

Title	Characterizations of p -nilpotent groups
Author(s)	Murai, Masafumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 31(1) P.1-P.8
Issue Date	1994
Text Version	publisher
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/6782
DOI	10.18910/6782
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF p -NILPOTENT GROUPS

MASAFUMI MURAI

(Received September 16, 1992)

Introduction

Let G be a finite group and p a prime. For a p -block B of G , let $\text{Irr}^0(B)$ be the set of irreducible characters of height 0 in B . Most results in this paper are related with the characters of height 0 in the principal p -block $B_0(G)$. In section 1 we shall show that G is p -nilpotent if and only if every $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ is modularly irreducible (Theorem 1.3). This result is in a sense analogous to a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8]. We shall give also a characterization of p -nilpotent groups via weights [1]. In section 2 several normal subgroups associated to $\text{Ker } \chi, \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$, are shown to be p -nilpotent. Also p -nilpotent groups are characterized via their character values (Corollary 2.10). In section 3 a question arising from a paper of Ono [9] will be discussed. Throughout this paper (K, R, k) denotes a p -modular system. We assume that K contains the $|G|$ -th roots of unity. The maximal ideal of R is denoted by (π) .

1. Characterizations of p -nilpotent groups

Let

$$\Lambda(G) = \{\chi; \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G)), o(\det \chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\},$$

where $o(\det \chi)$ denotes the determinantal order of χ . For an irreducible Brauer character ϕ of G and a subset Λ of $\Lambda(G)$, let $\delta(\Lambda, \phi) = \sum d(\chi, \phi) \chi(1)$, where $d(\chi, \phi)$ is the decomposition number and the sum is taken over all $\chi \in \Lambda$. For brevity, put $\delta(G, \phi) = \delta(\Lambda(G), \phi)$.

The following lemma will be used frequently in the sequel.

Lemma 1.1. *If $\delta(G, \phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ for some irreducible Brauer character ϕ in $B_0(G)$ with $\phi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. Put $N = O^p(G)$. Since $\phi(1)$ is prime to p , $\psi := \phi_N$ is irreducible. The same is true for $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$, and the restriction gives a bijection from $\Lambda(G)$ onto the subset Ξ of G -invariant members of $\Lambda(N)$, cf. Corollary 6.28 in Isaacs [5]. From this it follows that $\delta(G, \phi) = \delta(\Xi, \psi)$. Now let Ψ be the character

of the projective cover of the module affording ψ . Since Ψ and ψ are G -invariant, it follows that $\Psi(1) \equiv \delta(\Xi, \psi) \pmod{p}$ (consider the natural action of G on $\text{Irr}(N)$). Hence we get $\Psi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, which shows that N is a p' -group. This completes the proof.

REMARK 1.2. Although the above lemma was inspired by the proof of Theorem 12.1 in Isaacs [5], it turned out that a similar idea had appeared, cf. the proof of Theorem 2 in Pahlings [10].

Theorem 1.3. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) G is p -nilpotent.
- (ii) $l(B_0(G))=1$.
- (iii) Every irreducible character of height 0 in $B_0(G)$ is linear.
- (iv) Every irreducible character of height 0 in $B_0(G)$ is modularly irreducible.
- (v) $\Lambda(G)=\{1_G\}$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): This is obvious

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$ and assume that $\chi(1) > 1$, then $\chi(g) = 0$ for some $g \in G$ (Burnside). If s is the p' -part of g , then $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(s) \pmod{\pi}$, and $\chi(s) = \chi(1)$ by (ii). Hence $\chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, completing the proof.

(iii) \Rightarrow (iv): Obvious.

(iv) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$. If $d(\chi, 1_G) \neq 0$, (iv) implies that when considered as a Brauer character, χ is the trivial Brauer character. In particular $\chi(1) = 1$ and then $o(\det \chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies $\chi = 1_G$. So we get $\delta(G, 1_G) = 1$ and G is p -nilpotent by Lemma 1.1.

(i) \Rightarrow (v): This is obvious.

(v) \Rightarrow (i): (v) implies $\delta(G, 1_G) = 1$, so G is p -nilpotent as above.

REMARK 1.4. The condition (ii) is due to R. Brauer and the condition (iii), which strengthens Thompson's condition [12], is due to Isaacs and Smith [6]. See also Pahlings [10]. In [6] the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is proved through a characterization of groups of p -length 1. For a generalization of their characterization, cf. [7]. The condition (iv) may be considered as a special case of nonabelian version of a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8].

We give still another characterization of p -nilpotent groups, which is related to the notion of weight introduced by Alperin [1].

Theorem 1.5. *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) G is p -nilpotent.
- (ii) $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent for a p -Sylow subgroup P of G , and there is no weight (Q, S) for G with $Q < P$ and $S \in B_0(N_G(Q))$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): For any p -subgroup Q , $N_G(Q)$ is p -nilpotent. If there

exists a simple $kN_G(Q)$ -module S with vertex Q lying in $B_0(N_G(Q))$, then S must be the trivial module. So Q is a p -Sylow subgroup of $N_G(Q)$ and hence of G .

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): Assume false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Take a p -subgroup $Q \neq 1$ which is maximal under the condition that $N_G(Q)$ is not p -nilpotent. (Recall that G is p -nilpotent if $N_G(Q)$ is p -nilpotent for all p -subgroups $Q \neq 1$ of G .) Put $H = N_G(Q)$. By the choice of Q , $Q = O_p(H)$. We claim that H satisfies the same assumption as G . To see this let R be a p -Sylow subgroup of H . Then $R > Q$, so $N_H(R) \leq N_G(R)$ is p -nilpotent. Next let (R, S) be a weight for H with S in $B_0(N_H(R))$. If $R \leq Q$, $N_Q(R) \leq O_p(N_H(R)) = R$, cf. [1]. Hence $R = Q$, which contradicts the assumption (ii). Thus $RQ \neq Q$, so $N_H(R) \leq N_G(QR)$ is p -nilpotent and R is a p -Sylow subgroup of H , cf. the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii). Thus the claim is proved. By the choice of G , we get that $G = H$. It is not difficult to see that $G/O_p(G)$ and G/Q satisfy the same assumption as G . Hence $O_p(G) = 1$ and G/Q is p -nilpotent. In particular, G is p -solvable and $C_G(Q) \leq Q$. Let $R/Q \neq 1$ be any p -subgroup of G/Q and K the normal p -complement of $N_G(R)$, then $[K, Q] = 1$ and $K \leq C_G(Q) \leq Q$, so $K = 1$. Hence $N_{G/Q}(R/Q)$ is a p -group. This shows that G/Q is a Frobenius group whose Frobenius complement is a p -Sylow subgroup. So G/Q has a simple kG/Q -module of p -defect 0. Since G has a unique block, this contradicts the assumption (ii). This completes the proof.

2. Block kernels

Throughout this section P is a p -Sylow subgroup of the group G .

Lemma 2.1. *Let N be a normal subgroup of G and B a block of G covering $B_0(N)$.*

(i) *Assume the following :*

(*) *there exists $\zeta \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ with $N \leq \text{Ker } \zeta$.*

Let ξ be a P -invariant member of $\Lambda(N)$. Then for some $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$, we have $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$.

(ii) *Assume that for any P -invariant member $\xi \neq 1_N$ of $\Lambda(N)$, $d(\xi, 1_N) = 0$. Then N is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. (i) There exists an extension $\hat{\xi}$ of ξ to PN , as before. With ζ as in (*), let θ be the class function on G defined by

$$\theta(g) = \begin{cases} p^d \zeta(g) & \text{if } g \text{ is } p\text{-regular,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where d is the defect of B . We have $(\hat{\xi}^G, \theta)_G = (\hat{\xi}, \theta)_{PN} = p^d \zeta(1) |PN|^{-1} a$, where a denotes $\sum \xi(y)$ (y runs through N_p). As is well-known ([2]) $a \neq 0 \pmod{\pi}$, so $(\hat{\xi}^G, \theta)_G \neq 0 \pmod{\pi}$. Hence $(\hat{\xi}^G, \chi)_G \neq 0$ for some $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ ([2]).

By Frobenius reciprocity, ξ appears as an irreducible constituent of χ_N . This completes the proof.

(ii) As in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we have that $\delta(N, 1_N) \equiv \delta(\Xi, 1_N) \pmod{p}$, where Ξ is the set of P -invariant members of $\Lambda(N)$. By assumption, $\delta(\Xi, 1_N) = 1$, so the result follows from Lemma 1.1.

REMARK 2.2. The condition (*) is always satisfied and the assertion (i) itself could be extended, cf. Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.4 in [7].

For an arbitrary block B of G , we let $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \bigcap \text{Ker } \chi$, where χ runs through $\text{Irr}^0(B)$.

Theorem 2.3. *$\text{Ker}^0(B)$ is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. Put $N = \text{Ker}^0(B)$. Let P be as above and ξ a P -invariant member of $\Lambda(N)$ and choose $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ with $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$ (Lemma 2.1 (i)). Since $N \leq \text{Ker } \chi$, $\xi = 1_N$. So N is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii).

Let $\mathcal{N}(G)$ be the set of normal subgroups N of G such that for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$, χ_N is a sum of linear characters of N . The following theorem gives a characterization of $O_{p',p}(G)$ via (ordinary) irreducible characters. We remark that $O_{p',p}(G)$ has been characterized by R. Brauer via irreducible modular representations.

Theorem 2.4. *$O_{p',p}(G)$ is the unique maximal member of $\mathcal{N}(G)$.*

Proof. Let $N \in \mathcal{N}(G)$. Let ξ be a P -invariant member of $\Lambda(N)$. Choose $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ with $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$. (The condition (*) in Lemma 2.1 (i) is satisfied with $\zeta = 1_G$.) By definition of $\mathcal{N}(G)$, ξ must be linear, and then $o(\det \xi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ implies that $d(\xi, 1_N) = 0$ unless $\xi = 1_N$. So N is p -nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii), and $N \subseteq O_{p',p}(G)$. Conversely, let ξ be an irreducible constituent of χ_N , where $N = O_{p',p}(G)$ and $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$. Then ξ lies in $B_0(N)$ and $\xi(1)$ is prime to p , so $\xi(1) = 1$, since N is p -nilpotent. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.5. The implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows also from the above theorem.

We can restate Theorem 2.4 as follows:

Corollary 2.6. *$O_{p',p}(G)/\text{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$ is the unique maximal normal abelian subgroup of $G/\text{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$.*

For the principal block, let

$$Z^0(G) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1) \text{ for any } \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))\},$$

where $|\cdot|$ denotes the absolute value. Then $Z^0(G) \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, so we get:

Corollary 2.7. $Z^0(G)$ is p -nilpotent.

REMARK 2.8. This corollary could be used in the proof of Z^* -Theorem, cf. Step VI of the proof of Theorem 1 in Glauberman [3].

Theorem 2.9. $O_{p'}(G/Z^0(G))=1$.

Proof. Put $Z=Z^0(G)$. Let N be the inverse image in G of $O_{p'}(G/Z)$. We claim that N is p -nilpotent. Assume this, then N/Z is a p -group, since $O_{p'}(N)=O_{p'}(G)\leq Z$. Hence $N/Z=1$, as required. To prove the claim, let ξ be any P -invariant member of $\Lambda(N)$ and choose $\chi\in\text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ such that $(\chi, \xi)_N\neq 0$ as above. By definition of Z , χ_Z is a multiple of a linear character. So $\xi_Z=e\eta$, where $e=\xi(1)$ and η is a linear character of Z . Since χ is trivial on $O_{p'}(G)=O_{p'}(N)$, so is ξ . Hence $(\det \xi)_Z$ (which equals η^e) and η are inflated from $Z/O_{p'}(N)$. Since this group is a p -group by Corollary 2.7 and $o(\det \xi)$ is prime to p , it follows that $(\det \xi)_Z=1_Z$. Then $\eta=1_Z$, since e is prime to p . So ξ is inflated from N/Z . Hence $d(\xi, 1_N)=0$ unless $\xi=1_N$, since N/Z is a p' -group. This implies that N is p -nilpotent as before.

Now we give a characterization of p -nilpotent groups via their character values, from which the implication (iii) \Rightarrow (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows again.

Corollary 2.10. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) G is p -nilpotent.
- (ii) $|\chi(u)|=\chi(1)$ for all p -elements u of G and all $\chi\in\text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): This is obvious.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i): (ii) implies that $G/Z^0(G)$ is a p' -group, so $G=Z^0(G)$ by Theorem 2.9. Then G is p -nilpotent by Corollary 2.7.

3. Conjugacy classes of Ono type

For any irreducible character χ of the group G , we let, as usual, ω_χ be the central character associated to χ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let α be an element of the center of ZG , where Z is the ring of integers. α is said to be of *Ono type* if for any $\chi\in\text{Irr}(G)$ there holds either $\omega_\chi(\alpha)=0$ or $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)|=\varepsilon(\alpha)$, where $\varepsilon: ZG\rightarrow Z$ is the augmentation map. A conjugacy class K is said to be of *Ono type* if the class sum \hat{K} is of Ono type. (If $g\in K$, the condition is the same as saying that either $\chi(g)=0$ or $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$ for all $\chi\in\text{Irr}(G)$.) A group G is said to be of *Ono type* if every conjugacy class of G is of Ono type.

Groups of Ono type has appeared in Ono [9]. First we prove:

Proposition 3.2. *Groups of Ono type are nilpotent.*

Proof. Let G be a group of Ono type and p any prime. For any p -element g of G , either $\chi(g)=0$ or $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$ holds, for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$. Since $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$, the latter holds. So G is p -nilpotent by Corollary 2.10. Since p is arbitrary, G is nilpotent.

REMARK 3.3. The above proposition could be proved by induction on the group order (without using block theory).

One may well conjecture that the subgroup generated by a conjugacy class of Ono type is solvable, as will be explained below.

For a subset H of G , let $\hat{H} = \sum_{h \in H} h$. For an element $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha_g g$ of ZG , put $\text{Supp } \alpha = \{g \in G; \alpha_g \neq 0\}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For an element $\alpha (\neq 0)$ of the center of ZG with $\alpha_g > 0$ for all $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) α is of Ono type.
- (ii) $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$, for a positive integer m and a subgroup H of G .
- (iii) $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$, for a positive integer m and a normal subgroup H of G .

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): This is proved by induction on $|G|$. First assume that there is $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ and that $\chi(1) > 1$. Then $\text{Supp } \alpha \subseteq Z(\chi) \neq G$, where $Z(\chi) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$. For any $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(Z(\chi))$, take $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ such that $(\chi, \zeta)_N \neq 0$, then $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = \omega_\zeta(\alpha)$. So we get the conclusion by the induction hypothesis applied to $Z(\chi)$. So we may assume that $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = 0$ for any $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ with $\chi(1) > 1$. Then $\alpha = \sum \omega_\lambda(\alpha) e_\lambda$, where the sum is taken over the linear characters λ of G and e_λ is the central idempotent associated to λ . Replacing α by $g^{-1}\alpha$, $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$, if necessary, we may further assume $1 \in \text{Supp } \alpha$. Assume that for some λ , $|\omega_\lambda(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$. Then for any $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$, $\lambda(g) = \lambda(1)$, so $g \in \text{Ker } \lambda$, and if $\text{Ker } \lambda \neq G$, we get the conclusion by induction as above. So we may assume that $\omega_\lambda(\alpha) = 0$ for $\lambda \neq 1_G$. This implies α is a multiple of e_{1_G} , so (ii) holds.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): Let $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$ as above. For any $x \in G$, $mg^x \hat{H}^x = \alpha^x = \alpha = mg\hat{H}$. So $g^x \in gH$ and $g^x \hat{H} = g\hat{H} = g^x \hat{H}^x$. Hence $\hat{H}^x = \hat{H}$, so H is normal.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$. If $\text{Ker } \chi \geq H$, $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$, because gH is central in G/H . Otherwise, $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = 0$, as is well-known. This completes the proof.

From this lemma we get:

Corollary 3.5. *A conjugacy class K of G is of Ono type if and only if $K = gH$ for some $g \in G$ and a (normal) subgroup H of G .*

Lemma 3.6. *Let K be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of p -elements for some prime p . Then the subgroup generated by K is p -nilpotent.*

Proof. Let $g \in K$. As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get that $g \in Z^0(G)$, and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.7.

Now we have:

Theorem 3.7. *The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *Any conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime power order generates a solvable subgroup.*

(ii) *Let G be a semi-direct product of groups A and N with N normal. If $C_N(A)=1$ and A is cyclic of prime power order, then G is solvable.*

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii): Let g be a generator of A and K the conjugacy class containing g of G . Obviously $K \subseteq gN$ and we have $|K| = |N|$, since $C_N(g)=1$. So $K=gN$, and K is of Ono type by Corollary 3.5. The subgroup generated by K is G , so G is solvable. (ii) \Rightarrow (i): Let K be a conjugacy class of a group G consisting of p -elements for a prime p . The proof is done by induction on $|G|$. Since K is of Ono type, $K=gH$ for a normal subgroup H of G and $g \in K$. We see that $\langle K \rangle = \langle g \rangle H$ and that $H = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in G\}$. Let N be the normal p -complement of H , cf. Lemma 3.6. We may assume that $N \neq 1$. Let C be the inverse image in G of $C_{G/N}(gN)$. We claim that the conjugacy class K' containing g of C is of Ono type. Since $N \leq H$, it follows that $N = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in C\}$. Then $K' = gN$ and the claim follows from Corollary 3.5. If $C \neq G$, $\langle K' \rangle$ and hence N is solvable by induction. Since the image of K in G/N is of Ono type, the image of $\langle K \rangle$ in G/N is solvable by induction. So $\langle K \rangle$ is solvable. Now assume $C=G$. Then $N=H$ by the above. On the other hand, we must have $G=C_G(g)N$, since N is a p' -group. This implies $C_G(g) \cap N=1$, since $|N|=|K|$. Taking $A=\langle g \rangle$ in (ii), we get that G is solvable.

The assertion (ii) is a longstanding conjecture (see for example [4], p 487)

From the above (proof) and a theorem of Thompson [11], we get:

Corollary 3.8. *Let K be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime order. Then K generates a solvable subgroup.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor T. Wada for drawing his attention to the work of Okuyama and Tsushima [8], and to Professor Y. Tsushima for helpful suggestions

References

- [1] J. Alperin: *Weights for finite groups*, Proc. Arcate Conference, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 369–379.

- [2] R. Brauer and W. Feit: *On the number of irreducible characters of finite groups in a given block*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **45** (1959), 361–365.
- [3] G. Glauberman: *Central elements in core-free groups*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 403–420.
- [4] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn: *Finite Groups II*, Springer, 1982.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs and S.D. Smith: *A note on groups of p -length 1*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), 531–535.
- [7] M. Murai: *Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 9–25.
- [8] T. Okuyama and Y. Tsushima: *Local properties of p -block algebras of finite groups*, Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 33–41.
- [9] T. Ono: *A note on the Artin map*, Proc. Japan Acad., **65A** (1989), 304–306.
- [10] H. Pahlings: *Normal p -complements and irreducible characters*, Math. Z. **154** (1977), 243–246.
- [11] J. Thompson: *Finite groups with fixed point free automorphism of prime order*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **45** (1959), 578–581.
- [12] J. Thompson: *Normal p -complements and irreducible characters*, J. Algebra **14** (1970), 129–134.

Meiji-machi 2-27
Izumi Toki-shi
Gifu-ken 509-51
Japan