

Title	A note on the formal group law of unoriented cobordism theory
Author(s)	Shibata, Katsuyuki
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 10(1) P.33-P.42
Issue Date	1973
Text Version	publisher
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/6814">https://doi.org/10.18910/6814</a>
DOI	10.18910/6814
rights	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/repo/ouka/all/>

## A NOTE ON THE FORMAL GROUP LAW OF UNORIENTED COBORDISM THEORY

KATSUYUKI SHIBATA

(Received May, 9, 1972)

### Introduction

This is a continuation of the author's previous work [6] on the cobordism generators defined by J.M. Boardman in [1]. Previously we have used the Landweber-Novikov operations to calculate the coefficients  $z_{2i}$  and  $z_{4i+1}$  of a primitive element

$$P = W_1 + z_2 W_1^3 + z_4 W_1^5 + z_6 W_1^7 + z_8 W_1^9 + \dots$$

in  $\mathfrak{R}^*(BO(1))$ .

This time we use the Steenrod-tom Dieck operations in the unoriented cobordism theory ([2], [8]) to deduce that the coefficient  $z_{i-1}$  for the "canonical primitive element"  $P_0$  is represented by the "iterated Dold manifold"  $(R_1)^a(P_{2b})$  for  $i=2^a(2b+1)$ , where  $R_1(M) = S^1 \times (M \times M)/a \times T$  (Theorem 3.2).

In other words, let  $L = Z_2[e_{i-1}; i \neq 2^k]$  be the Lazard ring of characteristic 2 and  $F(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$  with  $g(x) = \sum_{i \geq 1} e_{i-1} x^i (e_0 = 1, e_{2^k-1} = 0)$  be the universal formal group law. Then the canonical ring isomorphism of Quillen [5]  $\varphi: L \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}^*$  sends the generator  $e_{i-1}$  to  $[(R_1)^a(P_{2b})]$  for  $i=2^a(2b+1)$ .

We also study the behaviour of the Dold-tom Dieck homomorphism  $R_j: \mathfrak{R}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_{*+j}$  defined by  $R_j([M]) = [S^j \times (M \times M)/a \times T]$ . In particular, we present the following product formula (Lemma 2.2);

$$R_j(xy) = \sum_{j \geq k+m \geq 0} (\sum_{i \geq 0} \prod [P_{2^m}]^{2^i}) R_k(x) R_m(y).$$

In the final section, we examine the relation between the algebra structure of  $\mathfrak{R}_*(BO(1)) \cong \mathfrak{R}_*(Z_2)$  and the coalgebra structure of  $\mathfrak{R}^*(BO(1))$ . As an application, we obtain the following formulas for the Smith homomorphism  $\Delta$  ([3]);

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta([S^m, a] \cdot [S^n, a]) &= \sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} \Delta^i [S^m, a] \Delta^j [S^n, a] \\ &= (\Delta[S^m, a] [S^n, a] + [S^m, a] (\Delta[S^n, a]) + [P_2] (\Delta[S^m, a] \Delta^2 [S^n, a] \\ &\quad + \Delta^2 [S^m, a] \Delta [S^n, a]) + \dots, \text{ and} \\ \Delta^{2k}([S^m, a] \cdot x) &= [S^m, a] \cdot \Delta^{2k}(x) \end{aligned}$$

for  $2^k > m \geq 0$  (Corollary 4.3). The former equation would be an answer to a question of J. C. Su [7] on the relation between  $\Delta$  and the multiplication in  $\mathfrak{N}_*(Z_2)$ . The latter formula for  $k \leq 3$  was first proved by Uchida [9] utilizing the multiplicative structures of  $S^1$ ,  $S^3$  and  $S^7$ .

In the appendix, we state brief comments on the unrestricted bordism ring of involution  $I_*(Z_2)$  ([3], IV 28). We define the ‘‘switching involution’’ homomorphism  $S: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow I_{2*}(Z_2)$ , which is a ring monomorphism with a left inverse. We see, by definition, that  $R_j = K_j \circ S$  with  $K_j$  the  $\mathfrak{N}_*$ -homomorphism studied by Conner-Floyd in [4], and thus give a proof for the well-definedness of the Dold-tom Dieck homomorphism  $R_j$ .

The author would like to acknowledge stimulating conversation with Professor Tammo tom Dieck. The author also wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Larry Siebenmann for cordial and constant encouragement.

## 1. Formal group law in the unoriented cobordism theory

As in [6], let

$$\mu^*: \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^*} \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$$

be the comultiplication defined by the  $H$ -space map.

The cobordism first Stiefel-Whitney class  $W_1$  is mapped by  $\mu^*$  to a formal power series

$$(1.1) \quad \mu^*(W_1) = W_1 \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes W_1 + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{i, j} (W_1)^i \otimes (W_1)^j \\ (a_{i, j} = a_{j, i} \in \mathfrak{N}_{i+j-1}).$$

The formal power series defined by these coefficients

$$(1.2) \quad F(x, y) = x + y + \sum_{i, j \geq 1} a_{i, j} x^i y^j$$

is a commutative formal group law [5]; it satisfies the following properties

$$(1.3) \quad (1) \quad F(x, 0) = 0, \\ (2) \quad F(F(x, y), z) = F(x, F(y, z)), \\ (3) \quad F(x, y) = F(y, x).$$

The following lemma explains the relation of primitive elements in  $\mathfrak{N}^1(BO(1))$  to the formal group law  $F(x, y)$  of (1.2).

**Lemma 1.4.** *An element  $g(W_1) = W_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} z_{i-1} W_1^i$  of  $\mathfrak{N}^1(BO(1))$  is primitive if and only if  $F(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ , where  $g^{-1}(x)$  is the inverse of  $g(x)$ ;  $g(g^{-1}(x)) = g^{-1}(g(x)) = x$ .*

*Proof.* If  $g(W_1)$  is primitive, then

$$\begin{aligned} F(W_1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes W_1) &= \mu^* W_1 = \mu^* g^{-1}(g(W_1)) = g^{-1}(\mu^* g(W_1)) \\ &= g^{-1}(g(W_1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(W_1)) = g^{-1}(g(W_1 \otimes 1) + g(1 \otimes W_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Conversely, if  $F(x, y) = g^{-1}(g(x) + g(y))$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu^* g(W_1) &= g(\mu^* W_1) = g(F(W_1 \otimes 1, 1 \otimes W_1)) = g(W_1 \otimes 1) + g(1 \otimes W_1) \\ &= g(W_1) \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes g(W_1). \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 1.5.** *Concerning the coefficients of the formal group law (1.2), we have the following formulas for every integer  $k \geq 1$ .*

- (1)  $a_{1, 2k-1} = 0$ .
- (2)  $\sum_{k > j > 0} a_{1, 2j} [P_{2(k-j)}] = 0$ .
- (3)  $\sum_{\substack{k > j > 0 \\ k-j: \text{even}}} a_{1, 2j} [P_{k-j}]^2 = [P_{2k}]$ .

In the above formulas,  $P_i$  denotes the real projective space of dimension  $i$ .

Proof. Putting  $m=1$  in (3.4) of [2] (p. 190), we obtain

$$[H(1, n)] = \sum_{i, j > 0} a_{i, j} [P_{1-i}] [P_{n-j}],$$

where  $H(1, n)$  is Milnor's hypersurface in  $P_1 \times P_n$ . But  $[P_{2i-1}] = 0$  and  $[H(1, n)] = 0$  for every  $n \geq 1$  ([1]). So  $\sum_{j > 0} a_{1, j} [P_{n-j}] = 0$ . Letting  $n = 2k - 1$ , we have

$\sum_{\substack{k > j > 1 \\ n-j: \text{even}}} a_{1, 2j-1} [P_{2(k-j)}] = 0$  and part (1) follows by induction on  $k$ . Analogously letting  $n = 2k \geq 2$ , part (2) follows. Now, from part (2).

$$a_{1, 2k} + [P_{2k}] = \sum_{k > j > 0} a_{1, 2j} [P_{2(k-j)}].$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{So } [P_{2k}] &= a_{1, 2k} + \sum_{k > j > 0} \left( \sum_{j > m > 0} a_{1, 2m} [P_{2(j-m)}] \right) [P_{2(k-j)}] \\ &= a_{1, 2k} + \sum_{k-2 > j > 0} a_{1, 2j} \left( \sum_{k-j-1 > i > 1} [P_{2i}] [P_{2(k-i-j)}] \right). \end{aligned}$$

This yields part (3) since  $\mathfrak{N}^*$  is a  $Z_2$ -vector space.

## 2. Steenrod-tom Dieck operations

T. tom Dieck has defined in [8] the stable cohomology operations

$$R^i: \mathfrak{N}^*(X) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{*+i}(X) \quad (-\infty < i < \infty)$$

such that

- (2.1) (a) For  $x \in \mathfrak{N}^i(X)$ ,  $R^i(x) = x^2$ .
- (b) For  $x \in \mathfrak{N}^i(X)$  and  $j > i$ ,  $R^j(x) = 0$ .
- (c)  $R^k(xy) = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R^i(x) R^{k-i}(y)$ .

- (d) For the natural transformation  $\mu = \mathfrak{N}^*(\ ) \rightarrow H^*(\ ; \mathbb{Z}_2)$ , it holds that  $\mu \circ R^k = S_q^k \circ \mu$ , ( $S_q^k$ : Steenrod operation,  $S_q^k = 0$  for  $K < 0$ ).

On the other hand, tom Dieck has also defined in [8] the following mapping  $R_j: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2*+j}$  for  $j \geq 0$ ; for a closed differentiable manifold  $M$ , let  $R_j(M)$  be the orbit space of the free involution  $(S^j \times (M \times M), a \times T)$ , where  $a$  is the antipodal involution and  $T$  is the switching map. It was proved in [8] that, if  $M$  is bordant to  $M'$ , then  $R_j(M)$  is bordant to  $R_j(M')$  and that this construction yields consequently a mapping of the bordism set  $R_j: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2*+j}$ .

The mappings  $R_j$  are expressed by the operations on  $\mathfrak{N}^*(pt)$

$$R^i: \mathfrak{N}^*(pt) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^{*+i}(pt)$$

and vice versa as in the following lemma. (Recall that we are always identifying  $\mathfrak{N}_i$  with  $\mathfrak{N}^{-i}$  via the Atiyah-Poincaré duality.)

**Lemma 2.2.**

- (1) For  $x \in \mathfrak{N}_m$ ,  $R_i(x) = \sum_{i \geq j \geq 0} [P_{i-j}] R^{-m-j}(x)$ , and consequently,
- (2)  $R_j(x+y) = R_j(x) + R_j(y)$ .
- (3)  $R_j(xy) = \sum_{j \geq k+m \geq 0} (\sum_{i \geq 0} \prod [P_{2n_i}]^{2^i}) R_k(x) R_m(y)$ ,

where the latter summation runs through all the sequences of non-negative integers  $(n_0, n_1, \dots, n_i, \dots)$  such that  $\sum_{i \geq 0} 2^{i+1} n_i = j - (k+m)$ .

Proof. Part (1) follows easily from (14.1) of [8]. Since the  $R^i$  are stable cohomology operations, they are additive and so part (2) follows from (1). For  $x \in \mathfrak{N}_m$  and  $y \in \mathfrak{N}_n$ ,

$$(2.3) \quad R_j(xy) = \sum_{j \geq 2i \geq 0} [P_{2i}] \left( \sum_{k+q=j-2i} R^{-m-k}(x) R^{-n-q}(y) \right)$$

by part (1) and 2.1 (c). On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j \geq 2i \geq 0} [P_{2i}] \left( \sum_{k+q=j-2i} R_k(x) R_q(y) \right) \\ &= \sum_{a,b} [P_a] [P_b] \left( \sum_{j \geq 2i \geq 0} [P_{2i}] \left( \sum_{s+t=(j-a-b)-2i} R^{-m-s}(x) R^{-n-t}(y) \right) \right) \quad \text{by (1)} \\ &= \sum_{a,b} [P_{2a}] [P_{2b}] R_{j-2(a+b)}(xy) \quad \text{by (2.3)} \\ &= \sum_{a \geq 0} [P_{2a}]^2 R_{j-4a}(xy). \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So } R_j(xy) = \sum_{j \geq 2i \geq 0} [P_{2i}] \left( \sum_{k+m=j-2i} R_k(x) R_m(y) \right) + \sum_{a \geq 1} [P_{2a}]^2 R_{j-4a}(xy).$$

Substituting repeatedly the latter part of the right hand side, we obtain part (3).

REMARK 2.4. In 2.4 below, we give a complete description of the mapping  $R_1$  with respect to the ‘‘canonical ring generators’’ of  $\mathfrak{N}^*$ . This would be a partial answer to a question of tom Dieck ([8]) on the behaviour of the mappings

$R_j$ .

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $1 = [pt] \in \mathfrak{R}^*$  be the unit element. Then, for  $-\infty < j < \infty$ ,*

$$R^j(1) = \begin{cases} 1 & (j = 0) \\ 0 & (j \neq 0). \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $j \geq 0$ , the assertion is clear by 2.1 (a) and (b). So let  $j = -i$  ( $i < 0$ ). Then  $R_i(1) = [P_i]$  by definition. On the other hand, by 2.2 (1),

$$R_i(1) = [P_i] + R^{-i}(1) - \sum_{i > j > 0} [P_{i-j}] R^{-j}(1).$$

So  $R^{-i}(1) = \sum_{i > j > 0} [P_{i-j}] R^{-j}(1)$  and the assertion follows by induction on  $i$ . (Of course, this result can also be obtained directly from the definition of the operation  $R^j$ .)

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $P = W_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} z_{i-1} W_1^i$  be a primitive element in  $\mathfrak{R}(BO(1))$ . Then, for every integer  $j$  ( $-\infty < j \leq 1$ ),  $R^j(P)$  is also primitive.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } \mu^* R^j(P) &= R^j(\mu^*(P)) = R^j(P \times 1 + 1 \times P) \\ &= \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} (R^i(P) \times R^{j-i}(1) + R^i(1) \times R^{j-i}(P)) \quad \text{by 2.1 (c)} \\ &= R^j(P) \times 1 + 1 \times R^j(P) \quad \text{by 2.5.} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2.7.** *Let  $X = \sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i-1} W_1^i$  be an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{R}(BO(1))$ .*

*Then*

$$R^0(X) = \sum_{i \geq 1} \left( \sum_{2k+j=i} (x_{2k})^2 a_{1,2j} \right) W_1^{2i+1} + \sum_{i \geq 0} R_1(x_{i-1}) W_1^{2i},$$

*where the  $a_{1,2j}$  are the coefficients in (1.1).*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof. } R^0\left(\sum_{i \geq 1} x_{i-1} W_1^i\right) &= \sum_{i \geq 1} R^0(x_{i-1} W_1^i) \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{j=-\infty}^{\infty} R^{-j}(x_{i-1}) R^j(W_1^i) \quad \text{by 2.1 (c)} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \sum_{i \geq j \geq i-1} R^{-j}(x_{i-1}) R^j(W_1^i) \quad \text{by 2.1 (b)} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} \{(x_{i-1})^2 R^{i-1}(W_1^i) + R^{-i}(x_{i-1}) W_1^{2i}\} \quad \text{by 2.1 (a)} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} (x_{i-1})^2 (i) W_1^{2(i-1)} R^0(W_1) + \sum_{i \geq 1} R^{-i}(x_{i-1}) W_1^{2i} \\ &= \sum_{i \geq 1} (x_{2i})^2 W_1^{4i} R^0(W_1) + \sum_{i \geq 1} R^{-i}(x_{i-1}) W_1^{2i}. \end{aligned}$$

It was observed in [2] (p. 141) that  $R^0(W_1) = W_1 + \sum_{j \geq 1} a_{1,j} W_1^{j+1} (= \sum_{j \geq 0} a_{1,2j} W_1^{2j+1}$  by 1.5(1)), and  $R^{-i}(x_{i-1}) = R_1(x_{i-1})$  by 2.2 (1). Therefore the lemma follows.

### 3. Determination of Boardman's generators

Let  $P_0 = W_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} z_{i-1} W_1^i \in \mathfrak{N}^1(BO(1))$  be the (unique) primitive element such that  $z_{2^k-1} = 0$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) (see [1], [6] Introduction). Then we have

**Lemma 3.1**  $R^0(P_0) = P_0$ .

*Proof.* By 2.6,  $R^0(P_0)$  is primitive, and by 2.7,  $R^0(P_0)$  is of the form  $W_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} x_{i-1} W_1^i$ , with  $x_{2^k-1} = R_1(x_{2^k-1}) = 0$  ( $k \geq 1$ ). So, by the uniqueness of such a primitive element ([1]), the lemma follows.

**Theorem 3.2** *The coefficient  $z_{i-1}$  of the canonical primitive element  $P_0 = W_1 + \sum_{i \geq 2} z_{i-1} W_1^i \in \mathfrak{N}^1(BO(1))$  with  $z_{2^k-1} = 0$  ( $k \geq 1$ ) is the cobordism class of the "iterated Dold manifold"  $(R_1)^a(P_{2b}) = R_1(\cdots(R_1(P_{2b}))\cdots)$  for  $i = 2^a(2b+1)$  ( $a \geq 0, b \geq 1$ ).*

*Proof.* We prove by induction on  $a \geq 0$ , using 3.1 and 2.7.

(1) In case  $a = 0$ . By 3.1 and 2.7, we have

$$z_{2b} = \sum_{2k+j=b} (z_{2k})^2 a_{1,2j}.$$

So  $z_2 = (z_0)^2 a_{1,2} = [P_2]$  by 1.5 (2), and inductively on  $b$  we can deduce, by 1.5 (3), that  $z_{2b} = \sum_{2k+j=b} [P_{2k}]^2 a_{1,2j} = [P_{2b}]$ .

(2) If we suppose that the theorem holds for  $a-1 \geq 0$ , then for  $i = 2^a(2b+1)$ , 3.1 and 2.7 imply that  $z_{i-1} = R_1(z_{j-1})$  with  $j = 2^{a-1}(2b+1)$ . So, by induction hypothesis,  $z_{i-1} = R_1([(R_1)^{a-1}(P_{2b})]) = [(R_1)^a(P_{2b})]$  as desired.

#### Corollary 3.4.

(1) *The cobordism class  $[(R_1)^a(P_{2b})]$  can be taken as a ring generator of  $\mathfrak{N}_*$  in dimension  $2^a(2b+1) - 1$ .*

(2) *Denoting  $[(R_1)^a(P_{2b})]$  by  $X(a, b)$ , an additive basis for  $\mathfrak{N}_*$  is given by  $\{ \prod_{a \geq 0, b \geq 1} X(a, b)^{2\lambda(a, b) + \varepsilon(a, b)}; \lambda(a, b) \geq 0, 1 \geq \varepsilon(a, b) \geq 0, \lambda(a, b) = \varepsilon(a, b) = 0$  except for a finite number of pairs  $(a, b)$   $\}$ .*

(3) *With respect to this basis, the additive homomorphism*

$$R_1: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_{2^*+1}$$

*is determined by the following formula;*

$$\begin{aligned} & R_1\left(\prod_{a \geq 0, b \geq 1} X(a, b)^{2\lambda(a, b) + \varepsilon(a, b)}\right) \\ &= \sum_{a, b} \{\varepsilon(a, b) \left(\prod_{(c, d) \neq (a, b), (a+1, b)} X(c, d)^{4\lambda(c, d) + 2\varepsilon(c, d)}\right) \right. \\ & \quad \left. \cdot X(a, b)^{4\lambda(a, b)} X(a+1, b)^{4\lambda(a+1, b) + 2\varepsilon(a+1, b) + 1}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Part (1) and (2) are the consequence of the fact that the coefficients  $z_{i-1}$  of the primitive element  $P_0$  are indecomposable in  $\mathfrak{N}_*(\mathbb{1})$ . Part (3) follows from 2.2 (3) and the definition of the  $X(a, b)$ .

#### 4. Bordism algebra of free involutions

In this section we consider the relation between the algebra  $\mathfrak{N}_*(BO(1))$  with the multiplication

$$\mu_*: \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(1)) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}_*} \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(1))$$

and the coalgebra  $\mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$  with the comultiplication

$$\mu^*: \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1)) \otimes_{\mathfrak{N}^*} \mathfrak{N}^*(BO(1))$$

via the cap product ([2] p. 186)

$$\cap: \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}^i(X) \otimes \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}_n(X) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{N}}_{n-i}(X).$$

Let  $\eta_n: P_n \rightarrow BO(1)$  be a classifying map of the canonical line bundle over  $P_n$ , and denote by  $\{n\}$  the singular bordism class  $[P_n, \eta_n] \in \mathfrak{N}_n(BO(1))$ . It is well-known that  $\mathfrak{N}_*(BO(1))$  is a free  $\mathfrak{N}_*$ -module with basis  $\{\{0\}, \{1\}, \dots, \{n\}, \dots\}$ .

Let  $\alpha_k(m, n) \in \mathfrak{N}_{m+n-k}$  be the element such that

$$\{m\} \cdot \{n\} = \sum_k \alpha_k(m, n) \{k\}.$$

It is equivalent to define  $[S^m, a] \cdot [S^n, a] = \sum_k \alpha_k(m, n) [S^k, a]$  in  $\mathfrak{N}_*(Z_2)$  ([9]).

##### Theorem 4.1.

(1)  $\alpha_{k+1}(m, n) = \sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} \alpha_k(m-i, n-j)$ , where the  $a_{i, j}$  are the coefficients in (1.1).

(2)  $\sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i-1} \alpha_{k+i}(m, n) = \sum_{i \geq 0} z_{i-1} (\alpha_k(m-i, n) + \alpha_k(m, n-i))$ , where the  $z_{i-1}$  are the coefficients of a primitive element  $P$  (Uchida [9]).

(3)  $\sum_{i \geq 0} \alpha_{2i+1}(m, n) [P_{2i}] = [H(m, n)]$ , where  $H(m, n)$  denotes Milnor's hypersurface in  $P_m \times P_n$ .

Proof. The proof of [2] XIII (3.3) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} W_1 \cap \mu_*(\{m\} \otimes \{n\}) &= W_1 \cap \left( \sum_{k+1} \alpha_{k+1}(m, n) \{k+1\} \right) = \sum_k \alpha_{k+1}(m, n) \{k\} \\ &= \mu_*(\mu^* W_1 \cap \{m\} \otimes \{n\}) = \mu_*(\sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} W_1^i \otimes W_1^j) \cap \{m\} \otimes \{n\} \\ &= \sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} \mu_*(\{m-i\} \otimes \{n-j\}) = \sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} \left( \sum_k \alpha_k(m-i, n-j) \{k\} \right) \\ &= \sum_k \left( \sum_{i, j \geq 0} a_{i, j} \alpha_k(m-i, n-j) \right) \{k\}. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficient of  $\{k\}$ , part (1) follows. Analogously,



$$\begin{aligned}
P \cap \mu_*(\{m\} \otimes \{n\}) &= \sum_k \left( \sum_i \varkappa_{i-1} \alpha_{k+i}(m, n) \right) \{k\} \\
&= \mu_*(\mu^*(P) \cap \{m\} \otimes \{n\}) = \mu_*((P \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes P) \cap \{m\} \otimes \{n\}) \\
&= \sum_k \left( \sum_i \varkappa_{i-1} \alpha_k(m-i, n) + \alpha_k(m, n-i) \right) \{k\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Part (3) follows from the proof of [2], XII (3.3).

**Corollary 4.2.** *In  $\mathfrak{K}_*(Z_2)$ , the following multiplicative relations hold.*

(1)  $[S^1, a][S^{2n}, a] = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{1,2i} [S^{2n-2i+1}, a]$ , where the  $a_{1,2j}$  are determined by the formula 1.5 (2).

$$[S^{2n+1}, a][S^{2n+1}, a] = 0.$$

(Uchida [9])

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad [S^2, a][S^{2n}, a] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} a_{2,2i} [S^{2n-2i+1}, a] \\
&\quad + \sum_{i \geq 0} (\alpha_0(2, 2i-2) + \varepsilon(n+1-i)(a_{1,i})^2) [S^{2n+2-2i}, a], \\
[S^2, a][S^{2n-1}, a] &= \sum_{i \geq 0} \{ \alpha_0(2, 2i-2) + \varepsilon(n-i)(a_{1,i})^2 + a_{2,2i-1} \} [S^{2n-2i+1}, a],
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon(n-i) = 0$  ( $n-i$ : even),  $= 1$  ( $n-i$ : odd), and

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_0(2, 2i) &= [P_2][P_{2i}] + \varepsilon(i) \sum_{j \geq 0} [P_{4j+2}](a_{1,i-2j})^2 \\
&\quad + \sum_{j \geq 1} [P_{2j}] \alpha_0(2, 2i-2j) \text{ with } \alpha_0(2, 0) = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Letting  $m=1$  in 4.1 (1), we have

$$\alpha_{k+1}(1, n) = \alpha_k(1, n-1) + a_{1,n-k}.$$

This yields, by induction on  $k$ , the former part of (1) and  $[S^1, a][S^{2n+1}, a] = 0$ . Together with 5.1 (2), this in turn gives  $[S^1, a](\sum_{j \geq 0} [P_{2j}][S^{2n-2j}, a]) = \sum_{k \geq 0} (\sum_{i+j=k} [P_{2j}] a_{1,2i}) [S^{2n-2k+1}, a] = [S^{2n+1}, a]$ . So  $[S^{2m+1}, a][S^{2n+1}, a] = [S^1, a][S^{2n+1}, a](\sum_{j \geq 0} [P_{2j}][S^{2m-2j}, a]) = 0$ .

Analogously, letting  $m=2$  in 4.1 (2), part (2) follows.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Concerning the Smith homomorphism  $\Delta$ , we have the following formulas.*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad \Delta([S^m, a] \cdot [S^n, a]) &= \sum_{i,j \geq 0} a_{i,j} \Delta^i [S^m, a] \cdot \Delta^j [S^n, a] \\
&= (\Delta[S^m, a])[S^n, a] + [S^m, a](\Delta[S^n, a]) \\
&\quad + [P_2](\Delta[S^m, a]\Delta^2[S^n, a] + \Delta^2[S^m, a]\Delta[S^n, a]) + \cdots \\
(2) \quad \Delta^{2k}([S^m, a] \cdot x) &= [S^m, a] \cdot \Delta^{2k}(x) \text{ for } 2^k > m \geq 0. \\
(3) \quad \Delta^{2k}([S^{2^k}, a] \cdot x) &= [S^{2^k}, a] \cdot \Delta^{2k}(x) + x + \sum_{j \geq 1} (a_{1,2^j})^{2^k} \Delta^{2^{k+1}j}(x).
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Part (1) is a paraphrase of 4.1 (1).

Substituting repeatedly the second factor in the right side of 4.1 (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha_{s+2^k}(m, n) &= \sum_{i \leq q \leq 2^k} \prod a_{i_q, j_q} \alpha_s(m - \sum_q i_q, n - \sum_q j_q) \\ &= \sum_{i, j \geq 0} (a_{i, j})^{2^k} \alpha_s(m - 2^k i, n - 2^k j) \\ &= \alpha_s(m, n - 2^k) + \sum_{i \geq 1, j \geq 0} (a_{i, j})^{2^k} \alpha_s(m - 2^k i, n - 2^k j).\end{aligned}$$

This yields part (2) and (3).

### Appendix. Unrestricted bordism algebra of involutions

In this appendix, we consider the unrestricted bordism module of all involutions (admitting fixed point sets). The basic notations are found in Conner-Floyd [3], IV 28.

The unrestricted bordism group of involution  $I_*(Z_2)$  has an  $\mathfrak{N}_*$ -algebra structure via the cartesian product. The direct sum  $\sum_m \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(m))$  also admits a multiplicative structure by the formula  $[M, \xi] \cdot [N, \eta] = [M \times N, p_1^* \xi \oplus p_2^* \eta]$ .

**Lemma 1.** *There is the well-defined ring homomorphism*

$$\tau: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow \sum_m \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(m))$$

defined by  $\tau[M] = [M, \tau_M]$ , where  $\tau_M$  denotes the tangent bundle of  $M$ .

Proof. Let  $W$  be a manifold giving the bordant relation of  $M$  to  $N$ ;  $\partial W = M \cup N$ . Then  $\partial(W, \tau_W) = (M, \tau_M \oplus 1) \cup (N, \tau_N \oplus 1)$ . So we have

$$(i_{n, n+1})_* [M, \tau_M] = (i_{n, n+1})_* [N, \tau_N]$$

where  $i_{n, n+1}: BO(n) \rightarrow BO(n+1)$  is the canonical map (up to homotopy). But  $(i_{n, n+1})_*: \mathfrak{N}_n(BO(n)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{N}_n(BO(n+1))$  is a monomorphism ([3], 26.3). So  $[M, \tau_M] = [N, \tau_N]$ . The assertion that  $\tau$  is a ring homomorphism is clear from the definitions.

**Corollary 2.** *There is the ring homomorphism*

$$S: \mathfrak{N}_* \rightarrow I_{2*}(Z_2)$$

defined by  $S([M]) = [M \times M, T]$ , where  $T(x, y) = (y, x)$ .

Proof. Consider the ring monomorphism  $i_*: I_*(Z_2) \rightarrow \sum_m \mathfrak{N}_*(BO(m))$  of [3] (28.1). By the definition of  $i_*$  and the proof of [3] (24.3),  $i_*([M \times M, T]) = [M, \tau_M]$  and  $i_*([N \times N, T]) = [N, \tau_N]$ . Therefore by the preceding lemma,  $[M \times M, T] = [N \times N, T]$  if  $[M] = [N]$ . Next we show that  $S$  is additive.  $S([M] + [N]) = [(M \cup N) \times (M \cup N), T] = S([M]) + S([N]) + [(M \times N) \cup (N \times M), T]$ . Since

any free involution bordis in  $I_*(Z_2)$ ,  $[(M \times N) \cup (N \times M), T] = 0$  and  $S$  is additive. The multiplicativity of  $S$  is clear by definition.

**Corollary 3.**  $R_j = K_j \circ S$ , i.e. the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & I_*(Z_2) & \\ S \nearrow & & \searrow K_j \\ \mathfrak{R}_* & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{R}_* \\ & R_j & \end{array}$$

where  $R_j$  is the Dold-tom Dieck homomorphism of (2.2) and  $K_j$  is the  $\mathfrak{R}_*$ -homomorphism defined by  $K_j([M, \mu]) = [S^j \times M/a \times \mu]$  (Conner-Floyd [4]).

The proof is obvious from the definitions.

**Corollary 4.** As a ring,  $I_*(Z_2)$  contains the polynomial subalgebra  $Z_2[S(z_{i-1})]$ :  $i-1 \neq 2^k-1$  as a direct summand.

Proof. Let  $\varepsilon: \sum_m \mathfrak{R}_*(BO(m)) \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_*$  be the augmentation homomorphism induced by the constant map. Then  $\varepsilon \circ i_* \circ S = \text{id}: \mathfrak{R}_* \rightarrow \mathfrak{R}_*$  and  $\varepsilon$ ,  $i_*$  and  $S$  are all ring homomorphisms. So the corollary follows.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY AND UNIVERSITÉ DE PARIS (ORSAY)

---

### References

- [1] J.M. Boardman: Stable Homotopy Theory, Ch. IV, (mimeographed) Warwick Univ., 1967.
- [2] T. Bröcker-T. tom Dieck: Kobordismentheorie, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [3] P.E. Conner-E.E. Floyd: Differentiable Periodic Maps, Springer-Verlag, 1964.
- [4] ———: *Fibering within a cobordism class*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 33–47.
- [5] D. Quillen: *On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 1293–1298.
- [6] K. Shibata: *On Boardman's generating sets of the unoriented bordism theory*, Osaka J. Math. **8** (1971), 219–232.
- [7] J.C. Su: *A note on the bordism algebra of involutions*, Michigan Math. J. **12** (1965), 25–31.
- [8] T. tom Dieck: *Steenrod Operationen in Kobordismen-Theorie*, Math. Z. **107** (1968), 380–401.
- [9] F. Uchida: *Bordism algebra of involutions*, Proc. Japan Acad. **46** (1970), 615–619.