

Title	Uniqueness of the most symmetric non-singular plane sextics
Author(s)	Idei, Kunihiro; Doi, Hiroshi; Kaneta, Hitoshi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2000, 37(3), p. 667-687
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5884
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

UNIQUENESS OF THE MOST SYMMETRIC NON-SINGULAR PLANE SEXTICS

HIROSHI DOI, KUNIHIRO IDEI and HITOSHI KANETA

(Received July 18, 1998)

0. Introduction

Let C be a compact Riemann surface of genus $g \geq 2$. The order of the holomorphic automorphism group $\text{Aut}(C)$ takes the value $84(g-1)$, $48(g-1)$, $40(g-1)$, $36(g-1)$, $30(g-1)$ or less by Hurwitz' theorem ([5, Chap. 6] or [1, Chap. 5]). A homogeneous polynomial $f \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$ with $n = \deg f \geq 1$ defines an algebraic curve $C(f)$ in the projective plane \mathbf{P}^2 over the complex number field \mathbf{C} . As is well known $C(f)$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $(n-1)(n-2)/2$ if $C(f)$ is non-singular. Particularly a non-singular plane quartic (resp. sextic) has genus $g = 3$ (resp. $g = 10$). Let $\text{Aut}(f)$ be the subgroup of the projectivities $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ of \mathbf{P}^2 consisting of all projectivities (A) defined by $A \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that f_A is proportional to f . Here $f_A(x, y, z) = f((x, y, z)(^t A^{-1}))$ by definition. Clearly $\text{Aut}(f)$ coincides with the projective automorphism group of $C(f)$, if f is irreducible. It is also known that a holomorphic automorphism of a non-singular curve $C(f)$ of degree $n \geq 4$ is induced by a projectivity $(A) \in PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ [9, Theorem 5.3.17(3)]. Therefore $\text{Aut}(C(f)) = \text{Aut}(f)$ if $C(f)$ is non-singular of degree $n \geq 4$. By abuse of terminology we say that a homogeneous polynomial f is non-singular or singular according as $C(f)$ is.

As is well known, the Klein quartic $f_4 = x^3y + y^3z + z^3x$ is the most symmetric in the sense that $|\text{Aut}(f_4)| = 84 \times (3-1)$. It is also known that if $|\text{Aut}(f)| = 168$ for a non-singular plane quartic f , then f is projectively equivalent to f_4 . A. Wiman has shown that for the following non-singular sextic

$$f_6 = 27z^6 - 135z^4xy - 45z^2x^2y^2 + 9z(x^5 + y^5) + 10x^3y^3,$$

$\text{Aut}(f_6)$ is isomorphic to the simple group $A_6 \simeq PSL(2, 3^2)$ [11], as a result $|\text{Aut}(f_6)| = 40(g-1) = 360$. We call f_6 the Wiman sextic. He has also shown that the group $\text{Aut}(f_6)$ acts transitively on the set of 72 flexes of $C(f_6)$. We can show even that no three flexes are collinear [6]. Our main results are

Theorem. *Let f be a non-singular plane sextic defined over \mathbf{C} . Then*

- (1) $|\text{Aut}(f)| \leq 360$.
- (2) $|\text{Aut}(f)| = 360$ if and only if f is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic f_6 .

(1) will be proved in §1 according to [4], while (2) will be shown in §2. We can show that the most symmetric non-singular plane curve of degree 3, 5 or 7 is projectively equivalent to the Fermat curve [7].

We recall a well known fact: Let $R_A: \mathbf{C}[x, y, z] \rightarrow \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$ be a mapping defined by $R_A f = f_A$ for $A \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ and $f \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. Then R_A is a ring-automorphism of the polynomial ring $\mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. Since $(f_A)_B = f_{BA}$ for $A, B \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$, the assignment $A \rightarrow R_A$ is a group homomorphism of $GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ into $\text{Aut}(\mathbf{C}[x, y, z])$.

We write $a \sim b$ when two quantities a and b such as polynomials or matrices are proportional. E_3 stands for the 3×3 unite matrix, and e_i for the i -th column vector of E_3 ($1 \leq i \leq 3$).

1. The maximum order of the automorphism group of non-singular plane sextics

Let f be a non-singular plane sextic. In this section we will show that the order of the projective automorphism group $\text{Aut}(f)$ can take the value neither 84×9 nor 48×9 (Theorem (1)). Otherwise, for some f $\text{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup of order 3^3 by Sylow's theorem. Thus it suffices to show the following theorem.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be a non-singular plane sextic. If $27 \mid |\text{Aut}(f)|$, then $|\text{Aut}(f)| < 360$.*

Our approach is elementary, but involves much computation. There exist exactly five groups of order 27 up to group isomorphism [3, 4.4]. They are three abelian groups and two non-abelian groups: (1) \mathbf{Z}_{27} (2) $\mathbf{Z}_9 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$ (3) $\mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$ (4) $a^9 = 1, b^3 = 1, b^{-1}ab = a^4$ (5) $a^3 = 1, b^3 = 1, c^3 = 1, ab = bac, ca = ac, cb = bc$. The group (5) is isomorphic to the matrix group

$$E(3^3) = \left\{ M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \alpha & \gamma \\ 0 & 1 & \beta \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{F}_3 \right\}.$$

We find projective representations of these groups in the projective plane \mathbf{P}^2 defined over \mathbf{C} , and find a non-singular invariant sextic f , if any. We can manage to estimate the order of the projective automorphism group $\text{Aut}(f)$.

Lemma 1.2. *Let ε be a primitive 9-th root of $1 \in \mathbf{C}$. If G_9 is a subgroup of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$, isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_9 , then G_9 is conjugate to one of the following three groups in $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$:*

- (1) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon] \rangle\rangle$ (2) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2] \rangle\rangle$ (3) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3] \rangle\rangle$.

Proof. By our assumption G_9 is generated by a projective transformation (A) , where $A \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies $A^9 = E_3$ and $\text{ord}((A)) = 9$, namely $G_9 = \langle (A) \rangle$. Therefore it is conjugate to $\langle (\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon^i, \varepsilon^j]) \rangle$ for some $0 \leq i \leq j \leq 8$ with $(i, j) \neq (0, 0), (0, 3), (0, 6), (3, 3), (3, 6), (6, 6)$. If $(i, j) = (0, j)$ with $j \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$ or $i = j \not\equiv 0 \pmod 3$, then G_9 is conjugate to (1). If $1 \leq i < j \leq 8$ with $(i, j) \not\equiv (0, 0) \pmod 3$, then G_9 is conjugate to (2) or (3) according as $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 5), (1, 8), (2, 4), (2, 7), (4, 5), (4, 8), (5, 7), (7, 8)\}$ or $(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (1, 4), (1, 6), (1, 7), (2, 3), (2, 5), (2, 6), (2, 8), (3, 4), (3, 5), (3, 7), (3, 8), (4, 6), (4, 7), (5, 6), (5, 8), (6, 7), (6, 8)\}$. \square

Lemma 1.3. *Let $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{C} (1 \leq j \leq n)$ be mutually distinct, and let $f_{j,A} = \lambda_j f_j$ for some $A \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ and $f_j \in \mathbf{C}[x, y, z]$. If $f = f_1 + \dots + f_n \neq 0$ satisfies $f_A = \lambda f$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, then $\lambda = \lambda_i$ for some i , and $f_j = 0$ for $j \neq i$.*

Proof. We have $\lambda^k f = \lambda_1^k f_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^k f_n$ for $0 \leq k < n$. Multiplying the inverse of the Vandermonde matrix, we get $f_j = c_j f (1 \leq j \leq n)$ for some $c_j \in \mathbf{C}$. Thus $c_j(\lambda_j - \lambda)f = 0$. Since f is assumed not to be the zero polynomial, the lemma follows. \square

Proposition 1.4. *Let f be a plane sextic. If $\text{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup G_9 isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_9 , then $C(f)$ has a singular point.*

Proof. Let $A_1 = \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon]$, $A_2 = \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2]$ and $A_3 = \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3]$. By Lemma 1.2 we may assume that $f_{A_j^{-1}} = \lambda_j f$ for some $\lambda_j \in \mathbf{C} (1 \leq j \leq 3)$. Since $A_j^9 = E_3$, it follows that $\lambda_j^9 = 1$. In addition any monomial m satisfies $m_{A_j^{-1}} = \varepsilon^i m$ for some i . Suppose that a homogeneous polynomial $f'(x, y, z)$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Then $(1, 0, 0)$ is a singular point of $C(f')$ if and only if f' contains none of three monomials $x^d, x^{d-1}y$ and $x^{d-1}z$. In the following table we summarize the values i such that $m_{A_j^{-1}} = \varepsilon^i m$ for each $j = 1, 2, 3$ and for special 9 monomials. The proposition is immediate from the table.

	x^6	x^5y	x^5z	y^6	y^5x	y^5z	z^6	z^5x	z^5y
(1)	0	1	1	6	5	6	6	5	6
(2)	0	1	2	6	5	7	3	1	2
(3)	0	1	3	6	5	8	0	6	7

\square

Proposition 1.5. *No subgroup of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$.*

Proof. Assume that a subgroup G of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$. Then there exist $A_1, A_2, A_3 \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $A_1^3 = A_2^3 = A_3^3 = E_3, A_i A_j \sim A_j A_i$ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq 3$, and $G = \langle (A_1), (A_2), (A_3) \rangle$. Let ω be a primitive 3rd root of

1. We may assume that G contains (W) of the form $(\text{diag}[1, 1, \omega])$ or $(\text{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2])$. We will show that the first case implies the second case. Since $WA_j \sim A_jW$, the (3,1), (3,2), (1,3) and (2,3) components of $A_j (j = 1, 2, 3)$ vanish. So we can assume that $A_1 = \text{diag}[\omega^m, \omega^n, \omega]$ for some $0 \leq m, n < 3$. If $n = m$, then $n \neq 1$, and $A_2 = \text{diag}[\omega^{m'}, \omega^{n'}, \omega]$ with $n' \neq m'$. Thus $(\text{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2]) \in G$. We will show that the assumption $(A) = (\text{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2]) \in G$ leads to a contradiction. Let $P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, and $P_3 = (0, 0, 1)$. Then G fixes 3-point set $K = \{P_1, P_2, P_3\}$, because (A) and (A_j) commute. Since some A_j is not diagonal, the homomorphism φ from G to the permutation group of K cannot be trivial. Since $|G| = 27$, it cannot be surjective. Thus $|\varphi(G)| = 3$, and $|\text{Ker}\varphi| = 9$. In other words every projectively $(\text{diag}[1, \omega^i, \omega^j])$ belongs to G . Since G is commutative, any element of G is induced by a diagonal matrix of order 3. This implies that $|G| = 9$, a desired contradiction. \square

We turn to the group $E(3^3)$. See the paragraph just below Theorem 1.1 for the definition of the group and its element $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$.

Lemma 1.6. (1) *Let*

$$B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The map ϕ defined by $\phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = (B_1^\alpha B_2^\beta B_3^{\gamma-\alpha\beta})$ is an isomorphism of $E(3^3)$ into $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$.

(2) If G is a subgroup of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ and isomorphic to $E(3^3)$, then G is conjugate to $\phi(E(3^3))$.

Proof. (1) Let $M_1 = M(1, 0, 0)$, $M_2 = M(0, 1, 0)$, $M_3 = M(0, 0, 1)$. Then $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = M_1^\alpha M_2^\beta M_3^{\gamma-\alpha\beta}$. First we will prove that ϕ is a homomorphism by showing $\phi(M_j M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = \phi(M_j)\phi(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma))$. Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} M_1 M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= M(\alpha + 1, \beta, \gamma + \beta) \\ M_2 M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= M(\alpha, \beta + 1, \gamma) \\ M_3 M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) &= M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma + 1). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $B_j^3 = E_3$, $B_1 B_2 = B_2 B_1 B_3$, $B_3 B_1 = B_1 B_3$, and $B_3 B_2 = B_2 B_3$. So ϕ is a homomorphism. Since B_2 and B_3 are diagonal, it is easy to see that ϕ is injective. Note that $\phi(E(3^3))$ does not depend on the choice of ω , a primitive 3rd root of 1.

(2) Let ϕ' be an isomorphism of $E(3^3)$ into $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$, and $\phi'(M_j) = (B'_j)$. We may assume $B'_3 = B_3$ or $B'_3 = B_2$. The latter case is impossible. Since $B'_3 B'_1 \sim B'_1 B'_3$ and $B'_3 B'_2 \sim B'_2 B'_3$, we may assume $B'_1 = \text{diag}[\omega_1, \omega_2, 1]$, and (1,3), (2,3), (3,1) and (3,2) components of B'_2 are equal to zero. It is not difficult to see that $B'_1 B'_2 \sim B'_2 B'_1 B'_3$ is

impossible. So let $B'_3 = B_3$ and let e_i denote the i -th unit column vector so that $E_3 = [e_1, e_2, e_3]$. A matrix $B \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies $BB_3 \sim B_3B$ if and only if either B is diagonal or takes the form either $[e_2, e_3, e_1]\text{diag}[a, b, c]$ or $[e_3, e_1, e_2]\text{diag}[a, b, c]$. First assume that $B'_2 = \text{diag}[\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3]$. We may assume $1 = \omega_1 = \omega_2 \neq \omega_3$ (if necessary, we replace ω by ω^2). Furthermore, we may assume $\omega_3 = \omega$ (if necessary, we replace ω by ω^2) so that $B'_2 = B_2$. Since (B'_2) and (B'_1) do not commute, B'_1 cannot be diagonal. It turns out $B'_1 = [e_3, e_1, e_2]\text{diag}[a, b, c]$. By use of a diagonal matrix, we may assume that $a = b = c$, namely $B'_1 = B_1$. Secondly assume that $B'_1 = \text{diag}[\omega_1, \omega_2, \omega_3]$. We note that the map sending $M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ to $M(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)$ is an anti-isomorphism. Therefore ϕ' gives an isomorphism $\phi''(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = \phi'(M(\beta, \alpha, \gamma)^{-1})$. ϕ'' is an isomorphism whose type we have discussed. Namely, $\phi'(E(3^3)) = \phi''(E(3^3))$ is conjugate to $\phi(E(3^3))$. Thirdly and finally assume that neither B'_1 nor B'_2 is diagonal. Let $B'_2 = [e_2, e_3, e_1]$ (without loss of generality we may take $a = b = c = 1$). Then we can show that if B'_1 takes the form either $[e_2, e_3, e_1]\text{diag}[a, b, c]$ or $[e_3, e_1, e_2]\text{diag}[a, b, c]$ with $|\{a, b, c\}| = 2$, $ac = b^2\omega$ and $a^2 = bc\omega^2$, then $\phi'(M(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)) = (B_1'^\alpha B_2'^\beta B_3'^{\gamma-\alpha\beta})$ is an isomorphism(if $|\{a, b, c\}| = 1$ or 3 , this ϕ' cannot be an isomorphism). Clearly $\phi'(E(3^3)) = \phi(E(3^3))$. The case $B'_2 = [e_3, e_1, e_2]$ can be reduced to the case $B'_2 = [e_2, e_3, e_1]$ by use of the matrix $[e_1, e_3, e_2]$. □

Let $f \in \mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ be a homogeneous polynomial and let h be its Hessian $\text{Hess}(f) = \det[f_{jk}]$, where $f_{jk} = (\partial^2/\partial x_j \partial x_k)f$.

Lemma 1.7. *Let $A = [a_{jk}] \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$, and let f be a homogeneous polynomial in $\mathbf{C}[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ such that $f_{A^{-1}} = \lambda f$. Then $h_{A^{-1}} = \lambda^3(\det A^{-1})^2 h$, where $h = \text{Hess}(f)$.*

Proof. Let $y_j = \sum_{k=1}^3 a_{jk}x_k$. By our assumption $\lambda f(x_1, x_2, x_3) = f(y_1, y_2, y_3)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda f_j(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \sum_{\ell} f_{\ell}(y_1, y_2, y_3)a_{\ell j} \\ \lambda f_{jk}(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= \sum_{\ell} \sum_{\ell'} f_{\ell\ell'}(y_1, y_2, y_3)a_{\ell'k}a_{\ell j}. \end{aligned}$$

The second equality yields $\lambda^3 h(x_1, x_2, x_3) = h_{A^{-1}}(x_1, x_2, x_3)(\det A)^2$. □

Lemma 1.8. *Let the marices B_j be as in Lemma 1.6. A non-singular sextic f is invariant under all (B_j) if and only if*

$$f \sim x^6 + y^6\alpha^2 + z^6\alpha + \kappa(x^3y^3 + y^3z^3\alpha^2 + z^3x^3\alpha),$$

where $\alpha^3 = 1$ with $(\kappa^2 - 4\alpha^2)(\kappa^3 - 3\alpha\kappa^2 + 4) \neq 0$.

Proof. First we will show that a non-singular sextic f invariant under all (B_j) takes the form as in the lemma. Note that $f_{B_3^{-1}} = \omega^j f$ and $f_{B_2^{-1}} = \omega^k f$ for some $j, k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$. One can easily see that unless $(j, k) = (0, 0)$, f is singular. So f takes the form $f = a_1x^6 + a_2y^6 + a_3z^6 + a_4x^3y^3 + a_5y^3z^3 + a_6z^3x^3$. Since $f_{B_1^{-1}} = a_3x^6 + a_1y^6 + a_2z^6 + a_6x^3y^3 + a_4y^3z^3 + a_5z^3x^3$ must be equal to λf , where $\lambda^3 = 1$ (note that $B_1^3 = E_3$), we get $(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \lambda(a_3, a_1, a_2)$, and $(a_4, a_5, a_6) = \lambda(a_6, a_4, a_5)$. Therefore $a_2 = \lambda a_1$, $a_3 = \lambda^2 a_1$, $a_5 = \lambda a_4$, $a_6 = \lambda^2 a_4$. We note that $a_1 \neq 0$, because, otherwise, f is singular.

Let $f = x^6 + y^6\alpha^2 + z^6\alpha + \kappa(x^3y^3 + y^3z^3\alpha^2 + z^3x^3\alpha)$, where $\alpha^3 = 1$. Obviously f is invariant under all (B_j) . We will discuss when $C(f)$ has a singular point. Simple computation yields

$$\begin{aligned} f_x &= 3x^2(2x^3 + \kappa y^3 + \kappa\alpha z^3) \\ f_y &= 3y^2(\kappa x^3 + 2\alpha^2 y^3 + \alpha^2 \kappa z^3) \\ f_z &= 3z^2(\alpha \kappa x^3 + \alpha^2 \kappa y^3 + 2\alpha z^3). \end{aligned}$$

If (a, b, c) is a common zero of the three linear forms in x^3, y^3, z^3 above, then the determinant of the coefficient matrix vanishes, namely $\kappa^3 - 3\alpha\kappa^2 + 4 = 0$. Conversely, if this determinant vanishes, then $C(f)$ has clearly a singular point. If the determinant does not vanish and $C(f)$ has a singular point (a, b, c) , then one of a, b, c is equal to zero and $4\alpha^2 - \kappa^2 = 0$. It is clear that $C(f)$ has a singular point if $4\alpha^2 - \kappa^2 = 0$. Thus $C(f)$ has a singular point if and only if $(\kappa^3 - 3\alpha\kappa^2 + 4)(4\alpha^2 - \kappa^2) = 0$. \square

Lemma 1.9. $|\text{Aut}(f)| < 360$, where f is a non-singular sextic given in Lemma 1.8.

Proof. The Hessian $h = \text{Hess}(f)$ takes the form $54h_1h_2$, where $h_1 = xyz$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_2 &= 20\alpha\kappa^2(x^9 + y^9 + z^9) + (-5\alpha\kappa^3 + 20\alpha^2\kappa^2 + 100\kappa)(x^6y^3 + y^6z^3 + z^6x^3) \\ &\quad + (-5\alpha^2\kappa^3 + 20\kappa^2 + 100\alpha\kappa)(x^3y^6 + y^3z^6 + z^3x^6) + (35\kappa^3 - 75\alpha\kappa^2 + 500)x^3y^3z^3. \end{aligned}$$

We consider a set of lines $L = \{\ell; \ell \text{ is a line such that } \ell \{h\}$. By Lemma 1.7 $\text{Aut}(f)$ acts on L as $(A)\ell = \{(A)P; P \in \ell\}$. Denoting the line $x = 0$ by ℓ_x , let $G_x = \{(A) \in \text{Aut}(f); (A)\ell_x = \ell_x\}$. Obviously $|\text{Aut}(f)\ell_x| \leq |L| \leq 12$. By the way we remark that $|L| = 12$ for $f' = x^6 + y^6 + z^6 - 10(x^3y^3 + y^3z^3 + z^3x^3)$ (Indeed, the 3×3 matrix B whose row vectors are $[1, 1, 1]$, $[1, \omega, \omega^2]$ and $[1, \omega^2, \omega]$, ω being a primitive the third root of 1, satisfies $f'_{B^{-1}} = -27f'$). Assume $(A) \in G_x$. Without loss of generality A takes the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ a & b & c \\ a' & b' & c' \end{bmatrix} \in GL(3, \mathbf{C}).$$

Putting $Y = by + cz$ and $Z = b'y + c'z$, we get $f_{A^{-1}} = p_0x^6 + x^5p_1(Y, Z) + x^4p_2(Y, Z) + x^3p_3(Y, Z) + x^2p_4(Y, Z) + xp_5(Y, Z) + p_6(Y, Z)$. Since this polynomial is proportional to f , $p_5(Y, Z) = 6a\alpha^2Y^5 + 3\kappa\alpha^2(a'Y^3Z^2 + aY^2Z^3) + 6a'\alpha Z^5$ must vanish, namely $a = a' = 0$. Now $f_{A^{-1}} = x^6 + \kappa x^3(Y^3 + Z^3\alpha) + Y^6\alpha^2 + \kappa Y^3Z^3\alpha^2 + Z^6\alpha$. Assuming first $\kappa \neq 0$, we will show that $|G_x| = 18$ to the effect that $|\text{Aut}(f)| \leq 18 \times 12 = 216$. By simple computation $Y^3 + Z^3\alpha = y^3(b^3 + b^3\alpha) + 3y^2z(b^2c + b^2c'\alpha) + 3yz^2(bc^2 + b'c'^2\alpha) + z^3(c^3 + c'^3\alpha)$.

Since this must be equal to the polynomial $y^3 + z^3\alpha$, it follows that $b^2c + b'^2c'\alpha = 0$, and $bc^2 + b'c'^2\alpha = 0$. Multiplying c and b to each equality and then by subtraction, we get $b'c'(cb' - bc') = 0$, namely $b'c' = 0$, because A is non-singular. If $b' = 0$, then $c = 0$, $b^3 = 1$, $c^3 = 1$. It can be immediately seen that with these values (A) really belongs to G_x . If $c' = 0$, then $b = 0$, $b'^3 = \alpha^2$, $c^3 = \alpha$. It can be also verified that with these values (A) belongs to G_x . Thus, if $\kappa \neq 0$, then $|G_x| = 2 \times 9$. If $\kappa = 0$, then $h = \text{const}x^4y^4z^4$, in particular, $L = \{x, y, z\}$. One can see easily that G_x consists of 2×6^2 points. Since $\text{Aut}(f)$ acts transitively on L , we have $|\text{Aut}(f)| = |L| \times |G_x| = 216$ (see [10, p. 171] or [8] for the automorphism group of the Fermat curves). \square

2. Uniqueness of sextics with $|\text{Aut}(f)|=360$

In the previous section we have shown that $|\text{Aut}(f)| \leq 360$ for a non-singular plane sextic f . It is, therefore, reasonable to call a non-singular plane sextic f satisfying $|\text{Aut}(f)| = 360$, the most symmetric. The Wiman sextic

$$f_6 = 27z^6 - 135z^4xy - 45z^2x^2y^2 + 9z(x^5 + y^5) + 10x^3y^3$$

is known to be the most symmetric [11]. The aim of this section is to prove the

Theorem 2.1. *The most symmetric sextics are projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic.*

As a byproduct another proof of $|\text{Aut}(f_6)| = 360$ will be given (see Proposition 2.22).

There are five groups of order 8 up to isomorphism ([3, chap. 4]):

- 1) \mathbf{Z}_8
- 2) $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_4$
- 3) $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$
- 4) Q_8 , which is generated by a and b satisfying $a^4 = 1$, $b^2 = a^2$, and $ba = a^{-1}b$
- 5) D_8 , which is generated by a and b satisfying $a^4 = 1$, $b^2 = 1$, and $ba = a^{-1}b$.

In a series of lemmas we will show that if f is the most symmetric sextic, then the Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to D_8 .

Lemma 2.2. *A subgroup G_8 of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_8 , if and only if G_8 is conjugate to one of the following groups:*

- (1) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, 1, \varepsilon] \rangle\rangle$ (2) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2] \rangle\rangle$
- (3) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3] \rangle\rangle$ (4) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^4] \rangle\rangle$.

Proof. Suppose that G_8 and Z_8 are isomorphic. Then there exists an $A \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $G_8 = \langle\langle A \rangle\rangle$. Since (A) is of finite order, A is diagonalizable; $T^{-1}AT \sim \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon^i, \varepsilon^j](0 \leq i < j \leq 7)$, where ε is a primitive 8-th root of 1. Clearly $(i, j) \notin \{(0, 2), (0, 4), (0, 6), (2, 4), (2, 6), (4, 6)\}$. If $i = 0$, then G_8 is conjugate to (1). If $(i, j) \in \{(1, 2), (1, 7), (2, 5), (3, 5), (3, 6), (6, 7)\}$, then G_8 is conjugate to (2). If $(i, j) \in \{(1, 3), (1, 6), (2, 3), (2, 7), (5, 6), (5, 7)\}$, then G_8 is conjugate to (3). Finally if $(i, j) \in \{(1, 4), (1, 5), (3, 4), (3, 7), (4, 5), (4, 7)\}$, then G_8 is conjugate to (4). □

Lemma 2.3. *The projective automorphism group $\text{Aut}(f)$ of a non-singular sextic f has a subgroup isomorphic to Z_8 , if and only if f is projectively equivalent to a sextic of the form $f' = x^6 + Bx^2y^2z^2 + y^5z + yz^5$ with $B^3 + 27 \neq 0$.*

Proof. Assume that $\text{Aut}(f)$ has a subgroup isomorphic to Z_8 . Let A denote one of the following four matrices; $\text{diag}[1, 1, \varepsilon]$, $\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2]$, $\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3]$, $\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^4]$, where ε is a primitive 8-th root of 1. By Lemma 2.2 f is projectively equivalent to a sextic f' such that $f'_{A^{-1}} = \varepsilon^j f'$ for some $0 \leq j < 8$. One can easily see that such an f' is singular except for the case $(A, j) = (\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^3], 0)$ (see the proof of Proposition 1.4). In this exceptional case f' is a linear combination of monomials $x^6, x^2y^2z^2, y^5z, yz^5$. Since f' is assumed to be non-singular, it takes the form $x^6 + Bx^2y^2z^2 + (y^5z + yz^5)$ up to projective equivalence. Suppose that $C(f')$ has a singular point (a, b, c) . It is immediate that $abc \neq 0$. It is a common zero of $f_1 = 3x^4 + By^2z^2$, $f_2 = 2Bx^2yz + 5y^4 + z^4$ and $f_3 = 2Bx^2yz + y^4 + 5z^4$. Being on $C(f_2)$ and $C(f_3)$, (a, b, c) satisfies $Ba^2c + 3b^3 = 0$ and $Ba^2b + 3c^3 = 0$, hence $B^2a^4 = 9b^2c^2$. Since $B^2f_1(a, b, c) = 0$, we get $(27 + B^3)b^2c^2 = 0$, namely $B^3 + 27 = 0$. Conversely, if $B^3 + 27 = 0$, then $(\sqrt{-3/B}, 1, 1)$ is a singular point of $C(f')$. □

We cite two theorems concerning a flex of a plane curve.

Theorem 2.4 ([2, p. 70]). *A point P on an irreducible plane curve $C(f)$ is a simple point if and only if the local ring $\mathcal{O}_P(f)$ is a discrete valuation ring. In this case, if $L = ax + by + cz$ is a line through P different from the tangent to $C(f)$ at P , then the image ℓ of L in $\mathcal{O}_P(f)$ is a uniformizing parameter for $\mathcal{O}_P(f)$.*

Theorem 2.5 ([2, p. 116]). *Let h be the Hessian of an irreducible f .*

- (1) *P lies both on $C(h)$ and $C(f)$, if and only if P is a flex or a multiple point of f .*
- (2) *The intersection number $I(P, h \cap f)$ is equal to 1 if and only if P is an ordinary*

flex. (Note that if P is a simple point of $C(f)$ and $C(\ell)$ is the tangent at P to $C(f)$, then $I(P, h \cap f) = \text{ord}_P^f(h)$ [2, p. 81], which is equal to $I(P, \ell \cap f) - 2 = \text{ord}_P^f(\ell) - 2$ [2, Proof on p. 116].)

The following lemma shows that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ of the most symmetric sextic f cannot be isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_8 .

Lemma 2.6. *If $f' = x^6 + Bx^2y^2z^2 + y^5z + yz^5$ with $B^3 + 27 \neq 0$, then $|\text{Aut}(f')| < 360$.*

Proof. Since $f'(x, 1, z) = x^6 + Bx^2z^2 + z + z^5$, $P = (0, 1, 0)$ is a flex of $C(f')$. The tangent to $C(f')$ at P is $C(z)$. Since $\text{ord}_P^{f'}$ is a discrete valuation of the local ring $\mathcal{O}_P(f')$, and x is a uniformizing parameter of the ring, namely $\text{ord}_P^{f'}(x) = 1$, we get $\text{ord}_P^{f'}(z) = 6$. Simple calculation yields the Hessian $h' = \text{Hess}(f')$, which takes the form $-360B^2x^8y^2z^2 - 750x^4\{y^8 + z^8 + (10500 + 40B^3)y^4z^4\} - 160b^2x^2(y^7z^3 + y^3z^7) - 50B(y^{10}z^2 + y^2z^{10}) + 700By^6z^6$. So $I(P, h' \cap f') = \text{ord}_P^{f'}(h') = 4$. This value can be obtained as $\text{ord}_P^{f'}(z) - 2$ by Theorem 2.5 (2). Let $G_P = \{(A) \in \text{Aut}(f'); (A)P = P\}$. Since $(A) \in G_P$ fixes P as well as the tangent $C(z)$, we may assume that

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & c \\ a' & b' & c' \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The condition $f'_{A^{-1}} \sim f'$ implies that $a' = c' = 0$, because $5(b'y)^4(a' + c'z)z$ must vanish in $f'_{A^{-1}}$. Such an (A) belongs to G_P if and only if $b'^4 = 1$, $a^6 = b'$, and $Ba^2b' = B$. Thus $|G_P|$ is equal to 8 or 24 according as $B \neq 0$ or $B = 0$. In the case $B \neq 0$, we evaluate the order of the group $\text{Aut}(f')$ as follows:

$$4 \left(\frac{|\text{Aut}(f')|}{|G_P|} \right) = I(P, h' \cap f') \left(\frac{|\text{Aut}(f')|}{|G_P|} \right) \leq \sum_Q I(Q, h' \cap f') = 12 \times 6.$$

Thus $|\text{Aut}(f')| \leq 144$.

Suppose $B = 0$. In this case $h' = -750x^4(y^8 - 14y^4z^4 + z^8)$, and h' contains 9 linear factors; x with multiplicity four, and $\sqrt{-1}^j(7 \pm 4\sqrt{3})y - z$ ($0 \leq j \leq 3$) with multiplicity one. Let $G_x = \{(A) \in \text{Aut}(f'); (A)\ell_x = \ell_x\}$, where ℓ_x stands for the line $C(x)$. By Lemma 1.7 $G_x = \text{Aut}(f')$. We shall show that $|G_x| = 144$. Assume that $(A) \in G_x$. (A) fixes both $C(f)$ and $C(x)$. Note that each tangent to $C(f)$ at the intersection $\in C(f) \cap C(x)$ passes through $(1, 0, 0)$. So (A) fixes $(1, 0, 0)$ as well. Thus A takes the form

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & c \\ 0 & b' & c' \end{bmatrix}$$

up to constant multiplication. Putting $Y = by + cz$, $Z = b'y + c'z$, we write $f'_{A^{-1}}$ as $Y^5Z + YZ^5 + x^6$. Now (A) belongs to G_x if and only if $y^5z + yz^5 = Y^5Z + YZ^5$. The right-hand side takes the form $y^6(b^5b' + bb'^5) + \dots + z^6(c^5c' + cc'^5)$. Therefore $bb'(b^4 + b'^4) = 0$, and $cc'(c^4 + c'^4) = 0$. If $b = 0$, then it follows immediately that $c' = 0$, and $c^5b' = cb'^5 = 1$. The number of such an (A) is equal to 24. Similarly the case $b' = 0$ gives another 24 elements of G_x . The case $cc' = 0$ does not give new (A) $\in G_x$. We turn to the case $bb'cc' \neq 0$. In this case $b^4 + b'^4 = c^4 + c'^4 = 0$. Since the coefficient of y^4z^2 vanishes, $b^2c^2 + b'^2c'^2 = 0$. Under these conditions the coefficients of y^2z^4 , y^3z^3 vanish. The coefficients of y^5z and yz^5 yield the condition $1 = -4b^4(bc' - b'c)$ and $1 = 4c^4(bc' - b'c)$ respectively. In particular $c^4 = -b^4$. Therefore if $bb'cc' \neq 0$, then (A) $\in G_x$ if and only if $b^4 + b'^4 = 0$, $c^4 + c'^4 = 0$, $b^4 + c^4 = 0$, $b^2c^2 + b'^2c'^2 = 0$, and $4b^4(-bc' + b'c) = 1$. Thus $b' = \sqrt{-1}^j(1 + \sqrt{-1})b/\sqrt{2}$, $c' = \sqrt{-1}^k(1 + \sqrt{-1})c/\sqrt{2}$ with $0 \leq j, k \leq 3$ and $j + k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $c = \sqrt{-1}^\ell(1 - \sqrt{-1})b/\sqrt{2}$ with $0 \leq \ell \leq 3$ such that $4b^6(\sqrt{-1}^j - \sqrt{-1}^k)\sqrt{-1}^\ell = 1$. It is easy to see that each j gives one admissible value of k , that ℓ can be arbitrary, and that b can take six values for an admissible (j, k, ℓ) . Consequently there exist $4 \times 4 \times 6$ (A) $\in G_x$ such that $bb'cc' \neq 0$. Hence $|G_x| = 24 + 24 + 96 = 144$. This completes the proof of Lemma 2.6. \square

Lemma 2.7. *A subgroup G_8 of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_4$, if and only if G_8 is conjugate to one of the following two groups:*

- (1) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1], \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}] \rangle\rangle$
- (2) $\langle\langle \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1], \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^2] \rangle\rangle$.

Proof. Assume that G_8 is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_4$. Then there exist commuting (A), and (B) in $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ of order 2 and 4 respectively. We may assume that $A^2 = E_3$ and B takes the form either $\text{diag}[1, 1, \sqrt{-1}]$ or $\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^2]$. First suppose that $B = \text{diag}[1, 1, \sqrt{-1}]$. Since $AB \sim BA$, (1,3),(2,3),(3,1) and (3,2) components of A vanish. We may assume that (3,3) component of A is equal to 1. Since A is diagonalizable, we may assume that $A = \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1]$. Secondly assume that $B = \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^2]$. Since $AB \sim BA$, and A is involutive, it follows that A is diagonal; $A = \text{diag}[a, b, 1]$. If $a = b$, then $a = -1$. There exists a $T \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $T^{-1}AT \sim \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1]$ and $T^{-1}BT \sim \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}^3, \sqrt{-1}^2]$, hence $T^{-1}B^3T \sim \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^2]$. The case $a \neq b$ can be dealt with similarly. \square

Lemma 2.8. *If a plane sextic is invariant under the group (1) or (2) in Lemma 2.7, then it is singular.*

Proof. Let $A = \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1]$, $B_1 = \text{diag}[1, 1, \sqrt{-1}]$, $B_2 = \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^2]$, and let B denote either B_1 or B_2 . As in the proof of Proposition 1.4 we can show easily that a sextic f satisfying $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ and $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$ is singular. Indeed, if f contains x^6 , then $f_{B^{-1}} = f$, hence three monomials z^6, z^5x, z^5y or three monomials $y^6,$

y^5x, y^5z do not appear in f according as $B = B_1$ or $B = B_2$. Suppose the monomial x^6 does not appear in f . If f contains x^5y , then $f_{A^{-1}} = -f$ and $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ so that three monomials z^6, z^5x, z^5y do not appear in f , namely $(0, 0, 1)$ is a singular point of $C(f)$. If f contains x^5z , then $f_{A^{-1}} = -f$ and $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ so that three monomials z^6, z^5x, z^5y do not appear in f . Finally if f contains none of three monomials x^6, x^5y , and x^5z , then $(1, 0, 0)$ is a singular point of $C(f)$. \square

Lemma 2.9. *No subgroup of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2 \times \mathbf{Z}_2$.*

Proof. Let (A) and (B) be mutually distinct commuting involutions. We may assume that $A = \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1]$, and $B = \text{diag}[1, -1, 1]$. Assume that an involution (C) commutes with both of them. Then C is diagonal, hence $(C) \in \langle (A), (B) \rangle$. Namely, mutually distinct three commuting involutions in $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ generate a subgroup of order 4. \square

Lemma 2.10. *A subgroup G_8 of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to Q_8 , if and only if G_8 is conjugate to $\langle (\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^3]), ([e_1, e_3, e_2]\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}]) \rangle$, where e_i is the i -th column vector of the unit matrix E_3 .*

Proof. G_8 is isomorphic to Q_8 , if and only if it is generated by some (A) of order 4 and (B) such that $(B)^2 = (A)^2$ and $(B)(A) = (A)^{-1}(B)$. Suppose that G_8 is isomorphic to Q_8 . Since (A) has order 4, we may assume that A takes the form either $\text{diag}[1, 1, \sqrt{-1}]$ or $\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^3]$, for subgroups $\langle (\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}^j, \sqrt{-1}^k]) \rangle (0 < j < k < 4)$ are mutually conjugate. If $A = \text{diag}[1, 1, \sqrt{-1}]$, we can show easily that no $B \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ satisfies $ABA \sim B$. If $A = \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^3]$, then, up to constant multiplication, $B = [e_1, e_3, e_2]\text{diag}[1, b, c]$ with $bc = -1$ alone satisfies $B^2 \sim A^2$ and $ABA \sim B$. Transforming B by a diagonal matrix we get the lemma. \square

Lemma 2.11. *Any Q_8 -invariant sextic is singular.*

Proof. Let $A = \text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}^3]$ and $B = [e_1, e_3, e_2]\text{diag}[1, \sqrt{-1}, \sqrt{-1}]$, and f is a sextic. Suppose $f_{A^{-1}} = \sqrt{-1}^j f$ for some $0 \leq j \leq 3$. f is a linear combination of monomials m in x, y, z satisfying $m_{A^{-1}} = \sqrt{-1}^j m$. If $j = 2$, then f contains none of x^6, x^5y , and x^5z so that $(1, 0, 0)$ is a singular point of $C(f)$. If $j \in \{1, 3\}$, then x divides f . Finally if $j = 0$, then f is a linear combination of eight monomials: $x^6, x^2y^4, x^2y^2z^2, x^2z^4, x^4yz, y^5z, y^3z^3, yz^5$. Since we also require that $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$, f is either a linear combination of the leading four monomials or a linear combination of the remaining four monomials. In either case f is reducible. \square

We have so far shown that a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ of the most symmetric sextic f is isomorphic to D_8 . We turn to the study of a Sylow 5-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$

of the most symmetric sextic f .

Lemma 2.12. *A subgroup G_5 of $PGL(3, \mathbf{C})$ is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_5 if and only if G_5 is conjugate to either $G_{5,1} = \langle (\text{diag}[1, 1, \varepsilon]) \rangle$ or $G_{5,2} = \langle (\text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2]) \rangle$, where ε is a primitive 5-th root of 1.*

Proof. We can argue as in the proof of Lemma 2.2. □

Proposition 2.13. *Let f be a non-singular sextic. If $\text{Aut}(f)$ contains a subgroup conjugate to $G_{5,1}$ in Lemma 2.12, then $|\text{Aut}(f)| < 360$.*

Proof. Let a sextic f satisfy $f_{A^{-1}} = \varepsilon^j f$, where $A = \text{diag}[1, 1, \varepsilon]$. It turns out that unless $j = 0$, f is singular. In the case $j = 0$, f is a linear combination of monomials $x^{6-k}y^k$ ($0 \leq k \leq 6$), xz^5 and yz^5 . By change of variables $x' = ax + by$ and $y' = cx + dy$, we may assume that

$$f = C_0x^6 + C_1x^5y + C_2x^4y^2 + C_3x^3y^3 + C_4x^2y^4 + C_5xy^5 + C_6y^6 + xz^5,$$

where $C_6 = 1$, because if $C_6 = 0$, then f is reducible. So $P = (0, 0, 1)$ is a flex of $C(f)$, $C(x)$ is the tangent there to $C(f)$, y is a uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_P(f)$, and $\text{ord}_P^f(x) = 6$. Let $h = \text{Hess}(f)$. By Theorem 2.5 (2) $I(P, h \cap f) = \text{ord}_P^f(x) - 2 = 4$. Using Bezout's theorem we get $4|\text{Aut}(f)P| \leq \sum_Q I(Q, h \cap f) = 72$. Let $G_P = \{(B) \in \text{Aut}(f); (B)P = P\}$. If $|G_P| < 20$, then $|\text{Aut}(f)| = |\text{Aut}(f)P||G_P| < 360$. We will try to show that $|G_P| < 20$. Let $(B) \in G_P$. Then the first, the second and the third row of B takes the form $[a, 0, 0]$, $[b, 1, 0]$, and $[a', b', c]$. Since $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$, $a' = b' = 0$. Now $f_{B^{-1}}$ is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} f_{B^{-1}} = & x^6(C_0a^6 + C_1a^5b + C_2a^4b^2 + C_3a^3b^3 + C_4a^2b^4 + C_5ab^5 + C_6b^6) \\ & + x^5y(C_1a^5 + 2C_2a^4b + 3C_3a^3b^2 + 4C_4a^2b^3 + 5C_5ab^4 + 6b^5) \\ & + x^4y^2(C_2a^4 + 3C_3a^3b + 6C_4a^2b^2 + 10C_5ab^3 + 15b^4) \\ & + x^3y^3(C_3a^3 + 4C_4a^2b + 10C_5ab^2 + 20b^3) \\ & + x^2y^4(C_4a^2 + 5C_5ab + 15b^2) \\ & + xy^5(C_5a + 6b) + y^6 + xz^5ac^5. \end{aligned}$$

This polynomial is proportional to f , hence, equal to f . Therefore $ac^5 = 1$, and $b = C_5(1 - a)/6$. Substituting b in the coefficients of x^2y^4 , we get $(a^2 - 1)(C_4 - 5C_5^2/12) = 0$. If $C_4 \neq 5C_5^2/12$, then $a^2 = 1$, hence $|G_P| \leq 10$. Suppose $C_4 = 5C_5^2/12$. Comparing the coefficients of x^3y^3 , we get $(a^3 - 1)(C_3 - 5C_5^3/54) = 0$. Suppose $C_3 = 5C_5^3/54$ (otherwise, $|G_P| \leq 15$). Now

$$f = \left(x \frac{C_5}{6} + y\right)^6 + x^6 \left(1 - \frac{C_5^6}{6^6}\right) + x^5y \left(C_1 - \frac{C_5^5}{6^4}\right) + x^4y^2 \left(C_2 - \frac{C_5^4}{2 \cdot 6^3}\right) + xz^5.$$

By change of variables $x' = x$, $y' = xC_5/6 + y$, and $z' = z$, we get a projectively equivalent sextic, which will be denoted by f again: $f = D_0x^6 + D_1x^5y + D_2x^4y^2 + y^6 + xz^5$. If $(B) \in G_P$, then $B = \text{diag}[a, 1, c]$, where

$$D_0a^6 = D_0, \quad D_1a^5 = D_1, \quad D_2a^4 = D_2, \quad \text{and} \quad ac^5 = 1.$$

If $D_1D_2 \neq 0$, then $a = 1$, hence $|G_P| = 5$. If $D_1 = 0$ and $D_2 \neq 0$, then $D_0 \neq 0$, hence $a^2 = 1$ so that $|G_P| = 10$. Finally suppose that $D_1 \neq 0$, $D_2 = 0$ and that f is non-singular, namely $6^6D_0^5 \neq 5^5D_1^6$. Then the line $C(z)$ intersects $C(f)$ at distinct six points. Besides $h = \text{Hess}(f) = 250z^3h'$, where $h' = -3y^4z^5 + 24(3D_0x + 2D_1y)x^4y^4 - 2D_1^2x^9$. Note that h' has no linear factors. Indeed, none of linear factors $z - \alpha x - \beta y$, $x - \alpha y$, and $y - \beta x$ divides h' . Let $G_z = \{(B) \in \text{Aut}(f); (B) \text{ fixes the line } C(z)\}$. Since $\text{Aut}(f) \subset \text{Aut}(h)$ by Lemma 1.7, $(B) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ fixes a line $C(z)$ and hence the point P (see the proof of Lemma 2.6). In particular $G_z = \text{Aut}(f) = G_P$, and B takes the form $\text{diag}[a, 1, c]$, where $a^5 = 1$ and $ac^5 = 1$. In particular $|\text{Aut}(f)| = |G_P| \leq 5 \times 5$. □

Lemma 2.14. *Let f be a non-singular sextic. The automorphism group of f contains a subgroup conjugate to $G_{5,2}$, if and only if f is projectively equivalent to one of the following forms:*

- (1) $x^6 + C_1x^3yz^2 + C_2y^2z^4 + C_3x^2y^3z + x(y^5 + z^5)$
- (2) $z^6 + Bz^4xy + Cz^2x^2y^2 + Dz(x^5 + y^5) + Ex^3y^3$

If f is the sextic (1), then $|\text{Aut}(f)| < 360$.

Proof. Let $A = \text{diag}[1, \varepsilon, \varepsilon^2]$. Then each of the two sextics (1) and (2), say f , satisfies $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$. Assume that $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ for a sextic f , namely $f_{A^{-1}} = \varepsilon^j f$ ($j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$). If $j = 3$ or $j = 4$, f is singular. According as $j \in \{0, 2\}$ or $j = 1$, f takes the form (1) or (2) up to projective equivalence. Assuming that f takes the form (1), we shall show that $|\text{Aut}(f)| < 360$. $P = (0, 1, 0)$ is a flex of f , and $C(x)$ is the tangent there. So z is a uniformizing parameter of $\mathcal{O}_P(f)$. Since $\text{ord}_P^f(x) \geq 4$, we can estimate the intersection number: $I(P, h \cap f) = \text{ord}_P^f(x) - 2 \geq 2$, where h is the Hessian of f . Let $G_P = \{(B) \in \text{Aut}(f); (B)P = P\}$. If $(B) \in G_P$, then the first, the second and the third row of B takes the form $[1, 0, 0]$, $[a, b, c]$, and $[a', 0, c']$ respectively, because (B) fixes the line $C(x)$ (i.e. $[1, 0, 0]B \sim [1, 0, 0]$) and $(B)P = P$. Since $f_{B^{-1}} \sim f$ and $C_2 \neq 0$, we get $c = 0$, $a = 0$, $a' = 0$, $b^5 = 1$ and $c' = b^2$. Thus $|G_P| = 5$. By Bezout's theorem $2|\text{Aut}(f)|/|G_P| = 2|\text{Aut}(f)P| \leq \sum_Q I(Q, h \cap f) \leq 72$, that is, $|\text{Aut}(f)| \leq 180$. □

By Lemma 2.14 the most symmetric sextic is projectively equivalent to the following sextic :

$$f = z^6 + Bz^4xy + Cz^2x^2y^2 + Dz(x^5 + y^5) + Ex^3y^3.$$

Let $I = [e_2, e_1, e_3]$, where $E_3 = [e_1, e_2, e_3]$ is the unit matrix. Clearly $f_I = f$. If f is the most symmetric sextic, then any Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to the group D_8 . By Sylow's theorem the involution (I) belongs to a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$.

Lemma 2.15. (1) *If g is an involution of D_8 , then there exists an involution $g' \in D_8 \setminus \{g\}$ such $gg' = g'g$.*

(2) *Let g and g' be mutually distinct commuting involutions of D_8 . Then one of the following cases takes place.*

- 1) *There exists an element $c \in D_8$ of order 4 such that $c^2 = g, g'cg' = c^{-1}$.*
- 2) *There exists an element $c \in D_8$ of order 4 such that $c^2 = g', gcg = c^{-1}$.*
- 3) *There exists an element $c \in D_8$ of order 4 such that $c^2 = gg', gcg = c^{-1}$.*

Proof. Let a, b be generators of D_8 such that $a^4 = 1, b^2 = 1$ and $ba = a^{-1}b$. So a generates a cyclic group H of order 4, and $D_8 = H + bH$. An element $g \in D_8$ is an involution if and only if $g \in \{a^2\} \cup bH$. (1) If $g = a^2$, then we can take $g' = ba^2$. If $g = ba^j$, we can take $g' = ba^{j+2}$. (2) If $g = a^2$, then $g' \in bH$. So we can take $c = a$. If $g' = a^2$, then we can take $c = a$. Finally if $g, g' \in bH$, then $gg' = a^2$. So we can take $c = a$. □

Lemma 2.16. *Assume that $f = z^6 + Bz^4xy + Cz^2x^2y^2 + Dz(x^5 + y^5) + Ex^3y^3$ is non-singular. If there exists an involution $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f) \setminus \{(I)\}$ such that $(A)(I) = (I)(A)$, then A takes the form*

$$\begin{bmatrix} \alpha & \beta & \gamma \\ \beta & \alpha & \gamma \\ \lambda & \lambda & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ where } \alpha + \beta + 1 = 0, \alpha\beta + 1 = 0, \gamma\lambda = 2,$$

and

$$(\star) \quad \gamma^2 B = 12 - \gamma^5 D, \quad \gamma^4 C = 48 + \gamma^5 D, \quad \gamma^6 E = 64 - 2\gamma^5 D.$$

Conversely, if (\star) holds for some $\gamma \neq 0$, then the above matrix A gives an involution $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f) \setminus \{(I)\}$ such that $(A)(I) = (I)(A)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Aut}(f)$ contains an involution $(A) \neq (I)$ commuting with (I) . Let $A = [a, b, c]$, where $a = [a_j], b = [b_j]$ and $c = [c_j]$ are column vectors. We claim that $c_3 \neq 0$. Otherwise the condition $AI \sim IA$ yields $b_1 = \delta a_2, b_2 = \delta a_1, b_3 = \delta a_3$, and $c_2 = \delta c_1$. Since $A^2 \sim E_3$, we get $\delta = 1, a_1 + a_2 = 0$, and $c_1 a_3 = 2a_1^2$. However, $(A) \notin \text{Aut}(f)$, because $f_{A^{-1}} = \sum z^j C_j$ with $C_1 = 10a_1^7 a_3 D(x+y)(x-y)^4 \not\sim D(x^5 + y^5)$. Note that $D \neq 0$ because of non-singularity of f . Thus we may assume that $c_3 = 1$. The condition $AI \sim IA$ implies that $a_2 = b_1, a_1 = b_2, a_3 = b_3$ and $c_2 = c_1$. We claim that $c_1 \neq 0$. If $c_1 = 0$, then the condition $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ yields

$a_3 = 0$ and $a_1b_1 = 0$. Besides, by the condition $A^2 \sim E_3$, we get $A \sim E_3$ or $A \sim I$. Similarly $a_3 \neq 0$. For the sake of simplicity of notation we put $\alpha = a_1$, $\beta = b_1$, $\gamma = c_1$, and $\lambda = a_3$. Since $A^2 \sim E_3$, $\alpha + \beta + 1 = 0$, $2\alpha\beta + \gamma\lambda = 0$, and $\gamma\lambda \notin \{0, -1/2\}$. Under these conditions $A^2 = (2\gamma\lambda + 1)E_3$. Let $W = \text{diag}[1, 1, 1/\gamma]$, $A' = W^{-1}AW$, and $f_{W^{-1}} = \gamma^{-6}f'$. $(A') \in \text{Aut}(f')$, because $f'_{A'} = (f_{W^{-1}})_{A'} = f_{A'W^{-1}} = f_{W^{-1}A} = (f_A)_{W^{-1}} = (\text{const } f)_{W^{-1}} = \text{const } f_{W^{-1}} = \text{const } f'$. By the next lemma $(A') \in \text{Aut}(f')$ implies (\star) . Conversely suppose (\star) holds. Let $f_{W^{-1}} = \gamma^6 f'$. By the next lemma there exists an involution $(A') \in \text{Aut}(f') \setminus \{(I)\}$ such that $(A')(I) = (I)(A')$. Since $f'_W \sim f$, $A = WA'W^{-1}$ gives an involution $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f) \setminus \{(I)\}$. \square

Lemma 2.17. *Let f be as in Lemma 2.16, and let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 1 \\ b & a & 1 \\ d & d & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a + b + 1 = 0, \quad 2ab + d = 0, \quad d \notin \left\{0, -\frac{1}{2}\right\}.$$

Then $f_{A^{-1}} \sim f$ if and only if

$$d = 2, \quad B = 12 - D, \quad C = 48 + D, \quad E = 64 - 2D.$$

Proof. We note that coefficients of $f_{A^{-1}}$ can be written without using a and b . In fact we get the following formula.

$$\begin{aligned} f_{A^{-1}} = & z^5(x + y)\{6d + B(4d - 1) + C(2d - 2) + D(2d - 5) + E(-3)\} \\ & + z^4(x^2 + y^2)\{15d^2 + B(-9/2 + 6d)d + C(1 - 5d + d^2) + D(10 + 5d) \\ & + E(3 - (3/2)d)\} \\ & + z^3(x^3 + y^3)\{20d^3 + B(-8d^2 + 4d^3) + C(3d - 4d^2) + D(-10 - 5d + 10d^2) \\ & + E(-1 + 3d)\} \\ & + z^3(x^2y + xy^2)\{60d^3 + B(4d - 16d^2 + 12d^3) + C(-2 + 9d - 4d^2) \\ & + D(25d - 10d^2) + E(-9 - 3d)\} \\ & + z^2(x^4 + y^4)\{15d^4 + B(-7d^3 + d^4) + C((3 + (1/4))d^2 - d^3) \\ & + D(5 - (25/2)d^2) + E((-3/2)d + (3/4)d^2)\} \\ & + z^2(x^3y + xy^3)\{60d^4 + B(6d^2 - 16d^3 + 4d^4) + C(-5d + 5d^2) \\ & + D(-20d - 10d^2) + E(3 - 3d - 3d^2)\} \\ & + z(x^4y + xy^4)\{30d^5 + B(4d^3 - 7d^4) + C(-4d^2 - (1/2)d^3) \\ & + D((15/2)d + (15/4)d^2 - (15/2)d^3) + E(3d + (9/4)d^2)\} \\ & + z(x^3y^2 + x^2y^3)\{60d^5 + B(12d^3 - 6d^4) + C(2d - 4d^2 - d^3) \\ & + D(-25/2)d^2 + 5d^3) + E(-3 - 3d - (3/2)d^2)\} \\ & + (x^6 + y^6)\{d^6 + B(-(1/2)d^5) + C((1/4)d^4)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + D(-1 - (5/2)d - (5/4)d^2)d + E(-(1/8)d^3)\} \\
& +(x^5y + xy^5)\{6d^6 + B(d^4 - d^5) + C(-d^3 - (1/2)d^4) \\
& + D(-d + (5/2)d^3) + E((3/4)d^2 + (3/4)d^3)\} \\
& +(x^4y^2 + x^2y^4)\{15d^6 + B(4d^4 + (1/2)d^5) + C(d^2 - (1/4)d^4) \\
& + D((5/2)d^2 + (5/4)d^3) + E(-(3/2)d - 3d^2 - (15/8)d^3)\} \\
& +z^6\{1 + B + C + 2D + E\} \\
& +z^4xy\{30d^2 + B(1 - 7d + 12d^2) + C(4 - 6d + 2d^2) + D(-30d) + E(9 + 3d)\} \\
& +z^2x^2y^2\{90d^4 + B(12d^2 - 18d^3 + 6d^4) + C(1 - 6d + (15/2)d^2 + 2d^3) \\
& + D(45d^2) + E(9 + 9d + (9/2)d^2)\} \\
& +z(x^5 + y^5)\{6d^5 + B(-3d^4) + C(3/2)d^3 \\
& + D(-1 + (5/2)d + (35/4)d^2 + (5/2)d^3) + E(-3/4)d^2\} \\
& +x^3y^3\{20d^6 + B(6d^4 + 2d^5) + C(2d^2 + 2d^3 + d^4) \\
& + D(-5d^3) + E(1 + 3d + (9/2)d^2 + (5/2)d^3)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since z^5x does not appear in f , we have $3E = 6d + B(4d - 1) + C(2d - 2) + D(2d - 5)$. Since the coefficients of z^4x^2 , z^3x^3 , z^3x^2y vanish, and $d \neq -1/2$, we get a system of linear equations on B , C , and D as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
B(-2d + 1) + C(1) + D\left(\frac{1}{2}d - 5\right) &= 6d, \\
B\left(4d^2 - 6d + \frac{2}{3}\right) + C\left(-2d + \frac{4}{3}\right) + D\left(12d - \frac{50}{3}\right) &= -20d^2 + 4d, \\
B(12d^2 - 26d + 6) + C(-6d + 8) + D(-12d + 30) &= -60d^2 + 36d.
\end{aligned}$$

The determinant of the coefficient matrix is equal to $50(4d + 2)(-d + 2)/3$. We claim that $d = 2$. Assume the contrary. Cramer's formula yields $B = 6d$, $C = 12d^2$, and $D = 0$. On the other hand $D \neq 0$, because f is assumed to be non-singular. Thus $d = 2$. The above system of linear equations on B , C , and D , together with the equality $3E = 6d + B(4d - 1) + C(2d - 2) + D(2d - 5)$ yields equalities $B = 12 - D$, $C = 48 + D$, and $E = 64 - 2D$. By easy computation we get $f_{A^{-1}} = 125f$. \square

Suppose f is the most symmetric sextic. By Lemma 2.14 we may assume that f takes the form given in Lemma 2.16. By Lemma 2.16, we may further assume that $B = 12 - D$, $C = 48 + D$, $E = 64 - 2D$.

Lemma 2.18. *Let f be a sextic of the form $z^6 + Bz^4xy + Cz^2x^2y^2 + Dz(x^5 + y^5) + Ex^3y^3$ with $B = 12 - D$, $C = 48 + D$, $E = 64 - 2D$. Let $M = \text{diag}[1, 1, m](m \neq 0)$. Then $f_{M^{-1}}$ is the Wiman sextic*

$$f_6 = 27z^6 - 135z^4xy - 45z^2x^2y^2 + 9z(x^5 + y^5) + 10x^3y^3,$$

if and only if $[D, 1/m] = [(9 \pm 15\sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/2, (-3 \pm \sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/12]$. In particular if $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$, then f is projectively equivalent to the Wiman sextic.

Proof. It is evident that f satisfies the condition if and only if the following 4 equalities hold:

- (1) $(12 - D)/m^2 = -135/27$
- (2) $(48 + D)/m^4 = -45/27$
- (3) $D/m^5 = 9/27$
- (4) $(64 - 2D)/m^6 = 10/27$.

The equalities (2) and (3) imply $(48 + D)m/D = -5$, while (3) and (4) yield $(64 - 2D)/Dm = 10/9$. Thus $(48 + D)(64 - 2D) + 50D^2/9 = 0$, namely $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$. $m^{-1} = -(48 + D)/(5D)$ gives the value of m^{-1} . Conversely, since $m^{-2} = -(1 \pm \sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/24$, $m^{-4} = (-7 \pm \sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/288$, $12 - D = 15(1 \mp \sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/2$, and $48 + D = 15(7 \pm \sqrt{15}\sqrt{-1})/2$, (1) and (2) hold, hence (3) and (4) as well. □

Lemma 2.19. *Let f be as in Lemma 2.18, and let*

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & 1 \\ b & a & 1 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{where } a + b + 1 = 0, \text{ and } ab + 1 = 0,$$

$$B = \text{diag}[\delta, \delta^4, 1], \quad \text{where } \delta \text{ is a primitive 5-th root of } 1.$$

Then $(AB^2) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ and $\text{ord}((AB^2)) = 3$.

Proof. Let G be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ generated by (A) , (I) and (B) . Let $P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. It is a flex of $C(f)$. We can show that the orbit GP_1 consists of $2+5+5$ points, hence $|G| = 12 \times 5$. So it is no wonder that there is an $(M) \in G$ of order 3. By Lemma 2.17 $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f)$. Clearly $(B) \in \text{Aut}(f)$. We will show that $c, c\omega, c\omega^2$ are the characteristic roots of AB^2 for some constant c . Let $\sqrt{5}$ be a solution to $x^2 = 5$ (we do not assume $\sqrt{5} > 0$). To get a solution to $x^4 + x^3 + x^2 + x + 1 = 0$, put $y = x + x^{-1}$. Then $y^2 + y - 1 = 0$. So $y = (-1 \pm \sqrt{5})/2$, and $x^2 - yx + 1 = 0$. Let $a = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, and $b = (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2$. Let δ be a solution of $x^2 - ax + 1 = 0$. Then $\delta^2 = a\delta - 1$, $\delta^3 = -a\delta - a$, $\delta^4 = a - \delta$, and $\delta^5 = 1$. AB^2 now takes the form

$$AB^2 = \begin{bmatrix} a^2\delta - a & \delta + 1 & 1 \\ -\delta - b & -a^2(\delta + 1) & 1 \\ 2(a\delta - 1) & -2a(\delta + 1) & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

By careful computation we get $\det(AB^2 + \sqrt{5}\mu) = 5\sqrt{5}(\mu^3 - 1)$. As is well known, if $AB^2 v_j = -\sqrt{5}\omega^j v_j$ and $v_j \neq 0$, then $V = [v_0, v_1, v_2]$ diagonalizes AB^2 ; $V^{-1}AB^2V =$

$-\sqrt{5}\text{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2]$. For example we may take

$$v_0 = \begin{bmatrix} (3 + \sqrt{5})\delta - 1 - \sqrt{5} \\ -(3 + \sqrt{5})\delta \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} (3 - \sqrt{5})\omega\delta + 2\omega + \sqrt{5} - 1 \\ (-3 + \sqrt{5})\omega\delta + 2(\sqrt{5} - 1)\omega + \sqrt{5} - 1 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Substituting ω^2 for ω in v_1 , we get v_2 . □

Lemma 2.20. *Let f be the sextic in Lemma 2.18, and let $V = [v_0, v_1, v_2] \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.19. Set $U = 2V$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} f_{U^{-1}} = & 10240[x^6(-170 - 76\sqrt{5})(-27 + D) + (y^6 + z^6)(100 - 40\sqrt{5})D \\ & + x^3(y^3 + z^3)(-200 - 100\sqrt{5})D + y^3z^3(20 - 8\sqrt{5})(864 - 17D) \\ & + x(y^4z + yz^4)(-75 + 75\sqrt{5})D + x^4yz(75 + 33\sqrt{5})(108 + D) \\ & + x^2y^2z^2(5 + \sqrt{5})(1296 - 63D)]. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = 2\delta$. Then $\lambda^2 - (-1 + \sqrt{5})\lambda + 4 = 0$. So the coefficients of $f_{U^{-1}}$ are \mathbf{Z} -linear combinations of $\sqrt{5}^j \omega^k \lambda^\ell$. Using computer, we get the reslut. □

REMARK. Let $f' = f_{U^{-1}}$. The involution $(B^{-1}IB) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ gives rise to an involution $(J) = (U^{-1}B^{-1}IBU) \in \text{Aut}(f')$, where $E_3 = [e_1, e_2, e_3]$, $I = [e_2, e_1, e_3]$ and $J = [e_1, e_3, e_2]$.

The next lemma completes the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 2.21. *Let f be the most symmetric sextic of the form in Lemma 2.18. Then $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$.*

Proof. A Sylow 3-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ cannot be isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_9 by Proposition 1.4. Therefore any Sylow 3-subgroup of $\text{Aut}(f)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_3 \times \mathbf{Z}_3$ [3]. By Sylow’s theorem there exists a Sylow 3-subgroup which contains $(X) = (AB^2)$ in Lemma 2.19. So there exists a $(Y) \in \text{Aut}(f) \setminus \{(X)\}$ of order 3 such $(X)(Y) = (Y)(X)$. Let $f_{U^{-1}} = 10240f'$, $(X') = (U^{-1}XU)$ (see Lemma 2.20 for the definition of U). We may assume that $X' = \text{diag}[1, \omega, \omega^2]$. Then there exists a $(Y') \in \text{Aut}(f') \setminus \{(X')\}$ such that $X'Y' \sim Y'X'$, and $Y'^3 \sim E_3$. So without loss of generality $T = Y'$ takes the form either $\text{diag}[1, 1, \omega]$ or $[e_2, e_3, e_1]\text{diag}[a, b, 1]$. The former case is impossible, because $f'_{T^{-1}} \sim f'$ implies $f'_{T^{-1}} = f'$ despite the fact that $f'_{T^{-1}} \neq f'$ (note that $D \neq 0$, for f must be non-singular). Assume the second case for T . According as the monomial $x^2y^2z^2$ appears in f' or not, we proceed as follows. $[x^jy^zk^\ell]$ denotes the coefficient of $x^jy^kz^\ell$ in f' . If $[x^2y^2z^2] = 0$, i.e. $D = 144/7$, then f' does not have an automorphism of the form (T) . Indeed, the assumption $f'_{T^{-1}} = \text{const}f'$ leads to a contradiciton

as follows. Since $([x^6]x^6)_{T^{-1}} = \text{const}[z^6]z^6$, $\text{const} = [x^6]/[z^6] = (161 + 72\sqrt{5})/32$. By the two equalities $a^4b[xy^4z] = \text{const}[x^4yz]$, and $ab[x^4yz] = \text{const}[xyz^4]$, we get $a^3 = [x^4yz][x^4yz]/([xyz^4][xy^4z]) = 5(161 + 72\sqrt{5})/4^2$. On the other hand $a^6[y^6] = \text{const}[x^6]$ gives $a^6 = \text{const}[x^6]/[y^6] = (161 + 72\sqrt{5})^2/32^2$. Hence $a^6 \neq (a^3)^2$.

Suppose that $[x^2y^2z^2] \neq 0$. Then $f'_{T^{-1}} = a^2b^2f'$. Equivalently following nine equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} a^2b^2[x^6] &= [y^6]a^6, & a^2b^2[x^3y^3] &= [y^3z^3]a^3b^3, & a^2b^2[x^4yz] &= [y^4zx]a^4b \\ a^2b^2[y^6] &= [z^6]b^6, & a^2b^2[y^3z^3] &= [z^3x^3]b^3, & a^2b^2[xy^4z] &= [yz^4x]ab^4 \\ a^2b^2[z^6] &= [x^6], & a^2b^2[z^3x^3] &= [x^3y^3]a^3, & a^2b^2[xyz^4] &= [yzx^4]ab. \end{aligned}$$

The second and the ninth equalities imply

$$0 = [x^3y^3][xyz^4] - [y^3z^3][x^4yz] = -6480(3 + \sqrt{5})(D^2 - 9D + 864).$$

For the sake of completeness we will determine the values of a and b in the case $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$. By the second equality above we get $ab = [x^3y^3]/[y^3z^3]$. The eighth equality above yields $a = b^2$. So $b^3 = [x^3y^3]/[y^3z^3] = \{-100(2 + \sqrt{5})D\}/\{(20 - 8\sqrt{5})(864 - 17D)\}$. Conversely if $a = b^2$ and $b^3 = \{-100(2 + \sqrt{5})D\}/\{(20 - 8\sqrt{5})(864 - 17D)\}$ with $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$, then above nine equalities hold. Clearly the second and the ninth equalities hold. Because $a^3 = b^6 = ([x^3y^3]/[y^3z^3])^2 = [x^6]/[y^6] = [x^6]/[z^6]$, the first and the seventh equalities hold. The third and the fifth ones hold too, because $ab = b^3 = [x^3y^3]/[y^3z^3] = [x^4yz]/[y^4zx] = [z^3x^3]/[y^3z^3]$. Since $[y^6] = [z^6]$, $[xy^4z] = [yz^4x]$, and $[x^3y^3] = [z^3x^3]$, the fourth, the sixth and the eighth ones hold. \square

For the sake of completeness we will show the following proposition, which, together with Lemma 2.18, assures us that $|\text{Aut}(f_6)| = 360$.

Proposition 2.22. *Let f be a sextic of the form $z^6 + Bz^4xy + Cz^2x^2y^2 + Dz(x^5 + y^5) + Ex^3y^3$ with $B = 12 - D$, $C = 48 + D$, $E = 64 - 2D$, where $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$. Then $|\text{Aut}(f)| = 360$.*

Proof. By Lemma 2.14 $|\text{Aut}(f)|$ is a multiple of 5. By the proof of Lemma 2.21 $|\text{Aut}(f)|$ is a multiple of 9. In view of Theorem (1) in the introduction it suffices to show that $\text{Aut}(f)$ contains a subgroup isomorphic to D_8 . Let $I = [e_2, e_1, e_3]$ and A be as in Lemma 2.19. Clearly $(I) \in \text{Aut}(f)$, and $(A) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ by Lemma 2.17. We will show that there exists an $(M) \in \text{Aut}(f)$ such that $(M)^2 = (I)$, and $(AM)^2 = (E_3)$ (see Lemma 2.15 (2)). It is natural to diagonalize A and I . Taking $a = (-1 + \sqrt{5})/2$, and $b = (-1 - \sqrt{5})/2$, we define

$$U = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & \sqrt{5} + 1 & \sqrt{5} - 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and $W = UV$. Then $A'' = W^{-1}AW = \sqrt{5}\text{diag}[1, 1, -1]$, and $I'' = W^{-1}IW = \text{diag}[-1, 1, 1]$. Put $f' = f_{U^{-1}}$, and $f'' = f'_{V^{-1}}$. We look for an $M'' \in GL(3, \mathbf{C})$ such that $M''^2 \sim I''$, $A''M''^2 \sim E_3$ and $(M'') \in \text{Aut}(f'')$ (see Lemma 2.15(2)). Since M'' and I'' commute due to the first condition, we may assume that the first, the second and the third rows of M'' take the form $[\sqrt{-1}, 0, 0]$, $[0, a, b]$, and $[0, c, d]$ respectively. Either $a + d = 0$ or $a + d \neq 0$, $c = d = 0$ due to the condition $M''^2 \sim I''$. The second case is impossible, because M'' cannot be diagonal. Now the condition $A''M''^2 \sim E_3$ yields $a = d = 0$ and $bc = 1$. By careful computation we get the explicit form of f'' :

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'' &= x^6(-E) \\
 &+ x^4[y^2\{3E + 10(1 + \sqrt{5})D + (6 + 2\sqrt{5})C\} + yz\{-6E - 20D + 8D\} \\
 &\quad + z^2\{3E + 10(1 - \sqrt{5})D + (6 - 2\sqrt{5})C\}] \\
 &+ x^2[y^4\{-3E + 20(1 + \sqrt{5})D - 2(6 + 2\sqrt{5})C - (56 + 24\sqrt{5})B\} \\
 &\quad + y^3z\{12E + 20(-4 - 2\sqrt{5})D + 8(1 + \sqrt{5})C - 16(6 + 2\sqrt{5})B\} \\
 &\quad + y^2z^2\{-18E + 120D + 0C - 96B\} \\
 &\quad + y^3z\{12E + 20(-4 + 2\sqrt{5})D + 8(1 - \sqrt{5})C - 16(6 - 2\sqrt{5})B\} \\
 &\quad + z^4\{-3E + 20(1 - \sqrt{5})D - 2(6 - 2\sqrt{5})C - (56 - 24\sqrt{5})B\}] \\
 &+ x^0[y^6\{E + 2(1 + \sqrt{5})D + (6 + 2\sqrt{5})C + (56 + 24\sqrt{5})B + 16(36 + 16\sqrt{5})\} \\
 &\quad + y^5z\{-6E - 2(6 + 4\sqrt{5})D - 8(2 + \sqrt{5})C - 16(1 + \sqrt{5})B + 192(7 + 3\sqrt{5})\} \\
 &\quad + y^4z^2\{15E + 10(3 + \sqrt{5})D + 10(1 + \sqrt{5})C - 40(1 + \sqrt{5})B + 480(3 + \sqrt{5})\} \\
 &\quad + y^3z^3\{-20E - 40D + 0C + 0B + 1280\} \\
 &\quad + y^2z^4\{15E + 10(3 - \sqrt{5})D + 10(1 - \sqrt{5})C - 40(1 - \sqrt{5})B + 480(3 - \sqrt{5})\} \\
 &\quad + yz^5\{-6E - 2(6 - 4\sqrt{5})D - 8(2 - \sqrt{5})C - 16(1 - \sqrt{5})B + 192(7 - 3\sqrt{5})\} \\
 &\quad + z^6\{E + 2(1 - \sqrt{5})D + (6 - 2\sqrt{5})C + (56 - 24\sqrt{5})B + 16(36 - 16\sqrt{5})\}].
 \end{aligned}$$

We will show that $(M'') \in \text{Aut}(f'')$ for some b and c . The coefficients of x^4yz , x^2y^3z , x^2yz^3 , y^5z , yz^5 and y^3z^3 in f'' vanish. Note that $E = 64 - D \neq 0$, for $D^2 - 9D + 864 = 0$. So such b and c exist if and only if $f''_{M''^{-1}} = -f''$. Let us denote by $[x^jy^kz^\ell]$ the coefficient of the monomial $x^jy^kz^\ell$ in f'' . Then the following equalities hold:

$$(1) \ b^2[x^4y^2] = -[x^4z^2] \quad (2) \ b^4[x^2y^4] = [x^2z^4] \quad (3) \ b^6[y^6] = -[z^6] \quad (4) \ b^2[y^4z^2] = -[y^2z^4].$$

We can show that the equality (1) implies (2) through (4). To be more precise, assume that b is a solution to (1) for given D . (1) gives $b^4[x^4y^2]^2 = [x^4z^2]^2$, which implies (2), because $[x^4y^2]^2[x^2z^4] - [x^4z^2]^2[x^2y^4] = 0$. (1) and (2) give $b^6[x^4y^2][x^2y^4] = -[x^4z^2][x^2z^4]$, which implies (3). (4) is exactly the same condition as (1). This completes the proof of Proposition 2.22. □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. We would like to thank Prof. S. Yoshiara at Osaka Kyoiku University who kindly informed us the structure of finite groups. We owe many im-

provements in the representation to the referee, especially in the proof of Proposition 1.4.

References

- [1] H.M. Farkas and I. Kra: *Riemann Surfaces*, 2nd ed., Springer, 1992.
- [2] W. Fulton: *Algebraic Curves*, Addison-Wesely, 1989.
- [3] M. Hall, Jr.: *The Theory of Groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1968.
- [4] K. Idei: *The maximum order of the automorphism groups of non-singular plane sextics*, MS Thesis, Okayama Univ., 1998.
- [5] S. Itaka: *Algebraic Geometry II*(in Japanese), Iwanami, 1977.
- [6] H. Kaneta, S. Marcugini and F. Pambianco: *On arcs and curves with many automorphisms*, preprint.
- [7] H. Kaneta, S. Marcugini and F. Pambianco: *The most symmetric non-singular cubics, quintics and septics*, preprint.
- [8] H. W. Leopoldt: *Über die Automorphismen Gruppe des Fermatkörpers*, J. Number Theory, **56** (1996), 256–282.
- [9] M. Namba: *Geometry of Projective Algebraic Curves*, Marcel Dekker, 1984.
- [10] M. Namba: *Geometry of Algebraic Curves*(in Japanese), Gendai-suugaku-sha, 1991.
- [11] A. Wiman: *Übere eine einfache Gruppe von 360 ebenen Collineationen*, Math. Annalen, bd. **47** (1896), 531–556.

H. Doi
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Okayama University
Okayama 700-8530, Japan

K. Idei
CJK CO., LTD
5-3 Kouji-machi
Chiyoda-ku
Tokyo 102-0083, Japan

H. Kaneta
Department of Mathematical Sciences
College of Engineering
Osaka Prefecture University
Sakai, Osaka 599-8531, Japan

