



Title	On homogeneous Kähler manifolds with non-degenerate canonical Hermitian form of signature $(2, 2(n-1))$
Author(s)	Shima, Hirohiko
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1973, 10(3), p. 477-493
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/5794">https://doi.org/10.18910/5794</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# ON HOMOGENEOUS KÄHLER MANIFOLDS WITH NON-DEGENERATE CANONICAL HERMITIAN FORM OF SIGNATURE $(2, 2(n-1))$

HIROHIKO SHIMA

(Received October 19, 1972)

We denote by  $M$  a connected homogeneous Kähler manifold of complex dimension  $n$  on which a connected Lie group  $G$  acts effectively as a group of holomorphic isometries, and by  $K$  an isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at a point  $o$  of  $M$ . Let  $v$  be the  $G$ -invariant volume element corresponding to the Kähler metric. In a local coordinate system  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ ,  $v$  has an expression  $v = i^n F dz_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz_n \wedge d\bar{z}_1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}_n$ . The  $G$ -invariant hermitian form  $h = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \log F}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} dz_i d\bar{z}_j$  is called the canonical hermitian form of  $M=G/K$ . It is known that the Ricci tensor of the Kähler manifold  $M$  is equal to  $-h$ . The purpose of this paper is to prove the following:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $M=G/K$  be a simply connected homogeneous Kähler manifold with non-degenerate canonical hermitian form  $h$  of signature  $(2, 2(n-1))$ . Then, if either  $G$  is semi-simple or  $G$  contains a one parameter normal subgroup,  $M=G/K$  is a holomorphic fibre bundle whose base space is the unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ , and whose fibre is a homogeneous Kähler manifold of a compact semi-simple Lie group.*

In the case of  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} G/K=2$ , the assumption of Theorem 1 is fulfilled and we have

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M=G/K$  be a complex two dimensional homogeneous Kähler manifold with non-degenerate canonical hermitian form  $h$  of signature  $(2, 2)$ . Then  $G$  is semi-simple or  $G$  contains a one parameter normal subgroup.*

As an application of these Theorems, we obtain a classification of complex two dimensional homogeneous Kähler manifolds with non-degenerate canonical hermitian form.

1. Let  $(I, g)$  be the  $G$ -invariant Kähler structure on  $M$ , i.e.,  $I$  is the  $G$ -invariant complex structure tensor on  $M$  and  $g$  is the  $G$ -invariant Kähler metric on  $M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the Lie algebra of all left invariant vector fields on  $G$  and let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be the subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to  $K$ . We denote by  $\pi$  the canonical projection

from  $G$  onto  $M=G/K$  and denote by  $\pi_e$  the differential of  $\pi$  at the identity  $e$  of  $G$ . Let  $X_e, I_e$  and  $g_e$  be the values of  $X, I$  and  $g$  at  $e$  and  $\pi(e)=o$  respectively. Then there exist a linear endomorphism  $J$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and a skew symmetric bilinear form  $\rho$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that

$$\pi_e(JX)_e = I_e(\pi_e X_e), \quad \rho(X, Y) = g_e(\pi_e X_e, \pi_e Y_e),$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  satisfies the following properties [2], [3].

$$(1.1) \quad J\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{k}, \quad J^2 X \equiv -X \pmod{\mathfrak{k}},$$

$$(1.2) \quad [W, JX] \equiv J[W, X] \pmod{\mathfrak{k}},$$

$$(1.3) \quad [JX, JY] \equiv J[JX, Y] + J[X, JY] + [X, Y] \pmod{\mathfrak{k}},$$

$$(1.4) \quad \rho(W, X) = 0,$$

$$(1.5) \quad \rho(JX, JY) = \rho(X, Y),$$

$$(1.6) \quad \rho(JX, X) > 0, \quad X \notin \mathfrak{k},$$

$$(1.7) \quad \rho([X, Y], Z) + \rho([Y, Z], X) + \rho([Z, X], Y) = 0,$$

where  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}, W \in \mathfrak{k}$ .

Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  will be called the Kähler algebra of  $M=G/K$ .

Koszul proved that the canonical hermitian form  $h$  of a homogeneous Kähler manifold  $G/K$  has the following expression [3]. Put

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \eta(X, Y) &= h_e(\pi_e X_e, \pi_e Y_e), \quad \text{and} \\ \psi(X) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}(\text{ad}(JX) - J\text{ad}(X)), \end{aligned}$$

it follows then

$$(1.9) \quad \eta(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \psi([JX, Y]),$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . The form  $\psi$  satisfies the following properties:

$$(1.10) \quad \psi([W, X]) = 0,$$

$$(1.11) \quad \psi([JX, JY]) = \psi([X, Y]), \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}, W \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

Since  $G$  acts effectively on  $G/K$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}$  contains no non-zero ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and there exists an  $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$ -invariant inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Henceforth, we assume that the canonical hermitian form  $h$  of  $G/K$  is non-degenerate, which is equivalent to the following condition:

*Let  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . If  $\eta(X, Y) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , then  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ .*

2. We shall now prepare a few lemmas for later use. The following lemma is due to [2].

**Lemma 2.1.** For  $E, X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)X, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Y) \\ &= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[X, Y]). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.2.** The adjoint representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is faithful.

Proof. Put  $\mathfrak{a} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}; \operatorname{ad}(X) = 0\}$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . We have for  $X \in \mathfrak{a}$

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta(X, Y) &= \psi([JX, Y]) \\ &= -\psi([X, JY]) = 0, \quad \text{for all } Y \in \mathfrak{g}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $h$  is non-degenerate, we have  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ . By the effectiveness, we have  $\mathfrak{a} = \{0\}$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be a commutative ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k} \cap J\mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ .

Proof. Let  $A \in \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a commutative ideal, we have  $\operatorname{ad}(A)^2 = 0$ . By the effectiveness, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (\operatorname{ad}(A)^2 X, X) + (\operatorname{ad}(A)X, \operatorname{ad}(A)X) &= 0, \\ (\operatorname{ad}(A)X, \operatorname{ad}(A)X) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , with respect to the  $\operatorname{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$ -invariant inner product  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Hence  $\operatorname{ad}(A)X = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $A = 0$  by Lemma 2.2, which proves  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ .  $\mathfrak{k} \cap J\mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$  follows from  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $\mathfrak{r}$  be a non-zero commutative ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\psi \neq 0$  on  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

Proof. Assume  $\psi = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{r}$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{r}$ , we have  $2\eta(X, Y) = -\psi([JY, X]) = 0$  for all  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $h$  is non-degenerate, we have  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{k}$ , which contradicts to Lemma 2.3. Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.5.**  $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}} \operatorname{ad}(W) = 0$ , for  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ .

Proof. Using (1.4), (1.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(W, [X, Y]) + \rho(X, [Y, W]) + \rho(Y, [W, X]) &= 0, \\ \rho(X, [Y, W]) + \rho(Y, [W, X]) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(JX, [W, Y]) + \rho([W, JX], Y) &= 0, \\ \rho(JX, [W, Y]) + \rho(J[W, X], Y) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This implies that the endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$  which is induced by

$\text{ad}(W)$  is skew symmetric with respect to the inner product which is defined by  $\rho(JX, Y)$ . Therefore  $\text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ad}(W) = 0$ . Q.E.D.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let  $\{E\}$  be a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $[E, W] = 0$ , for  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Moreover, there exists an endomorphism  $\tilde{J}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tilde{J}X \equiv JX \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$ ,  $[\tilde{J}E, W] = 0$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ .*

*Proof.* Put  $[E, W] = \lambda E$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ . Using (1.10), we have  $0 = \psi([E, W]) = \lambda \psi(E)$ . Since  $\psi(E) \neq 0$  by Lemma 2.4, it follows  $\lambda = 0$  and hence  $[E, W] = 0$ . Put  $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{k} + \{JE\}$ , then  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{h}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$ . Let  $\{L\}$  be the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{k}$  in  $\mathfrak{h}$  with respect to the  $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{k})$ -invariant inner product on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $[\mathfrak{k}, \{L\}] \subset \{L\}$ . We may assume that  $L = W_0 + JE$  where  $W_0 \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Therefore we can choose a linear endomorphism  $\tilde{J}$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\tilde{J}E = L$ ,  $\tilde{J}X \equiv JX \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then it follows

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{J}E, \mathfrak{k}] &= [L, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \{L\}, \\ [\tilde{J}E, \mathfrak{k}] &\subset [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{k}] \subset \mathfrak{k}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $[\tilde{J}E, W] = 0$  for  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ . Q.E.D.

Therefore, for any one dimensional ideal  $\{E\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we may assume that  $[JE, \mathfrak{k}] = \{0\}$ .

**3.** We shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1'.** *Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  be the Kähler algebra of a homogeneous Kähler manifold  $G/K$  with non-degenerate canonical hermitian form  $h$  of signature  $(2, 2(n-1))$ . If there exists a one dimensional ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , then we have the following.*

- 1) *With suitable choice of  $E \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{r}$ , we have  $[JE, E] = E$ .*
- 2) *Put  $\mathfrak{p} = \{P \in \mathfrak{g}; [P, E] = [JP, E] = 0\}$ . Then we have the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the direct sum of vector spaces. We know also that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a compact semi-simple  $J$ -invariant ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and that the real parts of the eigenvalues of  $\text{ad}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  are equal to 0.*

The first part of the proof of Theorem 1' is nearly the same as the previous one [6]. But, for the sake of completeness we carry out the proof.

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $\{E\}$  be a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and put  $\mathfrak{p} = \{P \in \mathfrak{g}; [P, E] = [JP, E] = 0\}$ . Then we have*

- 1)  $\mathfrak{k} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ,
- 2)  $J\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\text{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ,
- 3)  $\text{ad}(JE)J \equiv J\text{ad}(JE) \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* 1) follows from Lemma 2.6. For  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [JE, JP] &= J[JE, P] + J[E, JP] + [E, P] + W_0 \\ &= J[JE, P] + W_0 \end{aligned}$$

for some  $W_0 \in \mathfrak{f}$ , and hence 3) is proved. For  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ , it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [[JE, P], E] &= [[JE, E], P] + [JE, [P, E]] = 0, \\ [J[JE, P], E] &= [[JE, JP] - W_0, E] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $W_0 \in \mathfrak{f}$ . Therefore  $\text{ad}(JE)P \in \mathfrak{p}$  for all  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which proves 2).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\{E\}$  be a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $[JE, E] \neq 0$ , therefore with suitable choice of  $E \neq 0$ , we have  $[JE, E] = E$ .*

Proof. Assume that  $[JE, E] = 0$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we have  $J[JE, X] = [JE, JX] - J[E, JX] - [E, X] + W_0 = [JE, JX] - \lambda JE - \mu E + W_0$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $W_0 \in \mathfrak{f}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} [[JE, X], E] &= [[JE, E], X] + [JE, [X, E]] = 0, \\ [J[JE, X], E] &= [[JE, JX], E] - \lambda [JE, E] - \mu [E, E] \\ &\quad + [W_0, E] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $[JE, X] \in \mathfrak{p}$ , and hence we have

$$(3.1) \quad \text{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{g} \subset \mathfrak{p}.$$

Let  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(JE, [JE, P]) &= \rho(-E, J[JE, P]) \\ &= -\rho(E, [JE, JP]) \\ &= \rho(JE, [JP, E]) + \rho(JP, [E, JE]) \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$(3.2) \quad \rho(JE, \text{ad}(JE)^2 X) = 0.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, (3.2), we have for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d^3}{dt^3} \rho(\exp t \text{ad}(JE)X, \exp t \text{ad}(JE)Y) \\ &= \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \rho(JE, \exp t \text{ad}(JE)[X, Y]) \\ &= \rho(JE, \text{ad}(JE)^2 \exp t \text{ad}(JE)[X, Y]) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we may put

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)X, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Y) \\ &= at^2 + bt + c \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$ ,  $b$  and  $c$  are real numbers not depending on  $t$ . Since  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{p} \subset \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{k} = \{0\}$  by Lemma 2.6, (3.1),  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)$  induces a linear endomorphism  $\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{k}$ . Let  $\alpha + i\beta$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ ) be an eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE)$ . As  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)J \equiv J \operatorname{ad}(JE) \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there exists an element  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $P \notin \mathfrak{k}$  such that  $[JE, P] \equiv (\alpha + \beta J)P \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$ , and hence  $\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P \equiv \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Therefore we have by Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)JP, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= \rho(J \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= \rho(J \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P, \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P) \\ &= \rho(\exp t(\alpha + \beta J)JP, \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P) \\ &= e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} \overline{e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t}} \rho(JP, P) \\ &= e^{2\alpha t} \rho(JP, P). \end{aligned}$$

From this and (3.3), we have

$$e^{2\alpha t} \rho(JP, P) = at^2 + bt + c.$$

Since  $P \notin \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\rho(JP, P) > 0$  and hence  $\alpha = 0$ . This fact and  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{k} = \{0\}$  show that the real parts of the eigenvalues of  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  are equal to 0. Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(E) &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}(\operatorname{ad}(JE) - J \operatorname{ad}(E)) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\operatorname{ad}(JE) - J \operatorname{ad}(E)) - \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{k}}(\operatorname{ad}(JE) - J \operatorname{ad}(E)) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} \operatorname{ad}(JE) - \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}} J \operatorname{ad}(E) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{ad}(JE) - \operatorname{Tr}_{(JE)} J \operatorname{ad}(E) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

However this contradicts to  $\psi \neq 0$  on  $\{E\}$  by Lemma 2.4.

Q.E.D.

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $\{E\}$  be a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then we get the decomposition*

$$\mathfrak{g} = \{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}$$

*of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into the direct sum of vector spaces with the following properties:*

- 1)  $[JE, E] = E$ .
- 2) *The factors of the decomposition are mutually orthogonal with respect to the form  $\eta$ , and  $\eta$  is positive definite on  $\{JE\} + \{E\}$ .*
- 3) *The real parts of the eigenvalues of  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  are equal to 0 or  $1/2$ .*
- 4)  $\rho(JE, P) = 0$  for  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ .

Proof. By lemma 3.2, we may assume that  $E$  satisfies the condition  $[JE, E] = E$ . Since  $\{E\}$  is a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we get  $[X, E] = \alpha(X)E$ ,  $[JX, E] = \beta(X)E$ , for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\alpha, \beta$  are linear functions on  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is easily seen that  $P = X - \alpha(X)JE - \beta(X)E$  belongs to  $\mathfrak{p}$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Therefore we have the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}$ . Now, by Lemma 2.1, we have for  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)E, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[E, P]) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)E = e^t E$ , we have

$$\rho(E, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) = a' e^{-t}$$

where  $a'$  is a constant determined by  $P$  and independent of  $t$ . We have then

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) &= -\rho(E, J \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= -\rho(E, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)JP) \\ &= a e^{-t} \end{aligned}$$

where  $a$  is a constant determined by  $JP$ . Let  $X = \lambda JE + \mu E + P \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}, P \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)X) &= \rho(JE, \lambda JE + \mu e^t E + \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= \mu \rho(JE, E) e^t + \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\ &= a e^{-t} + b e^t \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b$  are constants independent of  $t$ . This fact and Lemma 2.1 show that for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)X, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Y) \\ &= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[X, Y]) \\ &= a e^{-t} + b e^t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)X, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Y) \\ &= a e^{-t} + b e^t + c, \end{aligned}$$

where  $a, b$  and  $c$  are constants independent of  $t$ . Let  $\alpha + i\beta$  be an eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{k}$ . As  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)J \equiv J \operatorname{ad}(JE) \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$ , there exists an element  $P \in \mathfrak{p}, P \notin \mathfrak{k}$  such that  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)P \equiv (\alpha + \beta J)P \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)JP, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\
&= \rho(J \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\
&= \rho(J \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P, \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P) \\
&= \rho(\exp t(\alpha + \beta J)JP, \exp t(\alpha + \beta J)P) \\
&= e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} e^{(\alpha + i\beta)t} \rho(JP, P) \\
&= e^{2\alpha t} \rho(JP, P).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$(3.4) \quad e^{2\alpha t} \rho(JP, P) = ae^{-t} + be^t + c.$$

Since  $P \notin \mathfrak{k}$  and  $\rho(JP, P) > 0$ , we have  $\alpha = 0$  or  $1/2$  or  $-1/2$ . Let  $\tilde{J}$  be the linear endomorphism of  $\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{k}$  which is induced by  $J$  and put for  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ ;

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha + i\beta)} &= \{\tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}; (\tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}))^m \tilde{P} = 0\}, \\
\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\alpha &= \sum_{\beta} \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha + i\beta)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{p}} = \sum_{\alpha + i\beta} \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha + i\beta)}$$

where  $\alpha = 0$  or  $1/2$  or  $-1/2$ .

Let  $\tilde{P} \neq 0 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha + i\beta)}$  and let  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$  be a representation of  $\tilde{P}$ . Then there exists a positive integer  $m$  such that  $(\tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}))^m \tilde{P} = 0$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp t \tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) \tilde{P} &= \exp t(\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}) \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}))^l \tilde{P} \\
&= e^{\alpha t} \left\{ \cos \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}))^l \tilde{P} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sin \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\tilde{\operatorname{ad}}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta \tilde{J}))^l \tilde{J} \tilde{P} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P &\equiv e^{\alpha t} \left\{ \cos \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l P \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sin \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l JP \right\} \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\
&= e^{\alpha t} \left\{ \cos \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l!} \rho(JE, (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l P) t^l \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sin \beta t \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l!} \rho(JE, (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l JP) t^l \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Put

$$h(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l!} \rho(JE, (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l P) t^l,$$

$$k(t) = \sum_{l=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{l!} \rho(JE, (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + \beta J))^l JP) t^l.$$

Then  $h(t)$  and  $k(t)$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq m-1$ . We have then

$$h(t) \cos \beta t + k(t) \sin \beta t = a e^{-(1+\alpha)t},$$

$$\left| \frac{h(t)}{t^m} \cos \beta t + \frac{k(t)}{t^m} \sin \beta t \right| = \left| a \frac{t^{-(1+\alpha)t}}{t^m} \right|.$$

Assume that  $a \neq 0$ . Since  $1+\alpha > 0$  and since  $h(t)$  and  $k(t)$  are polynomials of degree  $\leq m-1$ , the left side of the above formula approaches to 0 and the right side to  $\infty$ , when  $t \rightarrow -\infty$ . This is a contradiction, and we get  $a=0$ , which implies that

$$\rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE) P) = 0$$

where  $P$  is a representative of  $\tilde{P} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha+i\beta)}$ . Thus we have

$$\rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE) P) = 0, \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathfrak{p},$$

and hence

$$\rho(JE, P) = 0, \quad \text{for all } P \in \mathfrak{p}.$$

Therefore 4) is proved. Moreover the formula (3.4) is reduced to

$$(3.4)' \quad e^{2\alpha t} \rho(JP, P) = b e^t + c.$$

This implies that  $\alpha=0$  or  $1/2$ . Therefore we know that the real parts of the eigenvalues of  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)$  on  $\mathfrak{p}$  are equal to 0 or  $1/2$ . Thus the assertion 3) is proved. Now we shall show 2). The assertion that the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}$  is an orthogonal decomposition is clear. Put  $f = \operatorname{ad}(JE) - J\operatorname{ad}(E)$ . Then we have  $f(W)=0$  for  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ ,  $f(JE)=JE$ ,  $f(E)=E$  and  $f(P)=[JE, P]$  for  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Hence it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(E) &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}(\operatorname{ad}(JE) - J\operatorname{ad}(E)) \\ &= \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\operatorname{ad}(JE) - J\operatorname{ad}(E)) \\ &= 2 + \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}} \operatorname{ad}(JE) \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $2\eta(JE, JE) = 2\eta(E, E) = \psi(E) > 0$ .

Q.E.D.

For  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ , put

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{p}_{(\alpha+i\beta)} &= \{P \in \mathfrak{p}; (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (\alpha + i\beta))^m P = 0\}, \\ \mathfrak{p}_\alpha &= \sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{p}_{(\alpha+i\beta)},\end{aligned}$$

and let  $\pi'$  be the canonical projection from  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_{(\alpha+i\beta)} &= \pi'(\mathfrak{p}_{(\alpha+i\beta)}), \quad \tilde{\mathfrak{p}}_\alpha = \pi'(\mathfrak{p}_\alpha), \\ \mathfrak{p} &= \mathfrak{p}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2}, \\ J\mathfrak{p}_\alpha &\subset \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}_\alpha, \\ \operatorname{ad}(JE)\mathfrak{p}_\alpha &\subset \mathfrak{p}_\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** *The form  $\eta$  is positive definite on  $\mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2}$ .*

Proof. We shall first prove that the decomposition  $\mathfrak{p}_\frac{1}{2} = \sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$  is an orthogonal decomposition with respect to  $\eta$ . Let  $P \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$ ,  $Q \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta')}$ , and assume  $\beta \neq \beta'$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P &\equiv \exp t(1/2 + \beta J) \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta J))^l P \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}, \\ \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Q &\equiv \exp (1/2 + \beta' J) \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta' J))^l Q \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}.\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.5) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)JP, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Q) \\ &= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[JP, Q]).\end{aligned}$$

The left side of this equation is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{d}{dt} \rho(J \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)Q) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} \rho(J \exp t(1/2 + \beta J) \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta J))^l P, \\ & \quad \exp t(1/2 + \beta' J) \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta' J))^l Q) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} e^t \rho(\exp \beta t J \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta J))^l JP, \\ & \quad \exp \beta' t J \sum_{l=0}^{s-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta' J))^l Q) \\ &= \frac{d}{dt} e^t \rho(\{\cos \beta t + (\sin \beta t)J\}u(t), \{\cos \beta' t + (\sin \beta' t)J\}v(t))\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{d}{dt} e^t \{ (\cos \beta t \cos \beta' t + \sin \beta t \sin \beta' t) \rho(u(t), v(t)) \\
&\quad + (\sin \beta t \cos \beta' t - \cos \beta t \sin \beta' t) \rho(Ju(t), v(t)) \} \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} e^t \{ h(t) \cos (\beta - \beta') t + k(t) \sin (\beta - \beta') t \} \\
&= e^t \{ a(t) \cos (\beta - \beta') t + b(t) \sin (\beta - \beta') t \},
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
u(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{r-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta J)^i) JP, \\
v(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta' J)^i) Q, \\
h(t) &= \rho(u(t), v(t)), \quad k(t) = \rho(Ju(t), v(t)), \\
a(t) &= h(t) + h'(t) + (\beta - \beta') k(t), \\
b(t) &= k(t) + k'(t) - (\beta - \beta') h(t).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $a(t)$  and  $b(t)$  are polynomials. Since  $[JP, Q] \in [\mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}_i, \mathfrak{p}_i] \subset \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}_i$ , we put  $[JP, Q] = \lambda E + P'$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}, P' \in \mathfrak{p}_i$ . Using Lemma 3.3; 4), the right side of the equation (3.5) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[JP, Q]) \\
&= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)(\lambda E + P')) \\
&= e^t \lambda \rho(JE, E) + \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P') \\
&= e^t \lambda \rho(JE, E).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore we have

$$a(t) \cos (\beta - \beta') t + b(t) \sin (\beta - \beta') t = \lambda \rho(JE, E).$$

Since  $a(t) - \lambda \rho(JE, E)$  is a polynomial and since  $a(t_n) - \lambda \rho(JE, E) = 0$  for  $t_n = \frac{2n\pi}{\beta - \beta'}$ , where  $n$  integer, it follows that  $a(t)$  is a constant  $a$ . Similarly  $b(t)$  is a constant  $b$ . Hence we have

$$a \cos (\beta - \beta') t + b \sin (\beta - \beta') t = \lambda \rho(JE, E).$$

By this formula, we have  $(\beta - \beta')^2 \lambda \rho(JE, E) = 0$ . Since  $\beta - \beta' \neq 0$  and  $\rho(JE, E) > 0$ , we get  $\lambda = 0$ . Moreover  $\operatorname{ad}(JE)$  is non-singular on  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  and so there exists an element  $P'' \in \mathfrak{p}_i$  such that  $P' = [JE, P'']$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
2\eta(P, Q) &= \psi([JP, Q]) \\
&= \psi(P') \\
&= \psi([JE, P'']) \\
&= -\psi([E, JP'']) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta')}$  are mutually orthogonal with respect to  $\eta$ . Now, let  $P \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$ . Then we have

$$\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P \equiv \exp t(1/2 + \beta J)u(t) \pmod{\mathfrak{k}},$$

where  $u(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{t^i}{i!} (\operatorname{ad}(JE) - (1/2 + \beta J))^i P$ . By Lemma 2.1, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
(3.6) \quad & \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)JP, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\
&= \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)[JP, P])
\end{aligned}$$

The left side of the equation (3.6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \rho(J \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \rho(J \exp t(1/2 + \beta J)u(t), \exp t(1/2 + \beta J)u(t)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} \rho(\exp t(1/2 + \beta J)Ju(t), \exp t(1/2 + \beta J)u(t)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} e^{c(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)t} e^{c(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)t} \rho(Ju(t), u(t)) \\
&= \frac{d}{dt} e^t \rho(Ju(t), u(t)) \\
&= e^t (h'(t) + h(t))
\end{aligned}$$

where  $h(t) = \rho(Ju(t), u(t))$ , and  $h(t)$  is a polynomial of degree  $\leq 2m-2$ . Since  $[JP, P] = \lambda E + P'$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $P' \in \mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$ , the right side of the equation (3.6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)(\lambda E + P')) \\
&= e^t \lambda \rho(JE, E) + \rho(JE, \exp t \operatorname{ad}(JE)P') \\
&= e^t \lambda \rho(JE, E).
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$h'(t) + h(t) = \lambda \rho(JE, E).$$

The solution of this equation is  $h(t) = c e^{-t} + \lambda \rho(JE, E)$ , where  $c$  is an arbitrary

constant. However,  $h(t)$  is a polynomial and so  $c=0$ . Hence we have

$$h(t) = \lambda \rho(JE, E),$$

and hence it follows that

$$\lambda = \frac{h(t)}{\rho(JE, E)} = \frac{h(0)}{\rho(JE, E)} = \frac{\rho(JP, P)}{\rho(JE, E)} > 0.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta(P, P) &= \psi([JP, P]) \\ &= \lambda\psi(E) + \psi(P') \\ &= \lambda\psi(E) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\eta$  is positive definite on  $\mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$  and hence on  $\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \sum_{\beta} \mathfrak{p}_{(\frac{1}{2}+i\beta)}$ .

Q.E.D.

**Proof of Theorem 1'.** Since  $\eta$  is positive definite on  $\{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}}$  and since the signature of  $h$  is  $(2, 2(n-1))$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p}_{\frac{1}{2}} = \{0\}$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_0$ . Let  $P, Q \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Since  $[P, Q] \in [\mathfrak{g}_0, \mathfrak{g}_0] \subset \mathfrak{g}_0$ , where  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \{JE\} + \mathfrak{p}$ , we put  $[P, Q] = \lambda JE + P'$ , where  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ,  $P' \in \mathfrak{p}$ . It follows that  $[E, [P, Q]] = [E, \lambda JE + P'] = -\lambda E$  and  $[E, [P, Q]] = [[E, P], Q] + [P, [E, Q]] = 0$ . This implies that  $\lambda = 0$  and  $[P, Q] \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and also an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover we see easily that  $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  is an effective Kähler algebra. Since the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \{JE\} + \{E\} + \mathfrak{p}$  is orthogonal with respect to  $\eta$  and  $\eta$  is positive definite on  $\{JE\} + \{E\}$  and since the signature of  $h$  is  $(2, 2(n-1))$ , we know that  $\eta(P, P) < 0$ , for  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $P \notin \mathfrak{k}$ . Now, for  $P, Q \in \mathfrak{p}$ , put

$$\begin{aligned} \psi'(P) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{p}/\mathfrak{k}}(\text{ad}(JP) - J\text{ad}(P)), \\ 2\eta'(P, Q) &= \psi'([JP, Q]). \end{aligned}$$

For  $P \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $P \notin \mathfrak{k}$ , we have  $(\text{ad}(JP) - J\text{ad}(P))E = 0$ ,  $(\text{ad}(JP) - J\text{ad}(P))JE \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{k}}$  and hence  $\psi(P) = \psi'(P)$ . This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta'(P, P) &= \psi'([JP, P]) \\ &= \psi([JP, P]) \\ &= 2\eta(P, P) < 0, \end{aligned}$$

which proves that the canonical hermitian form of  $(\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  is negative definite. Therefore we know that  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a compact semi-simple subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  [5].

Q.E.D.

**Proof of Theorem 1.** When  $G$  is a semi-simple Lie group, our assertion follows from the results of Borel [1] and Koszul [3]. We shall show the case where  $G$  contains a one parameter normal subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $\{E\}$  be the ideal

of  $\mathfrak{g}$  corresponding to the one parameter subgroup. With appropriate choice of  $J$ , we may assume that  $J^2 E = -E$ . Put  $\mathfrak{g}' = \{JE\} + \{E\}$ . Then  $(\mathfrak{g}', J, \rho)$  is a Kähler algebra of the unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ . Now, for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , we define

$$\tilde{\rho}(X, Y) = \rho(X', Y')$$

where  $X', Y'$  are the  $\mathfrak{g}'$ -components of  $X, Y$  with respect to the decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' + \mathfrak{p}$  respectively. Then  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, J, \tilde{\rho})$  is a Kähler algebra. We denote by  $G'$  (resp.  $P$ ) the connected subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{g}'$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{p}$ ). Since  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p}, J, \tilde{\rho})$  is a Kähler algebra,  $G/P$  admits an invariant Kähler structure and is holomorphically isomorphic to the  $G'$ -orbit passing through the origin  $o$ . We know by Theorem 1' that  $G/K$  is a holomorphic fibre bundle whose base space is  $G/P \cong \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ , and whose fibre is  $P/K$ . Q.E.D.

#### 4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k}, J, \rho)$  be the Kähler algebra of  $G/K$ . We show that, if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not semi-simple, then there exists a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{g}$  is not semi-simple. Then there exists a non-zero commutative ideal  $\mathfrak{r}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Consider a  $J$ -invariant subalgebra  $\mathfrak{g}' = \mathfrak{k} + J\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}$ . Then we have

**Lemma 4.1.**  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 1$ .

Since  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$  by Lemma 2.3 and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} = 2$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 1$  or  $2$ . Suppose that  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 2$ . Then  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{g} = \dim \mathfrak{g}'$  and hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + J\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}$ . Since  $\dim \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 4$  and  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ , we have  $2 \leq \dim \mathfrak{r} \leq 4$ . Let  $\pi'$  be the projection from  $\mathfrak{g}$  onto  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}$ . Then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) \cap \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) &= \dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) + \dim \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) - \dim \pi'(\mathfrak{g}) \\ &= 2 \dim \mathfrak{r} - 4. \end{aligned}$$

First, we shall show  $\dim \mathfrak{r} \neq 3, 4$ . Suppose  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 3$  or  $4$ . Then  $\dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) \cap \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) > 0$ , and so there exist  $A \neq 0, B \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{r}$  and  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$  such that  $JA = B + W$ . Therefore we have  $2\eta(A, C) = \psi([JA, C]) = \psi([B + W, C]) = 0$  and  $2\eta(A, JC) = \psi([JA, JC]) = \psi([A, C]) = 0$  for all  $C \in \mathfrak{r}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + J\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}$ , we know  $\eta(A, X) = 0$  for all  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ . This implies  $A \in \mathfrak{k}$ , which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.3. Next, we shall prove  $\dim \mathfrak{r} \neq 2$ . Suppose  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 2$ . Then  $\dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) \cap \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) = 0$ , and hence  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + J\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}$  is a direct sum as vector spaces. Let  $A$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{r}$  such that  $\eta(A, B) = 0$  for all  $B \in \mathfrak{r}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + J\mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}$  and  $2\eta(A, JB) = \psi([JA, JB]) = \psi([A, B]) = 0$ , we have  $\eta(A, X) = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ , which implies  $A \in \mathfrak{k}$ , and hence  $A = 0$  by Lemma 2.3. This shows that  $\eta$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathfrak{r}$ . Therefore there exists a unique non-zero element  $E \in \mathfrak{r}$  such that  $2\eta(E, A) = \psi(A)$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{r}$ . We have then

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [JE, E] &= E, \\ \psi(E) &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Indeed, for  $A \in \mathfrak{r}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2\eta([JE, E], A) &= \psi([J[JE, E], A]) \\ &= -\psi([JE, E], JA) \\ &= \psi([E, JA], JE) + \psi([JA, JE], E) \\ &= -\psi([E, JA]) + \psi([J[JA, E] + J[A, JE], E]) \\ &= \psi(A) + \psi([JA, E]) + \psi([A, JE]) \\ &= \psi(A). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $[JE, E] = E$ . Let  $F$  be an element in  $\mathfrak{r}$  independent of  $E$ . Put  $[JE, F] = \lambda E + \mu F$ , where  $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\psi(E) = \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}(\text{ad}(JE) - J\text{ad}(E)) = 2(1 + \mu)$ . We shall show  $\psi(E) \neq 0$ . Suppose  $\psi(E) = 0$ . Then  $\mu = -1$  and  $\psi(F) = 2\eta(E, F) = \psi([JE, F]) = \lambda\psi(E) - \psi(F) = -\psi(F)$ . Therefore  $\psi(F) = 0$ , and hence  $\psi = 0$  on  $\mathfrak{r}$ , which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.4.

(4.2) There exists an element  $F$  in  $\mathfrak{r}$  independent of  $E$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} [JE, E] &= E, & [JE, F] &= \alpha F, \\ [JF, E] &= \beta F, & [JF, F] &= -E, \\ \psi(F) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Proof. By  $2\eta(E, E) = \psi(E) \neq 0$ , there exists  $\tilde{F} \neq 0$  such that  $2\eta(E, \tilde{F}) = \psi(\tilde{F}) = 0$ . Since  $\eta$  is non-degenerate on  $\mathfrak{r}$  and the signature is  $(1, 1)$ , we have  $\eta(E, E)\eta(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}) < 0$ . Put  $[JE, \tilde{F}] = \alpha\tilde{F} + \alpha'E$ , where  $\alpha, \alpha' \in \mathbf{R}$ . We have then  $0 = \psi(\tilde{F}) = 2\eta(E, \tilde{F}) = \psi([JE, \tilde{F}]) = \alpha\psi(\tilde{F}) + \alpha'\psi(E) = \alpha'\psi(E)$ , and hence  $\alpha' = 0$ , and  $[JE, \tilde{F}] = \alpha\tilde{F}$ . Similarly we have  $[J\tilde{F}, E] = \beta\tilde{F}$ . Now, we put  $[J\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}] = \gamma E + \delta\tilde{F}$ , where  $\gamma, \delta \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then we have  $0 = \psi(\tilde{F}) = \text{Tr}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}(\text{ad}(J\tilde{F}) - J\text{ad}(\tilde{F})) = 2\delta$  and so  $[J\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}] = \gamma E$ . Since  $2\eta(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}) = \psi([J\tilde{F}, \tilde{F}]) = \gamma\psi(E) = 2\gamma\eta(E, E)$ , it follows  $\gamma = \frac{\eta(\tilde{F}, \tilde{F})}{\eta(E, E)} < 0$ . Putting  $F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-\gamma}}\tilde{F}$ , we have  $[JF, F] = -E$ .

Q.E.D.

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{k} = \{0\}.$$

Proof. For  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ , put  $[W, E] = \lambda E + \mu F$ . Since  $0 = \psi([W, E]) = \lambda\psi(E) + \mu\psi(F) = \lambda\psi(E)$ ,  $\lambda = 0$  and hence  $[W, E] = \mu F$ . We have  $\psi([JF, [W, E]]) = -\mu\psi(E)$  and  $\psi([JF, [W, E]]) = \psi([JF, W], E) + \psi([W, [JF, E]]) = \psi([J[F, W], E]) = \psi([JE, [F, W]]) = \psi([F, W]) = 0$ . Thus  $\mu = 0$  and  $[W, E] = 0$ . Now, put  $[W, F] = \lambda E + \mu F$ . By  $0 = \psi([W, F]) = \lambda\psi(E) + \mu\psi(F) = \lambda\psi(E)$ ,

we have  $\lambda=0$  and  $[W, F]=\mu F$ . Hence it follows  $\psi([JF, [W, F]])=-\mu\psi(E)$ . On the other hand we have  $\psi([JF, [W, F]])=\psi([[[JF, W], F]]+\psi([W, [JF, F]])=\psi([J[F, W], F])=-\mu\psi([JF, F])=\mu\psi(E)$ . Therefore  $2\mu\psi(E)=0$ , and hence  $\mu=0$ ,  $[W, F]=0$ . Thus  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{r}]=0$ . Since  $[\mathfrak{k}, J\mathfrak{r}]\subset\mathfrak{k}$ ,  $[\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]\subset\mathfrak{k}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+J\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{r}$ , we know that  $\mathfrak{k}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . By the effectiveness, we have  $\mathfrak{k}=\{0\}$ .

Q.E.D.

$$(4.4) \quad 2\alpha = \beta + 1.$$

Proof. Using Jacobi identity and (1.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= [[JE, JF], F] + [[JF, F], JE] + [[F, JE], JF] \\ &= [[J[JE, F] + J[E, JF], F] + [[JF, F], JE] + [[F, JE], JE] \\ &= (\alpha - \beta)[JF, F] - [E, JE] - \alpha[F, JF] \\ &= (-2\alpha + \beta + 1)E. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows  $2\alpha = \beta + 1$ .

Q.E.D.

By (1.7), (4.2) and (4.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \rho([JE, F], JF) + \rho([F, JF], JE) + \rho([JF, JE], F) \\ &= \alpha\rho(F, JF) + \rho(E, JE) - (\alpha - \beta)\rho(JF, F) \\ &= (-2\alpha + \beta)\rho(JF, F) - \rho(JE, E) \\ &= -\rho(JF, F) - \rho(JE, E). \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts to  $\rho(JE, E) > 0$ ,  $\rho(JF, F) > 0$ . Therefore  $\dim \mathfrak{r} \neq 2$  and hence  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} \neq 2$ . Thus we have proved  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 1$ , this completes the proof of Lemma 4.1.

Q.E.D.

Let  $\mathfrak{r} \neq \{0\}$  be a commutative ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\dim \mathfrak{g}'/\mathfrak{k} = 2$  by Lemma 4.1 and  $\mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{r} = \{0\}$ , it follows that  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 1$  or  $2$ . Assume  $\dim \mathfrak{r} = 2$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) \cap \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) &= \dim \pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) + \dim \pi'(\mathfrak{r}) - \dim (\pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) + \pi'(\mathfrak{r})) \\ &= 2 \dim \mathfrak{r} - 2 \\ &= 2. \end{aligned}$$

This implies  $\pi'(J\mathfrak{r}) = \pi'(\mathfrak{r})$  and hence  $J\mathfrak{r} \subset \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{r}$ . For any  $A \in \mathfrak{r}$ , we have  $JA = A' + W$ , where  $A' \in \mathfrak{r}$ ,  $W \in \mathfrak{k}$ . It follows then

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(A) &= Tr_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}(\text{ad}(JA) - J\text{ad}(A)) \\ &= Tr_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}(\text{ad}(A') - J\text{ad}(A)) + Tr_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{k}}\text{ad}(W) \\ &= Tr_{\mathfrak{r}+\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{k}}(\text{ad}(A') - J\text{ad}(A)) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\psi=0$  on  $\mathfrak{r}$ , which is a contradiction to Lemma 2.4. Thus  $\mathfrak{r}$  is a one dimensional ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Therefore Theorem 2 is proved. Q.E.D.

5. We shall classify two dimensional connected simply connected homogeneous Kähler manifolds with non-degenerate canonical hermitian form  $h$ . The signature of  $h$  is  $(4, 0)$  or  $(2, 2)$  or  $(0, 4)$ .

(i) The case  $(4, 0)$ . Since  $h$  is positive definite,  $G/K$  is isomorphic to a homogeneous bounded domain. Hence  $G/K$  is either  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\} \times \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$  or  $\{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2; |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\}$ .

(ii) The case  $(0, 4)$ . Since  $h$  is negative definite,  $G$  is a compact semi-simple Lie group by [5]. By a theorem in [4],  $G/K$  is a hermitian symmetric space. Hence  $G/K$  is either  $P_1(\mathbb{C}) \times P_1(\mathbb{C})$  or  $P_2(\mathbb{C})$ , where  $P_n(\mathbb{C})$  is a complex  $n$ -dimensional projective space.

(iii) The case  $(2, 2)$ . Applying Theorem 1 and 2, we obtain that  $G/K$  is a holomorphic fibre bundle whose base space is the unit disk  $\{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ , and whose fibre is  $P_1(\mathbb{C})$ .

YAMAGUCHI UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] A. Borel: *Kählerian coset spaces of semi-simple Lie groups*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **40** (1954), 1147–1151.
- [2] S.G. Gindikin, I.I. Pjateckii-Sapiro, E.B. Vinberg: *Homogeneous Kähler manifolds*, "Geometry of Homogeneous Bounded Domains", Centro Int. Mat. Estivo, 3° Ciclo, Urbino, 1967.
- [3] J.L. Koszul: *Sur la forme hermitienne canonique des espaces homogènes complexes*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955), 562–576.
- [4] A. Lichnerowicz: *Espaces homogènes Kählériens*, Colloque Géométrie Différentielle, Strasbourg, 1953, 171–201.
- [5] H. Shima: *On homogeneous complex manifolds with negative definite canonical hermitian form*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 209–211.
- [6] H. Shima: *On homogeneous Kähler manifolds of solvable Lie groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **25** (1973), 422–445.

