

Title	ON HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES DEFINED BY TWO KNOTS
Author(s)	Tsuchiya, Masatsuna
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2015, 52(4), p. 993–1015
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/57661
rights	
Note	

# Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

# **ON HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES DEFINED BY TWO KNOTS**

MASATSUNA TSUCHIYA

(Received February 24, 2014, revised June 30, 2014)

#### Abstract

We show that if each of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  is a trefoil knot or a figure eight knot, the homology 3-sphere defined by the Kirby diagram which is a simple link of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  with framing (0, n) is represented by an *n*-twisted Whitehead double of  $K_2$ .

#### 1. Introduction

We define  $W_n(K_1, K_2)$  to be the 4-dimensional handlebody represented by Fig. 1.1's Kirby daigram, the following Kirby diagram, and define  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  to be  $\partial(W_n)$ , where  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are knots. Note that  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is a homology 3-sphere.

When  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are right handed trefoil knots  $T_{2.3}$ , Y. Matsumoto asked in [5] whether  $M_0(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold or not. By Gordon's result [3], if *n* is odd,  $M_n(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold. If *n* is 6, N. Maruyama [6] proved that  $M_6(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold. If *n* is 0, S. Akbulut [1] proved that  $M_0(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold.

In this note, we show that if each of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  is a trefoil knot or a figure eight knot, the homology 3-sphere defined by Fig. 1.1 is represented by an *n*-twisted Whitehead double of  $K_2$ .

NOTATIONS. (i) Let K be a knot, we define  $D_+(K, n)$  (or  $D_-(K, n)$ ) to be the *n*-twisted Whitehead double of K with a positive hook (or a negative hook). For example, when K is a right handed trefoil knot  $T_{2.3}$ ,  $D_+(T_{2.3}, n)$  is the knot represented by Fig. 1.2, and  $D_-(T_{2.3}, n)$  is the knot represented by Fig. 1.3.

(ii) We define  $S_{\pm 1}^3(K)$  to be the  $\pm 1$ -surgery along a knot K. For example, when K is a figure eight knot,  $S_{\pm 1}^3(D_+(K, n))$  is represented by Fig. 1.4.

**Theorem 1.1.** If each of  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  is a trefoil knot or a figure eight knot,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is represented by the second column on the following table.  $\lambda(S_{\pm 1}^3(D_{\pm}(K, n)))$  is the Casson invariant of  $S_{\pm 1}^3(D_{\pm}(K, n))$ .

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57R65; Secondary 57M25.



Fig. 1.1.  $W_n(K_1, K_2)$ .



Fig. 1.2.  $D_+(T_{2.3}, n)$ .

Fig. 1.3.  $D_{-}(T_{2.3}, n)$ .

Fig. 1.4.  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(K, n))$ .

Table 1. Theorem 1.1's table.

$M_n(K_1, K_2)$	$S_{\pm 1}^3(D_{\pm}(K, n))$	$\lambda(S^3_{\pm 1}(D_{\pm}(K,n)))$
$K_1$ : right handed trefoil, $K_2$ : right handed trefoil	$S^3_{+1}(D_+(K_2, n))$	<i>—n</i>
$K_1$ : left handed trefoil, $K_2$ : right handed trefoil	$S_{-1}^3(D(K_2, n))$	<i>—n</i>
$K_1$ : figure eight knot, $K_2$ : right handed trefoil	$\begin{vmatrix} S_{-1}^{3}(D_{+}(K_{2}, n)) \\ \cong \\ S_{+1}^{3}(D_{-}(K_{2}, n)) \end{vmatrix}$	n
$K_1$ : right handed trefoil, $K_2$ : figure eight knot	$S^3_{+1}(D_+(K_2, n))$	<i>—n</i>
$K_1$ : figure eight knot, $K_2$ : figure eight knot	$S_{+1}^3(D(K_2, n))$	n

We will prove Theorem 1.1 in Section 2.

REMARK. When n is 0, S. Akbulut [1] shows essentially the same result of the first row on the table by a different method.

**Corollary 1.2** (Gordon [3], cf. Y. Matsumoto [7] §3.1). Let  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  be one of the manifolds in the above table. If n is odd,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold.

Proof. A short proof of this result goes as follows: The Casson invariant, when reduced modulo 2, is the Rohlin invariant:

$$\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) \equiv \mu(M_n(K_1, K_2)) \mod 2.$$



Fig. 1.7.  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(T_{2,3}, 0))$ . Fig. 1.8.  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(T_{2,3}, 0))$ . Fig. 1.9.  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(4_1, 0))$ .

By Theorem 1.1,  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$  is *n* or -n. Therefore if *n* is odd, we have  $\mu(M_n(K_1, K_2)) \equiv 1 \mod 2$ , and so  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold.

**Corollary 1.3** (N. Maruyama [6]). If  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are right handed trefoil knots  $T_{2,3}$ ,  $M_6(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold.

Proof. By the first row on Theorem 1.1's table,  $M_n(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  is represented by  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(T_{2.3}, n))$ . If *n* is 6,  $D_+(T_{2.3}, 6)$  is known to be a slice knot ([8], p. 226). Therefore by [3],  $M_6(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold.

**Corollary 1.4.** If n is 0,  $D_+(T_{2,3}, 0)$  is not a slice knot.

Proof. By [1],  $M_0(T_{2.3}, T_{2.3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold. Therefore  $D_+(T_{2.3}, 0)$  is not a slice knot.

REMARK. M. Hedden [4] showed that if n is smaller than 2,  $D_+(T_{2.3}, n)$  is not a slice knot.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let  $T_{2,3}$  be a right handed trefoil knot and  $4_1$  be a figure eight knot. The homology 3-spheres  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(T_{2,3}, 0))$ ,  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(T_{2,3}, 0))$  and  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(4_1, 0))$  are pairwise diffeomorphic.

Proof. By the third row and the fourth row on Theorem 1.1's table, if n = 0, the 4-dimensional handlebodies defined by Figs. 1.7, 1.8 and 1.9 have the same boundaries.





Fig. 1.10.  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$ .

Fig. 1.11.  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ .



Fig. 1.12.  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ .



Fig. 1.13.  $S^3_{+1}(D_{-}(T_{2,3}, 6))$ .

Therefore the homology 3-spheres  $S_{-1}^{3}(D_{+}(T_{2.3},0)), S_{+1}^{3}(D_{-}(T_{2.3},0))$  and  $S_{+1}^{3}(D_{+}(4_{1},0))$  are pairwise diffeomorphic.

**Corollary 1.6.** If  $K_1$  is a figure eight knot and  $K_2$  is a right handed trefoil knot (see Fig. 1.10), then  $M_6(K_1, K_2)$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold.

Proof. By the third row on Theorem 1.1's table,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is represented by  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$  and also by  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ . If *n* is 6,  $D_+(K_2, 6)$  is known to be a slice knot ([8], p. 226). Therefore by [3],  $M_6(K_1, K_2)$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold.

REMARK. By Corollary 1.6, the homology 3-sphere  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(T_{2,3}, 6))$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold. The author does not know whether the knot  $D_-(T_{2,3}, 6)$  is a slice knot or not.

QUESTION. Let  $V_n^1$  be the 4-dimensional handlebody defined by Fig. 1.12, and  $V_n^2$  be the 4-dimensional handlebody defined by Fig. 1.11. Since  $\partial(V_n^1)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\partial(V_n^2)$  by Theorem 1.1, we have a closed 4-manifold  $V_n^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_n^2)$ . Because  $D_+(T_{2,3}, 6)$  is a slice knot, we have a smooth  $S^2$  with self intersection -1 in  $V_6^2$  representing a generator of  $H_2(V_6^2)$ . Blow down this smooth  $S^2$  from the  $V_6^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_6^2)$ . Then we are left with a closed smooth 4-manifold homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ . Is this 4-manifold diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^2$ ?

**Proposition 1.7.**  $V_n^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_n^2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ .

We show this fact in Section 3.

It seems that Theorem 1.1 is related to [6] Corollary 8 (3), but the author could not understand the relationship clearly.

The author does not know whether there is an even number  $n \neq 0, 6$ , such that  $M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})$  bounds a contractible 4-manifold or not. M. Tange [10] proved that if *n* is smaller than 2,  $M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold by computing the Heegaard Floer homology  $HF^+(M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3}))$  and the correction term  $d(M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3}))$ .

# 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, first we show that  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is represented by  $S^3_{\pm 1}(D_{\pm}(K_2, n))$ . Next we compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S^3_{\pm 1}(D_{\pm}(K_2, n)))$ .

**2.1.** Proof of the first row on Theorem 1.1's table.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are right handed trefoil knots.

Proof. We show that the 4-manifolds represented by Figs. 2.1 and 2.17 have the same boundaries by following Kirby calculus:



Fig. 2.1.  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$ .



Fig. 2.2.



Fig. 2.3.



Fig. 2.4.



Fig. 2.5.





Fig. 2.7.











Fig. 2.10.

















Fig. 2.15.





Fig. 2.17.  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ .

**2.2.** Proof of the second row on Theorem 1.1's table.  $K_1$  is a left handed trefoil knot and  $K_2$  is a right handed trefoil knot.

Proof. We show that the 4-manifolds represented by Figs. 2.18 and 2.23 have the same boundaries.  $\hfill \Box$ 

**2.3.** Proof of the third row on Theorem 1.1's table.  $K_1$  is a figure eight knot and  $K_2$  is a right handed trefoil knot.

Proof. We show that the 4-manifolds represented by Figs. 2.24, 2.29 and 2.35 have the same boundaries.

Fig. 2.30 is the same diagram of Fig. 2.24, but by using the invertibility of the figure eight knot, we can show that they can be represented by a different doubled knot.  $\Box$ 

**2.4.** Proof of the fourth row on Theorem 1.1's table.  $K_1$  is a right handed trefoil knot and  $K_2$  is a figure eight knot.

Proof. We show that the 4-manifolds represented by Figs. 2.36 and 2.47 have the same boundaries.





Fig. 2.18.  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$ .



Fig. 2.20.





Fig. 2.21.





 $\xrightarrow{\stackrel{\partial}{\cong}}$  by the same process except for the sign of this sign of the framing Figs. 2.5–2.17



Fig. 2.23.  $S_{-1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ .





Fig. 2.24.  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$ .









Fig. 2.27.



Fig. 2.28.

 $\xrightarrow{\stackrel{\partial}{\cong}} by the same$ process except forthe sing of theframingFigs. 2.5–2.17



Fig. 2.29.  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ .





Fig. 2.34.





Fig. 2.35.  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ .

п



Fig. 2.36.  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$ .



Fig. 2.38.



Fig. 2.37.



Fig. 2.39.



Fig. 2.40.



 $\cong$ 

 $\stackrel{\partial}{\cong}$ 



Fig. 2.41.



Fig. 2.42.



Fig. 2.43.







Fig. 2.45.



**2.5.** Proof of the fifth row on Theorem 1.1's table.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are figure eight knots.

Proof. We show that the 4-manifolds represented by Figs. 2.48 and 2.55 have the same boundaries.

Since Fig. 2.53 is the same diagram of Fig. 2.51, we can show that they can be represented by a different double knot.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Next we compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$ . Now suppose that  $K_-$ ,  $K_+$  and  $K_0$  are links in  $S^3$  which have projections which differ at a single crossing of  $K_-$  as depicted Fig. 2.56.

REMARK. Our convention in Fig. 2.56 is different from that in [2]. In fact, their  $K_+$  (resp. $K_-$ ) is our  $K_-$  (resp. $K_+$ ). We adopt our convention as Fig. 2.56 because by our convention  $\lambda'(T_{2,3})$  is computed to be 1, where  $T_{2,3}$  is a right handed trefoil knot. While by their convention  $\lambda'(T_{2,3})$  is computed to be -1, contradicting the normalization  $\lambda'(T_{2,3}) = 1$  ([2] p148, [9] p.52).

**Lemma 2.1** (see [2], p. 143). Let  $K_{-}$  be a knot in  $S^{3}$ . Let  $K_{+}$  and  $K_{0}$  be as above. Then  $K_{0}$  is a two component link and:

$$\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$$

where  $\lambda'(K)$  is the Casson invariant of a knot K.

**Lemma 2.2** (Surgery formula, see [9], p. 52). Let K be a knot in S<sup>3</sup>. The Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(K))$  is equal to  $\lambda'(K)$ .

By Lemmas 2.1, 2.2 and the second column on Theorem 1.1's table, we can compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$ .











Fig. 2.50.

Fig. 2.51.



-1

Fig. 2.52.  $S_{-1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ .











Fig. 2.55.  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ .



Fig. 2.56.



2.6. The Casson invariant of the first row on Theorem 1.1's table.

Proof.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are right handed trefoil knots. By Section 2.1,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ . Therefore  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$  is equal to  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n)))$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n)))$  as Figs. 2.57–2.59.

By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$ . Since  $K_-$  is a trivial knot,  $\lambda'(K_-) = 0$ .  $lk(K_0)$  is -n. Therefore,  $\lambda'(K_+) = -n$ . Then  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) = \lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))) = \lambda'(K_+) = -n$ .

# 2.7. The Casson invariant of the second row on Theorem 1.1's table.

Proof.  $K_1$  is a left handed trefoil knot and  $K_2$  is a right handed trefoil knot. By Section 2.2,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $S_{-1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ . Therefore  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$ is equal to  $\lambda(S_{-1}^3(D_-(K_2, n)))$ . Since  $\lambda(S_{-1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))) = -\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_1, -n)))$  (see [9], p.52, Theorem 3.1.), we compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_1, -n)))$  as Figs. 2.60–2.62.

By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$ . Since  $K_-$  is a trivial knot,  $\lambda'(K_-) = 0$ .  $lk(K_0)$  is *n*. Therefore,  $\lambda'(K_+) = n$ . Then  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) = \lambda(S_{-1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))) = -\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_1, -n))) = -\lambda'(K_+) = -n$ .

## 2.8. The Casson invariant of the third row on Theorem 1.1's table.

Proof.  $K_1$  is a figure eight knot and  $K_2$  is a right handed trefoil knot. By Section 2.3,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $S^3_{+1}(D_-(K_2, n))$ . Therefore  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$ 



is equal to  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n)))$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n)))$  as Figs. 2.63–2.65.

By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$ . Since  $K_+$  is a trivial knot,  $\lambda'(K_+) = 0$ .  $lk(K_0)$  is -n. Therefore,  $\lambda'(K_-) = n$ . Then  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) = \lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))) = \lambda'(K_-) = n$ .

#### 2.9. The Casson invariant of the fourth row on Theorem 1.1's table.

Proof.  $K_1$  is a right handed trefoil knot and  $K_2$  is a figure eight knot. By 2(iv),  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))$ . Therefore  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$  is equal to  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n)))$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n)))$  as Figs. 2.66–2.68.

By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$ . Since  $K_-$  is a trivial knot,  $\lambda'(K_-) = 0$ .  $lk(K_0)$  is -n. Therefore,  $\lambda'(K_+) = -n$ . Then  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) = \lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_+(K_2, n))) = \lambda'(K_+) = -n$ .

# 2.10. The Casson invariant of the fifth row on Theorem 1.1's table.

Proof.  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  are figure eight knots. By Section 2.5,  $M_n(K_1, K_2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))$ . Therefore  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2))$  is equal to  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n)))$ . By Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we can compute the Casson invariant  $\lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n)))$  as Figs. 2.69–2.71.



By Lemma 2.1,  $\lambda'(K_+) - \lambda'(K_-) = lk(K_0)$ . Since  $K_+$  is a trivial knot,  $\lambda'(K_+) = 0$ .  $lk(K_0)$  is -n. Therefore,  $\lambda'(K_-) = n$ . Then  $\lambda(M_n(K_1, K_2)) = \lambda(S_{+1}^3(D_-(K_2, n))) = \lambda'(K_-) = n$ .

# 3. Proof of Proposition 1.7

We show that  $V_n^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_n^2)$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ .

Proof. By Kirby calculus from Figs. 3.1–3.6, we will show that the Kirby diagram of  $V_n^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_n^2)$  is represented by Fig. 3.6.

#### 4. Appendix

An alternative proof of Corollary 1.2. By [3], if *n* is odd,  $M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold. In this Section we will give an alternative proof of this fact. For this purpose, we will prove the following proposition;

**Proposition 4.1.** The 4-dimensional handlebodies represented by Figs. 4.1, 4.8 and 4.9 have the same boundaries.

Proof. See Figs. 4.1-4.9.



Fig. 3.1.





Fig. 3.2.



Fig. 3.3.

 $\stackrel{\partial}{\cong}$ by the same process except for the sign of the framing Fig. 2.5–2.9

~



Fig. 3.4.



Fig. 3.5.



Fig. 3.6.  $V_n^1 \cup_{\partial} (-V_n^2)$ .



Fig. 3.7.  $\mathbb{C}P^2 \# \mathbb{C}P^2$ .



Fig. 4.9.

**ON HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES** 



Fig. 4.10. Q<sub>1</sub>.

We give an alternative proof of Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Fig. 4.8 gives a smooth 4-manifold  $Q_1$  with intersection form A.

$$A = (\alpha_{ij}), \quad \alpha_{ij} = a_i \cdot a_j, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 10,$$

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & & & \\ & 2 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 & & & \\ & 1 & -6 & 1 & & & \\ & & 1 & -6 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & n - 6 & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & \\ & & & & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We have Index(A) = 0. Note that A is an even type matrix if n is even.



Fig. 4.11. Q<sub>2</sub>

Fig. 4.9 gives a smooth 4-manifold  $Q_2$  with intersection form B.

$$B = (\beta_{ij}), \quad \beta_{ij} = b_i \cdot b_j, \quad 1 \le i, j \le 14,$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & & & \\ & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & & \\ & & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & & 1 & 2 & 1 & \\ & & 1 & 2 & 1 & 1 & \\ & & 1$$

We have Index(B) = 8. Note that B is an even type matrix if n is odd.

By Proposition 4.1, we have the Rohlin invariant  $\mu(M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3}))$  as follows:

$$\mu(M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})) \equiv \begin{cases} \operatorname{Index}(B) \equiv 1 & (n \text{ is odd}) \\ \operatorname{Index}(A) \equiv 0 & (n \text{ is even}) \end{cases} \mod 2.$$

Therefore if *n* is odd,  $M_n(T_{2,3}, T_{2,3})$  does not bound any contractible 4-manifold.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank Yukio Matsumoto and Nobuhiro Nakamura for their useful comments and encouragement. The author is very grateful to the referee for his/her careful reading and useful comments.

#### **ON HOMOLOGY 3-SPHERES**

#### References

- [1] S. Akbulut: A note on a homology sphere, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 625-628.
- [2] S. Akbulut and J.D. McCarthy: Casson's Invariant for Oriented Homology 3-Spheres, Mathematical Notes 36, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1990.
- [3] C.McA. Gordon: *Knots, homology spheres, and contractible 4-manifolds,* Topology **14** (1975), 151–172.
- [4] M. Hedden: Knot Floer homology of Whitehead doubles, Geom. Topol. 11 (2007), 2277–2338.
- [5] R. Kirby: *Problems in low dimensional manifold theory*; in Algebraic and Geometric Topology (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Stanford Univ., Stanford, Calif., 1976), Part 2, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **32**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1978, 273–312.
- [6] N. Maruyama: Knot surgery descriptions of some closed orientable 3-manifolds, J. Tsuda College 16 (1984), 1–13.
- [7] Y. Matsumoto: On the bounding genus of homology 3-spheres, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math. 29 (1982), 287–318.
- [8] D. Rolfsen: Knots and Links, reprinted with corrections by the AMS, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2003.
- [9] N. Saveliev: Invariants for Homology 3-Spheres, Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences 140, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [10] M. Tange: Heegaard Floer homology of Matsumoto's manifolds, http:// www.math.tsukuba.ac.jp/~tange/MatsumotoM.pdf.

Department of mathematics Gakushuin University 5-1, Mejiro 1-chome, Toshima-ku Tokyo, 171-8588 Japan e-mail: tsuchiya@math.gakushuin.ac.jp