and Γ_2 . Let $\Gamma_1 = \{3, 4, \dots, n\}$ and $\Gamma_2 = \{1, 2\}$. Set $G^{\Gamma_1} = \overline{G}$, then \overline{G} is transitive. Let P' be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{1i_1i_2i_3}$, where $\{i_1, i_2, i_3\} \subset \Gamma_1$. Since $I(P') \supseteq 1$, $I(P') \supseteq \Gamma_2$. Hence $N_G(P')^{I(P')}$ is intransitive, and so $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$. We may assume that $I(P') = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, 11\}$.

Now let $a_1 = (1)(2)(3)(4\ 6\ 5\ 7)(8\ 10\ 9\ 11)$, $a_2 = (1)(2)(3)(4\ 10\ 5\ 11)(6\ 8\ 7\ 9)$, $a_3 = (1\ 2)(3)(4)(5)(6\ 7)(8\ 10)(9\ 11)$, $a_4 = (1)(2)(3\ 4\ 5)(6\ 10\ 9)(7\ 8\ 11)$.

Then we may assume that if $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = N(M_9)$ then $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = \langle a_2, a_3, a_4 \rangle$, and if $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = N(M_9^*)$ then $N_G(P')^{I(P')} = \langle a_1, a_3, a_4 \rangle$ (see [1], P. 83). Let a be an involution of P'. Then a is of the form

 $a = (1)(2)(3)\cdots(11)(ij)\cdots$.

Since $a \in N_G(G_{34ij})$, an involution b of G_{34ij} commuting with a is of the form

$$b = (1 \ 2)(3)(4)(5)(6 \ 7)(8 \ 10)(9 \ 11)(i)(j)\cdots$$

Since $\{1, 2\}$ is a G-orbit and $I(b) \cap \{1, 2\} = \phi$, every element (± 1) of a Sylow 2-subgroup of $G_{I(b)}$ has a 2-cycle (12). By (10) $N_G(G_{I(b)})^{I(b)} = M_{11}$. Since M_{11} is 4-fold transitive, 4, 5 and *i* belong to the same G_3 -orbit. Since (ij) is an arbitrary 2-cycle of a, $\{4, 5, 12, 13, \dots, n\}$ is contained in a G_3 -orbit. On the other hand for any point *i'* of $\{6, 7, \dots, 11\}$ since $a \in N_G(G_{3i'ij})$, an involution b' of $G_{3i'ij}$ commuting with a is of the form

$$b' = (1 \ 2)(3)(i')(i)(j)\cdots$$

In the same way *i'* and *i* belong to the same G_3 -orbit. Thus \overline{G}_3 is transitive, and so \overline{G} is doubly transitive. Furthermore since $N_G(G_{I(b)})^{I(b)} = M_{11}$, 5 and *i* belong to the same G_{34} -orbit. Since (*i j*) is an arbitrary 2-cycle of *a*, {5, 12, 13, ..., *n*} is contained in a G_{34} -orbit. Set $T_5 = \{5, 12, 13, \dots, n\}$, $T_6 = \{6, 7\}$, $T_8 = \{8, 10\}$ and $T_9 = \{9, 11\}$. Then \overline{G}_{34} -orbits consist of some T_i 's.

Suppose that T_5 is a G_{34} -orbit. For any two points j_1 in $T_6 \cup T_8 \cup T_9$ and k_1 in {12, 13,..., n} let P" be a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{34,j_1k_1} . If $I(P") \oplus \Gamma_2$, then |P"|=2. By (10) $N_G(P")^{I(P"')}=M_{11}$. Since $I(P") \supseteq \{3, 4, j_1, k_1\}$, j_1 and k_1 belong to the same G_{34} -orbit. But $j_1 \notin T_5$ and $k_1 \in T_5$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $I(P") \supseteq \{1, 2, 3, 4, j_1, k_1\}$. Suppose that I(P") does not contain some point j_2 of $T_6 \cup T_8 \cup T_9 - \{j_1\}$. Then j_2 belongs to a P"-orbit of at least length 16, which contains some point of T_5 . This is impossible since $G_{34} > P"$. Thus $I(P") = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, k_1\}$. Set $\Delta = I(P") - \{k_1\}$. Since k_1 is an arbitrary point in $\{12, 13, \dots, n\}$ and $I(P') = \Delta \cup \{5\}$, by the conjugacy of Sylow 2-subgroups of G_{Δ} , G_{Δ} is transitive on $\Omega - \Delta$. On the other hand since $a \in N_G(G_{671213})$, an involution c of G_{671213} commuting with a is of the form

 $c = (1 \ 2)(3)(4 \ 5)(6)(7)(8 \ 11)(9 \ 10)(12)(13)\cdots$

Since \overline{G} is doubly transitive, $\{7, 12, 13, \dots, n\}$ is a G_{36} -orbit. Since $G_{36} > G_{\Delta}$ and \overline{G}_{Δ} is transitive on $\{5, 12, 13, \dots, n\}$, 5 must belong to the G_{36} -orbit $\{7, 12, 13, \dots, n\}$, which is a contradiction.

Therefore there is a G_{35} -orbit containing T_5 and some T_i $(i \pm 5)$. We may assume that $T_6 \cup T_5$ is contained in a G_{34} -orbit. Now a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{345} containing P' fixes no point in $\Gamma_1 - \{3, 4, 5\}$. Since 5 and 6 belong to the same G_{34} -orbit, a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{346} containing P' fixes no point in $\Gamma_1 - \{3, 4, 6\}$. On the other hand since a Sylow 2-subgroup of $N_G(P')_{346}$ is also a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{346} , a Sylow 2-subgroup of G_{346} containing P'fixes $\{5, 7, 8, \dots, 11\}$ pointwise, which is a contradiction.

Thus there is no group such that $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = N(M_9)$ or $N(M_9^*)$.

By (11), (12), (14) and (16), $N_G(P)^{I(P)} = M_{11}$. But this is a contradiction by (13) and (16)

Thus we complete the proof of Lemma 4.

Proof of the theorem. Suppose that there is a group G different from M_{11} . Then a Sylow 2-subgroup P of G_{1234} is not identity. Set $P_t = Q$, where t is a point of a minimal P-orbit in $\Omega - I(P)$. Then by Lemma 3 $N_G(Q)^{I(Q)}$ satisfies the conditions (a) and (b) of Lemma 4. Hence we have a contradiction by Lemma 4. Thus there is no group different from M_{11} .

YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY

Т. Очама

References

- [1] M. Hall: The Theory of Groups, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [2] N. Ito: Transitive permutation groups of degree p=2q+1, p and q being prime numbers, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 165–192.
- [3] D. Livingstone and A. Wagner: Transitivity of finite permutation groups on unordered sets, Math. Z. 90 (1965), 393-403.
- [4] H. Nagao: Multiply Transitive Groups, California Institute of Technology, California, 1967.
- [5] T. Oyama: On multiply transitive groups VII, Osaka J. Math. 5 (1968), 155-164.
- [6] T. Oyama: On multiply transitive groups VIII, Osaka J. Math. 6 (1969), 315-319.
- [7] H. Wielandt: Finite Permutation Groups, Academic Press, New York, 1964.
- [8] H. Zassenhaus: The Theory of Groups, second edition, Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, 1958.