



Title	DETERMINING THE HURWITZ ORBIT OF THE STANDARD GENERATORS OF A BRAID GROUP
Author(s)	Yaguchi, Yoshiro
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2015, 52(1), p. 59-70
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/57654">https://doi.org/10.18910/57654</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# DETERMINING THE HURWITZ ORBIT OF THE STANDARD GENERATORS OF A BRAID GROUP

YOSHIRO YAGUCHI

(Received January 16, 2012, revised June 18, 2013)

## Abstract

The Hurwitz action of the  $n$ -braid group  $B_n$  on the  $n$ -fold product  $(B_m)^n$  of the  $m$ -braid group  $B_m$  is studied. Using a natural action of  $B_n$  on trees with  $n$  labeled edges and  $n + 1$  labeled vertices, we determine all elements of the orbit of every  $n$ -tuple of the  $n$  distinct standard generators of  $B_{n+1}$  under the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$ .

## 1. Introduction

Let  $B_n$  denote the  $n$ -braid group, which has the following presentation [1, 4].

$$\left\langle \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1} \mid \begin{array}{l} \sigma_i \sigma_j \sigma_i = \sigma_j \sigma_i \sigma_j \ (|i - j| = 1), \\ \sigma_i \sigma_j = \sigma_j \sigma_i \ (|i - j| > 1) \end{array} \right\rangle,$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is the  $i$ -th standard generator represented by a geometric  $n$ -braid depicted in Fig. 1.1.

Throughout this paper,  $n$  is an integer with  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $G$  be a group and let  $G^n$  be the  $n$ -fold direct product of  $G$ . For elements  $g$  and  $h$  of  $G$ , let  $g * h$  denote  $h^{-1}gh$  and let  $g \overline{*} h$  denote  $g * (h^{-1}) = hgh^{-1}$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. The *Hurwitz action* of  $B_n$  on  $G^n$  is the right action defined by

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i, g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i \\ &= (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, g_i * g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_i, g_{i+1}, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n) \cdot \sigma_i^{-1} \\ &= (g_1, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1} \overline{*} g_i, g_i, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_n), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{n-1}$  are the standard generators of  $B_n$ .

We call the orbit of  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in G^n$  under the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  the *Hurwitz orbit* of  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  and denote it by  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot B_n$ . We say two elements of  $G^n$  are *Hurwitz equivalent* if they belong to the same Hurwitz orbit.




Fig. 1.1.

When  $G$  is a braid group  $B_m$  and each  $g_j$  ( $j = 1, \dots, n$ ) is a *positive simple braid* (that means a conjugate of  $\sigma_1$ ), the Hurwitz orbit of an  $n$ -tuple  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  corresponds to an equivalence class of an “algebraic” braided surface in a bidisk  $D^2 \times D^2$  with  $n$  branch points [11, 13, 14, 15, 17]. Here, we say that two braided surfaces  $S$  and  $S'$  are *equivalent* if there is a fiber-preserving diffeomorphism  $f: D^2 \times D^2 \rightarrow D^2 \times D^2$  (that is,  $f(D^2 \times \{x\}) = D^2 \times \{g(x)\}$  for some diffeomorphism  $g: D^2 \rightarrow D^2$ ) carrying  $S$  to  $S'$  rel  $D^2 \times \partial D^2$ . It is natural to ask if two given braided surfaces are equivalent or not. This question is equivalent to asking if two given  $n$ -tuples  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  and  $(g'_1, \dots, g'_n)$  of positive simple braids determined by their monodromies are Hurwitz equivalent or not. This is a very hard problem and no algorithm to solve it is known. However, we can determine all elements of the Hurwitz orbits of some  $n$ -tuples of positive simple braids.

Throughout this paper, we use the symbol “ $s_i$ ” to denote the  $i$ -th standard generator of  $B_{n+1}$ , and “ $\sigma_i$ ” to denote that of  $B_n$ .

We prove that for every permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , there is a natural bijection from the Hurwitz orbit of  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})$  to a set consisting of all trees satisfying the conditions of Definition 2.2. As a result, we determine all elements in the Hurwitz orbit of  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})$  for every permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  (see Theorem 4.3). We will also prove Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 4.3.

Let  $X_{n+1}$  be the set of the integers  $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$ . For a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $A^\varphi = \{i \in X_{n+1} \mid \varphi^{-1}(i-1) < \varphi^{-1}(i)\}$ .

**Theorem 1.2.** *For permutations  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , the following conditions are mutually equivalent.*

- (1)  *$(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})$  and  $(s_{\psi(1)}, \dots, s_{\psi(n)})$  are Hurwitz equivalent.*
- (2) *The products  $s_{\varphi(1)} \cdots s_{\varphi(n)}$  and  $s_{\psi(1)} \cdots s_{\psi(n)}$  are equal in  $B_{n+1}$ .*
- (3) *The sets  $A^\varphi$  and  $A^\psi$  are equal.*

**REMARK 1.3.** Let  $B_{n+1}^+$  be the semi-group which has the generators  $s_1^*, \dots, s_n^*$  and the relations  $s_i^* s_j^* = s_j^* s_i^*$  if  $|i - j| > 2$  and  $s_i^* s_j^* s_i^* = s_j^* s_i^* s_j^*$  if  $|i - j| = 1$ . Let  $i: B_{n+1}^+ \rightarrow B_{n+1}$  be the natural semi-group homomorphism, i.e.,  $i(s_i^*) = s_i$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . In [7], Garside proved that  $i$  is injective. (We call an element of  $i(B_{n+1}^+)$  a *positive element* of  $B_{n+1}$ .) Using the Garside’s theorem, T. Ben-Itzhak and M. Teicher showed the equivalence of the conditions (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2 in [2]. This is

explained as follows. If  $|i - j| > 2$ , then  $(s_i, s_j)$  and  $(s_j, s_i)$  are Hurwitz equivalent. If  $|i - j| = 1$ , then  $(s_i, s_j, s_i)$  and  $(s_j, s_i, s_j)$  are Hurwitz equivalent. (See [2].) Thus, for permutations  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})$  and  $(s_{\psi(1)}, \dots, s_{\psi(n)})$  are Hurwitz equivalent if and only if the products  $s_{\varphi(1)}^* \cdots s_{\varphi(n)}^*$  and  $s_{\psi(1)}^* \cdots s_{\psi(n)}^*$  in  $B_{n+1}^+$  are equal. By the Garside's theorem, this condition is equal to the condition that the products  $s_{\varphi(1)} \cdots s_{\varphi(n)}$  and  $s_{\psi(1)} \cdots s_{\psi(n)}$  in  $B_{n+1}$  are equal. Thus, we have the equivalence of (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.2. In this paper, we will prove the equivalence of (1) and (3) of Theorem 1.2 by using Theorem 4.3.

## 2. Main result

Let  $S_{n+1}$  be the symmetric group of degree  $n + 1$  and let  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  be the set of elements  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  of the  $n$ -fold direct product  $(S_{n+1})^n$  of  $S_{n+1}$  such that  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  are transpositions which generate  $S_{n+1}$ . For any element  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \cdot B_n$  is contained in  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  [10, 12]. Thus, we obtain an action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  as a restriction of the Hurwitz action on  $(S_{n+1})^n$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  be the set of trees with  $n$  edges labeled  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  and  $n + 1$  vertices labeled  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$ . A natural action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  has been observed [5, 6, 8]. It is explained as follows.

Take an element  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  and put  $\tau_i = (k_i \ l_i)$  ( $k_i < l_i$ ) for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . We define  $\Gamma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  as a graph with  $n + 1$  vertices labeled  $\{v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}\}$  and  $n$  edges labeled  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  such that the edge labeled  $e_i$  connects with two vertices labeled  $v_{k_i}$  and  $v_{l_i}$  for  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since  $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n$  generate  $S_{n+1}$ , the graph  $\Gamma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  must be a tree [5, 6]. Hence,  $\Gamma(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . We call the induced map  $\Gamma: \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  the *graphic map*. The map  $\Gamma$  is bijective [5, 6]. Thus, we obtain the action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  defined by  $\gamma \odot \beta = \Gamma((\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma)) \cdot \beta)$  for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  and  $\beta \in B_n$  [5, 6, 8]. We call this action the *Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$* .

For  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , let  $t_i$  be the transposition  $(i \ i + 1)$  of  $S_{n+1}$ . Take a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $p: B_{n+1} \rightarrow S_{n+1}$  be the canonical projection and let  $P = p^n: (B_{n+1})^n \rightarrow (S_{n+1})^n$  be the map defined by  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \mapsto (p(b_1), \dots, p(b_n))$ . Then, for any  $\beta \in B_n$ , it holds  $P((b_1, \dots, b_n) \cdot \beta) = (P(b_1, \dots, b_n)) \cdot \beta$ . Thus, for every permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , we have  $P((s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = (t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n$ . Since  $(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  (and hence,  $\Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ ), we have  $\Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . In [16], it is proved that the map  $P = P|_{(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n}: (s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n \rightarrow (t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n$  is bijective. Then,  $\Gamma \circ P((s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Gamma((t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$ . Since  $\Gamma$  and  $P$  are bijective, the map  $\Gamma \circ P: (s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n \rightarrow \Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$  is bijective.

**REMARK 2.1.** Take an element  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$ . Let  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  be the subset of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}$  defined by  $\{(\tau'_1, \dots, \tau'_n) \in \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \mid \tau'_1 \cdots \tau'_n = \tau_1 \cdots \tau_n\}$ . In [10], A. Hurwitz proved that there exists  $(n + 1)^{n-1}$  elements of  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$ . In [12], P. Kluitmann proved that the Hurwitz orbit  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \cdot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{T}_{n+1}(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n)$  by an induction on  $n$  and using combinatorially calculations of  $S_{n+1}$ . Hence, there exists

$(n+1)^{n-1}$  elements in the Hurwitz orbit  $(\tau_1, \dots, \tau_n) \cdot B_n$ . In [9], S.P. Humphries proved that the Hurwitz orbit  $(s_1, \dots, s_n) \cdot B_n$  consists of  $(n+1)^{n-1}$  elements. In [16], the author proved that for any permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n$  consists of  $(n+1)^{n-1}$  elements.

Let  $C$  be a circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $P^{n+1}(C) = C \times \dots \times C$  denote the product space of  $n+1$  copies of  $C$ . Let  $Q^{n+1}(C) = \{(q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \in P^{n+1}(C) \mid q_i \neq q_j \text{ for } i \neq j\}$ . We call  $Q^{n+1}(C)$  the *configuration space of ordered  $n+1$  distinct points of  $C$* . Fix an element  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}) \in Q^{n+1}(C)$  and let  $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$  be the set of segments  $\{\overline{q_i q_j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Take elements  $e$  and  $e'$  of  $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$ . If  $\partial e = \{q_i, q_{i'}\}$ ,  $\partial e' = \{q_i, q_{i''}\}$  and  $q_{i'} \neq q_{i''}$ , i.e.,  $e$  and  $e'$  share a common end point  $q_i$ , then we say that  $e$  and  $e'$  are *adjacent* (at  $q_i$ ). Moreover, if the end points  $q_{i'}$ ,  $q_i$  and  $q_{i''}$  appear on  $C$  counterclockwise in this order, then we say that  $e'$  is a *right adjacent* to  $e$  (at  $q_i$ ).

**DEFINITION 2.2.** An  $n$ -tuple  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of elements of  $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$  is *good* if it satisfies the conditions (i)–(iii).

- (i) If  $k \neq l$ , then  $e_k$  and  $e_l$  are disjoint or adjacent.
- (ii) If  $k < l$  and  $e_k$  and  $e_l$  are adjacent, then  $e_l$  is a right adjacent to  $e_k$ .
- (iii) The union  $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_n$  is contractible.

Let  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$  be the set of  $n$ -tuples of elements of  $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}}$  which are good. When  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$ , the union  $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_n$  is regarded as a graph with  $n+1$  vertices  $q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}$  and  $n$  edges  $e_1, \dots, e_n$ . Note that the graph  $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_n$  is a tree. Putting labels  $v_i$  on the points  $q_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$ , we regard  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$  as a subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . The following is our main theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** For a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , there exists an element  $\mathbf{q}$  of  $Q^{n+1}(C)$  such that the Hurwitz orbit  $\Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$  ( $\subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ ). Hence, the map  $\Gamma \circ P$  gives a bijection from the Hurwitz orbit  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n$  to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$ .

**REMARK 2.4.** In [16], the author found an element  $\mathbf{q}$  of  $Q^{n+1}(C)$  such that the Hurwitz orbit  $\Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$  is contained in  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$ . Thus, we obtained an action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}})$  as the restriction of the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . In this paper, we will show the transitivity of this action.

### 3. Some notions

Throughout this section,  $X_{n+1}$  is the set of the integers  $\{2, 3, \dots, n\}$  and  $A$  is a fixed subset of  $X_{n+1}$ .

For integers  $i$  and  $j$  with  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ , we define  $s_{ij}^A \in B_{n+1}$  and  $s_{ji}^A \in B_{n+1}$  by

$$s_{ij}^A = s_{ji}^A = s_i * \left( \prod_{k=i+1}^{j-1} s_k^{\epsilon_k} \right),$$

where  $\epsilon_k = 1$  if  $k \in A$  and  $\epsilon_k = -1$  if  $k \notin A$ . We call  $s_{ij}^A$  a *band generator of  $B_{n+1}$  associated with  $A$* . Note that a standard generator  $s_i$  of  $B_{n+1}$  is a band generator  $s_{ii+1}^A$ . Let  $\Sigma_{n+1}^A$  be the set of band generators  $\{s_{ij}^A \in B_{n+1} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$  associated with  $A$ . Let  $T_{n+1}$  be the set of transpositions of  $S_{n+1}$ . The natural projection  $p: B_{n+1} \rightarrow S_{n+1}$  gives the bijection  $p = p|_{\Sigma_{n+1}^A}: \Sigma_{n+1}^A \rightarrow T_{n+1}$  which satisfies  $p(s_{ij}^A) = (i \ j)$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ .

Let  $P_k = (k, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  for an integer with  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ . Let  $C_1$  be the circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose diameter is the segment  $\overline{P_1 P_{n+1}}$ . Take the points  $Q_k \in C_1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$  such that  $Q_1 = P_1$ ,  $Q_{n+1} = P_{n+1}$  and  $Q_k = (k, y_k)$  for each  $2 \leq k \leq n$ , where  $y_k < 0$  if  $k \in A$  and  $y_k > 0$  if  $k \notin A$ . We call the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}$  on the circle  $C_1$  the *points associated with  $A$* . We call the element  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1})$  of the configuration space  $Q^{n+1}(C_1)$  the *ordered  $n+1$  points associated with  $A$* . Let  $\Gamma_{n+1}^A$  denote the set of segments  $\Gamma_{n+1}^A = \Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{Q}} = \{\overline{Q_i Q_j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . We have a bijection from  $\Sigma_{n+1}^A$  to  $\Gamma_{n+1}^A$  defined by  $s_{ij}^A \mapsto \overline{Q_i Q_j}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ , and we call the segment  $\overline{Q_i Q_j}$  the *segment corresponding to  $s_{ij}^A$* .

REMARK 3.1. In [16], the reason why we call  $\overline{Q_i Q_j}$  the segment corresponding to  $s_{ij}^A$  is explained as follows.

Let  $P_0 = Q_0 = (0, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$  and  $P_{n+2} = Q_{n+2} = (n+2, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $C_2$  be the circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose diameter is the segment  $\overline{P_0 P_{n+2}}$ . Let  $D$  be the disk in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with  $\partial D = C_2$ . Take an isotopy  $\{h_u\}_{u \in [0, 1]}$  of  $D$  such that for each  $u \in [0, 1]$ ,  $h_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $h_u|_{\partial D} = \text{id}$ , and for each  $u \in [0, 1]$  and each  $(x, y) \in \bigcup_{i=0}^{n+1} \overline{Q_i Q_{i+1}}$ ,  $h_u(x, y) = (x, (1-u)y)$ . Then  $h_1(Q_i) = P_i$  for any  $i$ . For  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ , we define  $\alpha_{ij}^A$  by the arc  $h_1(\overline{Q_i Q_j})$  in  $D$ . Note that  $\partial \alpha_{ij}^A = \{P_i, P_j\}$ ,  $\alpha_{ij}^A$  is upper than  $P_k$  if  $k \in A$  and  $\alpha_{ij}^A$  is lower than  $P_k$  if  $k \notin A$ . The braid group  $B_{n+1}$  is isomorphic to the mapping class group of  $(D, \{P_1, \dots, P_{n+1}\})$  relative to the boundary (cf. [3]). The band generator  $s_{ij}^A$  corresponds to the isotopy class of a homeomorphism from  $(D, \{P_1, \dots, P_{n+1}\})$  to itself which twists a sufficiently small disk neighborhood of the arc  $\alpha_{ij}^A$  by 180°-rotation clockwise using its collar neighborhood. By the homeomorphism  $h_1: (D, \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}\}) \rightarrow (D, \{P_1, \dots, P_{n+1}\})$ , we identify the mapping class group of  $(D, \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}\})$  and that of  $(D, \{P_1, \dots, P_{n+1}\})$ . Then the band generator  $s_{ij}^A$  corresponds to the isotopy class of a homeomorphism from  $(D, \{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}\})$  to itself which twists a sufficiently small disk neighborhood of the segment  $\overline{Q_i Q_j}$  by 180°-rotation clockwise. Thus, we say that the segment  $\overline{Q_i Q_j}$  corresponds to the band generator  $s_{ij}^A \in \Sigma^A$ .




Fig. 3.1.

Let  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  be an element of the  $n$ -fold product  $(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n$  of  $\Sigma_{n+1}^A$  and let  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  be the  $n$ -tuple of the segments  $a_i$  corresponding to  $g_i$ . Then, we call  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  the *segment system corresponding to*  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2.** An element of  $(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n$  is *A-good* if it is good. An element of  $(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n$  is *A-good* if the corresponding segment system is A-good. We denote the set of elements of  $(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n$  (resp.  $(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n$ ) which are A-good by  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$  (resp.  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ ).

**EXAMPLE 3.3.** Let  $n = 4$  and  $A = \{2\}$ . Then, the element  $(s_{23}^A, s_{24}^A, s_{13}^A, s_{25}^A)$  of  $(\Sigma_5^A)^4$  is an A-good element. The segments  $a_1, \dots, a_4$  corresponding to  $s_{23}^A, s_{24}^A, s_{13}^A, s_{25}^A$  are depicted in Fig. 3.1.

Let  $\Sigma^* = \bigcup_{A \subset \{2, \dots, n\}} (\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n$  and  $\Gamma^* = \bigcup_{A \subset \{2, \dots, n\}} (\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n$ . Let  $\Phi: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$  be the map which sends an element of  $(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n$  to the segment system corresponding to it for each subset  $A$  of  $\{2, \dots, n\}$ . It is obvious that the map  $\Phi$  is bijective and  $\Phi((\Sigma_{n+1}^A)^n) = (\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n$ . By Definition 3.2,  $\Phi(\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ . For each subset  $A$  of  $\{2, \dots, n\}$ , we simply write  $\Phi$  for the bijective map  $\Phi|_{\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)}: \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $\{2, \dots, n\}$ . Then, the conditions  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^B)$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^B)$  and  $A = B$  are mutually equivalent.

**Proof.** If  $A = B$ , then it is obvious that the other two conditions hold. By virtue of the bijective map  $\Phi: \Sigma^* \rightarrow \Gamma^*$ , the conditions  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^B)$  and  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^B)$  are equivalent. We prove that the condition  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^B)$  implies  $A = B$ . Let  $Q_k^X$  denote the point  $(k, y_k)$ , on the circle  $C_1$ , associated with a subset  $X \subset \{2, \dots, n\}$ . Take elements  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$  and  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^B)$ . Then, by the conditions of Definition 2.2,  $\partial a_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial a_n = \{Q_1^A, \dots, Q_{n+1}^A\}$  and  $\partial b_1 \cup \dots \cup \partial b_n = \{Q_1^B, \dots, Q_{n+1}^B\}$ . Hence, the condition  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^B)$  implies  $Q_k^A = Q_k^B$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n+1$ , and this implies  $A = B$ . This completes the proof of Proposition 3.4.  $\square$

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\{2, \dots, n\}$  and let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}$  be the points, on the circle  $C_1$ , associated with  $A$ . We regard  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$  as a subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  putting labels  $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$  on the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}$ , resp. Recall that the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  is defined by  $\gamma \odot \beta = \Gamma((\Gamma^{-1}(\gamma)) \cdot \beta)$  for  $\gamma \in \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  and  $\beta \in B_n$ , where  $\Gamma$  is the graphic map  $\Gamma: \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . By Proposition 3.5 (2), we obtain an action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$  as a restriction of the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$ . We call it the *Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$* .

**Proposition 3.5** ([16]). (1) *If  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ , then, for any  $\beta \in B_n$ , we have  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ .*  
 (2) *If  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ , then, for any  $\beta \in B_n$ , we have  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \odot \beta \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ .*

Take an element  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (s_{i_1 j_1}^A, \dots, s_{i_n j_n}^A) \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ . Then,  $\Phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) = (\overline{Q_{i_1} Q_{j_1}}, \dots, \overline{Q_{i_n} Q_{j_n}}) = \Gamma((i_1 j_1), \dots, (i_n j_n)) = \Gamma \circ P(g_1, \dots, g_n) (\in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A))$ . Moreover, for any element  $\beta \in B_n$ ,  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta \in \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$  by Proposition 3.5 (1), and we have  $\Phi((g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta) = \Gamma \circ P((g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta) = \Gamma(P(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta) = \Gamma(((i_1 j_1), \dots, (i_n j_n)) \cdot \beta) = \Gamma((\Gamma^{-1}(\overline{Q_{i_1} Q_{j_1}}, \dots, \overline{Q_{i_n} Q_{j_n}})) \cdot \beta) = (\overline{Q_{i_1} Q_{j_1}}, \dots, \overline{Q_{i_n} Q_{j_n}}) \odot \beta = \Phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) \odot \beta$ . Thus, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.6.** *For an element  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ , we have*  
 (1)  $\Phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) = \Gamma \circ P(g_1, \dots, g_n) (\in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A))$  and  
 (2) *for any element  $\beta \in B_n$ ,  $\Phi((g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot \beta) = \Phi(g_1, \dots, g_n) \odot \beta$ .*

By Proposition 3.6 (2), the bijective map  $\Phi: \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$  implies that two statements of (1) and (2) of Proposition 3.5 are equivalent.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.3.

Throughout this section,  $n$  is a fixed integer  $n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and  $X_{n+1}$  is the set of the integers  $\{2, \dots, n\}$ .

For a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , let  $A^\varphi = \{i \in X_{n+1} \mid \varphi^{-1}(i-1) < \varphi^{-1}(i)\}$ .

For the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.3, we prepare the following lemma which is proved in [16]:



**Lemma 4.1** ([16]).  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^{A^\varphi})$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X_{n+1}$ . By virtue of the bijective map  $\Phi: \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ , two statements of (1) and (2) of Theorem 4.2 are equivalent. Theorem 4.2 is also our main result in this paper.

**Theorem 4.2.** (1) For each element  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \odot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ .  
 (2) For each element  $(g_1, \dots, g_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(g_1, \dots, g_n) \cdot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ .

The following theorem is directly obtained from Lemma 4.1 and Theorem 4.2 (2).

**Theorem 4.3.** For a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^{A^\varphi})$ .

We can prove Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 2.3 by using Theorem 4.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** We prove that the conditions (1) and (3) are equivalent. Take permutations  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . The condition (1) is equivalent to  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n = (s_{\psi(1)}, \dots, s_{\psi(n)}) \cdot B_n$ . By Theorem 4.3, this is equivalent to  $\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^{A^\varphi}) = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^{A^\psi})$ . By Proposition 3.4, this is equivalent to  $A^\varphi = A^\psi$ , and we have the result.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.3.** Fix a permutation  $\varphi$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  and let  $A = A^\varphi$ . By Theorem 4.3,  $(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n = \mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)$ . Then,  $\Phi((s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Phi(\mathcal{G}(\Sigma_{n+1}^A)) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)$ . Let  $\mathbf{Q} = (Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1})$  be the ordered  $n+1$  points of associated with  $A$ , that is an element of the configuration space  $\mathcal{Q}^{n+1}(C_1)$  of the circle  $C_1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{Q}})$  and we regard  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{Q}})$  as a subset of  $\mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  putting labels  $v_1, \dots, v_{n+1}$  on the points  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}$ , resp. By Proposition 3.6 (2),  $\Phi((s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Phi(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$ . By Proposition 3.6 (1),  $\Phi(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n = \Gamma \circ P((s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Gamma((P(s_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, s_{\varphi(n)})) \cdot B_n) = \Gamma((t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \cdot B_n) = \Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n$ , where  $\Gamma: \mathcal{T}_{n+1} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{n+1}$  is the graphic map. Thus,  $\Gamma(t_{\varphi(1)}, \dots, t_{\varphi(n)}) \odot B_n = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{Q}})$ , and we have the result.  $\square$

Let  $C$  be a circle in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Fix an element  $\mathbf{q} = (q_1, \dots, q_{n+1})$  of the configuration space  $\mathcal{Q}^{n+1}(C)$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  denote the set of segments  $\Gamma_{n+1}^{\mathbf{q}} = \{\overline{q_i q_j} \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n+1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^2$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  be the set of good elements of  $(\Gamma_{n+1})^n$ . Let  $r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}$  be  $n+1$  points with  $\{r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}\} = \{q_1, \dots, q_{n+1}\}$  such that  $r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}$  stand on  $C$  counterclockwise in this order.

Let  $A$  be an subset of  $X_{n+1}$  and let  $Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}$  be the  $n+1$  points, on the circle the  $C_1$ , associated with  $A$ . Let  $R_1, \dots, R_{n+1}$  be  $n+1$  points with  $\{R_1, \dots, R_{n+1}\} =$

$\{Q_1, \dots, Q_{n+1}\}$  such that  $R_1, \dots, R_{n+1}$  stand on the circle  $C_1$  counterclockwise in this order. Let  $h: \mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  be a self-homeomorphism of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  such that  $h(C_1) = C$ ,  $h(R_i) = r_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n+1$  and  $h(\overline{R_i R_j}) = \overline{r_i r_j}$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n+1$ . Then,  $h$  induces the bijection  $h: \Gamma_{n+1}^A \rightarrow \Gamma_{n+1}$ . Let  $f: (\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n \rightarrow (\Gamma_{n+1})^n$  be the  $n$ -fold product of  $h$ , i.e., for each element  $(a_1, \dots, a_n)$  of  $(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)^n$ ,  $f(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (h(a_1), \dots, h(a_n))$ . Then, it is obvious that  $f(\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)) = \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  and the map  $F = f|_{\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A)}: \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}^A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  is bijective. If  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , then, for any  $\beta \in B_n$ , we easily see that  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \odot \beta = F((F^{-1}(e_1, \dots, e_n) \odot \beta))$ . By virtue of the map  $F$  and the Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , Proposition 3.5 is equivalent to Proposition 4.4, and Theorem 4.2 is equivalent to Theorem 4.5. The rest of this section is devoted to proving Theorem 4.5 (and hence Theorem 4.2).

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , then, for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$  and any  $\epsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ , we have  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \odot \sigma_k^\epsilon \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ .*

**Theorem 4.5.** *For each element  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , the Hurwitz orbit  $(e_1, \dots, e_n) \odot B_n$  is equal to the set  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ .*

For elements  $a$  and  $b$  of  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  satisfying that  $a$  and  $b$  are disjoint or  $b$  is a right adjacent to  $a$ , we define the elements  $a * b$  and  $b \bar{*} a$  of  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  as follows.

- (1) If  $a$  and  $b$  are disjoint, then, let  $a * b = a$  and let  $b \bar{*} a = b$ .
- (2) If  $b$  is a right adjacent to  $a$  and  $a = \overline{q_x q_y}$ ,  $b = \overline{q_y q_z}$ , then, let  $a * b = b \bar{*} a = \overline{q_x q_z}$ .

Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . By the conditions (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2, for any  $k \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ ,  $e_k$  and  $e_{k+1}$  are disjoint or  $e_{k+1}$  is a right adjacent to  $e_k$ . This implies that the elements  $e_k * e_{k+1}$  and  $e_{k+1} \bar{*} e_k$  of  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  are defined. Then, we have the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.6** ([16]). *The Hurwitz action of  $B_n$  on  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_n) \odot \sigma_k \\ &= (e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1}, e_k * e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_n) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & (e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k, e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_n) \odot \sigma_k^{-1} \\ &= (e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1} \bar{*} e_k, e_k, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_n). \end{aligned}$$

For a power  $\alpha = (1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)^t$  ( $t \in \mathbb{Z}$ ) of the  $n+1$ -cyclic permutation  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)$ , let  $c_k = \overline{r_{\alpha(k)} r_{\alpha(k+1)}}$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Then, we see that  $(c_1, \dots, c_n)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  and we call it *cyclic*.

**Lemma 4.7.** *Any two cyclic elements of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  are Hurwitz equivalent.*

Proof. Let  $\vec{c}_0 = (\overline{r_1 r_2}, \dots, \overline{r_n r_{n+1}})$ , that is a cyclic element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . It is enough to prove that any cyclic element  $\vec{c}$  is Hurwitz equivalent to  $\vec{c}_0$ . Put  $\vec{c} = (c_1, \dots, c_n) = (\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(2)}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}})$  for a power  $\alpha$  of the cyclic permutation  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)$ . By Proposition 4.6,  $\vec{c} \odot (\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \dots \sigma_{n-1}) = (c_2, c_3, \dots, c_n, (\dots((c_1 * c_2) * c_3) * \dots) * c_n)$ . Since the points  $r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}$  stand on  $C_1$  counterclockwise in this order, so do the points  $r_{\alpha(1)}, r_{\alpha(i)}$  and  $r_{\alpha(i+1)}$  for  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Hence,  $\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(i)}}$  is a right adjacent to  $c_i = \overline{r_{\alpha(i)} r_{\alpha(i+1)}}$ . Since  $c_1 = \overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(2)}}$  and  $\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(i)}} * c_i = \overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(i+1)}}$ , we have  $(\dots((c_1 * c_2) * c_3) * \dots) * c_n = \overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}}$ . Thus,  $\vec{c} \odot (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-1}) = (\overline{r_{\alpha(2)} r_{\alpha(3)}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}}, \overline{r_{\alpha(n+1)} r_{\alpha(1)}})$ . If  $\alpha(t) = n+1$ , then  $\vec{c} \odot (\sigma_1 \dots \sigma_{n-1})^t = \vec{c}_0$  and we have the result.  $\square$

Let  $C\Gamma_{n+1} = \{\overline{r_1 r_2}, \dots, \overline{r_n r_{n+1}}, \overline{r_{n+1} r_1}\}$ . Then, the following lemma holds.

**Lemma 4.8.** *For any element  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , there exists an element  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $e_k \in C\Gamma_{n+1}$ .*

Proof. Suppose that there exists an element  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  such that  $e_k \in \Gamma_{n+1} \setminus C\Gamma_{n+1}$  for each  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Since the  $n+1$ -gon  $|r_1 r_2 \dots r_{n+1}|$  is convex, there exist elements  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  ( $i \neq j$ ) such that  $e_i$  and  $e_j$  intersect in their interior. This contradicts the condition (i) of Definition 2.2. Thus, we have the result.  $\square$

For a power  $\alpha$  of the  $n+1$ -cyclic permutation  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)$ , let  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma_{n+1})$  be the set defined by  $\{(e_1, \dots, e_n) \in \mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1}) \mid e_n = \overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}}\}$ . Let  $\Gamma_{n,\alpha}$  be the subset of  $\Gamma_{n+1}$  defined by  $\{\overline{r_i r_j} \in \Gamma_{n+1} \mid i, j \neq \alpha(n+1)\}$  and let  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n,\alpha})$  be the set of good elements of  $(\Gamma_{n,\alpha})^{n-1}$ . Then, we have the following.

**Lemma 4.9.** *Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma_{n+1})$  for a power  $\alpha$  of the  $(n+1)$ -cyclic permutation  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)$ . Then, we have:*

- (1) *the degree of the vertex  $r_{\alpha(n+1)}$  of the graph  $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_n$  is 1, and*
- (2)  *$(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n,\alpha})$ .*

Proof. First, we prove (1). Suppose that the degree of the vertex  $r_{\alpha(n+1)}$  is greater than 1. Then, there exists  $\overline{r_{\alpha(n+1)} r_x} \in \{e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}\}$  for some  $x \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} \setminus \{\alpha(n), \alpha(n+1)\}$ . Since the points  $r_{\alpha(1)}, \dots, r_{\alpha(n)}$  and  $r_{\alpha(n+1)}$  stand on  $C$  counterclockwise in this order, so do the points  $r_{\alpha(n)}, r_{\alpha(n+1)}$  and  $r_x$  in this order. Thus,  $\overline{r_{\alpha(n+1)} r_x}$  is right adjacent to  $\overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}} = e_n$ . This contradicts the condition (ii) of Definition 2.2. Thus, the degree of the vertex  $r_{\alpha(n+1)}$  must be 1.

By (1), the union  $e_1 \cup \dots \cup e_{n-1}$  is contractible, hence  $(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  satisfies the condition (iii) of Definition 2.2. It is obvious that  $(e_1, \dots, e_{n-1})$  satisfies (i) and (ii) of Definition 2.2. Thus, we obtain (2).  $\square$

Theorem 4.5 is proved by Proposition 4.4, Lemma 4.7 and the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.10.** *Any element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  is Hurwitz equivalent to a cyclic element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . We prove this by induction on  $n$ .

First, consider a case where  $n = 2$ . Then,  $(e_1, e_2) = (\overline{r_1 r_2}, \overline{r_2 r_3}), (\overline{r_2 r_3}, \overline{r_3 r_1})$  or  $(\overline{r_3 r_1}, \overline{r_1 r_2})$ . They are cyclic and we have the result when  $n = 2$ .

Next, consider a case where  $n > 2$ . By Lemma 4.8, we can take an element  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $e_k \in C\Gamma_{n+1}$ . Let

$$(e'_1, \dots, e'_n) = (e_1, \dots, e_n) \odot (\sigma_{n-1} \sigma_{n-2} \dots \sigma_k)^{-1}.$$

Using Proposition 4.6, by direct calculations

$$(e'_1, \dots, e'_n) = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1} \bar{*} e_k, e_{k+2} \bar{*} e_k, \dots, e_n \bar{*} e_k, e_k).$$

By Proposition 4.4,  $(e'_1, \dots, e'_n)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . Since  $e'_n = e_k \in C\Gamma_{n+1}$ ,  $e'_n = \overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}}$  for a power  $\alpha$  of the  $n+1$ -cyclic permutation  $(1 \ 2 \ \dots \ n+1)$ . Then,  $(e'_1, \dots, e'_n)$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}_\alpha(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . By Lemma 4.9 (2),  $(e'_1, \dots, e'_{n-1})$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n,\alpha})$ . By the assumption of the induction,  $(e'_1, \dots, e'_{n-1})$  is Hurwitz equivalent to a cyclic element  $(\overline{r_{\beta(\alpha(1))} r_{\beta(\alpha(2))}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\beta(\alpha(n-1))} r_{\beta(\alpha(n))}})$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n,\alpha})$ , where  $\beta$  is a power of the  $n$ -cyclic permutation  $(\alpha(1) \ \alpha(2) \ \dots \ \alpha(n))$ . By Lemma 4.7, it is Hurwitz equivalent to  $(\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(2)}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\alpha(n-1)} r_{\alpha(n)}})$ . Then,  $(e'_1, \dots, e'_{n-1}, e'_n)$  is Hurwitz equivalent to

$$(\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(2)}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\alpha(n-1)} r_{\alpha(n)}}, e'_n) = (\overline{r_{\alpha(1)} r_{\alpha(2)}}, \dots, \overline{r_{\alpha(n)} r_{\alpha(n+1)}}),$$

that is a cyclic element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ , and we have the result.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 4.5.* By Proposition 4.4, it is sufficient to prove that any two elements of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  are Hurwitz equivalent. Take an element  $\vec{g}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . By Lemma 4.10,  $\vec{g}$  is Hurwitz equivalent to a cyclic element  $\vec{c}$  of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$ . By Lemma 4.7,  $\vec{c}$  is Hurwitz equivalent to a special cyclic element  $\vec{c}_0$ . Hence, any element of  $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma_{n+1})$  is Hurwitz equivalent to  $\vec{c}_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 4.5.  $\square$

**ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.** The author would like to thank Professor Takao Matumoto, Professor Seiichi Kamada and Professor Makoto Sakuma for their help. This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 23840026.

## References

- [1] E. Artin: *Theorie der Zöpfe*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **4** (1925), 47–72.
- [2] T. Ben-Itzhak and M. Teicher: *Properties of Hurwitz equivalence in the braid group of order  $n$* , J. Algebra **264** (2003), 15–25.
- [3] J.S. Birman: *Braids, Links, and Mapping Class Groups*, Ann. of Math. Studies **82**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1974.
- [4] F. Bohnenblust: *The algebraical braid group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **48** (1947), 127–136.
- [5] F. Catanese and M. Paluszny: *Polynomial-lemniscates, trees and braids*, Topology **30** (1991), 623–640.
- [6] F. Catanese and B. Wajnryb: *The fundamental group of generic polynomials*, Topology **30** (1991), 641–651.
- [7] F.A. Garside: *The braid group and other groups*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **20** (1969), 235–254.
- [8] S.P. Humphries: *Finite Hurwitz braid group actions on sequences of Euclidean reflections*, J. Algebra **269** (2003), 556–588.
- [9] S.P. Humphries: *Finite Hurwitz braid group actions for Artin groups*, Israel J. Math. **143** (2004), 189–222.
- [10] A. Hurwitz: *Ueber die Anzahl der Riemann'schen Flächen mit gegebenen Verzweigungspunkten*, Math. Ann. **55** (1901), 53–66.
- [11] S. Kamada: *Braid and Knot Theory in Dimension Four*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **95**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [12] P. Kluitmann: *Hurwitz action and finite quotients of braid groups*; in Braids (Santa Cruz, CA, 1986), Contemp. Math. **78**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1988, 299–325.
- [13] B.G. Moishezon: *Stable branch curves and braid monodromies*; in Algebraic Geometry (Chicago, Ill., 1980), Lecture Notes in Math. **862**, Springer, Berlin, 1981, 107–192.
- [14] L. Rudolph: *Braided surfaces and Seifert ribbons for closed braids*, Comment. Math. Helv. **58** (1983), 1–37.
- [15] Y. Yaguchi: *Isotropy subgroup of Hurwitz action of the 3-braid group on the braid systems*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **18** (2009), 1021–1030.
- [16] Y. Yaguchi: *The orbits of the Hurwitz action of the braid groups on the standard generators*, Fund. Math. **210** (2010), 63–71.
- [17] Y. Yaguchi: *Isotropy subgroup of the Hurwitz action of the 4-braid group on braid systems*, J. Gökova Geom. Topol. **4** (2010), 82–95.

Department of Mathematics  
 Hiroshima University  
 Higashi-Hiroshima  
 Hiroshima, 739-8526  
 Japan

Current address:  
 School of Science  
 Gunma National College of Technology  
 Maebashi, Gunma, 371-8530  
 Japan  
 e-mail: yaguchi1984@gmail.com