

Title	On the Euler characteristic of the orbit space of a proper Γ-complex
Author(s)	Akita, Toshiyuki
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1999, 36(4), p. 783-791
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7063
rights	
Note	

# Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

Osaka University

Akita, T. Osaka J. Math. 36 (1999), 783-791

## ON THE EULER CHARACTERISTIC OF THE ORBIT SPACE OF A PROPER Γ-COMPLEX

Dedicated to Professor Fuichi Uchida on his 60th birthday

TOSHIYUKI AKITA \*

(Received November 17, 1997)

### 1. Introduction

Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete groups. A  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex X is said to be  $\Gamma$ -finite if it satisfies the following two conditions:

- 1. For each cell  $\sigma$  of X, the isotropy subgroup  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  of  $\sigma$  is of finite order.
- 2. The orbit space  $X/\Gamma$  is a finite complex.

In other words, X is  $\Gamma$ -finite if the action of  $\Gamma$  on X is proper and cocompact. For a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex X, define the equivariant Euler characteristic  $e(\Gamma, X)$  by

$$e(\Gamma, X) = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{E}} (-1)^{\dim \sigma} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{\sigma}|} \in \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is a set of representatives of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of cells of X and  $|\Gamma_{\sigma}|$  is the order of  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ . We agree  $e(\Gamma, X) = 0$  when  $X = \emptyset$ . The equivariant Euler characteristic and its variants appear in various contexts of mathematics. See [3, 4, 9, 11] for instance. In particular, when X is a manifold, the orbit space  $X/\Gamma$  can be regarded as an orbifold and  $e(\Gamma, X)$  is the *orbifold Euler characteristic* of  $X/\Gamma$  in the sense of [11].

In this paper, we prove the formula expressing the Euler characteristic of the orbit space of a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex in terms of equivariant Euler characteristics. More precisely, let X be a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , the centralizer  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ acts on the fixed point set  $X^{\gamma}$ . In this way  $X^{\gamma}$  is naturally a  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ -finite  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ -CWcomplex and hence  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$  is defined for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Our result is:

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group, and X a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Then

(1) 
$$\chi(X/\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)} e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma}),$$

<sup>\*</sup>The author is supported by Grand-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists (No. 09740072), the Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture.

where  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  is a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of elements of finite order in  $\Gamma$ .

Note that there are finitely many  $\gamma$ 's in  $\mathcal{F}(\Gamma)$  with  $X^{\gamma} \neq \emptyset$  and hence the summation in (1) makes sense.

In case a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex X is a smooth  $\Gamma$ -manifold, then  $X^{\gamma}$  is a submanifold of X for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order, so that the terms  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$  in (1) can be regarded as orbifold Euler characteristics of orbifolds  $X^{\gamma}/C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ . Thus Theorem 1 gives the expression of the Euler characteristic of  $X/\Gamma$  in terms of orbifold Euler characteristics of  $X^{\gamma}/C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ .

When  $\Gamma$  is a finite group, a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex is simply a finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex, and Theorem 1 implies

(2) 
$$\chi(X/\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(X^{\gamma}).$$

Thus Theorem 1 is a generalization of the well-known equation (2) for finite group actions. For the direct proof of the equation (2), see [6, p. 225].

If  $\Gamma$  is virtually torsion-free and X is a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex such that  $X^{\gamma}$  is nonempty and Q-acyclic for every element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order, then  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$ coincides with the Euler characteristic  $\chi(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma))$  of the group  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$  for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ of finite order, and Theorem 1 reduces to the following formula due to K. S. Brown (cf. [5, p. 261]):

$$\sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{i}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)} \chi(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)).$$

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In §2, we introduce Hattori-Stallings ranks of finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -modules, where  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  denotes the rational group algebra of  $\Gamma$ .

When X is a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex, its cellular chain groups  $C_i(X,\mathbb{Q})$  are finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -modules. In §3, we will see that  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$  can be expressed in terms of Hattori-Stallings ranks of cellular chain groups.

In §4, we will prove Theorem 1. The proof is done by the spectral sequence which converges to the homology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in the cellular chain complex  $C_*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , together with properties of Hattori-Stallings ranks which will be discussed in §2 and §3.

In the final section §5, we will consider the two special cases where (i)  $\Gamma$  is a finite group or (ii)  $\Gamma$  is virtually torsion-free, and  $X^{\gamma}$  is nonempty and Q-acyclic for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order, both of which are mentioned above.

Throughout this paper, we emply the following conventions unless otherwise stated:  $\Gamma$  is a discrete group and  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  is its rational group algebra. A module over  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  is understood to be a left  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module.

#### 2. The Hattori-Stallings rank

The Hattori-Stallings rank was introduced by A. Hattori [8] and J. Stallings [10] and was studied by H. Bass in detail [1]. The reader should refer to [2] and [5, Chapter IX] for further detail of the Hattori-Stallings rank.

Let  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  be the rational group algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Define  $[\mathbb{Q}\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}\Gamma]$  be the additive subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  generated by  $\alpha\beta - \beta\alpha$  ( $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ ). Set  $T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma) = \mathbb{Q}\Gamma/[\mathbb{Q}\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}\Gamma]$ . It is easy to see that  $T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma)$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear space spaned by the set of conjugacy classes of elements of  $\Gamma$ , i.e.,

(3) 
$$T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma) \cong \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} \mathbb{Q} \cdot (\gamma),$$

where  $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$  is a set of representatives of conjugacy classes of elements of  $\Gamma$  and  $(\gamma)$  is the conjugacy class of  $\gamma$ .

Let  $\pi : \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \to T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma)$  be the natural projection. Under the identification (3),  $\pi$  assigns  $1 \cdot (\gamma) \in T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma)$  to  $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

Let P be a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module. Then P is a direct summand of a finitely generated free  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module F. Choose such F and let  $p: F \to P$  be the natural projection and  $i: P \hookrightarrow F$  the inclusion. By fixing a basis of F, the composite  $i \circ p$  can be identified with a square matrix M over  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ .

DEFINITION. Under these assumptions, the Hattori-Stallings rank  $r_{\Gamma}(P)$  of a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module P is defined by

$$r_{\Gamma}(P) = \pi(\mathrm{tr}M) \in T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma),$$

where trM is the trace of M.

Note that  $r_{\Gamma}(P)$  is well-defined, i.e., it is independent of various choices made. Under the identification (3), denote by  $r_{\Gamma}(P)(\gamma)$  the coefficient of  $(\gamma)$  in  $r_{\Gamma}(P)$ .

We recall some properties of the Hattori-Stallings rank, which will be used later.

**Proposition 2** (cf. [1, §2 (2.5)]). If  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -modules, then

$$r_{\Gamma}(P_1 \oplus P_2) = r_{\Gamma}(P_1) + r_{\Gamma}(P_2).$$

**Proposition 3** (cf. [1, §6 (6.3)]). Let  $\Gamma'$  be a subgroup of finite index of  $\Gamma$ , P a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module. Regarding P as a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma'$ -modules by the restriction of scalars, one has

$$r_{\Gamma'}(P)(\gamma) = (C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), C_{\Gamma'}(\gamma)) \cdot r_{\Gamma}(P)(\gamma)$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma'$ , where  $(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), C_{\Gamma'}(\gamma))$  is the index of  $C_{\Gamma'}(\gamma)$  in  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ .

Let  $f : \Gamma_1 \to \Gamma_2$  be a group homomorphism. Let P be a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_1$ -module. Then  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_1} P$  is a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_2$ modules, where  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_2$  is regarded as a right  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_1$ -module via f. Let  $T(f) : T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_1) \to T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_2)$  be the homomorphism induced by f.

**Proposition 4** (cf.  $[1, \S2(2.9)]$ ). Under these assumptions, one has

 $r_{\Gamma_2}(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_2 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_1} P) = T(f)(r_{\Gamma_1}(P)).$ 

In case  $\Gamma$  is a finite group, Hattori-Stallings ranks can be determined by the character:

**Proposition 5** (cf. [1, §5 (5.8)]). Let  $\Gamma$  be a finite group. Let V be a  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module which is finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Then V is finitely generated and projective, and one has

$$r_{\Gamma}(V)(\gamma) = \frac{\chi(\gamma^{-1})}{|C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)|},$$

where  $\chi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{Q}$  is the character of V.

#### 3. Hattori-Stallings ranks and equivariant Euler characteristics

Now we consider the equivariant Euler characteristic  $e(\Gamma, X)$ . First we invoke the following elementary lemma, which may be well-known.

**Lemma 6.** Let X be a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Then its cellular chain group  $C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module.

Proof.  $C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  has a direct sum decomposition as a  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module:

(4) 
$$C_i(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigoplus_{\sigma} \mathbb{Q}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}} \mathbb{Q},$$

where  $\sigma$  ranges representatives of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of *i*-cells of X,  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  is the isotropy subgroup of  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}$  is regarded as a left  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}$ -module with the trivial action of  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$ , and  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$  is regarded naturally as a right  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}$ -module. Since X is  $\Gamma$ -finite, each  $\Gamma_{\sigma}$  is a finite subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , which implies that  $\mathbb{Q}$  is always finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}$ -module (cf. Proposition 5). Thus  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}} \mathbb{Q}$  is a finitely generated projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module. As the number of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of cells of X is finite, so is the number of direct summands in (4), which yields the lemma.

By Lemma 6, the Hattori-Stallings rank of  $C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  can be defined.

**Lemma 7.** Let X be a  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Then

$$r_{\Gamma}(C_i(X,\mathbb{Q}))(1) = \sum_{\sigma} \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{\sigma}|},$$

where  $\sigma$  ranges representatives of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of *i*-cells of X.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} r_{\Gamma}(C_{i}(X,\mathbb{Q}))(1) &= r_{\Gamma}\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma}\mathbb{Q}\Gamma\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma\sigma}\mathbb{Q}\right)(1) \quad \text{by (4)} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma}r_{\Gamma}(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma\otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma\sigma}\mathbb{Q})(1) \qquad \text{by Proposition 2} \\ &= \sum_{\sigma}T(i)(r_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{Q}))(1) \qquad \text{by Proposition 4,} \end{split}$$

where  $\sigma$  ranges representatives of  $\Gamma$ -orbits of *i*-cells of *X* and  $T(i) : T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma_{\sigma}) \to T(\mathbb{Q}\Gamma)$  is the map induced by the inclusion  $i : \Gamma_{\sigma} \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ . From Proposition 5 we conclude

$$T(i)(r_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{Q}))(1) = r_{\Gamma_{\sigma}}(\mathbb{Q})(1) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma_{\sigma}|},$$

proving the lemma.

By virtue of Lemma 7, we have

(5) 
$$e(\Gamma, X) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} r_{\Gamma}(C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}))(1).$$

Together with the result of K. S. Brown [4], we obtain the relation between the Hattori-Stallings rank of  $C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  and  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$  as follows:

**Proposition 8.** Let X be a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Then

(6) 
$$e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma}) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} r_{\Gamma}(C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}))(\gamma)$$

for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Proof. A direct consequence of the equality (5) and [4, Theorem 3.1 (iii)].

### 4. Proof of Theorem 1

Let X be a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Let  $H_*(\Gamma, C_*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$  be the homology of  $\Gamma$  with coefficients in the cellular chain complex  $C_*(X, \mathbb{Q})$ , which is isomorphic to the Borel homology (equivariant homology)  $H_*^{\Gamma}(X, \mathbb{Q})$  (cf. [5, Chapter VII]). Since the isotropy subgroup of every cell of X is finite, the Borel homology of X is isomorphic to the rational homology of the orbit space:

(7) 
$$H_*(\Gamma, C_*(X, \mathbb{Q})) \cong H_*^{\Gamma}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}).$$

**Lemma 9.** Let X be a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW-complex. Then

$$\sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{i}(\Gamma, C_{*}(X, \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}).$$

Proof. Consider the spectral sequence

$$E_{i,j}^1 = H_j(\Gamma, C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})) \Rightarrow H_{i+j}(\Gamma, C_*(X, \mathbb{Q}))$$

(cf. [5, VII.5 and VII.7). Since  $C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})$  is a projective  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module for all i, we have

$$E_{i,j}^{1} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_{i}(X,\mathbb{Q}) & j = 0\\ 0 & j \neq 0 \end{cases}$$

As  $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_i(X, \mathbb{Q}) < \infty$  for all *i*, we obtain the desired equation.

Now we prove Theorem 1. By Proposition 4 (take  $\Gamma_2$  to be the trivial subgroup),

(8) 
$$\dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_i(X, \mathbb{Q})) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} r_{\Gamma}(C_i(X, \mathbb{Q}))(\gamma).$$

Hence

$$\chi(X/\Gamma) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{i}(\Gamma, C_{*}(X, \mathbb{Q})) \qquad \text{by (7)}$$
$$= \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \qquad \text{by Lemma 9}$$
$$= \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \left( \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} r_{\Gamma}(C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q}))(\gamma) \right) \qquad \text{by (8)}$$

EULER CHARATERISTICS OF ORBIT SPACES

$$\begin{split} &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} \left( \sum_i (-1)^i r_{\Gamma}(C_i(X,\mathbb{Q}))(\gamma) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma}) \qquad \text{ by Proposition 8.} \end{split}$$

For an element  $\gamma$  of infinite order, we have  $X^{\gamma} = \emptyset$  and hence  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma}) = 0$ , which proves Theorem 1.

#### 5. Remarks

#### 5.1. Finite group actions

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a finite group. Let X be a finite  $\Gamma$ -complex. By Proposition 5, we have

$$e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma}) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} r_{C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)}(C_{i}(X^{\gamma}, \mathbb{Q}))(1) = \frac{\chi(X^{\gamma})}{|C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)|}.$$

By Theorem 1, we have

$$\chi(X/\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} \frac{\chi(X^{\gamma})}{|C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)|} = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{C}(\Gamma)} \frac{|\Gamma|}{|C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)|} \chi(X^{\gamma}).$$

Since  $|\Gamma|/|C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)|$  is the cardinality of the conjugacy class ( $\gamma$ ), we obtain

$$\chi(X/\Gamma) = \frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \chi(X^{\gamma}).$$

Hence Theorem 1 implies the well-known equality for finite group actions.

#### 5.2. Euler characteristics of groups

Suppose that  $\Gamma$  is a group of finite homological type, then one can define its Euler characteristic  $\chi(\Gamma)$  in the sense of C. T. C. Wall [12]. See [5, Chapter IX] for relevant definitons. Suppose in addition the centralizer  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$  is of finite homological type for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order. Under these assumptions, K. S. Brown obtained the following formula:

(9) 
$$\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{F}(\Gamma)} \chi(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)),$$

where  $\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma)$  is the *naive Euler characteristic* of  $\Gamma$  defined by  $\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}} H_{i}(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q})$  (cf. [5, p. 261]). This formula was used by J. Harer and D. Zagier in the computation of the Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves [7].

We will give a relation between the equation (9) and Theorem 1. Let  $\Gamma$  be a discrete group and X a  $\Gamma$ -finite  $\Gamma$ -CW complex such that  $X^{\gamma}$  is nonempty and  $\mathbb{Q}$ -acyclic for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order. If  $\Gamma$  is virtually torsion-free, then  $C_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$  is of finite homological type for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  of finite order (including  $\gamma = 1$ ), and  $\chi(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma))$  coincides with  $e(C_{\Gamma}(\gamma), X^{\gamma})$  (cf. [4, pp. 111-112]). In this case the equation (1) in Theorem 1 reduces to the equation (9), since

$$H_*(\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*^{\Gamma}(X, \mathbb{Q}) \cong H_*(X/\Gamma, \mathbb{Q}).$$

However, we claim the equation (9) for this special case can be deduced without the use of the spectral sequence appeared in the proof of Lemma 9. To see this, observe  $\varepsilon : C_*(X, \mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$  is a projective resolution of  $\mathbb{Q}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ , where  $\mathbb{Q}$  is regarded as a  $\mathbb{Q}\Gamma$ -module with the trivial  $\Gamma$ -action and  $\varepsilon$  is the augmentation. Hence

$$\tilde{\chi}(\Gamma) = \sum_{i} (-1)^{i} \dim_{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}\Gamma} C_{i}(X, \mathbb{Q})).$$

Now the claim follows from this together with (8) and Proposition 8.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professor Katsuo Kawakubo for his advices.

#### References

- [1] H. Bass: Euler characteristics and characters of discrete groups, Invent. Math. 35 (1976), 155–196
- [2] H. Bass: Traces and Euler characteristics, Homological group theory (C. T. C. Wall ed.), London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes 36, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1979, 1–26.
- [3] K. S. Brown: Euler characteristics of discrete groups and G-spaces, Invent. Math. 27 (1974), 229-264.
- [4] K. S. Brown: Complete Euler characteristics and fixed-point theory, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 24 (1982),

<sup>103–121.</sup> [5] K. S. Brown: Cohomology of Groups, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 87, Springer-Verlag, New York-

Heidelberg-Berlin, 1982.

<sup>[6]</sup> T. tom Dieck: Transformation Groups, de Gruyter Studies in Mathematics 8, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1987.

 <sup>[7]</sup> J. Harer, D. Zagier: The Euler characteristic of the moduli space of curves, Invent. Math. 85 (1986), 457–485.

<sup>[8]</sup> A. Hattori: Rank element of a projective module, Nagoya J. Math. 25 (1965), 113-120.

<sup>[9]</sup> J.-P. Serre: Cohomologie de groupes discrets, Ann. of Math. Studies 70, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1971, 77–169.

<sup>[10]</sup> J. Stallings: Centerless groups-an algebraic formulation of Gottlieb's theorem, Topology 4 (1965), 129– 134.

#### EULER CHARATERISTICS OF ORBIT SPACES

- [11] W. Thurston: The Geometry and Topology of Three-Manifolds, mimeograph notes, Princeton University, 1980.
- [12] C. T. C. Wall: Rational Euler characteristics, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 57 (1961), 182-183.

Department of Applied Mathematics Fukuoka University Fukuoka 814-0180, Japan

Current address: Division of Mathematics Graduate School of Science Hokkaido University Sapporo 060-0810, Japan e-mail:akita@math.sci.hokudai.ac.jp