

Title	Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary I
Author(s)	Ozawa, Shin
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1992, 29(4), p. 837-850
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7188
rights	
Note	

Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

SINGULAR VARIATION OF DOMAIN AND SPECTRA OF THE LAPLACIAN WITH SMALL ROBIN CONDITIONAL BOUNDARY I.

Dedicated to Professor M.M. Schiffer on his 80th birthday

SHIN OZAWA

(Received October 23, 1991)

1. Introduction

In this paper the author considers the following problem.

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^2 with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let \tilde{w} be a fixed point in Ω . Let $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$ be the ball of radius ε with the center \tilde{w} . We put $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$. Consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(x) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial B_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Here k denotes the positive constant. And σ is a non negative constant. Here $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x}$ denotes the derivative along the exterior normal direction with respect to Ω_ε .

Let $\mu_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ be the j -th eigenvalue of (1.1). Let μ_j be the j -th eigenvalue of the problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G(x, y)$ be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω with the Dirichlet boundary condition on $\partial\Omega$ satisfying $-\Delta G(x, y) = \delta(x - y)$.

Main aim of this paper is to show the following Theorem 1. Let $\varphi_j(x)$ be the L^2 normalized eigenfunction associated with μ_j .

Theorem 1. Fix $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Fix j . Assume that μ_j is a simple eigenvalue. Then,

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \mu_j(\varepsilon) - \mu_j &= 2\pi k^{-1} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \\ &+ O(\varepsilon^{2-2\sigma} (\log \varepsilon)^2). \end{aligned}$$

when $\sigma=0$, we have

$$(1.3)^{\text{bis}} \text{ the remainder of (1.3)} = O(\varepsilon^{1+\beta})$$

for any $\beta \in (0, 1)$.

REMARK. The related topics are discussed in Ozawa [9], [10], [11], Besson [2], Courtois [5], Chavel-Feldman [3] and the references in the above papers. It should be noticed that the difference between $\mu_j(\varepsilon)$ and μ_j is of order $\varepsilon^{1-\sigma}$ (when $\sigma \geq 0$) which is quite different from the case of eigenvalue problem on Ω_ε under the Neumann condition on ∂B_ε . In the Neumann case, ε^2 is the order of the difference between $\mu_j(\varepsilon)$ and μ_j .

The other case $\sigma \in \mathbf{R} \setminus [0, 1)$ will be treated in part II of the present paper, since we need some change of our method of proof.

Let us notice the related papers on eigenvalues with many small randomly distributed Dirichlet holes. See Ozawa [12], [13], Kac [7], Rauch-Taylor [14], Simon [16], Sznitman [17] and the references of the above papers. It is very interesting for the author to consider eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian in $\Omega \setminus \overline{\text{many holes}}$ under the Robin condition on the boundaries of holes. Problem of the solution of the Poisson operator with periodically distributed small holes with the Robin condition is discussed in Kaizu [8]. We want to consider statistical problem of eigenvalues of the Laplacian in a domain with randomly distributed Robin holes in the future. In my opinion this paper can be a step for the above problem.

For other related problems on singular variation of domains the readers may be referred to Anné [1], Jimbo [6].

Here the author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor M. M. Schiffer, since my idea of proof of this paper using the Green function was influenced by the fine book Schiffer-Spencer [15]. And the author expresses his sincere thanks to Mr. Roppongi who read this manuscript and gave valuable comments.

2. Outline of proof of Theorem 1

We introduce the following kernel $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$.

$$(2.1) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) = G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon) G(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle,$$

where $\langle \nabla_w u(\tilde{w}), \nabla_w v(\tilde{w}) \rangle = \sum_{j=1}^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial w_j} \frac{\partial v}{\partial w_j} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}}$, when $w = (w_1, w_2)$ is an orthonormal frame of \mathbf{R}^2 . Here $g(\varepsilon)$, $h(\varepsilon)$ are determined so that

$$(2.2) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} p_\varepsilon(x, y) \quad x \in \partial \Omega_\varepsilon \setminus \partial \Omega$$

is small in some sense.

If we put

$$(2.3) \quad g(\varepsilon) = -(\gamma - (2\pi)^{-1} \log \varepsilon + k(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-1}$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad h(\varepsilon) ((2\pi \varepsilon)^{-1} + (2\pi)^{-1} k \varepsilon^{\sigma-2}) = k \varepsilon^\sigma$$

the above aim for (2.2) to be small is attained. Here

$$\gamma = \lim_{x \rightarrow \tilde{w}} (G(x, \tilde{w}) + (2\pi)^{-1} \log |x - \tilde{w}|).$$

Let $G_\varepsilon(x, y)$ be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω_ε associated with the boundary condition (1.1).

We put

$$(Gf)(x) = \int_\Omega G(x, y) f(y) dy$$

$$(G_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} G_\varepsilon(x, y) f(y) dy$$

and

$$(P_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

Let T and T_ε be operators on Ω and Ω_ε , respectively. Then, $\|T\|_p, \|T_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denotes the operator norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, respectively. Let f and g_ε be functions on Ω and Ω_ε , respectively. Then, $\|f\|_p, \|g_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denotes the norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, respectively.

A crucial part of our proof of Theorem 1 is the following.

Theorem 2. *Fix $\sigma \in (0, 1), q > 2\sigma^{-1}$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$(2.5) \quad \|P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{q,\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma}$$

holds.

The case $\sigma = 0$ is treated in Theorem 7.

By the duality argument we get $\|P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{q',\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma}$ for q' satisfying $(1/q) + (1/q') = 1$. By the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem we have the following.

Theorem 2̃. *Under the same assumption as in Theorem 2, we have*

$$\|P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-\sigma}.$$

We put

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y) &= G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon) G(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon(x) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(y) \end{aligned}$$

for the characteristic function $\xi_\varepsilon(x)$ of Ω_ε .

And we put

$$\tilde{P}_\varepsilon f(x) = \int_\Omega \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y) f(y) dy.$$

It should be noticed that the characteristic function ξ_ε appears in $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon(x, y)$.

We compare P_ε with \tilde{P}_ε and we can get an information of P_ε from \tilde{P}_ε , because the difference between P_ε and \tilde{P}_ε is small in some sense. Since G_ε is approximated by P_ε , we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $G \rightarrow \tilde{P}_\varepsilon$. This is our outline of our proof of Theorem 1.

3. Preliminary Lemmas

Fix $0 \leq \sigma < 1$. We write $B(w; \varepsilon) = B_\varepsilon$.

Lemma 3.1. Fix $M \in C^\infty(\partial B_\varepsilon)$. Then, the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \Delta u(x) &= 0 \quad x \in \Omega \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon \\ u(x) &= 0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(x) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= M(\theta) \quad x = (\tilde{w}_1 + \varepsilon \cos \theta, \tilde{w}_2 + \varepsilon \sin \theta) \end{aligned}$$

satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad |u(x)| \leq C \text{Max}_\theta |M(\theta)| (\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} k^{-1} |\log r| + R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r)),$$

where

$$R(\varepsilon, \sigma, r) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty k^{-2} j^{-2} \varepsilon^{2j+2-2\sigma} r^{-2j} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Proof. We put

$$\tilde{u}(x) = a_0 \log r + \sum_{j=1}^\infty (b_j \sin j\theta + c_j \cos j\theta) (-j)^{-1} r^{-j}$$

Then, it satisfies $\Delta \tilde{u}(x) = 0$ for $x \in R^2 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}(x) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial \tilde{u}}{\partial \nu_x}(x) \Big|_{x \in \partial B_\varepsilon} &= M(\theta) \\ &\equiv s_0 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty (s_j \sin j\theta + t_j \cos j\theta) \end{aligned}$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} a_0(\log \varepsilon - k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) &= s_0 \\ b_j \varepsilon^{-j}(-1/j) - k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} &= s_j \\ c_j \varepsilon^{-j}(-1/j) - k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} &= t_j \end{aligned}$$

for $j \geq 1$. Thus,

$$(3.3) \quad |\tilde{u}(x)| \leq |s_0 \log r| / |k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} + \log \varepsilon| \\ + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} \varepsilon^{2j} r^{-2j} (-1/j) - k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} \right)^{1/2} \times \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (s_j^2 + t_j^2) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Since $\sigma < 1$, the right hand side of (3.3) does not exceed

$$C \text{ Max } |M(\theta)| \left((\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} |\log r| / k) + (\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} / k) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} j^{-2} \varepsilon^{2j} r^{-2j} \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

from

$$s_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (s_j^2 + t_j^2) \leq C \int_0^{2\pi} M(\theta)^2 d\theta \\ \leq C' \text{ Max } M(\theta)^2.$$

Thus, $\tilde{u}(x)$ satisfies the first and the third conditions of (3.1). We see that

$$\max_{x \in \partial\Omega} |\tilde{u}(x)| = 0(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma}).$$

We can get the solution $u(x)$ of (3.1) by the same repeating construction of the functions $v_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ in Proposition 1 of Ozawa [10]. That solution satisfies (3.2).

Lemma 3.2. Fix $q \in (1, \infty)$. Under the same assumption as in Lemma 3.1 we have

$$\|u\|_{q, \varepsilon} \leq C_q (\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \text{Max } |M(\theta)|).$$

Proof. The second term in the right hand side of (3.2) is a bounded function for $r \geq \varepsilon$. Therefore, we get the desired result.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

We recall that $w = (w_1, w_2)$. Assume that $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$.

We put

$$S(x, y) = G(x, y) + (1/2\pi) \log |x - y|.$$

Then, $S(x, y) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$. We have the following formulas (4.1), (4.2) in p. 263 of Ozawa [10].

$$(4.1) \quad \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ = (2\pi \varepsilon)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=0$,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ - (2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=0$.

We put $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$ as before. Then, we have

$$(4.3) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y) |_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\ = \sum_{j=1}^{10} L_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= G(x, y) \\ L_2 &= -(1/2\pi) (\log \varepsilon) g(\varepsilon) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_3 &= g(\varepsilon) S(x, \tilde{w}) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_4 &= h(\varepsilon) (2\pi \varepsilon)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) |_{w=\tilde{w}} \\ L_5 &= h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ L_6 &= -k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) |_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\ L_7 &= k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} g(\varepsilon) (2\pi)^{-1} G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_8 &= -k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) |_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} G(\tilde{w}, y) g(\varepsilon) \\ L_9 &= -k \varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) (-2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_{10} &= -k \varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let $S(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})=\gamma$. Then $S(x, \tilde{w})-S(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})=0(\varepsilon)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We put

$$(4.4) \quad g(\varepsilon) (-2\pi)^{-1} \log \varepsilon + \gamma + 0(\varepsilon) + (k/2\pi) \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} + 0(\varepsilon^\sigma |\log \varepsilon|) = -1.$$

Then, $L_1+L_2+L_3+L_7+L_8$ is equal to

$$(4.5) \quad G(x, y) - G(w, y).$$

Here $0(\varepsilon)$, $0(\varepsilon^\sigma |\log \varepsilon|)$ arises from L_3 , L_8 , respectively.

We put

$$(4.6) \quad h(\varepsilon) ((2\pi \varepsilon)^{-1} + k(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\sigma-2}) = k \varepsilon^\sigma$$

Then,

$$(4.7) \quad L_4 + L_9 = k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(w, y) |_{w=\tilde{w}}.$$

Therefore, (4.3) is equal to

$$G(x, y) - G(\tilde{w}, y) + k \varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(w, y) |_{w=\tilde{w}} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) \right) + L_5 + L_{10}$$

for $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$.

Let G_w denote the operator $v(x) \rightarrow (Gv)(\tilde{w})$. And $G(\cdot, w)$ denotes the multiplication operator $u(x) \rightarrow G(x, w)u(x)$.

Using the above facts we get (4.8) for \tilde{f} which is zero on B_ε .

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{aligned} P_\varepsilon \tilde{f}(x) - k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (P_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) |_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\ = (G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \\ + k \varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) \right) \\ + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G_w \tilde{f}) \rangle \\ - k \varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G_w \tilde{f}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We know that

$$\begin{aligned} g(\varepsilon) &= -(2\pi/k) \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + 0(\varepsilon^{2-2\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|) \\ h(\varepsilon) &= 2\pi \varepsilon^2 + 0(\varepsilon^{3-\sigma}), \end{aligned}$$

We want to estimate (4.8). It is easy to show that

$$\begin{aligned} |Gf(x) - Gf(\tilde{w})|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} &\leq C\varepsilon \|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^1(\Omega)} \\ &\leq C'\varepsilon \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

for $p > 2$. We see that the sum of the third and the fourth term in the right hand side of (4.8) does not exceed

$$Ck \varepsilon^{\sigma+\tau} \|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^{1+\tau}(\Omega)} \leq C'k \varepsilon^{\sigma+\tau} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$$

for $p > 2(1-\tau)^{-1}$. The fifth term and the sixth term in the right hand side of (4.8) does not exceed $Ch(\varepsilon) \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$ $Ck \varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$, respectively, for $p > 2$.

We put $(P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon)f = v$. Then, v satisfies (3.1) and $M(\theta) = (4.8)$, because $G_\varepsilon f$ satisfies the given Robin condition on ∂B_ε . By Lemma 3.2 we have

$$\|v\|_{q, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} (\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{\sigma+\tau}) \|\tilde{f}\|_{q, \varepsilon}$$

for $q > 2(1-\tau)^{-1}$, $\tau \in (0, 1)$. Therefore,

$$\|P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{q,\varepsilon} \leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^{1+\tau})$$

We take $0 < \tau < 1$ so that $1 + \tau = 2 - \sigma$. Then, we get Theorem 2 for $q > 2\sigma^{-1}$.

5. Estimate of the difference between P_ε and \tilde{P}_ε

We want to estimate

$$(5.1) \quad \|\xi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon - \tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_p.$$

It does not exceed $\|(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon\|_p + \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \xi_\varepsilon)\|_p$. We want to estimate the first term of the above sum.

Fix $f \in L^p(\Omega)$.

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon f\|_p &\leq C(|B_\varepsilon|^{1/p} \max_{x \in \bar{B}_\varepsilon} |G_x(\xi_\varepsilon f)| \\ &\quad + g(\varepsilon) (\int_{B_\varepsilon} G(x, \tilde{w})^p dx)^{1/p} |G_w(\xi_\varepsilon f)|) \end{aligned}$$

for $p > 1$ observing the fact that $(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \xi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $h(\varepsilon)$ -term. Therefore, we get

$$(5.2) \leq C(\varepsilon^{2/p} + g(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{2/p}(\log \varepsilon)) \|f\|_p \leq C' \varepsilon^{2/p} \|f\|_p$$

for $p > 1$. Then, for any $p > 1$,

$$(5.3) \quad \|(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon\|_p \leq C' \varepsilon^{2/p}.$$

Moreover, $\|(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \tilde{P}_\varepsilon\|_p$ has the same bound in (5.3).

We have the duality

$$((1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \tilde{P}_\varepsilon)^* = \tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \xi_\varepsilon).$$

Therefore,

$$(5.4) \quad \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon(1 - \xi_\varepsilon)\|_{p'} \leq C' \varepsilon^{2/p}.$$

As a corollary of the above facts we get the following.

Theorem 3. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(5.5) \quad \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \xi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \xi_\varepsilon\|_2 \leq C \varepsilon.$$

We here want to prove the following.

Theorem 4. *There exists a constant C such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G\|_2 &\leq C(|g(\varepsilon)| + |\log \varepsilon| |h(\varepsilon)|) \\ &\leq C |g(\varepsilon)| \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Proof of Theorem 4.

We put

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 f(x) &= G(x, \tilde{w}) G_w f \\ A_2 f(x) &= \xi_\varepsilon(x) \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G_w(\xi_\varepsilon f) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Then, $\tilde{P}_\varepsilon = G_\varepsilon + g(\varepsilon) A_1 + h(\varepsilon) A_2$.

We have the following.

$$(5.6) \quad \|A_1\|_p \leq C$$

for any $p \in (1, \infty)$. And

$$(5.7) \quad \|A_2\|_2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|.$$

This is observed by

$$\|A_2 f\|_2 \leq \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |\nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w})|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} |\nabla_w G_w(\xi_\varepsilon f)|.$$

Now we get the desired result.

6. Convergence of eigenvalues

Notice that the j -th eigenvalue of P_ε is equal to the j -th eigenvalue of $\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon$. By virtue of Theorems 2, 3, 4 we see that there exists a constant C independent of j such that

$$(6.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &|\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1}| \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon + |g(\varepsilon)| + |\log \varepsilon| |h(\varepsilon)|) \\ &\leq C \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

We need more precise estimate for the left hand side of (6.1) to get Theorem 1. By (6.1) we know that the multiplicity of $\mu_j(\varepsilon)$ is one for small ε when the multiplicity of μ_j is one.

7. Perturbation theory for \tilde{P}_ε

In this section we consider the behaviour of eigenvalues of \tilde{P}_ε as ε tends to 0. We set $A_0 = G$ and A_1, A_2 as mentioned before.

For the present we discuss a formal treatment of perturbation theory for eigenvalues. We put

$$A(\varepsilon) = A_0 + g(\varepsilon) A_1 + h(\varepsilon) A_2$$

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + g(\varepsilon) \lambda_1 + h(\varepsilon) \lambda_2 \\ \psi(\varepsilon) &= \psi_0 + g(\varepsilon) \psi_1 + h(\varepsilon) \psi_2 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ and $\psi(\varepsilon)$ is an approximate eigenvalue of $A(\varepsilon)$ and an approximate eigenfunction of $A(\varepsilon)$, respectively. We consider the following equations:

$$(7.1) \quad (A(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \psi(\varepsilon) = o(\text{small term}),$$

where the meaning of $o(\text{small term})$ is not specified here. We set

$$\|\psi_0\|_2 = 1, \quad (\psi_0, \psi_j) = 0 \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$. Here $\varepsilon \rightarrow \lambda(\varepsilon)$ is thought as a perturbation family. To get (7.1) we examine the following equations:

$$(7.2) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0) \psi_0 = 0$$

$$(7.3) \quad (A - \lambda_0) \psi_1 = (\lambda_1 - A_1) \psi_0$$

$$(7.4) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0) \psi_2 = (\lambda_2 - A_2) \psi_0 + (\lambda_1 - A_1) \psi_1.$$

By the Fredholm alternative theory we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1(\varepsilon) &= (A_1 \psi_0, \psi_0) \\ \lambda_2(\varepsilon) &= (A_2 \psi_0, \psi_0) + (A_1 \psi_1, \psi_0) \end{aligned}$$

is the conditions to solve (7.2), (7.3), (7.4) when λ_0 has multiplicity one.

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} (7.5) \quad &(A(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \psi(\varepsilon) \\ &= (g(\varepsilon)^2 - h(\varepsilon)) (A_1 - \lambda_1) \psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)^2 (A_2 - \lambda_2) \psi_2 \\ &\quad + g(\varepsilon) h(\varepsilon) ((A_1 - \lambda_1) \psi_2 + (A_2 - \lambda_2) \psi_1). \end{aligned}$$

From now on we give a rigorous treatment of perturbation theory for eigenvalue of \tilde{P}_ε . Let μ_j and φ_j be as in Theorem 1. Thus, μ_j is a simple eigenvalue. We see that

$$(7.6) \quad \lambda_1(\varepsilon) = |\mathbf{G}_w \psi_0|^2 = \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2$$

and

$$(7.7) \quad \lambda_2(\varepsilon) = \langle \nabla_w \mathbf{G}_w(\xi_\varepsilon \psi_0), \nabla_w \mathbf{G}_w(\xi_\varepsilon \psi_0) \rangle|_{w=\tilde{w}} + \mathbf{G}_w \psi_1 \cdot \mathbf{G}_w \psi_0.$$

Then,

$$(7.8) \quad |\lambda_2(\varepsilon)| \leq C |\log \varepsilon|.$$

By the Fredholm theory we see that

$$(7.9) \quad \|\psi_1\|_2 \leq C \|(\lambda_1 - A_1)\|_2 \|\psi_0\|_2 \leq C'$$

and

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \|\psi_2\|_2 &\leq C \|(\lambda_2 - A_2)\|_2 \|\psi_0\|_2 + C \|(\lambda_1 - A_1)\|_2 \|\psi_1\|_2 \\ &\leq C(1 + |\log \varepsilon|). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up (7.5), (7.8), (7.9) and (7.10) we have the following inequality.

$$(7.11) \quad |(7.5)| \leq C'(g(\varepsilon)^2 + h(\varepsilon)^2) (\log \varepsilon)^2 \equiv S(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore, we have the following.

Theorem 5. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(7.12) \quad \|(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \psi(\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq S(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

We put $(\Phi(\varepsilon))(x) = \xi_\varepsilon(x) (\psi(\xi))(x)$. Then, $(P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \Phi(\varepsilon) = (\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) (\xi_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))$ on Ω_ε . Fix $\sigma \in [0, 1)$. Then, there exists a constant C independent of ε such that

$$(7.13) \quad \|(P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) \Phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2, \sigma} \leq S(\varepsilon) + \|T(\varepsilon)\|_{2, \sigma},$$

where

$$T(\varepsilon) = (\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon)) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi(\xi).$$

8. On $T(\varepsilon)$

We want to get an upper bound for $T(\varepsilon)$. We have

$$T(\varepsilon) = \sum_{h=1}^7 T_h,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= \mathbf{G}(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \varphi_j(x) \\ T_2 &= g(\varepsilon) \mathbf{G}(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi_1 \\ T_3 &= h(\varepsilon) \mathbf{G}(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi_2 \\ T_4 &= g(\varepsilon) A_1(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \varphi_j \\ T_5 &= g(\varepsilon)^2 A_1(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi_1 \\ T_6 &= g(\varepsilon) h(\varepsilon) A_1(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi_2 \\ T_7 &= h(\varepsilon) A_2(1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

on Ω_ε , since $\lambda(\varepsilon) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon) = 0$ on Ω_ε .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|T_3+T_5+T_6+T_7\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ & = 0(|h(\varepsilon) \log \varepsilon|+g(\varepsilon)^2+|g(\varepsilon) h(\varepsilon)| |\log \varepsilon|) . \end{aligned}$$

We get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_1\|_{2,\varepsilon} & \leq |\Omega|^{1/2} \|T_1\|_{\infty,\varepsilon} \leq C \|(1-\xi_\varepsilon) \varphi_j\|_{p,\varepsilon} \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2/p} \end{aligned}$$

for any $p > 1$.

Also,

$$\|T_2\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq Cg(\varepsilon) \|\psi_1\|_{L^p(B_\varepsilon)}$$

for $p > 1$. Notice that

$$\psi_1 = (-\lambda_0)^{-1} ((\lambda_1 - A_1) \psi_0 - A_0 \psi_1) .$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi_1\|_{L^p(B_\varepsilon)} & \leq C(\|\psi_0\|_{L^p(B_\varepsilon)} + \|A_1 \psi_0\|_{L^p(B_\varepsilon)} + \|A_0 \psi_1\|_{L^p(B_\varepsilon)}) \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^{2/p} + (\int_{B_\varepsilon} G(x, w)^p dx)^{1/p}) \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon^{2/p} |\log \varepsilon|) . \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\|T_2\|_{2,\varepsilon} = 0(g(\varepsilon) \varepsilon^{2/p} |\log \varepsilon|)$ for any $p > 1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_4\|_{2,\varepsilon} & \leq \|G(\cdot, w)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \|G(1-\xi_\varepsilon) \varphi_j\|_{\infty} g(\varepsilon) \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2/p} g(\varepsilon) \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| , \end{aligned}$$

for any $p > 1$.

We take $p < 1$ as close as 1 to get Theorem 6.

Summing up these facts we get the following.

Theorem 6. The estimate

$$\|T(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} = 0(\varepsilon^{2-2\sigma})$$

holds.

9. Proof of Theorem 1 for $\sigma > 0$

We recall the fact (6.1). This is given by Theorems $\tilde{2}$, 3, 4. To prove Theorem $\tilde{2}$ we used the fact that $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. Now, we know by (7.13), Theorem 6 that there exists at least one eigenvalue $H(\varepsilon)$ of P_ε satisfying

$$|H(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq S(\varepsilon) + C \varepsilon^{2-2\sigma} .$$

Here we used the fact that $\|\Phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε . Since $H(\varepsilon)$ tends

to μ_j^{-1} as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, it must be the j -th eigenvalue of P_\bullet . Combine with Theorem 2 and the above fact. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - (\mu_j^{-1} + g(\varepsilon) \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2)| \\ & \leq C(S(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{2-2\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - (\mu_j^{-1} - (2\pi/k) \mu_j^{-2} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2)| \\ & \leq C \varepsilon^{2-2\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|^2. \end{aligned}$$

using an explicit representation of $\lambda(\varepsilon)$.

10. Proof of Theorem 1 for $\sigma=0$

Under the same assumption as in Lemma 3.1 we have, for $p \in (1, \infty)$

$$\|(P_\bullet - G_\bullet) \tilde{f}\|_{p,\bullet} \leq C \varepsilon \max_{\theta} |M(\theta)| \leq C \varepsilon \max_{z \in \partial B_\varepsilon} |(4.8)|.$$

The right hand side of the above formula does not exceed

$$\begin{aligned} & C \varepsilon (\varepsilon \|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^1(\Omega)} + \varepsilon^{\sigma+\beta} \|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^{1+\beta}(\Omega)}) \\ & + |h(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^{1-(2/p)} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p,\bullet} \end{aligned}$$

for any finite $p > 2(1-\beta)^{-1}$, $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then, we can get the following using duality and interpolation argument.

Theorem 7. *Assume that $\sigma=0$. Fix $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|P_\bullet - G_\bullet\|_{2,\bullet} \leq C \varepsilon^{1+\beta}$$

holds.

Summing up the above facts we get the desired Theorem 1 for $\sigma=0$.

References

- [1] C. Anné: *Spectre du Laplacien et écrasement d'anses*, Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **20** (1987), 271–280.
- [2] G. Besson: *Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres du laplacien dans un domaine avec un trou*, Bull. Soc. Math. France. **113** (1985), 211–239.
- [3] I. Chavel: *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [4] I. Chavel, E.A. Feldman: *The Lenz shift and Wiener sausage in insulated domains*, in "From local times to global geometry, control and physics", ed. by K.D. Elworthy, Longman Scientific and Technical., 1984/85, 47–67.

- [5] G. Courtois: *Comportement du spectre d'une variété riemannienne compacte sous perturbation topologique par excision d'un domaine*, These de doctorat, 1987.
- [6] S. Jimbo: *The singularly perturbed domain and the characterization for the eigenfunctions with Neumann boundary condition*, J. of Diff. Equations **77** (1989), 322–350.
- [7] M. Kac: *Probabilistic methods in some problems of scattering theory*, Rocky Mountain J. Math. **4** (1974), 511–538.
- [8] S. Kaizu: *The Robin problem on domains with tiny holes*, Proc. Japan Acad. SecA **61** (1985), 141–143.
- [9] S. Ozawa: *Electrostatic capacity and eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo Sec IA. **30** (1983), 53–62.
- [10] S. Ozawa: *Spectra of domains with small spherical Neumann boundary*, Ibid. **30** (1983), 259–277.
- [11] S. Ozawa: *Asymptotic property of an eigenfunction of the Laplacian under singular variation of domains -the Neumann condition-*, Osaka J. Math. **22** (1985), 639–655.
- [12] S. Ozawa: *Fluctuation of spectra in random media. II*. Ibid. **27** (1990), 17–66.
- [13] S. Ozawa: *Spectra of random media with many randomly distributed obstacles*. to appear in Osaka J. Math.
- [14] J. Rauch, M. Taylor: *Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains*, J. Funct. Anal. **18** (1975), 27–59.
- [15] M.M. Schiffer, D.C. Spencer: *Functional of finite Riemann surfaces*, Princeton Univ. Press, 1954.
- [16] B. Simon: *Functional integration and quantum physics*. Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1979.
- [17] A.S. Sznitman: *Some bounds and limiting results for the measure of Wiener sausage of small radius associated to elliptic diffusions*, Stochastic processes and their applications **25** (1987), 1–25.

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Sciences
Tokyo Institute of Technology
O-okayama, Meguro-ku
Tokyo 152
Japan