OUKA
The University of Osaka
Institutional Knowledge Archive

Title	On algebras which resemble the local Weyl algebra
Author(s)	Hang, Chang-Woo; Miyanishi, Masayoshi; Nishida, Kenji et al.
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1992, 29(2), p. 393-404
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/5900
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Hang, C.W., Miyanishi, M., Nishida K. and Zhang, D.Q.
Osaka J. Math.
29 (1992), 393–404

ON ALGEBRAS WHICH RESEMBLE THE LOCAL WEYL ALGEBRA

CHANG WOO HANG, MASAYOSHI MIYANISHI, KENJI NISHIDA and DE-QI ZHANG

(Received April 11, 1991)

1. Introduction

Let K be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)=K[[x_1, \cdots, x_n]]$ be the formal power series ring over K in n variables. According to Björk [1], we denote by $\hat{D}_n(K)$ the subring of $\mathrm{End}_K(\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K))$ generated over K by the left multiplications by elements of $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)$ and partial differentials $\partial_i=\partial/\partial x_i$,

$$\hat{D}_n(K) = \hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)\langle \partial_1, \cdots, \partial_n\rangle$$

where $\partial_i x_j - x_j \partial_i = \delta_{ij}$ (Kronecker's delta) and $\partial_i \partial_j = \partial_j \partial_i$. The ring $\hat{D}_n(K)$, called the *local Weyl algebra*, has the Σ-filtration $\{\Sigma_v\}_{v\geq 0}$ such that $\Sigma_0=\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)$ and $\Sigma_v=\{\sum_\alpha f_\alpha \partial^\alpha ; f_\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_n(K)$ and $\partial^\alpha=\partial_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\partial_n^{\alpha_n}$ with $|\alpha|=\alpha_1+\cdots+\alpha_n\leq v\}$ and that the associated graded ring $\mathrm{gr}_\Gamma(\hat{D}_n(K))$ is a polynomial ring over $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)$ in n variables. Moreover, $\hat{D}_n(K)$ has weak global dimension n, i.e., $\mathrm{w.gl.dim}(\hat{D}_n(K)) = n$.

These are ring-theoretic, algebraic properties which the local Weyl algebra $\hat{D}_n(K)$ has. In the present article, we consider whether or not these properties are sufficient to characterize the ring $\hat{D}_n(K)$. For this purpose, we introduce the notion of pre-W-algebra and W-algebra (see below for the definition) and show that a W-algebra, which satisfies the above-listed properties $\hat{D}_n(K)$ has and one additional condition, i.e., $L=\Sigma_1/\Sigma_0$ is essentially abelian, is realized as a subalgebra of some $\hat{D}_n(K)$. After all, we are successful only in the case $n=1$. We are, however, convinced that our approach of computing the weak global dimension of a W-algebra will be useful to study locally a vector field at a smooth point on an algebraic variety.

We employ the terminology and notation in [1].

2. Structure theorems

To simplify the notation, we denote $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_n(K)$ by R. Let A be a (not necessarily commutative) K-algebra containing R generated by finitely many elements

over R. Consider the following three conditions on A:

(i) A has a Σ-filtration $\{\Sigma_v\}_{v\geq 0}$ such that $\Sigma_v (v\geq 0)$ is a two-sided R-submodule of A, $\Sigma_0=R$, Σ_1 generates A over R, $\Sigma_v\cdot\Sigma_w\subset\Sigma_{v+w}$ for any $v, w\geq 0$ and $A=\cup_{v\geq 0}\Sigma_v$;

(ii) The associated graded ring $\mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A):=\oplus_{v\geq 0}\Sigma_v/\Sigma_{v-1}$ is a polynomial ring $R[y_1, \cdots, y_m]$ in m variables;

(iii) w.gl.dim $(A)=n$.

If A satisfies the above conditions (i) and (ii), we call it a *pre-W-algebra over* R. We denote by L the free R-module $\Sigma_1/\Sigma_0=\oplus_{i=1}^m Ry_i$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let A be a pre-W-algebra over R. Then we have the following:*

(1) *Let $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ be elements of Σ_1 such that $y_i\equiv Y_i \pmod{\Sigma_0}$ for any i. Then A is generated by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ over R, which we write as $A=R\langle Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\rangle$.*

(2) *For any $y\in L$ and $a\in R$, define $y[a]$ by*

$$y[a] = Ya-aY$$

for $Y\in\Sigma_1$ with $y\equiv Y \pmod{\Sigma_0}$. Then $y[a]$ is independent of the choice of Y, and y is considered as a K-derivation on R. So, we have an R-linear map $\rho: L\to \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$; we write $y[a]$ as $\rho(y)(a)$ as well and we use this map ρ in the subsequent discussions without referring explicitly to this lemma.

(3) *Define a bracket product $[y, z]$ on L by*

$$[y, z] \equiv YZ-ZY \pmod{\Sigma_0}$$

for $Y, Z\in\Sigma_1$ with $y\equiv Y \pmod{\Sigma_0}$ and $z\equiv Z \pmod{\Sigma_0}$. Then $[y, z]$ is well-defined and ρ is a Lie-algebra homomorphism, i.e., $\rho([y, z])=[\rho(y), \rho(z)]$.

Proof. (1) For any $f\in A$, we define $v(f)$ as the smallest integer r with $f\in\Sigma_r$. If $v(f)=r$, there exists $F_r(y_1, \cdots, y_m)\in R[y_1, \cdots, y_m]_r$=the r-th homogeneous part of $\mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A)$ such that $f-F_r(Y_1, \cdots, Y_m)\in\Sigma_{r-1}$. By induction on $v(f)$, we can verify the assertion straightforwardly.

(2) Replace Y be $Y+b$ with $b\in R$. Then we have

$$(Y+b)a-a(Y+b) = Ya-aY,$$

whence $y[a]$ is independent of the choice of Y. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y[ab] &= Y(ab)-(ab)Y = (aY+y[a])b-abY \\ &= a(Yb-bY)+y[a]b = ay[b]+y[a]b. \end{aligned}$$

So, $y[\ ]$ is a K-derivation on R.

(3) The assertion can be verified by a straightforward computation.

Q.E.D.

The structure of a pre-W-algebra over R is given in the following:

Theorem 2.2. (1) *Let A be a pre-W-algebra over R. Let $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ be elements of Σ_1 as chosen in the previous lemma. Write*

$$Y_i\, Y_j - Y_j\, Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{ij,k}\, Y_k + \sigma_{ij}\,, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m\,, \tag{2.0}$$

where $\rho_{ij,k}, \sigma_{ij} \in R$. Then we have the following equalities:

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} (\rho_{ij,l}\, \rho_{lk,s} + \rho_{jk,l}\, \rho_{li,s} + \rho_{ki,l}\, \rho_{lj,s}) = y_i[\rho_{jk,s}] + y_j[\rho_{ki,s}] + y_k[\rho_{ij,s}]\,, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k, s \leq m \tag{2.1}$$

$$\sum_{l=1}^{m} (\rho_{ij,l}\, \sigma_{lk} + \rho_{jk,l}\, \sigma_{li} + \rho_{ki,l}\, \sigma_{lj}) = y_i[\sigma_{jk}] + y_j[\sigma_{ki}] + y_k[\sigma_{ij}]\,, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m \tag{2.2}$$

$$\rho_{ij,k} = -\rho_{ji,k}, \ \sigma_{ij} = -\sigma_{ji}\,, \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m\,. \tag{2.3}$$

The elements $\{\rho_{ij,k};\ 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m\}$ are determined uniquely by the Lie algebra L and the choice of R-free basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ of L.

(2) *Suppose we are given as in Lemma* 2.1 *the Lie algebra L and an R-linear map $\rho\colon L \to \mathrm{Der}_K R$ which is a Lie-algebra homomorphism. For an R-free basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ of L, suppose we are given elements $\{\sigma_{ij};\ 1 \leq i, j \leq m\}$ satisfying the conditions* (2.2) *and* (2.3) *above. Then there exists a K-algebra A with a Σ-filtration $\{\Sigma_v\}_{v \geq 0}$ such that*

(i) *A is generated over R by elements $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$;*

(ii) *The equalities* (2.0)-(2.3) *hold;*

(iii) $\Sigma_v = \{\Sigma_\alpha f_\alpha\, Y^\alpha;\ f_\alpha \in R,\ Y^\alpha = Y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_m^{\alpha_m},\ |\alpha| \leq v\}$ *for any* $v \geq 0$;

(iv) $\mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A) \cong R[y_1, \cdots, y_m] :=$ *the symmetric algebra of L over R.*

Proof. (1) By the definition of $[y_i, y_j]$ in Lemma 2.1, $\{\rho_{ij,k};\ 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m\}$ are the multiplication constants of the Lie algebra L. Hence they are uniquely determined by the choice of the R-free basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ of L. If one chooses $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ as in Lemma 2.1, then $\{1, Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ is an R-free basis of Σ_1. Then the equalities (2.1) and (2.2) follow from the Jacobi identity:

$$[[Y_i, Y_j], Y_k] + [[Y_j, Y_k], Y_i] + [[Y_k, Y_i], Y_j] = 0\,,$$

where $[Y_i, Y_j] = Y_i\, Y_j - Y_j\, Y_i$.

(2) Let $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ be indeterminates and let A be the free K-algebra generated by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ over R modulo the two-sided ideal I generated by

$$\{Y_i\, Y_j - Y_j\, Y_i - \sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{ij,k}\, Y_k - \sigma_{ij};\ 1 \leq i, j, k \leq m\}$$

and

$$\{Y_i f - f\, Y_i - \rho(y_i)(f);\ 1 \leq i \leq m,\ \forall f \in R\}\,.$$

We write $y_i[f]=\rho(y_i)(f)$ by identifying Y_i's with y_i's in L, We can employ the proof of the Poincaré-Birkoff-Witt theorem (cf. Jacobson [2]) without major changes in the present situation to show that every element of A is written uniquely as a linear combination of standard monomials in $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ with coefficients in R. In particular, the equalities (2.1) and (2.2) imply that Σ_1 (with the notation in (iii)) is a free R-module generated by 1, $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$. Note that there is a surjective homomorphism $\theta: R[y_1, \cdots, y_m] \to \mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A)$. Its kernel is generated by the relations $y_i y_j - y_j y_i$ and $y_i f - f y_i$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. But these elements are already zero in $R[y_1, \cdots, y_m]$. Hence $\mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A) \cong R[y_1, \cdots, y_m]$.

Q.E.D.

Let A be a pre-W-algebra over R. We are interested in the existence of an algebra homomorphism from A to the local Weyl algebra $\hat{D}_n(K)$, which is the identity homomorphism when restricted on the subalgebra R. We call it a *K-algebra homomorphism over R.*

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a pre-W-algebra over R. Then the following conditions on A are equivalent:*

(1) *There is a K-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: A \to \hat{D}_n(K)$ over R such that $\tilde{\rho}(\Sigma_v) \subset \Sigma_v$ for all $v \geq 0$ and $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Sigma_1}$ induces the Lie-algebra homomorphism $\rho: L := \Sigma_1/\Sigma_0 \to \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ (cf. Lemma 2.1).*

(2) *There exists a lifting $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ of the R-free basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ in Σ_1 for which $\sigma_{ij}=0$, $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.*

(3) *There exist $\{a_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$ in R such that*

$$\sigma_{ij} = \sum_{l=1}^{m} \rho_{ij,l}\, a_l + y_j[a_i] - y_i[a_j], \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \tag{2.4}$$

(4) *There exists an R-free submodule $\tilde{L}$ of Σ_1 such that $\tilde{L}$ is closed under the bracket product $[Y, Z] = YZ - ZY$ and the natural residue homomorphism $\pi: \Sigma_1 \to L$ induces a Lie-algebra isomorphism $\pi|_{\tilde{L}}: \tilde{L} \to L$.*

Proof.

(1)$\Rightarrow$(2). Note that $\hat{D}_n(K)$ acts on R in the natural fashion. So, A acts on R via the homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}$. For $Y \in \Sigma_1$, let $a = \tilde{\rho}(Y) \cdot 1$ and let $Y' = Y - a$. Then, since $\tilde{\rho}(Y) \in \Sigma_1 := \oplus_{i=1}^n R\partial/\partial x_i + R$, we know that $\tilde{\rho}(Y') \in \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$. In particular, $\tilde{\rho}(Y') \cdot 1 = 0$. Now, for the given lifting $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$, we set $Y_i' = Y_i - \tilde{\rho}(Y_i) \cdot 1$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then $\{Y_1', \cdots, Y_m'\}$ is a lifting of $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ in Σ_1. We assume from the beginning that $Y_i' = Y_i$, $1 \leq i \leq m$. Then the equality (2.0) implies $\sigma_{ij}=0$ $(1 \leq i, j \leq m)$ because $\tilde{\rho}(Y_i) \in \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$.

(2)$\Rightarrow$(3). Suppose $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ is the given lifting of $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ and $\{Y_1', \cdots, Y_m'\}$ is a lifting for which $\sigma_{ij}'=0$ when we write

$$Y_i' Y_j' - Y_j' Y_i' = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{ij,k}\, Y_k' + \sigma_{ij}', \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m. \tag{2.0}'$$

Then $Y_i'=Y_i+a_i$ with $a_i\in R$. Replacing Y_i' in (2.0)′ by this expression, we obtain the equality (2.4).

(3)⇒(2). Conversely, if we are given $\{a_i\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$ satisfying (2.4), set $Y_i'=Y_i+a_i$. Then $\{Y_1', \cdots, Y_m'\}$ is a lifting of $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ for which $\sigma_{ij}'=0$.

(2)⇒(4). Let $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ be as in (2) above. Let $\tilde{L}$ be the R-submodule of Σ_1 generated by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$. Then $\tilde{L}$ is a free R-module. Since $\sigma_{ij}=0$, we readily verify that $[Y, Z]\in\tilde{L}$ for any $Y, Z\in\tilde{L}$. Clearly, π induces an isomorphism between $\tilde{L}$ and L.

(4)⇒(1). Define $\tilde{\rho}$: $\tilde{L}\to \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ by $\tilde{\rho}(Y)=\rho(\pi(Y))$. Extend this to Σ_1 in a natural fashion by putting $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Sigma_0}=\mathrm{id}_R$. Furthermore, we extend $\tilde{\rho}$ to the free K-algebra F generated over R by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_m$ as follows. For an element $Y_{i_1}f_{i_1}\cdots Y_{i_r}f_{i_r}$ of F with $Y_{i_j}\in\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ and $f_{i_j}\in R$, define

$$Y_{i_1}f_{i_1}\cdots Y_{i_r}f_{i_r}\cdot(a) = y_{i_1}[f_{i_1}[y_{i_2}[\cdots[f_{i_r}a]\cdots]]]\,,$$

where $y_{ij}=\pi(Y_{ij})$ and $f[b]:=fb\in R$. In view of (2) of Theorem 2.2, A is identified with the residue ring of F by the two-sided ideal I considered in Theorem 2.2. So, in order to have $\tilde{\rho}$ as above, we have only to show that

$$y_i[y_j[a]]-y_j[y_i[a]] = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\rho_{ij,k}\,y_k[a] \quad\text{and}\quad y_i[fa] = fy_i[a]+y_i[f]\,a$$

for $a\in R$. These equations hold, in fact, because ρ: $L\to\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ being a Lie-algebra homomorphism implies

$$y_i[y_j[a]]-y_j[y_i[a]] = [y_i, y_j]\,[a] = \sum_{k=1}^{m}\rho_{ij,k}\,y_k[a]$$

and the second equality above. Q.E.D.

If a pre-W-algebra A over R satisfies one of the equivalent conditions in Theorem 2.3, we call *A a W-algebra over R.*

REMARK 2.4. (1) Suppose that ρ: $L\to\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ is an isomorphism. Then, as an R-free basis $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ of L, we can take $y_i=\rho^{-1}(\partial/\partial x_i)$. Then $\rho_{ij,k}=0$ for all $1\leq i, j, k\leq m$. So the case with all $\rho_{ij,k}=0$ can take place. We then say that L is *essentially abelian.*

(2) Suppose L is essentially abelian. Let $\{y_1, \cdots, y_m\}$ be an R-free basis of L such that $[y_i, y_j]=0$, $1\leq i, j\leq m$ and let $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ be such that $y_i\equiv Y_i$ (mod Σ_0) and $Y_i\,Y_j-Y_j\,Y_i=\sigma_{ij}\in R$. Suppose we can take $\sigma_{ij}=c_{ij}\in K^*=K-(0)$ for $1\leq i, j\leq m$ and $i\neq j$ and that $\rho(y_i)(\mathcal{M})\subset\mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is the maximal ideal of R. Then we cannot find $\{a_i\}_{1\leq i\leq m}$ so that the equality (2.4) holds. There exists a K-algebra A over R satisfying these conditions. In fact, we take $m=n$, ρ: $L\to\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ to be a homomorphism such that $\rho(y_i)=\partial/\partial x_i$, $1\leq i\leq n$, and A to be the residue ring of a free K-algebra F over R generated by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$ modulo the two-sided ideal I as considered in Theorem 2.2, (2). Then ρ cannot

be extended to a K-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: A \to \hat{D}_n(K)$ over R as considered in Theorem 2.3.

3. Case L is essentially abelian

We begin with the following:

Lemma 3.1. *Let A be a W-algebra over R with a K-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: A \to \hat{D}_n(K)$ over R which is an extension of the Lie-algebra homomorphism $\rho: L \to \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$. Then we have* $\mathrm{w.gl.dim}\,(A) \geq n$.

Proof. Note that any element ξ of A can be expressed as $\xi = \Sigma_\alpha f_\alpha Y^\alpha$, where $f_\alpha \in R$ and $Y^\alpha = Y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots Y_m^{\alpha_m}$ (cf. the equality $Ya - aY = y[a]$ in Lemma 2.1). Furthermore, this expression is unique. Indeed, if we have a nontrivial expression $\Sigma_\alpha f_\alpha Y^\alpha = 0$ then this yields a homogeneous nontrivial relation

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=v} f_\alpha y^\alpha = 0, \quad y^\alpha = y_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots y_m^{\alpha_m}$$

where $v = \max\{|\alpha|; f_\alpha \neq 0\}$. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\mathrm{gr}_\Sigma(A)$ is a polynomial ring in $y_1, \cdots, y_m$ over R. Hence A is a free R-module, whence A is R-flat as a left R-module. Similarly, ξ can be expressed uniquely as $\xi = \Sigma_\beta Y^\beta g_\beta$. So, A is R-flat as a right R-module. Hence A is R-flat as a ring. In view of Björk [1, Cor.2.9, p.42], we have

$$(*) \quad \mathrm{w.dim}_R(A \otimes_R M) \leq \mathrm{w.dim}_A(A \otimes_R M)$$

for any left R-module M. Take an R-module $K = R/\mathcal{M}$ with $\mathcal{M} = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)R$. Then, by the theory of syzyzy, we know that $\mathrm{w.dim}_R(K) = n$; in fact, $\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(K, K) = K \neq (0)$. Then the above inequality $(*)$ implies that $\mathrm{w.dim}_A(A \otimes_R K) \geq n$. Hence $\mathrm{w.gl.dim}(A) \geq n$. Q.E.D.

We shall be concerned with the condition $\mathrm{w.gl.dim}(A) = n$ for a W-algebra over R.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be a W-algebra over R with a K-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: A \to \hat{D}_n(K)$ over R. Suppose that L is essentially abelian and A has* $\mathrm{w.gl.dim}(A) = n$. *Then $\tilde{\rho}$ is an injection.*

Proof. Let $\tilde{\rho}_1 := \tilde{\rho}|_{\tilde{L}}$, where $\tilde{L}$ is an R-free submodule of Σ_1 isomorphic to L as a Lie algebra (cf. Theorem 2.3). Then there exists an R-free basis $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ of $\tilde{L}$ such that $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. Let $\tilde{L}_0 = \oplus_{i=1}^m K Y_i$ and let $Q = \mathrm{Ker}(\tilde{\rho}_1|_{\tilde{L}_0})$. Then $\tilde{L}_0 \cong Q \oplus \tilde{\rho}_1(\tilde{L}_0)$ is a direct sum as Lie algebras and Q is contained in the center of A. Let B be the R-subalgebra of $\hat{D}_n(K)$ generated by $\tilde{\rho}_1(\tilde{L}_0)$ and let J be the two-sided ideal of A generated by Q. Then $B \cong A/J$ and B is a W-algebra over R. Indeed, we may take $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ so that $\{Y_{r+1}, \cdots, Y_m\}$ is a K-basis of Q. Let $\bar{Y}_i = \tilde{\rho}_1(Y_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then B is

generated by $\bar{Y}_1, \cdots, \bar{Y}_r$ over R which act on R via the derivations $\delta_i = y_i[\ ]$, $1 \leq i \leq r$. Note that $\{\bar{Y}_1, \cdots, \bar{Y}_r\}$ are linearly independent over R. So, $r \leq n$. We claim:

Lemma 3.3. *$\{\delta_1, \cdots, \delta_r\}$ are algebraically independent over R. Namely, if $\Sigma_\gamma f_\gamma \delta^\gamma = 0$ with $f_\gamma \in R$ and $\delta^\gamma = \delta_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots \delta_r^{\gamma_r}$ then $f_\gamma = 0$ for all γ.*

Proof. Denote by $Q(R)$ the quotient field of R. We can find $\Delta_1, \cdots, \Delta_r \in \oplus_{i=1}^r Q(R)\,\delta_i$ satisfying the following conditions:

(1) $\oplus_{i=1}^r Q(R)\,\delta_i = \oplus_{i=1}^r Q(R)\,\Delta_i$;

(2) We can express $\Delta_i = \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij}\,\partial_j$ with $a_{ij} \in R$ and $\partial_j = \partial/\partial x_j$, and if we define s_i as $\min\{j;\ a_{ij} \neq 0\}$ then $s_1 < s_2 < \cdots < s_r$.

Suppose we have a nontrivial relation $\Sigma_\gamma f_\gamma \delta^\gamma = 0$. Let $v = \max\{|\gamma|;\ f_\gamma \neq 0\}$. Expressing δ_i as a $Q(R)$-linear combination of Δ_j's and substituting it for δ_i in $\Sigma_\gamma f_\gamma \delta^\gamma = 0$, we obtain a nontrivial relation $\Sigma_\gamma g_\gamma \Delta^\gamma = 0$ with $\max\{|\gamma|;\ g_\gamma \neq 0\} = v$. Expressing then Δ^γ in terms of $\partial^\beta = \partial_1^{\beta_1} \cdots \partial_n^{\beta_n}$, we obtain

$$(*) \qquad \sum_{|\gamma|=v} \Big(g_\gamma \prod_{i=1}^r (a_{is_i})^{\gamma_i}\Big)\,\partial^{\tilde{\gamma}} + \cdots = 0\,,$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$, as an n-tuple, has γ_i at the s_i-th entry for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and 0 elsewhere if $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r)$. Among g_γ's with $|\gamma| = v$ and $g_\gamma \neq 0$, let $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r)$ be the smallest with respect to the lexicographic relation: $(\gamma_1, \cdots, \gamma_r) \leq (\gamma_1', \cdots, \gamma_r')$ if and only if $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1', \cdots, \gamma_{t-1} = \gamma_{t-1}', \gamma_t \leq \gamma_t'$. Then $(g_\alpha \prod_{i=1}^r (a_{is_i})^{\alpha_i})\,\partial^{\tilde{\alpha}}$ has no other terms in (*) to cancel with. This is a contradiction. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 3.2 resumed. The above lemma implies that B is isomorphic to a W-algebra over R generated by $Y_1, \cdots, Y_r$. Since any element ξ of A is expressed uniquely in the form

$$(**) \qquad \xi = \sum_\gamma f_\gamma\, Y^\gamma + \eta\,, \quad f_\gamma \in R \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \in J\,,$$

where $Y^\gamma = Y_1^{\gamma_1} \cdots Y_r^{\gamma_r}$, we know that A/J is isomorphic to B.

Now we can easliy show that $A \cong B[Y_{r+1}, \cdots, Y_m]$, a polynomial ring in $Y_{r+1}, \cdots, Y_m$ over B (cf. the above expression (**) of ξ). By Björk [1, Th. 3.4, p.43], we have $\text{w.gl.dim}(A) = \text{w.gl.dim}(B) + (m-r) \geq n + m - r$ (cf. Lemma 3.1). By the hypothesis $\text{w.gl.dim}(A) = n$, we have $m = r$. This implies $J = (0)$. Hence $A \cong B$. Q.E.D.

A W-algebra A over R is called a *W-subalgebra of $\hat{D}_n(K)$* provided $\tilde{\rho}$ is injective.

Theorem 3.4. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of W-subalgebras of $\hat{D}_n(K)$ and the set of R-submodules $\tilde{L}$ of $\text{Der}_K(R)$ satisfying the conditions:*

(L-1) *$\tilde{L}$ is a free R-submodule of* $\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$;
(L-2) *$\tilde{L}$ is closed under the bracket product of* $\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$.

Proof. Let A be a W-subalgebra of $\hat{D}_n(K)$. Then we can find an R-free submodule $\tilde{L}$ of Σ_1 which is isomorphic to $L:=\Sigma_1/\Sigma_0$. Since $\tilde{\rho}$ is injective, so is $\rho: L\to \mathrm{Der}_K(R)$. Hence $\tilde{L}$ is an R-free submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$. Since $\rho\cdot(\pi\,|\,\tilde{L})$ is a Lie-algebra homomorphism, $\tilde{L}$ is closed under the bracket product of $\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ (cf. Theorem 2.3). Conversely, let $\tilde{L}$ be an R-submodule of $\mathrm{Der}_K(R)$ satisfying the conditions (L-1) and (L-2). Let $\{Y_1, \cdots, Y_m\}$ be an R-free basis of $\tilde{L}$. Then we have:
(1) $Y_i\,Y_j - Y_j\,Y_i = \sum_{k=1}^{m} \rho_{ij,k}\,Y_k$, $1\leq i,j\leq m$,
(2) $Y_i f - fY_i = Y_i[f]$ for $f\in R$ and $1\leq i\leq m$.

Construct a K-algebra A over R as in Theorem 2.2, (2). Then the natural K-algebra homomorphism $A\to\hat{D}_n(K)$ over R is injective (cf. the proof of Lemma 3.3). Q.E.D.

A W-subalgebra A of $\hat{D}_n(K)$ is said to be *of maximal rank if* $\mathrm{rank}\,\tilde{L}=n$. We shall consider the case $n=1$. Then L is essentially abelian. Hence there exists a K-algebra homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}: A\to\hat{D}_1(K)$ over R which must be injective by virtue of Theorem 3.4. We set $Y=Y_1$, a free generator of the R-module $\tilde{L}$ (cf. Theorem 2.3). Then we have $Yx-xY=f$, where $f=x^r u$ with $u\in R^*$. Replacing Y by $u^{-1}Y$, we may assume that $f=x^r$. We shall show:

Lemma 3.5. $\mathrm{Tor}_2^A(K,K)=K$ *if* $r\geq 2$, *while it is zero if* $r=1$. $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(K,K)=K$ *if* $r=1$.

Proof. Suppose $r>0$. Then K is a two-sided A-module. As a right A-module K has the following free A-module resolution:

$$0\to e_2 A \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} e_1 A\oplus e_1' A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} e_0 A \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K\to 0\,,$$

where ε is the natural residue homomorphism and $\varphi_i (i=0, 1)$ is given as:

$$\varphi_0(e_1)=e_0 Y,\quad \varphi_0(e_1')=e_0 x \quad\text{and}\quad \varphi_1(e_2)=e_1 x - e_1'(Y+x^{r-1})\,.$$

Take the tensor product of this sequence with a left A-module $K=Av$ to obtain the complex:

$$0\to e_2 A\otimes_A Av \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}_1} (e_1 A\otimes_A Av)\oplus(e_1' A\otimes_A Av) \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}_0} e_0 A\otimes_A Av\to 0\,,$$

where we can identify $e_i A\otimes_A Av$ with $e_i\otimes Kv$ for $e_i=e_0, e_1, e_1'$ and e_2. Then it is clear that $\bar{\varphi}_1=\bar{\varphi}_0=0$ if $r\geq 2$. Hence $\mathrm{Tor}_2^A(K,K)=K$ if $r\geq 2$. If $r=1$, then $\bar{\varphi}_1(e_2\otimes v)=-e_1'\otimes v$, whence $\bar{\varphi}_1$ is injective. So, $\mathrm{Tor}_2^A(K,K)=0$ if $r=1$. If $r=1$, $\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(K,K)=K$ because $\bar{\varphi}_0=0$. Q.E.D.

Corollary 3.6. *Let A be a W-subalgebra of $\hat{D}_1(K)$ with* w.gl.dim $(A)=1$. *Then $A=\hat{D}_1(K)$.*

Proof. With the same notations as in Lemma 3.5, it suffices to show that w.gl.dim$(A)=2$ if $r=1$. Suppose $r=1$ and consider the following exact sequence

$$0 \to e_2 A \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} e_1 A \oplus e_1' A \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} \operatorname{Im} \varphi_0 \to 0 .$$

Suppose that w.gl.dim$(A)=1$. Then $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_0$ is a projective A-module in view of the free A-module resolution of K given in the proof of Lemma 3.5. So, the above sequence must split. Hence there exists an A-homomorphism $\psi: e_1 A \oplus e_1' A \to e_2 A$ such that $\psi\varphi_1 = id_{e_2 A}$. Write $\psi(e_1)=e_2 a$ and $\psi(e_1')=e_2 b$ for some a, b of A. Then we have $ax-b(Y+1)=1$. We claim, however, that $Ax+A(Y+1)$ is a proper left ideal of A. Indeed, $Ax=xA$ (cf. Lemma 3.7 below) and A/Ax is isomorphic to a polynomial ring $K[Y]$. Hence $A/Ax+A(Y+1)=K$ and our claim is proved. This is a contradiction. Consequently, we have w.gl.dim $(A)=2$. Q.E.D.

We still remain in the case $n=r=1$. A simple right or left A-module M is said to be *unfaithful* if $\operatorname{ann}_A(M) \neq 0$. For $\alpha \in K$, define $K_\alpha = A/xA+(Y-\alpha)A$. Then we have the following:

Lemma 3.7. *The following assertions hold true:*

(1) *K_α is a simple right A-module as well as a simple left A-module.*

(2) *$K_\alpha \cong K_\beta$ if and only if $\alpha=\beta$.*

(3) *Every unfaithful simple right or left A-module is isomorphic to K_α for some $\alpha \in K$.*

(4) *Let S_A and ${}_AT$ be unfaithful simple right and left A-modules, respectively. Then* $\operatorname{Tor}_1^A(S, T)=0$.

Proof. The first three assertions can be proved as in the case of a skew polynomial ring or in the case of the universal enveloping algebra of a two-dimensional Lie algebra over K. For the convenience of the readers, we shall sketch the proof.

(1) By the relation $Yx-xY=x$, we have

$$(Y-\alpha)x-x(Y-\alpha) = x \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha \in K$$

This implies that

$$xA = Ax \quad \text{and} \quad xA+(Y-\alpha)A = Ax+A(Y-\alpha)$$

Since $K_\alpha \cong K[Y]/(Y-\alpha)$, K_α is simple as right and left A-modules.

(2) This easily follows from the first assertion.

(3) Since $xA \subset \operatorname{ann}_A(K_\alpha)$, K_α is unfaithful. Let I be a nonzero two-sided

ideal of A. Then $x^n \in I$ for some n. Indeed, let ξ be a nonzero element of I and write it as

$$\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{r} f_i Y^i \quad \text{with} \quad f_i \in R \quad \text{and} \quad f_r \neq 0 .$$

Then $\xi x - x\xi = rx f_r Y^{r-1} +$(terms of lower degree) is an element of I. Since $rx f_r \neq 0$, we can continue this step of finding an element of I with lower degree in Y. After the r-steps repeated, we find an element $x^r f_r$ of I. Multiplying to this element a unit in R, we find $x^r \in I$. Let S be an unfaithful simple right A-module. Set $I = \mathrm{ann}_A(S) \neq 0$. Then $x^n \in I$ and $x^{n-1} \notin I$ for some n. Since $Sx^{n-1} \neq 0$, there exists $s \in S$ such that $sx^{n-1} \neq 0$. Since S is simple, we have $S = sx^{n-1}A = sAx^{n-1}$, whence $Sx = sAx^n = 0$. Hence $x \in I$. So, $xA \subset I$. It is clear that I is a prime ideal of A in the sense that $J_1 J_2 \subset I$ for two-sided ideals J_1, J_2 of A implies $J_1 \subset I$ or $J_2 \subset I$. Let $\bar{A} = A/xA \cong K[Y]$ and $\bar{I}$ the image of I in $\bar{A}$. Since $\bar{I}$ is a prime ideal of $K[Y]$, we have $\bar{I} = (Y-\alpha)K$ for some $\alpha \in K$. Hence $I = xA + (Y-\alpha)A$ and $S \cong A/I = K_\alpha$. A similar argument applies to a simple left A-module.

(4) In order to prove the assertion, we have to show

$$\mathrm{Tor}_1^A(K_\alpha, K_\beta) = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha, \beta \in K .$$

We can easily show this result by replacing Y by $Y-\alpha$ in the proof of Lemma 3.5. Q.E.D.

If $n \geq 2$, we know little on W-subalgebras of $\hat{D}_n(K)$ even if it is of maximal rank. We shall give two partial results.

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be a W-subalgebra of maximal rank of $\hat{D}_n(K)$ corresponding to a Lie subalgebra $\tilde{L} = \oplus_{i=1}^n RY_i$ with $Y_i = x_i^{r_i} \partial/\partial x_i$ and $r_i \geq 1$. Then we have*

$$\mu := \max\{v;\ \mathrm{Tor}_v^A(K, K) \neq 0\} = 2\#\{i;\ r_i \geq 2\} + \#\{i;\ r_i = 1\} .$$

Hence $r_i = 1$ for all i provided w.gl.dim$(A) = n$.

Proof. Let S_i be the free algebra generated by Y_i over a one-dimensional polynomial ring $K[x_i]$ modulo the two-sided ideal generated by $Y_i x_i - x_i Y_i = x_i^{r_i}$. Since $Y_i Y_j = Y_j Y_i$ and $x_i Y_j = Y_j x_i$ if $i \neq j$, A is isomorphic to

$$(S_1 \otimes_K S_2 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K S_n) \otimes_{K[x_1, \cdots, x_n]} R ,$$

when $S_1 \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K S_n$ is regarded as an algebra over $K[x_1, \cdots, x_n]$. Consider a complex

$$(\tilde{C}_i^\cdot):\ 0 \to e_2^{(i)} S_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} e_1^{(i)} S_i \oplus e_1'^{(i)} S_i \xrightarrow{\varphi_0} e_0^{(i)} S_i \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} K \to 0 ,$$

which is defined in the same fashion as in the proof of Lemma 3.5 with A replaced by S_i. It is a resolution of the two-sided S_i-module K by free right S_i-modules. The complex $\tilde{C}^{\cdot}:=(\tilde{C}_1^{\cdot}\otimes_K\cdots\otimes_K\tilde{C}_n^{\cdot})\otimes_{K[x_1,\cdots,x_n]}R$ is a resolution of the two-sided A-module K by free right A-modules. Let $C_i^{\cdot}$ (resp. $C^{\cdot}$) be the complex obtained from $\tilde{C}_i^{\cdot}$ (resp. $\tilde{C}^{\cdot}$) by replacing K by 0. Then, taking the tensor products with the left A-module K, we obtain $\bar{C}^{\cdot}:=C^{\cdot}\otimes_A K\cong\bar{C}_1^{\cdot}\otimes_K\cdots\otimes_K\bar{C}_n^{\cdot}$, where $\bar{C}_i^{\cdot}=C_i^{\cdot}\otimes_A K$. By the Künneth formula for homologies, we have

$$\mathrm{Tor}_v^A(K,K)\cong\oplus_{v_1+\cdots+v_n=v}\mathrm{Tor}_{v_1}^{S_1}(K,K)\otimes_K\cdots\otimes_K\mathrm{Tor}_{v_n}^{S_n}(K,K)\,.$$

Hence we obtain the stated formula in view of Lemma 3.5. Q.E.D.

Proposition 3.9. *Let A be a W-subalgebra of maximal rank of $\hat{D}_2(K)$ corresponding to a Lie subalgebra $\tilde{L}=RY_1+RY_2$ with $Y_1=h\partial/\partial x_i$, where $h=x_1f+x_2g\in Rx_1+Rx_2$. Suppose that h is a homogeneous polynomial in x_1 and x_2. Then* $\mathrm{Tor}_3^A(K,K)\neq 0$ *and* $\mathrm{Tor}_4^A(K,K)=0$.

Proof. We have the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_1Y_2-Y_2Y_1 &= -h_{x_2}Y_1+h_{x_1}Y_2\\
Y_1x_1-x_1Y_1 &= h = Y_2x_2-x_2Y_2\\
Y_1x_2-x_2Y_1 &= 0 = Y_2x_1-x_1Y_2\,,
\end{aligned}$$

where $h_{x_i}=\partial h/\partial x_i$. Construct a complex of right A-modules:

$$0\to e_3A\xrightarrow{\varphi_2}e_2A\oplus e_2'A\oplus e_2''A\oplus e_2'''A\xrightarrow{\varphi_1}$$

$$e_1A\oplus e_1'A\oplus e_1''A\oplus e_1'''A\xrightarrow{\varphi_0}e_0A\xrightarrow{\varepsilon}K\to 0\,,$$

where:

(0) K is the two-sided A-module with $x_i\cdot 1=Y_i\cdot 1=0$ for $i=1,2$;

(i) $\varepsilon(e_0)=1$;

(ii) $\varphi_0(e_1)=e_0Y_1$, $\varphi_0(e_1')=e_0x_1$, $\varphi_0(e_1'')=e_0Y_2$, $\varphi_0(e_1''')=e_0x_2$;

(iii) $\varphi_1(e_2)=e_1x_1-e_1'(Y_1+f)-e_1''g$, $\varphi_1(e_2')=-e_1'f+e_1''x_2-e_1'''(Y_2+g)$, $\varphi_1(e_2'')=e_1x_2-e_1'''Y_1$, $\varphi_1(e_2''')=-e_1'Y_2+e_1''x_1$;

(iv) $\varphi_2(e_3)=e_2x_2(Y_2+g+h_{x_2})+e_2'x_1(Y_1+f+h_{x_1})-e_2''x_1(Y_2+g+h_{x_2})-e_2'''x_2(Y_1+f+h_{x_1})$.

It is straightforward to show that this complex is a resolution of K by right free A-modules. The stated result follows from this observation. Q.E.D.

References

[1] J.E. Björk: Rings of differential operators, North-Holland, Amsterdam, Oxford, New York, 1979.

[2] N. Jacobson: Lie algebras, Dover, New York, 1979.

Chang Woo Hang
Department of Mathematics
Dong-A University
Pusan, Korea

Masayoshi Miyanishi and De-Qi Zhang
Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science
Osaka University
Toyonaka, Osaka 560

Kenji Nishida
Department of Mathematics
College of General Education
Nagasaki University
Nagasaki 852