

Title	Nice functions on symmetric spaces
Author(s)	Takeuchi, Masaru
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1969, 6(2), p. 283-289
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/6564">https://doi.org/10.18910/6564</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## NICE FUNCTIONS ON SYMMETRIC SPACES

Dedicated to Professor Atuo Komatu for his 60th birthday

MASARU TAKEUCHI

(Received February 3, 1969)

### Introduction

A smooth function  $f$  on a compact smooth manifold  $M$  is called a *Morse function* on  $M$  if the critical points of  $f$  are all non-degenerate. A Morse function  $f$  on  $M$  is called a *nice function* on  $M$  if

$$\text{Index of } f \text{ at } p = f(p) \text{ for any critical point } p \text{ of } f.$$

The existence of a nice function was proved by S. Smale and successfully used by him in solving the Poincaré conjecture (Smale [4]). For any Morse function  $f$  on  $M$ , the Morse inequality:

$$\text{Number of critical points of } f \geq \dim H_*(M, \mathbf{K})$$

holds for any coefficient field  $\mathbf{K}$ . A Morse function  $f$  is called *economical* for  $\mathbf{K}$  if the equality holds in the above Morse inequality for  $\mathbf{K}$ .

The purpose of the present note is to show that for a symmetric  $R$ -space  $M$  (For the definition of an  $R$ -space, see Section 1.) we have a nice function on  $M$ , which is also economical for  $\mathbf{Z}_2$ , by choosing a suitable spherical function on  $M$ .

Recently A. Hattori constructed as follows a nice function on the Grassmann manifold of  $m$ -subspaces of  $(m+n)$ -space  $\mathbf{F}^{m+n}$  over  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$  or the algebra  $\mathbf{H}$  of real quaternions: Let

$$x_j = \begin{pmatrix} x_{1j} \\ x_{2j} \\ \vdots \\ x_{m+nj} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbf{F}^{m+n} \quad (1 \leq j \leq m)$$

be an orthonormal basis of an  $m$ -subspace  $x$  of  $\mathbf{F}^{m+n}$  with respect to the standard metric  $\sum \alpha_i \bar{\alpha}_i$  of  $\mathbf{F}^{m+n}$ , where  $\alpha \mapsto \bar{\alpha}$  is the canonical involution of  $\mathbf{F}$ . We put

$$l_i = \sum_{j=1}^m x_{ij} \bar{x}_{ij} \quad (1 \leq i \leq m+n).$$

Then Hattori's nice function  $f$  is given by

$$f(x) = d \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{m+n} i l_i - \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \right\}, \quad d = \dim_{\mathbf{R}} \mathbf{F}.$$

The class of symmetric  $R$ -spaces includes the Grassmann manifolds and we can confirm that our spherical functions for them are nothing but Hattori's nice functions.

In addition we shall show that for an  $R$ -space  $M$  we have another economical Morse function on  $M$  for  $Z_2$  by choosing a suitable length function on  $M$  defined by means of an imbedding of  $M$  into a Euclidean space, which generalizes length functions on classical groups constructed by S. Ramanujam [3] and is essentially the same as our spherical function.

### 1. Spherical functions on $R$ -spaces

We recall here the notion of  $R$ -spaces and some properties of them. Let  $G$  be a connected semi-simple Lie group with finite center and  $\mathfrak{g}$  the Lie algebra of  $G$ . An element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called *real semi-simple* if  $adZ$  is a semi-simple endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  whose eigenvalues are all real. For a real semi-simple element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , the sum  $\mathfrak{n}^+(Z)$  of positive eigenspaces of  $adZ$  is a nilpotent subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . A subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  is called *parabolic* if there exists a real semi-simple element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $U$  is the normalizer in  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{n}^+(Z)$ . The quotient space  $M=G/U$  of a connected semi-simple Lie group  $G$  with finite center modulo a parabolic subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  is called an  $R$ -space.

Let  $M=G/U$  be an  $R$ -space and  $Z$  a real semi-simple element of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $G$  such that  $U$  is the normalizer in  $G$  of  $\mathfrak{n}^+(Z)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{k}$  be a maximal compact subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , which is perpendicular to  $Z$  with respect to the Killing form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}$  be the Cartan decomposition of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then the maximal compact subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  generated by  $\mathfrak{k}$  is transitive on  $M=G/U$  (Takeuchi [5]). It follows that if we put  $K^*=K \cap U$ , we have  $M=K/K^*$ . Moreover we have (Takeuchi [5])

$$(*) \quad K^* = \{x \in K ; AdxZ = Z\}.$$

The smooth function  $f_x$  on  $M=K/K^*$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$  defined by

$$f_x(xo) = (AdxZ, X) \quad \text{for } x \in K$$

where  $o$  is the origin of  $M$ , is a spherical function on  $M=K/K^*$  associated with the representation  $(Ad, \mathfrak{p})$  of  $K$ .

Now we take a maximal abelian subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  containing  $Z$  and extend it to a Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\sigma$  the complex conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  with respect to the real form  $\mathfrak{g}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . The real part  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  of the complexification  $\mathfrak{h}_c$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  is equal to  $\sqrt{-1} \mathfrak{h}^+ + \mathfrak{h}^-$ , where  $\mathfrak{h}^+ = \mathfrak{h} \cap \mathfrak{k}$ . The root system  $\tilde{\tau}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}_c$  is identified with a subset

of  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  by means of the duality defined by the Killing form  $(\ , \ )$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$ . We introduce a linear order  $>$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  in such a way that for any  $\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha > 0 &\Rightarrow \sigma\alpha > 0, \\ \alpha > 0 &\Rightarrow (\alpha, Z) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The Weyl group  $\tilde{W}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_C$  is a subgroup of the orthogonal group on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  with respect to the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$ . For an element  $s$  of  $\tilde{W}$  we put

$$\Phi_s = \{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}; \alpha > 0, s^{-1}\alpha < 0\}.$$

We denote the cardinality  $\#\Phi_s^{-1}$  of  $\Phi_s^{-1}$  by  $n(s)$  and call it the *index* of  $s$ . We put  $\tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_1 = \{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}; (\alpha, Z) = 0\}$  and define

$$W^1 = \{s \in \tilde{W}; s\sigma = \sigma s, \Phi_s \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_1 = \emptyset\}.$$

Then for any element  $s$  of  $W^1$  we can find an element  $a(s)$  of the normalizer in  $K$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  such that  $Ad a(s) = s$  on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ . The element  $a(s)^{-1}o$  of  $M$  does not depend on the choice of  $a(s)$  so that we shall denote the element  $a(s)^{-1}o$  by  $s^{-1}o$ .

Now we take an element  $H$  of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  such that  $(\alpha, H) \neq 0$  for any root  $\alpha$  with  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$ . Then (Takeuchi-Kobayashi [6], Takeuchi [5])  $s \mapsto s^{-1}o$  gives a bijective correspondence of  $W^1$  to the set of critical points of  $f_H$ . Moreover (Takeuchi [5])  $s^{-1}o$  is the ‘‘origin’’ of the  $n(s)$ -dimensional cell  $V_s$  of the standard cellular decomposition  $M = \bigcup_{s \in W^1} V_s$  of  $M$ , which is economical for  $Z_2$  in the sense that  $\{V_s; s \in W^1\}$  gives a basis of  $H_*(M, Z_2)$ .

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $H_0$  be an element of the negative Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ , that is,*

$$(\alpha, H_0) < 0 \quad \text{for any positive root } \alpha \text{ with } \sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha.$$

*Then the spherical function  $f_{H_0}$  on  $M$  is a Morse function and for any element  $s$  of  $W^1$  we have*

$$\text{Index of } f_{H_0} \text{ at } s^{-1}o = \text{Index } n(s) \text{ of } s.$$

**Corollary.**  *$f_{H_0}$  is an economical Morse function on  $M$  for  $Z_2$ .*

**Proof.** For  $X \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $s \in W^1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Xf_{H_0})(s^{-1}o) &= \frac{d}{dt}(Ad(\exp tXa(s)^{-1})Z, H_0)|_{t=0} \\ &= \left(\frac{d}{dt}(Ad \exp tX)(s^{-1}Z)|_{t=0}, H_0\right) = ([X, s^{-1}Z], H_0) \\ &= -(s^{-1}Z, [X, H_0]). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the Hessian  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $f_{H_0}$  at  $s^{-1}o$  is given by

$$\mathcal{H}(Xs^{-1}o, Ys^{-1}o) = (s^{-1}Z, [X, [Y, H_0]]) \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{k}.$$

Now we want to find a basis of the tangent space  $M_{s^{-1}o}$  of  $M$  at  $s^{-1}o$ , convenient for the computation of the quantity  $(s^{-1}Z, [X, [Y, H_0]])$ .

Let  $\tau$  be the anti-linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$  such that  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{k}}=1$  and  $\tau|_{\mathfrak{p}}=-1$ . Then there exist root vectors  $\{X_\alpha\}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{h}_C$  with  $[X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}] = -(2/(\alpha, \alpha))\alpha$  and  $\tau X_\alpha = X_{-\alpha}$ . For a positive root  $\alpha$  with  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$  we define  $S_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$  and  $T_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$  as follows. If  $\sigma\alpha = \alpha$ ,  $S_\alpha = (1+\tau)X$ ,  $T_\alpha = (1-\tau)X$ . If  $\sigma\alpha < \alpha$  and  $\alpha + \sigma\alpha$  is not a root,  $S_\alpha = (1+\tau)(1+\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $S_{\sigma\alpha} = (1+\tau)\sqrt{-1}(1-\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $T_\alpha = (1-\tau)(1+\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $T_{\sigma\alpha} = (1-\tau)\sqrt{-1}(1-\sigma)X_\alpha$ . If  $\sigma\alpha < \alpha$  and  $\alpha + \sigma\alpha$  is a root,  $S_\alpha = \sqrt{2}(1+\tau)(1+\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $S_{\sigma\alpha} = \sqrt{2}(1+\tau)\sqrt{-1}(1-\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $T_\alpha = \sqrt{2}(1-\tau)(1+\sigma)X_\alpha$ ,  $T_{\sigma\alpha} = \sqrt{2}(1-\tau)\sqrt{-1}(1-\sigma)X_\alpha$ . Let  $\bar{\lambda}$  denote the orthogonal projection to  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  of an element  $\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_0$ . Then we have (Takeuchi [5])

- 1)  $[H, S_\alpha] = (\alpha, H)T_\alpha$ ,  $[H, T_\alpha] = (\alpha, H)S_\alpha$  for  $H \in \mathfrak{h}^-$ ,
- 2)  $[S_\alpha, T_\alpha] = 4/(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})\bar{\alpha}$ ,
- 3)  $\alpha \neq \beta \Rightarrow ([S_\alpha, T_\beta], \mathfrak{h}) = \{0\}$ .

On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{k}$  is spanned over  $\mathbf{R}$  by the centralizer  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  in  $\mathfrak{k}$  of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  and  $\{S_\alpha\}$ . But  $\mathfrak{k}_0 s^{-1}o = Ad a(s)^{-1}\mathfrak{k}_0 o = \{o\}$  since  $Ad a(s)^{-1}\mathfrak{k}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0$  because of  $s\mathfrak{h}^- = \mathfrak{h}^-$  and since  $\mathfrak{k}_0$  is contained in the Lie algebra of  $K^*$ . It follows that the tangent space  $M_{s^{-1}o}$  of  $M$  at  $s^{-1}o$  is spanned over  $\mathbf{R}$  by  $\{S_\alpha s^{-1}o\}$ . We have from 1), 2), and 3)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(S_\alpha s^{-1}o, S_\beta s^{-1}o) &= (s^{-1}Z, [S_\alpha, [S_\beta, H_0]]) \\ &= -(\beta, H_0)(s^{-1}Z, [S_\alpha, T_\beta]) \\ &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta \\ \frac{-4(\alpha, H_0)}{(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha})}(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) & \text{if } \alpha = \beta. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We note here that  $-4(\alpha, H_0)/(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\alpha}) > 0$ . Now we need the following lemma giving the signature of  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *For a positive root  $\alpha$  we have*

- 1)  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$  and  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow \alpha \in \Phi_{s^{-1}}$
- 2)  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$  and  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) > 0$   
 $\Leftrightarrow (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) > 0$

Proof of Lemma 1. Assume that  $\sigma\alpha = -\alpha$ . Then  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) = (Z, s\alpha) = (\sigma Z, \sigma s\alpha) = (Z, s\sigma\alpha) = -(Z, s\alpha) = -(s^{-1}Z, \alpha)$  so that  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) = 0$ . Therefore it suffices to show that

$$(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0 \Leftrightarrow s\alpha < 0.$$

If  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0$ , then  $(Z, s\alpha) < 0$ . It follows from the choice of our linear order on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  that  $s\alpha < 0$ . Conversely if  $s\alpha < 0$ , then  $-s\alpha > 0$  and  $s^{-1}(-s\alpha) = -\alpha < 0$  so that  $-s\alpha \in \Phi_{s^{-1}}$ . But since  $\Phi_s \cap \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}_1 = \phi$  because  $s$  is an element of  $W^1$ , we have  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) = (s\alpha, Z) \neq 0$ . On the other hand we have  $(s\alpha, Z) \leq 0$  from the choice of the order again. Thus we have  $(s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0$ .

From the above lemma we see that the negative space  $M^{-}_{s^{-1}o}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is spanned by  $\{S_\alpha s^{-1}o; \alpha \in \Phi_{s^{-1}}\}$  and the positive space  $M^{+}_{s^{-1}o}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is spanned by  $\{S_\alpha s^{-1}o; \alpha > 0, (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) > 0\}$ . But  $\dim M = \#\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}; (\alpha, Z) < 0\} = \#\{\alpha \in \tilde{\mathfrak{r}}; (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0\}$  since the Lie algebra of  $U$  is the sum of non-negative eigenspaces of  $adZ$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (Takeuchi [5]). It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\dim M = \#\{\alpha > 0; (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0\} + \#\{\alpha < 0; (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) < 0\} = \#\Phi_{s^{-1}} + \#\{\alpha > 0; (s^{-1}Z, \alpha) > 0\}$ . Therefore the Hessian  $\mathcal{H}$  is non-degenerate and the index of  $f_{H_0}$  at  $s^{-1}o = \dim M^{-}_{s^{-1}o} = \#\Phi_{s^{-1}} =$  the index  $n(s)$  of  $s$ . q.e.d.

REMARK. If  $X$  is a *regular* element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , that is, there exists an element  $k$  of  $K$  such that  $H_0 = AdkX$  is an element of the negative Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ . then  $f_X$  is always an economical Morse function on  $M$  for  $Z_2$ , since then  $f_X(xo) = f_{H_0}(kxo)$  for  $x \in K$ . If  $M$  is the Grassmann manifold over  $\mathbf{C}$  or  $\mathbf{H}$ , the dimensional consideration of cells yields that  $f_X$  for regular  $X$  is an economical Morse function on  $M$  for any coefficient field.

## 2. Nice functions on symmetric $R$ -spaces

Throughout this section we assume that the eigenvalues of  $adZ$  are 0, 1 and  $-1$ . Then the inner automorphism  $\exp ad \pi \sqrt{-1}Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathbf{C}}$  is involutive, leaves  $\mathfrak{k}$  invariant and is extended to the automorphism  $\theta$  of  $K$ . Let  $K_\theta = \{k \in K; \theta k = k\}$ . Then  $K^*$  lies between  $K_\theta$  and the connected component of  $K_\theta$ . It follows that  $M = K/K^*$  is symmetric. Conversely, if  $M = G/U$  is an  $R$ -space such that  $M = K/K^*$  is symmetric, then  $U$  is determined by an element  $Z$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that eigenvalues of  $adZ$  are 0, 1 and  $-1$  (Nagano [2]).

**Lemma 2.** (Takeuchi [5]) *Let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$  be the fundamental root system with respect to the linear order on  $\mathfrak{h}_0$  we have chosen in Section 1. Then for any element  $s$  of  $W^1$  there exist fundamental roots  $\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, \alpha_{i_{n(s)}}$  such that*

$$Z - s^{-1}Z = \sum_{k=1}^{n(s)} p_{i_k} \alpha_{i_k}, \quad p_{i_k} = \frac{2(Z, s\alpha_{i_k})}{(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_k})} = \frac{2}{(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_k})}.$$

Let  $\delta = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} \alpha$  and  $\delta_0 = \bar{\delta}$ . It is known that  $2(\delta, \alpha_i) / (\alpha_i, \alpha_i) = 1$  for any  $i$ , thus we have  $(\delta, \alpha) > 0$  for any positive root  $\alpha$ . It follows that for any positive root  $\alpha$  with  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$  we have  $(-\delta_0, \alpha) = -\left(\frac{1}{2}(\delta + \sigma\delta), \alpha\right) = -\frac{1}{2}((\delta, \alpha))$

$+(\delta, \sigma\alpha) < 0$  since  $\sigma\alpha > 0$ . Therefore  $-\delta_0$  is an element of the negative Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $M=G/U=K/K^*$  be a symmetric  $R$ -space. Then*

$$f = f_{-\delta_0} + \frac{1}{2} \dim M$$

*is a nice function on  $M$ .*

**Proof.** Recalling that  $\dim M = \#\{\alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{r}}; (\alpha, Z) < 0\} = \#\{\alpha \in \bar{\mathfrak{r}}; (\alpha, Z) > 0\}$  and considering that  $(\alpha, Z) = 0$  or  $1$  for any positive root  $\alpha$ , we have  $(Z, \delta_0) = (Z, \delta) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha > 0} (Z, \alpha) = \frac{1}{2} \dim M$ . For an element  $s$  of  $W^1$  we take an expression of  $Z - s^{-1}Z$  as in Lemma 2. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(s^{-1}o) &= (s^{-1}Z, -\delta_0) + \frac{1}{2} \dim M \\ &= -(s^{-1}Z, \delta_0) + (Z, \delta_0) = (Z - s^{-1}Z, \delta_0) \\ &= (Z - s^{-1}Z, \delta) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^{n(s)} \frac{2(\alpha_{i_k}, \delta)}{(\alpha_{i_k}, \alpha_{i_k})} = n(s). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 1 that  $f(s^{-1}o)$  equals the index of  $f$  at  $s^{-1}o$ . q.e.d.

### 3. Length functions on $R$ -spaces

Now we come back to a general  $R$ -space  $M=G/U=K/K^*$ . The group  $K$  acts on  $\mathfrak{p}$  under the adjoint action as isometries of Euclidean space  $\mathfrak{p}$  with respect to the Killing form  $(\ , \ )$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Owing to the equality (\*) in Section 1, we may identify  $M=K/K^*$  with the  $K$ -orbit through  $Z$  in  $\mathfrak{p}$ . Then the spherical function  $f_X$  on  $M$  is nothing but the height function on  $M$  with respect to the direction  $X \in \mathfrak{p}$ , that is,

$$f_X(Y) = (Y, X) \quad \text{for } Y \in M \subset \mathfrak{p}.$$

Now we consider the length function  $L_X$  on  $M$  from the point  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{p}$  defined by

$$L_X(Y) = (Y - X, Y - X) \quad \text{for } Y \in M \subset \mathfrak{p}.$$

Then we have

$$L_X(Y) = -2(X, Y) + (Y, Y) + (X, X) = -2f_X(Y) + (Z, Z) + (X, X).$$

It follows from Section 1 that if  $H$  is an element of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$  such that  $(\alpha, H) \neq 0$  for any root  $\alpha$  with  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$ , then  $s \mapsto s^{-1}Z$  gives a bijective correspondence of  $W^1$  to the set of critical points of  $L_H$ .

**Theorem 3.** *If  $X$  is a regular element of  $\mathfrak{p}$ , then the length function  $L_X$  on  $M$  is an economical Morse function for  $Z_2$ . In particular if  $H_0$  is an element of the positive Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ , that is,*

$$(\alpha, H_0) > 0 \quad \text{for any positive root } \alpha \text{ with } \sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha,$$

*then for any element  $s$  of  $W^1$  we have*

$$\text{Index of } L_{H_0} \text{ at } s^{-1}Z = \text{Index } n(s) \text{ of } s.$$

**Proof.** It follows from Remark in Section 1 and the above equality that  $L_X$  is an economical Morse function for  $Z_2$ . Moreover Theorem 1 implies the second statement since  $-H_0$  is an element of the negative Weyl chamber of  $\mathfrak{h}^-$ .

The second statement may be derived as follows by means of the diagram of the symmetric pair  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{k})$ , which will give another proof of Theorem 1. The classical Morse theory for geodesics yields that if  $s^{-1}Z$  is a non-degenerate critical point of  $L_{H_0}$

$$\text{Index of } L_{H_0} \text{ at } s^{-1}Z = \sum_{0 < t < 1} \delta(t)$$

where  $\delta(t)$  is the multiplicity of the point  $tH_0 + (1-t)s^{-1}Z$  if this is a focal point relative to  $M$  along the transversal geodesic segment  $\{\tau H_0 + (1-\tau)s^{-1}Z; 0 \leq \tau \leq 1\}$  to  $M$  and  $\delta(t)=0$  otherwise. On the other hand it is known (Bott-Samelson [1]) that  $L_{H_0}$  is a Morse function on  $M$  and

$$\delta(t) = \#\{\alpha > 0; \sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha, (\alpha, tH_0 + (1-t)s^{-1}Z) = 0\}.$$

But for a positive root  $\alpha$  with  $\sigma\alpha \neq -\alpha$ , the equation  $(\alpha, tH_0 + (1-t)s^{-1}Z) = 0$  has a solution  $t$  such that  $0 < t < 1$  if and only if  $(\alpha, s^{-1}Z) < 0$ . It follows from Lemma 1 that  $\sum_{0 < t < 1} \delta(t)$  equals the cardinality of  $\Phi_s^{-1}$ , that is, the index  $n(s)$  of  $s$ .  
q.e.d.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] R. Bott and H. Samelson: *Application of the theory of Morse to symmetric spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **80** (1958), 964–1029.
- [2] T. Nagano: *Transformation groups on compact symmetric spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **118** (1965), 428–453.
- [3] S. Ramanujam: *Topology of classical groups*, Osaka J. Math. **6** (1969), 243–249.
- [4] S. Smale: *Generalized Poincaré conjecture in dimensions greater than four*, Ann. of Math. **74** (1961), 391–406.
- [5] M. Takeuchi: *Cell decompositions and Morse equalities on certain symmetric spaces*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. I, **12** (1965), 81–192.
- [6] M. Takeuchi and S. Kobayashi: *Minimal imbeddings of R-spaces*, J. Differential Geometry **2** (1968), 203–215.



