

Title	ON THE MOMENT-ANGLE MANIFOLD CONSTRUCTED BY FAN, CHEN, MA AND WANG
Author(s)	Iriye, Kouyemon
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2018, 55(4), p. 587-593
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/70812">https://doi.org/10.18910/70812</a>
rights	
Note	

*Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

# ON THE MOMENT-ANGLE MANIFOLD CONSTRUCTED BY FAN, CHEN, MA AND WANG

KOUYEMON IRIYE

(Received August 24, 2016, revised March 8, 2017)

## Abstract

Fan, Chen, Ma and Wang [5] constructed a moment-angle manifold whose cohomology ring is isomorphic to that of the connected sum of sphere products consisting of one product of three spheres. In this paper, we show that these are in fact diffeomorphic.

## 1. Introduction

The topology of moment-angle manifolds has been studied by many authors [9, 4, 2, 6], and it is now known that this can be rather complicated. A connected sum of sphere products gives a typical example of such manifolds.

**Theorem 1.1** (McGavran [9] and Bosio-Meersseman [2]). *Let  $K$  be the triangulation of a sphere that is dual to the simple polytope obtained from the  $k$ -simplex by cutting off  $\ell > 0$  vertices, i.e., the boundary of a stacked polytope. Then, the moment-angle manifold associated to  $K$  is diffeomorphic to a connected sum of sphere products  $Z_K \cong \#_{j=1}^{\ell} (S^{j+2} \times S^{2k+\ell-j-1})^{\#j \binom{\ell+1}{j+1}}$ .*

Here,  $X^{\#j}$  denotes the connected sum of  $j$ -copies of a manifold  $X$  without boundary. Moreover, for  $k = 2, 3$  Bosio and Meersseman characterized precisely the spherical triangulation that gives rise to a connected sum of sphere products as a moment-angle manifold. See Proposition 11.6 of [2]. In addition, see the paper [6]. In these observations, only a product of two spheres appears.

Fan, Chen, Ma, and Wang [5] found that the cohomology ring of the moment-angle manifold  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$  is isomorphic to that of the connected sum of sphere products

$$(1.1) \quad M = (S^3 \times S^3 \times S^6) \# (S^5 \times S^7) \#^8 (S^6 \times S^6) \#^8,$$

where  $\partial P_{28}^8$  is the boundary of  $P_{28}^8$  (a simplicial 4-polytope with 8 vertices) described in [7]. The combinatorial structure of  $\partial P_{28}^8$  is described in §2 in terms of missing faces and facets.

In this paper, we show that the moment-angle manifold  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$  is in fact diffeomorphic to the connected sum of sphere products given above, and we affirm the conjecture of [5].

**Theorem 1.2.** *The moment-angle manifold  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$  is diffeomorphic to  $M$  as defined by (1.1).*

The author thanks Daisuke Kishimoto for useful conversations, and Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

**2. Moment-angle manifold**

To prove that  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$  is diffeomorphic to  $M$ , we follow the standard method, i.e., the use of  $h$ -cobordism theory. The following theorem is a simple modification of Theorem A1 of Gitler and López [6].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $Q$  be a compact smooth manifold of dimension  $d + 1 \geq 6$  with boundary  $\partial Q$ , satisfying the following:*

- (1)  $Q$  is simply connected with a simply connected boundary.
- (2) There is a finite collection  $\{X_j\}$  of disjointly embedded closed smooth manifolds inside  $Q$  with trivial normal bundles.
- (3) The embedding  $\coprod_j X_j \rightarrow Q$  induces isomorphisms of integral homology groups of positive dimensions, and  $H_i(Q) = 0$  for  $i \geq d - 1$ .

Then,  $Q$  is diffeomorphic to the boundary connected sum of  $\coprod_j X_j \times D^{d+1-\dim X_j}$ , and therefore  $\partial Q$  is diffeomorphic to  $\#_j (X_j \times S^{d-\dim X_j})$ .

To construct a manifold  $Q$  with boundary  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$ , we employ the polyhedral product.

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on the vertex set  $[m] = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . A moment-angle complex  $Z_K$  associated to  $K$  is defined by

$$Z_K = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (D^2)^\sigma \times (S^1)^{[m] \setminus \sigma} \subset (D^2)^m.$$

This construction has been generalized to the polyhedral product. See [1, 3]. Let  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \{(X_i, A_i)\}_{i \in [m]}$  be a set of pairs of spaces  $(X_i, A_i)$ . For a subset  $I \subset [m]$ , we define

$$(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^I = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in \prod_{i=1}^m X_i \mid x_j \in A_j \text{ if } j \notin I\}.$$

Then, the polyhedral product  $Z_K(\underline{X}, \underline{A})$  is defined as the union of  $(\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma$  for all faces  $\sigma$  of  $K$ :

$$Z_K(\underline{X}, \underline{A}) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in K} (\underline{X}, \underline{A})^\sigma.$$

If  $K$  is an  $n$ -dimensional triangulated sphere with  $m$  vertices, then  $Z_K$  has the structure of an  $(n + m + 1)$ -dimensional manifold. See Theorem 4.1.4 of [3]. Moreover, if  $K$  is the boundary of a simplicial polytope, then  $Z_K$  is a smooth manifold. See Theorem 6.2.4 of [3]. Therefore,  $Z_K$  is called a moment-angle manifold if  $K$  is a triangulated sphere.

Now, we recall the simplicial complex  $\partial P_{28}^8$  in the manner described by Fan, Chen, Ma, and Wang [5].

**DEFINITION 2.2.** For a simplicial complex  $K$  on  $[m]$ , a subset  $I \subset [m]$  is called a *missing face* or *minimal non-face* of  $K$  if  $I$  is not a simplex of  $K$ , but all of its proper subsets are faces of  $K$ . The set of missing faces of  $K$  is denoted by  $\text{MF}(K)$ .

For a sequence of integers  $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k$ ,  $i_1 i_2 \dots i_k$  denotes the set  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k\}$ .  $\partial P_{28}^8$

is a simplicial complex with the vertex set [8], and is characterized by its missing faces

$$MF(\partial P_{28}^8) = \{56, 78, 123, 134, 235, 346, 147, 467, 128, 258\},$$

and  $\partial P_{28}^8$  has 18 facets (maximal faces):

$$\begin{aligned} &1245, 1246, 1257, 1267, 1357, 1367, 2347, 2367, 2457, \\ &3457, 1458, 1468, 1358, 1368, 2348, 2368, 2468, 3458. \end{aligned}$$

$K = \partial P_{28}^8$  is decomposed as  $K = K_{[6]} \cup \text{link}_K(7) * 7 \cup \text{link}_K(8) * 8$ , where  $\text{link}_K(v)$  denotes the link of  $v$  in  $K$ . For reader's convenience,  $K_{[6]}$ ,  $\text{link}_K(7)$  and  $\text{link}_K(8)$  are described in Figure 1. As noted in [5],  $K_{[6]}$  can be viewed as a thick 2-sphere with two 3-simplices 1245 and 1246.




Fig.1.  $K = \partial P_{28}^8$

Let  $D_+^3 = \{(x, z) \in \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C} \mid x^2 + |z|^2 \leq 1, x \geq 0\}$  and  $S_+^2 = \{(x, z) \in D_+^3 \mid x^2 + |z|^2 = 1\}$ . Set  $Q = Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}((D_+^3, S_+^2), (D^2, S^1), \dots, (D^2, S^1))$ . Then,  $Q$  is a 13-dimensional smooth manifold with boundary  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}$ . Because  $(D_+^3, S_+^2)$  is contractible as a pair of spaces,  $Q$  is homotopy equivalent to  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8}((*, *), (D^2, S^1), \dots, (D^2, S^1))$ , which is identified with  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8 - 1}$ , where  $\partial P_{28}^8 - 1 = \{\sigma \subset \{2, \dots, 8\} \mid \sigma \in \partial P_{28}^8\}$ . To apply Theorem 2.1, we need to know the homotopy type of  $Z_{\partial P_{28}^8 - 1}$ . For a subset  $I \subset [8]$ , we denote the full subcomplex of  $\partial P_{28}^8$  on  $I$  by  $(\partial P_{28}^8)_I$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *The following homotopy equivalence holds:*

$$\begin{aligned} Q \simeq Z_{\partial P_{28}^8 - 1} \simeq (S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3) \vee S_{235}^5 \vee S_{346}^5 \vee S_{467}^5 \vee S_{258}^5 \\ \vee S_{4678}^6 \vee S_{4567}^6 \vee S_{3467}^6 \vee S_{3456}^6 \vee S_{2578}^6 \vee S_{2568}^6 \vee S_{2358}^6 \vee S_{2356}^6 \vee S_{45678}^7 \vee S_{25678}^7 \vee S_{23568}^7 \vee S_{34567}^7, \end{aligned}$$

where  $S_I^k$  denotes the sphere  $S^k$ , which appears for the first time in  $Z_{(\partial P_{28}^8)_I}$  as a wedge summand.

**3. Fat wedge filtration**

To prove Theorem 2.3, we make use of the fat wedge filtration introduced by Kishimoto and the author in [8].

Let  $K$  be a simplicial complex on  $[m]$ . For each subset  $I \subset [m]$ ,  $K_I$  denotes the full subcomplex on  $I$ . That is,  $K_I = \{\sigma \in K \mid \sigma \subset I\}$ . We regard  $Z_{K_I}$  as a subspace of  $Z_K$ , by identifying it with  $Z_{K_I} \times \{-1\}^{I^c}$ . On the other hand, the projection  $(D^2)^m \rightarrow (D^2)^I$  induces the projection  $Z_K \rightarrow Z_{K_I}$ . In particular,  $Z_{K_I}$  is a retract of  $Z_K$ .

Now, we recall the fat wedge filtration of  $Z_K$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq m$ , the fat wedge filtration of  $Z_K$  is given by

$$Z_K^i = \{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in Z_K \mid \text{at least } m - i \text{ of } x_j \text{ are } -1\},$$

which induces the following filtration of  $Z_K$ :

$$Z_K^0 = \{*\} \subset Z_K^1 \subset \dots \subset Z_K^i \subset \dots \subset Z_K^m = Z_K,$$

where  $*$  =  $(-1, \dots, -1)$ . Then, it is easy to see that

$$Z_K^i = \bigcup_{I \subset [m], |I| \leq i} Z_{K_I}.$$

The key property of the fat wedge filtration of the moment-angle complex is the following.

**Theorem 3.1** (Theorem 5.1 of [8]). *For  $i = 1, \dots, m$ ,  $Z_K^i$  is obtained from  $Z_K^{i-1}$  by attaching a cone to the composition of maps  $\varphi_{K_I} : \Sigma^i |K_I| \rightarrow Z_{K_I}^{i-1} \xrightarrow{\text{incl}} Z_K^{i-1}$ , for each  $I \subset [m]$  with  $|I| = i$ .*

There exist some classes of simplicial complexes whose attaching maps for  $Z_K^i$  are trivial. One such complex is the fillable complex.

**DEFINITION 3.2.** A simplicial complex  $K$  is *fillable* if there are missing faces  $L_1, \dots, L_r$  of  $K$  such that  $|K \cup \{L_1, \dots, L_r\}|$  is contractible.

**Theorem 3.3** (Theorem 7.2 of [8]). *If  $K$  is fillable, then the attaching map  $\varphi_K$  is null homotopic.*

**4. Proof of Theorem 2.3**

From this point on,  $K$  denotes  $\partial P_{28}^8$ . Here, we remark that  $(K - 1)_I = K_I$  for a subset  $I \subset [8] - 1$ . Because  $K - 1$  does not have ghost vertices, it is easy to see that  $Z_{K-1}^1 \simeq *$ .

Step I):  $Z_{K-1}^2$ . Because by Theorem 3.1 we have a cofiber sequence

$$\bigvee_{I \subset [8]-1, |I|=2} \Sigma^2 |K_I| \rightarrow Z_{K-1}^1 \simeq * \rightarrow Z_{K-1}^2$$

and  $|K_I|$  is not contractible for  $I \subset [8] - 1$  with  $|I| = 2$  if and only if  $I = 56$  or  $I = 78$ , we have a homotopy equivalence  $Z_{K-1}^2 \simeq S_{56}^3 \vee S_{78}^3$ .

Step II):  $Z_{K-1}^3$ .  $|K_I|$  is not contractible for  $I \subset [8] - 1$  with  $|I| = 3$  if and only if  $I$  is one of the four missing faces with three vertices in  $\text{MF}(K)$ , it does not contain the vertex 1, and in each case  $K_I \cong \partial \Delta^2$ . Clearly,  $\partial \Delta^2$  is fillable, and the attaching maps  $\varphi_{K_I}$  are all trivial by Theorem 3.3. Thus, we have that  $Z_{K-1}^3 \simeq S_{56}^3 \vee S_{78}^3 \vee S_{235}^5 \vee S_{346}^5 \vee S_{467}^5 \vee S_{258}^5$ .

Step III):  $Z_{K-1}^4$ . In [5], the authors classified non-contractible full subcomplexes of  $K$  with four vertices. There are three possible types described in Figure 2.




Fig.2

If  $K_I$  is of type A, then  $I = 5678$  and  $Z_{K_I} = S^3 \times S^3$ . If  $K_I$  is of type B or type C, then it is a fillable complex, and the attaching map is trivial. Thus, we have the homotopy equivalence

$$Z_{K-1}^4 \simeq (S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3) \vee S_{235}^5 \vee S_{346}^5 \vee S_{467}^5 \vee S_{258}^5 \vee S_{4678}^6 \vee S_{4567}^6 \vee S_{3467}^6 \vee S_{3456}^6 \vee S_{2578}^6 \vee S_{2568}^6 \vee S_{2358}^6 \vee S_{2356}^6.$$

Step IV):  $Z_{K-1}^5$ .  $|K_I|$  is not contractible for  $I \subset [8] - 1$  with  $|I| = 5$  if and only if  $I$  is the complement of one of the four missing faces with three vertices in  $MF(K)$  that contains the vertex 1. In all cases, it is easy to see that  $K_I$  is fillable, and the attaching map is trivial.

$$Z_{K-1}^5 \simeq (S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3) \vee S_{235}^5 \vee S_{346}^5 \vee S_{467}^5 \vee S_{258}^5 \vee S_{4678}^6 \vee S_{4567}^6 \vee S_{3467}^6 \vee S_{3456}^6 \vee S_{2578}^6 \vee S_{2568}^6 \vee S_{2358}^6 \vee S_{2356}^6 \vee S_{45678}^7 \vee S_{25678}^7 \vee S_{23568}^7 \vee S_{34567}^7.$$

Step V).  $Z_{K-1}^6$  and  $Z_{K-1}$ . For  $|I| = 6$ ,  $|K_I|$  is not contractible if and only if  $I$  is the complement of one of the two missing faces with two vertices in  $MF(K)$ . That is,  $I = 123456$  or  $I = 123478$ . In both cases  $I$  contains the vertex 1, and therefore  $|(K - 1)_I|$  is contractible for all  $I \subset [8] - 1$  with  $|I| = 6$ .

Because  $|K - 1|$  is contractible,  $Z_{K-1}^7 \simeq Z_{K-1}^6 \simeq Z_{K-1}^5$ , and we have completed the proof of Theorem 2.3.

**5. Proof of Theorem 1.2**

We call  $S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3$  or  $S_I^k$  a wedge summand when they appear as a wedge summand of  $Q$ . To construct an embedding for a wedge summand to  $Q$ , we make use of the smooth embedding  $Z_{\text{link}_K(\sigma)} \rightarrow Z_K$ , where  $\text{link}_K(\sigma) = \{\tau \in \sigma^c \mid \tau \cup \sigma \in K\}$  is the link of  $\sigma$  in  $K$ . To embed  $S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3$ , we use  $\text{link}_K(13) = \{0, 5, 6\} * \{0, 7, 8\}$ . Then,  $Z_{\text{link}_K(13)} = S^3 \times S^3$  and the embedding  $Z_{\text{link}_K(13)} = S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow Z_K \subset Q$  represents the wedge summand  $S_{56}^3 \times S_{78}^3$ .

To embed the other wedge summands, we use  $\text{link}_K(2)$  and  $\text{link}_K(4)$ .

The facets of  $\text{link}_K(2)$  are 145, 146, 157, 167, 347, 367, 457, 348, 368, 468, and therefore it is the boundary of a stacked polytope as  $\text{link}_K(2)$  is described below.




Fig.3.  $\text{link}_K(2)$

Thus  $\text{link}_K(2)$  is dual to the simple polytope obtained from the 3-simplex by cutting off 3 vertices. By Theorem 1.1, we see that  $Z_{\text{link}_K(2)} \cong (S^3 \times S^7)^{\#6} \# (S^4 \times S^6)^{\#8} \# (S^5 \times S^5)^{\#3}$ . It is easy to see where a sphere factor of  $Z_{\text{link}_K(2)}$  occurs, and we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{link}_K(2)} \cong & (S^3_{13} \times S^7_{45678}) \# (S^3_{18} \times S^7_{34567}) \# (S^3_{35} \times S^7_{14678}) \\ & \# (S^3_{56} \times S^7_{13478}) \# (S^3_{58} \times S^7_{13467}) \# (S^3_{78} \times S^7_{13456}) \\ & \# (S^4_{135} \times S^6_{4678}) \# (S^4_{138} \times S^6_{4567}) \# (S^4_{158} \times S^6_{3467}) \# (S^4_{178} \times S^6_{3456}) \\ & \# (S^4_{356} \times S^6_{1478}) \# (S^4_{358} \times S^6_{1467}) \# (S^4_{568} \times S^6_{1347}) \# (S^4_{578} \times S^6_{1346}) \\ & \# (S^5_{147} \times S^5_{3568}) \# (S^5_{346} \times S^5_{1578}) \# (S^5_{467} \times S^5_{1358}). \end{aligned}$$

Here, we remark that in the formula above, factors of  $S^k_I$  arise from  $Z_{(\text{link}_K(2))_I}$ . The composite of maps  $Z_{\text{link}_K(2)} \rightarrow Z_K \subset Q \xrightarrow{\cong} Z_{K-1}$  maps a summand  $S^k_I$  in  $Z_{\text{link}_K(2)}$  to  $S^k_I$  in  $Z_{K-1}$  in a homotopy equivalent manner for  $I = 346, 467, 4678, 4567, 3467, 3456, 45678, 34567$ . To see this, it is sufficient to check that  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_I| \rightarrow |K_I|$  is homotopy equivalent for those  $I$ 's by Theorem 3.1. For  $I = 346, 467, 4678, 4567$  or  $3467$ , it is a routine work to check that  $(\text{link}_K(2))_I = K_I$ . For  $I = 3456$ ,  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_{346}| = |K_{346}|$  is a deformation retract both of  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_I|$  and  $|K_I|$ . For  $I = 45678$ ,  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_{467}| = |K_{467}|$  is a deformation retract both of  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_I|$  and  $|K_I|$ . For  $I = 34567$ ,  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_{346}| = |K_{346}|$  is a deformation retract both of  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_I|$  and  $|K_I|$ . Thus in all cases the inclusion map  $|(\text{link}_K(2))_I| \rightarrow |K_I|$  induces a homotopy equivalence.

Similarly, the facets of  $\text{link}_K(4)$  are 126, 125, 168, 158, 238, 358, 268, 237, 357, 257. These are just those of  $\text{link}_K(2)$ , except with 4 replaced by 2 and  $7 \leftrightarrow 8$  exchanged with  $5 \leftrightarrow 6$ . Therefore, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{\text{link}_K(4)} \cong & (S^3_{13} \times S^7_{25678}) \# (S^3_{17} \times S^7_{23568}) \# (S^3_{36} \times S^7_{12578}) \\ & \# (S^3_{56} \times S^7_{12378}) \# (S^3_{67} \times S^7_{12358}) \# (S^3_{78} \times S^7_{12356}) \\ & \# (S^4_{136} \times S^6_{2578}) \# (S^4_{137} \times S^6_{2568}) \# (S^4_{167} \times S^6_{2358}) \# (S^4_{178} \times S^6_{2356}) \\ & \# (S^4_{356} \times S^6_{1278}) \# (S^4_{367} \times S^6_{1258}) \# (S^4_{567} \times S^6_{1238}) \# (S^4_{678} \times S^6_{1235}) \\ & \# (S^5_{128} \times S^5_{3567}) \# (S^5_{235} \times S^5_{1678}) \# (S^5_{258} \times S^5_{1367}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have obtained a necessary embedding for each wedge summand. Because all wedge summands are embedded in the boundary of  $Q$ , the embeddings can be made mutu-

ally disjoint and lying in a collar neighborhood of the boundary  $Z_K$ .

Finally, we see that the normal bundles of the embedded manifolds are trivial. The normal bundle of  $S^5$  (resp.  $S^7$ ) embedded in  $Z_K$  has been classified by the homotopy group  $[S^5, BO(7)] \cong [S^4, O(7)] \cong 0$  (resp.  $[S^7, BO(5)] \cong [S^6, O(5)] \cong 0$ ) in [11] and [10]. Thus, these are also trivial in  $Q$ . The normal bundle of  $S^6$  embedded in  $Q$  is classified by the homotopy group  $[S^6, BO(7)] \cong [S^5, O(7)] \cong 0$ . The normal bundle of  $S^3 \times S^3$  embedded in  $Q$  is classified by the homotopy set  $[S^3 \times S^3, BO(7)] = *$ . To see this, we consider the exact sequence associated with the cofiber sequence  $S^3 \vee S^3 \rightarrow S^3 \times S^3 \rightarrow S^6$ :

$$0 \cong [S^6, BO(7)] \rightarrow [S^3 \times S^3, BO(7)] \rightarrow [S^3 \vee S^3, BO(7)].$$

Because  $[S^3 \vee S^3, BO(7)] \cong [S^3, BO(7)] \times [S^3, BO(7)] \cong [S^2, O(7)] \times [S^2, O(7)] \cong 0$ , we see that  $[S^3 \times S^3, BO(7)] = *$ . Thus, all of the normal bundles are trivial, and we have proved Theorem 1.2 by applying Theorem 2.1.

---

### References

- [1] A. Bahri, M. Bendersky, F.R. Cohen and S. Gitler: *The polyhedral product functor: a method of decomposition for moment-angle complexes, arrangements and related spaces*, Adv. Math. **225** (2010), 1634–1668.
- [2] F. Bosio and L. Meersseman: *Real quadrics in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , complex manifolds and convex polytopes*, Acta Math. **197** (2006), 53–127.
- [3] V.M. Buchstaber and T.E. Panov: *Toric Topology*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **204**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island, 2015.
- [4] M. Davis and T. Januszkiewicz: *Convex polytopes, convex orbifolds and torus actions*, Duke Math. J. **62** (1991), 417–451.
- [5] F. Fan, L. Chen, J. Ma and X. Wang: *Moment-angle manifolds and connected sums of sphere products*, Osaka J. Math. **53** (2016), 31–45.
- [6] S. Gitler and S. López de Medrano: *Intersections of quadrics, moment-angle manifolds and connected sums*, Geom. Topol. **17** (2013), 1497–1534.
- [7] B. Grünbaum and V.P. Sreedharan: *An enumeration of simplicial 4-polytopes with 8 vertices*, J. Combinatorial Theory **2** (1967), 437–465.
- [8] K. Iriye and D. Kishimoto: *Fat wedge filtrations and decomposition of polyhedral products*, to appear in Kyoto J. Math.
- [9] D. McGavran: *Adjacent connected sums and torus actions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **251** (1979), 235–254.
- [10] M. Mimura: *The Homotopy groups of Lie groups of low rank*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **6** (1967), 131–176.
- [11] M. Mimura and H. Toda: *Homotopy groups of  $SU(3)$ ,  $SU(4)$  and  $Sp(2)$* , J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **3** (1963/1964), 217–250.

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
 Osaka Prefecture University  
 Sakai, 599–8531  
 Japan  
 e-mail: kiriye@mi.s.osakafu-u.ac.jp