



Title	Universal covering Calabi-Yau manifolds of the Hilbert schemes of n points of Enriques surfaces
Author(s)	林, 太郎
Citation	大阪大学, 2017, 博士論文
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/61490">https://doi.org/10.18910/61490</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

**Universal covering Calabi-Yau manifolds of the Hilbert schemes of  $n$  points of Enriques surfaces**

TARO HAYASHI

INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, we work over  $\mathbb{C}$ , and  $n$  is an integer such that  $n \geq 2$ . A K3 surface  $K$  is a compact complex surface with  $\omega_K \simeq \mathcal{O}_K$  and  $H^1(K, \mathcal{O}_K) = 0$ . An Enriques surface  $E$  is a compact complex surface with  $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$ ,  $H^2(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$ , and  $\omega_E^{\otimes 2} \simeq \mathcal{O}_E$ . A Calabi-Yau manifold  $X$  is an  $n$ -dimensional compact kähler manifold such that it is simply connected, there is no holomorphic  $k$ -form on  $X$  for  $0 < k < n$ , and there is a nowhere vanishing holomorphic  $n$ -form on  $X$ . By Oguiso and Schröer [10, Theorem 3.1], the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of an Enriques surface  $E^{[n]}$  has a Calabi-Yau manifold  $X$  as the universal covering space of degree 2.

In this paper, we study the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of an Enriques surface  $E^{[n]}$  and its universal covering space  $X$ .

**Definition 0.1.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . A variety  $Y$  is called an Enriques quotient of  $X$  if there is an Enriques surface  $E'$  and a free involution  $\tau$  of  $X$  such that  $Y \simeq E'^{[n]}$  and  $E'^{[n]} \simeq X/\langle \tau \rangle$ . Here we call two Enriques quotients of  $X$  distinct if they are not isomorphic to each other.

---

*Date:* February 1, 2017.

Recall that when  $n = 1$ ,  $E^{[1]}$  is an Enriques surface  $E$  and  $X$  is a K3 surface.

In [11, Theorem 0.1], Ohashi showed the following theorem:

**Theorem 0.2.** *For any nonnegative integer  $l$ , there exists a K3 surface with exactly  $2^{l+10}$  distinct Enriques quotients. In particular, there does not exist a universal bound for the number of distinct Enriques quotients of a K3 surface.*

Our main theorem (Theorem 0.3) is the following which is totally different from Theorem 0.2:

**Theorem 0.3.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . Then the number of distinct Enriques quotients of  $X$  is one.*

**Remark 0.4.** When  $n = 2$ , we do not count the number of distinct Enriques quotients of  $X$ . We compute the Hodge numbers of the universal covering space  $X$  of  $E^{[2]}$  (Appendix A).

In addition, we investigate the relationship between the small deformation of  $E^{[n]}$  and that of  $X$  (Theorem 0.5) and study the natural automorphisms of  $E^{[n]}$  (Theorem 0.8).

Section 2 is a preliminary section. We prepare and recall some basic facts on the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of a surface.

In Section 3, we show the following theorem (Theorem 0.5).

**Theorem 0.5.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . Then every small deformation of  $X$  is induced by that of  $E^{[n]}$ .*

**Remark 0.6.** By Fantechi [4, Theorems 0.1 and 0.3], every small deformation of  $E^{[n]}$  is induced by that of  $E$ . Thus for  $n \geq 2$ , every small deformation of  $X$  is induced by that of  $E$ .

When  $n = 1$ ,  $E^{[1]}$  is an Enriques surface  $E$ , and  $X$  is a  $K3$  surface. An Enriques surface has a 10-dimensional deformation space and a  $K3$  surface has a 20-dimensional deformation space. Thus the small deformation of  $X$  is much bigger than that of  $E$ . Our Theorem 0.5 is different from the case of  $n = 1$ .

In Section 4, we show the following theorem (Theorem 0.8).

**Definition 0.7.** For  $n \geq 2$  and  $S$  a smooth compact surface, any automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(S)$  induces an automorphism  $f^{[n]} \in \text{Aut}(S^{[n]})$ . An automorphism  $g \in \text{Aut}(S^{[n]})$  is called natural if there is an automorphism  $f \in \text{Aut}(S)$  such that  $g = f^{[n]}$ .

When  $S$  is a  $K3$  surface, the natural automorphisms of  $S^{[n]}$  were studied by Boissière and Sarti [3]. They showed that an automorphism of  $S^{[n]}$  is natural if and only if it preserves the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism [3, Theorem 1]. We obtain Theorem 0.8 which is similar to [3, Theorem 1]:

**Theorem 0.8.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $D$  the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $q : E^{[n]} \rightarrow E^{(n)}$ , and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[2]}$ . Then*

- i) An automorphism  $f$  of  $E^{[n]}$  is natural if and only if  $f(D) = D$ .*
- ii) An automorphism  $g$  of  $X$  is a lift of a natural automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  if and only if  $g(\pi^{-1}(D)) = \pi^{-1}(D)$ .*

In Section 5, we show main theorem (Theorem 0.3).

In addition, let  $Y$  be a smooth compact Kähler surface. For a line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$ , by using the natural map  $\text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y^{[n]})$ ,  $L \mapsto L_n$ , we put

$$h^{p,q}(Y^{[n]}, L_n) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(Y^{[n]}, \Omega_{Y^{[n]}}^p \otimes L_n),$$

$$h^{p,q}(Y, L) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p \otimes L),$$

$$A := \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} h^{p,q}(Y^{[n]}, L_n) x^p y^q t^n, \text{ and}$$

$$B := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p,q=0}^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - (-1)^{p+q} x^{p+k-1} y^{q+k-1} t^k} \right)^{(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(Y, L)}.$$

In [2, Conjecture 1], S. Boissière conjectured that

$$A = B.$$

In the proof of Theorem 0.5, we obtain the counterexample to this conjecture for  $Y$  an Enriques surface and  $L = \Omega_Y^2$ . See Appendix B for details.

**Acknowledgements 0.9.** I would like to express my thanks to Professor Keiji Oguiso for his advice and encouragement and the referees for a very careful reading and many helpful suggestions, especially, the counterexample to the conjecture in [2, Conjecture 1].

## 1. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $S$  be a nonsingular projective surface,  $S^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $S$ ,  $q : S^{[n]} \rightarrow S^{(n)}$  the Hilbert-Chow morphism, and  $p : S^n \rightarrow S^{(n)}$  the natural projection. We denote the exceptional divisor of  $q$  by  $D$ . By Fogarty [5, Theorem 2.4],  $S^{[n]}$  is a smooth projective variety of  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} S^{[n]} = 2n$ . We put

$$\Delta^n := \{(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in S^n : |\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n| \leq n-1\},$$

$$S_*^n := \{(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in S^n : |\{x_i\}_{i=1}^n| \geq n-1\},$$

$$\Delta_*^n := \Delta^n \cap S_*^n, \text{ and}$$

$$S_*^{[n]} := q^{-1}(p(S_*^n)),$$

When  $n = 2$ ,  $\text{Blow}_{\Delta^2} S^2 / \Sigma_2 \simeq S^{[2]}$ , for  $n \geq 3$ , we have  $\text{Blow}_{\Delta_*^n} S_*^n / \Sigma_n \simeq S_*^{[n]}$ , and  $S^{[n]} \setminus S_*^{[n]}$  is an analytic closed subset and its codimension is 2 in  $S^{[n]}$  ([1, page 767-768]). Here  $\Sigma_n$  is the symmetric group of degree  $n$  which acts naturally on  $S^n$  by permuting of the factors.

Let  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$  be the universal covering space of  $E$  where  $K$  is a  $K3$  surface, and  $\iota$  the covering involution of  $\mu$ . They induces the universal covering space  $\mu^n : K^n \rightarrow E^n$ . For  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_k \leq n$ , we define automorphisms  $\iota_{i_1 \dots i_k}$  of  $K^n$  in the following way: for  $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^n \in K^n$ ,

$$\text{the } j\text{-th component of } \iota_{i_1 \dots i_k}(x) = \begin{cases} \iota(x_j) & j \in \{i_1, \dots, i_k\} \\ x_j & j \notin \{i_1, \dots, i_k\}. \end{cases}$$

Let  $G$  be the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(K^n)$  generated by  $\Sigma_n$  and  $\{\iota_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  and  $H$  the subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(K^n)$  generated by  $\Sigma_n$  and  $\{\iota_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ . Since  $K^n/G = E^{(n)}$ ,  $H \triangleleft G$ ,  $|G/H| = 2$ , and the codimension of  $\mu^{-1}(\Delta^n)$  is two, we get the universal covering spaces

$$p_1 : K^n \setminus \mu^{-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow K^n \setminus \mu^{-1}(\Delta^n)/G, \text{ and}$$

$$p_2 : K^n \setminus \mu^{-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow K^n \setminus \mu^{-1}(\Delta^n)/H,$$

where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the natural projections. For  $n \geq 3$ , we put

$$K_\circ^n := (\mu^n)^{-1}(E_\circ^n),$$

$$\Gamma_\circ^{ij} := \{(x_l)_{l=1}^n \in K_\circ^n : \iota(x_i) = x_j\},$$

$$\Delta_\circ^{ij} := \{(x_l)_{l=1}^n \in K_\circ^n : x_i = x_j\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\circ} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} T_{\circ}^{i,j}, \text{ and}$$

$$\Delta_{\circ} := \bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} U_{\circ}^{ij}.$$

Then we get  $\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_{\circ}^n) = \Gamma_{\circ} \cup \Delta_{\circ}$ . By the definition of  $K_{\circ}^n$ ,  $H$  acts on  $K_{\circ}^n$ . For an element  $\tilde{x} := (\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Gamma_{\circ} \cap \Delta_{\circ}$ , some  $i, j, k, l$  with  $k \neq l$  such that  $\sigma(\tilde{x}_i) = \tilde{x}_j$  and  $\tilde{x}_k = \tilde{x}_l$ . Since  $\sigma$  does not have fixed points. Thus  $\tilde{x}_i \neq \tilde{x}_l$ . Therefore  $\mu^n(\tilde{x}) \notin E_{\circ}^n$ . This is a contradiction. We obtain  $\Gamma_{\circ} \cap \Delta_{\circ} = \emptyset$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** *For  $t \in H$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , if  $t \in H$  has a fixed point on  $\Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$ , then  $t = (i, j)$  or  $t = \text{id}_{K^n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in H$  be an element of  $H$  where there is an element  $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$  such that  $t(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$ . For  $t \in H$ , there are  $\iota_{ab}$  where  $1 \leq a < b \leq n$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \Sigma_n$  such that

$$t = (j_1, \dots, j_l) \circ \iota_{ab}.$$

From the definition of  $\Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$ , for  $(x_l)_{l=1}^n \in \Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$ ,

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \cap \{\iota(x_1), \dots, \iota(x_n)\} = \emptyset.$$

Suppose  $\iota_{ab} \neq \text{id}_{K^n}$ . Since  $t(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$ , we have

$$\{\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_n\} \cap \{\iota(\tilde{x}_1), \dots, \iota(\tilde{x}_n)\} \neq \emptyset.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $t = (j_1, \dots, j_l)$ . Similarly from the definition of  $\Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$ , for  $(x_l)_{l=1}^n \in \Delta_{\circ}^{ij}$ , if  $x_s = x_t$  ( $1 \leq s < t \leq n$ ), then  $s = i$  and  $t = j$ . Thus we have  $t = (i, j)$  or  $t = \text{id}_{K^n}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** *For  $t \in H$  and  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , if  $t \in H$  has a fixed point on  $\Gamma_{\circ}^{ij}$ , then  $t = \iota_{i,j} \circ (i, j)$  or  $t = \text{id}_{K^n}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $t \in H$  be an element of  $H$  where there is an element  $\tilde{x} = (\tilde{x}_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Gamma_{\circ}^{ij}$  such that  $t(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{x}$ . For  $t \in H$ , there are  $\iota_a$  where  $1 \leq a \leq n$  and  $(j_1, \dots, j_l) \in \mathcal{S}_n$  such that

$$t = (j_1 \dots j_l) \circ \iota_a.$$

Since  $(j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) : U_{ij} \rightarrow T_{ij}$  is an isomorphism, and by Lemma 1.1, we have

$$(j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \circ t \circ (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) = (i, j) \text{ or } \text{id}_{K^n}.$$

If  $(j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \circ t \circ (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) = \text{id}_{K^n}$ , then  $t = \text{id}_{K^n}$ . If

$(j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \circ t \circ (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) = (i, j)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} t &= (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \circ (i, j) \circ (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \\ &= (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (i, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j} \circ (j, j+1) \\ &= (j, j+1) \circ \iota_{i,j+1} \circ (i, j+1) \circ (j, j+1) \\ &= \iota_{i,j} \circ (i, j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $t = \iota_{i,j} \circ (i, j)$ . □

For the natural projection we get a unramified covering space:  $K^n/H \rightarrow K^n/G = E^{(n)} = E^n/\Sigma_n$ . From Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, we get a local isomorphism:

$$\theta : \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_*^n)} K_{\circ}^n/H \rightarrow E_*^{[n]}.$$

**Lemma 1.3.** *For every  $x \in E_*^{[n]}$ ,  $|\theta^{-1}(x)| = 2$ .*

*Proof.* For  $(x_i)_{i=1}^n \in \Delta_*^n \subset E^n$  with  $x_1 = x_2$ , there are  $n$  elements  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  of  $K$  such that  $y_1 = y_2$  and  $\mu(y_i) = x_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$(\mu^n)^{-1}((x_i)_{i=1}^n) = \{y_1, \iota(y_1)\} \times \dots \times \{y_n, \iota(y_n)\}.$$



Since  $H$  is generated by  $\Sigma_n$  and  $\{\iota_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n}$ , for  $(z_i)_{i=1}^n \in (\mu^n)^{-1}((x_i)_{i=1}^n)$  if the number of  $i$  with  $z_i = y_i$  is even, then

$$(z_i)_{i=1}^n = \{\iota(y_1), \iota(y_2), y_3, \dots, y_n\} \text{ on } K_\circ^n/H, \text{ and}$$

if the number of  $i$  with  $z_i = y_i$  is odd, then

$$(z_i)_{i=1}^n = \{\iota(y_1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\} \text{ on } K_\circ^n/H.$$

Furthermore since  $\iota_i \notin H$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,

$$\{\iota(y_1), \iota(y_2), y_3, \dots, y_n\} \neq \{\iota(y_1), y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}, \text{ on } K_\circ^n/H.$$

Thus for every  $x \in E_*^{[n]}$ , we get  $|\theta^{-1}(x)| = 2$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 1.4.**  $\theta : \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_\circ^n)} K_\circ^n/H \rightarrow \text{Blow}_{\Delta_\circ^n} E_*^n/\Sigma_n$  is the universal covering space, i.e.  $\pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_\circ^n)} K_\circ^n/H$ . When  $n = 2$ , we have  $X \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{2-1}(\Delta^2)} K^2/H$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\theta$  is a local isomorphism, from Lemma 1.3 we get that  $\theta$  is a covering map. Furthermore  $\pi : \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) \rightarrow E_*^{[n]}$  is the universal covering space of degree 2,  $\theta : \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_\circ^n)} K_\circ^n/H \rightarrow \text{Blow}_{\Delta_\circ^n} E_*^n/\Sigma_n$  is the universal covering space. By the uniqueness of the universal covering space, we have  $\pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_\circ^n)} K_\circ^n/H$ . When  $n = 2$ , since  $E_*^2 = E^2$ ,  $K_\circ^2 = K^2$  and  $\text{Blow}_{\Delta^2} E^2/\Sigma_2 \simeq E^{[2]}$ , we have  $X \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{2-1}(\Delta^2)} K^2/H$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 1.5.** For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . Then there is a birational morphism  $\varphi : X \rightarrow K^n/H$  such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)/H) = \pi^{-1}(D)$ .

*Proof.* When  $n = 2$ , this is proved by Proposition 1.4. From here we assume that  $n \geq 3$ . From Proposition 1.4, we have  $\pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta_*^n)} K^n / H$ . Since the codimension of  $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]})$  is 2, there is a meromorphism  $f$  of  $X$  to  $K^n / H$  which satisfies the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E_*^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{q} & E^{(n)} \\ \pi \uparrow & & \uparrow p \\ \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) & \xrightarrow{f} & K^n / H \end{array}$$

where  $q : E_*^{[n]} \rightarrow E^{(n)}$  is the Hilbert-Chow morphism, and  $p : K^n / H \rightarrow E^{(n)}$  is the natural projection. For an ample line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $E^{(n)}$ , since the natural projection  $p : K^n / H \rightarrow E^{(n)}$  is finite,  $p^* \mathcal{L}$  is ample. From the above diagram, we have  $\pi^*(q^* \mathcal{L})|_{\pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]})} = f^*(p^* \mathcal{L})$ . Since  $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]})$  is an analytic closed subset of codimension 2 in  $X$  and  $p_H^* \mathcal{L}$  is ample, there is a holomorphism  $\varphi$  from  $X$  to  $K^n / H$  such that  $\varphi|_{X \setminus \pi^{-1}(F)} = f|_{X \setminus \pi^{-1}(F)}$ . Since  $f : X \setminus \pi^{-1}(D) \cong (K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)) / H$ , this is a birational morphism.  $\square$

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.5

Let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . In this section, we show Theorem 0.5 (Theorem 2.2).

**Proposition 2.1.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For a smooth projective manifold  $S$ , we put

$$h^{p,q}(S) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(S, \Omega_S^p) \text{ and}$$

$$h(S, x, y) := \sum_{p,q} h^{p,q}(S) x^p y^q.$$

By [7, Theorem 2] and [6, page 204], we have the equation (1):

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p,q} h^{p,q}(E^{[n]}) x^p y^q t^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p,q=0}^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - (-1)^{p+q} x^{p+k-1} y^{q+k-1} t^k} \right)^{(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(E)}.$$

Since an Enriques surface  $E$  has Hodge numbers  $h^{0,0}(E) = h^{2,2}(E) = 1$ ,  $h^{1,0}(E) = h^{0,1}(E) = 0$ ,  $h^{2,0}(E) = h^{0,2}(E) = 0$ , and  $h^{1,1}(E) = 10$ , the equation (1) is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{p,q} h^{p,q}(E^{[n]}) x^p y^q t^n = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^{k-1} y^{k-1} t^k} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^k y^k t^k} \right)^{10} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^{k+1} y^{k+1} t^k} \right).$$

It follows that

$$h^{p,q}(E^{[n]}) = 0 \text{ for all } p, q \text{ with } p \neq q.$$

Thus we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1}) = 0$  for  $n \geq 2$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . Then every small deformation of  $X$  is induced by that of  $E^{[n]}$ .*

*Proof.* In [4, Proposition 4.2 and Theorems 0.3], Fantechi showed that for a smooth projective surface with  $H^0(S, T_S) = 0$  or  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S) = 0$ , and  $H^1(S, \mathcal{O}_S(-K_S)) = 0$  where  $K_S$  is the canonical divisor of  $S$ ,

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S, T_S) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(S^{[n]}, T_{S^{[n]}}).$$

Since an Enriques surface  $E$  satisfies  $H^0(E, T_E) = 0$  or  $H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E) = 0$ , and

$H^1(E, \mathcal{O}_E(-K_E)) = 0$ , we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(E^{[n]}, T_{E^{[n]}}) = 10$ . Since  $K_{E^{[n]}}$  is not trivial and  $2K_{E^{[n]}}$  is trivial, we have

$$T_{E^{[n]}} \simeq \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}}.$$

Therefore we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(E^n, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}}) = 10$ . Since  $K_X$  is trivial, then we have  $T_X \simeq \Omega_X^{2n-1}$ . Since  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  is the covering map, we have

$$H^k(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1}) \simeq H^k(E^{[n]}, \pi_* \Omega_X^{2n-1}).$$

Since  $X \simeq \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_{E^{[n]}} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{E^{[n]}}(K_{E^{[n]}})$  ([10, Theorem 3.1]), we have

$$H^k(E^{[n]}, \pi_* \Omega_X^{2n-1}) \simeq H^k(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \oplus (\Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}})).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} H^k(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1}) &\simeq H^k(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \oplus (\Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}})) \\ &\simeq H^k(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1}) \oplus H^k(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}}). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with Proposition 2.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1}) &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(E^{[n]}, \Omega_{E^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes K_{E^{[n]}}) \\ &= 10. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $p : \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow U$  be the Kuranishi family of  $E^{[n]}$ . Since each canonical bundle of  $E^{[n]}$  and  $E$  is torsion, they have unobstructed deformations ([12]). Thus  $U$  is smooth. Let  $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$  be the universal covering space. Then  $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is a flat family of  $X$  where  $q := p \circ f$ . By [4, Theorems 0.1 and 0.3], all small deformation of  $E^{[n]}$  is induced by that of  $E$ . Thus for  $u \in U$ ,  $q^{-1}(u)$  is the universal covering space of the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of an Enriques surface. Then we have a commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T_{U,0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_p} & H^1(\mathcal{Y}_0, T_{\mathcal{Y}_0}) = H^1(E^{[n]}, T_{E^{[n]}}) \\ & \searrow \rho_q & \downarrow \tau \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi^* \\ & & H^1(\mathcal{X}_0, T_{\mathcal{X}_0}) = H^1(X, T_X). \end{array}$$

Since  $H^1(E^{[n]}, T_{E^{[n]}}) \simeq H^1(X, T_X)$  by  $\pi^*$ , the vertical arrow  $\tau$  is an isomorphism and

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(\mathcal{X}_u, T_{\mathcal{X}_u}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(\mathcal{X}_u, \Omega_{\mathcal{X}_u}^{2n-1})$$

is a constant for some neighborhood of  $0 \in U$ , it follows that  $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is the complete family of  $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ , therefore  $q : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow U$  is the versal family of  $\mathcal{X}_0 = X$ . Thus every small deformation of  $X$  is induced by that of  $E^{[n]}$ .  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.8

For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ ,  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ , and  $D$  the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $q : E^{[n]} \rightarrow E^{(n)}$ . Recall that  $\iota$  is the covering involution of  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$ ,  $p_1 : K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow E^{[n]} \setminus D = E^n \setminus \Delta^n / \Sigma_n = K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) / G$  and  $p_2 : K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow X \setminus \pi^{-1}(D) = K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) / H$  are the universal covering spaces where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the natural projections. In this section, we show Theorem 0.8 (Theorem 3.2).

**Lemma 3.1.** *i) Let  $f$  be an automorphism of  $E^{[n]} \setminus D$ , and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  automorphisms of  $K$  such that  $p_1 \circ (g_1 \times \dots \times g_n) = f \circ p_1$ , where  $(g_1 \times \dots \times g_n)$  is the automorphism of  $K^n$ . Then we have  $g_i = g_1$  or  $g_i = g_1 \circ \iota$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover  $g_1 \circ \iota = \iota \circ g_1$ .*

*ii) Let  $f$  be an automorphism of  $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$ , and  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  automorphisms of  $K$  such that  $p_2 \circ (g_1 \times \dots \times g_n) = f \circ p_2$ , where  $(g_1 \times \dots \times g_n)$  is the automorphism of  $K^n$ . Then we have  $g_i = g_1$  or  $g_i = g_1 \circ \iota$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Moreover  $g_1 \circ \iota = \iota \circ g_1$ .*

*Proof.* We show i) by contradiction. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $g_2 \neq g_1$  and  $g_2 \neq g_1 \circ \iota$ . Let  $h_1$  and  $h_2$  be two morphisms of  $K$  where  $g_i \circ h_i = \text{id}_K$

and  $h_i \circ g_i = \text{id}_K$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . We define two morphisms  $A_{1,2}$  and  $A_{1,2,\iota}$  from  $K$  to  $K^2$  by

$$A_{1,2} : K \ni x \mapsto (h_1(x), h_2(x)) \in K^2$$

$$A_{1,2,\iota} : K \ni x \mapsto (h_1(x), \iota \circ h_2(x)) \in K^2.$$

Let  $\Gamma_\iota := \{(x, y) : y = \iota(x)\}$  be the subset of  $K^2$ . Since  $h_1 \neq h_2$  and  $h_1 \neq \iota \circ h_2$ ,  $A_{1,2}^{-1}(\Delta^2) \cup A_{1,2,\iota}^{-1}(\Gamma_\iota)$  do not coincide with  $K$ . Thus there is  $x' \in K$  such that  $A_{1,2}(x') \notin \Delta^2$  and  $A_{1,2,\iota}(x') \notin \Gamma_\iota$ . For  $x' \in K$ , we put  $x_i := h_i(x') \in K$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Then there are some elements  $x_3, \dots, x_n \in K$  such that  $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$ . We have  $g((x_1, \dots, x_n)) \notin K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$  by the assumption of  $x_1$  and  $x_2$ . It is contradiction, because  $g$  is an automorphism of  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$ . Thus we have  $g_i = g_1$  or  $g_i = g_1 \circ \iota$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let  $g := g_1 \times \dots \times g_n$ . Since the covering transformation group of  $p$  is  $G$ , the liftings of  $f$  are given by  $\{g \circ u : u \in G\} = \{u \circ g : u \in G\}$ . Thus for  $\iota_1 \circ g$ , there is an element  $\iota_a \circ s$  of  $G$  where  $s \in \Gamma_n$  and  $1 \leq a \leq n$  such that  $\iota_1 \circ g = g \circ \iota_a \circ s$ . If we think about the first component of  $\iota_1 \circ g$ , we have  $s = \text{id}$  and  $a = 1$ . Therefore  $g \circ \iota \circ g^{-1} = \iota$ , we have  $\iota \circ g_1 = g_1 \circ \iota$ . In the same way, we have ii).  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $D$  the exceptional divisor of the Hilbert-Chow morphism  $q : E^{[n]} \rightarrow E^{(n)}$ , and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[2]}$ . Then*

- i) *An automorphism  $f$  of  $E^{[n]}$  is natural if and only if  $f(D) = D$ .*
- ii) *An automorphism  $g$  of  $X$  is a lift of a natural automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  if and only if  $g(\pi^{-1}(D)) = \pi^{-1}(D)$ .*

*Proof.* We show (1). Let  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$  be the universal covering space of  $E$ . By Theorem 1.5, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{q} & E^{(n)} \\ \pi \uparrow & & \uparrow p \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & K^n/H, \end{array}$$

where  $p$  is the natural projection and  $\varphi$  is a birational morphism. Since  $E^{[n]} \setminus D \xrightarrow{\sim} E^n \setminus \Delta^n / \Sigma_n$ , we have the universal covering spaces

$$p_1 : K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow E^n \setminus \Delta^n / \Sigma_n,$$

$$p_2 : K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) / H, \text{ and}$$

and the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) / H & \xrightarrow{p_3} & E^n \setminus \Delta^n / \Sigma_n \\ p_2 \uparrow & \nearrow p_1 & \\ K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n), & & \end{array}$$

where  $p_1$ ,  $p_2$ , and  $p_3$  are the natural projections. For  $f \in \text{Aut}(E^{[n]})$  with  $f(D) = D$ , from the uniqueness of the universal covering space,  $f$  induces an automorphism  $\bar{f}$  of  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$ . Since  $K$  is projective and  $\text{codim } \mu^{-1}(\Delta^n)$  is over 2,  $\bar{f}$  is a birational map of  $K^n$ . By [9],  $\bar{f}$  is an automorphism of  $K^n$  and there are  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  automorphisms of  $K$  such that  $\bar{f} = (g_1 \times \dots \times g_n) \circ s$  where  $s \in \Sigma_n$ . Since  $\Sigma \subset G$ , we get  $f \circ p_1 = p_1 \circ (g_1 \times \dots \times g_n)$ . From Lemma 3.1, we get *i*). By Theorem 1.5 and the above diagram, in the same way, we get *ii*).  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 0.3

Let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ .

In Proposition 4.2, we shall show that for  $n \geq 3$ , the covering involution of  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  acts on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. In Proposition 4.5, by using Theorem 3.2 and checking the action to  $H^1(X, \Omega_X^{2n-1}) \cong H^{2n-1,1}(X)$ , we classify involutions of  $X$  which act on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. We prove Theorem 0.3 (Theorem 4.7) using those results.

**Lemma 4.1.** *Let  $X$  be a smooth complex manifold,  $Z \subset X$  a closed submanifold whose codimension is 2,  $\tau : X_Z \rightarrow X$  the blow up of  $X$  along  $Z$ ,  $E = \tau^{-1}(Z)$  the exceptional divisor, and  $h$  the first Chern class of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{X_Z}(E)$ .*

*Then  $\tau^* : H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C})$  is injective, and*

$$H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}h.$$

*Proof.* Let  $U := X \setminus Z$  be an open set of  $X$ . Then  $U$  is isomorphic to an open set  $U' = X_Z \setminus E$  of  $X_Z$ . As  $\tau$  gives a morphism between the pair  $(X_Z, U')$  and the pair  $(X, U)$ , we have a morphism  $\tau^*$  between the long exact sequence of cohomology relative to these pairs:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^k(X, U, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^{k+1}(X, U, \mathbb{C}) \\ \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* & & \downarrow \tau_X^* & & \downarrow \tau_U^* & & \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* \\ H^k(X_Z, U', \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^k(U', \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^{k+1}(X_Z, U', \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

By Thom isomorphism, the tubular neighborhood Theorem, and Excision theorem, we have

$$H^q(Z, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{q+4}(X, U, \mathbb{C}), \text{ and}$$

$$H^q(E, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{q+2}(X_Z, U', \mathbb{C}).$$

In particular, we have

$$H^l(X, U, \mathbb{C}) = 0 \text{ for } l = 0, 1, 2, 3, \text{ and}$$



$$H^j(X_Z, U', \mathbb{C}) = 0 \text{ for } l = 0, 1.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(U, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* & & \downarrow \tau_X^* & & \downarrow \tau_U^* & & \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(U', \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^0(E, \mathbb{C}), \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(U, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* & & \downarrow \tau_X^* & & \downarrow \tau_U^* & & \downarrow \tau_{X,U}^* \\ H^0(E, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^2(U', \mathbb{C}) & \longrightarrow & H^3(X_Z, U', \mathbb{C}). \end{array}$$

Since  $\tau|_{U'}: U' \xrightarrow{\sim} U$ , we have isomorphisms  $\tau_U^*: H^k(U, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^k(U', \mathbb{C})$ . Thus

we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) + 1, \text{ and}$$

$$\tau^*: H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) \text{ is injective,}$$

and therefore we obtain

$$H^2(X_Z, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \mathbb{C}h.$$

□

**Proposition 4.2.** *Suppose  $n \geq 3$ . For the covering involution  $\rho$  of the universal covering space  $\pi: X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$ , the induced map  $\rho^*: H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  is the identity.*

*Proof.* Since the codimension of  $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]})$  is 2, we get

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(X \setminus \pi^{-1}(F), \mathbb{C}).$$

By Proposition 2.6,  $X \setminus \pi^{-1}(E_*^{[n]}) \simeq \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n / H$ .

Let  $\tau : \text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n \rightarrow K_\circ^n$  be the blow up of  $K_\circ^n$  along  $\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$ ,

$h_{ij}$  the first Chern class of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n}(\tau^{-1}(\Delta_\circ^{ij}))$ ,

and

$k_{ij}$  the first Chern class of the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n}(\tau^{-1}(\Gamma_\circ^{ij}))$ .

By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$H^2(\text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^2(K^n, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C} h_{ij} \right) \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \mathbb{C} k_{ij} \right).$$

Since  $n \geq 3$ , there is an isomorphism

$$(j, j+1) \circ \sigma_{ij} \circ (j, j+1) : \Delta_\circ^{ij} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Gamma_\circ^{ij}.$$

Thus we have  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(\text{Blow}_{\mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)} K_\circ^n / H, \mathbb{C}) = 11$ , i.e.  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = 11$ . Since  $H^2(E^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X, \mathbb{C})^{\rho^*}$ ,  $\rho^*$  is the identity.  $\square$

Since  $K^n/H$  is normal,  $\pi^{-1}(E)$  is the exceptional divisor (Theorem 2.5) and  $X$  is a Calabi-Yau, we have that for an automorphism  $f$  of  $X$ ,  $f(\pi^{-1}(D)) = \pi^{-1}(D)$  if and only if  $f^* \mathcal{O}_X(\pi^{-1}(D)) = \mathcal{O}_X(\pi^{-1}(D))$  in  $\text{Pic}(X)$ .

**Definition 4.3.** Let  $S$  be a smooth surface. An automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $S$  is numerically trivial if the induced automorphism  $\varphi^*$  of the cohomology ring over  $\mathbb{Q}$ ,  $H^*(S, \mathbb{Q})$  is the identity.

We suppose that an Enriques surface  $E$  has numerically trivial involutions. By [8, Proposition 1.1], there is just one numerically trivial involution of  $E$ , denoted  $v$ . For  $v$ , there are just two involutions of  $K$  which are liftings of  $v$ , one acts on

$H^0(K, \Omega_K^2)$  as the identity, and another acts on  $H^0(K, \Omega_K^2)$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^0(K, \Omega_K^2)}$ , we denote by  $v_+$  and  $v_-$ , respectively. Then they satisfies  $v_+ = v_- \circ \sigma$ .

Let  $v^{[n]}$  be the automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  which is induced by  $v$ . For  $v^{[n]}$ , there are just two automorphisms of  $X$  which are liftings of  $v^{[n]}$ , denoted  $\varsigma$  and  $\varsigma'$ , respectively:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{[n]} & \xrightarrow{v^{[n]}} & E^{[n]} \\ \pi \uparrow & & \uparrow \pi \\ X & \xrightarrow{\varsigma(\varsigma')} & X. \end{array}$$

Then they satisfies  $\varsigma = \varsigma' \circ \rho$  where  $\rho$  is the covering involution of  $\pi : X \longrightarrow E^{[n]}$  and the each order of  $\varsigma$  and  $\varsigma'$  is 2. From here, we classify involutions acting on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity by checking the action to  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Lemma 4.4.**  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2n-1,1}(K^n/H, \mathbb{C}) = 10$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\iota$  be the covering involution of  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$ . Put

$$H_{\pm}^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}) := \{\alpha \in H^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}) : \iota^*(\alpha) = \pm \alpha\} \text{ and}$$

$$h_{\pm}^{p,q}(K) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\pm}^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since  $K$  is a  $K3$  surface, we have

$$h^{0,0}(K) = 1, \quad h^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h^{2,0}(K) = 1, \quad h^{1,1}(K) = 20,$$

$$h_{+}^{0,0}(K) = 1, \quad h_{+}^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_{+}^{2,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_{+}^{1,1}(K) = 10,$$

$$h_{-}^{0,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_{-}^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_{-}^{2,0}(K) = 1, \quad \text{and } h_{-}^{2,0}(K) = 10.$$

Let

$$\Lambda := \{(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{2n} : \sum_{i=1}^n s_i = 2n - 1, \sum_{j=1}^n t_j = 1\}.$$

From the Künneth Theorem, we have

$$H^{2n-1,1}(K^n, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{(s_1, \dots, s_n, t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Lambda} \left( \bigotimes_{i=1}^n H^{s_i, t_i}(K, \mathbb{C}) \right).$$

We take a base  $\alpha$  of  $H^{2,0}(K, \mathbb{C})$  and a base  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{20}$  of  $H^{1,1}(K, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{10}$  is a base of  $H_-^{1,1}(K, \mathbb{C})$  and  $\{\beta_i\}_{i=11}^{20}$  is a base of  $H_+^{1,1}(K, \mathbb{C})$ . Let

$$\tilde{\beta}_i := \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j$$

where  $\epsilon_j = \alpha$  for  $j \neq i$  and  $\epsilon_j = \beta_i$  for  $j = i$ , and

$$\gamma_i := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \tilde{\beta}_j.$$

Then  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{20}$  is a base of  $H^{2n-1,1}(K^n, \mathbb{C})^{S_n}$ . Since  $\iota^* \alpha = -\alpha$ ,  $\iota^* \beta_i = -\beta_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 10$ , and  $\iota^* \beta_i = \beta_i$  for  $11 \leq i \leq 20$ , we obtain

$$\iota_{ij}^* \gamma_i = \gamma_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 10, \text{ and}$$

$$\iota_{ij}^* \gamma_i = -\gamma_i \text{ for } 11 \leq i \leq 20.$$

Since  $H^{2n-1,1}(K^n/H, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^{2n-1,1}(K^n, \mathbb{C})^H$  and  $H = \langle \mathcal{S}_n, \{\sigma_{ij}\}_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} \rangle$ , we obtain

$$H^{2n-1,1}(K^n/H, \mathbb{C}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{10} \mathbb{C} \gamma_i.$$

Thus we get  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2n-1,1}(K^n/H, \mathbb{C}) = 10$ .  $\square$

Recall that  $p : K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) \rightarrow E^{[n]} \setminus D = E^n \setminus \Delta^n / \Sigma_n$  is the universal covering space.

**Proposition 4.5.** *We suppose that  $E$  has a numerically trivial involution, denoted  $v$ . Let  $v^{[n]}$  be the natural automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  which is induced by  $v$ . Since the*

degree of  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  is 2, there are just two involutions  $\zeta$  and  $\zeta'$  of  $X$  which are lifts of  $v^{[n]}$ . Then  $\varsigma$  and  $\varsigma'$  do not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $v^{[n]}(D) = D$ ,  $v^{[n]}|_{E^{[n]} \setminus D}$  is an automorphism of  $E^{[n]} \setminus D$ . By the uniqueness of the universal covering space, there is an automorphism  $g$  of  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)$  such that  $v^{[n]} \circ p = p \circ g$ :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E^{[n]} \setminus D & \xrightarrow{v^{[n]}} & E^{[n]} \setminus D \\ p \uparrow & & \uparrow p \\ K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n) & \xrightarrow{g} & K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n). \end{array}$$

By Proposition 3.1, there are some automorphisms  $g_i$  of  $K$  such that  $g = g_1 \times \cdots \times g_n$  for each  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $g_i = g_1$  or  $g_i = g_1 \circ \iota$ , and  $g_1 \circ \iota = \iota \circ g_1$ . By Theorem 1.5, we get  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)/H \simeq X \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$ . Put

$$v_{+, \text{even}} := u_1 \times \cdots \times u_n$$

where

$$u_i = v_+ \text{ or } u_i = v_- \text{ and the number of } i \text{ with } u_i = v_+ \text{ is even.}$$

$v_{+, \text{even}}$  is an automorphism of  $K^n$  and induces an automorphism  $\widetilde{v_{+, \text{even}}}$  of  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)/H$ . We define automorphisms  $\widetilde{v_{+, \text{odd}}}$ ,  $\widetilde{v_{-, \text{even}}}$ , and  $\widetilde{v_{-, \text{odd}}}$  of  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)/H$  in the same way. Since  $\sigma_{ij} \in H$  for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , and  $v_+ = v_- \circ \iota$ , if  $n$  is odd,

$$\widetilde{v_{+, \text{odd}}} = \widetilde{v_{-, \text{even}}}, \quad \widetilde{v_{+, \text{even}}} = \widetilde{v_{-, \text{odd}}}, \quad \text{and } \widetilde{v_{+, \text{odd}}} \neq \widetilde{v_{+, \text{even}}},$$

and if  $n$  is even,

$$\widetilde{v_{+, \text{odd}}} = \widetilde{v_{-, \text{odd}}}, \quad \widetilde{v_{+, \text{even}}} = \widetilde{v_{-, \text{even}}}, \quad \text{and } \widetilde{v_{+, \text{odd}}} \neq \widetilde{v_{+, \text{even}}}.$$

Since  $v^{(n)} \circ \pi_E = \pi_E \circ v^{[n]}$  and  $K^n \setminus \mu^{n-1}(\Delta^n)/H \simeq X \setminus \pi^{-1}(D)$ , we have  $v^{[n]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \widetilde{v_{+,odd}}$  and  $v^{[n]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \widetilde{v_{+,even}}$  where  $\pi_E : E^{[n]} \rightarrow E^{(n)}$  is the Hilbert-Chow morphism, and  $v^{(n)}$  is the automorphism of  $E^{(n)}$  induced by  $v$ . Since the degree of  $\pi$  is 2, we have  $\{\varsigma, \varsigma'\} = \{\widetilde{v_{+,odd}}, \widetilde{v_{+,even}}\}$ . By [8, page 386-389], there is an element  $\alpha_{\pm} \in H_{-}^{1,1}(K, \mathbb{C})$  such that  $v_{+}^{*}(\alpha_{\pm}) = \pm \alpha_{\pm}$ . We fix a basis  $\alpha$  of  $H^{2,0}(K, \mathbb{C})$ , and let

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{\pm i} := \bigotimes_{j=1}^n \epsilon_j$$

where  $\epsilon_j = \alpha$  for  $j \neq i$  and  $\epsilon_j = \alpha_{\pm}$  for  $j = i$ , and

$$\widetilde{\alpha}_{\pm} := \bigoplus_{j=1}^n \widetilde{\alpha}_{\pm i}.$$

Since there is a birational map  $\varphi : K^n \rightarrow X$  by Theorem 1.5, and by the definition of  $\widetilde{v_{+,odd}}$  and  $\widetilde{v_{+,even}}$ , we have

$$\widetilde{v_{+,odd}}^{*}(\varphi^{*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{+})) = \varphi^{*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{+}) \text{ and } \widetilde{v_{+,even}}^{*}(\varphi^{*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{-})) = \varphi^{*}(\widetilde{\alpha}_{-}).$$

Thus  $\varsigma$  and  $\varsigma'$  do not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})}$ .  $\square$

**Definition 4.6.** For  $n \geq 1$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . A variety  $Y$  is called an Enriques quotient of  $X$  if there is an Enriques surface  $E'$  and a free involution  $\tau$  of  $X$  such that  $Y \simeq E'^{[n]}$  and  $E'^{[n]} \simeq X/\langle \tau \rangle$ . Here we call two Enriques quotients of  $X$  distinct if they are not isomorphic to each other.

**Theorem 4.7.** For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surface,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ , and  $X$  the universal covering space of  $E^{[n]}$ . Then the number of distinct Enriques quotients of  $X$  is one.

*Proof.* Let  $\rho$  be the covering involution of  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Since for  $n \geq 3$   $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(E^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) = 11$ ,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2n-1,1}(E^{[n]}, \mathbb{C}) = 0$ , and  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C}) = 10$ , we obtain that  $\rho^*$  acts on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity, and  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})}$ .

Let  $\varphi$  be an involution of  $X$ , which acts on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity and on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})}$ . By Theorem 3.2, for  $\varphi$ , there is an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $E$  such that  $\varphi$  is a lift of  $\phi^{[n]}$  where  $\phi^{[n]}$  is the natural automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  induced by  $\phi$ . Furthermore since the order of  $\phi$  is at most 2, the order of  $\varphi$  is 2. Since  $\phi^{[n]} \circ \pi = \pi \circ \varphi$ ,  $\phi^{[n]*}$  acts on  $H^2(E^{[n]}, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. Thus  $\phi^*$  acts on  $H^2(E, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. If  $E$  does not have numerically trivial automorphisms, then  $\phi = \text{id}_E$ . Thus  $\varphi = \rho$ .

We assume that  $\phi$  does not the identity map. Then  $\phi$  is numerically trivial. Then  $\phi = v$  and  $\varphi \in \{\zeta, \zeta'\}$ . By Proposition 4.5, we obtain that  $\varphi$  does not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})}$ . This is a contradiction. Thus  $\phi = \text{id}_E$ , and we get  $\varphi = \rho$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.8.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  be the universal covering space. For any automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $X$ , if  $\varphi^*$  acts on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C}) := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{2n} H^i(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity, then  $\varphi = \text{id}_X$ .*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.2, for  $\varphi$ , there is an automorphism  $\phi$  of  $E$  such that  $\varphi$  is a lift of  $\phi^{[n]}$  where  $\phi^{[n]}$  is the natural automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$  induced by  $\phi$ . Since  $\varphi^*$  acts on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity,  $\phi^*$  acts on  $H^2(E, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. From [8, page 386-389] the order of  $\phi$  is at most 4.

If the order of  $\phi$  is 2, by Proposition 4.5  $\varphi$  does not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. This is a contradiction.

If the order of  $\phi$  is 4, then  $\varphi^2$  is a lift of  $\phi^{[n]^2} = \phi^{2[n]}$ . Thus by the above,  $\varphi^2$  does not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity. This is a contradiction. Thus we have  $\phi = \text{id}_E$  and  $\varphi \in \{\text{id}_X, \rho\}$ . Since  $\rho$  does not act on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity, we have  $\varphi = \text{id}_X$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.9.** *For  $n \geq 2$ , let  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  be the universal covering space. For any two automorphisms  $f$  and  $g$  of  $X$ , if  $f^* = g^*$  on  $H^*(X, \mathbb{C})$ , then  $f = g$ .*

**Theorem 4.10.** *For  $n \geq 3$ , let  $E$  be an Enriques surfaces,  $E^{[n]}$  the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $E$ ,  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[n]}$  the universal covering space. Then there is an exact sequence:*

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E^{[n]}) \rightarrow 0.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be an automorphism  $f$  of  $X$ . We put  $g = f^{-1} \circ \rho \circ f$ . Since for  $n \geq 3$   $\rho^*$  acts on  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$  as the identity and on  $H^{2n-1,1}(X)$  as  $-\text{id}_{H^{2n-1,1}(X)}$ , we get that  $g^* = \rho^*$  as automorphisms of  $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{2n-1,1}(X)$ . Like the proof of Theorem 4.8, we have  $g = \rho$ , i.e.  $f \circ \rho = \rho \circ f$ . Thus  $f$  induces a automorphism of  $E^{[n]}$ , and we have an exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(E^{[n]}) \rightarrow 0.$$

$\square$

## 5. APPENDIX A

We compute the Hodge number of the universal covering space  $X$  of  $E^{[2]}$ . Let  $\iota$  be the covering involution of  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$ , and  $\tau : \text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2 \rightarrow K^2$  the natural map, where  $\Gamma = \{(x, y) \in K^2 : y = \iota(x)\}$  and  $\Delta = \{(x, x) \in K^2\}$ . By Proposition



1.4, we have

$$X \simeq \text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2 / H.$$

We put

$$D_\Delta := \tau^{-1}(\Delta) \text{ and}$$

$$D_\Gamma := \tau^{-1}(\Gamma).$$

For two inclusions

$$j_{D_\Delta} : D_\Delta \hookrightarrow \text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \text{ and}$$

$$j_{D_\Gamma} : D_\Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2,$$

let  $j_{*D_\Delta}$  be the Gysin morphism

$$j_{*D_\Delta} : H^p(D_\Delta, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{p+2}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C}),$$

$j_{*D_\Gamma}$  the Gysin morphism

$$j_{*D_\Gamma} : H^p(D_\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^{p+2}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C}), \text{ and}$$

$$\psi := \tau^* + j_{*D_\Delta} \circ \tau|_{D_\Delta}^* + j_{*D_\Gamma} \circ \tau|_{D_\Gamma}^*$$

the morphism from  $H^p(K^2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{p-2}(\Delta, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{p-2}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$  to  $H^p(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C})$ .

From [13, Theorem 7.31], we have isomorphisms of Hodge structures by  $\psi$ :

$$H^k(K^2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{k-2}(\Delta, \mathbb{C}) \oplus H^{k-2}(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H^k(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C}).$$

Furthermore, for automorphism  $f$  of  $K$ , let  $\bar{f}$  (resp.  $\bar{f}_\iota$ ) be the automorphism of  $\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2$  which is induced by  $f \times f$  (resp.  $f \times (f \circ \iota)$ ),  $f_\Delta$  the automorphism of  $\Delta$  which is induced by  $f \times f$ ,  $f_\Gamma$  the automorphism of  $\Gamma$  which is induced by  $f \times f$ , and  $\tilde{f}$  the isomorphism from  $\Gamma$  to  $\Delta$  which is induced by  $f \times (f \circ \iota)$ . For  $\alpha \in H^*(K^2, \mathbb{C})$ ,  $\beta \in H^*(\Delta, \mathbb{C})$ , and  $\gamma \in H^*(\Gamma, \mathbb{C})$ , we obtain

$$\bar{f}^*(\tau^* \alpha) = \tau^*((f \times f)^* \alpha),$$

$$\bar{f}^*(j_{*D_\Delta} \circ \tau|_{D_\Delta}^* \beta) = j_{*D_\Delta} \circ \tau|_{D_\Delta}^* (f_\Delta^* \beta),$$

$$\bar{f}^*(j_{*D_\Gamma} \circ \tau|_{D_\Gamma}^* \gamma) = j_{*D_\Gamma} \circ \tau|_{D_\Gamma}^* (f_\Gamma^* \gamma),$$

$$\bar{f}_\sigma^*(\tau^* \alpha) = \tau^*((f \times (f \circ \iota)^* \alpha),$$

$$\bar{f}_\iota^*(j_{*D_\Delta} \circ \tau|_{D_\Delta}^* \beta) = j_{*D_\Gamma} \circ \tau|_{D_\Delta}^* (\tilde{f}^* \beta),$$

in  $H^*(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C})$ .

**Theorem 5.1.** *For the universal covering space  $\pi : X \rightarrow E^{[2]}$ , we have  $h^{0,0}(X) = 1$ ,  $h^{1,0}(X) = 0$ ,  $h^{2,0}(X) = 0$ ,  $h^{1,1}(X) = 12$ ,  $h^{3,0}(X) = 0$ ,  $h^{2,1}(X) = 0$ ,  $h^{4,0}(X) = 1$ ,  $h^{3,1}(X) = 10$ , and  $h^{2,2}(X) = 131$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X \simeq \text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2 / H$ , we have

$$h^{p,q}(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \{ \alpha \in H^{p,q}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2, \mathbb{C}) : h^* \alpha = \alpha \text{ for } h \in H \}.$$

Let  $\iota$  be the covering involution of  $\mu : K \rightarrow E$ . We put

$$H_{\pm}^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}) := \{ \alpha \in H^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}) : \iota^*(\alpha) = \pm \alpha \} \text{ and}$$

$$h_{\pm}^{p,q}(K) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\pm}^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}).$$

From  $E = K / \langle \iota \rangle$ , we have

$$H^{p,q}(E, \mathbb{C}) \simeq H_+^{p,q}(K, \mathbb{C}).$$

Since  $K$  is a  $K3$  surface, we have

$$h^{0,0}(K) = 1, \quad h^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h^{2,0}(K) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h^{1,1}(K) = 20, \quad \text{and}$$

$$h_+^{0,0}(K) = 1, \quad h_+^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_+^{2,0}(K) = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad h_+^{1,1}(K) = 10, \quad \text{and}$$

$$h_-^{0,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_-^{1,0}(K) = 0, \quad h_-^{2,0}(K) = 1, \quad \text{and} \quad h_-^{2,0}(K) = 10.$$

Recall that  $H$  is generated by  $\mathcal{S}_2$  and  $\iota_{1,2}$ . Since  $\iota \times \iota(\Delta) = \Delta$  and  $\iota \times \iota(\Gamma) = \Gamma$ , from  $E = K/\langle \iota \rangle$  we have  $\Delta/H \simeq E$  and  $\Gamma/H \simeq E$ . Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{0,0}(\Delta/H) &= 1, h^{1,0}(\Delta/H) = 0, h^{2,0}(\Delta/H) = 0, h^{1,1}(\Delta/H) = 10, \\ h^{0,0}(\Gamma/H) &= 1, h^{1,0}(\Gamma/H) = 0, h^{2,0}(\Gamma/H) = 0, \text{ and } h^{1,1}(\Gamma/H) = 10. \end{aligned}$$

From the Künneth Theorem, we have

$$H^{p,q}(K^2, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{s+u=p, t+v=q} H^{s,t}(K, \mathbb{C}) \otimes H^{u,v}(K, \mathbb{C}), \text{ and}$$

$$H^{p,q}(K^2/H, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \{\alpha \in H^{p,q}(K^2, \mathbb{C}) : s^*(\alpha) = \alpha \text{ for } s \in \Sigma_2 \text{ and } \iota_{1,2}^*(\alpha) = \alpha\}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} h^{0,0}(K^2/H) &= 1, h^{1,0}(K^2/H) = 0, h^{2,0}(K^2/H) = 0, h^{1,1}(K^2/H) = 10, \\ h^{3,0}(K^2/H) &= 0, h^{2,1}(K^2/H) = 0, h^{4,0}(K^2/H) = 1, \\ h^{3,1}(K^2/H) &= 10, \text{ and } h^{2,2}(K^2/H) = 111. \end{aligned}$$

We fix a basis  $\beta$  of  $H^{2,0}(K, \mathbb{C})$  and a basis  $\{\gamma_i\}_{i=1}^{10}$  of  $H_-^{1,1}(K, \mathbb{C})$ , then we have

$$H^{3,1}(K^2/H, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^{10} \mathbb{C}(\beta \otimes \gamma_i + \gamma_i \otimes \beta).$$

By the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{0,0}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) &= 1, h^{1,0}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) = 0, \\ h^{2,0}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) &= 0, h^{1,1}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) = 12, \\ h^{3,0}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) &= 0, h^{2,1}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) = 0, \\ h^{4,0}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) &= 1, h^{3,1}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) = 10, \text{ and} \\ h^{2,2}(\text{Blow}_{\Delta \cup \Gamma} K^2/H) &= 131. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain  $h^{0,0}(X) = 1, h^{1,0}(X) = 0, h^{2,0}(X) = 0, h^{1,1}(X) = 12, h^{3,0}(X) = 0,$

$h^{2,1}(X) = 0, h^{4,0}(X) = 1, h^{3,1}(X) = 10, \text{ and } h^{2,2}(X) = 131.$   $\square$

## 6. APPENDIX B

Now we show that the conjecture in [2, Conjecture 1] is not established for  $Y$  an Enriques surface and  $L = \Omega_Y^2$ .

Let  $Y$  be a smooth compact Kähler surface. Recall that  $Y^{[n]}$  is the Hilbert scheme of  $n$  points of  $Y$ ,  $\pi_Y : Y^{[n]} \rightarrow Y^{(n)}$  the Hilbert-Chow morphism, and  $p_Y : Y^n \rightarrow Y^{(n)}$  the natural projection. For a line bundle  $L$  on  $Y$ , there is a unique line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  on  $Y^{(n)}$  such that  $p_Y^* \mathcal{L} = \bigotimes_{i=1}^n p^{i*} L$ . By using pull back we have the natural map

$$\text{Pic}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(Y^{[n]}), \quad L \mapsto L_n := \pi_Y^* \mathcal{L}.$$

we put

$$h^{p,q}(Y^{[n]}, L_n) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(Y^{[n]}, \Omega_{Y^{[n]}}^p \otimes L_n),$$

$$h^{p,q}(Y, L) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^q(Y, \Omega_Y^p \otimes L),$$

$$A := \sum_{n,p,q=0}^{\infty} h^{p,q}(Y^{[n]}, L_n) x^p y^q t^n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$B := \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p,q=0}^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - (-1)^{p+q} x^{p+k-1} y^{q+k-1} t^k} \right)^{(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(Y, L)}.$$

Then in [2, Conjecture 1] S. Boissière conjectured that

$$A = B.$$

For  $Y$  an Enriques surface and  $L = \Omega_Y^2$ , as in the proof on Theorem 2.2 and the Serre duality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h^{2n-1,1}(Y^{[n]}, (\Omega_Y^2)_n) &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y^{[n]}, \Omega_{Y^{[n]}}^{2n-1} \otimes \Omega_{Y^{[n]}}^{2n}) \\ &= \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y^{[n]}, T_{Y^{[n]}}) \\ &= 10. \end{aligned}$$

for  $n \geq 2$ . It follows that the coefficient of  $x^3yt^2$  of  $A$  is 10.

We show that the coefficient of  $x^3yt^2$  of  $B$  is not 10.

$$h^{0,0}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = 0.$$

$$h^{0,1}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = 0.$$

$$h^{0,2}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = 1.$$

By Serre duality, we get

$$\Omega_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2 \simeq T_Y.$$

Since  $Y$  is an Enriques surface, we have

$$h^{1,0}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, \Omega_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, T_Y) = 0.$$

$$h^{1,1}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \Omega_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, T_Y) = 10.$$

$$h^{1,2}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \Omega_Y \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, T_Y) = 0.$$

Since  $Y$  is an Enriques surface, we obtain

$$\Omega_Y^2 \otimes \Omega_Y^2 \simeq \mathcal{O}_Y.$$

$$h^{2,0}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 1.$$

$$h^{2,1}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0.$$

$$h^{2,2}(Y, \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \Omega_Y^2 \otimes \Omega_Y^2) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^2(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y) = 0.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \prod_{p,q=0}^2 \left( \frac{1}{1 - (-1)^{p+q} x^{p+k-1} y^{q+k-1} t^k} \right)^{(-1)^{p+q} h^{p,q}(E, \Omega_E^2)} \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^{k-1} y^{k+1} t^k} \right) \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^k y^k t^k} \right)^{10} \left( \frac{1}{1 - x^{k+1} y^{k-1} t^k} \right) \\ &= \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (x^{k-1} y^{k+1} t^k)^a \right) \left( \sum_{b=0}^{\infty} (x^k y^k t^k)^b \right)^{10} \left( \sum_{c=0}^{\infty} (x^{k+1} y^{k-1} t^k)^c \right). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 B &\equiv \prod_{k=1}^2 (1 + x^{k-1}y^{k+1}t^k + x^{2k-2}y^{2k+2}t^{2k}) \times (1 + x^k y^k t^k + x^{2k} y^{2k} t^{2k})^{10} \times \\
 &\quad (1 + x^{k+1}y^{k-1}t^k + x^{2k+2}y^{2k-2}t^{2k}) \pmod{t^3} \\
 &\equiv \left( (1 + y^2t + y^4t^2) \times (1 + xy^3t^2) \right) \times \\
 &\quad \left( (1 + 10(xyt + x^2y^2t^2) + 45(xyt + x^2y^2t^2)^2) \times (1 + x^2y^2t^2) \right) \times \\
 &\quad \left( (1 + x^2t + x^4t^2) \times (1 + x^3yt^2) \right) \pmod{t^3} \\
 &\equiv \left( 1 + y^2t + (xy^3 + y^4)t^2 \right) \times \left( 1 + 10xyt + 56x^2y^2t^2 \right) \times \\
 &\quad \left( 1 + x^2t + (x^3y + x^4)t^2 \right) \pmod{t^3} \\
 &\equiv \left( 1 + (10xy + y^2)t + (56x^2y^2 + 11xy^3 + y^4)t^2 \right) \times \\
 &\quad \left( 1 + x^2t + (x^3y + x^4)t^2 \right) \pmod{t^3} \\
 &\equiv 1 + (x^2 + 10xy + y^2)t + (x^4 + 11x^3y + 56x^2y^2 + 11xy^3 + y^4)t^2 \pmod{t^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the coefficient of  $x^3yt^2$  of  $B$  is 11. The conjecture in [2, Conjecture 1] is not established for  $Y$  an Enriques surface and  $L = \Omega_Y^2$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beauville: Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle. J. Differential Geom. 18 (1983), no. 4, 755-782.
- [2] S. Boissière: Automorphismes naturels de l'espace de Douady de points sur une surface. Canad. J. Math. 64 (2012), no. 1, 3-23.
- [3] S. Boissière, A. Sarti: A note on automorphisms and birational transformations of holomorphic symplectic manifolds. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 140 (2012), no. 12, 4053-4062.

- [4] B. Fantechi: Deformation of Hilbert schemes of points on a surface. *Compositio Math.* 98 (1995), 205-217.
- [5] J. Fogarty: Families on an Algebraic Surface. *American Journal of Mathematics* Vol. 90, No. 2 (Apr., 1968), pp. 511-521.
- [6] L. Göttsche: The Betti numbers of the Hilbert scheme of points on a smooth projective surface. *Math. Ann.* 286, 193-207 (1990).
- [7] L. Göttsche, W. Soergel: Perverse sheaves and the cohomology of Hilbert schemes of smooth algebraic surfaces. *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), 235-245.
- [8] S. Mukai, Y. Namikawa: Automorphisms of Enriques surfaces which act trivially on the cohomology groups. *Invent. Math.* 77 (1984), no. 3, 383-397.
- [9] K. Oguiso: On automorphisms of the punctual Hilbert schemes of K3 surfaces. *Eur. J. Math.* 2 (2016), no. 1, 246261.
- [10] K. Oguiso, S. Schröer: Enriques Manifolds. *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 661 (2011), 215-235.
- [11] H. Ohashi: On the number of Enriques quotients of a K3 surface. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci.* 43 (2007), no. 1, 181-200. 14J28.
- [12] Z. Ran: Deformations of Manifolds with Torsion or Negative Canonical Bundle. *J. Algebraic Geometry*. p.279-291.
- [13] C. Voisin: *Hodge Theory and Complex Algebraic Geometry, I*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics 76, Cambridge University Press, 2003. MR1997577 (2005c:32024b)

(TARO HAYASHI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GRADUATE SCHOOL OF SCIENCE, OSAKA UNIVERSITY, MACHIKANAYAMACHO 1-1, TOYONAKA, OSAKA 560-0043, JAPAN

*E-mail address:* tarou-hayashi@cr.math.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp