

Title	Heegaard splitting for sutured manifolds and Murasugi sum
Author(s)	Goda, Hiroshi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1992, 29(1), p. 21-40
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5865
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

# HEEGAARD SPLITTING FOR SUTURED MANIFOLDS AND MURASUGI SUM

## HIROSHI GODA

(Received January 31, 1991)

## 1. Introduction

The concept of sutured manifolds was introduced by Gabai, and it has been playing an important role in the 3-manifold theory ([3]–[7]). On the other hand, in [2], Casson and Gordon defined Heegaard splittings of compact orientable 3-manifolds with boundaries by using compression bodies. We note that this enables us to define Heegaard splittings for sutured manifolds. In this paper, we study complementary sutured manifolds for Seifert surfaces from the viewpoint of this Heegaard splitting.

Firstly, we give the definition of Heegaard splittings for sutured manifolds following [2]. A compression body W is a cobordism rel  $\partial$  between surfaces  $\partial_+ W$ and  $\partial_- W$  such that  $W \cong \partial_+ W \times I \cup 2$ -handles  $\cup 3$ -handles and  $\partial_- W$  has no 2-sphere components. It is easy to see that if  $\partial_- W \neq \phi$  and W is connected, W is obtained from  $\partial_- W \times I$  by attaching a number of 1-handles along the disks on  $\partial_- W \times \{1\}$  where  $\partial_- W$  corresponds to  $\partial_- W \times \{0\}$ . We denote the number of these 1-handles by h(W). Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be a sutured manifold such that  $R_+(\gamma) \cup R_-(\gamma)$  has no 2-sphere components and  $T(\gamma) = \phi$ . We say that (W, W') is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$  if both W and W' are compression bodies,  $M = W \cup W'$  with  $W \cap W' = \partial_+ W = \partial_+ W'$ ,  $\partial_- W = R_+(\gamma)$ , and  $\partial_- W' =$  $R_-(\gamma)$ . Assume that  $R_+(\gamma)$  is homeormorphic to  $R_-(\gamma)$ . Then we define the handle number  $h(M, \gamma)$  of  $(M, \gamma)$  as follows:

 $h(M, \gamma) = \min \{h(W); (W, W') \text{ is a Heegaard splitting of } (M, \gamma)\}.$ 

Note that  $h(M, \gamma)$  corresponds to the Heegaard genus of a closed 3-manifold.

For the definitions of a 2n-Murasugi sum and a complementary sutured manifold, see Section 2. Let R be a Seifert surface in  $S^3$  obtained by a 2n-Murasugi sum of two Seifert surfaces  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  whose complementary sutured manifolds  $(M_i, \gamma_i)$  (i=1, 2) are irreducible. Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be the complementary sutured manifold for R. In this paper, we consider the relations between h $(M_i, \gamma_i)$  (i=1, 2) and  $h(M, \gamma)$ . In fact, we prove: **Theorem 1.** Under the above notation, we have

 $h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2) - (n-1) \le h(M, \gamma) \le h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ .

We say that an oriented surface R in  $S^3$  is a *fiber surface* if  $\partial R$  is a fibered like with R a fiber. Then we have:

**Theorem 2.** If  $R_1$  is a fiber surface, then  $h(M, \gamma) = h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ .

Note that R is a fiber surface if and only if  $h(M, \gamma)=0$ . Thus as a consequence of Theorem 1, we obtain the next fact.

**Corollary** ([3], [15]). If  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  are fiber surfaces, then R is a fiber surface.

Theorem 2 means that  $h(M, \gamma) = h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$  if  $R_1$  is a fiber surface. Moreover in Section 5, we give examples to show that the inequality of Theorem 1 is best possible for any *n*. We note that Theorem 1 corresponds to the additivity of the Heegaard genus under a connected sum proved by Haken ([8], [10]). In fact, it is proved by using Haken type results for a disk properly embedded in a sutured manifold (see Section 3).

I would like to express my gratitude to Professor Tsuyoshi Kobaysahi and Professor Makoto Sakuma for their helpful suggestions and constant encouragements.

## 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, we work in the piecewise linear category and manifolds are oriented. For the definitions of standard terms of 3-dimensional topology, see [9]. For a topological space B, #B denotes the number of components of B. Let H be a subcomplex of a complex K. Then N(H; K) denotes a regular neighborhood of H in K. Let N be a manifold embedded in a manifold M with dim N=dim M. Then  $Fr_MN$  denotes the frontier of N in M.

We recall the definition of a sutured manifold [4]. A sutured manifold  $(M, \gamma)$  is a compact 3-dimentional manifold M together with a set  $\gamma(\subset \partial M)$  of mutually disjoint annuli  $A(\gamma)$  and tori  $T(\gamma)$ . In this paper, we treat the case of  $T(\gamma) = \phi$ . The core curves of  $A(\gamma)$ , say  $s(\gamma)$ , are the sutures. Every component of  $R(\gamma) = \partial M$ —Int  $A(\gamma)$  is oriented, and  $R_+(\gamma)(R_-(\gamma)$  resp.) denotes the union of the components whose normal vectors point out (into resp.) M. Moreover, the orientations of  $R(\gamma)$  must be coherent with respect to the orientations of  $s(\gamma)$ .

We say that a sutured manifold  $(M, \gamma)$  is a product sutured manifold [6] if  $(M, \gamma)$  is homeomorphic to  $(F \times I, \partial F \times I)$  with  $R_+(\gamma) = F \times \{1\}$ ,  $R_-(\gamma) = F \times \{0\}, A(\gamma) = \partial F \times I$ , where F is a surface and I is the unit interval [0, 1]. Let L be an oriented link in  $S^3$  and R a Seifert surface for L. The exterior E(L) of L is the closure of  $S^3 - N(L; S^3)$ . Then  $R \cap E(L)$  is homeomorphic to R, and we often abbreviate  $R \cap E(L)$  to R.  $(N, \delta) = (N(R; E(L)), N(\partial R; \partial E(L)))$  has a product sutured manifold structure  $(R \times I, \partial R \times I)$ .  $(N, \delta)$  is called the product sutured manifold for R. The sutured manifold  $(N^c, \delta^c) = (cl(E(L) - N), cl(\partial E(L) - \delta))$  with  $R_+(\delta^c) = R_-(\delta)$  is the complementary sutured manifold for R. Let R be a Seifert surface in  $S^3$  and  $(M, \gamma)$  the complementary sutured manifold for R. Then R is a fiber surface if and only if  $(M, \gamma)$  is a product sutured manifold, that is,  $h(M, \gamma) = 0$ .

Sutured manifold decomposition is an operation to obtain a new sutured manifold  $(M', \gamma')$  from a sutured manifold  $(M, \gamma)$  by decomposing along an oriented proper surface S (see [4]). The notation for this operation is as follows:

$$(M, \gamma) \xrightarrow{s} (M', \gamma')$$

This paper focuses on a very special type of a sutured manifold decomposition (see Figure 2.2). A properly embedded disk D in  $(M, \gamma)$  is a product disk if  $\partial D \cap A(\gamma)$  consists of two essential arcs in  $A(\gamma)$ . A product decomposition  $(M, \gamma) \xrightarrow{D} (M', \gamma')$  is a sutured manifold decomposition along a product disk D. Note that each compression body W can be regarded as a sutured manifold with  $A(\gamma) = \partial(\partial_- W) \times I$ . In this sense, we define a product disk and a product decomposition for  $(W, \gamma)$  in this paper.

Next, we recall some definitions of Casson and Gordon [2]. Let W be a compression body. If W is homeomorphic to  $\partial_-W \times I$ , we say that W is *trivial*. A complete disk system  $\overline{D}$  for a compression body W is a disjoint union of disks  $(D^2, \partial D^2) \subset (W, \partial_+W)$  such that W cut along  $\overline{D}$  is homeomorphic to either a 3-call or  $\partial_-W \times I$  according to whether  $\partial_-W$  is empty or not. In this paper, we treat the case of  $\partial_-W \neq \phi$ .

Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be a sutured manifold and (W, W') a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$ . We say that  $W \cap W' = F$  is a Heegaard surface of (W, W'). Then  $\partial F = \partial(\partial_+ W) = \partial(\partial_+ W') = s(\gamma)$ .

The next theorem follows from [2].

**Theorem** ([2]). Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be a sutured manifold such that  $R_+(\gamma) \cup R_-(\gamma)$  has no 2-sphere components and  $T(\gamma) = \phi$ . Then  $(M, \gamma)$  has a Heegaard splitting.

EXAMPLE 2.1. Let R be an unknotted annulus in  $S^3$  with *n*-full twists and  $(M, \gamma)$  the complementary sutured manifold for R. Then M is homeomorphic to a solid torus and  $A(\gamma)$  is the union of two annuli which wrap  $\partial M$  long-itudinally *n*-times and meridionally once.

Case 1: n=1.

H. Goda

In this case,  $\partial R$  is a Hopf link and it is easy to see that  $(M, \gamma) \cong (R_+(\gamma) \times I, \partial R_+(\gamma) \times I)$ . Hence  $(M, \gamma)$  is a product sutured manifold and  $h(M, \gamma) = 0$ .

Case 2:  $n \ge 2$ .

In this case, firstly we show that  $(M, \gamma)$  is not a product sutured manifold, so that  $h(M, \gamma) > 0$ . Let  $\alpha$  be a generator of  $\pi_1(R_+(\gamma))$  and  $\beta$  a generator of  $\pi_1(M)$ . If  $(M, \gamma)$  is a product sutured manifold, the homomorphism between fundamental groups  $i_*: \pi_1(R_+(\gamma)) \mapsto \pi_1(M)$  induced by the inclusion  $i: R_+(\gamma) \mapsto$ M is an isomorphism. However,  $i_*(\alpha) = \beta^n$  or  $\beta^{-n}$   $(n \ge 2)$ , a contradiction. Hence  $h(M, \gamma) > 0$ . Now we split M into two components as follows. Take an essential arc a on  $R_-(\gamma)$  and push a a into M so that  $\partial a \subset R_+(\gamma)$ .





Let  $X=N(R_+(\gamma)\cup a; M)$ , Y=cl(M-X), then  $(X, A(\gamma)\cap X)$  and  $(Y, A(\gamma)\cap Y)$  are homeomorphic to  $(Annulus \times I \cup 1-handle, \partial(Annulus) \times I)$ . Hence (X, Y) is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$ , and we have  $h(M, \gamma)=1$ .

A surface  $R(\subset S^3)$  is a 2n-Murasugi sum of two surfaces  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  in  $S^3$  if:

- (1)  $R=R_1 \cup_D R_2$ , where D is a 2n-gon, i.e.,  $\partial D=\mu_1 \cup \nu_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mu_n \cup \nu_n$  (possibly n=1), where  $\mu_j(\nu_i \text{ resp.})$  is an arc properly embedded in  $R_1(R_2 \text{ resp.})$ .
- (2) There exist 3-balls  $\overline{B}_1$ ,  $\overline{B}_2$  in  $S^3$  such that: (i)  $\overline{B}_1 \cup \overline{B}_2 = S^3$ ,  $\overline{B}_1 \cap \overline{B}_2 = \partial \overline{B}_1 = \partial \overline{B}_2 = S^2$ ; a 2-sphere, (ii)  $R_1 \subset \overline{B}_1$ ,  $R_2 \subset \overline{B}_2$  and  $R_1 \cap S^2 = R_2 \cap S^2 = D$ .

When D is a 2-gon, the Murasugi sum is known as a connected sum. When D is a 4-gon, the Murasugi sum is known as a plumbing.

Let R be a 2n-Murasugi sum of  $R_1$  and  $R_2$ , and let  $(M, \gamma)$ ,  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$  be the complementary sutured manifolds for  $R, R_1$  and  $R_2$  respectively. By the definition of a 2n-Murasugi sum, there is a 2-sphere  $S^2$  along which R is summed and the summing disk D. Let S be the 4n-gon  $S^2$ -(Int $D \cup$  Int $N(\partial R)$ ), then we have:

**Lemma 2.2.** (cf. [5]).  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$  are obtained from  $(M, \gamma)$  by the sutured manifold decomposition along S with an appropriate orientation.



Figuae 2.2

Proof. View  $S^2$  as  $R^2 \cup \infty$  where  $\partial R \cap S^2$  is a set of evenly spaced points  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$  on the unit circle and S is the disk in  $R^2$ -Int  $N(\partial R \cap R^2; R^2)$ . Let  $a_i(\gamma) = s(\gamma) \cap S$  be a point for  $x_i(i=1, 2, \dots, 2n)$ . View  $N(S^2; S^3)$  as  $S^2 \times I$  with  $S^2 = S^2 \times \{1/2\}, S^2 \times \{0\} \subset \overline{B}_1, N(S^2; S^3) \cap \partial R = (\partial R \cap S^2) \times I.$  We can take  $R_1 \times I$ so that  $R_1 \times \{1\} \cap S^2 = D$  and  $R_1 \times \{0\} \cap (S^2 \times I) \subset S^2 \times \{0\}$ . For the sutured manifold  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$ , we can regard that  $M_1$  is  $cl(B_1 - R_1 \times I) \cup_s \overline{B}_2$  and  $s(\gamma_1)$  is obtained from  $s(\gamma) \cap \overline{B}_1$  by attaching the subarc of the unit circle connecting  $a_i(\gamma)$ ,  $a_{i+1}(\gamma)$  for *i* odd by choosing a cyclic ordering of x's well. Similarly, for  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$ , we can regard that  $M_2$  is  $cl(\overline{B}_2 - R_2 \times I) \cup_s \overline{B}_1$  and  $s(\gamma_2)$  is obtained from  $s(\gamma) \cap$  $\bar{B}_2$  by attaching the subarc of the unit circle connecting  $a_i(\gamma)$ ,  $a_{i+1}(\gamma)$  for *i* even. Note that  $cl(\overline{B}_1 - R_1 \times I) \cup_s \overline{B}_2$  is homeomorphic to  $cl(\overline{B}_1 - R_1 \times I)$ , and  $cl(\overline{B}_2 - I)$  $R_2 \times I \cup S \overline{B}_1$  is homeomorphic to  $cl(\overline{B}_2 - B_2 \times I)$ . On the other hand, we see that the sutured manifold obtained from  $(M, \gamma)$  by the sutured manifold decomposition along S with an appropriate orientation is equivalent to the disjoint union of  $(cl(\overline{B}_1 - R_1 \times I), \gamma_1)$  and  $(cl(\overline{B}_2 - R_2 \times I), \gamma_2)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.2. (see Figure 2.3)

In this paper, we call S in Lemma 2.2 a cross section disk.

Let P be a properly embedded surface in a compression body W. P is called *boundary compressible toward*  $\partial_+ W$  if there exists a disk D in W such that  $D \cap P = \alpha$ ; an arc in  $\partial D$  and  $D \cap \partial_+ W = \beta$ ; an arc in  $\partial D$ , with  $\alpha \cap \beta = \partial \alpha =$  $\partial \beta$ ,  $\alpha \cup \beta = \partial D$ , and either  $\alpha$  is essential in P or  $\alpha$  is inessential in P and the boundaries of all disk components of  $cl(P-\alpha)$  intersect  $\partial(\partial_- W) \times I$ . If P is not boundary compressible toward  $\partial_+ W$ , then we say that P is boundary incompressible toward  $\partial_+ W$ .

Now, let P be a connected surface properly embedded in a compression body W such that each component of  $\partial P \cap \partial (\partial_- W) \times I$  is an essential arc in  $\partial (\partial_- W) \times I$ .





rigule 2.5

**Lemma 2.3.** Assume that  $\partial P \cap \partial_+ W \neq \phi$  and P is incompressible and boundary incompressible toward  $\partial_+ W$ . Then P is either

- (1) an annulus such that one boundary component is contained in  $\partial_+ W$  and the other is contained in  $\partial_- W$ .
- (2) a disk whose boundary component is contained in  $\partial_+ W$ , or
- (3) a product disk in W.

Proof. Firstly, we will show the special case.

Claim. Assume that W is trivial, then P is either (1), (2) or (3).

Proof. If  $\partial P$  is contained in only  $\partial_+ W$  and  $\partial_- W$ , (1) or (2) occurs by the proof of Lemma 1.1 in [2]. Thus we suppose that there is an arc component in  $\partial P \cap \partial_+ W$ . By moving P by an isotopy, if necessary, we may suppose that each component of  $\partial P \cap \partial(\partial_- W) \times I$  is a vetical arc with respect to the product structure of W. Let  $\alpha_1$  be a component of  $P \cap \partial(\partial_- W) \times I$ , and  $\beta$  a component of  $P \cap \partial_- W$  such that  $\beta \cap \alpha_1 \neq \phi$ . Then there is another component  $\alpha_2$  of  $P \cap \partial(\partial_- W) \times I$  such that  $\alpha_2 \cap \beta \neq \phi$ . We note that  $\alpha_1 \cup \beta \cup \alpha_2$  is an arc properly embedded in  $cl(\partial W - \partial_+ W)$ . Let  $c_1$  and  $c_2$  be the components of  $Fr_{cl(\partial W - \partial_+ W)}$ .  $N(\alpha_1 \cup \alpha_2 \cap \beta; cl(\partial W - \partial_+ W))$ , and  $A_i$  a product disk in W such that  $c_i$  is contained in  $\partial A_i(i=1,2)$ . Each component of  $A_i \cap P$  is a circle or an arc such that the boundary is contained in  $\partial_+ W$ . Since W is irreducible and P is incompressible and boundary incompressible toward  $\partial_+ W$ , we can suppose that  $A_i \cap P = \phi(i=1,2)$  by standard innermost circle and outermost arc argument. Then we have conclusion (3) of the claim.

Let  $\overline{D}$  be a complete disk system for W. By standard innermost circle and outermost arc arguments, we see that P is isotopied so that  $\overline{D} \cap P = \phi$  (cf. [2], [10]). Then we have the conclusion of Lemma 2.3. Similarly, we have:

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $(W, \gamma)$  be a sutured manifold and  $(W', \gamma')$  the sutured manifold obtained from  $(W, \gamma)$  by a product decomposition. Then  $(W, \gamma)$  is a compression body if and only if  $(W', \gamma')$  is a compression body. Moreover, h(W) = h(W').

# 3. Haken type results for disks properly embedded in sutured manifolds

Let  $(M, \gamma)$  be an irreducible sutured manifold such that  $R_+(\gamma) \cup R_-(\gamma)$  contain no closed surfaces and  $T(\gamma) = \phi$ . Let (W, W') be a Heegaard splitting for  $(M, \gamma)$  and E a properly embedded disk in  $(M, \gamma)$  such that each component of  $\partial E \cap A(\gamma)$  is an essential arc in  $A(\gamma)$  and F a Heegaard surface of (W, W').

The purpose of this section is to show the next proposition.

**Proposition 3.1.** We can assume that each component of  $E \cap W'$  is a product disk by moving F by an isotopy rel  $\partial$ .



Before the proof of this proposition, we give a lemma and definitions.

**Lemma 3.2.** By moving F by an isotopy rel  $\partial$ , we may suppose that every component of  $E \cap W'$  is a disk as in Figure 3.2.

Proof. Let  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p$  be arcs embedded in W' such that each  $\alpha_i$  is obtained from a core of 1-handles of W' by extending to  $\partial_-W' \times I$  vertically (hence  $\partial \alpha_i \subset$  $\partial_-W'$ ). F is isotopic to  $\operatorname{Fr}_{W'} N(\partial_-W' \cup (\cup \alpha_i); W')$  rel  $\partial$ . Then we may suppose that  $N(\partial_-W' \cup (\cup \alpha_i); W') \cap E = N((\partial_-W' \cup (\cup \alpha_i)) \cap E; E)$ . Hence every component of  $E \cap W'$  is a disk as in Figure 3.2. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.2.

Let  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  be the components of  $E \cap W'$  which are contained in Int E, and  $B_{m+1}, \dots, B_{m+n}$  be other components of  $E \cap W'$  such that  $\partial B_{m+1} \cap \partial E, \dots$ ,  $\partial B_{m+n} \cap \partial E$  are on  $\partial E$  in this order (see Figure 3.2) and  $\sigma_i = \operatorname{Fr}_E B_i$ ,  $\Sigma = \Pi \sigma_i$ .







Let Q be  $E \cap W$  and  $\alpha$  an arc properly embedded in Q with  $\partial \alpha \subset \Sigma$ . We say that  $\alpha$  is an *innessential* arc in Q if it is homotopic to an arc in  $\Sigma$  rel  $\partial$ . If  $\alpha$  is not inessential, then it is *essential*. Suppose that  $\alpha$  is an essential arc in Q. We say that  $\alpha$  is of type I (type II resp.) if  $\alpha$  joins a component of  $\Sigma$  (two different components of  $\Sigma$  resp.). Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  be a system of mutually disjoint essential arcs in Q.  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n\}$  is a *complete system of arcs* for Q if the closure of each component of  $Q - (\bigcup N(\alpha_i; Q))$  is a disk. Set  $\overline{\alpha} = \bigcup \alpha_i$  and let  $\sigma$  be a component of  $\Sigma$ . We say that  $\sigma$  is a *distinguished circle related to*  $\overline{\alpha}$  if each component of  $\overline{\alpha}$  meeting  $\sigma$  is of type II.

Proof of Proposition 3.1

Let  $\overline{D}$  be a complete disk system of W and  $\overline{D'}$  a union of product disks in W such that  $\overline{D} \cap \overline{D'} = \phi$ ,  $(\partial Q \cap \partial_- W) \cap \overline{D'} = \phi$  and each component of  $cl(W - N(\overline{D} \cup \overline{D'}))$  is a 3-cell. By using a standard innermost circle argument, we may suppose that each component of  $Q \cup (\overline{D} \cup \overline{D'})$  is an arc since M is irreducible. We denote the system of arcs  $Q \cap (\overline{D} \cup \overline{D'})$  by  $\overline{\alpha}$  and we suppose that  $\sharp(E \cap W')$  is minimal.

Assume that some component of  $E \cap W'$  is contained in  $IntE(i.e., m \ge 1)$ .

**Claim 3.3.** We may assume that  $\overline{\alpha}$  is a complete system of arcs for Q.

Proof. By replacing  $\overline{D} \cup \overline{D}'$  if necessary, we can suppose that each component of  $\overline{\alpha}$  is an essential arc on Q (cf. [13]). By the irreducibility of M and the minimality of  $\sharp(E \cap W')$ , we see that Q is incompressible in W. Then we have this claim.

We can easily verify the following

Claim 3.4. There exists at least one distinguished circle  $\sigma_i(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ in  $\Sigma$  related to  $\overline{\alpha}$ . For the proof of the next claim, see [13].

**Claim 3.5.** If at least one of the circles  $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_m$  is a distinguished circle related to  $\overline{\alpha}$ , then there exists a disk E' in M with  $\#(F \cap E') \le m+n-1$  such that E' is isotopic to E and that each component of  $E' \cap W'$  is a disk.

If some component of  $E \cap W'$  is contained in Int*E*, it contradicts the minimality of  $\#(E \cap W')$  by Claims 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5. Then we have Proposition 3.1.

## 4. Proof of Theorems

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorems stated in Section 1.

Proof of Theorem 1

Case 1. Connected sum.

Let F be a Heegaard surface of (W, W') which realizes  $h(M, \gamma)$  and S the cross section disk. By Proposition 3.1, we may assume that  $S \cap F$  consists of an arc. Let  $W_i(W'_i \text{ resp.})$  (i=1, 2) be the components obtained by cutting W(W' resp.) along  $S \cap W(S \cap W' \text{ presp.})$ . Then  $W_i$  and  $W'_i(i=1, 2)$  are the compression bodies by Lemma 2.4. Therefore, we can assume that  $(W_1, W'_1)$  and  $(W_2, W'_2)$  give a Heegaard splitting of  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$  respectively. Then, we have  $h(M, \gamma) = h(W_1) + h(W_2) \ge h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . By a similar argument, we have  $h(M, \gamma) \le h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . This completes the proof in Case 1.

Case 2. Other cases.

Firstly, we will show that  $h(M, \gamma) \leq h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . Let S be the cross section disk as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. Since  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(M_1, \gamma_2)$  are obtained from  $(M, \gamma)$  by a sutured manifold decomposition along S, we call  $M_i$  in M the part of  $M_i$  (i=1, 2). Let  $S_1(S_2$  resp.) be the component of  $\operatorname{Fr}_M N(S; M)$  in the part of  $M_1$  (the part of  $M_2$  resp.). Then we may suppose that  $N(S; M) = S \times I$ ,  $S = S \times \{1/2\}$ ,  $S_1 = S \times \{0\}$  and  $S_2 = S \times \{1\}$ . Moreover we can suppose that each componnet of  $s(\gamma) \cap N(S; M)$  is of the form  $a_i \times I$ , and set  $a_i^1 = a_i \times \{0\}$  and  $a_i^2 = a_i \times \{1\}$   $(i=1, \dots, 2n)$ . (For  $a_i$ , see Lemma 2.2.). In the following, we identify  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$   $((M_2, \gamma_2)$  resp.) with the component of the sutured manifold decomposition from  $(M, \gamma)$  by decomposing along  $S_2(S_1 \operatorname{resp.})$  which contains the part of  $M_1(M_2 \operatorname{resp.})$ . Further we assume that each component of  $S_2 \cap s(\gamma_1)(S_1 \cap s(\gamma_2) \operatorname{resp.})$  joins  $a_i^2, a_{i+1}^2(a_i^1, a_{i+1}^1 \operatorname{resp.})$  for i odd (for i even resp.).

A Heegaard surface  $F(F_j(j=1,2) \text{ resp.})$  is said to be a *nice Heegaard surface* of  $(M, \gamma)((M_j, \gamma_j) \ (j=1,2) \text{ resp.})$  if it satisfies the following conditions: (1)  $S_1 \cap F(S_1 \cap F_j \text{ resp.})$  consists of arcs joining  $a_i^1$  and  $a_{i+1}^1$  for *i* even

- (2)  $S_2 \cap F(S_2 \cap F_j \text{ resp.})$  consists of arcs joining  $a_i^2$  and  $a_{i+1}^2$  for *i* odd
- (3)  $F \cap (S \times I)(F_j \cap (S \times I) \text{ resp.})$  is a disk.



Figure 4.1

A  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy for a properly embedded disk  $E_0$  in a compression body W is a finite sequence

$$E_0 \xrightarrow{D_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_k} E_k$$

of boundary compressions toward  $\partial_+ W$  for which

- (1) each  $D_i$  is a boundary compressing disk toward  $\partial_+ W$  for  $E_{i-1}$ ,
- (2)  $E_i$  is obtained from  $E_{i-1}$  by boundary compression toward  $\partial_+ W$  along  $D_i$ ,
- (3) each component of  $E_k$  is boundary incompressible toward  $\partial_+ W$ .

The length of a  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy is the number of boundary compressing disks  $D_i$ .

Let  $(W_1, W'_1)((W_2, W'_2)$  resp.) be a Heegaard splitting of  $(M_1, \gamma_1)((M_2, \gamma_2)$  resp.). We denote a Heegaard surface of  $(W_1, W'_1)((W_2, W'_2)$  resp.) by  $F_1(F_2$  resp.). We may assume that each component of  $S_2 \cap W_1(S_1 \cap W_2$  resp.) is a "product disk", then each component of  $S_1 \cap W_1(S_2 \cap W_2$  resp.) is a product disk too as illustrated in Figure 4.2.

**Lemma 4.1.** The Heegaard surface  $F_j(j=1,2)$  is ambient isotopic rel  $\gamma_j(j=1,2)$  to a nice Heegaard surface.





Proof. We prove this lemma for  $(W_1, W'_1)$ . Put  $E_0 = S \cap W'_1$ . Then  $E_0$  is a properly embedded disk in  $W'_1$  such that  $\partial E_0 \cap \partial_- W'_1$  consists of n arcs. Hence, by Lemma 2.3, there is a  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy  $E_0 \xrightarrow{D_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{n-1}} E_{n-1}$ . (Note that the length of the  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy is n-1 since  $\#E_{i+1}=\#E_i+1$ for each i.) We denote  $E_{i-1} \cap D_i$  by  $\alpha_i$  and we can assume that  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \phi$  by an isotopy. Hence we may consider that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  are arcs properly embedded in  $S \cap W'_1$ . We can assume that  $D_i \cap (S \times [0, 1/2])$  is of the form  $\alpha_i \times$ [0, 1/2], where S corresponds to  $S \times \{1/2\}$ . Let  $\beta_i$  be an arc  $D_i \cap (S_1 \cap W'_1)$  on  $D_i$  corresponding to  $\alpha_i$ , and  $D'_i$  be a subdisk of  $D_i$  such that  $D'_i = \operatorname{cl}(D_i - D_i \cap (S \times 0, 1/2]))$ . Since  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \phi$ , we may suppose that  $\beta_i \cap \beta_j = \phi$ . Then  $D'_1, \dots, D'_{n-1}$  determines a  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy for  $S_1 \cap W'_1$ . Do the  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy of  $D'_1, \dots, D'_{n-1}$  for  $S_1 \cap W'_1$ , but in this case, we consider that we move  $F_1$  by compressing along  $D'_i$ , i.e., slide  $F_1 \cap D'_i$  across  $D'_i$  and pass  $\beta_i$ . Then we can see that the final surface is a nice Heegaard surface.

Now suppose that  $(W_1, W_1')((W_2, W_2') \text{ resp.})$  satisfies  $h(W_1) = h(M_1, \gamma_1)$  $(h(W_2) = h(M_2, \gamma_2) \text{ resp.})$ . By Lemma 4.1,  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are nice and we may assume  $F_1 \cap N(S, M) = F_2 \cap N(S, M)$ . Thus  $F_1 \cup F_2$  forms a surface F in Mas illustrated in Figure 4.1.

We show that F is a Heegaard surface of  $(M, \gamma)$ . Let W and W' be the closure of the components of M-F as illustrated in Figure 4.1. Then W(W'resp.) can be naturally regarded as a sutured manifold, and  $S_2 \cap W(S_1 \cap W')$ is a disjoint union of n product disks of W(W' resp.). The sutured manifold obtained form W by decomposing along  $S_2 \cap W$  is a disjoint union of  $W_1$  and  $\widetilde{W}'_2 = W'_2 \cap \operatorname{cl}(M_2 - N(S; M_2))$ . Note that  $W_1$  and  $\widetilde{W}'_2$  are compression bodies, since  $\widetilde{W}'_2$  is a component of the sutured manifold obtained from the compression body  $W'_2$  by decomposing along the product disks  $S_2 \cap W$  (see Lemma 2.4). Hence, by Lemma 2.4, W is a compression body, and  $h(W) = h(W_1) + h(\widetilde{W}'_2) = h(W_1) + h(W_2)$ . Similarly, W' is also a compression body and therefore (W, W') gives a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$ . Thus we have  $h(M, \gamma) \le h(W) = h(W_1) + h(W_2) = h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ .

Next, we will show that  $h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2) - (n-1) \le h(M, \gamma)$ . Let (W, W') be a Heegaard spl,tting of  $(M, \gamma)$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose that (W, W') be a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$  which has a nice Heegaard surface. Then (W, W') induces Heegaard splittings  $(W_i, W'_i)$  of  $(M_i, \gamma_i)$  (i=1, 2) such that  $h(W) = h(W_1) + h(W_2)$ .

Proof. Since (W,W') has a nice Heegaard surface, we may suppose that each component of  $W \cap S_2$  and  $W' \cap S_1$  is a product disk. Let  $W_1(W'_1 \text{ resp.})$ be the submanifold of W(W' resp.) obtained by cutting along  $S_2$  such that the part of  $M_1$  is contained in  $W_1 \cup W'_1$ . By using Lemma 2.4, we see that both  $W_1$ and  $W'_1$  are compression bodies, and therefore  $(W_1, W'_1)$  is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$ . Let  $W_2(W'_2 \text{ resp.})$  be the submanifold of W(W' resp.) obtained by cutting along  $S_1$  such that the part of  $M_2$  is contained in  $W_2 \cup W'_2$ . Similarly,  $(W_2, W'_2)$  is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . Since  $F \cap (S \times I)$  is a disk,  $h(W) = h(W_1) + h(W_2)$ . Then we have the conclusion of Lemma 4.2.

By Proposition 3.1, we may suppose that every component of  $S \cap W$  and  $S \cap W'$  is a disk as in Figure 3.1 and that each component of  $S \cap F$  joins  $a_i$ ,  $a_{i+1}$  for *i* even (see Figure 4.3).

Let  $S \cap W$  be  $E_0$  and  $E_0 \xrightarrow{D_1} E_1 \xrightarrow{D_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{D_{n-1}} E_{n-1}$  be a  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy.



Figure 4.3

#### HEEGAARD SPLITTING

We assume that the part of  $M_i$  is defined for S before the boundary compression. If  $D_i$  is contained in the part of  $M_2$ , we say that  $D_i$  is good, and if  $D_i$  is contained in the part of  $M_1$  we say that  $D_i$  is bad. Let  $\alpha_i$  be the arc  $E_{i-1} \cap D_i$ . If  $N(\alpha_i; D_i)$  is contained in the part of  $M_2$  and  $D_i$  is not good, we say that  $D_i$  is semi good. If  $N(\alpha_i; D_i)$  is contained in the part of  $M_1$  and  $D_i$  is not bad, we say that  $D_i$  is semi bad. If  $D_1, \dots, D_{n-1}$  are all good, we say that this  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy is good.

We denote  $E_{i-1} \cap D_i$  by  $\alpha_i$  and we can assume that  $\alpha_i \cap \alpha_j = \phi$  by an isotopy. Hence we may consider that  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  are arcs properly embedded in  $S \cap W$ .

By the proof of Lemma 4.1, we have:

**Lemma 4.3.** If  $S \cap W$  has a good  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy, (W, W') has a nice Heegaard surface.

We suppose that  $D_i$  is bad or semi bad some *i*. Let  $\tilde{F}$  be a surface obtained from F by attaching 1-handles in the neighborhood of  $\alpha_i$  contained in the part of  $M_2$  for all bad or semi bad disks  $D_i$  as illustrated in Figure 4.4, and let  $\tilde{W}$ ,  $\tilde{W}'$  be the closure of the components of  $M-\tilde{F}$  corresponding to W, W' respectively.



Figure 4.4

Then we have:

**Claim 4.4.**  $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W}')$  is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$ .

Proof. Since  $\widetilde{W}'$  is obtained from a compression body W' by attaching 1-handles on  $\partial_+ W'$ ,  $\widetilde{W}'$  is a compression body. So we have only to prove that

 $\widetilde{W}$  is a compression body. Let  $D_{i_1}, \cdots, D_{i_t}(i_1 < \cdots < i_t)$  be the bad or semi bad disks and  $H_1, \dots, H_t$  by be the corresponding 1-handles. Put  $F_0 = F$  and let  $F_{\ell}(1 \leq \ell \leq t)$  be the surface obtained from  $F_{\ell-1}$  by attaching the 1-handle  $H_{\ell}$ . Let  $W_{\ell}$  be the closure of the component of  $M-F_{\ell}$  corresponding to W. Then we have  $F_i = \widetilde{F}$  and  $W_i = \widetilde{W}$ . For each  $D_i$ , there is a 3-cell  $C_i$  such that  $C_i$  is homeomorphic to  $D_i \times I$  with;  $D_i$  corresponds to  $D_i \times \{1/2\}$ ;  $\alpha_i \times I \subset S$ ;  $cl(\partial D_i - \alpha_i) \times I$  $I \subset F$ . Then we may suppose that for j > i, each component of  $D_i \cap C_i$  (if exists) is of the form  $D_i \times \{a \text{ point}\}$  in  $C_i$ . In this case, we say that a subdisk of  $D_j$  is parallel with the trace of  $D_i$ . Moreover we may suppose that  $D_i$  intersects each of the attaching solid 1-handle ( $\simeq D^2 \times I$ ) in disks of the form (arcs)×I. Now we show inductively that  $W_{\ell}(1 \le l \le t)$  is a compression body. Let  $\tilde{D}_{i_1}$  be a disk obtained from  $D_{i_1}$  by extending  $\alpha_{i_1}$  to  $H_1$  vetically in  $S \times I$ . Cut  $W_1$  along  $D_{i_1}$ , then we get a compression body homeomorphic to W. Hence  $W_1$  is a compression body. Assume that  $W_{\ell-1}$  is a compression body. Then we attach the  $\ell$ -th 1-handle in a neighborhood of  $\alpha_{i_{\ell}}$ . If  $D_{i_{\ell}}$  is semi bad, we have two cases, i.e., whether  $D_{i_j}$  contains subdisks which are parallel with the trace of bad or semi bad disks  $D_j(i_1 \le j \le i_l)$  or not. If  $D_{i_l}$  is a bad disk, or a semi bad disk which does not contain subdisks which are parallel with the trace of bad or semi bad disks,  $W_{\ell}$  is a compression body by the same argument in case of  $W_1$ . If  $D_{i_{\ell}}$  is a semi bad disk which contains subdisks which are parallel with the trace of bad or semi bad disks, let  $B_1, \dots, B_m$  be disk components of  $D_{i_j} \cap (\text{solid 1-handles})$ and  $\tilde{D}_{i_{\ell}}$  be a disk obtained from  $D_{i_{\ell}}$  by extending to  $\alpha_{i_{\ell}}$  to  $H_{\ell}$  vetically in  $S \times I$ and  $D'_{i_{\ell}} = cl(\tilde{D}_{i_{\ell}} - D_{i_{\ell}})$ . Let  $D''_{i_{\ell}}$  be the component of  $cl(D_{i_{\ell}} - \bigcup B_{h})$  which contains  $\alpha_{i_j}$ . Then Int  $D'_{i_j} \cap (1\text{-handles}) = \phi$ . Let D be a disk  $D'_{i_j} \cup D'_{i_j}$ . Cut  $W_{\ell}$ along D, then we get a compression body homeomorphic to  $W_{\ell-1}$ . Hence  $W_{\ell}$  is a compression body. Then we have the conclusion.

# **Claim 4.5.** $S \cap \widetilde{W}$ has a good $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy.

Proof. If  $D_i$  is bad or semi bad, let  $\tilde{D}_i$  be the disk as in the proof of Claim 4.4 and let  $D'_i$  be a disk  $cl(\tilde{D}_i - D_i)$ . Replace  $D_i$  by  $D'_i$ , then we get a good boundary compressing disk  $D'_i$  toward  $\tilde{F}$ . If  $D_i$  is semi good,  $D_i$  contains subdisks which are parallel with the trace of bad or semi bad disks  $D_j(1 \le j < i)$ . Let  $B_1, \dots, B_k$  be disk components of  $D_i \cap (1\text{-handles})$ . Let  $D'_i$  denote a subdisk of  $D_i$  where  $D'_i$  is the component of  $cl(D_i - \bigcup B_m)$  which contains  $\alpha_i$ . Then  $D'_i$  is a good boundary compressing disk and replace  $D_i$  to  $D'_i$ . Then it is clear that these boundary compressing disks constitute good  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy.

**Lemma 4.6.**  $h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2) - t \le h(M, \gamma)$ , where t is the number of the bad or semi bad disks.

Proof. Suppose that (W, W') is a Heegaard splitting of  $(M, \gamma)$  such that  $h(W) = h(M, \gamma)$ . By Claims 4.4, 4.5, and Lemma 4.3,  $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W'})$  has a nice Heegaard surface. Then  $(\widetilde{W}, \widetilde{W'})$  induces Heegaard splittings  $(\widetilde{W}_1, \widetilde{W}_1)$  of  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  and  $(\widetilde{W}_2, \widetilde{W}_2)$  of  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$  such that  $h(\widetilde{W}) = h(\widetilde{W}_1) + h(\widetilde{W}_2)$ , by Lemma 4.2. Since  $h(\widetilde{W}) = t + h(W) = t + h(M, \gamma)$  and  $h(\widetilde{W}_i) \ge h(M_i, \gamma_i)$   $(i=1, 2), t+h(M, \gamma) \ge h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . Hence we have the conclusion.

By Lemma 4.6 and the fact that  $S \cap W$  has a  $\partial$ -compressing hierarchy of length n-1, we have  $h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2) - (n-1) \le h(M, \gamma)$ . This completes the proof of in Case 2.

Proof of Theorem 2

We note that the idea of the proof of Theorem 2 is due to ([6] proof of Theorem 3.1). Let  $E=S^2$ —Int D, where D is an attaching disk and  $S^2$  is a 2-sphere of a 2n-Murasugi sum, and T the surface obtained by summing  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  along E. After a tiny isotopy, we may suppose that  $R \cap T = \phi$  (see Figure 4.5).



Figure 4.5

T is a properly embedded in  $(M, \gamma)$  and  $\partial T = s(\gamma)$ . We assume that+ side and -side of R and T are as in Figure 4.5. R and T separates  $(M, \gamma)$ into two sutured manifold  $(M', \gamma')$  and  $(M'', \gamma'')$ , where M'(M'' resp.) is the closure of the component of M-T between T and  $R_{-}(\gamma)(R_{+}(\gamma) \text{ resp.})$ . Let  $\gamma' = \gamma \cap M'(\gamma'' = \gamma \cap M'')$  and  $D'_{i}(D'_{i} \text{ resp.})$  be the product disks in  $(M', \gamma')$  $((M'', \gamma'') \text{ resp.})$  corresponding to  $\mu_{i} \times I(\nu_{i} \times I \text{ resp.})$  for  $1 \le i \le n$ . Then the product disks  $\bigcup D'_{i}$  decompose  $(M'', \gamma'')$  into a product sutured manifold  $((R_{2}-\operatorname{Int} N(D)) \times I, \partial(R_{2}-\operatorname{Int} N(D)) \times I)$  and a sutured manifold homeomorH. Goda

phic to  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$ . Since  $R_1$  is a fiber surface,  $(M_1, \gamma_1)$  is a product sutured manifold, and hence  $(M'', \gamma'')$  is a product sutured manifold by Lemma 2.4. Hence  $(M', \gamma') \cong (M, \gamma)$ . The product disks  $\bigcup D'_i$  decompose  $(M', \gamma')$  into a product sutured manifold  $((R_1-\operatorname{Int} N(D)) \times I, \partial(R_1-\operatorname{Int} N(D)) \times I)$  and a sutured manifold homeomorphic to  $(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . Thus by the proof of Theorem 1 for the case n=1, we see  $h(M', \gamma')=h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . Hence we have  $h(M, \gamma)=h(M_2, \gamma_2)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

### 5. Examples

In this section, we give examples of Murasugi sums for which we have  $h(M_1, \gamma_1) + h(M_2, \gamma_2) - (n-1) = h(M, \gamma)$  for any n.

Let L be the pretzel link  $L=P(4,4, \dots, 4)$  in  $S^3$  and R a Seifert surface for L as illustrated in Figure 5.1.



Figure 5.1

Let  $\vec{R}$  be a Seifert surface obtained from two copies of R by a 2n-Murasugi sum as in Figure 5.2.



Figure 5.2

Let  $(M, \gamma)$  and  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma})$  be the complementary sutured manifolds for R and  $\tilde{R}$  respectively. Then we have:

### **Claim 5.1.** $h(M, \gamma) = n - 1$ .

Proof. Note that M is a cube with (n-1) handles, since the product sutured manifold for R is a cube with (n-1) handles normally embedded in  $S^3$ . At first, we show that  $h(M, \gamma) \ge n-1$ . Let  $(N, \delta)$  be a product sutured manifold for R and we take the free basis  $l_1, \dots, l_{n-1}, m_1, \dots, m_{n-1}$  of  $H_1(\partial N)$  and the free basis  $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$  of  $H_1(R_{-}(\delta))$  as illustrated in Figure 5.3.



Figure 5.3

Let  $\bar{l}_i$  be the elements of  $H_1(M)$  represented by  $m_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . Then  $\{\bar{l}_1, \dots, \bar{l}_{n-1}\}$  is the free basis of  $H_1(M)$ , and we have  $a_1 = 4\bar{l}_1 - 2\bar{l}_2$ ,  $a_i = -2\bar{l}_{i-1} + 4\bar{l}_i - 2\bar{l}_{i+1}(2\le i \le n-2)$ ,  $a_{n-1} = -2\bar{l}_{n-1} + 4\bar{l}_{n-1}$ . Now, assume that  $h(M, \gamma) \le n-2$ , then  $M \cong R_+(\gamma) \times I \cup (n-2)$  1-handles  $\cup (n-2)$  2-handles. Since  $H_1(R_+(\gamma)) \approx \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle$ , we have  $H_1(M) \approx \langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}, h_1, \dots, h_{n-2} | r_1, \dots, r_{n-2} \rangle$ , where  $h_i(1 \le i \le n-2)$  is a generator corresponding to attaching 1-handle and  $r_j(1 \le j \le n-2)$  is a relation arising from a 2-handle. Then,  $H_1(M)/i_*H_1(R_+(\gamma)) \approx \langle h_1, \dots, h_{n-2} | r_1, \dots, r_{n-2} \rangle$ , and this abelian group is generated by (n-2) elements. On the other hand,  $H_1(M)/\langle a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \rangle \approx \langle \bar{l}_1, \dots, \bar{l}_{n-1} | 4\bar{l}_1 - 2\bar{l}_2, -2\bar{l}_1 + 4\bar{l}_2 - 2\bar{l}_3, \dots, -2\bar{l}_{n-3} + 4\bar{l}_{n-2} - 2\bar{l}_{n-1}, -2\bar{l}_{n-2} + 4\bar{l}_{n-1} \rangle \approx \oplus Z_2 \oplus Z_{2n}$ , and this abelian group cannot be generated by less than (n-1) elements by the fundamental theorem on the abelian group. This is a contradiction, and hence  $h(M, \gamma) \ge n-1$ .

Next, we show that  $h(M, \gamma) = n-1$ . We consider properly embedded arcs  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{n-1}$  in  $(M, \gamma)$  such that  $\partial \alpha_i \subset R_-(\gamma) = R_+(\delta)$  as illustrated in Figure 5.4.



Put  $X=R_{-}(\gamma)\times I \cup N(\alpha_{i}; M)$ , then X is a compression body with h(X)=n-1. On the other hand, Figure 5.5 shows that cl (M-X) is also a compression body with h(cl(M-X))=n-1. Then  $h(M, \gamma)=n-1$ .



Figure 5.5

## Claim 5.2. $h(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma}) = n - 1$ .

Proof. We assume that +side and -side of  $\tilde{R}$  are as in Figure 5.2. Let  $(\tilde{N}, \tilde{\delta})$  be a product sutured manifold for  $\tilde{R}$  and  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n-2}$  the free basis of  $H_1(\mathcal{R}_+(\tilde{\delta}))$  as illustrated in Figure 5.6.



Figure 5.6

We consider properly embedded arcs  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_{n-1}$  in  $(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma})$  such that  $\partial \beta_i \subset R_+(\tilde{\gamma}) = R_-(\tilde{\delta})$  as illustrated in Figure 5.6. Put  $X = R_+(\tilde{\gamma}) \times I \cup N(\beta_i; \tilde{M})$ , then X is a compression body with h(X) = n-1. Put  $Y = cl(\tilde{M}-X)$ , and let  $d_i$  be a properly embedded disk in Y corresponding to the dual of  $N(\beta_i; \tilde{M})$   $(1 \le i \le n-1)$ . We may choose  $d_i$  so that  $x_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$  crosses transversely  $d_i(1 \le i \le n-1)$  once. Then cutting  $\tilde{M}$  along  $d_i$  and moving by an isotopy, we can assume that  $x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}$  do not cross other meridian disks in  $\tilde{M}$  and we can see that  $x_i(n \le i \le 2n-2)$  crosses transversely a meridian disk of  $\tilde{M}$  once. By an argument similar to the proof of Claim 5.1, Y is homeomorphic to  $R_-(\tilde{\gamma}) \times I \cup (n-1)$  1-handles. Thus we have  $h(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma}) \le n-1$ , on the other hand, by Theorem 1,  $n-1 \le h(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma}) \le 2n-2$ . Hence we have  $h(\tilde{M}, \tilde{\gamma}) = n-1$ .

#### References

- [1] G. Burde, H. Zieschang: Knots, De Gruyter Studies in Math. 5, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin and New York, 1985.
- [2] A. Casson, C. Gordon: Reducing Heegaard splitting, Topology and its Appl. 27 (1987), 275-283.
- [3] D. Gabai: The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation, Contemp. Math. 20 (1983), 131-143.
- [4] D. Gabai: Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds, J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), 445-503.

### H. Goda

- [5] D. Gabai: The Murasugi sum is a natural geometric operation II, Contemp. Math. 44 (1985), 93-100.
- [6] D. Gabai: Detecting fibered links in S<sup>3</sup>, Comm. Math. Helb. 61 (1986), 519-555.
- [7] D. Gabai: Genus is superadditive under band connected sum, Topology 26 (1987), 209–210.
- [8] W. Haken: Some results on surfaces in 3-manifolds, Studies in Modern Topology, Math. Assoc. Amer., Prentice Hall, 1968.
- [9] J. Hempel: 3-manifolds, Ann. of Math. Studies No.86, Princeton University Press, Princeton N.J., 1976.
- [10] W. Jaco: Lectures on three manifolds topology, CBMS Regional Conf. Ser. in Math 43, 1980.
- [11] T. Kobayashi: Fibered links and unknotting operations, Osaka J. Math. 26 (1989), 699-742.
- [12] T. Kobayashi: Uniqueness of minimal genus Seifert surfaces for links, Topology and its appl. 33 (1989), 265-279.
- [13] M. Ochiai: On Haken's theorem and its extension, Osaka J. Math. 20 (1983), 461– 468.
- [14] M. Scharlemann: Sutured manifolds and generalized Thurston norms, J. Diff. Geom. 29 (1989), 557–614.
- [15] J. Stallings: Constructions of fibered knots and links, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. AMS 27 (1975), 315-319.

Department of Mathematics Faculty of Science Osaka Universtiy Toyonaka, Osaka 560 Japan.