

Title	Corrections and supplements to : "Index of the exponential map on a complex simple Lie group"
Author(s)	Lai, Hêng Lung
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1980, 17(2), p. 525–530
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7283
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

Lai, H.-L. Osaka J. Math. 17 (1980), 525-530

CORRECTIONS AND SUPPLEMENTS TO "INDEX OF THE EXPONENTIAL MAP ON A COMPLEX SIMPLE LIE GROUP"

Heng-Lung LAI

(Received April 18, 1979)

In this note, we shall fix up some gaps in my previous papers [2] and [3], and will discuss related topics. Since [2] is a special case of [3], we may restrict our attension to [3] and will retain the notation adopted there throughout the paper.

In [3], the proofs of Lemma 1 and Proposition in Section 3 were incomplete. After some preparations, we will give a detailed proof for each of them in §3. On the way, we can see some relations between the index of a connected complex semisimple Lie group and the index of its Borel subgroup, which will be stated in the last part of this note.

I am indebted to Professor Morikuni Goto who pointed out my mistake and gave me great help to correct it.

1. On a theorem of Kostant concerning three dimensional subalgebras

Let G be a complex semisimple Lie algebra, A a nilpotent element (± 0) in G. According to Kostant [1], we can find a semisimple h and a nilpotent B in G so that

$$(*) [h,A] = A, [h,B] = -B, [A,B] = h.$$

Furthermore, the three dimensional subalgebra S = Ch + CA + CB is uniquely determined by A up to conjugacy, i.e. if A is conjugate with A', then a three dimensional subalgebra S' = Ch' + CA' + CB' corresponding to A' is conjugate with S, and so is h' to h.

Proposition B. If A is a regular nilpotent element in G (c.f. [4]), then the h satisfying (*) must be a regular semisimple element.

Proof. By [4], we can suppose that $A = \sum_{j=1}^{l} e_{\alpha_j}$. We shall choose $B \in G$

Partially supported by the National Science Council, Republic of China.

such that $h = \sum_{j=1}^{k} h_j$, A and B satisfy (*). Notice that $\alpha_j(h) = 1$ for $j = 1, \dots, l$, and h is regular.

Let $c_{kj} = -2\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_j \rangle / \langle \alpha_j, \alpha_j \rangle (k, j=1, \dots, l)$ be Cartan integers. The Cartan matrix $(-c_{kj})$ is known to be non-singular and so the system of linear equations

$$\sum_{k=1}^{l} y_k c_{jk} = 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, l)$$

has a unique solution y_1, \dots, y_l . We put

$$B = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{2}{\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_k \rangle} y_k e_{-\alpha_k}.$$

Then

$$[A,B] = \sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{2}{\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_k \rangle} y_k[e_{\alpha_k}, e_{-\alpha_k}] = -\sum_{k=1}^{l} \frac{2}{\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_k \rangle} y_k h_{\alpha_k}$$
$$= -\sum_{k=1}^{l} y_k h_{\alpha_k}^* = -\sum_{k=1}^{l} y_k (-\sum_{j=1}^{l} c_{jk} h_j)$$
$$= \sum_{j=1}^{l} h_j = h ,$$

and it is easy to see that

$$[h, A] = A, \quad [h, B] = -B.$$
 Q.E.D.

2. A key lemma

G still denotes a complex semisimple Lie algebra of rank l.

Lemma C. Let G_1 be a semisimple subalgebra of G with the same rank l, A a nilpotent element which is regular in G_1 . Then $z_G(A)$ (the centralizer of A in $G = \{x \in G; [x, A] = 0\}$) is composed of nilpotent elements.

Proof. It suffices to prove: for any nonzero semisimple element $x_0 \in G$, A is not conjugate with any (nilpotent) element in $z_G(x_0)$.

Any semisimple element $x_0 \in G$ is conjugate to some element h_0 in the (fixed) Cartan subalgebra H. Moreover, if $x_0 = Adg \cdot h_0$, then $z_G(x_0) = Adg \cdot z_G(h_0)$. So we may assume that $x_0 \neq 0$ lies in H.

Next, any $x_0 \in H$ can be expressed as $x_0 = \sum_{j=1}^{l} (c_j + id_j)h_j(c_j, d_j \in \mathbf{R})$. Denote $x_1 = \sum_{j=1}^{l} c_j h_j, x_2 = \sum_{j=1}^{l} d_j h_j$, so that $x_0 = x_1 + ix_2$ with $x_1, x_2 \in H_0(=\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}h_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{l} \mathbf{R}h_i)$. Notice that $z_G(x_0)$ is generated by H and those e_α 's with $\alpha \in \Delta$ satisfying $0 = \alpha(x_0) = \alpha(x_1) + i\alpha(x_2)$, which implies that the two real numbers $\alpha(x_1)$ and $\alpha(x_2)$ must be zero, i.e. $z_G(x_0) = z_G(x_1) \cap z_G(x_2)$. By assumption, $x_0 \neq 0$, so $x_1 \neq 0$ or $x_2 \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $x_1 \neq 0$. Since $z_G(x_0) \subset z_G(x_1)$, to

526

prove the Lemma, we may replace x_0 by x_1 , i.e. we assume that $x_0 \in H_0$.

Denote $W_0 = \{x \in H_0; \alpha_j(x) > 0 \ j = 1, \dots, l\}$. Since the Weyl group $Ad(\Delta)$ acts transitively on the set of Weyl chambers, any element x_0 in H_0 is conjugate with an element in \overline{W}_0 . So we reduce our problem to the case that $x_0 \in \overline{W}_0$, i.e. $x_0 = y_1 h_1 + \dots + y_l h_l$ with $y_j \ge 0$ $(j=1,\dots,l)$. It is easy to see that $z_G(x_0)$ is generated by H and those e_{α} 's with $\alpha \in \Delta$ satisfying the following condition: If $\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^l n_j \alpha_j$, then $n_j = 0$ whenever $y_j \neq 0$; i.e. $z_G(x_0) = H + \sum_{\beta \in \Delta_1} Ce_{\beta}$ where $\Delta_1 = \Delta \cap \sum_{i \in I} Z\alpha_i$ with $I = \{i; 1 \le i \le l \text{ and } y_i = 0\}$. The assumption $x_0 \neq 0$ implies that y_j cannot be all zero, say $y_k \neq 0$. Thus we have $z_G(x_0) \subset z_G(h_k)$. Let us prove that a regular nilpotent element A is not conjugate with any nilpotent element in $z_G(h_k)$.

Any nilpotent element $A' \in z_G(h_k)$ lies in $G_2 = [z_G(h_k), z_G(h_k)]$. Let h and B (resp. h' and B') be chosen to satisfy relation (*) for the element A (resp. A') in the last section. We may choose $h, B \in G_1$ and $h', B' \in G_2$ (because G_1 and G_2 are semisimple subalgebras). It is easy to see that G_2 has $\sum_{j \neq k} Ch_j$ as its Cartan subalgebra, and any element $x \in \sum_{j \neq k} Ch_j$ satisfies $\alpha_k(x) = 0$, so x is non-regular when considered as an element in G. Therefore, the semisimple element $h' \in G_2$ is non-regular in G. On the other hand, Proposition B shows that h is regular in G_1 , so h is regular in G because rank G=rank G_1 . Hence h cannot be conjugate with h'. By Kostant's theorem, A cannot be conjugate with A'.

3. Corrections to [3]

Throughout this section, we shall follow the notation used in [3].

First, we give a correct proof for Lemma 1 [3].

Let h_0 and β_1, \dots, β_l be the same as in p. 563 [3].

The argument in p. 563 [3] proves that we can find a positive integer dand some element $h \in \Omega'$ such that $\beta_j(dh_0+h)=0$ for $j=1, \dots, r$, i.e. $\alpha(dh_0+h)=0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta(h_0)$. Let d be the smallest positive integer for this to be true, then ind(exp h_0 exp N) is a factor of d.

Assume that $\beta_i = \sum_{j=1}^{l} q_{ij} \alpha_j$. Consider the following system of linear equations in the unknowns y_1, \dots, y_l :

$$\begin{array}{ll} q_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + q_{il}y_l = 2\pi i k_i & i = 1, \cdots, r; \\ q_{i1}y_1 + \cdots + q_{il}y_l = 0 & i = r+1, \cdots, l. \end{array}$$

Since (q_{ij}) is a nonsingular matrix (because β_1, \dots, β_l is linearly independent), this has a (unique) solution which is nontrivial because some $k_i \neq 0$ by our assumption on h_0 .

Let
$$h_0' = \sum_{j=1}^l y_j h_j$$
, then $\beta_1(h_0') = \beta_1(h_0), \dots, \beta_r(h_0') = \beta_r(h_0)$ and $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_l \in \mathbb{R}$

 $\Delta(h_0')$. Suppose that d' is the smallest positive integer for which we can find $h' \in \Omega'$ satisfying $\beta_j(d'h_0'+h')=0$ for $j=1, \dots, l$, then $\alpha(d'h_0'+h')=0$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta$ because α can be written as a rational linear combination of β_1, \dots, β_l (they are linearly independent and $l=\operatorname{rank} G$), this implies that $d'h_0'+h'=0$, or $d'h_0' \in \Omega'$, and d' is the smallest positive integer for this to hold.

On the other hand, $\beta_j(d'h_0+h')=\beta_j(d'h_0)+\beta_j(h')=\beta_j(d'h_0')+\beta_j(h')=\beta_j(d'h_0')+\beta_j(h')=\beta_j(d'h_0'+h')=0$ for $j=1, \dots, r$, so that d' must be a multiple of d, and hence a multiple of ind(exp $h_0 \cdot \exp N$).

The proof of Lemma 1[3] will be complete after we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 1 A. There exists a nilpotent element $N' \in \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(h_0')^+} Ce_\beta$ so that

$$ind(exp \ h_0' \cdot exp \ N') = d'$$
.

Also, we need a more detailed discussion in the proof of section 3 [3] for that element we chose to have index exactly equal to $p_j m_j$.

Proof of Lemma 1 A. Recall that $h_0' \in H$ was chosen so that $\pi(h_0')$ has cardinality $l=\operatorname{rank} G$, i.e. $G_1=G(1, Ad \exp h_0')$ is a semisimple subalgebra of Gwith rank l. If \mathfrak{G}_1 is the connected Lie subgroup of \mathfrak{G} with G_1 as its Lie algebra, then $\exp h_0'$ is a central element in \mathfrak{G}_1 . Note that d' as we have chosen is exactly equal to the order of this central element.

Let $N' \in \sum_{\beta \in \Delta(h_0')^+} Ce_{\beta}$ be a regular nilpotent element in G_1 , and $g = \exp h_0' \cdot \exp N'$. We claim that $\operatorname{ind}(g) = d'$.

Let q be a positive integer so that $g^q = \exp x$ for some $x \in G$. Consider the Jordan decomposition of $x: x = x_0 + N_0$, where x_0 is semisimple, N_0 is nilpotent and $[x_0, N_0] = 0$. The equality $\exp x_0 \cdot \exp N_0 = \exp x = g^q = \exp qh_0' \cdot \exp qN'$ and the uniqueness of decomposition imply that $\exp N_0 = \exp qN'$. But the exponential map is one-one on the nilpotent part, so $N_0 = qN'$. Therefore $x = x_0 + qN'$ with x_0 semisimple and $[x_0, N'] = 0$. Since N' is a regular nilpotent element in the semisimple subalgebra G_1 , which has rank $l = \operatorname{rank} G$, we conclude from Lemma C that $x_0 = 0$, i.e. $(\exp h_0')^q = \exp x_0 = 1$. This implies that q must be a multiple of d' and proves that $\operatorname{ind}(g) = d'$. Q.E.D.

A similar argument can prove the assertion we made in section 3 [3]. Let $h_0 = 2\pi i h_j / m_j$, $N = \sum_{0 \le i \le l, i \ne j} e_{\alpha_i}$, N is a regular nilpotent element in the semisimple subalgebra $G_1 = G(1, Ad \exp h_0)$, and rank $G_1 = l = \operatorname{rank} G$.

Let $g = \exp h_0 \cdot \exp N$. If q is a positive integer so that $g^q = \exp x$ for some $x \in G$, then $x = x_0 + qN$ with x_0 semisimple satisfying $[x_0, N] = 0$. Apply Lemma C again, we conclude that $x_0 = 0$, so $(\exp h_0)^q = \exp x_0 = 1$. This implies that $qh_0 \in \Omega^*$, the smallest q for this to hold is p_jm_j , so that $\operatorname{ind}(g) = p_jm_j$.

This gives a complete proof for the main theorem in [3].

4. ind (\mathfrak{B}) (\mathfrak{B} denotes a Borel subgroup of \mathfrak{B})

In this section, we let \mathfrak{G} be a connected complex semisimple Lie group, \mathfrak{B} a Borel subgroup of \mathfrak{G} (it is well known that \mathfrak{B} is uniquely determined up to conjugacy). We like to study the relation between $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g)$ and $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$ for $g \in \mathfrak{B}$, where $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g)$ (resp. $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$) denotes the index of g regarded as an element in \mathfrak{B} (resp. in \mathfrak{G}). Clearly, $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g) \geq \operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$ for any $g \in \mathfrak{B}$.

We may assume that the Lie subalgebra B corresponding to \mathfrak{B} is given by $B=H+\sum_{\alpha\in\Delta^+}Ce_{\alpha}$.

Any element in \mathfrak{B} can be expressed as $\exp h_0 \cdot \exp N$ with $\exp h_0 \cdot \exp N = \exp N \cdot \exp h_0$. In the proof of Lemma 1[3], we may choose β_1, \dots, β_l from Δ^+ , so that the discussion can be restricted on B. We have proved that $\operatorname{ind}\mathfrak{G}(g)$ is a factor of d'. Moreover, for the particular element h_0' we chose and the corresponding regular nilpotent element N' in $\sum_{\beta \in \Delta(h_0')^+} Ce_{\beta}(\subset \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} Ce_{\alpha})$, $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\exp h_0' \cdot \exp N') = d'$. But it is clear that

$$(\exp h_0' \cdot \exp N')^{d'} = \exp d'N' \in \mathfrak{B}$$

and $(\exp h_0 \cdot \exp N)^d = \exp (dh_0 + h + dN) \in \mathfrak{B}$ (for some $h \in \Omega'$). Therefore inds(exp $h_0 \cdot \exp N$) is also a factor of d' and inds(exp $h'_0 \cdot \exp N') = d'$. We have proved the following:

For any $g \in \mathfrak{B}$, we can find $g' \in \mathfrak{B}$, so that $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g)$, as well as $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g)$ is a factor of $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g') = \operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g')$.

If $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g')=\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g')=d=qr$, then clearly $\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{B}}(g'^{q})=\operatorname{ind}_{\mathfrak{G}}(g'^{q})=r$. We conclude that:

Proposition. If \mathfrak{G} is a connected complex semisimple Lie group, \mathfrak{B} its Borel subgroup, then $\{ind_{\mathfrak{B}}(g); g \in \mathfrak{B}\} = \{ind_{\mathfrak{G}}(g); g \in \mathfrak{B}\} = \{ind_{\mathfrak{G}}(g); g \in \mathfrak{G}\}$. In particular $ind(\mathfrak{B}) = ind(\mathfrak{G})$.

This is also true for a connected complex reductive Lie group.

REMARK. It is not clear to me whether $ind_{\mathfrak{B}}(g)=ind_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$ for any $g\in\mathfrak{B}$.

Bibliography

- [1] B. Kostant: The principal three dimensional subgroups and the Betti numbers of a complex simple Lie group, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 973-1032.
- [2] H.L. Lai: Index of the exponential map of a center-free complex simple Lie group, Osaka J. Math. 15 (1978), 553-560.
- [3] H.L. Lai: Index of the exponential map on a complex simple Lie group, Osaka J. Math. 15 (1978), 561-567.
- [4] T.A. Springer & R. Steinberg: Conjugacy classes. In: Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups, ed. A. Borel, Lecture Notes in Math. 131, pp. 167-266, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1970.

 [5] R. Steinberg: Conjugacy classes in algebraic groups, Lecture Notes in Math. 366, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1974.

> Department of Mathematics National Tsing Hua University Hsinchu, Taiwan 300 Republic of China