

Title	On Betti numbers of compact, locally sysmmetric Riemannian manifolds
Author(s)	Matsushima, Yozô
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1962, 14(1), p. 1-20
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5711
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Matsushima, Y. Osaka Math. J. 14 (1962), 1–20.

ON BETTI NUMBERS OF COMPACT, LOCALLY SYMMETRIC RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

Dedicated to Professor K. Shoda on his sixtieth birthday

Вч

Yozô MATSUSHIMA

This is a continuation of our paper [9]. In [9] we have studied the vanishing of the first Betti number of compact, locally symmetric Riemannian manifolds. We shall study in this paper the p-th Betti number of these manifolds from a somewhat different point of view.

Let X be a simply connected, symmetric Riemannian manifold, all of whose irreducible components are non-euclidean and non-compact. Let G be the identity component of the group of all isometries of X and let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G with compact quotient space G/Γ and without element of finite order different from the identity. The group Γ acts on X discontinuously and the quotient space $M = X/\Gamma$ is a compact, locally symmetric Riemannian manifold. Let A^{p} be the vector space of all G-invariant p-forms on X. By a well-known theorem of E. Cartan, the covariant derivatives of each form in A^{p} vanish (see [10]). Since $\Gamma \subset G$, each $\omega \in A^p$ is Γ -invariant and hence there exists a p-form η on M such that $\omega = \eta \circ \rho$, ρ denoting the projection of X onto M. Since ρ is a locally isometric mapping and the covariant derivatives of ω vanish, the covariant derivatives of η also vanish. In particular η is a harmonic *p*-form. Hence the mapping $\omega \rightarrow \eta$ defines an injection of A^p into the vetcor space \mathfrak{h}^{p} of all harmonic p-forms on M. The purpose of this paper is to study when A^{p} can be isomrphic to \mathfrak{h}^{p} .

Let $x_0 \in X$ and let K be the subgroup of G of all elements which leave fixed the point x_0 . It is well-known that K is a maximal compact subgroup of G and X is identified with the quotient space $K \setminus G$. Let g denote the Lie algebra of G and let t be the subalgebra of g corresponding to K. Denote by m the orthogonal complement of t in g with respect to the Killing form of g. We have then

 $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{k}, \ [\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{k}, \ [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{m}.$

Let g^c be the complexification of g and let G^c be the complex Lie group,

Y. MATSUSHIMA

with center reduced to the identity, corresponding to the complex Lie algebra g^c . We may consider G as a subgroup of G^c . Put $g_u = \sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{m} + \mathfrak{k}$. Then g_u is a compact real form of g^c and let G_u be the subgroup of G^c corresponding to the real subalgebra g_u of g^c . G_u is a maximal compact subgroup of G^c containing K and $X_u = K \setminus G_u$ is a simply connected, compact symmetric Riemannian manifold which we shall call the *compact form* of X.

Now we may identify the vector space A^p of all *G*-invariant *p*-forms on *X* with the vector space of all *p*-forms on the *K*-module *m* which are invariant by *K*. On the other hand, the mapping $X \to \sqrt{-1}X$ defines a *K*-module isomorphism of *m* onto $\sqrt{-1}m$. It follows that the vector space A^p_u of all G_u -invariant *p*-forms on X_u is isomorphic to A^p . Since X_u is symmetric and G_u is compact, the dimension of the vector space A^p_u equals the *p*-th Betti number $b_p(X_u)$ of X_u [4]. Therefore the dimension of the vector space A^p is equal to $b_p(X_u)$. On the other hand we have seen that A^p is identified with a subspace of the vector space \mathfrak{h}^p of all harmonic *p*-forms of *M*. Thus we get the inequality $b_p(M) \geq b_p(X_u)$, $b_p(M)$ denoting the *p*-th Betti number of *M*. Therefore our problem is stated as follows: Under what condition does the *p*-th Betti number of *M* equal the *p*-th Betti number of X_u ?

A condition for this will be given by Theorem 1 in \S 8. From Theorem 1 we shall obtain the following result.

Theorem 2. Let X be an irreducible symmetric bounded domain and let X_u be the compact form of X. Then the p-th Betti number of the compact manifold $M = X/\Gamma$ equals the p-th Betti number of X_u in the following cases:

Type of X	X _u	value of p	
$I_{m, m'}$ $(m \ge m')$	$U(m+m')/U(m)\times U(m')$	$\frac{m'}{2} > p$ $(m' \ge 3); p = 1 (m' = 2)$	
II _m $(m \ge 3)$	SO(2m)/U(m)	$\frac{m-2}{2} > p (m>4); \ p=1 \ (m=4)$	
III_m $(m \ge 2)$	Sp(m)/U(m)	$\frac{m+2}{4} > p \ (m>2); \ p=1 \ (m=2)$	
IV _m $(m \ge 3)$	$SO(n+2)/SO(2) \times SO(n)$	p = 1	
V	$E_6/Spin$ (10)× T^1	<i>p</i> = 1	
VI	$E_7/E_6 imes T^1$	p = 1, 2	

(The notations here are those of [2]),

In § 10 we shall apply these results to the classification of automorphic factors. The method employed in this paper is a natural extension of that of our paper [9].

I express here my hearty thanks to S. Murakami for his friendly cooperations. Especially the results in \S 2 and 3 owe to him.

§ 1. Throughout this paper G will denote a connected semi-simple Lie group with center reduced to the identity, all of whose simple components are non-compact; K will denote a maximal compact subgroup of G. We denote by g the Lie algebra of all right invariant vector fields on G and by \mathfrak{k} the subalgebra of g corresponding to K. Let φ denote the Killing form of g and let

$$\mathfrak{m} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} ; \varphi(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{k}\}.$$

Then we have

(1.1)
$$g = m + \mathfrak{k}, \qquad m \wedge \mathfrak{k} = (0);$$
$$[m, m] \subset \mathfrak{k}, [\mathfrak{k}, m] \subset m, [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}.$$

We know that the restriction of φ onto m(resp. \mathfrak{k}) defines a positive (resp. negative) definite bilinear form on m(resp. \mathfrak{k}). Hence we can choose a basis X_1, \dots, X_r of m and X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n of \mathfrak{k} such that

(1.2)
$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(X_i, X_j) &= \delta_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i, \ j \leq r; \\ \varphi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) &= -\delta_{\alpha\beta} \quad r+1 \leq \alpha, \ \beta \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this paper we make the following convention: Latin indices i, j, k, \dots , will range from 1 to r, while Greek indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \dots$ will range from r+1 to n and the indices λ, μ, ν, \dots from 1 to n. Let

$$[X_{\lambda}, X_{\mu}] = \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} c^{\nu}{}_{\lambda\mu} \cdot X_{\nu}.$$

By (1.1) among the structure constants $c_{\lambda\mu}^{\nu}$ only the $c_{\alpha\beta}^{\gamma}$, c_{ij}^{α} , $c_{\alpha j}^{i}$, c_{ij}^{i} , $c_{\alpha j}^{i}$, c_{ij}^{i} can be ± 0 . We shall write $c_{\alpha ij}$ instead of c_{ij}^{α} . From the invariance of the Killing form under the adjoint representation follows that

(1.3)
$$c^{j}{}_{i\alpha} = -c^{i}{}_{j\alpha} = c^{i}{}_{\alpha j} = c_{\alpha ij}.$$

We get from (1.2):

(1.4)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} \sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} c_{\alpha i k} c_{\alpha j k} = \frac{1}{2} \delta_{i j}.$$

For any X, $Y \in \mathfrak{m}$, we denote by R(X, Y) the endomorphism of the vector space \mathfrak{m} defined by

$$R(X, Y) \cdot Z = [[Y, X], Z]$$

for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. It can be interpreted as the Riemannian curvature tensor for the Riemannian symmetric manifold $K \setminus G$ (cf. [10]). We have

$$R(X_k, X_h) \cdot X_j = \sum_{i=1}^r R^i{}_{jkh} \cdot X_i$$
,

where

(1.5)
$$R^{i}{}_{jkh} = R_{ijkh} = -\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} c_{\alpha i j} c_{\alpha kh}$$

From (1.4) follows:

(1.6)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} R_{ikjk} = -\frac{1}{2} \delta_{ij}.$$

Let $\omega^{\lambda}(\lambda=1, \dots, n)$ be the right invariant 1-forms on G such that $\omega^{\lambda}(X_{\mu}) = \delta^{\lambda}{}_{\mu}$. The symmetric tensor

$$g = \sum_{\lambda=1}^n (\omega^\lambda)^2$$

defines a right invariant Riemannian metric on G.

Now let $X = K \setminus G$ and let $\hat{\pi}$ be the projection of G onto X. Put $\hat{\pi}(e) = x_0$, e denoting the identity of G. We may identity the vector space m with the tangent vector space of X at the point x_0 . We define a G-invariant symmetric tensor h on X by the condition that the value of h at the point x_0 is equal to the restriction of φ onto m. The tensor h is everywhere positive definite and it defines a G-invariant Riemannian metric on X. X is a simply connected, symmetric Riemannian manifold.

§2. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G with compact quotient space G/Γ . We may regard Γ as a discontinuous group of isometries of the symmetric Riemannian manifold X with compact quotient space X/Γ . Put $M=X/\Gamma$. From now on, we assume that Γ cotains no element of finite order different from the identity. Then M is a compact orientable manifold without singularties and G/Γ is a principal fiber bundle of base M and structure group K. We may consider M as the space of double cosets : $M=K\backslash G/\Gamma$. Let π denote the projection of G/Γ onto M. The symmetric tensor h on X being invariant by the action of Γ , it defines a positive definite symmetric tensor on M which we denote by the same letter h. h defines a Riemannian metric on M. We call M a compact, locally symmetric Riemannian manifold.

The right invariant tensor g on G defines a positive definite symmetric tensor on G/Γ which we shall denote also by g. The right

invariant vector fields X_{λ} (resp. 1-forms ω^{λ}) on G define also the vector fields (reap. 1-forms) on G/Γ which we shall denote by the same letter X_{λ} (reap. ω^{λ}). Under these notations, consider the symmetric tensor $\sum_{i=1}^{r} (\omega^{i})^{2}$ on G/Γ . It is easily verified that this tensor is invariant under the action of K on G/Γ . Moreover, the value of this tensor at each point of G/Γ is a symmetric bilinear form on the tangent space which is positive definite modulo the subspace of vectors tangent to the fiber of the bundle G/Γ over M, this latter subspace being spanned by the values of the vector fields X_{α} ($\alpha = r+1, \dots, n$). From these considerations follows that the symmetric tensor h on M is related to the tensor $\sum_{i}^{r} (\omega^{i})^{2}$ on G/Γ by the relation

$$(2.1) h \circ \pi = \sum_{i=1}^r (\omega^i)^2.$$

Let dm denote the volme element of M determined by the Riemannian metric defined by h. From (2.1) follows that

$$dm \circ \pi = \omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^r$$
.

We denote by dv the volume element of G/Γ determined by the Riemannian metric g. Then

$$dv = \omega^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^r \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^n$$
 .

Further let dk denote the bi-invariant volume element $\omega^{r+1} \wedge \cdots \wedge \omega^n$ of the compact group K, where $\omega^{r+1}, \cdots, \omega^n$ are considered as right invariant 1-forms on K.

Lemma 1. Let f be a continuous function on M. Then

$$c\int_{M} f\,dm = \int_{G/\Gamma} (f\circ\pi)dv\,,$$

where c denotes the total volume of K measured by dk.

For the proof of this lemma, see [13].

Now let η , ζ be two *p*-forms on *M*. We denote by $\langle \eta, \zeta \rangle$ the usual scalar product defined by the Riemannian metric *h*. The global scalar product (η, ζ) is defined by

$$(\eta,\,\zeta)=rac{1}{p!}\int\limits_{M}\langle\eta,\,\zeta
angle dm\,.$$

In the same way, for any two *p*-forms ω , θ on G/Γ , we define $\langle \omega, \theta \rangle$

and (ω, θ) using the Riemannian metric g. Put $g_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p} = \omega(X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p})$ and $h_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p} = \theta(X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p})$. Since X_1, \dots, X_n form an orthonormal basis of the tangent vector space at each point of G/Γ , we have $\langle \omega, \theta \rangle = \sum_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p=1}^n g_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p} h_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p}$. Hence

(2.2)
$$(\omega, \theta) = \frac{1}{p!} \sum_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p=1}^n \int_{G/M} g_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p} \cdot h_{\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p} dv$$

Now let η , ζ be two *p*-forms on *M*. We see from (2.1) that

$$(2.3) \qquad \qquad \langle \eta, \zeta \rangle \circ \pi = \langle \eta \circ \pi, \zeta \circ \pi \rangle.$$

It follows then from Lemma 1 the following

Lemma 2. Let η , ζ be two p-forms on M. Then

$$c \cdot (\eta, \zeta) = (\eta \circ \pi, \zeta \circ \pi)$$
.

We state here the following lemma which will be used frequently in the following.

Lemma 3. Let f be a C^{∞} -function on G/Γ . Then

$$\int\limits_{G/\Gamma} X_{\lambda} f \cdot dv = 0 , \qquad 1 \leq \lambda \leq n .$$

For the proof, see Weil [12].

§3. The projection π of G/Γ onto M defines an injection of the vector space $D^{p}(M)$ of p-forms on M into the vector space $D^{p}(G/\Gamma)$ of p-forms on G/Γ . It is well-known that a p-form $\omega \in D^{p}(G/\Gamma)$ is in the image of $D^{p}(M)$ if and only if $\theta(X_{\alpha})\omega=0$, $i(X_{\alpha})\omega=0$ for $\alpha=r+1, \cdots, n$, where θ (resp. i) denotes the Lie derivation (resp. interior product). Let $\eta \in D^{p}(M)$ and put $\eta'=\eta\circ\pi$. Then $d\eta'=d\eta\circ\pi$ and since $i(X_{\alpha})d\eta'=0$, we have $(d\eta')(X_{\lambda_{1}}, \cdots, X_{\lambda_{p+1}})=0$, if one of the indices $\lambda_{1}, \cdots, \lambda_{p}$ is $\geq r+1$. On the other hand, $(d\eta')(X_{i_{1}}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}})=\sum_{a=1}^{p+1}(-1)^{a-1}X_{i_{a}}\cdot\eta'(X_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \hat{X}_{i_{a}}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}})+\sum_{a<b}(-1)^{a+b}\eta'([X_{i_{a}}, X_{i_{b}}], X_{i_{1}}, \cdots, \hat{X}_{i_{a}}, \cdots, \hat{X}_{i_{b}}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}})$. But since $[X_{i_{a}}, X_{i_{b}}]=\sum_{\alpha}c_{\alpha i_{a}i_{b}}X_{\alpha}$ and $i(X_{\alpha})\eta'=0$, we get:

$$(3.1) \quad (d\eta \circ \pi)(X_{i_1}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}}) = \sum_{a=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{a-1} X_{i_a} \{ (\eta \circ \pi)(X_{i_1}, \cdots, \hat{X}_{i_a}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}}) \} .$$

Let δ denote the operator of codifferentiation on the differential forms on M. For any $\eta \in D^{p}(M)$ and $\zeta \in D^{p+1}(M)$, we have $(d\eta, \zeta) =$ $(\eta, \delta\zeta)$. For any $\zeta \in D^{p+1}(M)$, put

$$(3.2) \qquad \qquad \delta'(\zeta \circ \pi) = \delta \zeta \circ \pi ,$$

Lemma 4. Let $\zeta' = \zeta \circ \pi$. Then

$$(\delta'\zeta')(X_{i_1},\cdots,X_{i_p}) = -\sum_{k=1}^r X_k \cdot \zeta'(X_k,X_{i_1},\cdots,X_{i_p}).$$

Proof. For any $\eta \in D^p(M)$, let $\eta' = \eta \circ \pi$. Put $\eta'(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_p}) = f_{i_1, \dots, i_p}$ and $\zeta'(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_{p+1}}) = g_{i_1, \dots, i_{p+1}}$. From (3.1) and (2.2) we get:

$$(d\eta',\,\zeta') = rac{1}{(p+1)!} \sum_{a=1}^{p+1} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{p+1}}^{p-1} (-1)^{a_{-1}} \int_{G/\Gamma} (X_{i_a} f_{i_1,\cdots,\hat{i}_a,\cdots,i_{p+1}}) \cdot g_{i_1,\cdots,i_{p+1}} dv$$

By Lemma 3,

$$\int_{G/L} (X_{i_a} f_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_{p+1}}) \cdot g_{i_1 \cdots i_{p+1}} dv = (-1)^a \int_{G/L} f_{i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_{p+1}} (X_{i_a} g_{i_a i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_{p+1}}) dv .$$

Hence we obtain :

$$(d\eta', \zeta') = -\frac{1}{p!} \sum_{j_1, \cdots, j_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} f_{j_1 \cdots j_p} (\sum_k X_k g_{kj_1 \cdots j_p}) dv$$

We define a *p*-form μ' on G/Γ by the conditions that

$$\mu'(X_{j_1}, \cdots, X_{j_p}) = -\sum_{k=1}^r X_k g_{k j_1 \cdots j_p}, \ i(X_a) \mu' = 0 \ (r+1 \le \alpha \le n) .$$

Then

$$(d\eta', \zeta') = (\eta', \mu')$$
.

Using $i(X_{\alpha})\zeta' = 0$, $\theta(X_{\alpha})\zeta' = 0$, we verify easily that $\theta(X_{\alpha})\mu' = 0$ for all X_{α} . Hence there exists a *p*-form μ on *M* such that $\mu' = \mu \circ \pi$. Then $(d\eta', \zeta') = (\eta \circ \pi, \mu \circ \pi)$. On the other hand, by Lemma 2 we have $(d\eta', \zeta') = c(d\eta, \zeta)$ and $(\eta \circ \pi, \mu \circ \pi) = c(\eta, \mu)$. Hence we get $(d\eta, \zeta) = (\eta, \mu)$. Since η is arbitrary, this implies $\mu = \delta \zeta$ and hence $\mu' = \delta' \zeta'$. Thus $(\delta' \zeta')(X_{j_1}, \cdots, X_{j_p}) = -\sum_{h} X_h \cdot \zeta'(X_h, X_{j_1}, \cdots, X_{j_p})$.

From (3.1), (3.2) and Lemma 4 follows

Lemma 5. A p-form ω on G/Γ is a π -image of a harmonic p-form on M if and only if the following three conditions are satisfied:

1)
$$i(X_{\alpha})\omega = \theta(X_{\alpha})\omega = 0, r+1 \leq \alpha \leq n;$$

2) $\sum_{a=1}^{p+1} (-1)^{a-1} X_{i_a} \cdot \omega(X_{i_1}, \cdots, \hat{X}_{i_a}, \cdots, X_{i_{p+1}}) = 0, \ 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_{p+1} \leq r;$

3)
$$\sum_{k=1}^{r} X_k \cdot \omega(X_k, X_{i_1}, \cdots, X_{i_{p-1}}) = 0, \ 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_{p-1} \leq r.$$

§4. We retain the notations introduced in the preceding sections. Let ω be a *p*-form on G/Γ satisfying the conditions in Lemma 5 and put Y. MATSUSHIMA

$$g_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p} = \omega(X_{\lambda_1},\cdots,X_{\lambda_p}).$$

Then we have the following equalities:

(4.1)
$$g_{\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_p} = 0$$
 if one of the indices is $\geq r+1$;

(4.2)
$$X_{\alpha}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} = \sum_{a=1}^{r}\sum_{h=1}^{r} (-1)^{a-1}c_{\alpha h i_{a}}g_{h i_{1}\cdots i_{a}\cdots i_{p}},$$
$$r+1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \ 1 \leq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p} \leq r;$$

(4.3)
$$X_{k}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} = \sum_{a=1}^{p} (-1)^{a-1}X_{i_{a}}g_{ki_{1}\cdots i_{a}\cdots i_{p}}, \ 1 \leq k, \ i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p} \leq r;$$

(4.4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} X_{k}g_{ki_{1}\cdots i_{p-1}} = 0, \ 1 \leq i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p-1} \leq r.$$

(4.4)
$$\sum_{k=1} X_k g_{ki_1 \cdots i_{p-1}} = 0, \ 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_{p-1} \leq r$$

Now we have

$$X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} - X_k X_j g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha j k} X_{\alpha} g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}.$$

From (4.2) and (1.5) follows

$$\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha j k} X_{\alpha} g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = \sum_{a=1}^p (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{h} R_{j k i_a h} g_{h i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p}.$$

Thus we get

(4.5)
$$X_{j}X_{k}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}-X_{k}X_{j}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}=\sum_{a=1}^{p}(-1)^{a-1}\sum_{k=1}^{r}R_{jki_{a}k}g_{hi_{1}\cdots \hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}}.$$

Now put

(4.6)
$$\Phi = \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p=1}^r \sum_{j_1, k=1}^r (X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} - X_k X_j g_{i_1 \cdots i_p})^2.$$

Lemma 6.

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \Phi \, dv = -\sum_{\iota_1, \cdots, \iota_{p-1}} \sum_{j,k,h,l} \int_{G/\Gamma} R_{jklh} (X_j g_{ht_1 \cdots t_{p-1}}) (X_k g_{lt_1 \cdots t_{p-1}}) dv \, .$$

Proof. From (4.5) follows

$$\begin{split} \Phi &= \frac{1}{2p} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p} \sum_{h, j, k} \sum_{a=1}^p (-1)^{a-1} R_{jki_ah} (X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} - X_k X_j g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}) g_{hi_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p} \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^p \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p} \sum_{h, j, k} (-1)^{a-1} R_{jki_ah} (X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}) g_{hi_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p}. \end{split}$$

Put

$$\Phi' = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{a=1}^{p} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p} \sum_{h, j, k} (-1)^a R_{jki_ah}(X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p})(X_j g_{hi_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p}),$$

By Lemma 3 we have

(4.7)
$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \Phi \, dv = \int_{G/\Gamma} \Phi' \, dv \, .$$

Now we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \sum_{h,j,k} R_{jki_ah}(X_k g_{i_1\cdots i_p})(X_j g_{hi_1\cdots i_a\cdots i_p}) \\ &= \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \sum_{h,j,k} R_{jki_ah}(-1)^{a-1} (X_k g_{i_ai_1\cdots i_a\cdots i_p})(X_j g_{hi_1\cdots i_a\cdots i_p}) \\ &= (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{t_1,\cdots,t_{p-1}} \sum_{h,j,k,l} R_{jklh}(X_k g_{lt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})(X_j g_{ht_1\cdots t_{p-1}}) \,. \end{split}$$

Hence

(4.8)
$$\Phi' = -\sum_{t_1, \cdots, t_{p-1}} \sum_{h, j, k, l} R_{jklh}(X_k g_{lt_1 \cdots t_{p-1}})(X_j g_{ht_1 \cdots t_{p-1}}).$$

From (4.8) and (4.7) follows the lemma.

Lemma 7.

$$(\sum_{k} X_{k}^{2})g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} = -\frac{p}{2}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} + 2\sum_{b < a}(-1)^{a_{+b}}\sum_{k,h=1}^{r}R_{i_{b}hi_{a}k}g_{hki_{1}\cdots \hat{i}_{b}\cdots \hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}}.$$

Proof. Put

$$F=(\sum_{k}X_{k}^{2})g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}.$$

We let operate X_k on the both sides of (4.3) and summing up on k we get:

$$F = \sum_{a=1}^{p} (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{k} X_{k} X_{i_{a}} g_{ki_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{a} \cdots i_{p}}.$$

Since $X_k X_{i_a} = X_{i_a} X_k + \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha k i_a} X_{\alpha}$ and since (4.4) holds, we get

(4.9)
$$F = \sum_{a=1}^{p} (-1)^{a-1} \sum_{k} \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha k i_{a}} (X_{\alpha} g_{k i_{1} \cdots \hat{i}_{a} \cdots i_{p}}).$$

By (4.2),
$$X_{\alpha}g_{hi_1\cdots\hat{i}_a\cdots i_p} = \sum_h c_{\alpha hk}g_{hi_1\cdots\hat{i}_a\cdots i_p}$$

 $+\sum_h \left\{\sum_{b
 $+\sum_{a$$

Replacing this in (4.9) and using (1.4) and (1.5) we obtain the lemma.

Lemma 8.

$$\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} g^2_{i_1\cdots i_p} dv = \frac{2}{p} \sum_{k,i_1,\cdots,i_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} (X_k g_{i_1\cdots i_p})^2 dv$$
$$+ \frac{4}{p} \sum_{b < a} \sum_{k,k,i_1,\cdots,i_p} (-1)^{a+b} \int_{G/\Gamma} R_{i_b h i_a k} g_{h i_1} \cdots \hat{i}_b \cdots i_p \cdot g_{k i_1} \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p dv .$$

Proof. By Lemma 3 we have

$$\sum_{k} \int_{G/\Gamma} (X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p})^2 dv = - \int_{G/\Gamma} (\sum_{k} X_k^2 g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}) g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} dv.$$

By Lemma 7, $-\int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} (\sum_{k} X_{k}^{2} g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}) g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} dv$ $= \frac{p}{2} \int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}^{2} dv - 2 \sum_{b < a} \sum_{h,k} (-1)^{a+b} \int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} R_{i_{b}hi_{a}k} g_{hki_{1}\cdots \hat{i}_{b}\cdots \hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}} g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} dv .$

It is easily verified that

$$\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p}\sum_{h,k}R_{i_bhi_ak}g_{hki_1\cdots\hat{i}_b\cdots\hat{i}_a\cdots i_p}g_{i_1\cdots i_p}$$
$$=\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p}\sum_{h,k}R_{i_ahi_bk}g_{hi_1\cdots\hat{i}_b\cdots i_p}g_{ki_1\cdots\hat{i}_a\cdots i_p}.$$

From these equalities follows the lemma.

§5. From (4.5) and (1.5) follows:

(5.1)
$$X_{j}X_{k}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} - X_{k}X_{j}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}}$$
$$= \sum_{a=1}^{p}\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n}\sum_{k}(-1)^{a}c_{\alpha j k}c_{\alpha i_{a}h}g_{h i_{1}\cdots \hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}}$$

Calculating $2p\Phi$ directly from (5.1) as in [9], §4, we find:

$$2p\Phi = -\sum_{a,b=1}^{p} (-1)^{a+b} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \sum_{h,l} \psi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) c_{\alpha i_a h} c_{\beta i_b l} g_{h i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p} g_{l i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_b \cdots i_p},$$

where $\psi(X, Y)(X, Y \in \mathfrak{k})$ denotes the bilinear form on \mathfrak{k} defined by

 $\psi(X, Y) = Tr(ad_{\mathfrak{m}}X \cdot ad_{\mathfrak{m}}Y),$

 $ad_{\mathfrak{m}}X$ denoting the representation of \mathfrak{k} on the vector space \mathfrak{m} defined by $ad_{\mathfrak{m}}X \cdot Z = [X, Z]$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$. In particular we have

$$\psi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) = -\sum_{i,j=1}^{r} c_{\alpha i j} c_{\beta i j}.$$

Now let

 $\mathbf{t} = \mathbf{z} + \mathbf{t}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{t}_q,$

where \mathfrak{z} denotes the center of \mathfrak{k} and $\mathfrak{k}_1, \cdots, \mathfrak{k}_q$ denote the simple ideals of \mathfrak{k} . As we have shown in [9], §4, we can choose a basis $\{X_{r+1}, \cdots, X_n\}$ of \mathfrak{k} satisfying the following conditions: 1) each X_{α} belongs to \mathfrak{z} or to one of the simple ideals; 2) $\varphi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) = -\delta_{\alpha\beta}$; 3) $\psi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\beta}) = 0$ for $\alpha = \beta$. Moreover, if $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{z}$, we have $\psi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}) = -1$ and if $X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{k}_s$, $\psi(X_{\alpha}, X_{\alpha}) =$ $-a_s$, where a_s a real number such that $0 < a_s < 1$ depending on \mathfrak{k}_s (see [9], §4).

Choose a basis $\{X_{r+1}, \dots, X_n\}$ of t satisfying these conditions. We get then

(5.2)
$$2p\Phi$$

$$=\sum_{a,b=1}^{p} (-1)^{a+b} \sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{p},h,l} \left\{ \sum_{X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{F}} c_{\alpha i_{a}h} c_{\alpha i_{b}l} g_{h i_{1}} \cdots \hat{i}_{a} \cdots i_{p} g_{l i_{1}} \cdots \hat{i}_{b} \cdots i_{p} \right\}$$

$$+\sum_{s=1}^{q} a_{s} \sum_{X_{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{K}_{s}} c_{\alpha i_{a}h} c_{\alpha i_{b}l} g_{h i_{1}} \cdots \hat{i}_{a} \cdots i_{p} g_{l i_{1}} \cdots \hat{i}_{b} \cdots i_{p} \right\} .$$

§6. From now on we assume that g is simple. Let

$$A = \operatorname{Min} (a_1, \cdots, a_s).$$

Then 0 < A < 1. We know that the center \mathfrak{z} of \mathfrak{k} is (0) or 1-dimensional. Suppose first that dim $\mathfrak{z}=1$ and let $\mathfrak{z}=\{X_{r+1}\}$. Then

$$\begin{split} & 2p\Phi \\ & \geq A\sum_{a,b=1}^{p}(-1)^{a+b}\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{p},h,l}\sum_{\alpha}c_{\alpha i_{\alpha}h}c_{\alpha i_{b}l}g_{hi_{1}\cdots\hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}}g_{li_{1}\cdots\hat{i}_{b}\cdots i_{p}} \\ & +(1-A)\sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{p}}(\sum_{a=1}^{p}\sum_{h=1}^{r}(-1)^{a}c_{r+1i_{a}h}g_{hi_{1}\cdots\hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}})^{2} \,. \end{split}$$

From (1.5) and (4.2) follows:

(6.1)
$$\geq -A_{a,b=1}^{p} \sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{p},h,\iota} (-1)^{a+b} R_{i_{a}hi_{b}l} g_{hi_{1}\cdots\hat{i}_{a}\cdots i_{p}} g_{li_{1}\cdots\hat{i}_{b}\cdots i_{p}} + (1-A) \sum_{i_{1},\cdots,i_{p}} (X_{r+1}g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}})^{2}.$$

Put

$$\Theta = \sum_{b < a} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p, h, l} (-1)^{a+b} R_{i_a h i_b l} g_{h i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_a \cdots i_p} g_{l i_1 \cdots \hat{i}_b \cdots i_p}.$$

By an easy calculation we see that the first term of the right hand side of the inequality (6.1) equals $A \frac{p}{2} \sum_{i_1 \cdots i_p} g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}^2 - 2A\Theta$. Hence we get finally the following inequality:

(6.2)
$$\Phi \ge \frac{A}{4} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p} g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}^2 - \frac{A}{p} \Theta.$$

It should be noted that, if $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{z}$ (this is the case if and only if dim $\mathfrak{g} = 3$), we have

$$\Phi = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} g^2_{i_1\cdots i_p} - \frac{1}{p} \Theta.$$

Therefore we put A=1 in this case.

We see in a similar way that the inequality (6.2) holds also in the case $\mathfrak{z}=(0)$. Moreover, if \mathfrak{k} is simple, the equality holds in (6.2).

§7. Integrating the both sides of (6.2) we get:

$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \Phi dv \geq \frac{A}{4} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}^2 dv - \frac{A}{p} \int_{G/\Gamma} \Theta dv \, .$$

On the other hand, we have by Lemma 8

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} \int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} g^2_{i_1\cdots i_p} dv \\ &= \frac{2}{p} \sum_{k,i_1,\cdots,i_p} \int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} (X_k g_{i_1\cdots i_p})^2 dv + \frac{4}{p} \int_{\mathcal{G}/\Gamma} \Theta dv \,. \end{split}$$

Hence we get:

(7.1)
$$\int_{G/\Gamma} \Phi \, dv \ge \frac{A}{2p} \sum_{k,i,\cdots,i_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} (X_k g_{i_1\cdots i_p})^2 dv \, .$$

From (7.1) and Lemma 6 follows

Lemma 9.

$$0 \ge \frac{A}{2p} \sum_{k,i_1,\cdots,i_p} \int_{G/\Gamma} (X_k g_{i_1\cdots i_p})^2 dv + \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_{p-1}} \sum_{i,j,k,l} \int_{G/\Gamma} R_{iklj} (X_i g_{ji_1\cdots i_{p-1}}) (X_k g_{li_1\cdots i_{p-1}}) dv .$$

If g is simple and $\mathfrak{z} \neq (0)$, the symmetric space of G is an irreducible symmetric bounded domain. From the classification of such domain, it is known, except in the case of classical domain of type $I_{m,m'}$ $(m \ge m' \ge 2)$, \mathfrak{k} has only one simple factor. In the case, where \mathfrak{k} has only one simple factor, we have shown in [9], § 6, that

(7.2)
$$A = \frac{1}{\dim \mathfrak{k} - 1} \left(\frac{\dim \mathfrak{m}}{2} - 1 \right),$$

while in the case where the corresponding domain is of type $I_{m,m'}$ ($m \ge m' \ge 2$),

$$(7.3) A = \frac{m'}{m+m'}.$$

In the case, where $\mathfrak{z} = (0)$ and \mathfrak{k} is simple, we have

$$(7.4) A = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{m}}{2\dim \mathfrak{k}}$$

(see [9], §7).

We define for each simple non-compact Lie algebra g a quadratic form $H^p_q(\xi)$ on the linear space of tensors $\xi = (\xi_{i_1 \cdots i_{p+1}})$ by putting

$$H_{\mathfrak{g}}^{p}(\xi) = \frac{A}{2p} \sum_{i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p+1}} \xi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p+1}}^{2} + \sum_{t_{1}, \cdots, t_{p-1}} \sum_{i, j, k, l} R_{iklj} \xi_{ijt_{1}\cdots t_{p-1}} \xi_{klt_{1}\cdots t_{p-1}}.$$

Then by Lemma 9 we have

$$0 \geq \int_{G/\Gamma} H^p_{\mathfrak{g}}((X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p})) dv.$$

Remark. In the case p=1 we have already defined in [9] a quadratic form $H_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi) = b(\mathfrak{g}) \sum_{i,j} \xi_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{iklj} \xi_{ij} \xi_{kl}$. The constant $b(\mathfrak{g})$ is strictly greater than $\frac{1}{2}A$. This is because we have omitted the factor $\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_p} (X_{r+1}g_{i_1\cdots i_p})^2$ to obtain (6.2), while in the case p=1 we have a convenient equality $\sum_i (X_{r+1}g_i)^2 = \frac{1}{r} \sum_i g_i^2$ under a suitable normalisation of X_{r+1} (see [9], §5); hence we obtain

$$\Phi \ge rac{1}{2} b(\mathfrak{g}) \sum_i g_i^2$$

in place of (6.2), with $b(g) = \frac{1}{2}A + \frac{1}{r}(1-A)$. Remark that the term containing Θ is missing in the case p=1.

§8. We prove now the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Let X be a simply connected, irreducible symmetric Riemannian manifold which is non-compact and non-euclidean. Let G be the identity component of the group of all isometries of X. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of G with compact quotient G/Γ and without element of finite order different from the indentity, so that Γ is a discontinuous group of isometries of X with compact quotient $M=X/\Gamma$. Let X_u be the compact form of X. Suppose that the quadaatic form $H^m_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$ is positive definite. Then the p-th Betti number $b_p(M)$ of M equals the p-th Betti number $b_p(X_u)$ of X_u .

Proof. Let A^p denote the vector space of all *G*-invariant *p*-form of *X*. Since $\Gamma \subset G$, each *p*-form $\alpha \in A^p$ is Γ -invariant and hence there exists a *p*-form η of *M* such that $\alpha = \eta \circ \rho$, ρ denoting the projection of *X* onto *M*. As we have stated in the introduction, η is a harmonic *p*-form on *M* and the mapping $\alpha \to \eta$ defines an injection of A^p into the vector space \mathfrak{h}^p of all harmonic *p*-form on *M*. Moreover, we know that the dimension of A^p equals the p-th Betti number $b^p(X_u)$ of X_u (see Introduction). We have $b_p(X_u) = b_p(M)$ if and only if the mapping $\alpha \to \eta$ is a surjection of A^p onto \mathfrak{h}^p . Now let $\eta \in \mathfrak{h}^p$ and let $\omega = \eta \circ \pi$, π denoting the projection of G/Γ onto $X/\Gamma = M$. We retain the notations introduced in the preceding sections and put

$$g_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p} = \omega(X_{\lambda_1}, \cdots, X_{\lambda_p})$$
.

Then these $g_{\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_p}$ satisfy the relations (4.1)-(4.4). By Lemma 9 we have

$$0 \ge \int_{G/\Gamma} H^p_{\mathfrak{g}}((X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p})) dv \, .$$

Since $H^p_{\mathfrak{a}}(\xi)$ is positive definite by assumption, this implies:

(8.1) $X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = 0, \quad 1 \leq k, i_1, \cdots, i_p \leq r.$

Then $X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} - X_k X_j g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} = 0$. On the otoer hand $X_j X_k g_{i_1 \cdots i_p} - X_k X_j g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}$ = $\sum_{a} c_{ajk} X_a g_{i_1 \cdots i_p}$ and hence

(8.2)
$$\sum_{\alpha=r+1}^{n} c_{\alpha j k} X_{\alpha} g_{i_{1}\cdots i_{p}} = 0, \quad 1 \leq j, \, k, \, i_{1}, \cdots, i_{p} \leq r.$$

Now we know that the representation $X \to ad_{\mathfrak{m}}X$ of the Lie algebra \mathfrak{k} on the vector space \mathfrak{m} defined by putting $ad_{\mathfrak{m}}X \cdot Z = [X, Z]$ for all $Z \in \mathfrak{m}$ is faithful. For any real numbers ξ_{α} , we have $[\sum_{\alpha} \xi_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}, X_{k}] = \sum_{j} (\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha j k} \xi_{\alpha}) X_{j}$. Hence, if $\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha j k} \xi_{\alpha} = 0$ for $j, k = 1, \dots, r$, we must have $\xi_{\alpha} = 0$. Thus (8.2) implies

$$(8.3) X_{\alpha}g_{i_1\cdots i_p} = 0, \quad r+1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \quad 1 \leq i_1, \cdots, i_p \leq r.$$

From (8.1) and (8.3) follows that $g_{i_1\cdots i_p}$ are constant. On the other hand, by (4.1) $g_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}=0$ if one of the indices is >r and hence $g_{\lambda_1\cdots\lambda_p}$ is constant for any indices $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_p$. Let $\tilde{\rho}$ denote the projection of G onto G/Γ and put $\tilde{\omega} = \omega \circ \tilde{\rho}$.



As in §1, X_{λ} denotes here the right invariant vector field on G. Then

 $\tilde{\omega}(X_{\lambda_1}, \dots, X_{\lambda_p}) = g_{\lambda_1 \dots \lambda_p}$ are constant and hence $\tilde{\omega}$ is a right invariant p-form on G. Moreover, since $i(X_{\alpha})\omega = \theta(X_{\alpha})\omega = 0$, we have also $i(X_{\alpha})\tilde{\omega} = \theta(X_{\alpha})\tilde{\omega} = 0$. Therefore there exists a G-invariant p-form α on $X = K \setminus G$ such that $\tilde{\omega} = \alpha \circ \tilde{\pi}$, $\tilde{\pi}$ denoting the projection of G onto $K \setminus G$. Since $\pi \circ \tilde{\rho} = \rho \circ \tilde{\pi}$ and $\tilde{\omega} = (\eta \circ \pi) \circ \tilde{\rho}$ we have $\tilde{\omega} = (\eta \circ \rho) \circ \tilde{\pi}$. Since $\tilde{\omega} = \alpha \circ \tilde{\pi}$, we get $\alpha = \eta \circ \rho$ and this shows that the harmonic p-form η is the image of the G-invariant p-form α on X. Since η is arbitrary, the mapping $A^p \to \mathfrak{h}^p$ defined above is surjective. Then we get $b_p(M) = b_p(X_u)$. Theorem 1 is thus proved.

§9. We discuss here when the quadratic form $H_g^p(\xi)$ is positive definite. For any tensor $\eta = (\eta_{ij})$ put

$$F_p(\eta) = rac{A}{2p}\sum_{i,j}\eta_{ij}^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,l}R_{iklj}\eta_{ij}\eta_{kl}\,.$$

Let $\eta = \eta' + \eta''$, where $\eta' = (\eta'_{ij})$ is symmetric and $\eta'' = (\eta'_{ij})$ is alternating in the indices *i*, *j*. Using the property $R_{iklj} = R_{jlki}$, we see easily that

$$F_{p}(\eta) = F_{p}(\eta') + F_{p}(\eta'')$$
.

(This and the following arguments are those of Weil [12]). Moreover, since η'' is alternating,

$$\sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{iklj} \eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime} \eta_{kl}^{\prime\prime} = -\sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{ilkj} \eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime} \eta_{kl}^{\prime\prime} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} R_{iljk} \eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime} \eta_{kl}^{\prime\prime} \,.$$

From this and from Bianchi identity $R_{iklj} + R_{iljk} + R_{ijkl} = 0$ follows:

$$2\sum_{i,j,k,l}R_{iklj}\eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime}\eta_{kl}^{\prime\prime} = -\sum_{i,j,k,l}R_{ijkl}\eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime}\eta_{kl}^{\prime\prime} = \sum_{\alpha}(\sum_{i,j}c_{\alpha ij}\eta_{ij}^{\prime\prime})^{2}.$$

Therefore $F_p(\eta') \ge 0$ and $F_p(\eta'') = 0$ implies $\eta'' = 0$. Suppose that $F_p(\eta') > 0$ for any symmetric $\eta' \ne 0$ and we show that $H_g^n(\xi)$ is then positive definite. In fact, we write $\xi = \xi' + \xi''$, where $\xi' = (\xi'_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})$ is symmetric and $\xi'' = (\xi'_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})$ is alternating in the indices i, j. Since $H_g^n(\xi) =$ $\sum_{t_1,\dots,t_{p-1}} F_p((\xi_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}}))$, we have $H_g^n(\xi) = H_g^n(\xi') + H_g^n(\xi')$ and $H_g^n(\xi') \ge 0$. Now since $F_p((\xi'_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})) \ge 0$ by assumption, we have $H_g^n(\xi') \ge 0$ and hence $H_g^n(\xi) \ge 0$. If $H_g^n(\xi) = 0$, we have $H_g^n(\xi') = 0$ and $H_g^n(\xi'') = 0$ and hence $F_p((\xi'_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})) = F_p((\xi''_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}})) = 0$ for any t_1, \cdots, t_{p-1} . This implies $\xi'_{ijt_1\cdots t_{p-1}} =$ $\xi'_{jit_1\cdots t_{p-1}} = 0$ for any indices $i, j, t_1, \cdots, t_{p-1}$ and hence $\xi = 0$. Thus $H_g^n(\xi)$ is positve definite.

Let P denote the linear transformation of the vector space of all symmetric tensor η' defined by putting

$$P(\eta')_{ij} = \sum_{k,l} R_{iklj} \eta'_{kl}$$

Then $(P(\eta'), \zeta') = (\eta', P(\zeta')), (\eta, \zeta')$ denoting usual inner product: $(\eta', \zeta') = \sum_{i,j} \eta'_{ij} \zeta'_{ij}$.

The linear transformation P of the vector space of η' is thus symmetric. The quadratis form $F_p(\eta')$ is written

$$F_p(\eta') = rac{A}{2p}(\eta',\,\eta') + (\eta',\,P(\eta')) \ .$$

 $F_p(\eta')$ is positive definite, if (and only if) the absolute value of the minimal eigen-value λ_1 of P is strictly smaller than $\frac{A}{2b}$.

We consider the case where X is an irreducible symmetric bounded domain. In this case, the value of A is calculated by (7.2) and (7.3), while the minimal eigen-value λ_1 of P is already known (see [1] [2] and [9], § 11). We obtain the following table.

Type of X	$\frac{A}{2}$	λ_1	$rac{A}{2p} + \lambda_1$
$I_{m, m'}$ $(m \ge m' \ge 1)$	$rac{m'}{2(m+m')}$	$-\frac{1}{m+m'}$	$rac{m'-2p}{2p(m+m')}$
II _m $(m \ge 3)$	$rac{m-2}{4(m-1)}$	$-\frac{1}{2(m-1)}$	$\frac{m-2-2p}{4p(m-1)}$
$III_m (m \ge 2)$	$rac{m+2}{4(m+1)}$	$-\frac{1}{m+1}$	$\frac{m+2-4p}{4p(m+1)}$
$IV_m (m \ge 3)$	$\frac{1}{m}$	$-\frac{1}{m}$	
V	$-\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{12}$	$\frac{2-p}{12p}$
VI	$\frac{1}{6}$	$-\frac{1}{18}$	$\frac{3-p}{18p}$

Therefore $H^p_{\mathfrak{g}}(\xi)$ is positive difinite in the following cases :

Type I
$$\frac{m'}{2} > p$$
;Type II $\frac{m-2}{2} > p$;Type III $\frac{m+2}{4} > p$;Type V, $p = 1$;Type VI, $p = 1, 2$.

But we have proved in [9] that, except in the case of type $I_{m,1}$, the first Betti number of M vanishes. On the other hand, the first Betti number of a compact, simply connected symmetric space vanishes. From

16

Theorem 1 and from the above results follows Theorem 2 stated in the introduction.

§ 10. It is known that, for any simply connected compact Hermitian symmetric space X_u , we have $b_{2q+1}(X_u) = 0$ and $b_{2q}(X_u) = h_{q,q}(X_u)$, where $h_{r,s}(X_u)$ denotes the dimension of the complex vector space of all harmonic forms of type (r, s) on X_u [5]. Moreover, if X_u is irreducible, we have $b_2(X_u) = h_{1,1}(X_u) = 1$. The argument used in proving the inequality $b_p(M) \ge b_p(X_u)$ shows that $h_{r,s}(M) \ge h_{r,s}(X_u)$. Since M is Kählerian, we have $b_p(M) = \sum_{r+s=p} h_{r,s}(M)$. Therefore, if $b_p(M) = b_p(X_u)$, we must have $b_p(M) = 0$ for odd p and $b_p(M) = h_{q,q}(M)$ for p = 2q. In particular, if $b_2(M) = b_2(X_u)$ and if X_u (therefore X) is irreducible, we have $b_2(M) = h_{1,1}(M) = 1$. From Theorem 2 we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 3. Let X be an irreducible symmetric bounded domain of one of the following types: $I_{m,m'}(m \ge m' \ge 6)$, $II_m(m \ge 7)$, $III_m(m \ge 7)$, VI. Then we have

$$b_1(M) = 0$$
, $b_2(M) = h_{1,1}(M) = 1$.

We apply this result to the classification of automorphic factors. Let X be a symmetric bounded domain and let Γ be a discontinuous group on X without element of finite order different from the identity and with compact quotient space X/Γ . An automorphic factor k (with respect to Γ) is a mapping of $X \times \Gamma$ into C^* such that

$$k(z, \gamma \delta) = k(z\gamma, \delta)k(z, \gamma)$$

for any $z \in X$, γ , $\delta \in \Gamma$ and that $k(z, \gamma)$ is holomorphic in z. If k and k' are automorphic factors, so are the mappings $(z, \gamma) \rightarrow k(z, \gamma) \cdot k'(z, \gamma)$ and $(z, \gamma) \rightarrow k(z, \gamma)^{-1}$. Two automorphic factors k and k' are equivalent $(k \sim k')$, if there exists a non-vanishing holomorphic function f on X such that

$$k'(z, \gamma) = k(z, \gamma) f(z) f(z \gamma)^{-1}$$

for any $(z, \gamma) \in X \times \Gamma$.

The equivalence classes of automorphic factors form a group F with respect to the multiplication defined above.

Now, given an automorphic factor $k(z, \gamma)$, we can define a complex line bundle E_k over the complex manifolds X/Γ as follows. E_k is the quotient of $X \times C$ by the equivalence relation: $(z, \xi) \sim (z\gamma, k(z, \gamma) \cdot \xi)$. It is known that the two line bundle E_k and $E_{k'}$ over X/Γ are isomorphic if and only if the automorphic factor k and k' are equivalent. A line bundle E over X/Γ is defined by an automorphic factor in the above way if and only if the induced bundle $\rho^* E$ over X is analytically trivial, where ρ denotes the projection of X onto X/Γ . (For these facts on line bundles, cf. [11]). Now, since X is a homogeneous bounded domain in C^n , it is a domain of holomorphy by a theorem of Thullen and hence a Stein manifold. Moreover X is homeomorphic to a euclidean space. It follows then from the fundamental theorem (Theorem B) on Stein manifolds (see [6]) that every complex line bundle over X is analytically trivial (this is also a special case of a more general result of Grauert). Therefore every complex line bundle over X/Γ is defined by an automorphic factor and the group of equivalence classes of complex line bundles over X/Γ is isomorphic to the group F.

Consider now the exact sequence of sheaves over X/Γ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O} \rightarrow \mathfrak{O}^* \rightarrow 0$$
,

where Z, \mathfrak{O} , \mathfrak{O}^* denote respectively the constant sheaf isomorphic to the additive group of integers, the sheaf of germs of holomorphic functions and the sheaf of germs of non-vanishing holomorphic functions on X/Γ (cf. [7]). We get the exact sequence of cohomologies:

$$\to H^1(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{Q}) \to H^1(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{Q}^*) \to H^2(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{Q}) \to H^2(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{Q})$$

Suppose now that $b_1(X/\Gamma) = 0$ and $b_2(X/\Gamma) = h_{1,1}(X/\Gamma) = 1$. Since X/Γ is Kählerian, the dimensions of the complex vector spaces $H^1(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O})$ and $H^{2}(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O})$ equal $h_{0,1}(X/\Gamma)$ and $h_{0,2}(X/\Gamma)$ respectively by a theorem of Dolbeault (see [7]). It follows then that $H^{1}(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O}) = H^{2}(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O}) = (0)$ and hence $H^1(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O}^*) \simeq H^2(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$. The group $H^1(X/\Gamma, \mathfrak{O}^*)$ is identified with the group of equivalence classes of complex line bundles over X/Γ and hence isomorphic to **F**. Thus $F \simeq H^2(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{Z})$. Since $b_2(X/\Gamma) = 1$, it follows that the group F is a direct product of an infinite cyclic subgroup F_1 and a finite subgroup F_2 . For an automorphic factor k we denote by [k] the equivalence class containing k. Let $[k] \in F_2$. Then there exists an integer $m \ge 0$ and a non-vanishing holomorphic function f on X such that $k(z, \gamma)^m = f(z) \cdot f(z \cdot \gamma)^{-1}$ for any $(z, \gamma) \in X \times \Gamma$. Since X is simply connected and $f(z) \neq 0$ for any $z \in X$, we can find a holomorphic function h on X such that $h(z)^m = f(z)$ for any $z \in X$. Let $\chi(z, \gamma) = k(z, \gamma) \cdot h(z)^{-1} \cdot h(z \cdot \gamma)$. Then $k \sim \chi$ and $\chi(z, \gamma)^m = 1$. It follows that $\chi(z, \gamma)$ is independent of z and hence $\chi(z, \gamma) = \chi(\gamma)$ is a 1-dimensional unitary representation on Γ , i.e. a character of the finite abelian group Γ/Γ' , Γ' denoting the commutator group of Γ (note that Γ/Γ' is finite, because $b_1(X/\Gamma)=0$). Thus each equivalence class in F_2 contains a character of Γ/Γ' . Conversely an equivalence class containing a character of Γ/Γ' is clearly of finite order. Now let χ_1 and χ_2 be two characters contained in one and the

same equivalence class and let $\chi = \chi_1 \cdot \chi_2^{-i}$. Then there exists a nonvanishing holomorphic function f on X such that $f(z \cdot \gamma) = \chi(\gamma) \cdot f(z)$ for any $(z, \gamma) \in X \times \Gamma$. Then $d \log f$ is a holomorphic 1-form on X invariant by Γ and hence it defines a holomorphic 1-form on X/Γ . Since $b_1(X/\Gamma)$ =0, we must have $d \log f = 0$. Then f is a constant and hence $\chi = 1$ and we get $\chi_1 = \chi_2$. Thus each equivalence class of finite order contains one and only one character of Γ/Γ' . Therefore F_2 is isomorphic to the character group of the finite abelian group Γ/Γ' .

Let k_0 be an automorphic factor such that $[k_0]$ is a generator of the infinite cyclic group F_1 . For each automorphic factor k, there exist an integer n and a character χ of Γ/Γ' such that [k] is written uniquely in the form:

$$[k] = [k_0^n] \cdot [\mathcal{X}].$$

Combined with Theorem 3 we get

Theorem 4. Let X be an irreducible symmetric bounded domain of one of the following types: $I_{m,m'}(m \ge m' \ge 6)$, $II_m(m \ge 7)$, $III_m(m \ge 7)$, VI. Let Γ be a discrete subgroup of the identity component of the automorphism group of X. Suppose that the quotient space X/Γ is compact and that Γ contains no elements of finite order different from the identity. Then the group **F** of equivalence classes of automorphic factors is direct product of an infinite cyclic group and a finite abelian group, the latter being isomorphic to the character group of the finite abelian group Γ/Γ' , where Γ' denotes the commutator group of Γ . Therefore there exists an automorphic factor k_0 with the following property: For each automorphic factor k, there exist a unique character X of Γ/Γ' and a unique integer n such that $k \sim k_0^n \cdot X$.

It will be an interesting problem to determine a "standard" k_0 for each type of X.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

(Received November 27, 1961)

Bibliography

- [2] E. Calabi and E. Vesentini: On compact, locally symmetric Kähler manifolds, Ann. of Math. 71(1960), 472-507.
- [3] E. Cartan: La théorie des groupes finis et continus et l'analysis situs, Mém. Sci. Math., Gauthier-Villar, Paris, Nouveau tirage (1952),

^[1] A. Borel: On the curvature tensor of the hermitian symmetric manifolds, Ann. of Math. 71 (1960), 508-521.

Y. Matsushima

- [4] E. Cartan: Sur les invariants intégraux de certains espaces homogènes clos et les propriétés topologiques de ces espaces, Oeuvres complètes, Partie I, Vol. 2, 1081-1125.
- [5] E. Cartan: Sur les propriétés topologiques des quadriques complexes, Oeuvres complètes, Partie I, Vol. 2, 1227-1246.
- [6] H. Cartan: Variétés analytiques complexes et cohomologie, Colloque sur les fonctions de plusieurs variables, Centre belge de Recherches mathématiques, (1953) 41-45.
- [7] F. Hirzebruch: Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie, Ergebnisse der Math., Springer (1956).
- [8] F. Hirzebruch: Automorphe Formen und der Satz von Riemann-Roch, Symposium inter. de topologia., Univ. de Mexico 1958, 129-143.
- [9] Y. Matsushima: On the first Betti number of compact quotient spaces of higher-dimensional symmetric spaces, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 312-330.
- [10] K. Nomizu: Invariant affine connections on homogeneous spaces, Amer. J. Math. 76 (1954), 33-65.
- [11] S. Nakano: An example of deformations of complex analytic bundles, Memoirs of the College of Sci., Univ. Kyoto 31 (1958), 181-190.
- [12] A. Weil: On discrete subgroups of Lie groups, II, Ann. of Math. 75 (1962), 578-602.
- Added in Proof.
- [13] Y. Matsushima and S. Murakami: On vector bundle valued harmonic forms and automorphic forms on symmetric riemannian manifolds, to appear.