



Title	On the zeta functions of the varieties $X(w)$ of the split classical groups and the unitary groups
Author(s)	Asai, Teruaki
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1983, 20(1), p. 21-32
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/6361">https://doi.org/10.18910/6361</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

# ON THE ZETA FUNCTIONS OF THE VARIETIES $X(w)$ OF THE SPLIT CLASSICAL GROUPS AND THE UNITARY GROUPS

TERUAKI ASAI

(Received April 30, 1981)

## 0. Introduction

Let  $G$  be one of the split classical groups  $SO_{2n}^+$ ,  $SO_{2n+1}$ ,  $Sp_{2n}$  or a unitary group defined over the finite field  $F_q$  of  $q$  elements. Let  $F$  be the Frobenius mapping,  $G^F$  the subgroup of  $F$ -stable elements,  $W$  the Weyl group of  $G$  and let  $\delta$  be the smallest positive integer such that  $F^\delta$  acts trivially on  $W$ . For  $w \in W$ , Deligne-Lusztig [3] has defined the  $F^\delta$ -stable variety  $X(w)$  for any connected reductive group. If  $w$  is a Coxeter element of  $W$ , the zeta function of  $X(w)$  was obtained by Lusztig [9] as a by-product when he determined the Green polynomial associated with  $w$ . In this paper we shall determine the zeta function of  $X(w)$  for any  $w \in W$ .

To state our result more explicitly, let  $B$  be a fixed  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}^K(W)$  the Hecke algebra of the representation of  $G^{F^m}$  induced from the trivial representation of  $B^{F^m}$  and let  $\{a_w^K; w \in W\}$  be the natural basis of  $\mathfrak{A}^K(W)$ . When  $\delta$  divides  $m$  the number of  $F^m$ -stable points of  $X(w)$  is expressed in terms of the dimensions of the unipotent representations of  $G^F$  and the trace of  $a_w^K$  on each irreducible representation of  $\mathfrak{A}^K(W)$ .

The crucial point of our arguments depends on the lifting theory due to Shintani-Kawanaka ([15], [7], [8]) and a result of Lusztig ([12], Corollary 3.9), which says that for any unipotent representation  $\rho$  of  $G^F$ , the eigenvalues of  $F^\delta$  on the  $\rho$ -isotypic component of  $H_c^i(X(w))$  are independent of  $i$  and  $w$  up to a multiple factor of the form  $q^{i\delta}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

Finally the author expresses his heartfelt gratitude to Professor N. Kawanaka for his valuable suggestions and kind encouragement during the preparation of this paper.

## 1. General results

1.1. First we summarize the known results (Shintani [14], Kawanaka [7], [8]) to apply for our use.

Let  $m$  be a positive integer (maybe 1),  $k = F_q$ ,  $K = F_{q^m}$ ,  $G$  a connected algebraic

group defined over  $k$ ,  $F$  the Frobenius over  $k$ ,  $\sigma = F|_{G^{F^m}}$  and  $A$  the cyclic group (of order  $m$ ) generated by  $\sigma$ . Let  $x_1, x_2 \in G^{F^m}$ .  $x_1\sigma$  and  $x_2\sigma$  are conjugate in  $G^{F^m}A$  (semi-direct) if and only if there exists  $h \in G^{F^m}$  such that  $x_1 = h^{-1}x_2^\sigma h$ . If this is the case, we say  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  are  $\sigma$ -conjugate and we write  $x_1 \sim_\sigma x_2$ . If  $m=1$ , we simply write  $x_1 \sim x_2$  instead of  $x_1 \sim_\sigma x_2$ . The following lemma is proved in [7].

**Lemma 1.1.1.** *For  $x \in G^{F^m}$ , take  $a \in G$  such that  $x = a^{-1F}a$ . Let  $y = {}^{F^m}a a^{-1}$ . Then  $y \in G^F$ , and the conjugacy class of  $y$  in  $G^F$  is uniquely determined by the  $\sigma$ -conjugacy class of  $x$  in  $G^{F^m}$ . And the mapping  $x \mapsto y$  defines a bijection:  $G^{F^m}/\sim_\sigma \rightarrow G^F/\sim$ .*

**DEFINITION 1.1.2.** We denote the bijection  $G^{F^m}/\sim_\sigma \rightarrow G^F/\sim$  in the above lemma by  $n_{K/k}$ . (Notice  $n_{K/k}$  is defined even if  $m=1$ .) Define  $\mathfrak{N}_{K/k} = n_{K/k}^{-1} n_{K/k}$ . This also is a bijection from  $G^{F^m}/\sim_\sigma$  onto  $G^F/\sim$ .

**REMARK 1.1.3.** The reader should refer Kawanaka [8] for the relation between the norm mapping in [loc. cit.] and our norm mapping  $\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}$ .

The following lemma features some property of the mapping  $\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}$ , which is not used in this paper. The proof is omitted.

**Lemma 1.1.4.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group and  $Z(G)$  the center of  $G$ . Let  $s \in Z(G)^F$  and  $u \in G^F$ . Let  $r$  be the order of  $s$ . Assume  $m \equiv 1 \pmod r$ . Then  $\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}^{-1}(su) = s \mathfrak{N}_{K/k}^{-1}(u)$ .*

For  $\chi_K \in \widehat{G^{F^m}{}^\sigma}$  (=the set of  $\sigma$ -invariant irreducible characters of  $\widehat{G^{F^m}}$ ), there exists  $\tilde{\chi}_K \in \widehat{G^{F^m}A}$  such that  $\tilde{\chi}_K|_{G^{F^m}} = \chi_K$ . Let  $\chi_k \in \widehat{G^F}$ .

**DEFINITION 1.1.5.** Let  $m > 1$ . We say  $\chi_K$  is the lifting of  $\chi_k$  in  $\widehat{G^{F^m}}$  if there exists a constant  $c$  such that  $\tilde{\chi}_K(y\sigma) = c\chi_k(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . (The lifting of  $\chi_k$  is uniquely determined by  $\chi_k$  if it exists. See [7].)

**Theorem 1.1.6** ([7], [8], [15]).

Let  $m > 1$ . Assume one of the following.

- (1)  $G = GL_n$ .
- (2)  $G = U_n$ ,  $(m, p) = 1$ .
- (3)  $G = SO_{2n+1}$ ,  $Sp_{2n}$  or  $SO_{2n}^\pm$ ,  $(m, 2p) = 1$ .

Then any  $\chi_k \in \widehat{G^F}$  has the lifting  $\chi_K \in \widehat{G^{F^m}}$ . And the mapping  $\chi_k \mapsto \chi_K$  defines a bijection between  $\widehat{G^F}$  and  $\widehat{G^{F^m}{}^\sigma}$ .

**REMARK 1.1.7.** The theorem is proved by Shintani [15] in case (1), by Kawanaka [7] in case (2) and by Kawanaka [8] in case (3).

The following lemmas can be extracted from [7].

**Lemma 1.1.8.** *Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  be class functions on  $G^{F^m}$ . Define class functions  $g_1$  and  $g_2$  on  $G^F$  by:  $g_i(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = f_i(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . Then*

$$|G^{F^m}|^{-1} \sum_{y \in G^{F^m}} f_1(y\sigma) \overline{f_2(y\sigma)} = |G^F|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G^F} g_1(x) \overline{g_2(x)}$$

**Lemma 1.1.9.** *Let  $H$  be an  $F$ -stable closed subgroup. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be class functions on  $H^{F^m}$  and  $H^F$  respectively. If  $g(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = f(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in H^{F^m}$ , then  $(\text{Ind}_{H^F}^{G^{F^m}} g)(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = (\text{Ind}_{H^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} f)(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ .*

1.2. Henceforth  $G$  is a connected reductive group defined over  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ ,  $B$  is an  $F$ -stable Borel subgroup,  $U$  is the unipotent radical of  $B$ ,  $T$  is an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $B$  and  $W = N_G(T)/T$ .

Let  $w \in W^{F^m}$  and  $\dot{w}$  its representative in  $N_G(T)^{F^m}$ .

Let  $X(w)$ ,  $S_w$ ,  $T(w)^F$  and  $R_{T_w}^1$  be as in [3]. They are as follows.

$$S_w = \{g \in G; g^{-1F}g \in \dot{w}U\}, \quad T(w)^F = \{t \in T; \dot{w}^F t \dot{w}^{-1} = t\},$$

$X(w) = S_w / T(w)^F U \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$  and  $R_{T_w}^1$  is the virtual character of  $G^F$  such that  $\text{Tr}(x, R_{T_w}^1) = \text{Tr}(x^{*-1}, \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w)))$ .

Then we have

**Lemma 1.2.1** (cf. Remark 1.4.2). *Let  $x \in G^F$ . Take  $a \in G$  such that  $x = {}^F a^{-1}a$ . Let  $y = a^F a^{-1} \in G^F$  (cf. Lemma 1.1.1). Then*

$$\text{Tr}((x^{-1}F^m)^*, \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))) = (|T^{F^m}|q^{md})^{-1} \#\{h \in G^{F^m}; h^{-1}y^\sigma h \in \dot{w}B\},$$

where  $d = \dim U \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ .

1.3. Let  $Z^K = \text{Ind}_{B^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} 1$  (=the representation of  $G^{F^m}$  induced from the trivial representation of  $B^{F^m}$ ). Then  $Z^K = \sum_{g \in G^{F^m}/B^{F^m}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_i g v$  as vector spaces with  $B^{F^m}$  acting trivially on  $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_i v$ . As is known,  $\text{End}_{G^{F^m}} Z^K = \sum_{w \in W^{F^m}} \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_i a_w^K$ , where  $a_w^K$  is defined by:  $a_w^K v = \sum_{u \in U_w^{-1}, F^m} u \dot{w}^{-1} v$  with  $U_w^- = U \cap \dot{w}U^{-1}\dot{w}^{-1}$  ( $U^-$  is the maximal unipotent subgroup opposite to  $U$ ). Define the linear mapping  $I_\sigma$  on  $Z^K$  by:

$$I_\sigma: \sum_{g \in G^{F^m}/B^{F^m}} c_g g v \mapsto \sum_{g \in B^{F^m}/B^{F^m}} c_g^\sigma g v \quad (c_g \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_i). \quad \text{Then for any } g \in G^{F^m} \text{ and } z \in Z, \\ I_\sigma(gz) = {}^\sigma g I_\sigma z.$$

Then we have

**Lemma 1.3.1** (cf. Remark 1.4.2). *For  $g \in G^{F^m}$  and  $w \in W^{F^m}$ ,  $\text{Tr}(ya_w^K I_\sigma, Z^K) = (q^{md} |T^{F^m}|)^{-1} \#\{g \in G^{F^m}; g^{-1}y^\sigma g \in \dot{w}B\}$ , where  $d = \dim U \cap \dot{w}U\dot{w}^{-1}$ .*

1.4. For any  $x \in G^F$ , write  $x = {}^F a^{-1}a$  with  $a \in G$  and let  $y = a^F a^{-1} \in G^{F^m}$ . By Lemma 1.2.1 and 1.3.1,  $\text{Tr}((x^{-1}F^m)^*, \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))) = \text{Tr}(ya_w^K I_\sigma, Z^K)$ .

Since  $\text{Tr}(ya_w^K I_\sigma, Z^K)$  does not depend on the  $\sigma$ -conjugacy class of  $y$ , we have

**Theorem 1.4.1.** *For any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,  $\text{Tr}((n_{K/k}(y)^{-1}F^m)^*, \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w)))$   
 $= \text{Tr}(ya_w^K I_\sigma, Z^K)$ .*

REMARK 1.4.2. (i) The above formula (and also Lemma 1.2.1, 1.3.1) were first appeared in [2]. This was informed to the author by Kawanaka.

(ii) It should be noted here that there are similar formulae to that of the theorem. If  $F^m$  acts canonically on  $R_T^\theta$  or  $R_{L \subset P}(\pi)$ , the analogy of the theorem is also true as is easily checked.

1.5. Let  $\delta$  be the smallest integer  $\geq 1$  such that  $F^\delta$  acts trivially on  $W$ . Let  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$  (=the set of all (equivalence classes of) unipotent representations of  $G^F$ ). By Lusztig [12], Coro. 3.9, if  $\rho \in H_c^i(X(w))_\mu$  (=the generalized  $\mu$ -eigenspace of  $F^{\delta*}$  on  $H_c^i(X(w))$ ), then  $\mu$  is uniquely determined (up to an integral power of  $q^\delta$ ) by  $\rho$  (not depending on  $i$  or  $w$ ).

DEFINITION 1.5.1. For  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ , let  $\mu$  be as above. Define  $\lambda_\rho$  to be the constant such that  $\lambda_\rho = \mu q^{\delta r}$  for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $1 \leq |\lambda_\rho| < q^\delta$ .

For  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ , let  $H_c^i(X(w))_\rho$  be the largest subspace of  $H_c^i(X(w))$  on which  $G^F$  acts by a multiple of  $\rho$ . Then

**Lemma 1.5.2.** *For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$  and  $w \in W$ , there exists  $f_{\rho,w}(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X, X^{-1}]$  such that if  $\delta$  divides  $m$ ,  
 $\text{Tr}((x^{-1}F^m)^*, \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))_\rho) = f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \lambda_\rho^{m/\delta} \rho(x)$  for any  $x \in G^F$  and  $f_{\rho,w}(1) = \langle \rho, R_{T_w}^1 \rangle$ .*

## 2. Split case

2.1. In introducing the notation we only assume that  $G$  splits over  $K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W) = \text{End}_{G^{F^m}} Z^K$  and  $S$  the set of simple reflections of  $W$  (corresponding to  $B$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{U}(W)$  be the generic algebra of  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$  over the extension field of  $\mathbb{Q}(X)$  ( $X$ : indeterminate) and  $\{a_w; w \in W\}$  be its basis. ( $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$  is obtained from  $\mathfrak{U}(W)$  by the specialization  $X \mapsto q^m$  or more precisely by the homomorphism from the integral closure of  $\mathbb{Q}[X]$  to  $\mathbb{Q}$  which maps  $X$  to  $q^m$ .) Let  $\hat{W}$  be the set of equivalence classes of the irreducible representation of  $W$ . For any  $\chi \in \hat{W}$ , let  $\nu_\chi$ ,  $\nu_\chi^K$ ,  $\rho_\chi^K$  be the corresponding irreducible representation (or its character) of  $\mathfrak{U}(W)$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$ ,  $G^{F^m}$  respectively. Then  $Z^K$  can be written in the form:  $Z^K = \bigoplus_{\chi \in \hat{W}} \nu_\chi^K \otimes \rho_\chi^K$ . For an  $F$ -stable subset  $J \subseteq S$ , let  $W_J$  be the subgroup of  $W$  generated by  $J$ ,  $P_J$  the corresponding standard parabolic subgroup of  $G$ ,  $L_J$  its standard Levi subgroup and  $Z_J^K = \text{Ind}_{B^{F^m}}^{P_J^{F^m}} 1 (= \text{Ind}_{(B \cap L_J)^{F^m}}^{L_J^{F^m}} 1$  as  $L_J^{F^m}$ -modules).  $Z_J^K$  is cano-

nically regarded as a subspace of  $Z^K$  and  $\text{End}_{P_J^{F^m}} Z_J^K = \sum_{w \in \hat{W}_J} \bar{Q}_i a_w |_{Z_J^K}$ . The following are also defined:  $\mathfrak{U}(W_J)$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}(W_J)$ ,  $\{\nu_x, \nu_x^K, \rho_x^K; \chi \in \hat{W}_J\}$ . Since  $W_J$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $W$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}(W_J)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W_J)$ ) is regarded as a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{U}(W)$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$ ). For any  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$  and  $\chi \in \hat{W}$ , define the non-negative integer  $n_{x, \chi'}$  by:  $\text{Ind}_{W_J}^W \chi' = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} n_{x, \chi'} \chi$ . For  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$ , let  $Z_{x'}^K$  (resp.  $Z_{J, x'}^K$ ) be the largest subspace of  $Z^K$  (resp.  $Z_J^K$ ) on which  $\mathfrak{U}(W_J)$  acts by a multiple of  $\nu_{x'}^K$ . For  $\chi \in \hat{W}$ ,  $Z_x^K$  is defined similarly. The following are checked easily: for  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$ ,  $\text{Ind}_{P_J^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} Z_{J, x'}^K = Z_{x'}^K$ ,  $Z_{J, x'}^K = \nu_{x'} \otimes \rho_{x'}^K$ ,  $Z_{x'}^K = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} n_{x, x'} \nu_x^K \otimes \rho_x^K$ , and for  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$  and  $\chi \in \hat{W}$ ,  $Z_x^K \cap Z_{x'}^K = n_{x, x'} \nu_x^K \otimes \rho_x^K$ .

2.2. Henceforth in this section we assume  $G$  to be split over  $k$ . Then the mapping  $I_\sigma$  commutes with any  $a_w^K(w \in W)$ , thus with  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$ . Therefore each  $\rho_x^K$  is regarded as an irreducible  $G^{F^m}$   $A$ -modules which is denoted by  $\bar{\rho}_x^K$ . By Theorem 1.4.1, we have

**Lemma 2.2.1.** *For any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,*

$$\text{Tr}((n_{K/k}(y)^{-1} F^m)^*, \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \bar{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma).$$

Let  $J \subset S$  be  $F$ -stable.  $\bar{\rho}_{x'}^k(\chi' \in \hat{W}_J)$  are similarly defined as  $\bar{\rho}_x^K(\chi \in \hat{W})$ . Now, for any  $z \in Z_J^K$  and  $g \in G^{F^m}$ ,  $I_\sigma(gz) = {}^\sigma g I_\sigma(z)$ . Thus for  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$ ,  $\text{Ind}_{P_J^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} A Z_{J, x'}^K = Z_{x'}^K$  as  $G^{F^m}$   $A$ -modules. Hence

**Lemma 2.2.2.** *Assume  $\text{Ind}_{W_J}^W \chi' = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} n_{x, x'} \chi$  ( $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$ ,  $n_{x, x'} \geq 0$ ). Then  $\text{Ind}_{P_J^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} \bar{\rho}_{x'}^K = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} n_{x, x'} \bar{\rho}_x^K$  and  $\text{Ind}_{P_J^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} A \bar{\rho}_{x'}^K = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} n_{x, x'} \bar{\rho}_x^K$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.3.** *Assume the Dynkin graph of  $G$  does not have irreducible components of type  $E_7$  or  $E_8$ . Assume that for any  $J \leq S$  and  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$ , there exists the lifting of  $\rho_{x'}^k$  in  $\widehat{L_J^{F^m}}$ . Then for any  $\chi \in \hat{W}$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,  $\rho_x^k(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}(y)) = \bar{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma)$ .*

Proof. By Lemma 1.1.9,  $(\text{Ind}_{B^F}^{G^F} 1)(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k} y) = (\text{Ind}_{B^{F^m}}^{G^{F^m}} 1)(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . Thus

$$(a) \quad \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \dim \chi_{\rho_x^k}(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k} y) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \dim \chi_{\bar{\rho}_x^K}(y\sigma) \quad \text{for any } y \in G^{F^m}.$$

The existence of the lifting of each  $\rho_x^k$  shows for each  $\chi \in \hat{W}$  there exists  $\chi' \in \hat{W}$  such that  $\rho_x^k(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k} y) = c \bar{\rho}_{x'}^K(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$  and  $c=1$ . (This is checked by taking the inner product with the relation (a). See Lemma 1.1.8.) If  $\chi=1$ , the statement of the lemma is obvious. If  $\chi = St_W$  (=the sign character of  $W$ ), it is also obvious. This proves the case when the semisimple rank of  $G$  is 1. Assume the semisimple rank of  $G \geq 2$  and the statement holds for any  $L_J$  with  $J \subsetneq S$ .

Let  $J \subseteq S$ . Then for any  $\chi' \in \hat{W}_J$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,  $\rho_{\chi'}^k(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = \tilde{\rho}_{\chi'}^K(y\sigma)$ . Write  $\text{Ind}_{\hat{W}_J}^W \chi' = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} n_{\chi, \chi'} \chi$ . Then by Lemma 2.2.2,  $\sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} n_{\chi, \chi'} \tilde{\rho}_{\chi}^K(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} n_{\chi, \chi'} \tilde{\rho}_{\chi}^K(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . Thus the lemma is an easy consequence of the following well known result (cf. Benson-Curtis [1]):

Let  $(W, S)$  be the Weyl group which does not have the irreducible factors of type  $G_2$ ,  $E_7$  or  $E_8$  and assume  $\text{rank}(W, S) \geq 2$ . For  $\chi_1, \chi_2 \in \hat{W}$ , if  $\chi_1|_{W_J} = \chi_2|_{W_J}$  for any  $J \subseteq S$ , then  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$ .

By Lemma 2.2.1 and 2.2.3 we have

**Lemma 2.2.4.** *Assume the assumption of Lemma 2.2.3. Then*

$$\text{Tr}((x^{-1}F^m)^*, \sum_i (-1)^i H_i^*(X(w))) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} v_{\chi}^K(a_w^K) \rho_{\chi}^k(n_{k/k}^{-1}x) \text{ for any } x \in G^F.$$

2.3. If  $G = GL_n$ , we can easily check the following theorem, which is proved in [2] and also by Lusztig independently.

**Theorem 2.3.1.** *Assume  $G = GL_n$ . Then*

- (i)  $\rho_{\chi}^k(n_{K/k}y) = \tilde{\rho}_{\chi}^K(y\sigma)$  for any  $\chi \in \hat{W}$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,
- (ii)  $f_{\rho_{\chi}, w}(X) = v_{\chi}(a_w)$  for any  $\chi \in \hat{W}$  and  $w \in W$ ,
- (iii)  $|X_w^{F^m}| = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} v_{\chi}^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_{\chi}^k$ .

2.4. In 2.4 we assume  $G = Sp_{2n}$ ,  $SO_{2n+1}$  or  $SO_{2n}^+$ .

**Lemma 2.4.1.** *If  $(m, 2p) = 1$ , then*

- (i)  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \rho = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} v_{\chi}^K(a_w^K) \rho_{\chi}^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1}$ ,
- (ii)  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \dim \rho = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} v_{\chi}^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_{\chi}^k$ ,

where  $\rho$  ranges over  $\mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ .

Proof. By Lemma 1.5.2 and 2.2.1,  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \rho(n_{K/k}y) = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{W}} v_{\chi}^K(a_w^K) \tilde{\rho}_{\chi}^K(y\sigma)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . By Theorem 1.1.6 and Lemma 2.2.3,  $\tilde{\rho}_{\chi}^K(y\sigma) = \rho_{\chi}^k(\mathfrak{N}_{K/k}y) = \rho_{\chi}^k(n_{k/k}^{-1}n_{K/k}y)$  for any  $y \in G^{F^m}$ . Thus we have (i). Since  $n_{k/k}(\{1\}) = \{1\}$ , we have (ii).

To proceed further we need some lemmas. The following one is obvious.

**Lemma 2.4.2.** *Let  $c_1, \dots, c_r, x_1, \dots, x_r \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^{\times}$ . Assume  $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} c_i x_i^t = 0$  for  $t = 1, \dots,$*

*r. Then there exist  $1 \leq i \neq j \leq r$  such that  $x_i = x_j$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.3.** *Let  $f(X), g(X) \neq 0 \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l[X]$ ,  $t$  a positive integer (maybe 1) and  $\lambda \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l^{\times}$ . Assume  $f(q^m)\lambda^m = g(q^m)$  for any positive integer  $m$  such that  $(m, t) = 1$ . Then  $\lambda = \zeta q^{\alpha}$  with  $\zeta$  a  $t$ -th root of unity and  $\alpha$  an integer.*

**Proof.** Write  $f(X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} a_i X^i$ ,  $g(X) = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} b_i X^i$  ( $a_i, b_i \in \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_l$ ). By the assumption,  $f(q^{m+1})\lambda^{m+1} = g(q^{m+1})$  for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Thus  $\sum_{0 \leq i \leq r} a_i q^i \lambda(q^{ti} \lambda^t)^m = \sum_{0 \leq i \leq s} b_i q^i (q^{ti})^m$  for any  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . If  $i \neq j$ ,  $q^{ti} \neq q^{tj}$  and  $q^{ti} \lambda^t \neq q^{tj} \lambda^t$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.4.2,  $q^{ti} \lambda^t = q^{tj}$  for some  $0 \leq i \leq r$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq s$ . Therefore  $\lambda = \zeta q^\alpha$  with  $\zeta$  a  $t$ -th root of unity and  $\alpha$  a positive integer.

The following proposition is known when  $q$  is larger than the Coxeter number of  $G$  (cf. Lusztig [12], p. 25, (d)).

**Proposition 2.4.4.** For any  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ ,  $\lambda_\rho = 1$  or  $-1$ .

**Proof.** If  $\rho$  is not cuspidal, the computation of  $\lambda_\rho$  is reduced to the groups of smaller ranks. Thus it remains to check for the cuspidal  $\rho_0 \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ . Take  $w \in W$  such that  $\langle \rho_0, R_{T_w}^1 \rangle \neq 0$ . Then  $f_{\rho_0, w}(X) \neq 0$  (cf. 1.5). If  $(m, 2p) = 1$ ,  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \dim \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_x^K$  by Lemma 2.4.1, (ii). We may assume if  $\rho \neq \rho_0$ ,  $\lambda_\rho = 1$  or  $-1$ . Thus, for any positive integer  $m$  such that  $(m, 2p) = 1$ , we have  $f_{\rho_0, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho_0}^m \dim \rho_0 + \sum_{\rho \neq \rho_0} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_\rho \dim \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_x^K$ . Applying Lemma 2.4.3 we have  $\lambda_{\rho_0}^{2p} = 1$  (since  $0 \leq |\lambda_{\rho_0}| < q$ ). Thus it suffices to prove  $\lambda_{\rho_0} \in \mathbf{Q}$ . But for any positive integer  $m$ ,  $f_{\rho_0, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho_0}^m \dim \rho_0 + \sum_{\rho \neq \rho_0} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_\rho \dim \rho = \text{Tr}(F^{m*}, \sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))) = |X(w)^{F^m}|$ . Thus  $f_{\rho_0, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho_0}^m \in \mathbf{Q}$  for any positive integer  $m$ . Since  $f_{\rho_0, w}(X) \neq 0$ , there exists an integer  $m_0$  such that if  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $f_{\rho_0, w}(q^m) \neq 0$ . Thus if  $m \geq m_0$ ,  $\lambda_{\rho_0}^m \in \mathbf{Q}$ . Therefore  $\lambda_{\rho_0} = (\lambda_{\rho_0})^{m_0+1} \lambda_{\rho_0}^{-m_0} \in \mathbf{Q}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.5.**  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(X) \lambda_{\rho} \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) \rho_x^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1}$  as  $\mathbf{Q}[X]$ -linear combinations of class functions of  $G^F$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $y \in G^F$ . By Lemma 2.4.1 and Proposition 2.4.4, if  $(m, 2p) = 1$ , then  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho} \rho(y) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \rho_x^k(n_{k/k}^{-1} y)$ . Since there exist infinitely many positive integers  $m$  such that  $(m, 2p) = 1$ ,  $\sum_{\rho} f_{\rho, w}(X) \lambda_{\rho} \rho(y) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) \rho_x^k(n_{k/k}^{-1} y)$  as polynomials in  $X$  (with  $y \in G^F$  being fixed). This proves the lemma.

For  $\chi \in \hat{W}$ , let  $R_\chi = |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) R_{T_w}^1$ . Then

**Lemma 2.4.6.**  $\rho_x^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1} = \sum_{\rho} \langle R_\chi, \rho \rangle \lambda_{\rho} \rho$ .

**Proof.** By the specialization  $X \mapsto 1$ , the relation in Lemma 2.4.5 is specialized to:  $\sum_{\rho} \langle R_{T_w}^1, \rho \rangle \lambda_{\rho} \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \chi(w) \rho_x^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1}$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_x^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1} &= |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) \sum_{x_1 \in \hat{W}} \chi_1(w) \rho_{x_1}^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1} \\ &= |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi(w) \sum_{\rho} \langle R_{T_w}^1, \rho \rangle \lambda_{\rho} \rho = \sum_{\rho} \langle R_\chi, \rho \rangle \lambda_{\rho} \rho. \end{aligned}$$



**Lemma 2.4.7.** (i) For any  $w \in W$  and  $\rho \in \mathcal{E}(G^F, \{1\})$ ,  $f_{\rho,w}(X) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) \langle R_x, \rho \rangle$ .

$$(ii) \quad \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(X) \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) R_x.$$

Proof. (i)  $\lambda_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(X) = \langle \sum_{\rho_1} f_{\rho_1,w}(X) \lambda_{\rho_1} \rho_1, \rho \rangle = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) \langle \rho_x^k \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1}, \rho \rangle$  (by Lemma 2.4.5)  $= \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x(a_w) \langle R_x, \rho \rangle \lambda_{\rho}$  (by Lemma 2.4.6). This proves (i). (ii) is an easy consequence of (i).

**Theorem 2.4.8.** Let  $w \in W$ .

$$(i) \quad \text{If } m \text{ is odd, } |X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_x^k.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } m \text{ is even, } |X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim R_x.$$

Proof.  $|X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \dim \rho$ . Assume  $m$  is odd. Then  $|X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho} \dim \rho$  (since  $\lambda_{\rho} = 1$  or  $-1$ )  $= \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \rho_x^k(n_{k/k}^{-1} \{1\})$  (by Lemma 2.4.5)  $= \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim \rho_x^k$ . Assume  $m$  is even. Then  $|X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \dim \rho = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \dim R_x$  (by Lemma 2.4.7, (ii)).

The following lemma is well known (cf. [4]).

**Lemma 2.4.9.** Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be a semisimple and symmetric algebra over the algebraic closed field of characteristic 0. Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_r\}$  be a basis of  $\mathfrak{A}$  and  $\{e_1^*, \dots, e_r^*\}$  be its dual basis. Let  $\chi_1, \chi_2$  be the irreducible characters of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Then  $\sum_i \chi_1(e_i) \chi_2(e_i^*) = 0$  if and only if  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ .

**Theorem 2.4.10.** (i) If  $m$  is odd,  $\tilde{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma) = \rho_x^k(\mathfrak{A}_{K/k} y)$  for any  $x \in \hat{W}$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ .

(ii) If  $m$  is even,  $\tilde{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma) = R_x(n_{K/k} y)$  for any  $x \in \hat{W}$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ .

Proof. For any  $y \in G^{F^m}$  and  $w \in W$ ,  $\sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \tilde{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma) = \text{Tr}(((n_{K/k} y)^{-1} F^m)^*)$ ,  $\sum_i (-1)^i H_c^i(X(w))$  (by Lemma 2.2.1)  $= \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho}^m \rho(n_{K/k} y)$ . Assume  $m$  is odd. Then  $\sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \tilde{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma) = \sum_{\rho} f_{\rho,w}(q^m) \lambda_{\rho} \rho(n_{K/k} \mathfrak{A}_{K/k} y) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \rho_x^k(\mathfrak{A}_{K/k} y)$  (by Lemma 2.4.5). Thus  $\sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \tilde{\rho}_x^K(y\sigma) = \sum_{x \in \hat{W}} \nu_x^K(a_w^K) \rho_x^k(\mathfrak{A}_{K/k} y)$ . Hence we have (i) by the orthogonality relations in Lemma 2.4.9. (ii) is proved similarly.

**REMARK 2.4.11.** If  $\text{char } F_q \neq 2$ , then  $R_x = R_x \cdot n_{k/k}^{-1}$  by the following lemma. Therefore “ $n_{k/k}$ ”, in (ii) of Theorem 2.4.10 can be replaced by “ $\mathfrak{A}_{K/k}$ ”, if  $\text{char } F_q \neq 2$ . This seems to be true even if  $\text{char } F_q = 2$ .

**Lemma 2.4.12.** *Let  $G$  be a connected reductive group over  $F_q$ . (We do not assume the assumption imposed on  $G$  in 2.4.) Let  $x \in G^F$  and  $x = su$  be the Jordan decomposition ( $s$ : a semisimple element,  $u$ : a unipotent element). Assume  $u$  is contained in the identity component of the centralizer of  $u$  in  $Z_G(s)^0$ . (Notice  $u \in Z_G(s)^0$  by [16], Corollary 4.4.) Then for any  $F$ -stable maximal torus  $T$  of  $G$  and linear character  $\theta$  of  $T^F$ ,  $R_T^\theta(n_{k/k}(x)) = R_T^\theta(x)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $H = Z_G(s)^0$ . Let  $T'$  be an  $F$ -stable maximal torus of  $H$ . Take  $a \in T'$  such that  $s = a^{-1F}a$ . Take  $b \in Z_H(u)^0$  such that  $u = b^{-1F}b$ . Then  $x = su = sb^{-1F}b = b^{-1}s^Fb = b^{-1}a^{-1F}a^Fb = (ab)^{-1F}(ab)$ . Thus  $n_{k/k}(x) = {}^F(ab)(ab)^{-1} = {}^Fa^Fbb^{-1}a^{-1} {}^Fabub^{-1}a^{-1} = {}^Faua^{-1}$  ( $b$  commutes with  $u$ )  $= {}^Fa a^{-1}aua^{-1} = saua^{-1}$ . Therefore  $n_{k/k}(x) = saua^{-1}$ . Since  $s$  commutes with  $aua^{-1}$  and  $aua^{-1}$  is a unipotent element,  $n_{k/k}(x) = s(aua^{-1})$  is the Jordan decomposition of  $n_{k/k}(x)$ . Let  $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$  be the representatives of  $H^F \setminus \{g \in G^F; g^{-1}sg \in T\}$ . Then by [2], Theorem 4.2, we have  $R_T^\theta(x) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} Q_{g_i T g_i^{-1}, H}(u) \theta(g_i^{-1} s g_i)$ . Similarly,  $R_T^\theta(n_{k/k}(x)) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} Q_{g_i T g_i^{-1}, H}(aua^{-1}) \theta(g_i^{-1} s g_i)$ . Let  $H_{ad}$  be the adjoint group of  $H$  and  $\pi: H \rightarrow H_{ad}$  be the canonical mapping. Since  $a^{-1F}a = s \in Z(H)$ ,  $\pi(a) \in H_{ad}^F$ . Thus  $\pi(u)$  and  $\pi(aua^{-1})$  are conjugate in  $H_{ad}^F$ . Therefore  $Q_{g_i T g_i^{-1}, H}(u) = Q_{\pi(g_i T g_i^{-1}), H_{ad}}(\pi(u)) = Q_{g_i T g_i^{-1}, H}(aua^{-1})$ . Hence  $R_T^\theta(x) = R_T^\theta(n_{k/k}(x))$ .

2.5. In 2.5, we wish to describe some conjectural statements flourishing from Lemma 2.4.6, if we assume Conjecture 4.3 of Lusztig [12]. To do this we need to recall some results of [11], [12]. For  $\Lambda \in \Phi_n$  (resp.  $\Phi_n^+$ ), let  $\rho_\Lambda$  be the corresponding unipotent representations of  $Sp_{2n}^F$  or  $SO_{2n+1}^F$  (resp.  $SO_{2n}^{+,F}$ ). For  $\chi \in \hat{W}_n$  (resp.  $\hat{W}_n$ ), let  $\Lambda$  be the corresponding symbol class in  $\Phi_n$  (resp.  $\Phi_n^+$ ) and we put  $R_\Lambda = R_\chi$ . For  $\Lambda \in \Phi_n$  (resp.  $\Phi_n^+$ ), write  $\Lambda = (X \cup (Y - I), X \cup I)$ , where  $X, Y$  are finite subsets of  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ ,  $X \cap Y = \emptyset$ ,  $I$  is a subset of  $Y$  such that  $2|I| + 1 \equiv |Y| \pmod{4}$  (resp.  $2|I| \equiv |Y| \pmod{4}$ ). Now, fix  $X$  and  $Y$ . We put  $|Y| = 2s$  or  $2s+1$ , and assume  $s > 0$  if  $|Y| = 2s$ . Let  $Y = \{\lambda_0 < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots\}$ ,  $Y^0 = \{\lambda_0, \lambda_2, \lambda_4, \dots\}$  and  $Y^1 = \{\lambda_1, \lambda_3, \lambda_5, \dots\}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the set of all subsets of  $Y$  and  $\mathcal{O}_s = \{I \in \mathcal{O} : |I| \equiv s \pmod{2}\}$ . Then  $\mathcal{O}$  is regarded as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$  by the addition:  $I, J \in \mathcal{O} \mapsto IJ = I \cup J - I \cap J$  and  $\mathcal{O}_0$  is regarded as a subspace. By the bijection  $\mathcal{O}_s \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_0$  ( $I \mapsto IY^1$ ), we can regard  $\mathcal{O}_s$  as a vector space over  $\mathbf{F}_2$ . Define  $Q: \mathcal{O}_s \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  ( $I \mapsto (-1)^{(|I|-s)/2}$ ). If we identify  $\mathbf{F}_2$  canonically with  $\{\pm 1\}$ , the mapping  $Q$  is regarded as a quadratic form on  $\mathcal{O}_s$  whose associated bilinear form  $B$  is:  $I, J \in \mathcal{O}_s \mapsto B(I, J) = (-1)^{|I \cap X^0| + |I \cap Y^1| + |I \cup J|}$ . Thus the Fourier transform of Lusztig [11], [12] takes the form:

$$\hat{\rho}_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = 2^{-s} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{O}_s} B(I, J) \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup J)} \quad \text{for } I \in \mathcal{O}_s.$$

**DEFINITION 2.5.1.** (i) For a class function  $f$  on  $G^F$ , let  $f^\Delta = f \cdot n_{k/k}$ .  $\Delta^2 = 1$  and  $\dim f^\Delta = \dim f$ . (Notice that for any connected algebraic group  $G$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ ,

if  $x' \in Z_G(x)^0$ , then  $n_{k/k}^*(\{x\}) = \{x\}$ .)

(ii) Let  $\mathfrak{R}_{X,Y} = \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_s} \tilde{Q}_J \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)}$ . Define the linear automorphisms  $\tilde{\Delta}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_{X,Y}$  by the condition  $\tilde{\Delta} \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = Q(I) \rho_{(X \cap I', X \cup I)}$  for  $I \in \mathcal{P}_s$ . Since  $\dim \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = 0$  if  $|I| \neq s$ , we have  $\dim f^{\tilde{\Delta}} = \dim f$  for any  $f \in \mathfrak{R}_{X,Y}$ .

It can easily be checked the following

**Lemma 2.5.2.** *For any  $J \in \mathcal{P}_s$ ,*

$$Q(I) \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}^{\tilde{\Delta}} = 2^{-s} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_s} B(I, J) Q(J) \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)}.$$

**Theorem 2.5.3.** *Assume Conjecture 4.3 in [12] is true. Then for  $I \in \mathcal{P}_s$ ,*  
 $\lambda \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = Q(I) ((-1)^{(|I|-s)/2}).$

**Proof.** By the induction of the semisimple rank of  $G$ , it is needed to check only for the cuspidal  $\rho_{(X \cup I'_0, X \cup I_0)} (I_0 \text{ or } I'_0 = Y)$ . Thus we may assume the statement is true if  $I \neq I_0, I'_0$ . Since we have assumed Conjecture 4.3 in [12],  $R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}$  if  $|I| = s$ . Thus by Lemma 2.4.6,  $R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = 2^{-r} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_s} B(I, J) Q(J) \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)}$ . But  $\dim R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}^{\Delta} = \dim R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)} = \dim R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}^{\tilde{\Delta}}$ . Thus  $2^{-s} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_s} B(I, J) Q(J) \dim \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)} = 2^{-s} \sum_{J \in \mathcal{P}_s} B(I, J) \lambda \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)} \dim \rho_{(X \cup J', X \cup J)}$  by Lemma 2.5.2. This relation shows our statement.

**REMARK 2.5.4.** (i) The statement of Theorem 2.5.3 is a counterpart of the statements for some families of the unipotent representations of the exceptional groups given in Lusztig [12], p. 45 and [13], p. 335.

(ii) Assume  $\text{char } \mathbf{F}_q \neq 2$ . Lemma 2.4.12 and the proof of Theorem 2.5.3 show that  $\Delta$  and  $\tilde{\Delta}$  coincide on the subspace  $\mathfrak{S}$  of  $\mathfrak{R}_{X,Y}$  which is spanned by  $\{\rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}, \rho_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}^{\Delta}; I \in \mathcal{P}_s, |I| = s\}$  and  $\{R_{(X \cup I', X \cup I)}; I \in \mathcal{P}_s, |I| = s\}$  under the assumption of Theorem 2.5.3. If  $|Y| = 1, 3$  or  $4$ ,  $\mathfrak{S} = \mathfrak{R}_{X,Y}$ . If  $|Y| = 5$  or  $6$ ,  $\dim \mathfrak{S} = \dim \mathfrak{R}_{X,Y} - 1$ . We may ask if the following is true (cf. Remark 2.4.11).

**CONJECTURE 2.5.5.**  $\tilde{\Delta} = \Delta$ .

### 3. Unitary case

The method which we applied in the case of split classical groups is also effective for the unitary groups. Let  $G$  be the unitary group  $U_n$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$  and we assume  $m$  is an even integer. The Weyl group  $W$  is canonically identified with the symmetric group  $S_n$  and we assume the generic algebra  $\mathfrak{A}(W)$  (cf. 2.1) is over the extension field of  $\mathfrak{A}(X)$  which contains  $X^{1/2}$  ( $X^{1/2}$  being fixed). Let  $\mathcal{P}(n)$  be the set of all partitions of  $n$ . For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , let  $\chi_\alpha$  (resp.  $\nu_{\alpha, \omega}$ ) be the corresponding irreducible representation (or its character) of  $W$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{A}(W)$ ). The following lemma is easily checked by the induction on  $n$ .

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $w_0$  be the longest element of  $W$  and  $\alpha = (\alpha_1 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_s > 0) \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ . Define  $C_\alpha = \binom{n}{2} + \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq s \\ 1 \leq j \leq \alpha_i}} (j-i)$ . Then  $a_{w_0}^2$  acts as a scalar  $X^{C_\alpha}$  on the representation  $\nu_{\alpha}^k$  of  $\mathfrak{U}(W)$ .*

Let the notation be as in 2.1. We write  $\rho_\alpha^K$  instead of  $\rho_{\alpha}^K$  for  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  to simplify the notation. Since  $a_{w_0}^K I_\sigma$  commutes with  $\mathfrak{U}^K(W)$ , each irreducible component  $\rho_\alpha^K$  of  $Z^K$  is regarded as a  $G^{F^m}$   $A$ -module  $\rho_\alpha^K$  by the mapping  $\sigma \mapsto (q^m)^{-C_\alpha/m} a_{w_0}^K I_\sigma$ .

For  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ , let  $\rho_\alpha^k = |W|^{-1} \sum_{w \in W} \chi_\alpha(w w_0) R_{T_w}^1$ . If we put  $\eta_\alpha =$  the signature of  $\dim \rho_\alpha^k$ , then by [14],  $\eta_\alpha \rho_\alpha^k$  is the irreducible representation of  $G^F$  and all the unipotent representations of  $G^F$  are of this form. For the simplification of the notation we let  $f_{\alpha,w}(X) = \eta_\alpha f_{\eta_\alpha \rho_\alpha^k, w}(X)$  ( $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)$ ,  $w \in W$ ),  $\lambda_\alpha = \lambda_{\eta_\alpha \rho_\alpha^k}$ . Then

**Theorem 3.2.** *Assume  $\text{char } F_q \neq 2$ . Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)$  and  $w \in W$ . Then*

- (i)  $\rho_\alpha^k(n_{K/k} y) = (-1)^{m C_\alpha / 2} \tilde{\rho}_\alpha^K(y \sigma)$  for any even integer  $m$  and  $y \in G^{F^m}$ ,
- (ii)  $f_{\alpha,w}(q^m) \lambda_\alpha^{m/2} = \nu_{\alpha}^K(a_w^K a_{w_0}^K) (-q)$  for any even integer  $m$ ,
- (iii)  $|X(w)^{F^m}| = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{P}(n)} \nu_{\alpha}^K(a_w^K a_{w_0}^K) (-q)^{-m C_\alpha / 2} \dim \rho_\alpha^k$  for any even integer  $m$ .

Our proof is based on Kawanaka [7] as is stated in the introduction. In this respect, (i) of the theorem for cuspidal or subcuspidal  $\rho_\alpha^k$ 's is essential. The detailed arguments, which is slightly tedious, are omitted.

## References

- [1] C.T. Benson and C.W. Curtis: *On the degrees and rationality of certain characters of finite Chevalley groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 251–273 and **202** (1975), 405–406.
- [2] F. Digne et J. Michel: *Nombre de points rationnels des varietes de Deligne-Lusztig et caractères de l'algèbre de Hecke*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **287**, Sér. A (1978), 811–814.
- [3] P. Deligne and G. Lusztig: *Representations of reductive groups over finite fields*, Ann. of Math. (2) **103** (1976), 103–161.
- [4] T.V. Fossum: *Characters and centers of symmetric algebras*, J. Algebra **16** (1970), 4–13.
- [5] A. Gyoja: *Liftings of irreducible characters of finite reductive groups*, Osaka J. Math. **16** (1979), 1–30.
- [6] P.N. Hoeffsmit: *Representations of Hecke algebras of finite groups with BN-pairs of classical type*, Ph. D. Dissertation, Univ. British Columbia, Vancouver, 1974.
- [7] N. Kawanaka: *On the irreducible characters of finite unitary groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **29** (1977), 425–450.
- [8] N. Kawanaka: *Lifting of irreducible characters of finite classical groups*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sect. IA Math.

- [9] G. Lusztig: *On the Green polynomials of classical groups*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **33** (1976), 443–475.
- [10] G. Lusztig: *Coxeter orbits and eigenspaces of Frobenius*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976), 101–159.
- [11] G. Lusztig: *Irreducible representations of finite classical groups*, Invent. Math. **43** (1977), 125–175.
- [12] G. Lusztig: *Representations of finite Chevalley groups*, C.B.M.S. Regional conference series in math. 39, AMS, 1978.
- [13] G. Lusztig: *Unipotent representations of a finite Chevalley group of type  $E_8$* , Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **30** (1979), 315–338.
- [14] G. Lusztig and B. Srinivasan: *The characters of the finite unitary groups*, J. Algebra **49** (1977), 167–171.
- [15] T. Shintani: *Two remarks on irreducible characters of finite general linear groups*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **28** (1976), 396–414.
- [16] T.A. Springer and R. Steinberg: *Conjugacy classes*, in Lecture Notes in Math. 131, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

Department of Mathematics  
Nara University of Education  
Nara 630, Japan