



Title	A proof of H. Kumano-go--Taniguchi theorem for multi-products of Fourier integral operators
Author(s)	Kumano-go, Naoto
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1998, 35(2), p. 357-380
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5740">https://doi.org/10.18910/5740</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# A PROOF OF H. KUMANO-GO–TANIGUCHI THEOREM FOR MULTI-PRODUCTS OF FOURIER INTEGRAL OPERATORS

NAOTO KUMANO-GO

(Received February 25, 1997)

## 0. Introduction

We denote the Fourier integral operators on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with phase function  $\phi_j$  and symbol  $p_j \in S_\rho^{m_j}$  by  $I(\phi_j, p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L + 1$ . If all the canonical maps  $\omega_j$  associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  are sufficiently close to the identity, the composite canonical map  $\omega_{L+1}\omega_L \cdots \omega_1$  is also near the identity. Moreover, we have

$$(0.1) \quad I(\phi, q) = I(\phi_{L+1}, p_{L+1})I(\phi_L, p_L) \cdots I(\phi_1, p_1),$$

for some phase function  $\phi$  and some symbol  $q \in S_\rho^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}$  (cf. L. Hörmander [6]). Here the correspondence of the symbols  $(p_{L+1}, p_L, \dots, p_1) \rightarrow q$  is multi-linear. In [9], [10] and [12], H. Kumano-go–Taniguchi theorem gives the following estimate for the symbol  $q$ ; that is, for any non-negative integers  $l, l'$ , there exist a positive constant  $C_{l, l'}$  and positive integers  $l_1, l'_1$  such that

$$(0.2) \quad |q|_{l, l'}^{(\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j)} \leq (C_{l, l'})^L \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} |p_j|_{l_1, l'_1}^{(m_j)},$$

where  $|\cdot|_{l, l'}^{(m)}$  denotes the semi-norm of  $S_\rho^m$ .

This estimate is useful in the calculus of Fourier integral operators. In [9], [10] and [12], this estimate was applied to construct a fundamental solution for hyperbolic systems. Slight modification of this estimate was applied to construct a fundamental solution for Schrödinger equations (cf. D. Fujiwara [1]–[4], H. Kitada and H. Kumano-go [8], N. Kumano-go [11]). However, in their proofs, they used the inverse of the Fourier integral operators whose symbols are equal to 1. Therefore, the canonical maps associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  must be very close to the identity. Recently, in [5], D. Fujiwara, N. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi have given a more direct proof and relaxed the condition for the canonical maps associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  in the case for Schrödinger equations. However they are not successful in the original case for hyperbolic systems. The aim of this paper is to give a proof similar to theirs and to relax the condition for the canonical maps associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  in the original case for hyperbolic systems.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The author expresses his sincere gratitude to Professor Kiyoomi Kataoka and Professor Hikosaburo Komatsu for helpful discussions and continuous encouragement. Special thanks go to Professor Daisuke Fujiwara and Professor Kazuo Taniguchi for stimulating discussions. He also would like to thank the referee whose helpful criticism of the manuscript resulted in a number of improvements.

## 1. Statement of results

In order to state our main theorems, we recall some definitions for Fourier integral operator in H. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi [9], [10] and [12].

**DEFINITION 1.1.** Let  $m \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $1/2 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . We say that a  $C^\infty$ -function  $p(x, \xi)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_x^n \times \mathbf{R}_\xi^n$  belongs to the class of symbols  $\mathbf{S}_\rho^m$ , if, for any  $\alpha, \beta$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha, \beta}$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)| \leq C_{\alpha, \beta} \langle \xi \rangle^{m+(1-\rho)|\beta|-\rho|\alpha|},$$

where  $\langle \xi \rangle = \sqrt{1 + |\xi|^2}$ .

**REMARK.** For  $p \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^m$ , we define semi-norms  $|p|_{l, l'}^{(m)}$ ,  $l, l' = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  by

$$(1.2) \quad |p|_{l, l'}^{(m)} = \max_{|\alpha| \leq l, |\beta| \leq l'} \sup_{(x, \xi)} \frac{|\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha p(x, \xi)|}{\langle \xi \rangle^{m+(1-\rho)|\beta|-\rho|\alpha|}}.$$

Then  $\mathbf{S}_\rho^m$  is a Fréchet space with these semi-norms.

**DEFINITION 1.2.** Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants and  $t > 0$ . We say that a real-valued  $C^\infty$ -function  $\phi(x, \xi)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_x^n \times \mathbf{R}_\xi^n$  belongs to the class of phase functions  $\mathbf{P}_\rho(t, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , if  $\phi(x, \xi)$  satisfies the following:

$$(1.3) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \phi(x, \xi)| \leq \kappa_{|\alpha+\beta|} t \langle \xi \rangle^{1-|\alpha|} \quad (|\alpha + \beta| \leq 1),$$

$$(1.4) \quad |\partial_x^\beta \partial_\xi^\alpha \phi(x, \xi)| \leq \kappa_{|\alpha+\beta|} t \langle \xi \rangle^{2\rho-1+(1-\rho)|\beta|-\rho|\alpha|} \quad (|\alpha + \beta| \geq 2).$$

**REMARK.** Usually, “phase function” refers to  $(x - y)\xi + \phi(x, \xi)$  in (1.5). However, in the present paper, our phase functions will always be of the form  $(x - y)\xi + \phi(x, \xi)$ . Thus, in the present paper, we call  $\phi(x, \xi)$  “phase function”.

**DEFINITION 1.3.** Let  $\phi \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$  and  $p \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^m$ . We define the Fourier integral operator  $I(\phi, p)$  with phase function  $\phi$  and symbol  $p$  by

$$(1.5) \quad I(\phi, p)u(x) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} e^{i\{(x-y)\xi + \phi(x, \xi)\}} p(x, \xi) u(y) dy d\xi \quad (d\xi = (2\pi)^{-n} d\xi),$$

for  $u \in \mathcal{S}$ , where  $\mathcal{S}$  denotes the Schwartz class of rapidly decreasing  $C^\infty$ -functions on  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $i$  denotes  $\sqrt{-1}$ .

The integrals of the right hand side do not necessarily converge absolutely. We understand integrals of this type as oscillatory integrals (cf. H. Kumano-go [9]).

Let  $I(\phi_j, p_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L+1$  be Fourier integral operators. Then, the composite of these Fourier integral operators is given by

$$(1.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & I(\phi_{L+1}, p_{L+1})I(\phi_L, p_L) \cdots I(\phi_1, p_1)u(x_{L+1}) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2n}} e^{i(x_{L+1} - x_0)\xi_0} K(x_{L+1}, \xi_0)u(x_0)dx_0 d\xi_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad K(x_{L+1}, \xi_0) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2nL}} e^{i\Phi} \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} p_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}) \prod_{j=1}^L dx_j d\xi_j,$$

and

$$(1.8) \quad \Phi = \sum_{j=1}^L (x_{j+1} - x_j)(\xi_j - \xi_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \phi_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}).$$

In order to discuss the oscillatory integrals in (1.7) more generally, we will consider oscillatory integrals in the following form:

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_0) \\ &= \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2nL}} e^{i\Phi} p(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0) \prod_{j=1}^L dx_j d\xi_j, \end{aligned}$$

which is defined by the multiple symbol  $p = p(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0)$  in  $\mathbf{S}_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$ . Here,  $\mathbf{S}_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  is as follows.

**DEFINITION 1.4.** Let  $\tilde{m}_{L+1} = (m_{L+1}, m_L, \dots, m_1) \in \mathbf{R}^{L+1}$  and  $1/2 \leq \rho \leq 1$ . We say that a  $C^\infty$ -function  $p = p(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0)$  on  $\mathbf{R}^{2n(L+1)}$  belongs to the class of multiple symbols  $\mathbf{S}_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$ , if, for any  $\tilde{\alpha} = (\alpha_L, \alpha_{L-1}, \dots, \alpha_0)$  and  $\tilde{\beta} = (\beta_{L+1}, \beta_L, \dots, \beta_1)$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}}$  such that

$$(1.10) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\xi_{j-1}}^{\alpha_{j-1}} \right) p(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0) \right| \\ & \leq C_{\tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\beta}} \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta_j| - \rho|\alpha_{j-1}|}. \end{aligned}$$

## REMARK.

(1) For  $p \in S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$ , we define semi-norms  $|p|_{l,l'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})}$ ,  $l, l' = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  by

$$(1.11) \quad |p|_{l,l'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} = \max_{\substack{|\alpha_{j-1}| \leq l, \\ |\beta_j| \leq l', \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, L+1}} \sup_{\mathbf{R}^{2n(L+1)}} \frac{\left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\xi_{j-1}}^{\alpha_{j-1}} \right) p \right|}{\prod_{j=1}^{L+1} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta_j| - \rho|\alpha_{j-1}|}}.$$

Then  $S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  is a Fréchet space with these semi-norms.

(2) For  $p_j \in S_\rho^{m_j}$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L+1$ , if we set

$$(1.12) \quad p = \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} p_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}),$$

then we have  $p \in S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$ . Furthermore we have

$$(1.13) \quad |p|_{l,l'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \leq \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} |p_j|_{l,l'}^{(m_j)}.$$

Now, our first main theorem is the following:

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants and  $M \geq 0$ . Set  $T = \min\{1/(7\sqrt{n}\kappa_1), 1/(4n\kappa_2)\}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C$  such that*

$$(1.14) \quad |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_0)| \leq C^L |p|_{l_0, l'_0}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j},$$

for  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} |m_j| \leq M$ ,  $p \in S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , where  $l_0 = n+1$ ,  $l'_0 = [2M] + 2n + 1$ , and the positive constant  $C$  depends only on  $M$ ,  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  and  $n$ , not  $L$ .

In order to state our second main theorem, we state the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.6.** *Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants.*

(1) *Assume that  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq 1/(4n\kappa_2)$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L+1$ . Then, for  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ , the equations*

$$(1.15) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = -(x_j - x_{j+1}) + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}(x_{j+1}, \xi_j), \\ 0 = -(\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}) + \partial_{x_j} \phi_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}), \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, L, \quad x_{L+1} = x, \quad \xi_0 = \xi, \end{cases}$$

have a unique solution  $\{x_j, \xi_j\}_{j=1}^L = \{x_j^*, \xi_j^*\}_{j=1}^L(x, \xi)$ .  
(2) Let  $\Phi^*$  be the function defined by

$$(1.16) \quad \Phi^*(x, \xi) = \sum_{j=1}^L (x_{j+1}^* - x_j^*)(\xi_j^* - \xi_0^*) + \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \phi_j(x_j^*, \xi_{j-1}^*),$$

with  $x_{L+1}^* = x$  and  $\xi_0^* = \xi$ .

Then there exists an increasing sequence of positive constants  $\{\kappa'_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  such that

$$(1.17) \quad \Phi^* \in \mathbf{P}_\rho \left( \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j, \{\kappa'_l\}_{l=0}^\infty \right),$$

for  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq 1/(4n\kappa_2)$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , where the increasing sequence of positive constants  $\{\kappa'_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  depends only on  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  and  $n$ , not  $L$ .

Our second main theorem is the following:

**Theorem 1.7.** Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants and  $M \geq 0$ . Set  $T = \min\{1/(7\sqrt{n}\kappa_1), 1/(4n\kappa_2)\}$ .

(1) For  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , set

$$(1.18) \quad q(x_{L+1}, \xi_0) = e^{-i\Phi^*(x_{L+1}, \xi_0)} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_0).$$

Then we have  $q \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}$ .

(1) For any non-negative integers  $l, l'$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{l,l'}$  such that

$$(1.19) \quad |q|_{l,l'}^{(\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j)} \leq (C_{l,l'})^L |p|_{l_1, l_1'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})},$$

for  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} |m_j| \leq M$ ,  $p \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , where  $l_1 = n + 1 + 2l + 2l'$ ,  $l_1' = [2M] + 2n + 1 + 2l + 3l'$ , and the positive constant  $C_{l,l'}$  depends only on  $M$ ,  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  and  $n$ , not  $L$ .

From the theorem above, we can relax the condition for the canonical maps associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  of H. Kumano-go-Taniguchi theorem in the following form.

**Theorem 1.8.** Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants and  $M \geq 0$ . Set  $T = \min\{1/(7\sqrt{n}\kappa_1), 1/(4n\kappa_2)\}$ .

(1) For  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $p_j \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^{m_j}$  and  $\phi_j \in \mathbf{P}_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , there exists a symbol  $q \in \mathbf{S}_\rho^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}$  such that

$$(1.20) \quad I(\Phi^*, q) = I(\phi_{L+1}, p_{L+1})I(\phi_L, p_L) \dots I(\phi_1, p_1).$$

(1) *For any non-negative integers  $l, l'$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{l,l'}$  such that*

$$(1.21) \quad |q|_{l,l'}^{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j\right)} \leq (C_{l,l'})^L \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} |p_j|_{l_1, l'_1}^{(m_j)},$$

*for  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} |m_j| \leq M$ ,  $p_j \in S_\rho^{m_j}$  and  $\phi_j \in P_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ , where  $l_1 = n + 1 + 2l + 2l'$ ,  $l'_1 = [2M] + 2n + 1 + 2l + 3l'$ , and the positive constant  $C_{l,l'}$  depends only on  $M$ ,  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  and  $n$ , not  $L$ .*

**REMARK.** The condition  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$  implies how close to the identity the canonical maps associated with phase functions  $\phi_j$  need to be. In our proof, the right hand side  $T$  of this inequality depends only on  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  and  $n$ . However, in the original proof,  $T$  depends on  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_k$  and  $n$ , with some large integer  $k > 2$  depending on  $n$ . Moreover,  $T$  must be chosen very small. Therefore, the canonical maps with phase functions  $\phi_j$  must be very close to the identity.

## 2. Some Lemmas

In this section, we state two important lemmas needed later. First lemma is found in H. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi [9], [10].

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $A = (a_{jk})$  be an  $L \times L$  real matrix. If there exists a positive constant  $0 \leq c < 1$  such that*

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=1}^L |a_{jk}| \leq c,$$

*for any  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , then we have*

$$(2.2) \quad (1 - c)^L \leq \det(I_L - A) \leq (1 + c)^L,$$

*where  $I_L$  denotes the  $L \times L$  unit matrix.*

**Proof.** By induction. See Proposition 5.3 in Chapter 10 §5 of H. Kumano-go [9].  $\square$

Second lemma is slight modification of Proposition 3.3 in D. Fujiwara, N. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi [5].

Let  $N$  and  $L$  be positive integers and  $x \in \mathbf{R}^N$ . For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L + 1$ , let  $P_j$  be

the first-order partial differential operator with smooth coefficients given by

$$(2.3) \quad P_j = \sum_{\beta_j \leq \gamma_j, |\beta_j| \leq 1} a_{j,\beta_j}(x) \partial_x^{\beta_j},$$

where  $\gamma_j \in \{0, 1\}^N \subset N_0^N$  and  $a_{j,\beta_j}(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ . Furthermore, we assume the following properties:

1° There exists a positive integer  $\Gamma$  independent of  $N$  and of  $L$  such that

$$(2.4) \quad |\gamma_j| \leq \Gamma,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L + 1$ .

2° There exists a positive integer  $K$  independent of  $N$  and of  $L$  such that

$$(2.5) \quad \#\left\{j = 1, 2, \dots, k; \partial_x^{\beta_{k+1}} a_{j,\beta_j}(x) \not\equiv 0\right\} \leq K,$$

for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, L$ ,  $\beta_j \leq \gamma_j$ ,  $|\beta_j| \leq 1$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$  and  $0 \neq \beta_{k+1} \leq \gamma_{k+1}$ . Then we get the following lemma:

**Lemma 2.2.**

(1) *The product of operators  $P_{L+1}P_L \cdots P_1$  is of the form*

$$(2.6) \quad \begin{aligned} P_{L+1}P_L \cdots P_1 &= \sum'_{\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}} \sum''_{\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}} C(\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}, \{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}) \left( \prod_{j=1}^{L+1} \partial_x^{\alpha_j} a_{j,\beta_j}(x) \right) \partial_x^{\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sum'_{\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}}$  is the summation with respect to  $\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}$  such that  $\beta_j \leq \gamma_j$  and  $|\beta_j| \leq 1$  for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L + 1$ ,  $\sum''_{\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}}$  is the summation with respect to  $\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}$  such that  $\sum_{j=0}^{L+1} \alpha_j = \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \beta_j$  and  $\alpha_{L+1} = 0$ , and  $C(\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}, \{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1})$  is a non-negative integer.

(2) *Furthermore, there exists a positive integer  $C$  independent of  $N$  and of  $L$  such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum'_{\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}} \sum''_{\{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}} C(\{\beta_j\}_{j=1}^{L+1}, \{\alpha_j\}_{j=0}^{L+1}) \leq C^{L+1}.$$

We can choose  $C \leq (1 + \Gamma(K + 1))$ .

Proof. By induction. Proposition 3.3 in D. Fujiwara, N. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi [5].  $\square$

### 3. Proof of Theorem 1.5

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.5.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.**

1°. From (1.8), for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , we have

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi &= -(x_j - x_{j+1}) + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}(x_{j+1}, \xi_j), \\ \partial_{x_j} \Phi &= -(\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}) + \partial_{x_j} \phi_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Set

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} M_j &= \frac{1 - i\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}\partial_{\xi_j}}{1 + |\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)|^2}, \\ N_j &= \frac{1 - i\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}\partial_{x_j}}{1 + |\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)|^2}. \end{aligned}$$

We denote the adjoint operators of  $M_j$  and of  $N_j$  respectively by  $M_j^*$  and by  $N_j^*$ . Then we can write

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} M_j^* &= a_j^1(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j)\partial_{\xi_j} + a_j^0(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j), \\ N_j^* &= b_j^1(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1})\partial_{x_j} + b_j^0(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} a_j^1(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j) &= \frac{i\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)}{1 + |\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)|^2} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}, \\ a_j^0(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j) &= \frac{1}{1 + |\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)|^2} \\ &\quad + \partial_{\xi_j} \left( \frac{i\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)}{1 + |\langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2}(\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi)|^2} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} b_j^1(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1}) &= \frac{i\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)}{1 + |\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)|^2} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}, \\ b_j^0(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1}) &= \frac{1}{1 + |\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)|^2} \\ &\quad + \partial_{x_j} \left( \frac{i\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)}{1 + |\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2}(\partial_{x_j} \Phi)|^2} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

2°. We note the formula  $\langle \xi + \eta \rangle \leq |\eta| + \langle \xi \rangle$ . Then, when  $|\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| \leq (1/2)\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle$ , we have

$$(3.6) \quad 2^{-1}\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle \leq \langle \xi_j \rangle \leq 2\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle.$$

And when  $|\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| > (1/2)\langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle$ , we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi| &\geq |\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| - \sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle \\ &\geq (1 - 2\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j) |\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| \\ &\geq (1 - 2\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 T) 3^{-1} \langle \xi_j \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.6) and (3.7), we get the following estimates for derivatives of  $b_j^1$  and  $b_j^0$ : For any  $\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{j-1}$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{j-1}}$  independent of  $j$  such that

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_j} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\xi_{j-1}}^{\alpha_{j-1}} b_j^1(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1})| &\leq C_{\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{j-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \langle \xi_j \rangle^{-|\alpha_j|/2} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1/2 + |\beta_j|/2 - |\alpha_{j-1}|/2}, \\ |\partial_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_j} \partial_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\xi_{j-1}}^{\alpha_{j-1}} b_j^0(\xi_j, x_j, \xi_{j-1})| &\leq C_{\alpha_j, \beta_j, \alpha_{j-1}} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{1/2}} \\ &\quad \times \langle \xi_j \rangle^{-|\alpha_j|/2} \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{|\beta_j|/2 - |\alpha_{j-1}|/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we get the following estimates for derivatives of  $a_j^1$  and  $a_j^0$ : For any  $\alpha_j$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha_j}$  independent of  $j$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_j} a_j^1(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j)| &\leq C_{\alpha_j} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_j \rangle |\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi|^2)^{1/2}} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{1/2 - |\alpha_j|/2}, \\ |\partial_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_j} a_j^0(x_{j+1}, \xi_j, x_j)| &\leq C_{\alpha_j} \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_j \rangle |\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi|^2)^{1/2}} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{-|\alpha_j|/2}. \end{aligned}$$

3°. We take  $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$  such that

$$(3.10) \quad 0 \leq \chi \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \chi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & (|x| \leq 1/3) \\ 0 & (|x| \geq 1/2) \end{cases}.$$

For simplicity, when  $k > k'$ , we set  $\prod_{j=k}^{k'} \dots = 1$ .

For  $R = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 = j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1} = L + 1$ , let

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} &= \prod_{r=1}^{R+1} \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r-1} \chi\left((\xi_j - \xi_{j_{r-1}})/\langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle\right) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{r=1}^R \left(1 - \chi\left((\xi_{j_r} - \xi_{j_{r-1}})/\langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle\right)\right). \end{aligned}$$

We divide  $\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)$  into  $2^L$  terms as follows:

$$(3.12) \quad \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p) = \sum_{R=0}^L \sum_{0=j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1}=L+1} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p).$$

4°. We consider  $\mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p)$ . Set  $J = [2M] + 2n + 1$ . Integrating by parts, we have

$$(3.13) \quad \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) = \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ),$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ = (M_L^*)^{n+1} (M_{L-1}^*)^{n+1} \cdots (M_1^*)^{n+1} \\ \circ (N_L^*)^J (N_{L-1}^*)^J \cdots (N_1^*)^J \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.2, there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$|p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ| \leq (C_1)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{m_1} \\ \times \prod_{r=1}^{R+1} \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_j \rangle |\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{J/2}} \langle \xi_j \rangle^{m_{j+1}} \right\} \\ (3.15) \quad \times \prod_{r=1}^R \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle |\partial_{\xi_{j_r}} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_r-1} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_{j_r}} \Phi|^2)^{J/2}} \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{m_{j_r+1}} \right\}.$$

5°. For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R+1$  and  $j = j_{r-1} + 1, j_{r-1} + 2, \dots, j_r - 1$ , we note that

$$(3.16) \quad |\xi_j - \xi_{j_{r-1}}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle,$$

on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ$ . Using the formula  $\langle \xi + \eta \rangle \leq |\eta| + \langle \xi \rangle$ , we have

$$(3.17) \quad 2^{-1} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle \leq \langle \xi_j \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle,$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R+1$  and  $j = j_{r-1} + 1, j_{r-1} + 2, \dots, j_r - 1$  on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ$ . Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $C_2$  such that

$$|p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ| \leq (C_2)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j} \\ \times \prod_{j=j_R+1}^L \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle |\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{J/2}} \right\} \\ \times \prod_{r=1}^R \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle |\partial_{\xi_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{(J-2M)/4}} \right\} \\ \times \prod_{r=1}^R \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle |\partial_{\xi_{j_r}} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^R \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{-(J-2M)/4} \\ (3.18) \quad \times \prod_{r=1}^R \frac{\langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{\sum_{j=j_r+1}^{j_{r+1}} m_j + (J-2M)/4} \lambda_r(\xi_0)}{\prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} (1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{M+(J-2M)/4}},$$

where  $\lambda_1(\xi_0) = \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-\sum_{j=j_1+1}^{L+1} m_j}$  and  $\lambda_r(\xi_0) = 1$  for  $r \neq 1$ .  
 $6^\circ$ . For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R$ , we note that

$$(3.19) \quad |\xi_{j_r} - \xi_{j_{r-1}}| \geq \frac{1}{3} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle,$$

on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ$ . Using the formula  $\langle \xi + \eta \rangle \leq |\eta| + \langle \xi \rangle$ , we have

$$(3.20) \quad |\xi_{j_r} - \xi_{j_{r-1}}| \geq \frac{1}{4} \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle,$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R$  on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ$ . Furthermore, noting (3.17) and (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.21) \quad & \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} (1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{1/2} \\ & \geq 2^{-(j_r - j_{r-1})/2} \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} (1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1/2} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|) \\ & \geq 2^{-(j_r - j_{r-1})/2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1/2} \sum_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi| \\ & \geq 2^{-(j_r - j_{r-1})/2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1/2} \sum_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} (|\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| - \sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle) \\ & \geq 2^{-(j_r - j_{r-1})/2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1/2} \sum_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r} (|\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}| - 2\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle) \\ & \geq 2^{-(j_r - j_{r-1})/2} 3^{-1/2} (1 - 6\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 T) |\xi_{j_r} - \xi_{j_{r-1}}|^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R$  on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ$ .

Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $C_3$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} |p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^\circ| & \leq (C_3)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j} \\ & \times \prod_{j=j_R+1}^L \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{J/2}} \right\} \\ & \times \prod_{r=1}^R \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r-1} \left\{ \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{(J-2M)/4}} \right\} \\ (3.22) \quad & \times \prod_{r=1}^R \frac{1}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle |\partial_{x_j} \Phi|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \prod_{r=1}^R \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{-(J-2M)/4}. \end{aligned}$$

7°. For  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R + 1$  and  $j = j_{r-1} + 1, j_{r-1} + 2, \dots, j_r - 1$ , let

$$(3.23) \quad \begin{aligned} z_j &= \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi = -(x_j - x_{j+1}) + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}(x_{j+1}, \xi_j), \\ \zeta_j &= \partial_{x_j} \Phi = -(\xi_j - \xi_{j-1}) + \partial_{x_j} \phi_j(x_j, \xi_{j-1}). \end{aligned}$$

For simplicity, we set  $k = j_{r-1} + 1$ ,  $k' = j_r - 1$  and

$$(3.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{x}_{k,k'} &= (x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_{k'}), & \tilde{\xi}_{k,k'} &= (\xi_k, \xi_{k+1}, \dots, \xi_{k'}), \\ \tilde{z}_{k,k'} &= (z_k, z_{k+1}, \dots, z_{k'}), & \tilde{\zeta}_{k,k'} &= (\zeta_k, \zeta_{k+1}, \dots, \zeta_{k'}). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(3.25) \quad \frac{\partial(\tilde{z}_{k,k'}, \tilde{\zeta}_{k,k'})}{\partial(\tilde{x}_{k,k'}, \tilde{\xi}_{k,k'})} = - \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{k'-k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t \Delta_{k'-k+1} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{k,k'}^1 & \Lambda_{k,k'}^2 \\ \Lambda_{k,k'}^3 & \Lambda_{k,k'}^4 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $\Delta_{k'-k+1}$ ,  $\Lambda_{k,k'}^1$ ,  $\Lambda_{k,k'}^2$ ,  $\Lambda_{k,k'}^3$  and  $\Lambda_{k,k'}^4$  are  $(n(k' - k + 1)) \times (n(k' - k + 1))$  matrices defined by

$$(3.26) \quad \Delta_{k'-k+1} = \begin{pmatrix} I_n & -I_n & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & I_n & -I_n & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & I_n & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & -I_n \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.27) \quad \Lambda_{k,k'}^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \partial_{x_{k+1}} \partial_{\xi_k} \phi_{k+1} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{x_{k+2}} \partial_{\xi_{k+1}} \phi_{k+2} & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \partial_{x_{k'}} \partial_{\xi_{k'-1}} \phi_{k'} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.28) \quad \Lambda_{k,k'}^2 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\xi_k}^2 \phi_{k+1} & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_{\xi_{k+1}}^2 \phi_{k+2} & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{\xi_{k+2}}^2 \phi_{k+3} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \partial_{\xi_{k'}}^2 \phi_{k'+1} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$(3.29) \quad \Lambda_{k,k'}^3 = \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{x_k}^2 \phi_k & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \partial_{x_{k+1}}^2 \phi_{k+1} & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \partial_{x_{k+2}}^2 \phi_{k+2} & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & \partial_{x_{k'}}^2 \phi_{k'} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(3.30) \quad \Lambda_{k,k'}^4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \partial_{\xi_k} \partial_{x_{k+1}} \phi_{k+1} & 0 & 0 & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \partial_{\xi_{k+1}} \partial_{x_{k+2}} \phi_{k+2} & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \partial_{\xi_{k'-1}} \partial_{x_k} \phi_{k'} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Furthermore, we can write

$$(3.31) \quad \det \frac{\partial(\tilde{z}_{k,k'}, \tilde{\zeta}_{k,k'})}{\partial(\tilde{x}_{k,k'}, \tilde{\xi}_{k,k'})} = (-1)^{2n(k'-k+1)} \det \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{k'-k+1} & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t \Delta_{k'-k+1} \end{pmatrix} \\ \times \det \left\{ I_{2n(k'-k+1)} - \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{k,k'}^5 & \Lambda_{k,k'}^6 \\ \Lambda_{k,k'}^7 & \Lambda_{k,k'}^8 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

where

$$(3.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \Lambda_{k,k'}^5 &= (\Delta_{k'-k+1})^{-1} \Lambda_{k,k'}^1, \\ \Lambda_{k,k'}^6 &= \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle \cdot (\Delta_{k'-k+1})^{-1} \Lambda_{k,k'}^2, \\ \Lambda_{k,k'}^7 &= \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} \cdot ({}^t \Delta_{k'-k+1})^{-1} \Lambda_{k,k'}^3, \\ \Lambda_{k,k'}^8 &= ({}^t \Delta_{k'-k+1})^{-1} \Lambda_{k,k'}^4. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 2.1 and (3.17), we have

$$(3.33) \quad \begin{aligned} &(1 - 3n\kappa_2 T)^{2n(j_r - j_{r-1} - 1)} \\ &\leq \det \frac{\partial(\tilde{z}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1})}{\partial(\tilde{x}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\xi}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1})} \\ &\leq (1 + 3n\kappa_2 T)^{2n(j_r - j_{r-1} - 1)}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R+1$  on the support of  $p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^o$ .

Therefore, there exists a positive constant  $C_4$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left| p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^o \prod_{r=1}^{R+1} \det \frac{\partial(\tilde{x}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\xi}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1})}{\partial(\tilde{z}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1})} \right| \leq (C_4)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j} \\
 & \times \prod_{j=j_R+1}^L \left\{ \frac{\langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle^{n/2}}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle |z_j|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{\langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle^{-n/2}}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_R} \rangle^{-1} |\zeta_j|^2)^{J/2}} \right\} \\
 & \times \prod_{r=1}^R \prod_{j=j_{r-1}+1}^{j_r-1} \left\{ \frac{\langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{n/2}}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle |z_j|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \cdot \frac{\langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-n/2}}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle^{-1} |\zeta_j|^2)^{(J-2M)/4}} \right\} \\
 & \times \prod_{r=1}^R \frac{\langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{n/2}}{(1 + \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle |x_{j_r} - x_{j_r+1} - \partial_{\xi_{j_r}} \phi_{j_r+1}(x_{j_r+1}, \xi_{j_r})|^2)^{(n+1)/2}} \\
 (3.34) \quad & \times \prod_{r=1}^R \langle \xi_{j_r} \rangle^{-n/2 - (J-2M)/4}.
 \end{aligned}$$

8°. We change the variables:

$$(\tilde{x}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\xi}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}) \implies (\tilde{z}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}, \tilde{\zeta}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}),$$

for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R+1$ .

Now, for  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R$ ,  $x_{j_r+1}$  is a function depending only on  $x_{j_r+1}$ ,  $\tilde{z}_{j_r+1, j_{r+1}-1}$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_{j_r+1, j_{r+1}-1}$  and  $\xi_{j_r}$ , not  $x_{j_r}$ .

Keeping this in mind, we integrate in the following order. First we integrate by  $x_{j_1}$ ,  $x_{j_2}$ , ...,  $x_{j_R}$ . Secondly we integrate by  $\tilde{z}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}$ ,  $\tilde{\zeta}_{j_{r-1}+1, j_r-1}$ ,  $r = 1, 2, \dots, R+1$ . Thirdly we integrate by  $\xi_{j_R}$ ,  $\xi_{j_{R-1}}, \dots, \xi_{j_1}$ . Then there exists a positive constant  $C_5$  such that

$$(3.35) \quad |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^o)| \leq (C_5)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.36) \quad |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)| & \leq \sum_{R=0}^L \sum_{0=j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1}=L+1} |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}^o)| \\
 & \leq (2C_5)^L |p|_{n+1, J}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}.
 \end{aligned}
 \quad \square$$

Now, for  $R = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 = j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1} = L+1$ , set

$$(3.37) \quad E_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \xi_{L-1}, \dots, x_1, \xi_0); \\ |\xi_j - \xi_{j_{r-1}}| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle \\ (1 \leq r \leq R+1, \quad j_{r-1} + 1 \leq j \leq j_r - 1) \\ |\xi_{j_r} - \xi_{j_{r-1}}| > \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_{j_{r-1}} \rangle \\ (1 \leq r \leq R) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Looking over the proof of Theorem 1.5 once again, we can get the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $\{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty$  be an increasing sequence of positive constants and  $M \geq 0$ . Set  $T = \min\{1/(7\sqrt{n}\kappa_1), 1/(4n\kappa_2)\}$ . Then there exist positive constants  $C'$  and  $C''$  independent of  $L$  satisfying the following.*

(1) *Let  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} |m_j| \leq M$ ,  $p \in S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  and  $\phi_j \in P_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ . If  $E_0$  contains the support of  $p$ , we have*

$$(3.38) \quad |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_0)| \leq (C')^L |p|_{n+1, n+1}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j}.$$

(2) *Let  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq T$ ,  $\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} |m_j| \leq M$ ,  $p \in S_\rho^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}$  and  $\phi_j \in P_\rho(t_j, \{\kappa_l\}_{l=0}^\infty)$ . Let  $R = 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 = j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1} = L+1$ . If  $E_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}$  contains the support of  $p$ , we have*

$$(3.39) \quad |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_0)| \leq (C'')^L |p|_{l_0, l_0'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-(M - \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} \max\{0, m_j\})},$$

where  $l_0 = n+1$  and  $l_0' = [2M] + 2n + 1$ .

#### 4. Proof of Proposition 1.6

In this section, we prove Proposition 1.6.

**Proof of Proposition 1.6.**

1°. First we assume that the solution  $\{x_j^*, \xi_j^*\}_{j=1}^L$  of (1.15) exists. Then we have

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} |\xi_j^* - \xi_{j-1}^*| &\leq \sqrt{n}\kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1}^* \rangle \\ &\leq \sqrt{n}\kappa_1 t_j \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^L |\xi_k^* - \xi_{k-1}^*| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Hence we get

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_{j=1}^L |\xi_j^* - \xi_{j-1}^*| \leq \frac{\sqrt{n}\kappa_1 \sum_{j=1}^L t_j}{1 - \sqrt{n}\kappa_1 \sum_{j=1}^L t_j} \langle \xi_0 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_0 \rangle.$$

Therefore, the solution  $\{x_j^*, \xi_j^*\}_{j=1}^L$  of (1.15) satisfies

$$(4.3) \quad |\xi_j^* - \xi_0| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_0 \rangle,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ .

2°. For  $(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}) \in \mathbf{R}^{2nL}$ , we introduce the norms  $\|{}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L})\|_1^{\xi_0}$ ,  $\|{}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L})\|_1^{\xi_0}$  given by

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \|{}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L})\|_1^{\xi_0} &= \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |x_j| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |\xi_j|, \\ \|{}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L})\|_1^{\xi_0} &= \sum_{j=1}^L \{|x_j| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1} |\xi_j|\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}$  be the normed space  $(\mathbf{R}^{2nL}, \|\cdot\|_\infty^{\xi_0})$  and let  $\Omega_1^{\xi_0}$  be the normed space  $(\mathbf{R}^{2nL}, \|\cdot\|_1^{\xi_0})$ . Let  $\Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$  be the closed set of  $\Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}$  given by

$$(4.5) \quad \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0} = \left\{ (\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}) \in \Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}; \quad |\xi_j - \xi_0| \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_0 \rangle, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, L \right\}.$$

Let  $\Delta_L$  be the matrix obtained by putting  $k = 1$  and  $k' = L$  in (3.26).

For  $(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}) \in \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$ , we consider the mapping  $\mathcal{F}: (\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}) \mapsto (\tilde{y}_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}_{1,L})$  given by

$$(4.6) \quad {}^t(\tilde{y}_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}_{1,L}) = \Delta^{-1} \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_L & 0 \\ 0 & {}^t \Delta_L \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$(4.8) \quad \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \\ x_{L+1} \\ \xi_0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \partial_{\xi_1} \phi_2(x_2, \xi_1) \\ \partial_{\xi_2} \phi_3(x_3, \xi_2) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{\xi_L} \phi_{L+1}(x_{L+1}, \xi_L) \\ \partial_{x_1} \phi_1(x_1, \xi_0) \\ \partial_{x_2} \phi_2(x_2, \xi_1) \\ \vdots \\ \partial_{x_L} \phi_L(x_L, \xi_{L-1}) \end{pmatrix}.$$

From (4.5), we have

$$(4.9) \quad 2^{-1}\langle \xi_0 \rangle \leq \langle \xi_j \rangle \leq 2\langle \xi_0 \rangle,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Furthermore, from (4.6), we have

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} |\eta_j - \xi_0| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^j |\partial_{x_k} \phi_k(x_k, \xi_{k-1})| \leq \sum_{j=1}^L \sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1} \rangle \\ &\leq 2\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 \sum_{j=1}^L t_j \langle \xi_0 \rangle \leq \frac{1}{2} \langle \xi_0 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Therefore, the map  $\mathcal{F} : \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$  is well-defined.

3°. Let  $\Lambda_{1,L}^1, \Lambda_{1,L}^2, \Lambda_{1,L}^3$  and  $\Lambda_{1,L}^4$  be the matrices obtained by putting  $k = 1$  and  $k' = L$  in (3.27)–(3.30). Set

$$(4.11) \quad \Lambda(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0) = \begin{pmatrix} \Lambda_{1,L}^1 & \Lambda_{1,L}^2 \\ \Lambda_{1,L}^3 & \Lambda_{1,L}^4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

For  $(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}), (\tilde{x}'_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}'_{1,L}) \in \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$ , let

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^t(\tilde{y}_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}_{1,L}) &= \Delta^{-1} \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0), \\ {}^t(\tilde{y}'_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}'_{1,L}) &= \Delta^{-1} \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}'_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}'_{1,L}, \xi_0). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$(4.13) \quad \begin{aligned} &||{}^t(\tilde{y}'_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}'_{1,L}) - {}^t(\tilde{y}_{1,L}, \tilde{\eta}_{1,L})||_\infty^{\xi_0} \leq ||\Delta^{-1}||_{\Omega_1^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_1^{\xi_0}} \\ &\times \left\| \int_0^1 \Lambda(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L} + \theta(\tilde{x}'_{1,L} - \tilde{x}_{1,L}), \tilde{\xi}_{1,L} + \theta(\tilde{\xi}'_{1,L} - \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}), \xi_0) d\theta \right\|_{\Omega_\infty^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}} \\ &\times ||{}^t(\tilde{x}'_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}'_{1,L}) - {}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L})||_\infty^{\xi_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly we have

$$(4.14) \quad ||\Delta^{-1}||_{\Omega_1^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}} \leq 1.$$

Noting that

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \xi_j + \theta(\xi'_j - \xi_j) \rangle &\leq (1 - \theta)|\xi_j - \xi_0| + \theta|\xi'_j - \xi_0| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle, \\ \langle \xi_0 \rangle &\leq (1 - \theta)|\xi_j - \xi_0| + \theta|\xi'_j - \xi_0| + \langle \xi_j + \theta(\xi'_j - \xi_j) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$(4.16) \quad 2^{-1} \langle \xi_0 \rangle \leq \langle \xi_j + \theta(\xi'_j - \xi_j) \rangle \leq 2 \langle \xi_0 \rangle,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$ . Hence we get

$$(4.17) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 \Lambda(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L} + \theta(\tilde{x}'_{1,L} - \tilde{x}_{1,L}), \tilde{\xi}_{1,L} + \theta(\tilde{\xi}'_{1,L} - \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}), \xi_0) d\theta \right\|_{\Omega_\infty^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_1^{\xi_0}} \\ \leq 3n\kappa_2 \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j < 1.$$

By (4.13), (4.14) and (4.17),  $\mathcal{F}$  is a contraction. Hence there exists a unique solution  $\{x_j^*, \xi_j^*\}_{j=1}^L \in \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$  such that

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^* = \Delta^{-1} \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}^*, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*, \xi_0).$$

Therefore, there exists a unique solution  $\{x_j^*, \xi_j^*\}_{j=1}^L \in \Theta_\infty^{\xi_0}$  such that

$$(4.19) \quad \begin{cases} 0 = -(x_j^* - x_{j+1}^*) + \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}(x_{j+1}^*, \xi_j^*), \\ 0 = -(\xi_j^* - \xi_{j-1}^*) + \partial_{x_j} \phi_j(x_j^*, \xi_{j-1}^*), \\ j = 1, 2, \dots, L, \quad x_{L+1}^* = x_{L+1}, \quad \xi_0^* = \xi_0. \end{cases}$$

4°. Clearly, from (4.19), we have

$$(4.20) \quad |x_j^* - x_{j+1}^*| \leq \sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_{j+1}, \\ |\xi_j^* - \xi_{j-1}^*| \leq \sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_{j-1}^* \rangle \leq 2\sqrt{n} \kappa_1 t_j \langle \xi_0 \rangle,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Furthermore, for any  $\alpha_0, \beta_{L+1}$  with  $|\alpha_0 + \beta_{L+1}| \geq 1$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_{\alpha_0, \beta_{L+1}}$  such that

$$(4.21) \quad |\partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\beta_{L+1}} \partial_{\xi_0}^{\alpha_0} (x_j^* - x_{j+1}^*)| \leq C_{\alpha_0, \beta_{L+1}} t_{j+1} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-(1-\rho)+(1-\rho)|\beta_{L+1}|-\rho|\alpha_0|}, \\ |\partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\beta_{L+1}} \partial_{\xi_0}^{\alpha_0} (\xi_j^* - \xi_{j-1}^*)| \leq C_{\alpha_0, \beta_{L+1}} t_j \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\rho+(1-\rho)|\beta_{L+1}|-\rho|\alpha_0|},$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Therefore we get (1.17).  $\square$

## 5. Proof of Theorem 1.7

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.7.

Proof of Theorem 1.7.

1°. For  $R = 0, 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 = j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1} = L + 1$ , let

$$(5.1) \quad q_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} = e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p).$$

Then we have

$$(5.2) \quad q = \sum_{R=0}^L \sum_{0=j_0 < j_1 < \dots < j_R < j_{R+1} = L+1} q_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}.$$

2°. First we consider the case where  $R \neq 0$ . We can write

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_0} q_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} &= -i(\partial_{\xi_0} \Phi^*) e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) \\ &\quad + e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, i(\partial_{\xi_0} \Phi) \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) \\ &\quad + e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \partial_{\xi_0} (\chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p)). \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$(5.4) \quad \partial_{\xi_0} \Phi = -(x_{L+1} - x_1) + \partial_{\xi_0} \phi_1(x_1, \xi_0),$$

and

$$(5.5) \quad i(x_{L+1} - x_1) e^{i \sum_{j=1}^L (x_{j+1} - x_j)(\xi_j - \xi_0)} = \left( \sum_{j=1}^L \partial_{\xi_j} \right) e^{i \sum_{j=1}^L (x_{j+1} - x_j)(\xi_j - \xi_0)}.$$

Integrating by parts, we can write

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_0} q_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} &= -i(\partial_{\xi_0} \Phi^*) e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) \\ &\quad + e^{-i\Phi^*} \sum_{j=0}^L \mathbb{I}(\Phi, i(\partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}) \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) \\ &\quad + e^{-i\Phi^*} \sum_{j=0}^L \mathbb{I}(\Phi, \partial_{\xi_j} (\chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p)). \end{aligned}$$

Here we note that

$$(5.7) \quad (\partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}) \chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p, \quad \partial_{\xi_j} (\chi_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R} p) \in S_{\rho}^{\tilde{m}_{L+1}}.$$

If we apply Corollary 3.1 (2) to the right hand side of (5.6) with  $M$  in Corollary 3.1 (2) replaced by  $M + \rho$ , then we have the estimate of  $\partial_{\xi_0} q_{j_0, j_1, \dots, j_R}$ . Estimates for higher derivatives will be proved in a similar manner. It is enough to take

$$l_1 \geq n + 1 + l \text{ and } l'_1 \geq \left[ 2M + 2\rho l + 2l' \right] + 2n + 1 + l'.$$

3°. Next we consider the case where  $R = 0$ . We change the variables:

$$(5.8) \quad \begin{aligned} y_j &= x_j - x_j^*, \\ \eta_j &= \xi_j - \xi_j^*, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Then we have

$$(5.9) \quad q_0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2nL}} e^{i\Pi} a(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0) \prod_{j=1}^L dy_j d\eta_j,$$

where

$$(5.10) \quad \begin{aligned} a(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0) \\ = (\chi_0 p)(x_{L+1}, \xi_L^* + \eta_L, x_L^* + y_L, \dots, \xi_1^* + \eta_1, x_1^* + y_1, \xi_0), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} \Pi &= \Phi - \Phi^* \\ &= - \sum_{j=1}^L y_j (\eta_j - \eta_{j-1}) \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^L y_j \int_0^1 (1-\theta) (\partial_{x_j}^2 \phi_j) (x_j^* + \theta y_j, \xi_{j-1}^* + \theta \eta_{j-1}) d\theta \cdot y_j \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^L \eta_j \int_0^1 (1-\theta) (\partial_{\xi_j}^2 \phi_{j+1}) (x_{j+1}^* + \theta y_{j+1}, \xi_j^* + \theta \eta_j) d\theta \cdot \eta_j \\ &+ \sum_{j=2}^L y_j \int_0^1 (1-\theta) (\partial_{\xi_{j-1}} \partial_{x_j} \phi_j) (x_j^* + \theta y_j, \xi_{j-1}^* + \theta \eta_{j-1}) d\theta \cdot \eta_{j-1} \\ &+ \sum_{j=1}^{L-1} \eta_j \int_0^1 (1-\theta) (\partial_{x_{j+1}} \partial_{\xi_j} \phi_{j+1}) (x_{j+1}^* + \theta y_{j+1}, \xi_j^* + \theta \eta_j) d\theta \cdot y_{j+1}, \end{aligned}$$

with  $x_{L+1}^* = x_{L+1}$ ,  $\xi_0^* = \xi_0$ ,  $y_{L+1} = 0$  and  $\eta_0 = 0$ .

For any  $\alpha, \beta$ , we define  $a_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0)$  such that

$$(5.12) \quad \partial_{x_{L+1}}^\beta \partial_{\xi_0}^\alpha q_0 = \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2nL}} e^{i\Pi} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0) \prod_{j=1}^L dy_j d\eta_j.$$

Note that

$$(5.13) \quad 2^{-1}\langle \xi_0 \rangle \leq \langle \xi_j^* + \theta \eta_j \rangle \leq 2\langle \xi_0 \rangle,$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$  and  $0 \leq \theta \leq 1$  on the support of  $a_{\alpha, \beta}$ .

For any  $\alpha_0, \beta_{L+1}$  and non-negative integers  $K, K'$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_1$  such that

$$(5.14) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\beta_{L+1}} \partial_{\xi_0}^{\alpha_0} \left( \prod_{j=1}^L \partial_{y_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\eta_j}^{\alpha_j} \right) a_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0) \right| \\ & \leq (C_1)^L |p|_{|\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0+\beta_{L+1}|+K, |\alpha+\beta+\alpha_0+\beta_{L+1}|+K'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \\ & \quad \times \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta| + |\beta_{L+1}| - \rho|\alpha| + |\alpha_0| + \sum_{j=1}^L (|\beta_j|/2 - |\alpha_j|/2)} \\ & \quad \times \left( 1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |y_j| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |\eta_j| \right)^{2|\alpha+\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $|\alpha_j| \leq K$  and  $|\beta_j| \leq K'$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ .

4°. We restore the variables:

$$(5.15) \quad \begin{aligned} x_j &= y_j + x_j^*, \\ \xi_j &= \eta_j + \xi_j^*, \end{aligned}$$

for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ . Then we have

$$(5.16) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^{2nL}} e^{i\Pi} a_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \eta_L, y_L, \dots, \eta_1, y_1, \xi_0) \prod_{j=1}^L dy_j d\eta_j = e^{-i\Phi^*} \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{\alpha, \beta}),$$

where

$$(5.17) \quad \begin{aligned} & p_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0) \\ &= a_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \xi_L - \xi_L^*, x_L - x_L^*, \dots, \xi_1 - \xi_1^*, x_1 - x_1^*, \xi_0). \end{aligned}$$

For any non-negative integers  $K, K'$ , there exists a positive constant  $C_2$  such that

$$(5.18) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| \left( \prod_{j=1}^L \partial_{x_j}^{\beta_j} \partial_{\xi_j}^{\alpha_j} \right) p_{\alpha, \beta}(x_{L+1}, \xi_L, x_L, \dots, \xi_1, x_1, \xi_0) \right| \\ & \leq (C_2)^L |p|_{|\alpha+\beta|+K, |\alpha+\beta|+K'}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta| - \rho|\alpha| + \sum_{j=1}^L (|\beta_j|/2 - |\alpha_j|/2)} \\ & \quad \times \left( 1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |x_j - x_j^*| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |\xi_j - \xi_j^*| \right)^{2|\alpha+\beta|}, \end{aligned}$$

for any  $|\alpha_j| \leq K$  and  $|\beta_j| \leq K'$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ .

5°. For  $j = 1, 2, \dots, L$ , let

$$(5.19) \quad \begin{aligned} z_j &= \partial_{\xi_j} \Phi, \\ \zeta_j &= \partial_{x_j} \Phi. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0)$  be the vector in (4.8) and  $\Lambda(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0)$  the matrix in (4.11). Since

$$(5.20) \quad \begin{aligned} {}^t(\tilde{z}_{1,L}, \tilde{\zeta}_{1,L}) &= -\Delta^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}) + \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}, \xi_0), \\ {}^t(0, 0) &= -\Delta^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L}^*, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*) + \Psi(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}^*, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*, \xi_0), \end{aligned}$$

we can write

$$(5.21) \quad {}^t(\tilde{z}_{1,L}, \tilde{\zeta}_{1,L}) = -\Delta \left( I_{2nL} - \Delta^{-1} \int_0^1 \Lambda_\theta d\theta \right) {}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L} - \tilde{x}_{1,L}^*, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L} - \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*),$$

where

$$(5.22) \quad \Lambda_\theta = \Lambda(x_{L+1}, \tilde{x}_{1,L}^* + \theta(\tilde{x}_{1,L} - \tilde{x}_{1,L}^*), \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^* + \theta(\tilde{\xi}_{1,L} - \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*), \xi_0).$$

Furthermore, note that

$$(5.23) \quad \|\Delta^{-1}\|_{\Omega_1^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_\infty^{\xi_0}} \leq 1,$$

and

$$(5.24) \quad \left\| \int_0^1 \Lambda_\theta d\theta \right\|_{\Omega_\infty^{\xi_0} \rightarrow \Omega_1^{\xi_0}} \leq 3n\kappa_2 \sum_{j=1}^{L+1} t_j \leq \frac{3}{4}.$$

Hence, we have

$$(5.25) \quad \|{}^t(\tilde{x}_{1,L} - \tilde{x}_{1,L}^*, \tilde{\xi}_{1,L} - \tilde{\xi}_{1,L}^*)\|_\infty^{\xi_0} \leq 4 \|{}^t(\tilde{z}_{1,L}, \tilde{\zeta}_{1,L})\|_1^{\xi_0}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (5.26) \quad & \left( 1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |x_j - x_j^*| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |\xi_j - \xi_j^*| \right) \\ & \leq 4 \left( 1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} \sum_{j=1}^L |z_j| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^L |\zeta_j| \right) \\ & \leq 4 \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} |z_j|) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} |\zeta_j|) \\ & \leq 4 \cdot 2^L \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle |z_j|^2)^{1/2} \cdot \prod_{j=1}^L (1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1} |\zeta_j|^2)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

6°. Integrating by parts, we have

$$(5.27) \quad \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{\alpha, \beta}) = \mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\circ}),$$

where

$$(5.28) \quad \begin{aligned} p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\circ} &= (M_L^*)^{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} (M_{L-1}^*)^{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} \cdots (M_1^*)^{|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} \\ &\circ (N_L^*)^{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} (N_{L-1}^*)^{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} \cdots (N_1^*)^{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1} p_{\alpha, \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there exists a positive constant  $C_3$  such that

$$(5.29) \quad \begin{aligned} |\partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi_0}^{\alpha} q_0| &= |\mathbb{I}(\Phi, p_{\alpha, \beta}^{\circ})| \\ &\leq (C_3)^L |p|_{3|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1, 3|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta| - \rho|\alpha|}. \end{aligned}$$

7°. Now, we separate  $a_{\alpha, \beta}$  in (5.11) depending on the degree of the term:

$$\left( 1 + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |y_j| + \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{-1/2} \max_{j=1,2,\dots,L} |\eta_j| \right),$$

to get a better estimate. Similarly, we can make better estimates for (5.12)–(5.29).

In particular, the new estimates for (5.29) is the following:

$$(5.30) \quad |\partial_{x_{L+1}}^{\beta} \partial_{\xi_0}^{\alpha} q_0| \leq (C_4)^L |p|_{2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1, 2|\alpha|+|\beta|+n+1}^{(\tilde{m}_{L+1})} \langle \xi_0 \rangle^{\sum_{j=1}^{L+1} m_j + (1-\rho)|\beta| - \rho|\alpha|}.$$

Therefore, in the case where  $R = 0$ , it is enough to take  $l_1 \geq n + 1 + 2(l + l')$  and  $l'_1 \geq n + 1 + 2(l + l')$ .  $\square$

---

## References

- [1] D. Fujiwara: *Remarks on convergence of the Feynman path integrals*, Duke Math J. **47** (1980), 559–600.
- [2] D. Fujiwara: *Some Feynman path integrals as oscillatory integrals over a Sobolev manifold*, Preprint, (1992).
- [3] D. Fujiwara: *Some Feynman path integrals as oscillatory integrals over a Sobolev manifold*, In Proc. International conference on Functional Analysis in memory of Professor Kôsaku Yosida, (1992), Springer, 39–53.
- [4] D. Fujiwara: *The stationary phase method with an estimate of the remainder term on a space of large dimension*, Nagoya Math. J. **124** (1991), 61–97.
- [5] D. Fujiwara, N. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi: *A Proof of estimates of Kumano-go-Taniguchi type for multi product of Fourier integral operators*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **40** (1997), 459–470.
- [6] L. Hörmander: *Fourier integral operators I*, Acta Math. **127** (1971), 79–183.
- [7] C. Iwasaki: *Gevrey hypo-ellipticity and pseudo-differential operators*, Pseudo-differential operators, Lecture Notes in Math. 1256, Springer, (1987), 281–293.

- [8] H. Kitada and H. Kumano-go: *A family of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a Schrödinger equation*, Osaka J. Math. **18** (1981), 291–360.
- [9] H. Kumano-go: Pseudo-Differential Operators, MIT press, Cambridge, Massachusetts and London, England, 1983.
- [10] H. Kumano-go and K. Taniguchi: *Fourier integral operators of multi-phase and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **22** (1979), 161–196.
- [11] N. Kumano-go: *A construction of the fundamental solution for Schrödinger equations*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **2** (1995), 441–498.
- [12] K. Taniguchi: *Multi-products of Fourier integral operators and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic system with involutive characteristics*, Osaka J. Math. **21** (1984), 169–224.
- [13] K. Taniguchi: *Fourier integral operators in Gevrey class on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  and the fundamental solution for a hyperbolic operator*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **20** (1984), 491–542.

Department of Mathematics  
Kogakuin University  
2665-1 Nakanomachi, Hachioji  
Tokyo 192-0015, Japan  
e-mail: ft24343@ns.kogakuin.ac.jp