

Title	On knots whose points are fixed under a periodic transformation of the 3-sphere
Author(s)	Fox, R. H.
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1958, 10(1), p. 31-35
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7093
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

***On Knots whose Points are Fixed under a Periodic
Transformation of the 3-Sphere***

By R. H. Fox

Let Λ be a simple closed curve in the 3-sphere Σ and let g be a positive integer greater than 1. If the knot type of Λ is trivial there are transformations of Σ of period g that leave fixed every point of Λ . An example of such a transformation is one that is equivalent to a rotation of Σ , or if $g=2$, one that is equivalent to a reflection. Furthermore [1] there exist transformations of period g that leave fixed every point of a wild knot Λ . However it is generally conjectured that *no such transformation is possible if the knot type of Λ is tame and non-trivial*. Although a complete proof may well turn out to be extremely difficult, it is possible, assuming, as we shall from now on, that the transformation is semi-linear, to verify this conjecture for certain integers g and knots Λ of certain tame non-trivial types.

Thus Montgomery and Samelson [2] proved a theorem that, together with the recently proved [3] Dehn lemma, shows that, for $g=2$, Λ cannot be the boundary of any Möbius band. More recently Kinoshita proved [4], again for $g=2$, that, modulo the Poincaré conjecture, Λ cannot be any of the prime knots of fewer than 10 crossings except possibly 8_9 or 8_{20} .

In this note I shall extend part of the Montgomery-Samelson result to a rather larger class of knots. The method is the same as that of Kinoshita, and is based on a formula to be found in [6]. Along the way I shall show that, by referring to Blanchfield's theorem [9], Kinoshita's argument is seen to be valid without assumption of Poincaré's conjecture.

§1. Let Λ be a simple closed curve in the 3-sphere Σ whose knot type is tame and non-trivial, and suppose that T is a semi-linear transformation of Σ of period $g \geq 2$ such that $T(p) = p$ for each point p of Λ . Then it follows from theorems of P. A. Smith [7] that (1) the points of Λ are the only points of Σ that are fixed under T , and (2) T preserves the orientation of Σ . The orbit space S is a simply connected 3-dimensional manifold and Σ is a g -fold cyclic covering of S ramified over the simply closed curve L that lies under Λ . I do not assume that S is necessarily the 3-sphere.

Denote by $\Delta(\tau)$ and $D(t)$ the Alexander polynomials of the fundamental groups $\pi(\Sigma-L)$ and $\pi(S-L)$ respectively. Then [6, p. 418]

$$\Delta(\tau) = \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} D(\omega^j t),$$

where ω is a primitive g -th root of unity and $t^g = \tau$. (As Kinoshita remarked [4], the derivation of this formula is entirely valid if the hypothesis "S is a 3-sphere" is weakened to the hypotheses "S is simply connected".) If the roots of $D(t) = 0$ are $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ then

$$D(t) = c \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \alpha_i);$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(\tau) &= c^g \prod_{i=1}^n \prod_{j=0}^{g-1} (\omega^j t - \alpha_i) \\ &= c^g \prod_{i=1}^n (\tau - \alpha_i^g). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

(1) *The leading coefficient of $\Delta(\tau)$ is the g -th power of the leading coefficient of $D(t)$.*

(2) *The roots of $\Delta(\tau)$ are the g -th powers $\alpha_1^g, \dots, \alpha_n^g$ of the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ of $D(t)$; in particular $\Delta(\tau)$ and $D(\tau)$ have the same degree.*

Condition (1) alone is sufficient to show that Λ cannot belong to any of the thirty four types

$5_2, 6_1, 7_2, 7_3, 7_5, 8_1, 8_4, 8_6, 8_8, 8_{11}, 8_{13}, 8_{14}, 8_{15}, 9_3, 9_4, 9_5, 9_6, 9_7, 9_8, 9_9, 9_{12}, 9_{14}, 9_{15}, 9_{16}, 9_{19}, 9_{21}, 9_{25}, 9_{35}, 9_{37}, 9_{38}, 9_{39}, 9_{41}, 9_{46}, 9_{49}$, for any g , or to any of the seven types $7_4, 8_3, 9_2, 9_{10}, 9_{13}, 9_{18}, 9_{23}$, for any $g \geq 3$. It is also sufficient to show, for example, that $\Delta(\tau)$ cannot, for any g , be a reducible quadratic. For $\Delta(1) = \pm 1$ and $\Delta(1/\tau) = \tau^{2g} \Delta(\tau)$ [8] show that a reducible quadratic $\Delta(\tau)$ must be of the form

$$\Delta(\tau) = ((h+1)\tau - h)(h\tau - (h+1));$$

but, of course, for no integer $h \neq 0, -1$ is $h(h+1)$ ever a power.

§ 2. Since¹⁾ $\pi(S-L)$ has a presentation in which the number of

1) *If M is any triangulated closed 3-dimensional manifold and K is a 1-dimensional subcomplex which has μ components and whose 1-dimensional betti number is p , then $\pi(M-K)$ has a presentation in which the number of generators exceeds the number of relators by $p - \mu + 1$.*

Proof: In K select p (open) edges $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_p$ such that $K - (\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_p)$ is a tree T^* and let T be a maximal tree that contains T^* . In T^* select $\mu - 1$ edges $\tau_1, \dots, \tau_{\mu-1}$ such that each of the μ components of $T' = T - (\tau_1 + \dots + \tau_{\mu-1})$ contains a component of K . Note that $\pi(M-K) \approx \pi(M - (K + T'))$.

Let C be a maximal cave in M , i.e. the dual of a maximal tree in the dual triangulation. Since the Euler characteristic of M is equal to zero, the number α_1 of edges of M that are not on T is equal to the number α_2 of faces of M that are not in C .

The group $\pi(M - (K + T'))$ has a presentation $(x_1, \dots, x_n; r_1, \dots, r_m)$ in which the generators x_j correspond to the faces of M that are not in C , and the relators r_i correspond to the edges that are not in $K + T'$. Thus $n = \alpha_2 = \alpha_1$ and $m = \alpha_1 + (\mu - 1) - p = n + \mu - 1 - p$.

generators exceeds the number of relations by one, the first elementary ideal is the principal ideal [5] generated by $\pm t^r D(t)$. Since $H(S-L)$ is infinite cyclic, $D(1) = \pm 1$. Therefore it follows from a theorem of Blanchfield [9] that $t^u D(1/t) = \varepsilon D(t)$ where u is some integer and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. Since $\Delta(t)$ is a knot polynomial its degree is even, say $2r$. But $D(t)$ must have the same degree. If we write $D(t) = c \prod_{i=1}^{2r} (t - \alpha_i)$ we see that u must equal $2r$. Thus

$$c \prod_{i=1}^{2r} (1 - \alpha_i t) = \varepsilon c \prod_{i=1}^{2r} (t - \alpha_i).$$

Denoting by σ_k the k -th symmetric function of the roots $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{2r}$ we get (since $c \neq 0$)

$$1 - \sigma_1 t + \sigma_2 t^2 - \dots + \sigma_{2r} t^{2r} = \varepsilon (\sigma_{2r} - \sigma_{2r-1} t + \dots - \sigma_1 t^{2r-1} + t^{2r}),$$

whence

$$\sigma_{2r} = \varepsilon, \quad \sigma_{2r-1} = \varepsilon \sigma_1, \dots, \quad \sigma_{r+1} = \varepsilon \sigma_{r-1}, \quad \sigma_r = \varepsilon \sigma_r.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} D(1) &= c(1 - \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \dots + \sigma_{2r}) \\ &= c(1 + \varepsilon)(1 - \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - \dots + (-1)^{r-1} \sigma_{r-1}) - (-1)^r c \sigma_r = \pm 1, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(-1)^r c \sigma_r \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \quad \text{hence } \sigma_r \neq 0.$$

It follows now from $\sigma_r = \varepsilon \sigma_r$ that $\varepsilon = 1$. Therefore $t^{2r} D(1/t) = D(t)$. Since $D(1) = \pm 1$, it follows [8] that there must be some knot in ordinary 3-space whose Alexander polynomial is $D(t)$. Thus $D(t)$ is a knot polynomial.

In the proof of Kinoshita's theorem [4] the Poincaré conjecture is invoked solely for the purpose of showing that the polynomial $D(t)$ is symmetric, i.e. $t^{2r} D(1/t) = D(t)$. Accordingly the above shows that it is not necessary to assume Poincaré's conjecture in that argument.

§ 3. Let the d -th cyclotomic polynomial be denoted by $\Phi_d(t)$. Its degree is $\phi(d)$ and its roots are the primitive d -th roots of unity. $\Delta(\tau)$ has a unique factorization of the form

$$\Delta(\tau) = \Phi_{a_1}^{m(a_1)}(\tau) \dots \Phi_{a_r}^{m(a_r)}(\tau) \cdot \Psi(\tau),$$

where a_1, \dots, a_r are distinct from one another, and no root of $\Psi(\tau)$ is a root of unity.

Theorem. *If p is any prime divisor of (g, a_i) then $m(a_i)$ must be divisible by the highest power of p that divides g .*

Proof. Suppose that $\Phi_d(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{\phi(d)} (t - \omega_j)$ divides $D(t)$. Then the corresponding factor of $\Delta(\tau)$ is $\prod_{j=1}^{\phi(d)} (\tau - \omega_j^g)$. Since each ω_j is a primitive d -th root of unity, each ω_j^g is a primitive d' -th root of unity, where $d' = d/(g, d)$. (For $\omega_j^{g^x} = 1$ iff $d|gx$, i.e. iff $d'|g'x$, where $g' = g/(g, d)$; since $(d', g') = 1$ this holds iff $d'|x$.) Therefore

$$\prod_{j=1}^{\phi(d)} (\tau - \omega_j^g) = \Phi_{d'}^{n(d)}(\tau)$$

Furthermore, since $\prod_{j=1}^{\phi(d)} (\tau - \omega_j^g)$ is of degree $\phi(d)$ and $\Phi_{d'}(\tau)$ is of degree $\phi(d')$, we have

$$n(d) = \phi(d)/\phi(d').$$

Clearly the exponent $m(a)$ of the factor $\Phi_a^{m(a)}(\tau)$ of $\Delta(\tau)$ must therefore be of the form $\sum v_a n(d)$, where v_a are integers and the sum is extended over those integers d for which $d' = a$.

Let p range over the prime divisors of a , and let q range over the remaining primes. Write $a = \Pi p^\alpha$, $d = \Pi p^\delta \Pi q^\beta$ and $g = \Pi p^\gamma \Pi q^\theta$. If $d' = a$ then we must have $0 < \alpha = \gamma < \delta$ and $\beta \leq \theta$. It follows that $d/d' = (g, d) = \Pi p^{\min(\delta, \gamma)} \Pi q^{\min(\beta, \theta)}$ must be divisible by p^γ , and hence that p^γ must divide $n(d) = \phi(d)/\phi(d') = d \Pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) \Pi_{q > 0} \left(1 - \frac{1}{q}\right) / d' \Pi \left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right)$. Since p^γ divides $n(d)$ for each d for which $d' = a$, it follows that p^γ divides $m(a)$.

§ 4. **Corollary 1.** *If g and AB are not relatively prime then Λ cannot be a torus knot of type A, B .*

Proof. If Λ is a torus knot of type A, B then

$$\Delta(\tau) = \frac{(\tau^{AB} - 1)(\tau - 1)}{(\tau^A - 1)(\tau^B - 1)} = \Phi_{AB}(\tau) \dots$$

so that $m(AB) = 1$. It follows from the theorem that if p is any prime divisor of (g, AB) then $p \nmid g$; consequently $(g, AB) = 1$.

Corollary 2. (MONTGOMERY-SAMELSON) *If $g = 2$, Λ cannot be the boundary of a twisted²⁾ Möbius band.*

Proof. If κ is the knot type of a Möbius band then [10] $\Delta(\tau) = \Delta_\kappa(\tau^2) \cdot \frac{(\tau^2 - 1)(\tau^B - 1)}{(\tau^{2B} - 1)(\tau - 1)}$, where Δ_κ is the polynomial of κ . Let q be any prime divisor of B . Since $(2, B) = 1$, $q > 2$. Thus

2) The twist ρ of Möbius band in 3-space was defined in [11] to be $\varepsilon \cdot v(k, l)$, where k is the boundary of the Möbius band, l is its meridian, v denotes linking number, and $\varepsilon = \pm 1$. By a twisted Möbius band I mean one for which $|\rho| > 1$.

$$\frac{(\tau^{2B}-1)(\tau-1)}{(\tau^2-1)(\tau^B-1)} = \Phi_{2q}(\tau) \dots$$

Now if $\Phi_d(\tau)$ divides $\Delta_\kappa(\tau)$ the corresponding factor of $\Delta_\kappa(\tau^2)$ must be

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_d(\tau^2) &= \Phi_{2d}(\tau) && \text{if } d \text{ is even} \\ &= \Phi_{2d}(\tau) \Phi_d(\tau) && \text{if } d \text{ is odd.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Phi_{2q}(\tau) \mid \Delta_\kappa(\tau^2)$ only if $\Phi_q(\tau) \mid \Delta_\kappa(\tau)$.

But this last is impossible because $\Delta_\kappa(1) = \pm 1$ and $\Phi_q(1) = q$. Thus we conclude that

$$\Delta(\tau) = \Phi_{2q}(\tau) \dots,$$

i.e. that $m(2q) = 1$. Therefore, by the theorem, 1 must be divisible by the highest power of $p=2$ that divides 2. This is impossible.

(Received February 5, 1958)

References

- [1] Bing, R. H.: Decomposition of E^3 into points and tame arcs. Summary of lectures and seminars at the summer institute on set-theoretic topology Madison (1955), 40-47.
- [2] Montgomery, D. and Samelson, H.: A theorem on fixed points of involutions in S^3 . Canadian J. Math. **7** (1955), 208-220.
- [3] Papakyriakopoulos, C. D.: On Dehn's lemma and the asphericity of knots. Annals of Math. **66** (1957), 1-26.
- [4] Kinoshita, S.: Notes on knots and periodic transformations. Proc. Japan Acad. **33** (1957), 358-362.
- [5] Fox, R. H.: Free differential calculus II. Annals of Math. **59** (1954), 196-210.
- [6] Fox, R. H.: Free differential calculus III. Annals of Math. **64** (1956), 407-419.
- [7] Smith, P. A.: Transformations of finite period II. Annals of Math. **40** (1939), 690-711.
- [8] Seifert, H.: Über das Geschlecht von Knoten. Math. Ann. **110** (1934), 571-592.
- [9] Blanchfield, R. C.: Intersection theory of manifolds with operators with applications to knot theory. Annals of Math. **65** (1957), 340-356.
- [10] Reidemeister, K.: Knotentheorie, Berlin (1932).
- [11] Kyle, R. H.: Embeddings of Möbius bands in 3-dimensional space. Proc. Royal Irish Acad. **57** section A No. 7 (1935), 131-136.

