

Title	Equivariant isotopies of semifree $G$ -manifolds
Author(s)	Komiya, Katsuhiko
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 20(2) P.383-P.397
Issue Date	1983
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/6543">https://doi.org/10.18910/6543</a>
DOI	10.18910/6543
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## EQUIVARIANT ISOTOPIES OF SEMIFREE $G$ -MANIFOLDS

Dedicated to Professor Minoru Nakaoka on his sixtieth birthday

KATSUHIRO KOMIYA

(Received June 18, 1981)

### 1. Introduction

In the previous paper [3] we studied the set of equivariant isotopy classes of equivariant smooth embeddings of a sphere with semifree linear action into a euclidean representation space. In this paper we will study more general case, i.e., the set of equivariant isotopy classes of equivariant smooth embeddings of a manifold into another manifold, where the manifolds in question have a smooth semifree action.

Let  $G$  be a compact Lie group, and  $M, N$  smooth  $G$ -manifolds. Two smooth  $G$ -embeddings  $f$  and  $g$  of  $M$  into  $N$  are called  $G$ -isotopic, if there is a smooth  $G$ -map

$$H: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

such that, for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $H_t = H|_{M \times \{t\}}$  is a smooth  $G$ -embedding, and that  $H_0 = f$ ,  $H_1 = g$ . Such  $H$  is called a *smooth  $G$ -isotopy* between  $f$  and  $g$ . The  *$G$ -isotopy class*  $[f]$  is the set of all smooth  $G$ -embeddings  $G$ -isotopic to  $f$ . Denote by  $\text{Iso}^G(M, N)$  the set of all  $G$ -isotopy classes of smooth  $G$ -embeddings of  $M$  into  $N$ . Fix a smooth  $G$ -embedding  $f$  of  $M$  into  $N$ , and denote by  $\text{Iso}_f^G(M, N)$  the set of all  $G$ -isotopy classes of smooth  $G$ -embeddings  $G$ -homotopic to  $f$ . If  $N$  is a euclidean representation space of  $G$ , then  $N$  is  $G$ -contractible, and then

$$\text{Iso}_f^G(M, N) = \text{Iso}^G(M, N)$$

for any smooth  $G$ -embedding  $f$  of  $M$  into  $N$ .

For  $x \in M$  denote by  $G_x$  the isotropy subgroup of  $G$  at  $x$ . An action of  $G$  on  $M$  is called *semifree* if, for any  $x \in M$ ,  $G_x$  is either trivial or is all of  $G$ . If, moreover, the fixed point set

$$M^G = \{x \in M \mid G_x = G\}$$

is neither empty nor is all of  $M$ , the action is called *properly semifree*. For  $x \in M^G$  denote by  $M_x^G$  the connected component of  $M^G$  containing  $x$ . Choose

a point from each connected component of  $M^G$ , and let  $C(M^G)$  be the set of these points. Then  $M^G$  is the disjoint union of  $M_x^G$  for all  $x \in C(M^G)$ .

Let  $M, N$  be smooth properly semifree  $G$ -manifolds, and  $f$  a smooth  $G$ -embedding of  $M$  into  $N$ . This paper will proceed as follows. In section 2 we define  $\Gamma_f(M_x^G)$  as the set of homotopy classes of cross sections of a fibre bundle over  $M_x^G$ , and give a definition of a transformation

$$\Phi: \text{Iso}_f^G(M, N) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in C(M^G)} \Gamma_f(M_x^G).$$

Under dimensional conditions we prove the surjectivity of  $\Phi$  in section 3, and prove the injectivity of  $\Phi$  in section 4. Finally in section 5 we analyze  $\Gamma_f(M_x^G)$  by using obstruction theory.

REMARK. If the  $G$ -action on  $M$  is properly semifree, a normal representation of  $G$  at a fixed point has no fixed point except the origin. Any compact Lie group  $G$  does not always admit a fixed point free (outside the origin) representation. Finite groups which admit fixed point free representations are classified by Wolf [5]. If  $G$  is positive dimensional, then there are only three possibilities:  $G \cong S^3, S^1$ , and its normalizer  $N(S^1)$  in  $S^3$  (e.g. as shown in Bredon [2; 8.5]). Thus the groups considered in this paper are finite groups,  $S^1, N(S^1)$ , and  $S^3$ .

### 2. Transformation $\Phi$

Let  $M, N$  be smooth properly semifree  $G$ -manifolds, and  $f$  a smooth  $G$ -embedding of  $M$  into  $N$ . Choose once and for all a set  $C(M^G)$  such that  $M^G$  is the disjoint union of  $M_x^G$  for all  $x \in C(M^G)$ . For any  $x \in C(M^G)$ , let

$$\nu(M_x^G) = (\tau(M) | M_x^G) / \tau(M_x^G)$$

be the normal bundle of  $M_x^G$  in  $M$ . Denote by  $\nu_y(M_x^G)$  the fibre over  $y \in M_x^G$ . This is a representation of  $G$  which has no fixed point outside the origin. Denote by

$$\text{Mon}^G(\nu_y(M_x^G), \nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G))$$

the set of all  $G$ -monomorphisms from  $\nu_y(M_x^G)$  to  $\nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G)$ , and define

$$\text{Mon}_f^G(\nu(M_x^G), \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)) = \bigcup_{y \in M_x^G} \text{Mon}^G(\nu_y(M_x^G), \nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G)).$$

By the standard manner this becomes a smooth fibre bundle over  $M_x^G$ . The set of continuous (resp. smooth) cross sections of this bundle is in bijective correspondence with the set of continuous (resp. smooth)  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphisms from  $\nu(M_x^G)$  to  $\nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$  which cover

$$f_x^G = f | M_x^G: M_x^G \rightarrow N_{f(x)}^G.$$

Denote by  $\Gamma_f(M_x^G)$  the set of homotopy classes of continuous cross sections of  $\text{Mon}_f^G(\nu(M_x^G), \nu(N_{f(x)}^G))$ . Note that we may take smooth ones as representatives of classes in  $\Gamma_f(M_x^G)$  by the differentiable approximation theorem [4; 6.7].

Let  $g: M \rightarrow N$  be a smooth  $G$ -embedding  $G$ -homotopic to  $f$ . Note that  $N_{g(x)}^G = N_{f(x)}^G$  for any  $x \in C(M^G)$ . Then two maps

$$g_x^G, f_x^G: M_x^G \rightarrow N_{f(x)}^G$$

are homotopic, i.e., there is a homotopy

$$H: M_x^G \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N_{f(x)}^G$$

with  $H_0 = g_x^G$  and  $H_1 = f_x^G$ . By Bierstone [1] we may lift  $H$  to a  $G$ -homotopy of  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism

$$\tilde{H}: \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$$

with

$$\tilde{H}_0 = \tilde{d}_x g: \nu(M_x^G) \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G),$$

where  $\tilde{d}_x g$  is the  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism induced from the differential  $dg: \tau(M) \rightarrow \tau(N)$  of  $g$ . Then  $\tilde{H}_1$  is a  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism which covers  $f_x^G$ . Let

$$\Phi_x(g): M_x^G \rightarrow \text{Mon}_f^G(\nu(M_x^G), \nu(N_{f(x)}^G))$$

be a cross section corresponding to  $\tilde{H}_1$ .  $\Phi_x(g)$  is determined dependently on  $H$  and its lifting  $\tilde{H}$ . But, if  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected, the homotopy class of  $\Phi_x(g)$  does not depend on  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$ . More precisely we show

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $g, h: M \rightarrow N$  be smooth  $G$ -embeddings  $G$ -homotopic to  $f$ . If  $g$  and  $h$  are  $G$ -isotopic, and if  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected, then  $\Phi_x(g)$  and  $\Phi_x(h)$  are homotopic as cross section.*

**Proof.** Let

$$\tilde{H}^{(i)}: \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

be  $G$ -homotopies of  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism which cover  $G$ -homotopies

$$H^{(i)}: M_x^G \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N_{f(x)}^G, \quad i = 0, 1,$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(0)} = f, H_1^{(0)} = g, H_0^{(1)} = h, H_1^{(1)} = f,$
- (2)  $\tilde{H}_1^{(0)} = \tilde{d}_x g, \tilde{H}_1^{(1)} = \tilde{d}_x h,$
- (3)  $\tilde{H}_0^{(0)}$  and  $\tilde{H}_1^{(1)}$  correspond to  $\Phi_x(g)$  and  $\Phi_x(h)$ , respectively.

Let  $K: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$  be a smooth  $G$ -isotopy with  $K_0 = g$  and  $K_1 = h$ . Since  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected, there is a homotopy

$$E: M_x^G \times [0, 3] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N_{f(x)}^G$$

such that, for any  $(y, t, s) \in M_x^G \times (\{0, 3\} \times [0, 1] \cup [0, 3] \times \{1\})$ ,

$$E(y, t, s) = f(y),$$

and for any  $(y, t, 0) \in M_x^G \times [0, 3] \times \{0\}$ ,

$$E(y, t, 0) = \begin{cases} H^{(0)}(y, t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ K(y, t-1) & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \\ H^{(1)}(y, t-2) & \text{if } 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

Define

$$k: \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 3] \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$$

as, for any  $(v, t) \in \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 3]$ ,

$$k(v, t) = \begin{cases} \tilde{H}^{(0)}(v, t) & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq 1 \\ \tilde{d}_x K(v, t-1) & \text{if } 1 \leq t \leq 2 \\ \tilde{H}^{(1)}(v, t-2) & \text{if } 2 \leq t \leq 3. \end{cases}$$

Then  $k$  is a  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism, and covers  $E | M_x^G \times [0, 3] \times \{0\}$ . By Bierstone [1] we obtain a  $G$ -homotopy of  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism

$$\tilde{E}: \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 3] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$$

such that  $\tilde{E}_0 = k$  and that  $\tilde{E}$  covers  $E$ . Then

$$\tilde{E} | \nu(M_x^G) \times (\{0, 3\} \times [0, 1] \cup [0, 3] \times \{1\})$$

covers  $f_x^G$  on each level  $M_x^G$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{E} | \nu(M_x^G) \times \{0\} \times \{0\} &= \tilde{H}_0^{(0)}, \\ \tilde{E} | \nu(M_x^G) \times \{3\} \times \{0\} &= \tilde{H}_1^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that  $\Phi_x(g)$  and  $\Phi_x(h)$  are homotopic as cross section. Q.E.D.

If  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected for all  $x \in C(M^G)$ , then, by Lemma 1, we may define a transformation

$$\Phi: \text{Iso}_f^G(M, N) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in C(M^G)} \Gamma_f(M_x^G)$$

as

$$\Phi([g]) = \prod_{x \in C(M^G)} [\Phi_x(g)]$$

for any  $[g] \in \text{Iso}_f^G(M, N)$ . If  $N$  is a euclidean representation space of  $G$ , then  $N^G$  is contractible and  $\Phi$  is always defined.

Define

$$\dim N^G = \max \{ \dim N_x^G \mid x \in C(N^G) \} .$$

We obtain

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M, N$  be smooth properly semifree  $G$ -manifolds without boundary,  $M$  compact, and  $f$  a smooth  $G$ -embedding of  $M$  into  $N$ . Assume that  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected for any  $x \in C(M^G)$ . Then the transformation*

$$\Phi: \text{Iso}_f^G(M, N) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in C(M^G)} \Gamma_f(M_x^G)$$

satisfies that

(a) if

$$\dim M + \max \{ \dim M, \dim N^G \} < \dim N + \dim G ,$$

then  $\Phi$  is surjective,

(b) if

$$2 \dim M_x^G + 1 < \dim N_{f(x)}^G \quad \text{for any } x \in C(M^G) ,$$

and if

$$\dim M + \max \{ \dim M, \dim N^G \} + 1 < \dim N + \dim G ,$$

then  $\Phi$  is bijective.

The surjectivity of  $\Phi$  will be proven in the next section 3, and the injectivity of  $\Phi$  in section 4.

### 3. Surjectivity of $\Phi$

First we provide a lemma for the proof of surjectivity of  $\Phi$ .

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map. Let  $\xi \rightarrow X$  and  $\zeta \rightarrow Y$  be  $a$ - and  $b$ -dimensional  $G$ -sphere bundles over  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Here  $G$  acts trivially on both  $X$  and  $Y$ , and freely on  $\xi$ . Assume that  $X$  is a finite connected complex, and that  $A$  is a subcomplex of  $X$ . Let  $\varphi: \xi|_A \rightarrow \zeta$  be a fibre preserving  $G$ -map which covers  $\alpha|_A$ . If*

$$\dim X + a \leq b + \dim G ,$$

then  $\varphi$  is extended to a fibre preserving  $G$ -map from  $\xi$  to  $\zeta$  which covers  $\alpha$ .

*Proof.* Denote by  $\text{Map}^G(\xi_x, \zeta_{\alpha(x)})$  the set of  $G$ -maps from the fibre  $\xi_x$  of  $\xi$  over  $x \in X$  to the fibre  $\zeta_{\alpha(x)}$  of  $\zeta$  over  $\alpha(x) \in Y$ . Give the compact-open topology to the set. Define

$$\text{Map}_\alpha^G(\xi, \zeta) = \bigcup_{x \in X} \text{Map}^G(\xi_x, \zeta_{\alpha(x)}).$$

By the standard manner this becomes a fibre bundle over  $X$  with fibre  $\text{Map}^G(\xi_x, \zeta_{\alpha(x)})$ . The set of cross sections of  $\text{Map}_\alpha^G(\xi, \zeta) \rightarrow X$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of fibre preserving  $G$ -maps from  $\xi$  to  $\zeta$  which cover  $\alpha$ . Let

$$s(\varphi): A \rightarrow \text{Map}_\alpha^G(\xi, \zeta) | A$$

be the cross section corresponding to  $\varphi$ . To prove the lemma we extend  $s(\varphi)$  over  $X$ . For this it suffices to see that the fibre  $\text{Map}^G(\xi_x, \zeta_{\alpha(x)})$  is  $(\dim X - 1)$ -connected. For any  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq \dim X - 1$ , let  $D^{i+1}$  be the canonical  $(i+1)$ -dimensional disc with trivial  $G$ -action,  $S^i$  its boundary, and

$$\beta: S^i \rightarrow \text{Map}^G(\xi_x, \zeta_{\alpha(x)})$$

any map. We should like to extend  $\beta$  over  $D^{i+1}$ . By the exponential law  $\beta$  gives a  $G$ -map

$$\tilde{\beta}: S^i \times \xi_x \rightarrow \zeta_{\alpha(x)}.$$

From the hypothesis,

$$\dim D^{i+1} \times \xi_x / G \leq b$$

and  $\zeta_{\alpha(x)}$  is  $(b-1)$ -connected. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 5 in [3], we may extend  $\tilde{\beta}$  to a  $G$ -map on  $D^{i+1} \times \xi_x$ . Thus we may also extend  $\beta$  over  $D^{i+1}$ . Q.E.D.

From Lemma 3 we obtain

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\xi \rightarrow X$  and  $\zeta \rightarrow Y$  be  $a$ - and  $b$ -dimensional  $G$ -vector bundles over  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively. Here  $G$  acts trivially on both  $X$  and  $Y$ , and freely on both  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$  outside the zero sections. Assume  $X$  is a finite complex. Let*

$$\varphi, \psi: \xi \rightarrow \zeta$$

*be  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphisms which cover a map  $\alpha: X \rightarrow Y$ . If*

$$\dim X + a < b + \dim G,$$

*then there exists a fibre preserving  $G$ -homotopy*

$$H: \xi \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \zeta$$

*such that*

- (1)  $H_0 = \varphi, H_1 = \psi,$
- (2)  $H_t$  covers  $\alpha$  for any  $t \in [0, 1], (H_t$  is not necessarily linear on fibres of  $\xi.)$

(3)  $H((\xi - X) \times [0, 1]) \subset \zeta - Y$ , where  $X$  and  $Y$  are regarded as the zero sections of  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$ , respectively.

Proof. Let  $S(\xi)$  and  $S(\zeta)$  be associated  $G$ -sphere bundles of  $\xi$  and  $\zeta$ , respectively. Since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are monic on each fibre of  $\xi$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(S(\xi)) &\subset \zeta - Y, \quad \text{and} \\ \psi(S(\xi)) &\subset \zeta - Y. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $r: \zeta - Y \rightarrow S(\zeta)$  be the radial retraction. Apply Lemma 3 to

$$r \circ \varphi \cup r \circ \psi: S(\xi) \times \{0, 1\} \rightarrow S(\zeta). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

We now begin the proof of surjectivity of  $\Phi$  under the assumption (a) of Theorem 2. Let

$$\alpha = \coprod_{x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G)} [s_x] \in \coprod_{x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G)} \Gamma_f(M_x^G)$$

be any element. We will construct a smooth  $G$ -embedding  $g$  of  $M$  into  $N$  with  $\Phi([g]) = \alpha$ . Let

$$t_x: \nu(M_x^G) \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$$

be a  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism covering  $f_x^G$  which corresponds to  $s_x$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $t_x$  is smooth. From the assumption (a) and Corollary 4 we obtain a fibre preserving  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(1)}: \nu(M_x^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(1)} = \tilde{d}_x f, H_1^{(1)} = t_x,$
- (2)  $H_t^{(1)}$  covers  $f_x^G$  for any  $t \in [0, 1],$
- (3)  $H^{(1)}((\nu(M_x^G) - M_x^G) \times [0, 1]) \subset \nu(N_{f(x)}^G) - N_{f(x)}^G.$

Define

$$t = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G)} t_x: \nu(M^G) \rightarrow \nu(N^G).$$

Making use of exponential maps as in the proof of Lemma 6 of [3], from  $t$  we obtain a  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(2)}: T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(2)} = f | T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G),$
- (2)  $H_1^{(2)}$  is a smooth  $G$ -embedding with  $\tilde{d} H_1^{(2)} = t,$
- (3)  $H^{(2)}((T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G) - M^G) \times [0, 1]) \subset N - N^G,$  where  $T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G)$  is a  $G$ -equivariant closed tubular neighborhood of  $M^G$  in  $M$  with radius  $3\varepsilon > 0.$  Using  $H^{(2)}$



and  $f$ , we may construct a smooth  $G$ -map

$$g^{(1)}: M \rightarrow N$$

such that

- (1)  $g^{(1)}$  and  $f$  are  $G$ -homotopic,
- (2) for some  $\delta, \gamma > 0$  with  $\gamma < 3\varepsilon$

$$(g^{(1)})^{-1}(T_\delta(N^G)) \subset \text{Int } T_\gamma(M^G),$$

- (3)  $g^{(1)} = H_1^{(2)}$  on  $T_\gamma(M^G)$ , hence  $g^{(1)} = f$  on  $M^G$ .

In fact,  $g^{(1)}$  can be constructed as follows. First define a  $G$ -map

$$h: M \rightarrow N$$

as the followings:

$$h(x) = H_1^{(2)}(x) \quad \text{for } x \in T_\varepsilon(M^G),$$

$$h(x) = H^{(2)}\left(\frac{\varepsilon x}{\|x\|}, 2 - \frac{\|x\|}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \text{for } x \in T_{2\varepsilon}(M^G) - \text{Int } T_\varepsilon(M^G), \text{ where } \|x\|$$

denotes the length of  $x$  in  $T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G)$ ,

$$h(x) = f\left(\left(2 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{\|x\|}\right)x\right) \quad \text{for } x \in T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G) - \text{Int } T_{2\varepsilon}(M^G), \text{ and}$$

$$h(x) = f(x) \quad \text{for } x \in M - \text{Int } T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G).$$

Next, smooth  $h$  to obtain the desired  $g^{(1)}$ .

Define

$$K = M - \text{Int } (g^{(1)})^{-1}(T_\delta(N^G)), \quad \text{and} \\ L = N - \text{Int } T_\delta(N^G).$$

These are smooth free  $G$ -manifolds with boundary. Since  $g^{(1)}(K) \subset L$ , we obtain a smooth  $G$ -map

$$g^{(1)}|K: K \rightarrow L.$$

Passing to orbit spaces, we also obtain a smooth map

$$g^{(2)} = (g^{(1)}|K)/G: K/G \rightarrow L/G,$$

which is an embedding on a neighborhood of  $\partial K/G$  in  $K/G$ . From the assumption (a),

$$2 \dim K/G < \dim L/G.$$

Thus  $g^{(2)}$  is homotoped to a smooth embedding, precisely there is a smooth homotopy

$$H^{(3)}: K/G \times [0, 1] \rightarrow L/G$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(3)} = g^{(2)}$ ,
- (2)  $H_1^{(3)}$  is a smooth embedding, and
- (3)  $H^{(3)}$  is a constant homotopy on a neighborhood of  $\partial K/G$ .

Since the natural projections  $K \rightarrow K/G$  and  $L \rightarrow L/G$  are smooth  $G$ -fibre bundles, then by Bierstone [1] we obtain a smooth  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(4)}: K \times [0, 1] \rightarrow L$$

such that  $H_0^{(4)} = g^{(1)}|_K$ , and that  $H_1^{(4)}$  is a smooth  $G$ -embedding. Moreover, we can choose  $H^{(4)}$  so that it is a constant homotopy on a neighborhood of  $\partial K$  in  $K$ , hence that  $H_1^{(4)} = g^{(1)}$  on the neighborhood. Then, from  $g^{(1)}$  and  $H_1^{(4)}$ , we obtain a smooth  $G$ -embedding

$$g^{(3)}: M \rightarrow N$$

such that

- (1)  $g^{(3)}$  is  $G$ -homotopic to  $f$ , and
- (2)  $g^{(3)} = g^{(1)} = H_1^{(2)}$  on a neighborhood of  $M^G$  in  $M$ .

Thus

$$\tilde{d}g^{(3)} = \tilde{d}H_1^{(2)} = t: \nu(M^G) \rightarrow \nu(N^G),$$

and

$$\Phi([g^{(3)}]) = \prod_{x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G)} [s_x].$$

This completes the proof for the surjectivity of  $\Phi$  under the assumption (a) of Theorem 2.

#### 4. Injectivity of $\Phi$

In this section we will show the injectivity of  $\Phi$  under the assumption (b) of Theorem 2. Let

$$\Phi([g]) = \Phi([h]) \quad \text{in} \quad \prod_{x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G)} \Gamma_f(M_x^G)$$

for  $[g], [h] \in \text{Iso}_f^G(M, N)$ . We will construct a smooth  $G$ -isotopy between  $g$  and  $h$ .

First, since  $g$  and  $h$  are  $G$ -homotopic, there is a  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(1)}: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

with  $H_0^{(1)} = g$  and  $H_1^{(1)} = h$ . By the assumption

$$2 \dim M_x^G + 1 < \dim N_{f(x)}^G \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathcal{C}(M^G),$$

we see

$$f^G, g^G, h^G: M^G \rightarrow N^G$$

are isotopic each other. From this and  $\Phi([g])=\Phi([h])$  we obtain a smooth  $G$ -homotopy of  $G$ -vector bundle monomorphism

$$H^{(2)}: \nu(M^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \nu(N^G)$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(2)} = \tilde{d}g, H_1^{(2)} = \tilde{d}h$ , and
- (2)  $H^{(2)}$  covers a smooth isotopy:  $M^G \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N^G$ .

Making use of exponential maps as in the proof of Lemma 6 of [3], from  $H^{(2)}$  we obtain, for an appropriate  $\varepsilon > 0$ , a smooth  $G$ -isotopy

$$H^{(3)}: T_{4\varepsilon}(M^G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

with  $H_0^{(3)} = g|_{T_{4\varepsilon}(M^G)}$  and with  $H_1^{(3)} = h|_{T_{4\varepsilon}(M^G)}$ . Since  $N_{f(x)}^G$  is  $(\dim M_x^G + 1)$ -connected for any  $x \in C(M^G)$ , we may obtain a homotopy

$$H^{(4)}: (M^G \times [0, 1]) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N^G$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(4)} = H^{(3)}|_{M^G \times [0, 1]}$ ,
- (2)  $H_1^{(4)} = H^{(1)}|_{M^G \times [0, 1]}$ ,
- (3)  $H_t^{(4)}|_{M^G \times \{0\}} = g^G$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and
- (4)  $H_t^{(4)}|_{M^G \times \{1\}} = h^G$  for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Define a  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(5)}: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

as follows: for any  $(x, t) \in M \times [0, 1]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(5)}(x, t) &= H^{(3)}(x, t) && \text{if } x \in T_\varepsilon(M^G), \\ H^{(5)}(x, t) &= H^{(3)}\left(\left(\frac{2\varepsilon}{\|x\|} - 1\right)x, t\right) && \text{if } x \in T_{2\varepsilon}(M^G) - \text{Int } T_\varepsilon(M^G), \\ H^{(5)}(x, t) &= H^{(4)}\left(\pi(x), t, \frac{\|x\|}{\varepsilon} - 2\right) && \text{if } x \in T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G) - \text{Int } T_{2\varepsilon}(M^G), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\pi: T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G) \rightarrow M^G$  is the canonical projection,

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(5)}(x, t) &= H^{(1)}\left(4\left(1 - \frac{3\varepsilon}{\|x\|}\right)x, t\right) && \text{if } x \in T_{4\varepsilon}(M^G) - \text{Int } T_{3\varepsilon}(M^G), \\ H^{(5)}(x, t) &= H^{(1)}(x, t) && \text{if } x \in M - \text{Int } T_{4\varepsilon}(M^G). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $H^{(5)}$  and  $g$  are  $G$ -homotopic, and its homotopy can be so chosen as to be constant on  $T_\varepsilon(M^G)$ . Similarly for  $H_1^{(5)}$  and  $h$ . From these homotopies we obtain a  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(6)}: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

such that  $H_0^{(6)}=g$ ,  $H_1^{(6)}=h$ , and that  $H^{(6)}$  is a smooth  $G$ -isotopy on  $T_g(M^G)$ .

Define

$$L = (M - \text{Int } T_g(M^G)) \times [0, 1].$$

Note the  $G$ -action on  $L$  is free. Let  $G$  act diagonally on  $L \times N$ . Passing a  $G$ -map

$$id \times H^{(6)}: L \rightarrow L \times N$$

to orbit spaces, we obtain a map

$$\alpha^{(1)} = id \times H^{(6)}/G: L/G \rightarrow (L \times N)/G.$$

Consider a submanifold

$$(L \times N^G)/G = L/G \times N^G$$

of  $(L \times N)/G$ . Then

$$\alpha^{(1)}(\partial L/G) \cap L/G \times N^G = \emptyset.$$

From the assumption (b),

$$\dim L/G < \dim (L \times N)/G - \dim L/G \times N^G.$$

Thus  $\alpha^{(1)}$  can be so homotoped that its image does not intersect  $L/G \times N^G$ , i.e., there is a map

$$\alpha^{(2)}: L/G \rightarrow (L \times N)/G$$

which is homotopic to  $\alpha^{(1)}$  relative to  $\partial L/G$ , and whose image does not intersect  $L/G \times N^G$ . From this we obtain a  $G$ -map

$$\alpha^{(3)}: L \rightarrow N$$

which is  $G$ -homotopic to  $H^{(6)}|L$  relative to  $\partial L$ , and whose image does not intersect  $N^G$ . Define

$$H^{(7)}: M \times [0, 1] \rightarrow N$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(7)} &= H^{(6)} && \text{on } T_g(M^G) \times [0, 1], \text{ and} \\ H^{(7)} &= \alpha^{(3)} && \text{on } L. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $H^{(7)}$  is a  $G$ -homotopy between  $g$  and  $h$ , and a smooth  $G$ -isotopy particularly on  $T_g(M^G)$ . We see

$$M^G \times [0, 1] = (H^{(7)})^{-1}(N^G).$$

At this point it only remains to deform  $H^{(7)}$  outside a neighborhood of  $M^G$  to a smooth  $G$ -isotopy. It can be done similarly to the proof in [3]. So we will merely give an outline. Since  $M \times [0, 1]$  is compact, for small  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$\text{Int } T_{\varepsilon/2}(M^G) \times [0, 1] \supset (H^{(7)})^{-1}(T_\delta(N^G)).$$

Let  $\eta$  be a level preserving  $G$ -diffeomorphism of  $M \times [0, 1]$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \eta(T_\varepsilon(M^G) \times [0, 1]) &= T_\varepsilon(M^G) \times [0, 1], \text{ and} \\ \eta(T_{\varepsilon/2}(M^G) \times [0, 1]) &= (H^{(7)})^{-1}(T_\delta(N^G)). \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\begin{aligned} P &= M - \text{Int } T_{\varepsilon/2}(M^G), \text{ and} \\ Q &= N - \text{Int } T_\delta(N^G). \end{aligned}$$

Consider a  $G$ -homotopy

$$H^{(7) \circ \eta}: P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q,$$

which is a smooth  $G$ -isotopy on a neighborhood of  $\partial P$ . From the assumption (b),

$$2 \dim P + 1 < \dim Q + \dim G.$$

Then  $H^{(7) \circ \eta}$  may be deformed to a smooth  $G$ -isotopy

$$H^{(8)}: P \times [0, 1] \rightarrow Q$$

such that

- (1)  $H_0^{(8)} = g \circ \eta_0|_P$ ,
- (2)  $H_1^{(8)} = h \circ \eta_1|_P$ ,
- (3)  $H^{(8)} = H^{(7) \circ \eta}$  on (n.b.d of  $\partial P$ )  $\times [0, 1]$ .

From  $H^{(7)}$  and  $H^{(8)}$  we obtain a smooth  $G$ -isotopy between  $g$  and  $h$ . This completes the proof for the injectivity of  $\Phi$  under the assumption (b) of Theorem 2.

### 5. Analysis of $\Gamma_r(M_x^G)$

In this section we will analyze  $\Gamma_r(M_x^G)$ .

Let  $\{V_j | j \in J(G)\}$  be a complete set of fixed point free (outside the origin), nonisomorphic, irreducible, real representations of  $G$ . For any  $j \in J(G)$  denote by  $F_j$  the set of  $G$ -endomorphisms of  $V_j$ ,  $\text{Hom}^G(V_j, V_j)$ , which is the field of real numbers  $\mathbf{R}$ , complex numbers  $\mathbf{C}$ , or quaternions  $\mathbf{Q}$ .  $V_j$  is the real restriction of a complex representation if  $F_j = \mathbf{C}$ , and of a quaternionic representation if  $F_j = \mathbf{Q}$ .

For any  $y \in M_x^G$ ,  $\nu_y(M_x^G)$  and  $\nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G)$  are fixed point free (outside the origin) representations of  $G$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \nu_y(M_x^G) &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J(G)} m_{x,j} V_j, \quad \text{and} \\ \nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G) &\cong \bigoplus_{j \in J(G)} n_{f(x),j} V_j \end{aligned}$$

be the decompositions into irreducible representations, where all  $m_{x,j}$  and all  $n_{f(x),j}$  are nonnegative integers independent of  $y \in M_x^G$ , and where  $mV_j$  denotes the direct sum of  $m$  copies of  $V_j$ . Since  $d_x f$  embeds  $\nu_y(M_x^G)$  into  $\nu_{f(y)}(N_{f(x)}^G)$ , we see

$$m_{x,j} \leq n_{f(x),j}$$

for any  $j \in J(G)$ . As seen in § 1 of [3],  $\text{Mon}^G(m_{x,j}V_j, n_{f(x),j}V_j)$  is identified with  $V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$ , where  $V(m, n; \mathbf{F}_j)$  is the Stiefel manifold of  $m$ -frames (not necessarily orthonormal) in the  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $n\mathbf{F}_j$  over  $\mathbf{F}_j$ .

We may split the normal bundle  $\nu(M_x^G)$  into Whitney sum

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J(G)} \nu(M_x^G)_j.$$

Here each  $\nu(M_x^G)_j$  is a  $G$ -vector bundle over  $M_x^G$  whose fibre is  $m_{x,j}V_j$ , and as whose structure group we may take  $\Lambda(m_{x,j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$ , where  $\Lambda(m; \mathbf{F}_j)$  denotes the orthogonal group  $O(m)$  if  $\mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{R}$ , the unitary group  $U(m)$  if  $\mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{C}$ , and the symplectic group  $Sp(m)$  if  $\mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{Q}$ . Similarly for the normal bundle  $\nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$ . Thus we may split the fibre bundle

$$\text{Mon}_f^G(\nu(M_x^G), \nu(N_{f(x)}^G))$$

into Whitney sum

$$\bigoplus_{j \in J(G)} B_j.$$

Here each  $B_j$  is a fibre bundle over  $M_x^G$  whose fibre is  $V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$ , and whose structure group is  $\Lambda(m_{x,j}; \mathbf{F}_j) \times \Lambda(n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$ .

We easily obtain

**Theorem 5.** *If both  $\nu(M_x^G)$  and  $\nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$  are product bundles, then there is a bijective correspondence*

$$\Gamma_f(M_x^G) \approx \prod_{j \in J(G)} [M_x^G, V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)],$$

where  $[ , ]$  denotes the homotopy set.

The Stiefel manifolds are  $q$ -simple for any  $q \geq 0$ . According to [4; 30.2], denote by  $B_j(\pi_q)$  the bundle of  $q$ -th homotopy groups associated with  $B_j$ . Define

$$d_j = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{F}_j, \quad \text{and}$$

$$q_j = d_j(n_{f(x),j} - m_{x,j} + 1) - 1,$$

then  $V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$  is  $(q_j - 1)$ -connected and its  $q_j$ -th homotopy group is nonzero. So from (37.2) and (37.5) of [4] we obtain

**Theorem 6.** (a) *If*

$$\dim M_x^G \leq q_j + 1$$

for any  $j$  with  $m_{x,j} \neq 0$ , then there is a surjective correspondence

$$\Gamma_f(M_x^G) \rightarrow \prod_{j \in J(\mathcal{G})} H^{q_j}(M_x^G; B_j(\pi_{q_j})).$$

(b) *If*

$$\dim M_x^G \leq q_j$$

for any  $j$  with  $m_{x,j} \neq 0$ , then there is a bijective correspondence

$$\Gamma_f(M_x^G) \approx \prod_{j \in J(\mathcal{G})} H^{q_j}(M_x^G; B_j(\pi_{q_j})).$$

For many cases  $B_j(\pi_q)$  becomes a product bundle. In fact we will see this for the cases (i)~(iv) in the next Proposition. So for these cases we may replace  $H^{q_j}(M_x^G; B_j(\pi_{q_j}))$ , in Theorem 6, by the ordinary cohomology groups  $H^{q_j}(M_x^G; \pi_{q_j}(V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)))$ .

**Proposition 7.**  $B_j(\pi_q)$  is a product bundle for each case of the followings

(i)~(iv):

- (i)  $G$  is not of order 2 (including infinite groups),
- (ii) both  $\nu(M_x^G)$  and  $\nu(N_{f(x)}^G)$  are orientable,
- (iii)  $G$  is of order 2,  $m_{x,j} \geq 2$ , and  $q = n_{f(x),j} - m_{x,j}$  is odd,
- (iv)  $M_x^G$  is simply connected.

**Proof.**

$$G_j = \Lambda(m_{x,j}; \mathbf{F}_j) \times \Lambda(n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$$

is the structure group of  $B_j$ . The action of  $G_j$  on the fibre  $V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)$  induces automorphisms of  $\pi_q = \pi_q(V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j))$ . Let  $H_j$  be the subgroup which acts as the identity in  $\pi_q$ . Then  $G_j/H_j$  is the structure group of  $B_j(\pi_q)$ .

(i) From the table in [5; p. 208], we see that  $\mathbf{F}_j = \mathbf{C}$  or  $\mathbf{Q}$  if  $G$  is not of order 2. Thus  $G_j$  is connected, and  $G_j = H_j$ . So the structure group of  $B_j(\pi_q)$  is trivial, and the bundle is a product bundle.

(ii) The structure group of  $B_j(\pi_q)$  may be reduced to a connected group. Thus, as seen above,  $B_j(\pi_q)$  is a product bundle.

(iii) For this case we see

$$\pi_q(V(m_{x,j}, n_{f(x),j}; \mathbf{F}_j)) = \mathbf{Z}_2,$$

and the identity is the only automorphism of  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ . Thus  $B_j(\pi_q)$  is a product bundle.

(iv) Clear since the fibre of  $B_j(\pi_q)$  is discrete. Q.E.D.

---

#### References

- [1] E. Bierstone: *The equivariant covering homotopy property for differentiable G-fibre bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **8** (1973), 615–622.
- [2] G.E. Bredon: *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York and London, 1972.
- [3] K. Komiya: *Equivariant embeddings and isotopies of a sphere in a representation*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **34** (1982), 425–444.
- [4] N. Steenrod: *The topology of fibre bundles*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1951.
- [5] J.A. Wolf: *Spaces of constant curvature* (4-th edition), Publish or Perish Inc., Berkeley, 1977.

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Yamaguchi University  
Yoshida, Yamaguchi 753  
Japan



