

Title	Characterizations of $p$ -nilpotent groups
Author(s)	Murai, Masafumi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1994, 31(1), p. 1-8
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/6782">https://doi.org/10.18910/6782</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## CHARACTERIZATIONS OF $p$ -NILPOTENT GROUPS

MASAFUMI MURAI

(Received September 16, 1992)

### Introduction

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $p$  a prime. For a  $p$ -block  $B$  of  $G$ , let  $\text{Irr}^0(B)$  be the set of irreducible characters of height 0 in  $B$ . Most results in this paper are related with the characters of height 0 in the principal  $p$ -block  $B_0(G)$ . In section 1 we shall show that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent if and only if every  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$  is modularly irreducible (Theorem 1.3). This result is in a sense analogous to a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8]. We shall give also a characterization of  $p$ -nilpotent groups via weights [1]. In section 2 several normal subgroups associated to  $\text{Ker } \chi, \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ , are shown to be  $p$ -nilpotent. Also  $p$ -nilpotent groups are characterized via their character values (Corollary 2.10). In section 3 a question arising from a paper of Ono [9] will be discussed. Throughout this paper  $(K, R, k)$  denotes a  $p$ -modular system. We assume that  $K$  contains the  $|G|$ -th roots of unity. The maximal ideal of  $R$  is denoted by  $(\pi)$ .

### 1. Characterizations of $p$ -nilpotent groups

Let

$$\Lambda(G) = \{\chi; \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G)), o(\det \chi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}\},$$

where  $o(\det \chi)$  denotes the determinantal order of  $\chi$ . For an irreducible Brauer character  $\phi$  of  $G$  and a subset  $\Lambda$  of  $\Lambda(G)$ , let  $\delta(\Lambda, \phi) = \sum d(\chi, \phi) \chi(1)$ , where  $d(\chi, \phi)$  is the decomposition number and the sum is taken over all  $\chi \in \Lambda$ . For brevity, put  $\delta(G, \phi) = \delta(\Lambda(G), \phi)$ .

The following lemma will be used frequently in the sequel.

**Lemma 1.1.** *If  $\delta(G, \phi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  for some irreducible Brauer character  $\phi$  in  $B_0(G)$  with  $\phi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* Put  $N = O^p(G)$ . Since  $\phi(1)$  is prime to  $p$ ,  $\psi := \phi_N$  is irreducible. The same is true for  $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$ , and the restriction gives a bijection from  $\Lambda(G)$  onto the subset  $\Xi$  of  $G$ -invariant members of  $\Lambda(N)$ , cf. Corollary 6.28 in Isaacs [5]. From this it follows that  $\delta(G, \phi) = \delta(\Xi, \psi)$ . Now let  $\Psi$  be the character

of the projective cover of the module affording  $\psi$ . Since  $\Psi$  and  $\psi$  are  $G$ -invariant, it follows that  $\Psi(1) \equiv \delta(\Xi, \psi) \pmod{p}$  (consider the natural action of  $G$  on  $\text{Irr}(N)$ ). Hence we get  $\Psi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , which shows that  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group. This completes the proof.

REMARK 1.2. Although the above lemma was inspired by the proof of Theorem 12.1 in Isaacs [5], it turned out that a similar idea had appeared, cf. the proof of Theorem 2 in Pahlings [10].

**Theorem 1.3.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.
- (ii)  $l(B_0(G))=1$ .
- (iii) Every irreducible character of height 0 in  $B_0(G)$  is linear.
- (iv) Every irreducible character of height 0 in  $B_0(G)$  is modularly irreducible.
- (v)  $\Lambda(G)=\{1_G\}$ .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): This is obvious

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii): Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(B_0(G))$  and assume that  $\chi(1) > 1$ , then  $\chi(g) = 0$  for some  $g \in G$  (Burnside). If  $s$  is the  $p'$ -part of  $g$ , then  $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(s) \pmod{\pi}$ , and  $\chi(s) = \chi(1)$  by (ii). Hence  $\chi(1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , completing the proof.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (iv): Obvious.

(iv) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $\chi \in \Lambda(G)$ . If  $d(\chi, 1_G) \neq 0$ , (iv) implies that when considered as a Brauer character,  $\chi$  is the trivial Brauer character. In particular  $\chi(1) = 1$  and then  $o(\det \chi) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies  $\chi = 1_G$ . So we get  $\delta(G, 1_G) = 1$  and  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 1.1.

(i) $\Rightarrow$ (v): This is obvious.

(v) $\Rightarrow$ (i): (v) implies  $\delta(G, 1_G) = 1$ , so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent as above.

REMARK 1.4. The condition (ii) is due to R. Brauer and the condition (iii), which strengthens Thompson's condition [12], is due to Isaacs and Smith [6]. See also Pahlings [10]. In [6] the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is proved through a characterization of groups of  $p$ -length 1. For a generalization of their characterization, cf. [7]. The condition (iv) may be considered as a special case of nonabelian version of a theorem of Okuyama and Tsushima [8].

We give still another characterization of  $p$ -nilpotent groups, which is related to the notion of weight introduced by Alperin [1].

**Theorem 1.5.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.
- (ii)  $N_G(P)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent for a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $G$ , and there is no weight  $(Q, S)$  for  $G$  with  $Q < P$  and  $S \in B_0(N_G(Q))$ .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): For any  $p$ -subgroup  $Q$ ,  $N_G(Q)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. If there

exists a simple  $kN_G(Q)$ -module  $S$  with vertex  $Q$  lying in  $B_0(N_G(Q))$ , then  $S$  must be the trivial module. So  $Q$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $N_G(Q)$  and hence of  $G$ .

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Assume false and let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order. Take a  $p$ -subgroup  $Q \neq 1$  which is maximal under the condition that  $N_G(Q)$  is not  $p$ -nilpotent. (Recall that  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent if  $N_G(Q)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent for all  $p$ -subgroups  $Q \neq 1$  of  $G$ .) Put  $H = N_G(Q)$ . By the choice of  $Q$ ,  $Q = O_p(H)$ . We claim that  $H$  satisfies the same assumption as  $G$ . To see this let  $R$  be a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $H$ . Then  $R > Q$ , so  $N_H(R) \leq N_G(R)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Next let  $(R, S)$  be a weight for  $H$  with  $S$  in  $B_0(N_H(R))$ . If  $R \leq Q$ ,  $N_Q(R) \leq O_p(N_H(R)) = R$ , cf. [1]. Hence  $R = Q$ , which contradicts the assumption (ii). Thus  $RQ \neq Q$ , so  $N_H(R) \leq N_G(QR)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $R$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of  $H$ , cf. the proof of (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Thus the claim is proved. By the choice of  $G$ , we get that  $G = H$ . It is not difficult to see that  $G/O_p(G)$  and  $G/Q$  satisfy the same assumption as  $G$ . Hence  $O_p(G) = 1$  and  $G/Q$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. In particular,  $G$  is  $p$ -solvable and  $C_G(Q) \leq Q$ . Let  $R/Q \neq 1$  be any  $p$ -subgroup of  $G/Q$  and  $K$  the normal  $p$ -complement of  $N_G(R)$ , then  $[K, Q] = 1$  and  $K \leq C_G(Q) \leq Q$ , so  $K = 1$ . Hence  $N_{G/Q}(R/Q)$  is a  $p$ -group. This shows that  $G/Q$  is a Frobenius group whose Frobenius complement is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup. So  $G/Q$  has a simple  $kG/Q$ -module of  $p$ -defect 0. Since  $G$  has a unique block, this contradicts the assumption (ii). This completes the proof.

## 2. Block kernels

Throughout this section  $P$  is a  $p$ -Sylow subgroup of the group  $G$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $N$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $B$  a block of  $G$  covering  $B_0(N)$ .*

(i) *Assume the following :*

(\*) *there exists  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$  with  $N \leq \text{Ker } \zeta$ .*

*Let  $\xi$  be a  $P$ -invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$ . Then for some  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$ , we have  $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$ .*

(ii) *Assume that for any  $P$ -invariant member  $\xi \neq 1_N$  of  $\Lambda(N)$ ,  $d(\xi, 1_N) = 0$ . Then  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

*Proof.* (i) There exists an extension  $\hat{\xi}$  of  $\xi$  to  $PN$ , as before. With  $\zeta$  as in (\*), let  $\theta$  be the class function on  $G$  defined by

$$\theta(g) = \begin{cases} p^d \zeta(g) & \text{if } g \text{ is } p\text{-regular,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where  $d$  is the defect of  $B$ . We have  $(\hat{\xi}^G, \theta)_G = (\hat{\xi}, \theta)_{PN} = p^d \zeta(1) |PN|^{-1} a$ , where  $a$  denotes  $\sum \xi(y)$  ( $y$  runs through  $N_p$ ). As is well-known ([2])  $a \neq 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , so  $(\hat{\xi}^G, \theta)_G \neq 0 \pmod{\pi}$ . Hence  $(\hat{\xi}^G, \chi)_G \neq 0$  for some  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$  ([2]).

By Frobenius reciprocity,  $\xi$  appears as an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ . This completes the proof.

(ii) As in the proof of Lemma 1.1, we have that  $\delta(N, 1_N) \equiv \delta(\Xi, 1_N) \pmod{p}$ , where  $\Xi$  is the set of  $P$ -invariant members of  $\Lambda(N)$ . By assumption,  $\delta(\Xi, 1_N) = 1$ , so the result follows from Lemma 1.1.

REMARK 2.2. The condition (\*) is always satisfied and the assertion (i) itself could be extended, cf. Corollary 4.6 and Theorem 4.4 in [7].

For an arbitrary block  $B$  of  $G$ , we let  $\text{Ker}^0(B) = \bigcap \text{Ker } \chi$ , where  $\chi$  runs through  $\text{Irr}^0(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.3.**  *$\text{Ker}^0(B)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

Proof. Put  $N = \text{Ker}^0(B)$ . Let  $P$  be as above and  $\xi$  a  $P$ -invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$  and choose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B)$  with  $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$  (Lemma 2.1 (i)). Since  $N \subseteq \text{Ker } \chi$ ,  $\xi = 1_N$ . So  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii).

Let  $\mathcal{N}(G)$  be the set of normal subgroups  $N$  of  $G$  such that for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ ,  $\chi_N$  is a sum of linear characters of  $N$ . The following theorem gives a characterization of  $O_{p',p}(G)$  via (ordinary) irreducible characters. We remark that  $O_{p',p}(G)$  has been characterized by R. Brauer via irreducible modular representations.

**Theorem 2.4.**  *$O_{p',p}(G)$  is the unique maximal member of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ .*

Proof. Let  $N \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ . Let  $\xi$  be a  $P$ -invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$ . Choose  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$  with  $(\chi, \xi)_N \neq 0$ . (The condition (\*) in Lemma 2.1 (i) is satisfied with  $\zeta = 1_G$ .) By definition of  $\mathcal{N}(G)$ ,  $\xi$  must be linear, and then  $o(\det \xi) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  implies that  $d(\xi, 1_N) = 0$  unless  $\xi = 1_N$ . So  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Lemma 2.1 (ii), and  $N \subseteq O_{p',p}(G)$ . Conversely, let  $\xi$  be an irreducible constituent of  $\chi_N$ , where  $N = O_{p',p}(G)$  and  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ . Then  $\xi$  lies in  $B_0(N)$  and  $\xi(1)$  is prime to  $p$ , so  $\xi(1) = 1$ , since  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. This completes the proof.

REMARK 2.5. The implication (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows also from the above theorem.

We can restate Theorem 2.4 as follows:

**Corollary 2.6.**  *$O_{p',p}(G)/\text{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$  is the unique maximal normal abelian subgroup of  $G/\text{Ker}^0(B_0(G))$ .*

For the principal block, let

$$Z^0(G) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1) \text{ for any } \chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))\},$$

where  $|\cdot|$  denotes the absolute value. Then  $Z^0(G) \in \mathcal{N}(G)$ , so we get:

**Corollary 2.7.**  $Z^0(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.

REMARK 2.8. This corollary could be used in the proof of  $Z^*$ -Theorem, cf. Step VI of the proof of Theorem 1 in Glauberman [3].

**Theorem 2.9.**  $O_{p'}(G/Z^0(G))=1$ .

Proof. Put  $Z=Z^0(G)$ . Let  $N$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of  $O_{p'}(G/Z)$ . We claim that  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Assume this, then  $N/Z$  is a  $p$ -group, since  $O_{p'}(N)=O_{p'}(G)\leq Z$ . Hence  $N/Z=1$ , as required. To prove the claim, let  $\xi$  be any  $P$ -invariant member of  $\Lambda(N)$  and choose  $\chi\in\text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$  such that  $(\chi, \xi)_N\neq 0$  as above. By definition of  $Z$ ,  $\chi_Z$  is a multiple of a linear character. So  $\xi_Z=e\eta$ , where  $e=\xi(1)$  and  $\eta$  is a linear character of  $Z$ . Since  $\chi$  is trivial on  $O_{p'}(G)=O_{p'}(N)$ , so is  $\xi$ . Hence  $(\det \xi)_Z$  (which equals  $\eta^e$ ) and  $\eta$  are inflated from  $Z/O_{p'}(N)$ . Since this group is a  $p$ -group by Corollary 2.7 and  $o(\det \xi)$  is prime to  $p$ , it follows that  $(\det \xi)_Z=1_Z$ . Then  $\eta=1_Z$ , since  $e$  is prime to  $p$ . So  $\xi$  is inflated from  $N/Z$ . Hence  $d(\xi, 1_N)=0$  unless  $\xi=1_N$ , since  $N/Z$  is a  $p'$ -group. This implies that  $N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent as before.

Now we give a characterization of  $p$ -nilpotent groups via their character values, from which the implication (iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) in Theorem 1.3 follows again.

**Corollary 2.10.** *The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.
- (ii)  $|\chi(u)|=\chi(1)$  for all  $p$ -elements  $u$  of  $G$  and all  $\chi\in\text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ .

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): This is obvious.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): (ii) implies that  $G/Z^0(G)$  is a  $p'$ -group, so  $G=Z^0(G)$  by Theorem 2.9. Then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Corollary 2.7.

### 3. Conjugacy classes of Ono type

For any irreducible character  $\chi$  of the group  $G$ , we let, as usual,  $\omega_\chi$  be the central character associated to  $\chi$ .

DEFINITION 3.1. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of the center of  $ZG$ , where  $Z$  is the ring of integers.  $\alpha$  is said to be of *Ono type* if for any  $\chi\in\text{Irr}(G)$  there holds either  $\omega_\chi(\alpha)=0$  or  $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)|=\varepsilon(\alpha)$ , where  $\varepsilon: ZG\rightarrow Z$  is the augmentation map. A conjugacy class  $K$  is said to be of *Ono type* if the class sum  $\hat{K}$  is of Ono type. (If  $g\in K$ , the condition is the same as saying that either  $\chi(g)=0$  or  $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$  for all  $\chi\in\text{Irr}(G)$ .) A group  $G$  is said to be of *Ono type* if every conjugacy class of  $G$  is of Ono type.

Groups of Ono type has appeared in Ono [9]. First we prove:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Groups of Ono type are nilpotent.*

**Proof.** Let  $G$  be a group of Ono type and  $p$  any prime. For any  $p$ -element  $g$  of  $G$ , either  $\chi(g)=0$  or  $|\chi(g)|=\chi(1)$  holds, for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}^0(B_0(G))$ . Since  $\chi(g) \equiv \chi(1) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$ , the latter holds. So  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Corollary 2.10. Since  $p$  is arbitrary,  $G$  is nilpotent.

**REMARK 3.3.** The above proposition could be proved by induction on the group order (without using block theory).

One may well conjecture that the subgroup generated by a conjugacy class of Ono type is solvable, as will be explained below.

For a subset  $H$  of  $G$ , let  $\hat{H} = \sum_{h \in H} h$ . For an element  $\alpha = \sum_g \alpha_g g$  of  $ZG$ , put  $\text{Supp } \alpha = \{g \in G; \alpha_g \neq 0\}$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *For an element  $\alpha (\neq 0)$  of the center of  $ZG$  with  $\alpha_g > 0$  for all  $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i)  $\alpha$  is of Ono type.
- (ii)  $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$ , for a positive integer  $m$  and a subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .
- (iii)  $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$ , for a positive integer  $m$  and a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .

**Proof.** (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): This is proved by induction on  $|G|$ . First assume that there is  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$  and that  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then  $\text{Supp } \alpha \subseteq Z(\chi) \neq G$ , where  $Z(\chi) = \{g \in G; |\chi(g)| = \chi(1)\}$ . For any  $\zeta \in \text{Irr}(Z(\chi))$ , take  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  such that  $(\chi, \zeta)_N \neq 0$ , then  $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = \omega_\zeta(\alpha)$ . So we get the conclusion by the induction hypothesis applied to  $Z(\chi)$ . So we may assume that  $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = 0$  for any  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$  with  $\chi(1) > 1$ . Then  $\alpha = \sum \omega_\lambda(\alpha) e_\lambda$ , where the sum is taken over the linear characters  $\lambda$  of  $G$  and  $e_\lambda$  is the central idempotent associated to  $\lambda$ . Replacing  $\alpha$  by  $g^{-1}\alpha$ ,  $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$ , if necessary, we may further assume  $1 \in \text{Supp } \alpha$ . Assume that for some  $\lambda$ ,  $|\omega_\lambda(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ . Then for any  $g \in \text{Supp } \alpha$ ,  $\lambda(g) = \lambda(1)$ , so  $g \in \text{Ker } \lambda$ , and if  $\text{Ker } \lambda \neq G$ , we get the conclusion by induction as above. So we may assume that  $\omega_\lambda(\alpha) = 0$  for  $\lambda \neq 1_G$ . This implies  $\alpha$  is a multiple of  $e_{1_G}$ , so (ii) holds.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $\alpha = mg\hat{H}$  as above. For any  $x \in G$ ,  $mg^x \hat{H}^x = \alpha^x = \alpha = mg\hat{H}$ . So  $g^x \in gH$  and  $g^x \hat{H} = g\hat{H} = g^x \hat{H}^x$ . Hence  $\hat{H}^x = \hat{H}$ , so  $H$  is normal.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $\chi \in \text{Irr}(G)$ . If  $\text{Ker } \chi \geq H$ ,  $|\omega_\chi(\alpha)| = \varepsilon(\alpha)$ , because  $gH$  is central in  $G/H$ . Otherwise,  $\omega_\chi(\alpha) = 0$ , as is well-known. This completes the proof.

From this lemma we get:

**Corollary 3.5.** *A conjugacy class  $K$  of  $G$  is of Ono type if and only if  $K = gH$  for some  $g \in G$  and a (normal) subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ .*

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $K$  be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of  $p$ -elements for some prime  $p$ . Then the subgroup generated by  $K$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

Proof. Let  $g \in K$ . As in the proof of Proposition 3.2, we get that  $g \in Z^0(G)$ , and the conclusion follows from Corollary 2.7.

Now we have:

**Theorem 3.7.** *The following assertions are equivalent.*

(i) *Any conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime power order generates a solvable subgroup.*

(ii) *Let  $G$  be a semi-direct product of groups  $A$  and  $N$  with  $N$  normal. If  $C_N(A)=1$  and  $A$  is cyclic of prime power order, then  $G$  is solvable.*

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii): Let  $g$  be a generator of  $A$  and  $K$  the conjugacy class containing  $g$  of  $G$ . Obviously  $K \subseteq gN$  and we have  $|K| = |N|$ , since  $C_N(g)=1$ . So  $K=gN$ , and  $K$  is of Ono type by Corollary 3.5. The subgroup generated by  $K$  is  $G$ , so  $G$  is solvable. (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i): Let  $K$  be a conjugacy class of a group  $G$  consisting of  $p$ -elements for a prime  $p$ . The proof is done by induction on  $|G|$ . Since  $K$  is of Ono type,  $K=gH$  for a normal subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  and  $g \in K$ . We see that  $\langle K \rangle = \langle g \rangle H$  and that  $H = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in G\}$ . Let  $N$  be the normal  $p$ -complement of  $H$ , cf. Lemma 3.6. We may assume that  $N \neq 1$ . Let  $C$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of  $C_{G/N}(gN)$ . We claim that the conjugacy class  $K'$  containing  $g$  of  $C$  is of Ono type. Since  $N \leq H$ , it follows that  $N = \{g^{-1}g^x; x \in C\}$ . Then  $K' = gN$  and the claim follows from Corollary 3.5. If  $C \neq G$ ,  $\langle K' \rangle$  and hence  $N$  is solvable by induction. Since the image of  $K$  in  $G/N$  is of Ono type, the image of  $\langle K \rangle$  in  $G/N$  is solvable by induction. So  $\langle K \rangle$  is solvable. Now assume  $C=G$ . Then  $N=H$  by the above. On the other hand, we must have  $G=C_G(g)N$ , since  $N$  is a  $p'$ -group. This implies  $C_G(g) \cap N=1$ , since  $|N|=|K|$ . Taking  $A=\langle g \rangle$  in (ii), we get that  $G$  is solvable.

The assertion (ii) is a longstanding conjecture (see for example [4], p 487)

From the above (proof) and a theorem of Thompson [11], we get:

**Corollary 3.8.** *Let  $K$  be a conjugacy class of Ono type consisting of elements of prime order. Then  $K$  generates a solvable subgroup.*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author would like to express his sincere gratitude to Professor T. Wada for drawing his attention to the work of Okuyama and Tsushima [8], and to Professor Y. Tsushima for helpful suggestions

---

### References

- [1] J. Alperin: *Weights for finite groups*, Proc. Arcate Conference, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **47** (1987), 369–379.



- [2] R. Brauer and W. Feit: *On the number of irreducible characters of finite groups in a given block*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **45** (1959), 361–365.
- [3] G. Glauberman: *Central elements in core-free groups*, J. Algebra **4** (1966), 403–420.
- [4] B. Huppert and N. Blackburn: *Finite Groups II*, Springer, 1982.
- [5] I.M. Isaacs: *Character Theory of Finite Groups*, Academic Press, 1976.
- [6] I.M. Isaacs and S.D. Smith: *A note on groups of  $p$ -length 1*, J. Algebra **38** (1976), 531–535.
- [7] M. Murai: *Block induction, normal subgroups and characters of height zero*, Osaka J. Math. **31** (1994), 9–25.
- [8] T. Okuyama and Y. Tsushima: *Local properties of  $p$ -block algebras of finite groups*, Osaka J. Math. **20** (1983), 33–41.
- [9] T. Ono: *A note on the Artin map*, Proc. Japan Acad., **65A** (1989), 304–306.
- [10] H. Pahlings: *Normal  $p$ -complements and irreducible characters*, Math. Z. **154** (1977), 243–246.
- [11] J. Thompson: *Finite groups with fixed point free automorphism of prime order*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. **45** (1959), 578–581.
- [12] J. Thompson: *Normal  $p$ -complements and irreducible characters*, J. Algebra **14** (1970), 129–134.

Meiji-machi 2-27  
Izumi Toki-shi  
Gifu-ken 509-51  
Japan