

Title	p-radical groups are p-solvable
Author(s)	Okuyama, Tetsuro
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1986, 23(2), p. 467-469
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5776">https://doi.org/10.18910/5776</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## **$p$ -RADICAL GROUPS ARE $p$ -SOLVABLE**

Dedicated to Professor Hiroshi Nagao for his 60th birthday

TETSURO OKUYAMA

(Received January 24, 1985)

Let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $G$  be a finite group with Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ . Following Motose and Ninomiya [3] we call  $G$   $p$ -radical if  $k_p^G$  is completely reducible, where  $k_p$  is the trivial  $kP$ -module. Our aim in this paper is to prove the following theorems.

**Theorem 1.** *If  $G$  is  $p$ -radical, then  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable.*

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $G$  be a  $p$ -radical group with Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$ . Then the following hold;*

(1) *If  $D = P \cap P^x$  for some  $x$  in  $G$ , then  $D$  is a vertex of some simple  $kG$ -module.*

(2) *If  $D = P \cap P^x$  for some  $x$  in  $C_G(D)$ , then  $D$  is a defect group of some  $p$ -block of  $G$ .*

We will write  $V | W$  if a  $kG$ -module  $V$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of a  $kG$ -module  $W$ . For  $kG$ -modules  $V$  and  $W$  and a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $(V, W)^G = \text{Hom}_{kG}(V, W)$  and  $(V, W)_H^G = T_{H,G}(V, W)^H$ , where  $T_{H,G}$  is the trace map from  $(V, W)^H$  to  $(V, W)^G$ .

### **1. Preliminaries**

Throughout this paper we let  $G$  be a  $p$ -radical group with Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  and put  $Y = k_p^G$ . In this section we shall prove two lemmas which will be used to prove the theorems stated in the introduction.

**Lemma 1.** *If  $S$  is a simple  $kG$ -module with vertex  $Q$ , then every indecomposable direct summand of  $S_p$  is isomorphic to  $k_A^P$  for some  $A \subset P$  which is conjugate to  $Q$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $Y$  is completely reducible,  $(Y, S)^G = (Y, S)_Q^G$  and  $(Y, S)_R^G = 0$  if  $R$  does not contain any conjugate of  $Q$ . Let  $X$  be an indecomposable direct summand of  $S_p$ . Then by Mackey decomposition theorem  $X \cong k_A^P$  for some  $A \subset P$  such that  $A$  is contained in some conjugate of  $Q$ . By the isomorphism

$\text{Hom}_k(Y, S) \cong (\text{Hom}_k(k_P, S_P))^G$  we have  $T_{G,A}((Y, S)^A) \neq 0$  (see Lemma 3.5, II in [1]). Thus by the above remark  $A$  contains some conjugate of  $Q$  and therefore  $A$  is conjugate to  $Q$ .

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $Q$  be a subgroup of  $P$  and put  $N=N_G(Q)$  and  $R=P \cap N$ . Let  $V$  be an indecomposable direct summand of  $k_R^N$ . If  $V_R$  has an indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $Q$ , then  $V$  also has  $Q$  as a vertex.*

*Proof.* For our proof of the lemma Scott's study in [5] is very useful. Let  $\Omega$  be the set of right cosets of  $P$  in  $G$ . Then  $Y$  is the permutation module  $k\Omega$ . If  $\Omega_Q$  is the set of fixed points of  $Q$  in  $\Omega$ , then  $X=k\Omega_Q$  is a  $kN$ -module,  $X=\bigoplus \sum k_{P^x \cap N}^N$  where  $x$  ranges over the set of representatives of  $(P, N)$ -double cosets in  $G$  with  $P^x \supset Q$  and  $k_R^N | X$ . Note that every indecomposable direct summand of  $X$  has a vertex containing  $Q$  as  $Q \triangleleft N$ . By Scott's investigation in (section 3, [5]) there exists a  $k$ -algebra homomorphism  $f$  from  $(Y, Y)^G$  to  $(X, X)^N$ . The map  $f$  induces the epimorphism from  $(Y, Y)_Q^G$  to  $(X, X)_Q^N$  (see Proof of Theorem 3(b), [5]). Since  $(Y, Y)^G$  is semisimple we conclude that  $(X, X)_Q^N$  is an ideal of  $(X, X)^N$  with  $J((X, X)^N) \cap (X, X)_Q^N = 0$ , where  $J((X, X)^N)$  denotes the Jacobson radical of  $(X, X)^N$ . Then it follows that  $(X, X)_Q^N$  is a direct summand of  $(X, X)^N$  as algebras. Let  $V$  be an indecomposable direct summand of  $k_R^N$  and assume that  $V_R$  has an indecomposable direct summand with vertex  $Q$ . Then  $k_Q^R$  is a direct summand of  $V_R$  by Mackey decomposition theorem and therefore  $(V, k_R^N)_Q^N \neq 0$ . Thus  $(V, X)_Q^N \neq 0$  and this implies that an idempotent in  $(X, X)^N$  corresponding to  $V$  is in  $(X, X)_Q^N$  as  $(X, X)_Q^N$  is an algebra direct summand of  $(X, X)^N$ . So  $V$  also has a vertex  $Q$  and the result follows.

**2. Proof of Theorem 1**

Let  $S$  be a simple  $kG$ -module in the principal  $p$ -block of  $G$  and  $Q$  be its vertex. By Lemma 1  $S_p = \bigoplus \sum k_{Q^x}^P$  for some  $x$ 's with  $Q^x \subset P$ . By the result of Knörr (Corollary 3.6, [2])  $Q$  is a defect group of the principal  $p$ -block of  $QC_G(Q)$  and therefore is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $QC_G(Q)$ . Thus  $Z(P) \subset Q^x$  for every  $x$  with  $Q^x \subset P$ . So  $\text{Ker } S \supset Z(P)$  and it follows that  $O_{p',p}(G) \supset Z(P)$ . As  $G/O_{p',p}(G)$  is also  $p$ -radical, the theorem follows by induction on the order of  $G$ .

**3. Proof of Theorem 2**

First we shall prove the statement (1) in Theorem 2. For any Sylow intersection  $D=P^x \cap P$ ,  $k_D^P$  is a direct summand of  $Y_P$  by Mackey decomposition theorem and therefore is a direct summand of  $S_p$  for some simple  $kG$ -module  $S$ . Then the result follows from Lemma 1.

Next we shall show the statement (2) in Theorem 2. Let  $D=P^x \cap P$  where  $x$  is in  $C_G(D)$  and put  $N=N_G(D)$ ,  $H=DC_G(D)$  and  $R=P \cap N$ . Since  $x$  is in  $C_G(D)$  and  $D=R^x \cap R$  it follows that  $k_D^R | k_R^{RH}$ . Then by Lemma 2  $k_R^{RH}$

has an indecomposable direct summand  $V$  with vertex  $D$  as  $k_R^{RH} | k_R^{N_{RH}}$ . Let  $W$  be an indecomposable  $kH$ -module such that  $W^{RH} = V$  and let  $b$  be a  $p$ -block of  $H$  which contains  $W$ . We claim that  $W^N$  is completely reducible. By the result of Scott (Theorem, [5]) every indecomposable direct summand of  $k_R^N$  with vertex  $D$  is the Green correspondent of an indecomposable direct summand of  $Y$  and is therefore a simple  $kN$ -module by (Lemma 2.2, [4]). Since  $W^N | V^N | (k_R^{RH})^N = k_R^N$  and every indecomposable direct summand of  $W^N$  has a vertex  $D$  we have that  $W^N$  is completely reducible by the above remark and our claim follows. Since  $W^N$  is completely reducible,  $W$  is simple and is a unique simple  $kH$ -module in  $b$  as  $H = DC_G(D)$ . Let  $B$  be a  $p$ -block of  $N$  which covers  $b$ . Then every simple  $kN$ -module in  $B$  is a submodule of  $W^N$  and therefore direct summand of  $W^N$ . This implies that  $B$  has a defect group  $D$ . So  $G$  has a  $p$ -block with defect group  $D$  by Brauer's First Main Theorem.

---

#### References

- [1] W. Feit: *The representation theory of finite groups*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1982.
- [2] R. Knörr: *On the vertices of irreducible modules*, Ann. of Math. (2) **110** (1979), 487–499.
- [3] K. Motose and Y. Ninomiya: *On the subgroups  $H$  of a group  $G$  such that  $J(KH) \supset J(KG)$* , Math. J. Okayama Univ. **17** (1975), 171–176.
- [4] T. Okuyama: *Module correspondence in finite groups*, Hokkaido Math. J. **10** (1981), 299–318.
- [5] L.L. Scott: *Modular permutation representations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **175** (1973), 101–121.

Department of Mathematics  
Osaka City University  
Osaka 558, Japan

