

Title	A note on the Grothendieck group of a finite abelian group
Author(s)	Sumioka, Takeshi
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1973, 10(1), p. 21-24
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/7267">https://doi.org/10.18910/7267</a>
rights	
Note	

***Osaka University Knowledge Archive : OUKA***

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

Osaka University

## A NOTE ON THE GROTHENDIECK GROUP OF A FINITE ABELIAN GROUP

TAKESHI SUMIOKA

(Received June 6, 1972)

### Introduction

For any ring  $A$ , by  $G(A)$  we denote the Grothendieck group of left  $A$ -modules which are finitely generated. Let  $R$  be the ring of integers of an algebraic number field  $K$ , and let  $R\pi$  and  $K\pi$  be the group rings of a finite group  $\pi$  over  $R$  and  $K$ , respectively. If  $\mathfrak{D}$  is a maximal  $R$ -order in  $K\pi$  which contains  $R\pi$ , then by regarding a module over  $\mathfrak{D}$  as one over  $R\pi$ , we get a homomorphism

$$\psi: G(\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow G(R\pi)$$

of Grothendieck groups. Swan [4] proved that  $\psi$  is an epimorphism, and Heller and Reiner [2] described the structure of  $\ker \psi$  by using a map which depends on an ideal theory of the center of  $\mathfrak{D}$  and the modular representations of  $\pi$ . The following theorem is an immediate consequence from the description.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $R_i$  be maximal orders in the center of the simple constituents  $A_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, s$ ) of  $K\pi$ . If any prime ideal of  $R_i$  which divides the order of  $\pi$  is contained in the ray  $J(R_i)$  modulo the real archimedean primes ramified in  $A_i$ , then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism.*

The purpose of this note is to show that under certain assumptions the converse of this theorem is also true.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\pi$  be a finite abelian group of order  $n$  and  $K$  be a cyclotomic field. Then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism if and only if any prime ideal in  $\mathfrak{D}$  which divides  $n$  is principal.*

In this case  $J(R_i)$  is the group of all principal ideals of  $R_i$  and  $\mathfrak{D}$  is the direct sum of the  $R_i$ . Hence the if part of this theorem is a special case of Theorem 1. Our proof of this theorem is based on a method using the conductor from  $\mathfrak{D}$  to  $R\pi$ , which owes to Swan ([4]).

Throughout this note, modules are assumed to be left modules which are finitely generated and by  $K$ ,  $R$  and  $[M]$  we denote an algebraic number field,

the ring of integers of  $K$  and an element of a Grothendieck group which is represented by a module  $M$ , respectively.

1. Let  $A$  be a central simple algebra over  $K$  and let  $\mathfrak{O}$  be a maximal  $R$ -order in  $A$ . By  $I(R)$  and  $J(R)$  we denote the multiplicative group of  $R$ -ideals in  $K$  and the subgroup of  $I(R)$  consisting of elements  $xR$  ( $x \in K$ ), respectively, where  $x$  are positive at each real archimedean prime of  $K$  which ramifies in  $A$ .

If  $S$  is a simple  $\mathfrak{O}$ -module, there exists a unique prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{p}S=0$ . Hence, when  $M$  is a torsion  $\mathfrak{O}$ -module and  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  are the  $\mathfrak{O}$ -composition factors of  $M$ , we define the reduced order ideal  $Or(M)$  of  $M$  as  $Or(M)=\mathfrak{p}_1 \cdots \mathfrak{p}_k$ , where each  $\mathfrak{p}_i$  is a unique prime ideal such that  $\mathfrak{p}_i S_i=0$ .

We note that  $G(\mathfrak{O})$  is isomorphic to the Grothendieck group of torsion-free  $\mathfrak{O}$ -modules. Now let  $L$  be a torsion-free  $\mathfrak{O}$ -module such that  $K \otimes_R L$  is a simple  $A$ -module and fix it. Let  $M$  be a torsion-free  $\mathfrak{O}$ -module. Then  $K \otimes_R M$  is isomorphic to a direct sum  $(K \otimes_R L)^r$  of  $r$ -copies of  $K \otimes_R L$  and there exists a submodule  $N$  of  $M$  such that  $N \cong L^r$ . Hence  $[M]=r[L]+[M/N]$ , and  $M/N$  is torsion. Set  $\alpha=Or[M/N]$ . By setting  $\eta([M])=(r, \bar{\alpha})$ , a map

$$\eta: G(\mathfrak{O}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \oplus I(R)/J(R)$$

is an isomorphism, where  $\bar{\alpha}$  is an element of  $I(R)/J(R)$  represented by  $\alpha$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the ring of rational integers, (see Swan [5] or Heller and Reiner [2]).

For any (non-zero) ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $\mathfrak{O}$ , by  $D(\mathfrak{A})$  we denote the set of prime ideals of  $R$  which divide  $Or(\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{A})$ . Then by the definition of  $\eta$ , we have an immediate consequence.

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}$  and let  $M$  be an  $\mathfrak{O}$ -module which annihilated by  $\mathfrak{A}$ . If any element of  $D(\mathfrak{A})$  is contained in  $J(R)$ , then  $[M]=0$  in  $G(\mathfrak{O})$ .*

2. Let  $\pi$  be a finite group and let  $\mathfrak{O}$  be a maximal  $R$ -order in  $K\pi$  which contains  $R\pi$ . Now we consider the epimorphism

$$\psi: G(\mathfrak{O}) \rightarrow G(R\pi).$$

Let  $K\pi=A_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_s$  be the decomposition of  $K\pi$  into the simple constituents. We denote by  $K_i$  the center of  $A_i$  and by  $R_i$  the ring of integers of  $K_i$ . Since  $\mathfrak{O}$  is a maximal  $R$ -order in  $K\pi$ , there is a decomposition  $\mathfrak{O}=\mathfrak{O}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{O}_s$  of  $\mathfrak{O}$ , where each  $\mathfrak{O}_i$  is a maximal  $R_i$ -order in  $A_i$ . By  $\mathfrak{C}$  we denote the conductor from  $\mathfrak{O}$  to  $R\pi$  (the largest  $\mathfrak{O}$ -ideal contained in  $R\pi$ ), and we write  $\mathfrak{C}=\mathfrak{C}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{C}_s$ , where each  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{O}_i$ . It is known that  $\mathfrak{C}$  divides  $n$  the order of  $\pi$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Suppose any element of  $D(\mathfrak{C}_i)$  is contained in  $J(R_i)$  for*

each  $i$ . Then the map  $\psi: G(\mathfrak{D}) \rightarrow G(R\pi)$  is an isomorphism.

Proof. We shall give a left inverse map  $\phi$  of  $\psi$ . At first, we define a homomorphism

$$\phi_i: G(R\pi) \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{D}_i).$$

Any element of  $G(R\pi)$  is represented by  $[M] - [N]$ , where  $M$  and  $N$  are torsion-free  $R\pi$ -modules. It therefore suffices to consider torsion-free  $R\pi$ -modules. Now let  $M$  be a torsion-free  $R\pi$ -module. Since  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  is contained in  $R\pi$ , we can consider an  $R\pi$ -module  $\mathfrak{C}_i M$ . We define an operation of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  for  $\mathfrak{C}_i M$  as  $x(\sum_i c_i m_i) = \sum_i (xc_i) m_i$  ( $x \in \mathfrak{D}_i$ ,  $\sum_i c_i m_i \in \mathfrak{C}_i M$ ). To see that this is well defined, let  $\sum_i c_i m_i = 0$ . Since  $nx$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ ,  $n \sum_i (xc_i) m_i = (nx) \sum_i c_i m_i = 0$ . However  $\sum_i (xc_i) m_i \in M$ , and  $M$  is torsion-free, so we have  $\sum_i (xc_i) m_i = 0$ . Hence we can regard  $\mathfrak{C}_i M$  as an  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -module.

We now define a homomorphism

$$\phi_i: G(R\pi) \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{D}_i),$$

by  $\phi_i([M]) = [\mathfrak{C}_i M]$ . It is well defined as follows. Let

$$0 \rightarrow M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M'' \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of torsion-free  $R\pi$ -modules. Then it induces a sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{C}_i M' \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathfrak{C}_i M \xrightarrow{\beta} \mathfrak{C}_i M'' \rightarrow 0$$

of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -modules, which is exact up to middle. We easily see that  $\ker \beta / \text{im } \alpha$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ , but by the assumption, any element of  $D(\mathfrak{C}_i)$  is contained in  $J(R_i)$ . So by Lemma 3,  $[\ker \beta / \text{im } \alpha]$  is zero in  $G(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ . This implies that  $[\mathfrak{C}_i M] = [\mathfrak{C}_i M'] + [\mathfrak{C}_i M'']$ , which shows that  $\phi_i$  is well defined.

Since  $G(\mathfrak{D}) = \Sigma \oplus G(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ , we define a homomorphism  $\phi$  with all  $\phi_i$ ,

$$\phi = \Sigma \phi_i: G(R\pi) \rightarrow G(\mathfrak{D}).$$

Any  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -module  $M$  is regarded as an  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module by setting  $\mathfrak{D}_j M = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ . Then  $\phi_i \psi([M]) = [\mathfrak{C}_i M]$  and  $\phi_j \psi([M]) = [\mathfrak{C}_j M] = 0$ . However  $[M] = [\mathfrak{C}_i M]$  in  $G(\mathfrak{D}_i)$  by Lemma 3. Therefore  $\phi \psi = 1$ , and we complete the proof.

3. Hereafter let  $\pi$  be abelian. Then for each  $i$ ,  $A_i$  and  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  coincide with  $K_i$  and  $R_i$ , respectively. Moreover  $J(\mathfrak{D}_i)$  is the group of principal ideals of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ , and  $D(\mathfrak{C}_i)$  is the set of prime ideals of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  dividing  $\mathfrak{C}_i$ .

Now we assume that  $K$  is a cyclotomic field or any prime rational integer dividing  $n$  the order of  $\pi$  is unramified in  $R$ . Let  $\rho_i$  is a map  $R\pi \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}_i$  induced by the projection from  $K\pi$  onto each constituent  $A_i$ . Then  $\rho_i$  is an epimorphism (see Swan [5]). Set  $\mathfrak{R}_i = \text{Ker } \rho_i$ . Since  $\rho_i$  is an epimorphism,  $\rho_i(\mathfrak{R}_j)$  is an

ideal of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  for each  $i$  and  $j$ . Any  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -module  $M$  is regarded as an  $\mathfrak{D}$ -module by setting  $\mathfrak{D}_j M = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ , and moreover this is also regarded as an  $R\pi$ -module by restriction of the operation. But such an operation of  $R\pi$  for  $M$  coincides with one induced by  $\rho_i$ .

**Theorem 2'.** *Let  $\pi$  be an abelian group of order  $n$ . Assume that either  $K$  is a cyclotomic field or  $R$  has a property that any prime rational integer dividing  $n$  is unramified in  $R$ . Then the map  $\psi$  is an isomorphism if and only if any prime ideal dividing  $\mathfrak{C}$  is principal in  $\mathfrak{D}$ .*

**Proof.** Assume that any prime ideal dividing  $\mathfrak{C}$  is principal in  $\mathfrak{D}$  which is equivalent to saying that for each  $i$  any prime ideal dividing  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  is principal in  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ , i.e.  $D(\mathfrak{C}_i) \subset I(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ . Then  $\psi$  is an isomorphism by Proposition 4.

Conversely, let  $\psi$  be an isomorphism. Suppose that there exists a non-principal prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  dividing  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  for some  $i$ . Set  $M = \mathfrak{D}_i / \mathfrak{P}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is a non-principal prime ideal,  $[M]$  is not zero in  $G(\mathfrak{D}_i)$ .

On the other hand  $\prod_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{R}_j \subset \cap_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{R}_j = \mathfrak{C}_i$  (see Bass [1]). Hence, for some  $j \neq i$ ,  $\rho_i(\mathfrak{R}_j)$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{P}$ . If the  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -module  $M$  is regarded as an  $R\pi$ -module (by the way mentioned above),  $\mathfrak{R}_j M = \rho_i(\mathfrak{R}_j) M \subset \mathfrak{P} M = 0$ . Consequently,  $M$  is annihilated by  $\mathfrak{R}_j$ , so that from the isomorphism  $R\pi / \mathfrak{R}_j \cong \mathfrak{D}_j$ ,  $M$  is also regarded as an  $\mathfrak{D}_j$ -module. Then the new  $R\pi$ -module  $M$  obtained above coincides with the given  $\mathfrak{D}_i$ -module. It implies that in  $G(R\pi)$ ,  $[M]$  is contained in the image of  $G(\mathfrak{D}_i)$  as well as of  $G(\mathfrak{D}_j)$ , which contradicts injectivity of  $\psi$ . This proves Theorem 2'.

Now, in particular let  $K$  be an arbitrary cyclotomic field. Then a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  of  $\mathfrak{D}_i$  divides  $\mathfrak{C}_i$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{P}$  divides  $n$  (see Bass[1]). Thus Theorem 2 is an immediate consequence of Theorem 2'.

OSAKA CITY UNIVERSITY

### References

- [1] H. Bass: Algebraic K-Theory, Benjamin, New York, 1968.
- [2] A. Heller and I. Reiner: *Grothendieck groups of integral group rings*, Illinois J. Math. **9** (1965), 349–360.
- [3] R.G. Swan: *Induced representations and projective modules*, Ann. of Math. **71** (1960), 552–578.
- [4] R.G. Swan: *The Grothendieck ring of a finite group*, Topology **2** (1963), 85–110.
- [5] R.G. Swan: *K-Theory of Finite Groups and Orders*, Lecture Note in Math. 149, Springer, Berlin, 1970.