

Title	A certain degenerate evolution equation of the subdifferential type
Author(s)	Maruo, Kenji; Yotsutani, Shoji
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1976, 13(3), p. 599-612
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/6971
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Maruo, K. and Yotutani, S. Osaka J. Math. 13 (1976), 599-612

A CERATAIN DEGENERATE EVOLUTION EQUATION OF THE SUBDIFFERENTIAL TYPE

Kenji MARUO and Shoji YOTSUTANI

(Received July 17, 1975)

0. Introduction

In this paper we are concerned with the weak solution of the following nonlinear evolution equation

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\bar{D}})u(t) \ni f(t) \tag{0.1}$$

in a real Hilbert space H. Here φ^t , $0 \le t \le T$, is a family of convex, $\equiv +\infty$, lower-semi-continuous functions from H into $]-\infty, +\infty]$. Putting $D(\varphi^t) = \{u \in H; \varphi^t(u) < +\infty\}$, we assume that $D(\varphi^t) \equiv D$ is independent of t. The operator $\partial(c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\overline{D}})$ is the subdifferential of $c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\overline{D}}$ where c(t) is nonnegative continuous function, \overline{D} is the closure of D and $I_{\overline{D}}$ is the indicator function of \overline{D} (see [1]). The function c(t) may vanish somewhere, hence (0.1) is an equation of degenerate type. We denote the inner product and norm in H by (,) and $| \cdot |$, respectively. Moreover we assume that there exist a continuous function h(t) and two positive constants L_r and l_r depending on r > 0 such that

$$|\varphi^{t}u - \varphi^{s}u| \leq L_{r} |h(t) - h(s)| \{\varphi^{s}u + l_{r}\}$$

$$(0.2)$$

holds for $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, $u \in D$ and $|u| \leq r$. Next, for c(t) we assume

measure
$$({t \in]0, T[; c(t)=0} - int {t \in]0, T[; c(t)=0}) = 0.$$
 (0.3)

DEFINITION 0.1. We say that $u \in C([0, T]; \overline{D})$ is a weak solution of (0.1) if and only if u satisfies $(c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\overline{D}}) u(t) = c(t)\varphi^t u(t) \in L^1(0, T)$ and

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} c(s) \varphi^s v(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} c(s) \varphi^s u(s) ds$$

$$\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (f(s) - \frac{dv}{ds}(s), v(s) - u(s)) ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} |v(t_2) - u(t_2)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |v(t_1) - v(t_1)|^2$$

for any $v \in W^{1,1}(t_1, t_2; \overline{D})$ where t_1 and t_2 are nonnegative numbers such that $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T$.

Now we state our main theorem.

Theorem. Under the above assumptions the weak solution of (0.1) satisfying the initial condition $u(0)=u_0$ exists and is unique for any $f \in L^1(0, T: H)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{D}$.

Abstract equations of this form have been considered by H. Brezis [1], H. Attouch et A. Damlamian [2], N. Kenmochi and T. Nagai [3], K. Maruo [4], J. Watanabe [5], e.t.c. This paper has been motivated by [5], in which the strong solution was considered under the condition of nondegenerate type. For the linear case, Friedman and Shuss [6] considered a degenerate equation of this form. In this paper, we have attempted to extend the results of [5] and [6] in a certain sence.

First, in section 1, we introduce some definitions and lemmas which will be used throghout this paper. Next, in section 2, we will prove the theorem when φ^t is independent of t. Finally, in section 3, we will prove the existence and the uniqueness of weak solutions of (0.1) in the general case.

1. Definitions and fundamental lemmas

Definition 1.1. We say that $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ is a strong solution of (0.1) if u is absolutely continuous on any compact subset of]0,T[and satisfies (0,1) almost everyshere on]0, T[.

DEFINITION 1.2. We say that $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ is a piecewise strong solution of (0.1) if there exists a partition of $[0, T]: 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{i-1} < t_i = T$, and u is strong solution of (0.1) on every $[t_k, t_{k+1}]_{k=0,1, \dots, i-1}$.

DEFINITION 1.3. We say that $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ is a s-weak solution of (0,1) if there exists $\{u^j\}_{j\geq 1} \subset C([0, T]: H)$ and $\{f^j\}_{j\geq 1} \subset L^1(0, T: H)$ such that u^j is a strong solution of

$$\frac{du^{j}}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi^{t} + I_{\overline{D}})u^{j}(t) \ni f^{j}(t)$$

and $f^{j} \rightarrow f$ in $L^{1}(0, T; H)$ and $u^{j} \rightarrow u$ in C([0, T]; H) as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

DEFINITION 1.4. We say that $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ is a piecewise s-weak solution of (0.1) if there exists a partition of $[0, T]: 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_{i-1} < t_i = T$, and u is a s-weak solution of (0.1) on every $[t_k, t_{k+1}]_{k=0,1, \dots, i-1}$.

Lemma 1.1. The following diagram hold:

1) strong solution \Rightarrow s-weak solution \Rightarrow piecewise s-weak solution \Rightarrow waek solution.

2) strong solution \Rightarrow piecewise strong solution \Rightarrow piecewise s-weak solution.

Proof. If u be a strong solution, then for any $v \in D$

$$c(t)\varphi^{t}v-c(t)\varphi^{t}u(t) \geq (f(t)-\frac{du}{dt}(t), v-u(t))$$

= $(f(t), v-u(t))+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|u(t)-v|^{2}.$

Hence $c(t)\varphi^t u(t)$ is absolutely integrable on [0, T]. The remaining part of the proof is simple and is omitted.

Lemma 1.2. Let u and v be piecewise s-weak solution of

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\bar{D}})u(t) \ni f(t)$$
(1.1)

$$\frac{dv}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi^t + I_{\bar{D}})v(t) \ni g(t)$$
(1.2)

respectively where f and $g \in L^1(0, T; H)$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{2} |u(t) - v(t)|^{2} \leq \frac{1}{2} |u(s) - v(s)|^{2} + \int_{s}^{t} (f(x) - g(x), u(x) - v(x)) dx, \qquad (1.3)$$

$$|u(t)-v(t)| \leq |u(s)-v(s)| + \int_{s}^{t} |f(x)-g(x)| \, dx \,, \qquad (1.4)$$

for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$.

Proof. If u and v are s-weak solutions, we have known these results from [1], p. 64, Lemme 3.1. If u and v are piecewise s-weak solutions, we obtain the above inequalities for respective partitions. Next, if we add these inequalities, we have our results.

2. Some lemmas

In this section, we will prove Theorem when φ^t is independent of t in Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3.

Lemma 2.1. For any $h(t) \in L^1(0, T: H)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a s-weak solution u(t) of

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})u(t) \ni \sqrt{c(t)} \cdot h(t), \quad u(0) = u_0$$
(2.1)

and it is the unique piecewise s-weak solution of this problem. In particular, if

 $h(t) \in L^2(0, T; H)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{D}$, then u is a unique piecewise strong solution.

Proof. The uniqueness follows from Lemma 1.2. We will prove the existence of a solution. We can always reduce to the case min $\varphi=0$ since $D(\partial \varphi) \neq \phi$ in view of [1]. We put for any $t \in [0, T]$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$,

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}}(t) = c(t) + \varepsilon, \ y = \sigma_{\varepsilon}(t) = \int_{0}^{t} c_{\varepsilon}(s) ds ,$$

 $\sigma(t) = \int_{0}^{t} c(s) ds, \ g_{\varepsilon}(y) = c_{\varepsilon}(t)^{-1} \cdot \sqrt{c(t)} \cdot h(t) .$

Case (1): $h(t) \in L^2(0, T: H)$ and $u_0 \in D$.

Using [1] p. 72, Theorem 3.6, we get a strong solution of

$$\frac{du}{dt}v_{\varepsilon}(y) + \partial\varphi v_{\varepsilon}(y) \ni g_{\varepsilon}(y), v_{\varepsilon}(0) = u_{0}, \qquad (2.2)$$

and have the next equality almost everywhere on $[0, T_e]$ where $T_e = \sigma_e(T)$,

$$\left|\frac{d}{dy}v_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{2}+\frac{d}{dy}\varphi v_{\varepsilon}(y)=\left(g_{\varepsilon}(y),\frac{d}{dy}v_{\varepsilon}(y)\right).$$

Hence we get for any $y \in [0, T_{\epsilon}]$,

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{y}\left|\frac{d}{dx}v_{\varepsilon}(x)\right|^{2}dx+\varphi v_{\varepsilon}(y)-\varphi u_{0}\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{y}|g_{\varepsilon}(x)|^{2}dx\leq \frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T}|h(x)|^{2}dx.$$

We have for any $y \in [0, T_{\epsilon}]$

$$\int_{0}^{y} \left| \frac{d}{dx} v_{\varepsilon}(x) \right|^{2} dx \leq 2\varphi u_{0} + \int_{0}^{T} |h(t)|^{2} dt , \qquad (2.3)$$

$$0 \leq \varphi v_{\varepsilon}(y) \leq \varphi u_{0} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} |h(t)|^{2} dt .$$

$$(2.4)$$

Next we put $u_{\varepsilon}(t) = v_{\varepsilon}(\sigma_{\varepsilon}(t)); t \in [0, T]$, then $u_{\varepsilon}(t)$ is a unique strong solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{\varepsilon}(t)+c_{\varepsilon}(t)\partial\varphi u_{\varepsilon}(t) \ni \sqrt{c(t)}h(t), u_{\varepsilon}(0)=u_{0}, t\in[0, T].$$
(2.5)

By (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| \frac{d}{dt} u_{\varepsilon}(t) \right|^{2} dt \leq C_{1}$$
(2.6)

$$0 \leq \varphi u_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq C_1 \tag{2.7}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant independent of \mathcal{E} and t. On the other hand, from (2.5), we have

CERTAIN DEGENERATE EVOLUTION EQUATION

$$c_{\varepsilon}(t)\varphi u_{\varepsilon'}(t) - c_{\varepsilon}(t)\varphi u_{\varepsilon}(t)$$

$$\geq (\sqrt{c(t)}h(t) - \frac{d}{dt}u_{\varepsilon}(t), u_{\varepsilon'}(t) - u_{\varepsilon}(t)) \qquad (2.8)$$

$$c_{\mathfrak{e}'}(t)\varphi u_{\mathfrak{e}}(t) - c_{\mathfrak{e}'}(t)\varphi u_{\mathfrak{e}'}(t)$$

$$\geq (\sqrt{c(t)}h(t) - \frac{d}{dt}u_{\mathfrak{e}'}(t), u_{\mathfrak{e}}(t) - u_{\mathfrak{e}'}(t))$$
(2.9)

By adding these two inequalities (2.8) and (2.9), we have

$$(\varepsilon - \varepsilon') \varphi u_{\varepsilon'}(t) + (\varepsilon' - \varepsilon) \varphi u_{\varepsilon}(t)$$

$$\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} |u_{\varepsilon}(t) - u_{\varepsilon'}(t)|^{2}.$$

Integrate above inequality on [0,t]. Then using (2.7), we get

$$\frac{1}{2}|u_{\varepsilon}(t)-u_{\varepsilon'}(t)|^{2} \leq 4TC_{1} \cdot \varepsilon \quad \text{for any } t \in [0, T], \ 0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon$$

So, there exists a $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ such that

$$u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$$
 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C([0, T]: H)$.

By (2.6), there exists a sunsequence $\mathcal{E}_j \rightarrow 0$ and $w \in L^2(0, T; H)$ such that

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow w \quad \text{as} \quad j \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{in} \quad w - L^2(0, T; H) \,.$$

Hence we get

$$u \in W^{1,1}(0, T: H), w = \frac{du}{dt} \in L^2(0, T: H)$$

By (2.5), we have

$$\int_{s}^{t} c_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) \varphi(v) dx - \int_{s}^{t} c_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) \varphi u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x) dx$$
$$\geq \int_{s}^{t} (\sqrt{c(x)}h(x) - \frac{du_{\mathfrak{e}}}{dx}(x), v - u_{\mathfrak{e}}(x)) dx$$
for any $v \in D(\varphi)$ and $[s, t] \subset [0, T]$.

Letting $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_j \rightarrow 0$, we get $c(t) \varphi u(t) \in L^1(0, T)$ and

$$\int_{s}^{t} c(x) \varphi(v) dx - \int_{s}^{t} c(x) \varphi(u) dx$$
$$\geq \int_{s}^{t} (\sqrt{c(x)}h(x) - \frac{d}{dx}u(x), v - u(x)) dx.$$

K. MARUO AND S. YOTSUTANI

For any Lebesque point of $c(t)\varphi u(t)$, $\sqrt{c(t)} h(t)$ and $\frac{du}{dt}(t)$, we have

$$c(t)\varphi(v) - c(t)\varphi u(t) \ge (\sqrt{c(t)}h(t) - \frac{du}{dt}(t), v - u(t))$$

for any $v \in D(\varphi)$

Hence we get that u(t) is a strong solution of (2.1), and in view of (2.7) we know that $u(t) \in D$ for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Cace (2):
$$h(t) \in L^{1}(0, T: H), u_{0} \in D.$$

There exists $\{u_0^i\}_{j\geq 1} \subset D$ such that $u_0^j \to u_0$ as $j \to \infty$ in H and $\{h^j(t)\}_{j\geq 1} \subset L^2(0, T: H)$ such that $h^j \to h$ as $j \to \infty$ in $L^1(0, T: H)$. Let $u^j \in C([0, T]: H)$ be a strong solution of (2.1) with the initial deta u_0^j . By Lemma 1.2, we get

$$|u^{j}(t)-u^{k}(t)| \leq |u_{0}^{j}-u_{0}^{k}| + \int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{c(s)} |h^{j}(s)-h^{k}(s)| ds$$

So, $\{u^j\}_{j\geq 1}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C([0, T]: H). There exists a $u \in C([0, T]; H)$ such that

 $u^j \rightarrow u$ in C([0, T]: H).

By the definition, u is a s-weak solution of (2.1).

Cace (3): $h(t) \in L^2(0, T: H), u_0 \in \overline{D}$.

There exists $\{u_0^i\}_{j\geq 1} \subset D$ such that $u_0^j \to u_0$ as as $j \to \infty$ in H. If $\int_0^t c(s) ds > 0$, for any $t \in [0, T]$, we denote by $v_{\varepsilon}^j(y)$ a unique strong solution of (2.2) with a initial deta u_0^j . By [1] Theorem 3.6, we get, for any $\delta \in [0, T]$,

$$\int_{\sigma_{\mathfrak{e}}(\delta)}^{\sigma_{\mathfrak{e}}(T)} \left| \frac{d}{dy} v_{\mathfrak{e}}^{\mathfrak{z}}(y) \right|^2 dy \leq (\sqrt{2\sigma(\delta)})^{-1} \cdot C_2 + C_3$$
(2.10)

where C_2 and C_3 are positive constants independent of \mathcal{E} , j and δ .

Let $u_{\varepsilon}^{i}(t) = v_{\varepsilon}^{i}(\sigma_{\varepsilon}(t)) t \in [0, T]$, then we know that $u_{\varepsilon}^{i}(t)$ is a unique strong solution of (2.1) with the initial deta u_{0}^{i} . By (2.10), we get

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left| \frac{d}{dt} u_{\varepsilon}^{j}(t) \right|^{2} dt \leq (\sqrt{2\sigma(\delta)})^{-1} \cdot C_{4} + C_{5}$$

where C_4 and C_5 are positive constants. As in Case (1) we get

$$u_{\varepsilon}^{j} \rightarrow u^{j}$$
 as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ in $C([0, T]: H)$

where u^{j} is a unique strong solution of (2.1) with the initial deta u_{0}^{j} . Using the method of Case (1) we have

CERTAIN DEGENERATE EVOLUTION EQUATION

$$\int_{\delta}^{T} \left| \frac{d}{dt} u^{j}(t) \right|^{2} dt \leq (\sqrt{2\sigma(\delta)})^{-1} \cdot C_{4} + C_{5} .$$
(2.11)

Now, recalling the proof of Case (2), we know that u is a unique *s*-weak solution of (2.1) with the initial deta u_0 where $u^j \rightarrow u$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ in C([0, T]: H) and more-over u is a strong solution of (2.1) by (2.11).

Finally, if there exists positive constant $\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}$ such that

$$\delta_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = inf \{ \delta \in [0, T]; \int_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}^{\delta} c(s) ds > 0 \},$$

we put

$$u(t) = \begin{cases} u_0 & 0 \leq t \leq \delta_0 \\ \text{the solution of (2.1) with the initial deta } u_0 \\ \delta_0 \leq t \leq T \end{cases}$$

Using the above method and Lemma 1.2, then u(t) is a picewise strong solution of (2.1). We complete the proof of this Lemma.

Now, for simplicity we denote by $E(f, u_0)$ the initial value problem

$$\frac{du}{dt} + \partial(c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})u \ni f, u(0) = u_0.$$

Lemma 2.2. For any $f(t) \in L^1(0, T: H)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{D}$ there exists a weak solution of $E(f, u_0)$.

Proof. We put

$$N = \{t \in [0, T]; c(t) = 0\}, P = \{t \in [0, T]; c(t) > 0\} \text{ and } R = P \cup int N.$$

By the assumption (0.3), we have measure ([0, T]-R)=0. Since R is an open set, there exists an at most countable set of disjoint open intervals $\{]a_i, b_i[\}_{i\geq 1}$ such that $R = \sum_{i\geq 1}]a_i, b_i[$. If $\{i\}$ is a finite set, the proof is easier. Thus we assume $\{i\}$ is an infinite set. Let $f^i \in L^1(0, T: H)$ be the function such that

$$\begin{cases} f^{i}(t) = f(t); \ a_{j} + 2^{-i^{-1}}(b_{j} - a_{j}) < t < b_{j} - 2^{-i^{-1}}(b_{j} - a_{j}) \\ j = 1, 2, \cdots, i \\ f^{i}(t) = 0; \ \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(2.12)

Clearly

$$f^i \rightarrow f$$
 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^1(0, T: H)$. (2.13)

Let us consider the equation

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})u(t) \ni f^{i}(t) .$$
(2.14)

If $]a_j, b_j[\subset P]$, we can apply Lemma 2.1 in this interval since $f^i(t)$ vanishes near a_j and b_j . If $]a_j, b_j[\subset int N, (2.14)$ reduces to

$$\frac{du}{dt}(t) + \partial I_{\overline{D}} u(t) \ni f^i(t) ,$$

there. Hence there exists a unique piecewise s-weak solution u^i of $E(f^i, u_0)$. By Lemma 1.2, we have

$$|u^{j}(t)-u^{k}(t)| \leq \int_{0}^{T} |f^{j}(x)-f^{k}(x)| dx$$
 for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Thus there exists a function such that

 $u^i \rightarrow u$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in C([0, T]: H). (2.15)

By Lemma 1.1 we get the next iniquality for any $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le T$.

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})v(t) dt - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})u^i(t) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (f^i(t) - \frac{dv}{dt}(t), v(t) - u^i(t)) dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} |v(t_2) - u^i(t_2)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |v(t_1) - u^i(t_1)|^2$$

where $v \in W^{1,1}(t_1, t_2; H)$ such that $v(t) \in \overline{D}$ on $[t_1, t_2]$ and $(c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}})v(t) \in L^1(0, T)$.

Using (2.13) and (2.15), we can complete the proof.

Next we will get the uniqueness of weak solutions by establishing the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.3. Let u and $v \in C([0, T]: H)$ be two weak solution of E(f, u(0)) and E(g, v(0)) respectively. Then we get the same inequalities as (1.3) and (1.4).

Proof. Fix $z \in \overline{D}$, we define the operator K_z from $L^1(0, T: H)$ to C([0, T]: H) such that $K_z f$ is the weak solution of E(f, z) which is actually constructed in Lemma 2.2. Then we know that K_z is a singlevalued operator and $D(K_z) = L^1(0, T: H)$. Let $u_1 = K_z f_1$, $u_2 = K_z f_2$. By the proof of Lemma 2.2, there exist $\{u_1^i\}_{i\geq 1}$ and $\{u_2^i\}_{i\geq 1}$ such that u_p^i is a piecewises-weak solution of $E(f_p^i, z) p = 1, 2$. By Lemma 1.2 we get

$$|u_{1}^{i}(t) - u_{2}^{i}(t)| \leq \int_{u}^{T} |f_{1}^{i}(x) - f_{2}^{i}(x)| \, dx \, .$$
(2.16)

and so

$$|u_1(t)-u_2(t)| \leq \int_0^T |f_1(t)-f_2(t)| dt$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$. Hence K_z is a continuous operator from $L^1(0, T; H)$ to C([0, T]; H). For $f \in L^1(0, T; H)$, we denote by $M_z f$ the set of the weak solutions of E(f, z). Then we get

$$D(M_z) = L^1(0, T: H), K_z \subset M_z$$
.

Next, let $[f_1, u_1] \in M_z$ and $[f, u] \in K_z$. Since $f \in L^1(0, T: H)$, there exists $\{f_k\}_{k \ge 1} \subset L^2(0, T: H)$ such that

$$f_k \rightarrow f$$
 as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $L^1(0, T: H)$.

Let f^i be the function defined by (2.12) and f^i_k be the function defined similarly with f_k in place of f. There exists $\{u^i\}_{i\geq 1}$ such that u^i is a piecewise *s*-weak solution of $E(f^i, z)$ and

$$u^i \rightarrow u$$
 as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in $C([0, T]: H)$

by the proof of Lemma 2.2. And there exists $\{u_k^i\}_{i\geq 1, k\geq 1}$ such that u_k^i is a piecewise strong solution of $E(f_k^i, z)$ on $0=t_0^{i,k} < t_1^{i,k} < \cdots < t_{N_{i,k}}^{i,k} = T$. Consequently by Lemma 1.2, we have for any $t \in [0, T]$

$$|u_{k}^{i}(t)-u^{i}(t)| \leq \int_{0}^{T} |f^{i}(t)-f_{k}^{i}(t)| dt$$

So, $u_k^i \rightarrow u^i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in C([0, T]: H).

For fixed i,k, we take a positive constant p such that

$$0$$

For simplicity, we write t_j instead of $t_j^{i,k}$. Thus we know

$$\int_{t_{j+p}}^{t_{j+1}-p} (c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{1}(t) dt - \int_{t_{j+p}}^{t_{j+1}-p} (c(t)\varphi + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{k}^{j}(t) dt$$

$$\geq \int_{t_{j+p}}^{t_{j+1}-p} (f_{k}^{i} - \frac{d}{dt} u_{k}^{i}, u_{1} - u_{k}^{i}) dt, \quad j = 0, 1, \cdots, N_{i,k} - 1.$$
(2.17)

Since $[f_1, u_1] \in M_z$, we have

$$\int_{t_{j}+p}^{t_{j+1}-p} (c(x)\varphi+I_{\overline{D}})u_{k}^{i}(t)dt - \int_{t_{j}+p}^{t_{j+1}-p} (c(t)\varphi+I_{\overline{D}})u_{1}(t)dt$$
$$\geq \int_{t_{j}+p}^{t_{j+1}-p} (f_{1}-\frac{d}{dt}u_{k}^{i}, u_{k}^{i}-u_{1})dx$$

K. MARUO AND S. YOTSUTANI

$$+ \frac{1}{2} |u_{k}^{i}(t_{j+1}-p) - u_{1}(t_{j+1}-p)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |u_{k}^{i}(t_{j}+p) - u_{1}(t_{j}+p)|^{2}$$

for $j = 0, 1, \dots, N_{i,k} - 1$. (2.18)

Adding (2.17), (2.18) and letting $p \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$0 \ge \int_{0}^{T} (f_{1} - f_{k}^{i}, u_{k}^{i} - u_{1}) dt + \frac{1}{2} |u_{k}^{i}(T) - u_{1}(T)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |u_{k}^{i}(0) - u_{1}(0)|$$

Then we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} (f - f_{1}, u - u_{1}) dt \ge 0, \quad \text{for any} \quad [f, u] \in K_{z}, [f_{1}, u_{1}] \in M. \quad (2.19)$$

Let *h* be an arbitrary element of $L^{1}(0, T: H)$ and 0 < q < 1. Substituting $f=(1-q)f_{1}+qh$, in (2.19) and using the continuity of K_{z} , we easily get

$$\int_{0}^{T} (h-f_{1}, K_{z}f_{1}-u_{1}) dt \geq 0.$$

So we have $K_z f_1 = u_1$. Thus we obtain $K_z = M_z$. Recalling the proof of Lemma 2.2 we can easily show that (1.3) and (1.4) are valid for $[f, u] \in K_{u(0)}$, $[g, v] \in K_{v(0)}$. Thus the proof of the lemma is complete.

3. Proof of Theorem

Lemma 3.1. For any $f \in L^1(0, T; H)$ and $u_0 \in \overline{D}$, there exists a weak solution of (0,1) with the initial deta u_0 .

Proof. There exists a sequence $\{f_k\}_{k\geq 1} \subset L^2(0, T: H)$ such that $f_k \to f$ as $k\to\infty$ in $L^1(0, T: H)$. Let $\{f_k^i\}_{k\geq 1, i\geq 1}$ be the family of functions defined in the proof of Lemma 2.3. Setting $t_p^n = 2^{-n} \cdot p \cdot T$, define

$$\varphi_n^t(u) = \varphi_p^{t_p^n}(u); \text{ for } t_p^n \leq t < t_{p+1}^n, p = 0, 1, \cdots, 2^n - 1.$$

Let $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1} \subset C([0, T]: H)$ be the functions such that $u_n(t)$; $t_p \leq t \leq t_{p+1}$, $p = 0, 1, \dots, 2^n - 1$, is a unique weak solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_n(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi_n^t + I_{\overline{D}})u_n(t) \ni f(t)$$

$$u_n(t_p^n) = \begin{cases} u_0, & \text{if } p = 0.\\ \text{the value of } u_n(t) (t_{p-1}^n \le t \le t_p^n) & \text{at } t = t_p^n, \\ \text{if } p = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1. \end{cases}$$

These functions $\{u_n\}_{n\geq 1}$ exist by virtue of Lemma 2.2. For simplicity we write $u_n = S_n(f, u_0)$ and similarly define $u_{n,k} = S_n(f_k, u_0)$ and $u_{n,k}^i = S_n(f_k^i, u_0)$. By

Lemma 2.1 $u_{n,k}^{i}(t)$; $t_{p}^{n} \leq t \leq t_{p+1}^{n}$, is a piecewise strong solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{n,k}^{i}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi_{n}^{t} + I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{i} \ni f_{k}^{i}(t) .$$

Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$|u_{n,k}^{i}(t)-u_{n,k}(t)| \leq \int_{0}^{T} |f_{k}^{i}-f_{k}| dx$$
, for any $t \in [0, T]$.

Consequently we find $u_{n,k}^t \rightarrow u_{n,k}$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$ in C([0, T]: H). We also get $u_{n,k} \rightarrow u_n$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in C([0, T]: H). Taking $v \in D$, we have

$$(c(t)\varphi_{n}^{t}+I_{\overline{D}})v-(c(t)\varphi_{n}^{t}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{t}$$

$$\geq (f_{k}^{t}-\frac{d}{dt}u_{n,k}^{t},v-u_{n,k}^{t})$$

$$= (f_{k}^{t},v-u_{n,k}^{t})+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|v-u_{n,k}^{t}|^{2}, \quad a.e. [0, T], \qquad (3.1)$$

Since $u_{n,k}^{t}$ is continuous, piecewise absolutely continuous on [0, T] and there exist positive constants a_1 and a_2 such that

$$\varphi^t u \ge -a_1 |u| - a_2$$
, for any $u \in H$, $t \in [0, T]$

(see [2]), we get by [1] p. 156 Lemma A.5

$$|u_{n,k}^{i}(t)| \leq C_{1}$$
, (3.2)

where C_1 is a positive constant independent of n, k, i and t. By (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(s)\varphi_n^s + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{n,k}^s ds \leq C_2$$
(3.3)

where C_2 is a positive constant independent of n, k, i, t_1, t_2 . Since $u_{n,k}^i, u_{m,k}^i(m \ge n)$ are piecewise strong solutions, we have

$$(c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{m,k}^{t}-(c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{t} \ge (f_{k}^{t}-\frac{d}{ds}u_{n,k}^{t}, u_{m,k}^{t}-u_{n,k}^{t})$$

$$(c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{t}-(c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{m,k}^{t} \ge (f_{k}^{t}-\frac{d}{ds}u_{m,k}^{t}, u_{n,k}^{t}-u_{m,k}^{t})$$

$$a.a \quad [0, T].$$

By adding these two inequalities, we get

$$(c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{m,k}^{t}(s)-(c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{m,k}^{t}(s) +(c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{t}(s)-(c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s}+I_{\overline{D}})u_{n,k}^{t}(s) \ge \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{ds}|u_{m,k}^{t}(s)-u_{n,k}^{t}(s)|^{2}.$$

Since $u_{m,k}^i$, $u_{n,k}^i \in C([0, T]: H)$ are piecewise absolutely continuous, we have

$$\int_{0}^{T} \{ (c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s} + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{m,k}^{i}(s) - (c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s} + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{m,k}^{i}(s) \} ds + \int_{0}^{T} \{ (c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s} + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{n,k}^{i}(s) - (c(s)\varphi_{m}^{s} + I_{\overline{D}}) u_{n,k}^{i}(s) \} ds \geq \frac{1}{2} | u_{m,k}^{i}(t) - u_{n,k}^{i}(t) |^{2}, \quad \text{for any} \quad t \in [0, T] .$$
(3.4)

In view of (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and (0.2), for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an integer $n_0 > 0$ such that

$$|u_{m,k}^{i}(t)-u_{n,k}^{i}(t)| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C_{2}$$
 for any $m \geq n \geq n_{0}$,

where C_2 is a positive constant independent of m, n, k, i and t. Then we have

$$|u_m(t) - u_n(t)| \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon} C_3 \quad \text{for any} \quad m \geq n \geq n_0 \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.5)$$

Hence there exists $u \in C([0, T]: H)$ such that

$$u_n \rightarrow u$$
 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $C([0, T]: H)$. (3.6)

By (3.2) and (3.3), we also have

$$|u_n(t)| \le C_1 \quad \text{for any} \quad n \quad \text{and} \quad t \in [0, T], \quad (3.7)$$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(s)\varphi_n^s + I_D) u_n(s) ds \leq C_2 \quad \text{for any } n \text{ and } 0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq T. \quad (3.8)$$

From the construction of u_n , we have

$$u_n(t) \in \overline{D}$$
 on $[0, T]$, $(c(t)\varphi_n^t + I_{\overline{D}})u_n(t) \in L^1(0, T; H)$

for any $[t_1, t_2] \subset [0, T]$

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(s) \phi_n^s + I_{\bar{D}}) v(s) ds - \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (c(s) \phi_n^s + I_D) u_n(s) ds$$

$$\geq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} (f(s) - \frac{d}{ds} v(s), v(s) - u_n(s)) ds$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} |v(t_2) - u_n(t_2)|^2 - \frac{1}{2} |v(t_1) - u_n(t_1)|^2$$

for any $v \in W^{1,1}(t_1, t_2; H)$ such that $v(t) \in \overline{D}$ on $[t_1, t_2]$ and $(c(t)\varphi^t + I_D)v(t) \in L^1(t_1, t_2)$.

Using (3.6), (3.7), (3.8) and (0,2), we prove the existence of a solution.

Now, we get the uniqueness of solutions by the following Lemma.

Lemma 3.2. Let $f, g \in L^1(0, T: H)$ and u, v be two weak solutions of (1.1), (1.2) respectively. Then we get the inequalities same as (1.3), (1.4).

Proof. Fix $z \in \overline{D}$, we define the operator K_z from $L^1(0, T: H)$ into C([0, T]: H) such that $K_z f$ is the weak solution of (0. 1) with the initial value z which is actually constructed in Lemma 3.1. We know that K_z is a singlevalued operator and $D(K_z)=L^1(0, T: H)$. By using Lemma 2.3, we see that K_z is a continuous operator from $L^1(0, T: H)$ to C([0, T]: H). For any $f \in L^1(0, T: H)$, we denote by $M_z f$ the set of weak solutions of (0. 1) with the initial deta z. Thus $D(M_z)=L^1(0, T: H), K_z \subset M_z$. Let $[f, u] \in K_z, [f_1, u_1] \in M_z$ and $\{u_n\}, \{u_{n,k}\}, \{u_{n,k}^t\}$ be the sequences defined in the proof of Lemma 3.1 with u_0 replaced by z. We know $u_{n,k} \to u_{n,k}$ as $i \to \infty$, $u_{n,k} \to u_n$ as $k \to \infty$ and $u_n \to u$ as $n \to \infty$ in C([0, T]: H). Noting that $u_{n,k}^t$ is a strong solution of

$$\frac{d}{dt}u_{n,k}^{i}(t) + \partial(c(t)\varphi_{n}^{t} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{n,k}^{i} \ni f_{k}^{i}(t), \ u_{n,k}^{i}(0) = z$$

on $t_{j-1}^{n,k,i} \le t \le t_{j}^{n,k,i}, \ j = 1, 2, \cdots, N_{n,k,i},$ (3.9)

and following the proof of Lemma 2.3 we get

$$\int_{0}^{T} (c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{1} ds - \int_{0}^{T} (c(s)\varphi^{s} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{1} ds + \int_{0}^{T} (c(s)\varphi^{s} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{n,k}^{t} ds - \int_{0}^{T} (c(s)\varphi_{n}^{s} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{n,k}^{t} ds \geq \int_{0}^{T} (f_{1} - f_{k}^{t}, u_{n,k}^{t} - u_{1}) ds + \frac{1}{2} |u_{n,k}^{t}(T) - u_{1}(T)|^{2} - \frac{1}{2} |u_{n,k}^{t}(0) - u_{1}(0)|^{2}.$$
(3.10)

In view of (3.2), (3.3), (3.10) and (0.2), for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$\varepsilon \cdot C_{\bullet} \cdot \{\int_{0}^{T} (c(s)\varphi^{s} + I_{\bar{D}})u_{1}(s)ds + C_{5}\}$$
$$+ \int_{0}^{T} (f_{k}^{i} - f_{1}, u_{n,k}^{i} - u_{1})ds \ge 0$$

if *n* is sufficiently large where C_4 and C_5 are positive constants independent of *n*, *k* and *i*. So we have

$$\int_0^T (f-f_1, u-u_1) ds \ge 0,$$

for any $[f, u] \in K_z$ and $[f_1, u_1] \in M_z$. We can complete the proof by the method used at the last part of Lemma 2.3.

OSAKA UNIVERSITY

References

- [1] H. Brezis: Operateurs maximaux monotone, North-Holland, 1973.
- [2] H. Attouch et A. Damlamian: Problemes devolution dans les Hilbert et application,
 J. Math. pures et appl. 54 (1975), 53-74.
- [3] N. Kenmochi and T. Nagai: Weak solution for certain nonlear time-dependent parabolic variational inequalities, Hiroshima Math. J. 5 (1975), 525-535.
- [4] K. Maruo: On some evolution equations of subdifferential operators, Proc. Japan Acad. 51 (1975), 304-307.
- [5] J. Watanabe: On certain nonlinear evolution equations, J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 446-463.
- [6] A. Friedman and Shuss: Degenerate evolution equations in Hilbert space, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 161 (1971), 401-427.