OUKA
The University of Osaka
Institutional Knowledge Archive

Title	UNSTABILIZED WEAKLY REDUCIBLE HEEGAARD SPLITTINGS
Author(s)	Du, Kun
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 2019, 56(1), p. 165-172
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/71141
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Du, K.
Osaka J. Math.
56 (2019), 165–172

UNSTABILIZED WEAKLY REDUCIBLE HEEGAARD SPLITTINGS

KUN DU

(Received May 29, 2017, revised September 20, 2017)

Abstract

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for a (weakly reducible) Heegaard splitting to be unstabilized and uncritical. We also give a sufficient condition for a Heegaard splitting to be critical.

1. Introduction

All 3-manifolds in this paper are assumed to be compact and orientable. All surfaces in 3-manifolds are assumed to be properly embedded and orientable.

Let M be a 3-manifold. If there is a closed surface S which cuts M into two compression bodies V and W with $S = \partial_+ W = \partial_+ V$, then we say M has a Heegaard splitting, denoted by $M = V \cup_S W$; and S is called a Heegaard surface of M. Moreover, if the genus $g(S)$ of S is minimal among all Heegaard surfaces of M, then $g(S)$ is called the genus of M, denoted by $g(M)$. If there are essential disks $B \subset V$ and $D \subset W$ such that $\partial B = \partial D$ (resp. $\partial B \cap \partial D = \emptyset$), then $V \cup_S W$ is said to be reducible (resp. weakly reducible). Otherwise, it is said to be irreducible (resp. strongly irreducible). If there are essential disks $B \subset V$ and $D \subset W$, such that $|B \cap D| = 1$, then $M = V \cup_S W$ is said to be stabilized; otherwise, $M = V \cup_S W$ is said to be unstabilized. If a surface F in a 3-manifold M is incompressible and not parallel to ∂M, then F is said to be essential. If a separating surface F in M is compressible on both sides of F, then F is said to be bicompressible. If every compressing disk in one side of F intersects every compressing disk in the other side, then F is said to be strongly irreducible. If F is incompressible except for $[\partial F]$, then F is said to be almost incompressible; if F is bicompressible except for $[\partial F]$, then F is said to be almost bicompressible; if F is strongly irreducible except for $[\partial F]$, then F is said to be almost strongly irreducible, where $[\partial F]$ is the isotopy class of ∂F.

Let M be a 3-manifold, and S be a closed separating compressible surface in M. S is said to be critical (see [1]), if the compressing disks for S can be partitioned into two sets C_0 and C_1, and there is at least one pair of disks V_i, $W_i \in C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ on opposite sides of S, such that $V_i \cap W_i = \emptyset$, and if $V \in C_i$ and $W \in C_{1-i}$ lie on opposite sides of S, then $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$. If S is not critical, then S is said to be uncritical. There are some examples, see [2]–[4], [8]–[10].

Let S be a closed surface with $g(S) \geq 2$. The curve complex of S (see [5]) is the complex whose vertices are the isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves on S, and $k + 1$

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 57M27, 57M50, 57N10.
The work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11571110).

vertices determine a k-simplex if they are represented by pairwise disjoint curves. If S is a torus, the curve complex of S (see [11], [12]) is the complex whose vertices are the isotopy classes of essential simple closed curves on S, and $k+1$ vertices determine a k-simplex if they can be represented by a collection of curves, any two of which intersect in only one point. We denote the curve complex of S by $\mathcal{C}(S)$. For any two vertices in $\mathcal{C}(S)$, one can define the distance $d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(x,y)$ to be the minimal number of 1-simplices in a simplicial path jointing x to y over all such possible paths.

If S is a surface with $\partial S \neq \emptyset$, then we can define the curve complex $\mathcal{C}(S)$ of S and $d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(x,y)$ for any two vertices x and y in $\mathcal{C}(S)$ by the same way, where the vertex of $\mathcal{C}(S)$ is the isotopy class of non-∂-parallel essential simple closed curves on S. The distance of the Heegaard splitting $M = V \cup_S W$ with $g(S) \geq 2$ (see [6]) is $d(S) = \mathrm{Min}\{d_{\mathcal{C}(S)}(\alpha,\beta) \mid \alpha$ bounds a disk in V and β bounds a disk in $W\}$. If S' is an almost bicompressible subsurface of S, then $d(S') = \mathrm{Min}\{d_{\mathcal{C}(S')}(\alpha,\beta) \mid \alpha$ bounds a disk in V *and* β bounds a disk in $W\}$ is said to be local Heegaard distance of S' respect to $d(S)$ (see [7], [13]).

In this paper, we give a sufficient condition for a (weakly reducible) Heegaard splitting to be unstabilized and uncritical. We also give a sufficient condition for a Heegaard splitting to be critical as follows:

Theorem 1. *Let M be a 3-manifold, $M = V \cup_S W$ be a Heegaard splitting of M, D be an essential disk in V such that ∂D cuts S into an almost incompressible surface F and an almost strongly irreducible surface S'. If $d(S') \geq 5$, then $M = V \cup_S W$ is unstabilized and uncritical.*

Corollary 2. *Let M be a 3-manifold, $M = V \cup_S W$ be a Heegaard splitting of M, ψ be an essential simple closed curve on S which cuts S into an almost incompressible surface F and an almost strongly irreducible surface S'. If $d(S') \geq 9$, then $M = V \cup_S W$ is unstabilized.*

Theorem 3. *Let M be an irreducible 3-manifold, $M = V \cup_S W$ be a Heegaard splitting of M, D be an essential disk in V such that ∂D cuts S into an almost incompressible surface F and an almost strongly irreducible surface S'.*

(1) *If S is critical, then $d(S') \leq 4$.*

(2) *If there are two essential disks $D_V \subset V$ and $D_W \subset W$, such that D_V is not isotopic to D, $D_W \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $D_W \cap D_V = \emptyset$, then S is critical.*

2. The proof of Theorem 1

Firstly, we show that $M = V \cup_S W$ is unstabilized. Assume on the contrary that $M = V \cup_S W$ is stabilized. Then, there are two essential disks $D_V \subset V$ and $D_W \subset W$, such that $|D_V \cap D_W| = 1$. So, there is an essential simple closed curve γ on S which bounds an essential disk D_V^γ in V and an essential disk D_W^γ in W such that the 2-sphere $S^\gamma = D_V^\gamma \cup D_W^\gamma$ bounds a once-punctured standard genus one Heegaard splitting of the 3-sphere (i.e. a 3-ball).

Proposition 4. $\gamma \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume on the contrary that $\gamma \cap \partial D = \emptyset$. If γ is parallel to ∂D, then F and S' lie in opposite sides of S^γ. Since F is almost incompressible, S' lies in the 3-ball bounded by S^γ. Then, S' is a once-punctured torus. Hence, $d(S') \leq 1$, a contradiction. So, γ is a non-∂-parallel essential simple closed curve on F or S'. Since F is almost incompressible,

γ lies in S' and $d(S') = 0$, a contradiction. □

By Proposition 4, we may assume that $\gamma \cap \partial D \neq \emptyset$ and $|\gamma \cap \partial D|$ is minimal. So, each component of $\gamma \cap S'$ (resp. $\gamma \cap F$) is an essential arc on S' (resp. F). Recall that γ bounds an essential disk D_V^γ in V and an essential disk D_W^γ in W. If $|\gamma \cap S'| = |\gamma \cap F| = n$, then D_V^γ (resp. D_W^γ) is said to be an n-disk in V (resp. W).

Since $D_V^\gamma \cap D \neq \emptyset$, we may assume that each component of $D_V^\gamma \cap D$ is an arc on both D_V^γ and D. Let α be a component of $D_V^\gamma \cap D$. Then, α cuts a disk D_α from D_V^γ. If $intD_\alpha \cap D = \emptyset$, then D_α is said to be an outermost disk of D_V^γ, and α is said to be an outermost arc of $D_V^\gamma \cap D$ on D_V^γ. Since F is almost incompressible, all outermost disks of D_V^γ lie in the component of $cl(V - D)$ which contains S'. Let D_0 be an outermost disk of D_V^γ. Then, $|\partial D_0 \cap S'| = |\partial D_0 \cap D| = 1$, and $\partial D_0 \cap S'$ is an essential arc on S'. Let $l_1 = \partial D_0 \cap S'$ and $l_1^{'} = \partial D_0 \cap D$. We push $l_1^{'}$ into ∂D and denote it by $l_1^{''}$. Let $l^1 = l_1 \cup l_1^{''}$. After isotopy, we may assume that l^1 lies in S'. Since l_1 is essential on S', l^1 is non-∂-parallel essential on S' and bounds an essential disk D_l in V. So, $d_{C(S')}(l^1, \partial D_l) = 0$.

If there is an essential disk D_h in W with $\partial D_h \subset S'$, such that ∂D_h is non-∂-parallel on S' and disjoint from a component h of $\gamma \cap S'$, then h cuts ∂D into two arcs h_1 and $h_1^{'}$. Let $h^1 = h \cup h_1$. After isotopy, we may assume that h^1 lies in S' and $h^1 \cap \partial D_h = \emptyset$. Since h is essential on S', h^1 is non-∂-parallel on S'. So, $d_{C(S')}(h^1, \partial D_h) \leq 1$. Since $h \cap l_1 = \emptyset$, $d_{C(S')}(h^1, l^1) \leq 2$. So, $d(S') \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D_l, \partial D_h) \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D_l, l^1) + d_{C(S')}(l^1, h^1) + d_{C(S')}(h^1, \partial D_h) \leq 3$, a contradiction.

By the argument as above, we may assume that for any essential disk D^W in W with $\partial D^W \subset S'$ and any component η of $\gamma \cap S'$, if ∂D^W is non-∂-parallel on S', then $\partial D^W \cap \eta \neq \emptyset$. If D_W^γ (which is bounded by γ) is a 1-disk in W, then $|\gamma \cap S'| = 1$. Then, $|D_V^\gamma \cap D| = 1$. Hence, there are two outermost disks of D_V^γ which lie in different components of $cl(V - D)$, a contradiction. So, we may assume that D_W^γ is an n-disk with $n \geq 2$.

Proposition 5 ([2])**.** *There are an essential disk D_k in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$ and a component l_2 of $\gamma \cap S'$, such that ∂D_k is non-∂-parallel on S' and $d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D_k) \leq 3$, where l^2 is obtained from l_2 by attaching a component of $cl(\partial D - \partial l_2)$, after isotopy, l^2 is non-∂-parallel essential on S'.*

Proof. Recall that for any essential disk D^W in W with $\partial D^W \subset S'$ and any component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, if ∂D^W is non-∂-parallel on S', then $\partial D^W \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $|D^W \cap D_W^\gamma|$ is minimal among all essential disks in W, whose boundaries lie in S' and are non-∂-parallel. So, each component of $D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$ is an arc on both D^W and D_W^γ. Since $|D^W \cap D_W^\gamma|$ is minimal, and for each component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, $\alpha \cap \partial D^W \neq \emptyset$, both endpoints of each arc of $D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$ on D_W^γ lie in different components of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$. For each subdisk $D_W^{'}$ of D_W^γ which is cut by D^W, if $\partial D_W^{'}$ contains m components or subcomponents of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, then $D_W^{'}$ is said to be a pseudo m-disk. For each component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, there are two components α_1 and α_2 of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$, which are adjacent to α. Let $L_\alpha = \{l \mid l$ is an arc of $D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$ on D_W^γ, such that $l \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset\}$.

Suppose $\alpha \in \partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$ and l_α is a component of L_α. Then, l_α cuts D_W^γ into two disks D' and D''. We may assume that D' is a pseudo m_1-disk, and D'' is a pseudo m_2-disk. Then, $m_2 = n - m_1 + 2$, see Figure 1. If D' (resp. D'') is a pseudo 2-disk, then l_α is said to be ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. If all components of L_α are ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, then



Fig. 1. D' and D'' cut by l_α

L_α is said to be ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ.

Lemma 6. *There are at least two components α and β of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that both L_α and L_β are ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ.*

Proof. If D_W^γ is an n-disk with $n = 2, 3$, then the Lemma holds, see Figure 2. So, we may assume that D_W^γ is an n-disk with $n \geq 4$. If all components of $D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$ on D_W^γ are ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, then the Lemma holds. So, we may assume that there is a component k_1 of $D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$ on D_W^γ, such that k_1 is not ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. Then, k_1 cuts D_W^γ into two disks D_k^1 and $D_k^{1'}$. Suppose D_k^1 is a pseudo n_1-disk and $D_k^{1'}$ is a pseudo n_1'-disk. Since k_1 is not ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, $3 \leq n_1, n_1' < n$.



Fig. 2. n-disk with $n = 2, 3$

First, we consider D_k^1. Note that $D_k^1 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$. If D_k^1 is a pseudo 3-disk, then there is only one component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$ on ∂D_k^1, such that $\alpha \cap k_1 = \emptyset$. Hence, L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. So, we may assume that D_k^1 is a pseudo n_1-disk with $4 \leq n_1 < n$. If all components of $D_k^1 \cap D^W$ on D_k^1 are ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_1$ in D_k^1, then there is a component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that $\alpha \cap k_1 = \emptyset$ and L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. So, we may assume that there is a component k_2 of $D_k^1 \cap D^W$ on D_k^1, such that k_2 is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_1$ in D_k^1. Then, k_2 cuts a disk D_k^2 from D_k^1, such that ∂D_k^2 does not contain k_1. Hence, $D_k^2 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_k^1 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$.

Since k_2 is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_1$ in D_k^1, we may assume that D_k^2 is a pseudo n_2-disk with $3 \leq n_2 < n_1 < n$. By the same argument as D_k^1, either there is a component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, which is disjoint from k_2, such that L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, or there is a component k_3 of $D_k^2 \cap D^W$ on D_k^2, such that k_3 is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_2$ in

D_k^2. Then, k_3 cuts a disk D_k^3 from D_k^2, such that ∂D_k^3 does not contain k_2. Then, $D_k^3 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_k^2 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_k^1 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$. Since k_3 is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_2$ in D_k^2, we may assume that D_k^3 is a pseudo n_3-disk with $3 \leq n_3 < n_2 < n_1 < n$.

We continue this procedure as above, either there is a component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, or there is a component k_m of $D_k^{m-1} \cap D^W$ on D_k^{m-1}, such that k_m is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_{m-1}$ in D_k^{m-1} $(m \geq 2)$. Then, k_m cuts a disk D_k^m from D_k^{m-1}, such that ∂D_k^m does not contain k_{m-1}. Hence, $D_k^m \cap D^W \subsetneq D_k^{m-1} \cap D^W \subsetneq ... \subsetneq D_k^1 \cap D^W \subsetneq D_W^\gamma \cap D^W$. Since k_m is not ∂-parallel to $(\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F) \cup k_{m-1}$ in D_k^{m-1}, we may assume that D_k^m is a pseudo n_m-disk with $3 \leq n_m < n_{m-1} < \cdots < n_2 < n_1 < n$. Since n is finite, either there is a component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, or $n_m = 3$. If D_k^m is a pseudo n_m-disk with $n_m = 3$, then there is only one component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, which is disjoint from k_m, such that L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. Finally, we obtain a component α of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that L_α is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ.

Second, we consider $D_k^{1'}$. By the same argument as D_k^1, there is a component β $(\neq \alpha)$ of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that L_β is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. So, the Lemma holds. □

By Lemma 6, there is a component l_2 of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap S'$, such that L_{l_2} is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. Let l_2' and l_2'' be two components of $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$, such that l_2' and l_2'' are adjacent to l_2. Since $|\gamma \cap \partial D|$ is minimal, both l_2' and l_2'' are essential on F.

Lemma 7. *There is a* 1*-disk* D^1 *in* W*, such that* $(\partial D^1 \cap S') \cap l_2 = \emptyset$*, and* $\partial D^1 \cap F$ *is parallel to* l_2' *or* l_2''*.*

Proof. Let k be a component of L_{l_2}. Since L_{l_2} is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, k cuts a pseudo 2-disk D^k from D_W^γ. If $intD^k \cap L_{l_2} = \emptyset$, then D^k is said to be an outermost disk of D_W^γ, and k is said to be an outermost arc of $D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$ on D_W^γ. Let k_1 be a component of L_{l_2}, such that k_1 is an outermost arc of $D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$ on D_W^γ. Then, k_1 cuts an outermost disk D_1^k from D_W^γ, such that $intD_1^k \cap L_{l_2} = \emptyset$. So, D_1^k is a pseudo 2-disk. Since L_{l_2} is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, we may assume that k_1 is parallel to l_2', where l_2' is adjacent to l_2 on ∂D_W^γ. Note that k_1 also cuts D^W into two disks $D_k^{1'}$ and $D_k^{1''}$. Let $D_{k_1} = D_k^{1'} \cup D_1^k$ and $D_{k_1}' = D_k^{1''} \cup D_1^k$. Since k_1 is parallel to l_2' in D_W^γ, after isotopy, both $\partial D_{k_1} \cap F$ and $\partial D_{k_1}' \cap F$ are parallel to l_2'. Since l_2' is essential on F and F is almost incompressible, both $\partial D_{k_1} \cap S'$ and $\partial D_{k_1}' \cap S'$ are essential on S'. Hence, D_{k_1} and D_{k_1}' are 1-disks in W. After isotopy, $|D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma| < |D^W \cap D_W^\gamma|$, $|D_{k_1}' \cap D_W^\gamma| < |D^W \cap D_W^\gamma|$, $D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma \subsetneq D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$, and $D_{k_1}' \cap D_W^\gamma \subsetneq D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$.

Suppose $|D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma| \leq |D_{k_1}' \cap D_W^\gamma|$, we only consider D_{k_1}. Let $L_{l_2}^1 = \{k \mid k$ is a component of $D_W^\gamma \cap D_{k_1}$ on D_W^γ, such that $k \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset\}$. Then, $L_{l_2}^1 \subsetneq L_{l_2}$. Hence, $L_{l_2}^1$ is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ. If $L_{l_2}^1 = \emptyset$, let $D^1 = D_{k_1}$, then $l_2 \cap (\partial D^1 \cap S') = \emptyset$ and $\partial D^1 \cap F$ is parallel to l_2'. Hence, the Lemma holds. If $L_{l_2}^1 \neq \emptyset$, let k_2 be a component of $L_{l_2}^1$, such that k_2 is an outermost arc of $D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma$ on D_W^γ. Then, k_2 cuts an outermost disk D_2^k from D_W^γ, such that $intD_2^k \cap L_{l_2}^1 = \emptyset$. So, D_2^k is a pseudo 2-disk. Since $L_{l_2}^1$ is ∂-parallel to $\partial D_W^\gamma \cap F$ in D_W^γ, we may assume that k_2 is parallel to l_2', where l_2' is adjacent to l_2 in ∂D_W^γ. Let $D_k^{2'}$ be a subdisk of D_{k_1}, which is cut by k_2, such that $\partial D_k^{2'}$ does not contain $\partial D_{k_1} \cap F$, and $D_{k_2} = D_2^k \cup D_k^{2'}$.

By the same argument as D_{k_1}, D_{k_2} is a 1-disks in W and $\partial D_{k_2} \cap F$ is parallel to l_2'. After isotopy, $|D_{k_2} \cap D_W^\gamma| < |D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma| < |D^W \cap D_W^\gamma|$ and $D_{k_2} \cap D_W^\gamma \subsetneq D_{k_1} \cap D_W^\gamma \subsetneq D^W \cap D_W^\gamma$. Let $L_{l_2}^2 = \{k \mid k$ is a component of $D_W^\gamma \cap D_{k_2}$ on D_W^γ, such that $k \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset\}$. Then, $L_{l_2}^2 \subsetneq L_{l_2}^1 \subsetneq L_{l_2}$.

Hence, $L^2_{l_2}$ is ∂-parallel to $\partial D^\gamma_W \cap F$ in D^γ_W. By the same proof as D_{k_1}, either $D^1 = D_{k_2}$ such that $l_2 \cap (D^1 \cap S') = \emptyset$ and $D^1 \cap F$ is parallel to l'_2, or we obtain a 1-disk D_{k_3} in W, such that $\partial D_{k_3} \cap F$ is parallel to l'_2, where l'_2 is adjacent to l_2 in ∂D^γ_W, $D_{k_3} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq D_{k_2} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq D_{k_1} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq D^W \cap D^\gamma_W$, and $\{k \mid k$ is a component of $D^\gamma_W \cap D_{k_3}$ on D^γ_W, such that $k \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset\} = L^3_{l_2} \subsetneq L^2_{l_2} \subsetneq L^1_{l_2} \subsetneq L_{l_2}$. Continue this procedure as above, since $|D^W \cap D^\gamma_W|$ is finite, finally, we obtain a 1-disk D_{k_m} ($m \geq 1$) in W, such that $\partial D_{k_m} \cap F$ is parallel to l'_2, where l'_2 is adjacent to l_2 in ∂D^γ_W, $D_{k_m} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq D_{k_{m-1}} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq ... \subsetneq D_{k_1} \cap D^\gamma_W \subsetneq D^W \cap D^\gamma_W$, and $\emptyset = \{k \mid k$ is a component of $D^\gamma_W \cap D_{k_m}$ on D^γ_W, such that $k \cap l_2 \neq \emptyset\} = L^m_{l_2} \subsetneq L^{m-1}_{l_2} \subsetneq ... \subsetneq L^1_{l_2} \subsetneq L_{l_2}$. Let $D^1 = D_{k_m}$. Then, $l_2 \cap (D^1 \cap S') = \emptyset$ and $D^1 \cap F$ is parallel to l'_2. Hence, the Lemma holds. □

Lemma 8. *If D^1 is a 1-disk in W, then there is an essential disk D_k in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$, such that $D_k \cap D^1 = \emptyset$.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary that for each essential disk D_k in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$, $D_k \cap D^1 \neq \emptyset$. We may assume that $|D_k \cap D^1|$ is minimal among all essential disks in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$. If ∂D_k is parallel to $\partial S'$, then $|D_k \cap D^1| = 1$. Let $\delta = D_k \cap D^1$. Then, there is a subdisk D_δ of D^1 which is cut by δ, such that D_δ contains $\partial D^1 \cap F$. We can push δ into F. After isotopy, we denote D_δ by D'_δ. So, D'_δ is an essential disk in W with $\partial D'_\delta \subset F$ and $\partial D'_\delta$ is not parallel to ∂F. It is a contradiction to the fact that F is almost incompressible.

So, we may assume that ∂D_k is not parallel to $\partial S'$. Since $|D_k \cap D^1|$ is minimal, each component of $D_k \cap D^1$ is an arc on both D_k and D^1. Let λ be an outermost arc of $D^1 \cap D_k$ on D^1, such that λ cuts a subdisk D_λ from D^1 with $intD_\lambda \cap D_k = \emptyset$, and ∂D_λ does not contain $\partial D^1 \cap F$. Also, λ cuts D_k into D^1_k and D^2_k. Let $D^1_\lambda = D_\lambda \cup D^1_k$ and $D^2_\lambda = D_\lambda \cup D^2_k$. Since D_k is essential in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$ and ∂D_k is not parallel to $\partial S'$, at least one of D^1_λ and D^2_λ is essential in W whose boundary lies in S' and is not parallel to $\partial S'$. We may assume that D^1_λ is essential in W with $\partial D^1_\lambda \subset S'$ and ∂D^1_λ is not parallel to $\partial S'$. So, $|D^1_\lambda \cap D^1| < |D_k \cap D^1|$, a contradiction. □

By Lemma 7, we may assume that D^1 is a 1-disk in W, such that $l_2 \cap (\partial D^1 \cap S') = \emptyset$, and $\partial D^1 \cap F$ is parallel to l'_2, where l'_2 is adjacent to l_2 in ∂D^γ_W and l'_2 is essential on F. For convenience, let $\gamma_1 = \partial D^1 \cap S'$ and $\gamma_2 = \partial D^1 \cap F$. So, $l_2 \cap \gamma_1 = \emptyset$, and γ_2 is parallel to l'_2. By Lemma 8, there is an essential disk D_k in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$, such that $\partial D_k \cap \gamma_1 = \emptyset$. Let l^2 be a non-∂-parallel essential simple closed curve on S', which is obtained from l_2 by attaching a component of $cl(\partial D - \partial l_2)$, γ^1 be a non-∂-parallel essential simple closed curve on S', which is obtained from γ_1 by attaching a component of $cl(\partial D - \partial \gamma_1)$. Since $l_2 \cap \gamma_1 = \emptyset$, $|l^2 \cap \gamma^1| \leq 1$. So, $d_{C(S')}(l^2, \gamma^1) \leq 2$. Since $\partial D_k \cap \gamma_1 = \emptyset$, $\partial D_k \cap \gamma^1 = \emptyset$. Then, $d_{C(S')}(\gamma^1, \partial D_k) \leq 1$. Hence, $d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D_k) \leq d_{C(S')}(l^2, \gamma^1) + d_{C(S')}(\gamma^1, \partial D_k) \leq 3$. So, the Proposition holds. □

By Proposition 5, there are an essential disk D_k in W with $\partial D_k \subset S'$ and a component l_2 of $\gamma \cap S'$, such that ∂D_k is non-∂-parallel on S' and $d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D_k) \leq 3$, where l^2 is obtained from l_2 by attaching a component of $cl(\partial D - \partial l_2)$, after isotopy, l^2 is non-∂-parallel essential on S'. Since both l_1 and l_2 are components of $\gamma \cap S'$, $l_1 \cap l_2 = \emptyset$. Then, $|l^1 \cap l^2| \leq 1$. Since l^1 bounds an essential disk D_l in V with $\partial D_l \subset S'$ and ∂D_l is not ∂-parallel, there is an essential disk D^l in V with $\partial D^l \subset S'$, such that ∂D^l is non-∂-parallel on S' and $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^l, l^2) \leq 1$. So,

$d(S') \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^l, \partial D_k) \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^l, l^2) + d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D_k) \leq 4$, a contradiction.

Secondly, we show that the Heegaard surface S is uncritical. Assume on the contrary that S is critical. Then, all compressing disks for S can be partitioned into two sets C_0 and C_1, and there is at least one pair of disks V_i, $W_i \in C_i$ $(i = 0, 1)$ on opposite sides of S, such that $V_i \cap W_i = \emptyset$, and if $V \in C_i$ and $W \in C_{1-i}$ lie on opposite sides of S, then $V \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

We may assume that D lies in C_0, D_V and D_W lie in C_1 and $D_V \cap D_W = \emptyset$. By definition, $D \cap D_W \neq \emptyset$. Since ∂D cuts S into an almost incompressible surface F and an almost strongly irreducible surface S', by the argument as above, there are essential disks $D^V \subset V$, $D^W \subset W$ and a component $l_2 \subset (\partial D_W \cap S')$, such that ∂D^V is non-∂-parallel on S', ∂D^W is non-∂-parallel on S', $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^2) \leq 1$ and $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^W, l^2) \leq 3$, where l^2 is obtained from l_2 by attaching a component of $cl(\partial D - \partial l_2)$, after isotopy, l^2 is non-∂-parallel essential on S'. So, $d(S') \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, \partial D^W) \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^2) + d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D^W) \leq 4$, a contradiction. □

3. The proof of Corollary 2

Assume on the contrary that $M = V \cup_S W$ is stabilized. Then, there are two essential disks $D_V \subset V$ and $D_W \subset W$, such that $|D_V \cap D_W| = 1$. So, there is an essential simple closed curve γ on S which bounds an essential disk D_V^γ in V and an essential disk D_W^γ in W such that the 2-sphere $S^\gamma = D_V^\gamma \cup D_W^\gamma$ bounds a once-punctured standard genus one Heegaard splitting of the 3-sphere (i.e. a 3-ball). By arguments similar to those for Proposition 4, we may assume that $\gamma \cap \psi \neq \emptyset$ and $|\gamma \cap \psi|$ is minimal. So, each component of $\gamma \cap S'$ (resp. $\gamma \cap F$) is an essential arc on S' (resp. F).

If D_V^γ (resp. D_W^γ) is a 1-disk in V (resp. W), then $|\gamma \cap S'| = 1$. Let $l = \gamma \cap S'$. By Lemma 10 in [2], there are essential disks $D^V \subset V$ and $D^W \subset W$, such that ∂D^V is non-∂-parallel on S', ∂D^W is non-∂-parallel on S', $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^1) \leq 1$ and $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^W, l^1) \leq 1$, where l^1 is obtained from l by attaching a component of $cl(\psi - \partial l)$, after isotopy, l^1 is non-∂-parallel essential on S'. So, $d(S') \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, \partial D^W) \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^1) + d_{C(S')}(l^1, \partial D^W) \leq 2$, a contradiction.

So, we may assume that D_V^γ (resp. D_W^γ) is an n-disk in V (resp. W) with $n \geq 2$. By arguments in the proof of Theorem 1, there are essential disks $D^V \subset V$, $D^W \subset W$, and components l_1 and l_2 of $\gamma \cap S'$, such that ∂D^V is non-∂-parallel on S', ∂D^W is non-∂-parallel on S', $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^1) \leq 3$ and $d_{C(S')}(\partial D^W, l^2) \leq 3$, where l^i $(i = 1, 2)$ is obtained from l_i by attaching a component of $cl(\psi - \partial l_i)$, after isotopy, l^i is non-∂-parallel essential on S'. Since both l_1 and l_2 are components of $\gamma \cap S'$, $l_1 \cap l_2 = \emptyset$. Then, $|l^1 \cap l^2| \leq 1$. Hence, $d_{C(S')}(l^1, l^2) \leq 2$. So, $d(S') \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, \partial D^W) \leq d_{C(S')}(\partial D^V, l^1) + d_{C(S')}(l^1, l^2) + d_{C(S')}(l^2, \partial D^W) \leq 8$, a contradiction. □

4. The proof of Theorem 3

(1) By arguments in the proof of Theorem 1, if S is critical, then $d(S') \leq 4$.

(2) For all compressing disks for S, we partition them into two sets C_0 and C_1. Let $V \cap C_0 = \{D\}$, $W \cap C_0 = \{D_W |\ D_W$ is an essential disk in W and $D_W \cap D = \emptyset\}$, $V \cap C_1 = \{D_V |\ D_V$ is an essential disk in V and D_V is not isotopic to $D\}$ and $W \cap C_1 = \{D_W |\ D_W$ is an essential disk in W and $D_W \cap D \neq \emptyset\}$. Since S' is almost strongly irreducible, $V \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$ and

$W \cap C_0 \neq \emptyset$. Since there is an essential disk $D_W \subset W$ with $D_W \cap D \neq \emptyset$, $W \cap C_1 \neq \emptyset$.

In C_0, for any disk D_W^0 in $W \cap C_0$, $D_W^0 \cap D = \emptyset$. In C_1, there are two essential disks $D_V^1 \subset (V \cap C_1)$ and $D_W^1 \subset (W \cap C_1)$, such that $D_W^1 \cap D_V^1 = \emptyset$. For any disk D_W^1 in $W \cap C_1$, $D_W^1 \cap D \neq \emptyset$. For any disks $D_W^0 \subset (W \cap C_0)$ and $D_V^1 \subset (V \cap C_1)$, since M is irreducible, F is almost incompressible and S' is almost strongly irreducible, ∂D_W^0 lies in S' and ∂D_W^0 is non-∂-parallel on S'. If $D_V^1 \cap D = \emptyset$, since S' is almost strongly irreducible, $D_W^0 \cap D_V^1 \neq \emptyset$. If $D_V^1 \cap D \neq \emptyset$, we may assume that $|D_V^1 \cap D|$ is minimal and each component of $D_V^1 \cap D$ is an arc on both D_V^1 and D. Assume on the contrary that $D_W^0 \cap D_V^1 = \emptyset$. By arguments in the proof of Theorem 1, all outermost disks of D_V^1 lies in the component of $cl(V - D)$ which contains S'. Let D_0 be an outermost disk of D_V^1. We can push ∂D_0 into S'. After isotopy, we still denote it by D_0. Since ∂D_0 is non-∂-parallel on S' and $D_W^0 \cap D_0 = \emptyset$, it is a contradiction to the fact that S' is almost strongly irreducible. □

References

[1] D. Bachman: *Topological index theory for surfaces in* 3*-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), 585–609.

[2] K. Du: *Unstabilized and uncritical dual Heegaard splitting of product 1-bundle*, Topology Appl. **204** (2016), 266–277.

[3] Q. E and F. Lei: *Critical Heegaard surfaces obtained by self-amalgamation*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **22** (2013), 1350015, 7pp.

[4] Q. E and F. Lei: *Topologically minimal surfaces versus self-amalgamated Heegaard surfaces*, Sci. China Math. **57** (2014), 2393–2398.

[5] W. Harvey: *Boundary structure of the modular group*; in Riemann Surfaces and Related Topics: Proceedings of the 1978 Stony Brook Conference (State Univ. New York, Stony Brook, N. Y., 1978), Ann. of Math. Stud. **97**, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1981, 245–251.

[6] J. Hempel: *3-Manifolds as viewed from the curve complex*, Topology **40** (2001), 631–657.

[7] A. Ido, Y. Jang and T. Kobayashi: *Heegaard splittings of distance exactly n*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **14** (2014), 1395–1411.

[8] J. Kim: *A topologically minimal, weakly reducible, unstabilized Heegaard splitting of genus three is critical*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **16** (2016), 1427–1451.

[9] J. Kim: *On critical Heegaard splittings of tunnel number two composite knot exteriors*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **22** (2013), 1350065, 11pp.

[10] J. Lee: *Critical Heegaard surfaces obtained by amalgamation*, Topology Appl. **160** (2013), 111–116.

[11] H. Masur and Y. Minsky: *Geometry of the complex of curves. I. Hyperbolicity*, Invent. Math. **138** (1999), 103–149.

[12] H. Masur and Y. Minsky: *Geometry of the complex of curves. II. Hierarchical structure*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **10** (2000), 902–974.

[13] R. Qiu, Y. Zou and Q. Guo: *The Heegaard distances cover all nonnegative integers*, Pacific J. Math. **275** (2015), 231–255.

School of Mathematics and Statistics
Lanzhou University
Lanzhou, 730000
P.R. China
e-mail: dukun@lzu.edu.cn