



Title	PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS OF NON-TRIVIAL NILPOTENT $\pi$ -SUBGROUPS
Author(s)	Iiyori, Nobuo; Sawabe, Masato
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2016, 53(3), p. 731-750
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/58907">https://doi.org/10.18910/58907</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# PARTIALLY ORDERED SETS OF NON-TRIVIAL NILPOTENT $\pi$ -SUBGROUPS

NOBUO IIYORI and MASATO SAWABE

(Received July 3, 2015)

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a subposet  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  of a poset  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  of all non-trivial nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroups of a finite group  $G$ . We examine basic properties of subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  which contain the notion of both radical  $p$ -subgroups and centric  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . It is shown that  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ . As examples, we investigate in detail the case where symmetric groups.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $\text{Sgp}(G)$  the totality of subgroups of  $G$ . We regard  $\text{Sgp}(G)$  as a partially ordered set (poset for short) with respect to the inclusion-relation  $\leq$ . Then any subset  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Sgp}(G)$  can be thought of a subposet of  $(\text{Sgp}(G), \leq)$  which is identified with the associated order complex. Let  $p \in \pi(G)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$  the totality of non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . A  $p$ -subgroup complex  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(G)$  itself is studied well by many authors (see [9] and various references in it). On the other hand, for distinct  $p, q \in \pi(G)$ , it is also quite important to investigate  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_p(G)$  and  $\mathcal{Y} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_q(G)$  simultaneously. In order to do so, we focus on nilpotent subgroups, and actually deal with a poset  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  of all non-trivial nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $G$  where  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$ . In particular, we introduce a subposet  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  of  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ , and show that they are homotopy equivalent each other. It is worth mentioning that a subgroup in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  contains the notion of both radical  $p$ -subgroups and centric  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ .

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we establish some notations, and prepare a number of standard posets of subgroups like  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ . In Section 3, we introduce a new poset  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  consisting of certain nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $G$ . We give another description of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  which is different from the form of the definition. Furthermore some tools for determining  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  are developed. Then by using those results, we classify subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  for some groups  $G$  as examples. In Section 4, we provide homotopy equivalences among  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  and the other standard posets of subgroups. Relations with known  $p$ -subgroup posets are examined. In Section 5, we investigate in detail the case where the symmetric group  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  of degree  $n$ . In particular, we give a strategy to determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  which is focused on irreducible subgroups (see Definition 5.5). Then, as

examples, we classify subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  for  $n \leq 6$  by using our method.

Finally, this work is derived from a series of our papers [5, 6, 7].

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we establish some notations which will be used in this paper. Let  $G$  be a finite group with the identity element  $e$ . Denote by  $\pi(G)$  the set of all prime divisors of the order of  $G$ . Let  $\pi$  be a subset of  $\pi(G)$ . A subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is called a  $\pi$ -subgroup if  $\pi(H) \subseteq \pi$ . The notation  $\text{Sgp}(G)$  stands for the totality of subgroups of  $G$ . Note that  $\text{Sgp}(G)$  is regarded as a poset together with the usual inclusion-relation  $\leq$ . We define the following subposets of  $(\text{Sgp}(G), \leq)$ :

$$\mathcal{N}_\pi(G) := \{U \in \text{Sgp}(G) \mid U \text{ is a non-trivial nilpotent } \pi\text{-subgroup of } G\},$$

$$\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) := \{U \in \text{Sgp}(G) \mid U \text{ is a non-trivial abelian } \pi\text{-subgroup of } G\}.$$

Furthermore let  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$  be a subposet consisting of all non-trivial direct products of elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$  where  $p$  runs over primes in  $\pi$ . Then we have three posets  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  on which the group  $G$  acts by conjugation. The set of all maximal elements in  $(\mathcal{N}_\pi(G), \leq)$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max}$ . For  $\pi = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \subseteq \pi(G)$ , we sometimes write  $\mathcal{N}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}(G)$  in place of  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ . The ways of writing  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{p_1, \dots, p_k}(G)$  are applied to the other posets. Let  $p \in \pi(G)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$  the totality of non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . Then we note that  $\mathcal{N}_p(G) = \mathcal{S}_p(G)$ .

Denote by  $Z(G)$  and  $O_\pi(G)$  respectively the center of  $G$ , and the largest normal  $\pi$ -subgroup of  $G$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)$ , suppose that  $A = A_1 \times \dots \times A_k$  is the direct product of Sylow  $p_i$ -subgroups  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k$ ) of  $A$ . Then denote by  $\Omega_1(A) := \Omega_1(A_1) \times \dots \times \Omega_1(A_k) \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$  where  $\Omega_1(A_i) \in \mathcal{A}_{p_i}(G)$  is a subgroup generated by all elements in  $A_i$  of order  $p_i$ . For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , if  $O_\pi(Z(H)) \neq \{e\}$  then  $O_\pi(Z(H)) \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)$  and  $\Omega_1(O_\pi(Z(H))) \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$ . We express these subgroups as  $O_\pi Z(H)$  and  $\Omega_1 O_\pi Z(H)$  for short. In this way, we frequently omit parentheses of the composition of group operators throughout this paper.

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  be a poset. For  $z \in \mathcal{P}$ , put  $\mathcal{P}_{\leq z} := \{x \in \mathcal{P} \mid x \leq z\}$ . Similarly, we define  $\mathcal{P}_{< z}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\geq z}$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_{> z}$ .

## 3. Subposets of $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $\pi \subseteq \pi(G)$ . We introduce subposets of  $(\mathcal{N}_\pi(G), \leq)$  as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(G) := \{U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G) \mid U \geq O_\pi ZN_G(U)\},$$

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) := \{U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G) \mid U \geq \Omega_1 O_\pi ZN_G(U)\}.$$

Both families are closed under  $G$ -conjugation. In this section, we study basic properties of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G)$ , and provide some examples. Note that, for a subgroup  $U$  of  $G$ ,

$U \geq O_\pi ZN_G(U)$  if and only if  $Z(U) \geq O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ .

**REMARK 3.1** ( $p$ -radicals and  $p$ -centrics). Let  $p \in \pi(G)$ .

(1) Denote by  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  the totality of non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups  $U$  of  $G$  satisfying  $O_p N_G(U) = U$ . A subgroup in  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  is called a radical  $p$ -subgroup (or just  $p$ -radical) of  $G$ . The poset  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  is a generalized object of the Tits building, and it plays an important role in the area of group geometry. For a  $p$ -radical  $U \in \mathcal{B}_p(G)$ , we have that  $U \geq Z(U) = ZO_p N_G(U) \geq O_p ZN_G(U)$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{B}_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_p(G)$ , and thus, a subgroup in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  contains the notion of  $p$ -radicals. Furthermore, we see later in Remark 4.9 that  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathcal{L}_p(G)$ .

(2) A centric  $p$ -subgroup (or just  $p$ -centric)  $U$  of  $G$  is defined as a subgroup in  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$  such that any  $p$ -element in  $C_G(U)$  is contained in  $U$ . This is also important in the area of group geometry or representation theory. Then it is now easy to check that a condition  $U \geq O_p ZN_G(U)$  holds for a  $p$ -centric  $U$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}_p(G)$  includes all  $p$ -centrics.

**Lemma 3.2.** Suppose that  $p \in \pi$ . Then  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{N}_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_p(G)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) \cap \mathcal{N}_p(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_p^*(G)$ .

*Proof.* For any  $U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{N}_p(G)$ , we have that  $U \geq O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ . But  $U$  is a  $p$ -subgroup, so that,  $O_\pi ZN_G(U) = O_p ZN_G(U)$ . Thus  $U \in \mathcal{L}_p(G)$ . The second assertion similarly holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.3.** For  $U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ , put  $K_U := O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ . Then the product  $UK_U$  is a member of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $U$  and  $K_U$  are nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroups such that  $[U, K_U] = \{e\}$ , so is the product  $UK_U$ . Set  $H := ZN_G(UK_U)$ . Since  $U \leq N_G(U) \leq N_G(UK_U)$ , we have that  $H \leq C_G(U) \leq N_G(U)$ . It follows that  $H$  is contained in  $ZN_G(U)$ . Thus  $O_\pi(H) \leq O_\pi ZN_G(U) = K_U \leq UK_U$ . This shows that  $UK_U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .  $\square$

Below is a description of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  by using  $UK_U$ .

**Proposition 3.4.** Under the notation in Lemma 3.3,  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G) = \{UK_U \mid U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)\}$ .

*Proof.* By Lemma 3.3, it is enough to show that a map  $f: \mathcal{N}_\pi(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  defined by  $f(U) := UK_U$  is surjective. Indeed, for any  $X \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ , we have that  $X \geq O_\pi ZN_G(X) =: K_X$  by the definition of  $X$ . Thus  $X = XK_X = f(X)$  as desired.  $\square$

From here, we want to develop some tools for determining  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** The followings hold.

(1)  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G)$ .

- (2) For  $U \in \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)^{\max}$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{\geq U} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .
- (3)  $\mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)^{\max} = (\mathcal{A}b_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G))^{\max}$ .

*Proof.* (1) For  $U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max}$ , put  $K_U := O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ . Since  $U \leq UK_U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  and the maximality of  $U$ , we have that  $UK_U = U$  and  $U \geq K_U$ . Thus  $U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . On the other hand, for  $V \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)^{\max}$ , put  $K_V^* := \Omega_1 O_\pi ZN_G(V) \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$ . Since  $V \leq VK_V^* \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$ , we have the second assertion by the same way.

(2) For  $U \in \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)^{\max}$ , take  $V \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{\geq U}$ . Since  $U \leq V \leq N_G(V)$ , any element  $t \in K_V := O_\pi ZN_G(V)$  commutes with  $U$ . Thus  $U \leq \langle t \rangle U \in \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)$ . By the maximality of  $U$ , we have that  $t \in U \leq V$ , and so  $K_V \leq V$  as desired.

(3) Set  $\mathcal{L}_\pi^{\text{ab}}(G) := \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi^{\text{ab}}(G)$ , there exists  $R \in \mathcal{L}_\pi^{\text{ab}}(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{A}b_\pi(G)$  such that  $U \leq R$ . Then by the maximality of  $U$ ,  $U = R \in \mathcal{L}_\pi^{\text{ab}}(G)^{\max}$ . The converse inclusion similarly holds.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** For  $V \leq U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ , suppose that  $Z(U) \leq V \leq U$  and  $N_G(U) \leq N_G(V)$ . Then  $V \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .

*Proof.* Take any  $x \in ZN_G(V)$ . Since  $N_G(U) \leq N_G(V)$ , we have that  $[x, N_G(U)] = \{e\}$ . This yields that  $x \in ZN_G(U)$  and  $ZN_G(V) \leq ZN_G(U)$ . Thus  $O_\pi ZN_G(V) \leq O_\pi ZN_G(U) \leq Z(U) \leq V$  as wanted.  $\square$

**DEFINITION 3.7.** For subgroups  $A \leq B \leq G$ ,  $A$  is said to be weakly closed in  $B$  with respect to  $G$  if  $A^g \leq B$  for some  $g \in G$  implies  $A^g = A$ . In particular,  $N_G(B) \leq N_G(A)$  holds.

The next result is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.6

**Proposition 3.8.** For  $V \leq U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ , suppose that  $Z(U) \leq V \leq U$ .

- (1) If  $V$  is weakly closed in  $U$  with respect to  $G$  then  $V \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .
- (2) If  $V$  is a characteristic subgroup of  $U$  then  $V \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . In particular,  $Z(U) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ , and that  $O_\pi ZN_G Z(U) \leq Z(U)$  holds.

Before giving examples, we recall some notations. For a subgroup  $H \leq G$ , we set  $H^G := \{g^{-1}Hg \mid g \in G\}$ . For an integer  $n \geq 2$ , the symmetric and alternating group of degree  $n$  are denoted by  $S_n$  and  $A_n$ . The notation  $C_n$  means the cyclic group of order  $n$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.9** (Solvable group  $S_4$ ). Let  $G = S_4$  of order  $2^3 \cdot 3$ , and  $\pi := \pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$ . We determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . By Lemma 3.5 (1),  $D_8 \cong U \in \text{Syl}_2(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Since any subgroup  $V$  of  $U$  containing  $Z(U)$  is weakly closed in  $U$  with respect to  $G$ , we have that  $V \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  by Proposition 3.8 (1). Let  $W := \langle (12) \rangle$  be a remaining

2-subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $N_G(W) = \langle (12), (34) \rangle$ , we have that  $O_\pi ZN_G(W) = \langle (12), (34) \rangle \not\leq W$ , so that,  $W \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Finally, by Lemma 3.5 (1),  $\text{Syl}_3(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,3}^*(G) = \mathcal{L}_{2,3}(G) = \mathcal{N}_{2,3}(G) \setminus \langle (12) \rangle^G = (\mathcal{S}_2(G) \setminus \langle (12) \rangle^G) \cup \text{Syl}_3(G).$$

EXAMPLE 3.10 (Non-solvable group  $S_5$ ). Let  $G = S_5$  of order  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5$ , and  $\pi := \{2, 3\} \subseteq \pi(G)$ . We determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . By the same way as in Example 3.9, we have that  $\mathcal{S}_2(G) \setminus \langle (12) \rangle^G \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Let  $W := \langle (12) \rangle$  be a remaining 2-subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $N_G(W) = \langle (12) \rangle \times L$  where  $L$  is the symmetric group on  $\{3, 4, 5\}$ , we have that  $O_\pi ZN_G(W) = W$ , so that,  $W \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Let  $X := \langle (123) \rangle \in \text{Syl}_3(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ . Since  $N_G(X) = \langle (123), (12), (45) \rangle$ , we have that  $O_\pi ZN_G(X) = \langle (45) \rangle \not\leq X$ . Thus  $X \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Finally, by Lemma 3.5 (2),  $C_6 \cong \langle (123)(45) \rangle \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Therefore, we get

$$\mathcal{L}_{2,3}^*(G) = \mathcal{L}_{2,3}(G) = \mathcal{N}_{2,3}(G) \setminus \langle (123) \rangle^G = \mathcal{S}_2(G) \cup \langle (123)(45) \rangle^G.$$

EXAMPLE 3.11 (Simple group  $J_1$ ). Let  $G = J_1$  be the Janko simple group of order  $2^3 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 19$ , and  $\pi := \{2, 3, 5\} \subseteq \pi(G)$ . We determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  referring [2, p.36]. There is a unique class of involutions with a representative  $z$ . Set  $U = \langle z \rangle$ . Since  $N_G(U) \cong U \times A_5$ , we have that  $O_\pi ZN_G(U) = U$ , so that,  $U \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . By Lemma 3.5 (1),  $C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \cong V \in \text{Syl}_2(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Since  $N_G(V) \cong V \rtimes (C_7 \rtimes C_3)$ , all subgroups of order  $2^2$  are  $G$ -conjugate each other. Take the four group  $C_2 \times C_2 \cong W < A_4 < A_5 < U \times A_5 \cong N_G(U)$ . Then  $N_G(W) \cong U \times A_4$  and  $O_\pi ZN_G(W) = U \not\leq W$ . Thus  $W \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . By looking at the normalizers, we see that  $\text{Syl}_3(G) \cup \text{Syl}_5(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Finally, by Lemma 3.5 (2), subgroups isomorphic to  $C_6$  or  $C_{10}$  are in  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)^{\max} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{2,3,5}^*(G) &= \mathcal{L}_{2,3,5}(G) = \mathcal{N}_{2,3,5}(G) \setminus W^G \\ &= (\mathcal{S}_2(G) \setminus W^G) \cup \text{Syl}_3(G) \cup \text{Syl}_5(G) \cup (C_6)^G \cup (C_{10})^G. \end{aligned}$$

#### 4. Homotopy equivalences

Let  $(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  be a poset. Denote by  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}) = \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P}, \leq)$  the order complex of  $\mathcal{P}$ , which is a simplicial complex defined by all inclusion-chains  $(x_0 < \cdots < x_k)$ , where  $x_i \in \mathcal{P}$ , as simplices. We identify a poset  $\mathcal{P}$  with the associated order complex  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$ . We write  $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{Q}$  when posets  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  (namely, complexes  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{P})$  and  $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{Q})$ ) are homotopy equivalent. Now any subset  $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \text{Sgp}(G)$  is thought of a subposet of  $(\text{Sgp}(G), \leq)$ . Thus we can consider homotopy properties of  $\mathcal{X}$ . In this section, we give homotopy equivalences among  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  and the other standard posets of subgroups. Relations with known  $p$ -subgroup posets are also investigated. The next lemma is fundamental in the theory of subgroup complexes.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $\mathcal{Q}$  be posets. Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$  and  $\psi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}$  be poset maps.*

- (1) *(cf. Lemma 3.3.3 in [9]) If there exists  $x_0 \in \mathcal{P}$  such that  $\varphi(x) \geq x$  and  $\varphi(x) \geq x_0$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{P}$  (that is,  $\mathcal{P}$  is conically contractible) then  $\mathcal{P}$  is contractible.*
- (2) *(cf. Proposition 3.1.12 (2) in [9]) Suppose that  $\varphi(x) \leq x$  for any  $x \in \mathcal{P}$ . Then for any subset  $\text{Im } \varphi \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{R}$ . (And dually for  $\varphi(x) \geq x$ .)*
- (3) *(Quillen's fiber theorem; cf. Theorem 4.2.1 in [9]) Suppose that  $\psi^{-1}(\mathcal{Q}_{\leq z})$  is contractible for any  $z \in \mathcal{Q}$ . Then  $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{Q}$ . (And dually for  $\mathcal{Q}_{\geq z}$ .)*
- (4) *(cf. Theorem 4.3.2 in [9]) Suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  is finite. Let*

$$\mathcal{P}^< := \{z \in \mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{P}_{<z} \text{ is not contractible}\},$$

$$\mathcal{P}^> := \{z \in \mathcal{P} \mid \mathcal{P}_{>z} \text{ is not contractible}\}.$$

*Then for any subset  $\mathcal{P}^< \subseteq \mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathcal{P}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{P} \simeq \mathcal{R}$ . (And dually for  $\mathcal{P}^>$ .)*

**Proposition 4.2.** *The inclusions  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  induce homotopy equivalences.*

*Proof.* Let  $f: \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  be the inclusion map. Then by Lemma 4.1 (3), it is enough to show that  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{\leq U}) = \{E \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \mid E \leq U\} = \mathcal{A}_\pi(U)$  is contractible for any  $U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ . Express  $U = U_1 \times \cdots \times U_m$  as the direct product of Sylow subgroups  $U_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ) of  $U$ . Then  $A := \Omega_1 Z(U) = \Omega_1 Z(U_1) \times \cdots \times \Omega_1 Z(U_m) \neq \{e\}$  is a member of  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(U)$ . Let  $\varphi: \mathcal{A}_\pi(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_\pi(U)$  be a poset map defined by  $\varphi(E) := AE$  for  $E \in \mathcal{A}_\pi(U)$ , which satisfies  $\varphi(E) \geq E$  and  $\varphi(E) \geq A$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(U)$  is contractible by Lemma 4.1 (1).

By the same way, we obtain  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  although we may replace  $A := \Omega_1 Z(U)$  with just  $Z(U)$  in the above discussion.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.**  *$\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^> \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) \subseteq \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$  holds. In particular,  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G)$  are homotopy equivalent each other by Lemma 4.1 (4).*

*Proof.* It is enough to show that  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^> \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . For  $U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ , we have that  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{>U} \simeq \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$ . Indeed, for any  $V \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{>U}$ ,  $N_V(U) > U$  as  $V$  is nilpotent. Then a poset map

$$f: \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{>U} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{>U}$$

defined by  $V \mapsto N_V(U) \leq V$  provides us  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)_{>U} \simeq \text{Im } f = \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$  by Lemma 4.1 (2).

Set  $K_U := O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ . Since  $U$  and  $K_U$  are normal nilpotent  $\pi$ -subgroups of  $N_G(U)$ , we have that  $UK_U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))$ . Suppose that  $U \not\leq K_U$ , that is,  $U \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .

Then  $UK_U \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$ . Furthermore, for  $X \in \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$ , we have that  $[X, K_U] = \{e\}$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U} \ni XK_U = X(UK_U)$ , and that a poset map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$$

defined by  $X \mapsto X(UK_U)$  induces contractibility of  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(N_G(U))_{>U}$  by Lemma 4.1 (1). It follows that  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .  $\square$

**REMARK 4.4.** The converse inclusion  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \supseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  is not necessarily established. For example, let  $G = M_{12}$  be the Mathieu group of degree 12 of order  $2^6 \cdot 3^3 \cdot 5 \cdot 11$ , and  $\pi := \{2\} \subseteq \pi(G)$ . Referring [2, p. 33], there exists a subgroup  $U \cong C_4 \times C_4$  of  $G$  with  $N_G(U) \cong U \rtimes D_{12}$  and  $O_2 ZN_G(U) = \{e\} \leq U$ . Thus  $U \in \mathcal{L}_2(G)$ . However,  $\mathcal{N}_2(N_G(U))_{>U} \cong \mathcal{N}_2(D_{12}) = \mathcal{S}_2(D_{12})$  is contractible since  $O_2(D_{12}) \cong C_2$ . This shows that  $U \notin \mathcal{N}_2(G)^\triangleright$ .

**Proposition 4.5.** *The followings hold.*

- (1)  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \subseteq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \subseteq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$ .

*In particular, we have homotopy equivalences  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G)$  by Lemma 4.1 (4).*

**Proof.** For  $U \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)$ , set  $K_U := O_\pi ZN_G(U)$ . Since  $[U, K_U] = \{e\}$ , we have that  $UK_U \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)$ . Suppose that  $U \not\leq K_U$ , that is,  $U \notin \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ . Then  $UK_U \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U}$ . Furthermore, for  $X \in \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U}$ , we have that  $X \leq C_G(U) \leq N_G(U)$ , and thus  $[X, K_U] = \{e\}$ . This yields that  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U} \ni XK_U = X(UK_U)$ , and that a poset map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U} \rightarrow \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U}$$

defined by  $X \mapsto X(UK_U)$  induces contractibility of  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)_{>U}$  by Lemma 4.1 (1). It follows that  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \subseteq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .

By the same way, we obtain  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G)^\triangleright \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) \subseteq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$  by using  $K_U^* := \Omega_1 O_\pi ZN_G(U)$  in place of  $K_U := O_\pi ZN_G(U)$  in the above discussion.  $\square$

Summarizing Propositions 4.2, 4.3, and 4.5, we obtain the next.

**Proposition 4.6.** *The following homotopy equivalences hold.*

- ( $\alpha$ )  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G) \simeq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G)$ .
- ( $\beta$ )  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ .
- ( $\gamma$ )  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_\pi(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi^*(G)$ .

Note that equivalences in Proposition 4.6 can be extended to  $G$ -homotopy equivalences (see [9, Section 3.5] or [11]).



REMARK 4.7 (The whole  $\pi(G)$  case). In the case of  $\pi = \pi(G)$ , our equivalence  $(\alpha)$  in Proposition 4.6 gives  $\mathcal{N}(G) \simeq \mathcal{Ab}(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}(G)$  where these three posets are respectively the totality of non-trivial nilpotent subgroups, abelian subgroups, and direct products of elementary abelian subgroups of  $G$ . This result coincides with a part of [8, Proposition 1.2].

Like Lemma 4.1, posets  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$ , and  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  (see Remark 3.1) are also fundamental in the theory of subgroup complexes. In particular, those three posets are homotopy equivalent each other (cf. [9, p.165]). Below is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.6 with  $\pi = \{p\}$ . In particular, equivalences related to  $\mathcal{L}_p(G)$  should be new.

**Corollary 4.8.** *The following homotopy equivalences hold.*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{S}_p(G) &= \mathcal{N}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{Ab}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}_p^*(G), \\ \mathcal{Ab}_p(G) &\simeq \{U \in \mathcal{Ab}_p(G) \mid U \geq O_p ZN_G(U)\}, \\ \mathcal{A}_p(G) &\simeq \{U \in \mathcal{A}_p(G) \mid U \geq \Omega_1 O_p ZN_G(U)\}.\end{aligned}$$

REMARK 4.9. (1) Recall that a poset  $\mathcal{Z}_p(G) := \{U \in \mathcal{A}_p(G) \mid \Omega_1 O_p ZC_G(U) = U\}$  is introduced by Benson (see [1, p.226]). It is known that  $\mathcal{A}_p(G)^> \subseteq \mathcal{Z}_p(G)$  (cf. [9, Remark 4.3.5]), so that,  $\mathcal{A}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{Z}_p(G)$ . But this equivalence of  $\mathcal{A}_p(G)$  is different from  $\mathcal{A}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{A}_p(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_p(G)$  in Corollary 4.8.

(2) As mentioned in Remark 3.1,  $\mathcal{B}_p(G)$  is included in  $\mathcal{L}_p(G)$ . Thus a relation  $\mathcal{B}_p(G) = \mathcal{B}_p(G) \cap \mathcal{L}_p(G)$  holds. Furthermore, we have that  $\mathcal{B}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{S}_p(G) \simeq \mathcal{L}_p(G)$  by Corollary 4.8.

REMARK 4.10. We investigated  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^>$  in Proposition 4.3, and also  $\mathcal{Ab}_\pi(G)^>$  and  $\mathcal{A}_\pi(G)^>$  in Proposition 4.5. On the other hand, it is known (cf. [9, p.152]) that  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)^< = \mathcal{A}_p(G)$  and  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)^> \subseteq \mathcal{B}_p(G)$  in general. Furthermore the equality  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)^> = \mathcal{B}_p(G)$  holds assuming Quillen conjecture which is saying that if  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$  is contractible then  $O_p(G)$  is non-trivial. From this viewpoint, a subgroup in  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)^> \subseteq \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  might be a candidate of “ $\pi$ -radicals”. In addition, we already saw in Remark 3.1 that a subgroup in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$  contains the notion of  $p$ -radicals.

REMARK 4.11. Suppose that  $O_p(G) \neq \{e\}$ . Then a relation  $U \leq U O_p(G) \leq O_p(G)$  for any  $U \in \mathcal{S}_p(G)$  gives us (conical) contractibility of  $\mathcal{S}_p(G)$ . The converse is Quillen conjecture. How about  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G)$ ? Let  $G$  be the symmetric group  $S_4$  of degree 4, and  $\pi := \pi(G) = \{2, 3\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{N}_\pi(G) = \mathcal{S}_2(G) \cup \mathcal{S}_3(G)$  is disconnected (i.e. non-contractible) even if  $O_\pi(G) = G \neq \{e\}$  or  $O_\pi F(G) = F(G) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \neq \{e\}$  where  $F(G)$  is the Fitting subgroup of  $G$ .

## 5. Investigations on $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$

For a positive integer  $n$ , denote by  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega) = \mathfrak{S}_n$  the symmetric group on a set  $\Omega := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . In this section, we investigate subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$ . It is shown that the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  is irreducible (see Definition 5.5) such that there is no fixed point of  $H$  on  $\Omega$ . Then focusing on the irreducibility of subgroups, we provide a strategy to determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ . As examples, we classify subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  for  $n \leq 6$  by using our method.

For a family  $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \text{Sgp}(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  of subgroups closed under  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -conjugation, denote by  $\mathcal{H}/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  a set of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -conjugate representatives of  $\mathcal{H}$ .

**5.1. The symmetric group.** We establish some notations on  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ . For  $x, y \in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ , the composition  $xy \in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  is read from left to right, and denote by  $\alpha^x \in \Omega$  the image of  $\alpha \in \Omega$  under  $x$ . Let  $e \in \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be the identity element. The notation  $E := \{e\}$  stands for the trivial subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ . For a subgroup  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ , as in [3, p. 19], the set of fixed points and support of  $H$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{fix}(H) &:= \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid \alpha^h = \alpha \text{ for all } h \in H\}, \\ \text{supp}(H) &:= \Omega \setminus \text{fix}(H) = \{\alpha \in \Omega \mid \alpha^h \neq \alpha \text{ for some } h \in H\}.\end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $H = E$  if and only if  $\text{supp}(H) = \emptyset$ .

**NOTATION 5.1.** For an  $H$ -invariant subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$ , denote by  $H|_\Gamma \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  the group of permutations which agree with an element of  $H$  on  $\Gamma$  and are the identity on  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ . In other words, for an element  $h \in H$ , we identify a bijective restriction map  $h|_\Gamma: \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$  with a permutation on  $\Omega$  which is the identity on  $\Omega \setminus \Gamma$ . Then the group  $H|_\Gamma$  is defined by  $\{h|_\Gamma \mid h \in H\} \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ .

A subset  $\text{supp}(H) \subseteq \Omega$  is  $N_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)}(H)$ -invariant, and  $H$  is identified with  $H|_{\text{supp}(H)} \leq \mathfrak{S}(\text{supp}(H))$ . For any  $H$ -invariant subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$ , it is clear that  $\text{supp}(H|_\Gamma) = \text{supp}(H) \cap \Gamma$ .

**5.2. Reduction to the fixed point free case.** In this section, we show that the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  has no fixed points in  $\Omega$ . Put

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0 := \{H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)) \mid \text{fix}(H) = \emptyset\}.$$

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup.*

- (1) *Suppose  $2 \notin \pi$ . Then  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  if and only if  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega \setminus \text{fix}(H)))^0$ .*
- (2) *Suppose  $2 \in \pi$ . Then  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  if and only if  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega \setminus \text{fix}(H)))^0$  and  $|\text{fix}(H)| \neq 2$ .*

Proof. Set  $G := \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega_+ := \text{supp}(H)$ , and  $\Omega_0 := \text{fix}(H)$ . Recall that  $H$  is identified with  $H_+ := H|_{\text{supp}(H)}$ . In order to prove this lemma, it is enough to show that  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  if and only if  $H_+ \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+))^0$ , and  $|\Omega_0| \neq 2$  or  $2 \notin \pi$ . Now since  $N_G(H)$  acts on both  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_+$ , we have that  $N_G(H) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0) \times \mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+)$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} N_G(H) &= N_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0) \times \mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+)}(H_+) = \mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0) \times N_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+)}(H_+), \\ O_\pi Z N_G(H) &= O_\pi Z(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0)) \times O_\pi Z(N_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+)}(H_+)). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)$ , that is,  $H_+ = H \geq O_\pi Z N_G(H)$ . Then  $O_\pi Z(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0)) = E$  and  $H_+ \geq O_\pi Z(N_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+)}(H_+))$ . Thus  $H_+ \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_+))^0$ . Furthermore  $Z(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0))$  is non-trivial if and only if  $|\Omega_0| = 2$ . This yields that  $O_\pi Z(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega_0)) = E$  if and only if  $|\Omega_0| \neq 2$  or  $2 \notin \pi$ . The converse is now clear. The proof is complete.  $\square$

The following result is a consequence of Lemma 5.2.

**Proposition 5.3.** *For positive integers  $n \geq 3$  and  $2 \leq k \leq n-1$ , set  $[k] := \{1, \dots, k\} \subseteq \Omega$ . Then we have that*

$$\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)} = \begin{cases} \left( \bigcup_{k=2}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}([k]))^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}([k])} \right) \cup \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)} & \text{if } 2 \notin \pi, \\ \left( \bigcup_{\substack{k=2 \\ k \neq n-2}}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}([k]))^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}([k])} \right) \cup \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)} & \text{if } 2 \in \pi. \end{cases}$$

By Proposition 5.3 together with the inductive argument, the determination of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))$  can be reduced to that of  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$ .

**5.3. Reduction to components.** In this section, we introduce the irreducibility of a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ , and show that any non-trivial subgroup  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  can be uniquely decomposed into irreducible subgroups of  $H$ . Using such a decomposition of  $H$ , the notion of components of  $H$  comes out. Then we show that the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  itself is a component of  $H$ .

**NOTATION 5.4.** If a direct product subgroup  $H = H_1 \times H_2 \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  satisfies  $\text{supp}(H_1) \cap \text{supp}(H_2) = \emptyset$ , then we denote it by  $H = H_1 \perp H_2$ . In this case, we have a disjoint union  $\text{supp}(H) = \text{supp}(H_1) \uplus \text{supp}(H_2)$ . Furthermore, we recursively define  $H_1 \perp H_2 \perp \dots \perp H_l$  for any finite number of subgroups  $H_i \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  by  $(H_1 \perp \dots \perp H_{l-1}) \perp H_l$ .

**DEFINITION 5.5.** Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a subgroup.  $H$  is said to be reducible if there exist non-trivial subgroups  $H_1, H_2 \leq H$  such that  $H = H_1 \perp H_2$ . On the other

hand, we call  $H$  irreducible if  $H \neq E$  and  $H$  is not reducible, that is, whenever  $H = K \perp L$  for subgroups  $K, L \leq H$  then  $K = E$  or  $L = E$ .

**Lemma 5.6.** (1) *For a subgroup  $H = H_1 \perp H_2 \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  and an  $H$ -invariant subset  $\Gamma \subseteq \Omega$ , we have that  $H|_\Gamma = H_1|_\Gamma \perp H_2|_\Gamma$ .*  
 (2) *Suppose that  $A \perp B = A \perp C \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ . Then  $B = C$ .*

*Proof.* (1) Straightforward.

(2) Set  $D := A \perp B$ . Then  $\Gamma_B := \text{supp}(B) = \text{supp}(D) \setminus \text{supp}(A) = \text{supp}(C) =: \Gamma_C$ . For a  $D$ -invariant subset  $\Gamma_B = \Gamma_C$ , we have by (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} D|_{\Gamma_B} &= (A \perp B)|_{\Gamma_B} = A|_{\Gamma_B} \perp B|_{\Gamma_B} = E \perp B = B, \\ D|_{\Gamma_C} &= (A \perp C)|_{\Gamma_C} = A|_{\Gamma_C} \perp C|_{\Gamma_C} = E \perp C = C. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $B = C$  as wanted.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.7.** *Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup. Then  $H$  is decomposed as*

$$H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_l$$

*where the  $H_i \leq H$  are irreducible and unique up to order.*

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $|\text{supp}(H)| > 0$ . For the existence, we may assume that  $H$  is reducible. Then there exist non-trivial subgroups  $H_1, H_2 \leq H$  such that  $H = H_1 \perp H_2$ . Since the supports of  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are strictly contained in  $\text{supp}(H)$ , we have that each  $H_i$  can be decomposed into irreducible subgroups by induction. This shows the existence of the decomposition.

Suppose next that  $H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_l = K_1 \perp \cdots \perp K_m$  for some irreducible subgroups  $H_i, K_j \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ . Since  $\Gamma := \text{supp}(H_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(H) = \bigcup_{j=1}^m \text{supp}(K_j)$ , we may assume that  $\Gamma \cap \Lambda \neq \emptyset$  for  $\Lambda := \text{supp}(K_1)$ . Then  $\text{supp}(K_1|_\Gamma) = \text{supp}(K_1) \cap \Gamma = \Lambda \cap \Gamma \neq \emptyset$  and  $K_1|_\Gamma \neq E$ . Now

$$H_1 = H|_\Gamma = (K_1 \perp \cdots \perp K_m)|_\Gamma = K_1|_\Gamma \perp \cdots \perp K_m|_\Gamma.$$

By the irreducibility of  $H_1$ ,  $H_1 = K_1|_\Gamma$  and  $\Gamma = \text{supp}(H_1) = \text{supp}(K_1|_\Gamma) \subseteq \Lambda$ . Exchanging roles of  $\Gamma$  and  $\Lambda$ , we can obtain that  $\Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ , so that,  $\Gamma = \Lambda$ . This yields that  $H_1 = K_1|_\Gamma = K_1|_\Lambda = K_1$ . Then by Lemma 5.6,  $H' := H_2 \perp \cdots \perp H_l = K_2 \perp \cdots \perp K_m$ . Since the support of  $H'$  is strictly contained in  $\text{supp}(H)$ , the uniqueness also holds by induction.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.8.** *Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup, and let  $H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_l$  be a decomposition of  $H$  as in Proposition 5.7. Set  $\Gamma_i := \text{supp}(H_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ .*

Suppose that  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ . Then we have that if  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_i))^0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$  then  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$ .

Proof. Any element  $g \in O_\pi ZN_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)}(H)$  commutes with  $H_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . So  $\Gamma_i$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant. Since  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ , we have that  $g = \prod_{i=1}^l g|_{\Gamma_i}$  which is contained in  $\prod_{i=1}^l O_\pi ZN_{\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_i)}(H_i)$ . Thus

$$O_\pi ZN_{\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)}(H) \leq \prod_{i=1}^l O_\pi ZN_{\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_i)}(H_i),$$

and this completes the proof.  $\square$

We establish the situation once more here. Set  $G := \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ , and let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup. Suppose that  $H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_l$  be a decomposition of  $H$  into irreducible subgroups  $H_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) as in Proposition 5.7. Then a set  $\mathcal{X}_H := \{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$  is uniquely determined by  $H$ . Let  $\{K_1, \dots, K_t\} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_H$  be a set of representatives of  $G$ -conjugate classes in  $\mathcal{X}_H$ . For each  $K_i$ , denote by  $[K_i] := \{H_j \in \mathcal{X}_H \mid H_j \sim_G K_i\}$  the class containing  $K_i$ . We set  $[K_i] = \{K_i^{(1)}, K_i^{(2)}, \dots, K_i^{(m_i)}\}$ , and define a subgroup

$$M(K_i) := \langle K \mid K \in [K_i] \rangle = K_i^{(1)} \perp K_i^{(2)} \perp \cdots \perp K_i^{(m_i)} \leq H.$$

Then  $H = M(K_1) \perp M(K_2) \perp \cdots \perp M(K_t)$ . We call each subgroup  $M(K_i)$  a “component” of  $H$ . Put

$$X_i := \text{supp}(M(K_i)) = \bigcup_{j=1}^{m_i} \text{supp}(K_i^{(j)}), \quad G_i := \mathfrak{S}(X_i) \leq G.$$

**Proposition 5.9.** *With the above notations, suppose that  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ . Then we have that*

- (1)  $N_G(H) = N_{G_1}(M(K_1)) \perp N_{G_2}(M(K_2)) \perp \cdots \perp N_{G_t}(M(K_t))$ .
- (2)  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)^0$  if and only if  $M(K_i) \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G_i)^0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

Proof. (1) For any  $g \in N_G(H)$ ,  $H = H^g = H_1^g \perp \cdots \perp H_l^g$ . Since  $\mathcal{X}_H$  is uniquely determined by  $H$  by Proposition 5.7, we have that  $\langle g \rangle$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}_H$  and  $[K_i]$  for any  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . This yields that  $X_i$  is  $\langle g \rangle$ -invariant, and thus  $g|_{X_i} \in N_{G_i}(M(K_i))$ . Since  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ , we have that  $g = \prod_{i=1}^t g|_{X_i}$  which is contained in  $N_{G_1}(M(K_1)) \perp \cdots \perp N_{G_t}(M(K_t))$ . The converse inclusion is trivial.

(2) Straightforward from (1).  $\square$

By Proposition 5.9 (2), the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  itself is a component of  $H$ , that is, all subgroups in  $\mathcal{X}_H$  are  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ -conjugate each other.

**5.4. Reduction to irreducible subgroups.** In this section, we show that the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  is irreducible. Set  $G := \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$ . By reason of Proposition 5.9 (2), we assume the following Hypothesis 5.10

**HYPOTHESIS 5.10.** Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup. Suppose that  $H = H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_l$  be a decomposition of  $H$  into irreducible subgroups  $H_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) as in Proposition 5.7. Then  $H_i \sim_G H_j$  for any  $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ .

We examine the structure of  $N_G(H)$ . Set  $\Gamma_i := \text{supp}(H_i)$  and  $G_i := \mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_i)$  for  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . By Hypothesis 5.10, for each  $2 \leq i \leq l$ , there exists  $g_i \in G$  such that  $H_i = H_1^{g_i} := g_i^{-1} H_1 g_i$  which induces a permutation equivalence  $(H_1, \Gamma_1) \simeq (H_i, \Gamma_i)$ . In other words, there exist bijections  $f_i: H_1 \rightarrow H_i$  defined by  $x \mapsto x^{g_i} := g_i^{-1} x g_i$  for  $x \in H_1$ , and  $\varphi_i: \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_i$  defined by  $\alpha \mapsto \alpha^{g_i}$  for  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$  satisfying  $(\alpha^{\varphi_i})^{x^{f_i}} = (\alpha^x)^{\varphi_i}$  for any  $x \in H_1$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma_1$ . Now we define an involution

$$\sigma_i := \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma_1} (\alpha, \alpha^{\varphi_i}) \in \mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_i) \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega) \quad (2 \leq i \leq l)$$

which acts on  $\mathcal{X}_H = \{H_1, \dots, H_l\}$  as a transposition  $(H_1, H_i)$ . Then  $S := \langle \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_l \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_l$  acts on both  $\mathcal{X}_H$  and  $\{N_{G_1}(H_1), \dots, N_{G_l}(H_l)\}$  as  $\mathfrak{S}_l$  respectively, and a subgroup  $N_{G_1}(H_1) \wr S \cong B \rtimes S \leq N_G(H)$  is defined where  $B := N_{G_1}(H_1) \times \cdots \times N_{G_l}(H_l)$ .

**Proposition 5.11.** Assume Hypothesis 5.10. With the above notations, suppose that  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ . Then we have that

- (1)  $N_G(H) = B \rtimes S$ .
- (2)  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)^0$  if and only if  $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(G_1)^0$ .

*Proof.* (1) For any element  $g \in N_G(H)$ ,  $\langle g \rangle$  acts on  $\mathcal{X}_H$  as in the proof of Proposition 5.9. Then there exists  $\sigma \in S$  such that  $\sigma$  is equal to  $g$  as elements of  $\mathfrak{S}(\mathcal{X}_H)$ . Thus  $g\sigma^{-1}$  fixes  $H_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ , so that,  $(g\sigma^{-1})|_{\Gamma_i} \in N_{G_i}(H_i)$ . Since  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ , we have that  $g\sigma^{-1} = \prod_{i=1}^l (g\sigma^{-1})|_{\Gamma_i}$  which is contained in  $B$ . So  $g \in B\sigma \subseteq B \rtimes S$ .

(2) Suppose that  $H_1 \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G_1)^0$ , and then we will show that  $H \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)^0$ . We may assume that  $l \geq 2$ . Now there exists  $z_1 \in O_\pi ZN_{G_1}(H_1) \setminus H_1$ . For  $2 \leq i \leq l$ , put

$$z_i := \sigma_i^{-1} z_1 \sigma_i \in O_\pi ZN_{G_i}(H_i) \setminus H_i, \quad z_0 := \prod_{i=1}^l z_i \in N_G(H) \setminus H.$$

Then  $[z_0, B] = E$ . Furthermore, for each  $\sigma_j \in S$  ( $2 \leq j \leq l$ ), we have that

$$z_0^{\sigma_j} = z_1^{\sigma_j} \times \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq j}}^l z_i^{\sigma_i \sigma_j} \times z_1^{\sigma_j \sigma_j} = z_1^{\sigma_j} \times \prod_{\substack{i=2 \\ i \neq j}}^l z_1^{\sigma_i} \times z_1 = z_0.$$

This implies that  $[z_0, S] = E$  and  $z_0 \in ZN_G(H)$  by Proposition 5.11 (1). Thus  $z_0$  is in  $O_\pi ZN_G(H) \setminus H$ , and  $H \notin \mathcal{L}_\pi(G)^0$  as desired. The converse follows from Corollary 5.8.  $\square$

Summarizing Propositions 5.9 and 5.11, we have the following.

**Theorem 5.12.** *Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup, and let*

$$H = (H_1^{(1)} \perp \cdots \perp H_1^{(m_1)}) \perp (H_2^{(1)} \perp \cdots \perp H_2^{(m_2)}) \perp \cdots \perp (H_t^{(1)} \perp \cdots \perp H_t^{(m_t)})$$

*be a decomposition of  $H$  as in Proposition 5.7 where each  $H_i^{(1)} \perp \cdots \perp H_i^{(m_i)}$  is a component of  $H$ . Set  $\Gamma_i := \text{supp}(H_i^{(1)})$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Suppose that  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ . Then we have that  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$  if and only if  $H_i^{(1)} \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Gamma_i))^0$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .*

By Theorem 5.12, the determination of  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}(\Omega))^0$  can be reduced to the case where  $H$  is irreducible.

**5.5. On intransitive subgroups.** In this section, we show that intransitive subgroups of  $\mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  can be described inductively in terms of smaller irreducible subgroups. This idea will be used in Section 5.6. First we recall pullbacks.

REMARK 5.13. (1) Let  $G$  and  $H$  be groups, and let  $\theta: G/N \rightarrow H/K$  be a group isomorphism between quotient groups. Then the pullback  $G \times^\theta H$  of  $G$  and  $H$  via  $\theta$  is a subgroup  $\{(g, h) \in G \times H \mid (gN)^\theta = hK\}$  of  $G \times H$  (cf. [4, Definition 13.11]). Note that if  $\theta$  is trivial, that is,  $G/N$  is the trivial group, then  $G \times^\theta H = G \times H$ .

(2) Let  $G = K \times L$  be a direct product. Then any subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  can be realized as the pullback of certain subgroups in  $K$  and  $L$ . More precisely, there exist subgroups  $K \geq K_1 \geq K_2$  and  $L \geq L_1 \geq L_2$ , and also a group isomorphism  $\theta: K_1/K_2 \rightarrow L_1/L_2$  such that  $H = K_1 \times^\theta L_1$  (cf. [10, (4.19)]).

Let  $H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be a non-trivial subgroup. Suppose that  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ , and that  $H$  acts intransitively on  $\Omega$ . Let

$$\Omega = \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_{m-1} \cup \mathcal{O}_m \quad (m \geq 2)$$

be a decomposition of  $\Omega$  into  $H$ -orbits. Set  $\Lambda_1 := \mathcal{O}_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mathcal{O}_{m-1}$  and  $\Lambda_2 := \mathcal{O}_m$ . Then a subgroup  $B := H|_{\Lambda_2} \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Lambda_2)$  is transitive on  $\Lambda_2$ , that is, irreducible. On the other hand, a subgroup  $H|_{\Lambda_1} \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Lambda_1)$  is decomposed as  $H|_{\Lambda_1} = A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_l$  into irreducible subgroups  $A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) by Proposition 5.7. It follows that

$$H \leq H|_{\Lambda_1} \times H|_{\Lambda_2} = (A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_l) \perp B.$$

Since the supports of  $A_i$  and  $B$  are strictly contained in  $\text{supp}(H) = \Omega$ , we may assume that a list of irreducible subgroups  $A_i$  and  $B$  is already known by induction. Thus  $H$  can be concretely described as the pullback  $H_1 \times^\theta H_2$  of certain subgroups  $H_1 \leq A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_l$  and  $H_2 \leq B$  where  $\theta$  is a group isomorphism between quotients (see Remark 5.13). Note that, if  $H$  is irreducible then  $\theta$  must not be trivial. In the next, we give a result on irreducible pullbacks under the above situation.

**Proposition 5.14.** *Let  $B \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  be an irreducible subgroup, and let  $A := A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_l \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega)$  where  $A_i$  is irreducible for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Suppose that  $\text{supp}(A) \cap \text{supp}(B) = \emptyset$  and  $\text{supp}(A \perp B) = \Omega$ . Suppose further that there exists a group isomorphism  $\theta: A/N_1 \rightarrow B/N_2$  ( $\neq \bar{E}$ ) for some  $N_1 \trianglelefteq A$  and  $N_2 \trianglelefteq B$  such that  $A_i \not\leq N_1$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq l$ . Then the pullback  $P := A \times^\theta B = \{(a, b) \in A \times B \mid (aN_1)^\theta = bN_2\}$  is irreducible.*

*Proof.* Set  $\Gamma_i := \text{supp}(A_i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) and  $\Gamma := \text{supp}(B)$ . Suppose that  $P$  is reducible. Then there exist non-trivial subgroups  $K, L \leq P$  such that  $P = K \perp L$ . Let  $\pi_A: P \rightarrow A$  and  $\pi_B: P \rightarrow B$  be the projections of  $P$  on  $A$  and  $B$  respectively. Both  $\pi_A$  and  $\pi_B$  are surjective. This implies that  $P|_{\Gamma_i} = A_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) and  $P|_\Gamma = B$ . Since  $B = P|_\Gamma = K|_\Gamma \perp L|_\Gamma$  is irreducible, we may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} K|_\Gamma &= B \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \Gamma = \text{supp}(B) \subseteq \text{supp}(K), \\ L|_\Gamma &= E \quad \text{i.e.} \quad L \leq A = A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_l. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\Gamma \subset \text{supp}(K) \subseteq \Omega = \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_l \cup \Gamma$ . Then we may assume that  $\emptyset \neq \text{supp}(K) \cap \Gamma_1 = \text{supp}(K|_{\Gamma_1})$ , so that,  $K|_{\Gamma_1} \neq E$ . Since  $A_1 = P|_{\Gamma_1} = K|_{\Gamma_1} \perp L|_{\Gamma_1}$  is irreducible, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} K|_{\Gamma_1} &= A_1 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad \Gamma_1 = \text{supp}(A_1) \subseteq \text{supp}(K) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma \cup \Gamma_1 \subseteq \text{supp}(K), \\ L|_{\Gamma_1} &= E \quad \text{i.e.} \quad L \leq A_2 \perp \cdots \perp A_l. \end{aligned}$$

Repeating this process, we may assume that there exists  $t < l$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad \text{supp}(K) &= \Gamma \cup \Gamma_1 \cup \cdots \cup \Gamma_t, \\ L &\leq A_{t+1} \perp \cdots \perp A_l. \end{aligned}$$

Note that if  $t = l$  then  $L = E$ , a contradiction. Now  $\pi_A: P = K \perp L \rightarrow A$  is surjective. Thus for any  $a \in A_t$ , there exist  $(a_K, b_K) \in K \leq A \times B$  and  $(a_L, e) \in L \leq A$  such that

$$a = \pi_A((a_K, b_K) \times (a_L, e)) = a_K a_L.$$

But by the above condition (\*),  $a_K \in A_1 \perp \cdots \perp A_t$  and  $a_L \in A_{t+1} \perp \cdots \perp A_l$ . Thus  $a_K = e$  and  $a = a_L \in L \leq P$ . This implies  $(a, e) \in P$  and  $(aN_1)^\theta = eN_2 = N_2$  by



the definition of  $P$ . Therefore  $A_l \leq N_1$  which contradicts our assumption. The proof is complete.  $\square$

**5.6. A strategy to determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$ .** In this section, we provide a method of determining  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$  which is focused on irreducible subgroups. So we introduce the notations

$$\text{IRR}(n)^0 := \{E \neq H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega) \mid H \text{ is irreducible such that } \text{fix}(H) = \emptyset\},$$

$$\text{T}(n) := \{E \neq H \leq \mathfrak{S}(\Omega) \mid H \text{ is transitive on } \Omega\} \subseteq \text{IRR}(n)^0.$$

Then, as in the following, we divide our work of determining  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$  into two cases where  $H$  is irreducible or not.

**A:** Determine  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$  such that  $H$  is not irreducible (see Theorem 5.12).

(Step A1) Give a non-trivial partition  $n = (n_1 + \cdots + n_1) + \cdots + (n_t + \cdots + n_t)$  of  $n$  such that  $n_i \geq 2$  and  $n_i > n_{i+1}$ .

(Step B2)  $H$  is  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -conjugate to one of subgroups of the form  $(H_1 \perp \cdots \perp H_1) \perp \cdots \perp (H_t \perp \cdots \perp H_t)$  where  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_{n_i})^0$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .

**B:** Determine  $H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$  such that  $H$  is irreducible.

(Step B1) Make a list of  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ -conjugate classes in  $\text{T}(n)$ .

(Step B2) Describe subgroups in  $\text{IRR}(n)^0 \setminus \text{T}(n)$ , namely intransitive irreducible subgroups  $H$  having no fixed points (see Section 5.5). Indeed, we first give a non-trivial partition  $n = n_1 + \cdots + n_{r-1} + n_r$  of  $n$  such that  $n_i \geq 2$ . Let  $A \leq \mathfrak{S}_{n-n_r}$  and  $B \in \text{T}(n_r)$  such that  $A$  has  $r-1$  orbits of lengths  $n_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq r-1$ . Calculate an irreducible pullback  $H = A_1 \times^\theta B_1$  via a group isomorphism  $\theta: A_1/A_2 \rightarrow B_1/B_2$  ( $\neq \bar{E}$ ) where  $A \geq A_1 \supseteq A_2$  and  $B \geq B_1 \supseteq B_2$ .

(Step B3) By the previous two Steps B1–B2, the set  $\text{IRR}(n)^0$  is complete. Then, from  $\text{IRR}(n)^0$ , pick up subgroups belonging to  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .

**5.7. Examples  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)^0$  ( $n \leq 6$ ).** According to a strategy introduced in Section 5.6, we determine  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$  for  $4 \leq n \leq 6$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}(\Omega) = \mathfrak{A}_n$  be the alternating group on  $\Omega = \{1, \dots, n\}$ . For a prime number  $p$  and a positive integer  $m$ , denote by  $p^m$ ,  $C_m$ ,  $D_{2m}$  respectively the elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $p^m$ , cyclic group of order  $m$ , dihedral group of order  $2m$ . Set  $\pi := \pi(\mathfrak{S}_n)$ .

The cases of  $\mathfrak{S}_2$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_3$  are trivial as follows:

- $\text{IRR}(2)^0 = \text{T}(2) = \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0 = \{\mathfrak{S}_2 \cong C_2\}$ ,
- $\text{IRR}(3)^0 = \text{T}(3) = \{\mathfrak{S}_3, \mathfrak{A}_3\}$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_3)^0 = \{\mathfrak{A}_3 \cong C_3\}$ .

The case of  $\mathfrak{S}_4$ :

(Steps A1–A2) A non-trivial partition of 4 not containing 1 as summands is only  $4 = 2 + 2$ . Then any non-irreducible subgroup  $H$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_4)^0$  is conjugate to  $H_1 \perp H_2$  where  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0$ . Thus  $H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_2} \langle(1, 2)\rangle \perp \langle(3, 4)\rangle$ .

(Step B1) It is easy to see that  $\text{T}(4)/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}_4} = \{\mathfrak{S}_4, \mathfrak{A}_4, \langle(1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 4)\rangle \cong D_8, V, \langle(1, 2, 3, 4)\rangle \cong C_4\}$  where  $V := \langle(1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4)\rangle$  is the four group. In particular,  $\text{T}(4)/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}_4} \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_4) = \{D_8, V, C_4\}$ .

(Step B2) A non-trivial partition of 4 not containing 1 as summands is  $4 = 2 + 2$ . There is the unique transitive subgroup  $B := \langle (3, 4) \rangle \in \mathbf{T}(2)$  on  $\{3, 4\}$ . Then we choose a transitive subgroup  $A \in \mathbf{T}(2)$  on  $\{1, 2\}$  having a quotient  $A/N$  of order 2, namely  $(A, N) = (\langle (1, 2) \rangle, E)$ . Define a group isomorphism  $\theta: A/N \rightarrow B$ . The pullback  $A \times^\theta B = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle \cong C_2$  is irreducible.

(Step B3) By Steps B1–B2, we have that

$$\mathrm{IRR}(4)^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_4} = \mathbf{T}(4) / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_4} \cup \{ \langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_4)^0$  consists of 5-classes whose representatives are as follows:

$H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_4)^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_4}$	$\cong$	
$\langle (1, 2) \rangle \perp \langle (3, 4) \rangle$	$2^2$	non-irreducible
$\langle (1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 4) \rangle$ $\vee$ $\langle (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle$	$D_8$ $2^2$ $C_4$	irreducible and transitive
$\langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle$	2	irreducible and intransitive

The case of  $\mathfrak{S}_5$ :

(Steps A1–A2) A non-trivial partition of 5 not containing 1 as summands is only  $5 = 3 + 2$ . Then any non-irreducible subgroup  $H$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_5)^0$  is conjugate to  $H_1 \perp H_2$  where  $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_3)^0$  and  $H_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0$ . Thus  $H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5} \langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle \perp \langle (4, 5) \rangle$ .

(Step B1) Since the order of a transitive group of degree 5 is divisible by 5, it is easy to see that  $\mathbf{T}(5) / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5} = \{ \mathfrak{S}_5, \mathfrak{A}_5, C_5 \rtimes C_4, C_5 \rtimes C_2, C_5 \}$ . In particular,  $\mathbf{T}(5) / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5} \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_5) = \{ \langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle \cong C_5 \}$ .

(Step B2) A non-trivial partition of 5 not containing 1 as summands is  $5 = 3 + 2$ . There is the unique transitive subgroup  $B := \langle (4, 5) \rangle \in \mathbf{T}(2)$  on  $\{4, 5\}$ . Then we choose a transitive subgroup  $A \in \mathbf{T}(3)$  on  $\{1, 2, 3\}$  having a quotient  $A/N$  of order 2, namely  $(A, N) = (\mathfrak{S}_3, \mathfrak{A}_3)$ . Define a group isomorphism  $\theta: A/N \rightarrow B$ . The pullback  $A \times^\theta B = \langle (1, 2, 3), (1, 2)(4, 5) \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$  is irreducible.

(Step B3) By Steps B1–B2, we have that

$$\mathrm{IRR}(5)^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5} = \mathbf{T}(5) / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5} \cup \{ \langle (1, 2, 3), (1, 2)(4, 5) \rangle \}.$$

Then  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_5)^0$  consists of 2-classes whose representatives are as follows:

$H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_5)^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_5}$	$\cong$	
$\langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle \perp \langle (4, 5) \rangle$	$C_3 \times C_2$	non-irreducible
$\langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \rangle$	$C_5$	irreducible and transitive

The case of  $\mathfrak{S}_6$ :

(Steps A1–A2) Non-irreducible subgroups  $H$  in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)^0$  correspond to non-trivial partitions of 6 not containing 1 as summands. Thus those subgroups are determined as follows:

(i)  $6 = 4 + 2$ :  $H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} H_1 \perp H_2$  where  $H_1 \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_4)^0$  and  $H_2 \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0$ , and thus

$$H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} D_8 \perp \langle(5, 6)\rangle, \quad V \perp \langle(5, 6)\rangle, \quad C_4 \perp \langle(5, 6)\rangle, \quad \langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle \perp \langle(5, 6)\rangle.$$

(ii)  $6 = 3 + 3$ :  $H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} H_1 \perp H_2$  where  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_3)^0$ , and thus

$$H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} \langle(1, 2, 3)\rangle \perp \langle(4, 5, 6)\rangle.$$

(iii)  $6 = 2 + 2 + 2$ :  $H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} H_1 \perp H_2 \perp H_3$  where  $H_i \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0$ , and thus

$$H \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} \langle(1, 2)\rangle \perp \langle(3, 4)\rangle \perp \langle(5, 6)\rangle.$$

(Step B1) We can find that there are 16-classes of transitive subgroups of  $\mathfrak{S}_6$ , and representatives are as follows:

$$\mathrm{T}(6)/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} = \{\mathfrak{S}_6, \mathfrak{A}_6, \mathrm{PGL}(2, 5) \cong \mathfrak{S}_5, \mathfrak{A}_5, \mathfrak{S}_4,$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_3 \wr \mathfrak{S}_2 \cong 3^2 \rtimes D_8, 3^2 \rtimes C_4, 3^2 \rtimes 2^2, 3^2 \rtimes C_2, C_3 \times C_2, D_{12}, \mathfrak{S}_3,$$

$$\mathfrak{S}_2 \wr \mathfrak{S}_3 \cong 2^3 \rtimes S_3, 2^3 \rtimes C_3, 2^2 \rtimes C_3, \mathfrak{S}_4\}.$$

In particular,  $\mathrm{T}(6)/\sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6} \cap \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6) = \{\langle(1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6)\rangle \cong C_6\}$ .

(Step B2) In order to examine intransitive subgroups  $H$  in  $\mathrm{IRR}(6)^0$ , we consider pullbacks associated to non-trivial partitions of 6 not containing 1 as summands as follows:

(i)  $6 = 4 + 2$ : There is the unique transitive subgroup  $B := \langle(5, 6)\rangle \in \mathrm{T}(2)$  on  $\{5, 6\}$ . Then we choose a transitive subgroup  $A \in \mathrm{T}(4)$  on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  having a quotient  $A/N$  of order 2, so that, a group isomorphism  $\theta: A/N \rightarrow B$  is defined.

$\theta: A/N \rightarrow B$	$H = A \times^\theta B$	nilp.	$N_{\mathfrak{S}_6}(H)$
$\mathfrak{S}_4/\mathfrak{A}_4 \rightarrow B$	$\langle\mathfrak{A}_4, (1, 2)(5, 6)\rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_4$	no	
$D_8/C_4 \rightarrow B$	$\langle(1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 4)(5, 6)\rangle \cong D_8$	yes	$D^{(1)} \times \langle(5, 6)\rangle$
$D_8/V \rightarrow B$	$\langle(1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4), (2, 4)(5, 6)\rangle$ $= \langle(1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6), (2, 4)(5, 6)\rangle \cong D_8$	yes	$D^{(1)} \times \langle(5, 6)\rangle$
$D_8/\langle(1, 3), (2, 4)\rangle \rightarrow B$	$\langle(1, 3), (2, 4), (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6)\rangle$ $= \langle(1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6), (2, 4)\rangle \cong D_8$	yes	$D^{(1)} \times \langle(5, 6)\rangle$
$V/\langle(1, 2)(3, 4)\rangle \rightarrow B$	$\langle(1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 3)(2, 4)(5, 6)\rangle \cong 2^2$	yes	$D^{(2)} \times \langle(5, 6)\rangle$
$C_4/C_2 \rightarrow B$	$\langle(1, 3)(2, 4), (1, 2, 3, 4)(5, 6)\rangle \cong C_4$	yes	$D^{(1)} \times \langle(5, 6)\rangle$

where  $D^{(1)} := \langle(1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 4)\rangle$  and  $D^{(2)} := \langle(1, 3, 2, 4), (1, 2)\rangle$ .

(ii)  $6 = 3 + 3$ : There are three non-trivial quotients  $A/N$  of transitive subgroups  $A \in \mathcal{T}(3)$ , namely  $(A, N) = (\mathfrak{S}_3, \mathfrak{A}_3)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{S}_3, E)$ , and  $(\mathfrak{A}_3, E)$ .

$\theta: A/N \rightarrow A/N$	$H = A \times^\theta A$	nilp.	$N_{\mathfrak{S}_6}(H)$
$\mathfrak{S}_3/\mathfrak{A}_3 \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3/\mathfrak{A}_3$	$\langle (1, 2, 3), (4, 5, 6), (1, 2)(4, 5) \rangle \cong 3^2 \rtimes C_2$	no	
$\mathfrak{S}_3/E \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_3/E$	$\langle (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6), (1, 2)(4, 5) \rangle \cong \mathfrak{S}_3$	no	
$\mathfrak{A}_3/E \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}_3/E$	$\langle (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6) \rangle \cong C_3$	yes	$3^2 \rtimes C_2 \rtimes C_2$

(iii)  $6 = (2 + 2) + 2$ : There is the unique transitive subgroup  $B := \langle (5, 6) \rangle \in \mathcal{T}(2)$  on  $\{5, 6\}$ . Then we choose an intransitive subgroup  $A \leq \mathfrak{S}_4$  on  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  which has two orbits of length 2. Namely  $A$  is an irreducible subgroup  $A_1 = \langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle$  or non-irreducible subgroup  $A_2 = \langle (1, 2) \rangle \perp \langle (3, 4) \rangle$ . Each  $A_i$  has a quotient of order 2.

$\theta: A/N \rightarrow B$	$H = A \times^\theta B$	nilp.	$N_{\mathfrak{S}_6}(H)$
$A_1/E \rightarrow B$	$\langle (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6) \rangle \cong C_2$	yes	$\mathfrak{S}_2 \wr \mathfrak{S}_3$
$A_2/\langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle \rightarrow B$	$\langle (1, 2)(3, 4), (1, 2)(5, 6) \rangle \cong 2^2$	yes	$\mathfrak{S}_2 \wr \mathfrak{S}_3$
$A_2/\langle (1, 2) \rangle \rightarrow B$	$\langle (1, 2) \rangle \perp \langle (3, 4)(5, 6) \rangle \cong 2^2$	yes	

Note that the last  $\langle (1, 2) \rangle \perp \langle (3, 4)(5, 6) \rangle$  is the only non-irreducible subgroup among the above twelve subgroups in Step B2 (compare with Proposition 5.14). Thus there are 11-classes of intransitive subgroups in  $\text{IRR}(6)^0$ .

(Step B3) By Steps B1–B2, there are  $(16 + 11)$ -classes of subgroups in  $\text{IRR}(6)^0$ , and then  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)^0$  consists of 9-classes whose representatives are as follows:

$H \in \mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)^0 / \sim_{\mathfrak{S}_6}$	$\cong$	
$\langle (1, 2, 3, 4), (2, 4) \rangle \perp \langle (5, 6) \rangle$	$D_8 \times C_2$	non-irreducible
$V \perp \langle (5, 6) \rangle$	$2^3$	
$\langle (1, 2, 3, 4) \rangle \perp \langle (5, 6) \rangle$	$C_4 \times C_2$	
$\langle (1, 2)(3, 4) \rangle \perp \langle (5, 6) \rangle$	$2^2$	
$\langle (1, 2, 3) \rangle \perp \langle (4, 5, 6) \rangle$	$3^2$	
$\langle (1, 2) \rangle \perp \langle (3, 4) \rangle \perp \langle (5, 6) \rangle$	$2^3$	
$\langle (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6) \rangle$	$C_2 \times C_3$	irreducible and transitive
$\langle (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6) \rangle$	$C_3$	irreducible and intransitive
$\langle (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6) \rangle$	$C_2$	

Furthermore, Proposition 5.3 tells us that, since  $2 \in \pi$ , the whole  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)$  is constructed by four parts  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_2)^0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_3)^0$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_5)^0$ , and  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)^0$ . Therefore there are  $(1 + 1 + 2 + 9)$ -classes of subgroups in  $\mathcal{L}_\pi(\mathfrak{S}_6)$ .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The second author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 25400006.

---

### References

- [1] D.J. Benson: Representations and Cohomology, II, second edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics **31**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [2] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson: Atlas of Finite Groups, Oxford Univ. Press, Eynsham, 1985.
- [3] J.D. Dixon and B. Mortimer: Permutation Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **163**, Springer, New York, 1996.
- [4] J.F. Humphreys: A Course in Group Theory, Oxford Science Publications, Oxford Univ. Press, New York, 1996.
- [5] N. Iiyori and M. Sawabe: *Representations of path algebras with applications to subgroup lattices and group characters*, Tokyo J. Math. **37** (2014), 37–59.
- [6] N. Iiyori and M. Sawabe: *Simplicial complexes associated to quivers arising from finite groups*, Osaka J. Math. **52** (2015), 161–204.
- [7] N. Iiyori and M. Sawabe: *Homology of a certain associative algebra*, accepted in Hokkaido Math. J.
- [8] M.S. Lucido: *On the partially ordered set of nilpotent subgroups of a finite group*, Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), 1825–1836.
- [9] S.D. Smith: Subgroup Complexes, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **179**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011.
- [10] M. Suzuki: Group theory, I, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften **247**, Springer, Berlin, 1982.
- [11] J. Thévenaz and P.J. Webb: *Homotopy equivalence of posets with a group action*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **56** (1991), 173–181.

Nobuo Iiyori  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Education  
 Yamaguchi University  
 Yamaguchi 753-8511  
 Japan  
 e-mail: iiyori@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

Masato Sawabe  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Education  
 Chiba University  
 Inage-ku Yayoi-cho 1-33, Chiba 263-8522  
 Japan  
 e-mail: sawabe@faculty.chiba-u.jp