



Title	Note on axiomatic set theory. I. The independence of Zermelo's ``Aussonderungsaxiom'', from other axioms of set theory
Author(s)	Nishimura, Toshio
Citation	Osaka Mathematical Journal. 1960, 12(2), p. 319-329
Version Type	VoR
URL	<a href="https://doi.org/10.18910/7302">https://doi.org/10.18910/7302</a>
rights	
Note	

*The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA*

<https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/>

The University of Osaka

Nishimura, Toshio  
Osaka Math. J.  
12 (1960), 319-329.

*Note on Axiomatic Set Theory I.  
The Independence of Zermelo's "Aussonderungsaxiom"  
from Other Axioms of Set Theory*

By Toshio NISHIMURA

In this paper axioms of set theory mean Gödel's axioms of set theory in [2], which are modified so that the axiom C2 postulates directly the existence of all elements of a given set and C3 the existence of power set.

In what follows we prove that Zermelo's "Aussonderungsaxiom" is independent of other axioms of set theory. A fortiori, the independence of Gödel's axiom C4 (Fraenkel's "axiom of substitution"), is proved since the axiom of substitution implies the "Aussonderungsaxiom".

The proof is carried out by constructing an inner model  $\Lambda$  for the axioms A, B, C1, C2, C3, D and E under the axioms A, B, C1, C2 and C4, which does not satisfy the "Aussonderungsaxiom". The idea appears already in [1]. However the proof in [1] is not formal.

In § 1, we give the axioms of set theory.

In § 2, we construct an inner model  $\Lambda$  under the axioms A, B, C1, C2 and C4. Here we notice that the axioms do not imply the existence of power set of any set.

In § 3, we prove some preliminary results with respect to the model  $\Lambda$ .

In § 4, we prove that the model  $\Lambda$  satisfies the axioms A, B, C1, 2, 3, D and E.

In § 5, we prove that the model  $\Lambda$  does not satisfy the "Aussonderungsaxiom".

### § 1. The axiom system of set theory

In what follows we apply Gödel's notations in [2] in most cases. For logical notations we use following symbols,  $\vee$  (or),  $\cdot$  (and),  $\sim$  (not),  $\supset$  (implies),  $\equiv$  (equivalence),  $=$  (identity),  $(\exists X)$  (there is an  $X$ ),  $(X)$  (for all  $X$ ) and  $(\exists! X)$  (there is exactly one  $X$ ). The system has three primitive notions: class, denoted by  $\mathfrak{C}$ ; set, denoted by  $\mathfrak{M}$ ; and the diadic relation  $\in$  between class and class, class and set, set and class, or

set and set. Variables for classes are denoted by capital Latin letters  $A, B, X, Y$  etc. and those for sets by small Latin letters  $a, b, x, y$  etc. The axioms fall into five groups A, B, C, D, E.

Group A.

1.  $(x) \text{CIs}(x)$
2.  $(X)(Y)[X \in Y \supset \mathfrak{M}(X)]$
3.  $(X)(Y)[(u)(u \in X \equiv u \in Y) \supset X = Y]$
4.  $(x)(y)(\exists z)(u)[u \in z \equiv : u = x \vee u = y]$

Group B.

1.  $(\exists A)(x)(y)[\langle xy \rangle \in A \equiv x \in y]$
2.  $(A)(B)(\exists C)(u)[u \in C \equiv : u \in A \cdot u \in B]$
3.  $(A)(\exists B)(u)[u \in B \equiv \sim(u \in A)]$
4.  $(A)(\exists B)(x)[x \in B \equiv (\exists y)(\langle yx \rangle \in A)]$
5.  $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)[\langle xy \rangle \in B \equiv x \in A]$
6.  $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)[\langle yx \rangle \in B \equiv \langle yx \rangle \in A]$
7.  $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)(z)[\langle xyz \rangle \in B \equiv \langle yzx \rangle \in A]$
8.  $(A)(\exists B)(x)(y)(z)[\langle xyz \rangle \in B \equiv \langle xzy \rangle \in A]$

where  $\langle xy \rangle$  and  $\langle xyz \rangle$  mean the ordered pair of  $x$  and  $y$  and the ordered triple of  $x, y$  and  $z$  (cf. [2], p. 4, 1.12 and 1.14).

Group C.

1.  $(\exists a)[\sim \mathfrak{Em}(a) \cdot (x)(x \in a \supset (\exists y)(y \in a \cdot x \subset y))]$
2.  $(x)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y \equiv (\exists v)(u \in v \cdot v \in x)]$
3.  $(x)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y \equiv (w)(w \in u \supset w \in x)]$
4.  $(x)(A)[\mathfrak{Un}(A) \supset (\exists y)(u)(u \in y \equiv (\exists v)(v \in x \cdot \langle uv \rangle \in A))]$

where the notions  $a \subset b$ ,  $\mathfrak{Em}(a)$  and  $\mathfrak{Un}(A)$  mean “ $a$  is a proper subset of  $b$ ”, “ $a$  is empty” and “ $A$  is unique” respectively (cf. [2], p. 4, 1.2, 1.22 and p. 5, 1.3).

Zermelo’s “Aussonderungsaxiom” is postulated as follows :

$$4'. \quad (x)(A)(\exists y)(u)[u \in y \equiv : u \in x \cdot u \in A]$$

Axiom D.

$$(A)[\sim \mathfrak{Em}(A) \supset (\exists u)(u \in A \cdot \mathfrak{Ex}(u, A))]$$

where the notion  $\mathfrak{Ex}(u, A)$  means “ $u$  and  $A$  are exclusive” (cf. [2], p. 4, (1.23)).

Axiom E.

$$(\exists A) [\mathfrak{U}n(A) \cdot (x) (\sim \mathfrak{G}m(x) \supset (\exists y) (y \in x \cdot \langle yx \rangle \in A))]$$

## § 2. The model $\Lambda$

In this section we construct the model  $\Lambda$  under the axioms A, B, C 1, 2 and 4.

### 1. PRELIMINARY DEFINITIONS

We develop the theory of ordinal numbers under the axioms A, B, C 1, 2 and 4. (It is well known that is possible.) Ordinal numbers are denoted by small Greek letters  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  etc. When  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are ordinal numbers,  $\alpha \oplus \beta$  and  $\alpha^\beta$  are the product and power in arithmetic of ordinal numbers. The existence of these functions are easily ensured.  $\omega$  is the smallest infinite ordinal number.

We can define the function  $j$  such that the following conditions are satisfied :

$$(1) \quad j \in 3 \times \omega^{(\omega^\omega)} \times \omega^{(\omega^\omega)},$$

where 1, 2, and 3 are  $0 + \{0\}$ ,  $1 + \{1\}$  and  $2 + \{2\}$  respectively, and  $A \times B$  is the direct product of  $A$  and  $B$  (cf. [2], p. 14, 4.1).

$$(2) \quad \mu, \nu \in 3 \supset (\langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle S \langle \nu \gamma \delta \rangle \supset j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle < j^\nu \langle \nu \gamma \delta \rangle)$$

where  $S$  is the relation given in [2], p. 36, 9.2, in which 9 is replaced by 3. And  $A'x$  is the function given in [2] p. 16, 4.65.

(3)  $\mathfrak{W}(j)$  is an ordinal number, where  $\mathfrak{W}(j)$  is the set of all values of  $j$  (cf. [2] p. 15, 4.44).

Then it is clear that the function  $j$  is a set.

Then in the similar way as in [2] p. 36, 9.24 we can easily give the functions (to be a set)  $k_0, k_1$  and  $k_2$  which satisfy the following : if  $\mu, \nu < 4$ , then

$$k_0^\mu j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle = \mu, \quad k_1^\mu j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle = \alpha \quad \text{and} \quad k_2^\mu j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle = \beta,$$

$$k_0^\mu \alpha = 0 \vee k_0^\mu \alpha = 1 \vee k_0^\mu \alpha = 2,$$

$$j^\mu \langle k_0^\mu \alpha, k_1^\mu \alpha, k_2^\mu \alpha \rangle = \alpha,$$

and if  $0 < \mu < 3$  then

$$k_i^\mu j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle < j^\mu \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle \quad i = 1, 2.$$

The existence of such functions are easily ensured.

2. First we define the function ' $f$ ' by transfinite induction simultaneously, which is defined over  $\omega^\omega$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
k_0 \cdot \alpha = 1 \cdot \supset . f \cdot \alpha &= \{f \cdot k_1 \cdot \alpha, f \cdot k_2 \cdot \alpha\} \\
k_0 \cdot \alpha = 2 \cdot \supset . f \cdot \alpha &= \mathfrak{S}(f \cdot k_1 \cdot \alpha) \\
k_0 \cdot \alpha = 0 \cdot \alpha < \omega \cdot \supset : f \cdot \alpha &= 0 \\
k_0 \cdot \alpha = 0 \cdot \alpha \geq \omega \cdot \supset : f \cdot \alpha &= \omega
\end{aligned}$$

where  $\{a, b\}$  is the non-ordered pair of  $a$  and  $b$ , and  $\mathfrak{S}(a)$  the sum of all elements of  $a$ .

Now we give the model  $\Lambda$ . The universal class of the model  $\Lambda$  is  $\mathfrak{W}(f)$  which is a set denoted by  $v_\lambda$ , i.e.

$$v_\lambda = \mathfrak{W}(f).$$

$\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(a)$  means that  $a$  is a set of the model  $\Lambda$  and is given by the formula

$$\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(a) . \equiv . a \in v_\lambda.$$

$\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A)$  means that  $A$  is a class of the model  $\Lambda$  and is given by the formula

$$\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) . \equiv . A \subseteq v_\lambda.$$

$\in_\lambda$  means the  $\in$ -relation in the model  $\Lambda$  and is defined by the formula

$$A \in_\lambda B . \equiv : A \in B . \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(A) . \mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B).$$

Then operations and notions can be relativized for the model  $\Lambda$  in the similar way as in [2], p. 41 and p. 42. The relativization of an operation  $A$  is denoted by  $A_\lambda$  and that of a notion  $B$  by  $B_\lambda$ .

### § 3. Preliminary results

In this section we prove some lemmas with respect to the model  $\Lambda$ .

1. Following lemmas are concerning arithmetic of ordinal numbers.

**1.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\eta$  be an ordinal number such that  $\omega \leq \eta$  and  $n$  such that  $n < \omega$ . Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(\eta + 1)^2 &= \eta^2 + \eta + 1 \\
(\eta + 1)^3 &= \eta^3 + \eta^2 + \eta + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

And in case that  $\eta \in K_{\text{II}}$ ,  $n \circ \eta = \eta$  and in case that  $\eta = \xi + m$  where  $\xi \in K_{\text{II}}$  and  $m < \omega$ ,  $n \circ \eta = \xi + mn < \eta \circ 2$ .

**1.2. Lemma.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be ordinal numbers such that  $\alpha, \beta < \omega^{(\omega^\omega)}$  and  $\eta(\text{may}(\{\alpha, \beta\})) \geq \omega$ . If  $\eta = \xi + 1$  and  $\mu < 3$ , then*

$$j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle \leq j^{\omega} \langle 2 \xi \xi \rangle + 3 \bullet \eta + 3 \bullet \eta + \mu.$$

If  $\eta$  is a limit number and  $\mu < 3$ , then

$$j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle \leq \lim_{\xi < \eta} j^{\omega} \langle 3 \xi \xi \rangle + 4 \bullet \eta + 4 \bullet \eta + \mu,$$

where  $\lim_{\xi < \eta} j^{\omega} \langle 2 \xi \xi \rangle$  is the limit of values  $j^{\omega} \langle 2 \xi \xi \rangle$  for  $\xi (< \eta)$ .

**1.3. Lemma.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be ordinal numbers such that  $\alpha, \beta < \omega^{(\omega \omega)}$  and  $\eta (= \max(\{\alpha, \beta\})) \geq \omega$ . Then

$$j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle < (\eta + 1)^3.$$

Proof. In case that  $\eta = \omega$ ,  $j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle \leq \omega \bullet 3 + \mu < (\omega + 1)^3$ . If  $\eta = \xi + 1$ , then by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2

$$j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle \leq j^{\omega} \langle 2 \xi \xi \rangle + 3 \bullet \eta + 3 \bullet \eta + \mu < \eta^3 + \eta \bullet 3 < (\eta + 1)^3.$$

If  $\eta$  is a limit ordinal number, then by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2

$$\begin{aligned} j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle &\leq \lim_{\xi < \eta} (j^{\omega} \langle 2 \xi \xi \rangle) + 3 \bullet \eta + 3 \bullet \eta + \mu \leq \lim_{\xi < \eta} ((\xi + 1)^3) \\ &\quad + \eta \bullet 4 \leq \eta^3 + \eta \bullet 4 < (\eta + 1)^3. \end{aligned}$$

**1.4. Lemma.** Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be ordinal numbers such that  $\alpha, \beta < \omega^{(\omega^n)}$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then  $j^{\omega} \langle \mu \alpha \beta \rangle < \omega^{(\omega^n)}$ .

Proof. In case that  $n = 0$ , we have Lemma in the same way in [2] p. 37, 9. 26. Let  $\eta (= \text{Max}(\{\alpha, \beta\})) \geq \omega$  and  $\eta$  be of the form

$$\omega^{\gamma_1} + \omega^{\gamma_2} + \dots + \omega^{\gamma_l}, \quad \omega^n > \gamma_1 \geq \gamma_2 \geq \dots \geq \gamma_l.$$

Then  $\eta + 1 \leq \omega^{\gamma_1} \bullet (l + 1)$  and so

$$\begin{aligned} (\eta + 1)^3 &\leq (\omega^{\gamma_1} \bullet (l + 1)) \bullet (\omega^{\gamma_1} \bullet (l + 1)) \bullet (\omega^{\gamma_1} \bullet (l + 1)) \\ &\leq \omega^{\gamma_1 + 1} \bullet \omega^{\gamma_1 + 1} \bullet \omega^{\gamma_1 + 1} = \omega^{\gamma_1 + 1 + \gamma_1 + 1 + \gamma_1 + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

However  $\omega^n > \gamma_1$ . Hence  $\gamma_1 + 1 + \gamma_1 + 1 + \gamma_1 + 1 < \omega^n$ . Therefore  $(\eta + 1)^3 < \omega^n$  and so we obtain Lemma by Lemma 1.3, q.e.d.

2. Following lemmas are derived from the definition of the function  $f$  and lemmas in 1 in this section.

**2.1. Lemma.**  $\omega \leq f^{\omega} \omega$ .

Proof. We prove by induction.  $0 = f^{\omega} 0 \in f^{\omega} \omega$ . We prove that  $n + 1 \in f^{\omega} \omega$  under the assumption  $n \in f^{\omega} \omega$ .  $n + 1 = n + \{n\} = \mathfrak{S}(\{n, \{n\}\})$ . When  $n = f^{\omega} m$ ,  $\{n\} = f^{\omega} j^{\omega} \langle 1mm \rangle$ . Hence  $\{n, \{n\}\} = f^{\omega} j^{\omega} \langle 1m j^{\omega} \langle 1mm \rangle \rangle$ . Therefore

$\mathfrak{S}(\{n, \{n\}\}) = f^* j^* \langle 2j^* \langle 1mj^* \langle 1mm \rangle \rangle, 0 \rangle$ , where  $j^* \langle 2j^* \langle 1mj^* \langle 1mm \rangle \rangle, 0 \rangle < \omega$   
by Lemma 1.4, q.e.d.

**2.2. Lemma.**  $\mathfrak{C}\text{omp}(f^*\alpha)$  for every ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < \omega^\omega$ . That is  $(u) (u \in f^*\alpha \rightarrow u \leq f^*\alpha)$ .

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that  $f^*\alpha \leq f^*\alpha$  for every ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < \omega^\omega$ . Let  $\alpha$  be the smallest ordinal number such that  $f^*\alpha \not\leq f^*\alpha$ .

When  $k_0^*\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha < \omega$ ,  $f^*\alpha = 0 \leq f^*\alpha$ . When  $\alpha \geq \omega$ ,  $f^*\alpha = \omega \leq f^*\omega$  by Lemma 2.1.

In case that  $k_0^*\alpha = 1$ ,  $f^*\alpha = \{f^*k_1^*\alpha, f^*k_2^*\alpha\}$ . However  $f^*k_1^*\alpha, f^*k_2^*\alpha \in f^*\alpha$  from  $k_1^*\alpha, k_2^*\alpha < \alpha$ . Hence  $f^*\alpha \leq f^*\alpha$ .

Let  $k_0^*\alpha = 2$ . Then  $f^*\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(f^*k_1^*\alpha)$ . As  $k_1^*\alpha < \alpha$ ,  $f^*k_1^*\alpha \leq f^*k_1^*\alpha$  by the assumption of the induction. Therefore  $v \in f^*k_1^*\alpha \rightarrow (\exists \gamma) (v = f^*\gamma \cdot \gamma < k_1^*\alpha)$ . Hence  $v = f^*\gamma \leq f^*\gamma \leq f^*k_1^*\alpha$  by the assumption of the induction. Hence  $f^*k_1^*\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(f^*k_1^*\alpha) \leq f^*k_1^*\alpha \leq f^*\alpha$ .

**2.3. Lemma.**  $\mathfrak{C}\text{omp}(v_\lambda)$ , i.e.  $(u) (u \in v_\lambda \rightarrow u \leq v_\lambda)$ .

Proof. If  $u \in v_\lambda$ , we have an ordinal number  $\alpha$  such that  $\alpha < \omega^\omega$  and  $u = f^*\alpha$ . From Lemma 2.2

$$u = f^*\alpha \leq f^*\alpha \leq v_\lambda, \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

**2.4. Lemma.**  $\{a, b\} \in v_\lambda \equiv: a \in v_\lambda \cdot b \in v_\lambda$ .

Proof.  $\{a, b\} \in v_\lambda \rightarrow: a \in v_\lambda \cdot b \in v_\lambda$  is followed from Lemma 2.3. Now let  $a \in v_\lambda$  and  $b \in v_\lambda$  i.e.  $a = f^*\alpha, b = f^*\beta$  and  $\alpha, \beta < \omega^\omega$ . Then  $\{a, b\} = f^*j^* \langle 1\alpha\beta \rangle \in v_\lambda$ , since  $j^* \langle 1\alpha\beta \rangle < \omega^\omega$  from Lemma 1.4, q.e.d.

**2.5. Lemma.**  $\langle ab \rangle \in v_\lambda \equiv: a \in v_\lambda \cdot b \in v_\lambda$ .

This follows from Lemma 2.4.

**2.6. Lemma.**  $v_\lambda^2 \leq v_\lambda$ .

This follows from Lemma 2.5.

**2.7. DEFINITION.** The function  $od$  is defined by the following postulate.  $\langle yx \rangle \in od \equiv: \langle xy \rangle \in f \cdot (z \in y \rightarrow \sim \langle xz \rangle \in f) \cdot od \leq v_\lambda^2$ .

**2.8. Lemma.** If  $x \in y$  and  $x, y \in v_\lambda$ , then  $od^*x < od^*y$ .

Proof. Let  $\alpha = od^*x, \beta = od^*y$ . From Lemma 2.2  $f^*\alpha \in f^*\beta \leq f^*\beta$ . Hence  $\alpha < \beta$ , q.e.d.

**2.9. Lemma.** If  $x \in v_\lambda$ , then  $\sim(x \in x)$ .

Proof. If  $x \in x$ , then  $od^*x < od^*x$  by Lemma 2.8, q.e.d.

**2.10. Lemma.** If  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y)$ , then  $\{xy\}_\lambda = \{xy\}$  and  $\langle xy \rangle_\lambda = \langle xy \rangle$ . If  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B)$ , then  $\mathfrak{Cm}_\lambda(A) \equiv \mathfrak{Cm}(A)$ ,  $\mathfrak{Un}_\lambda(A) \equiv \mathfrak{Un}(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{Ex}_\lambda(A, B) \equiv \mathfrak{Ex}(A, B)$ .

3. We can obtain the following lemmas from discussions in §5.

**3.1. Lemma.**  $f^*\alpha$  is the form 0,  $\{f^*\alpha_1, \dots, f^*\alpha_n\}$ ,  $f^{**}\omega$  or  $f^{**}\omega + \{f^*\alpha_1, \dots, f^*\alpha_n\}$ .

**3.2. Lemma.** If  $f^*\alpha = f^{**}\omega$  and  $f^*\beta \leq f^*\alpha$ , then  $f^*\beta \in f^{**}\omega$  or  $f^*\beta = f^{**}\omega$ . If  $f^*\alpha = f^{**}\omega + \{f^*\alpha_1, \dots, f^*\alpha_n\}$ , and  $f^*\beta \leq f^*\alpha$ , then  $f^*\beta \in f^{**}\omega$ ,  $f^*\beta = f^{**}\omega$  or  $f^*\beta$  is of the form  $f^{**}\omega + \{f^*\alpha_{i_1}, \dots, f^*\alpha_{i_m}\}$ .

§4. In this section we prove that the model  $\Lambda$  satisfies the axiom groups A, B, D, E and C1, C2 and C3.

Group  $A_\lambda$

- 1 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(x)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \supset \mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(x)]$  is obtained from Lemma 2.3.
- 2 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(x)(y)[\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(y) \cdot x \in_\lambda y : \supset \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x)]$
- 3 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(A)(B)[\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \cdot \mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot \supset : (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \supset (u \in_\lambda A \equiv u \in_\lambda B))] : \supset : A = B]$  is obtained from the facts that  $A \leq v_\lambda$  and  $B \leq v_\lambda$  which are derived from  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A)$  and  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B)$ .

- 4 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(x)(y)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \supset : (\exists z)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(z) \cdot (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot \supset : u \in_\lambda z \equiv .(u = x \vee u = y)))]$

Proof. When  $x = f^*\alpha$  and  $y = f^*\beta$ ,  $f^*j^* \langle 1\alpha\beta \rangle$  satisfies the formula, q.e.d.

Group  $B_\lambda$

- 1 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(\exists A)[\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \cdot (x)(y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \supset : (\langle xy \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A \cdot \equiv .x \in_\lambda y))]$

Proof.  $E \cdot v_\lambda$  satisfies the formula, where  $E$  is the class by the axiom  $B_1$ , q.e.d.

- 2 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(A)(B)[\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \cdot \mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot \supset : (\exists C)(\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(C) \cdot (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot \supset : (u \in_\lambda C \cdot \equiv : u \in_\lambda A \cdot u \in_\lambda B)))]$

Proof.  $A \cdot B$  satisfies  $B 2_\lambda$ .

- 3 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(A)[\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B)(\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot \supset : (u \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv .\sim(u \in_\lambda A))))]$

Proof. Consider  $v_\lambda - A$  as  $B$ , where  $v_\lambda - A$  is the complement of  $A$  with respect to  $v_\lambda$ . Then  $v_\lambda - A$  satisfies  $B 3_\lambda$ , q.e.d.

$$4_\lambda. (A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \supset (x \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv : (\exists y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \langle yx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A))))]$$

Proof. This is equivalent to

$$(A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \supset (x \in B \cdot \equiv : (\exists y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \langle yx \rangle \in A))))]$$

Consider  $\mathfrak{D}(A \cdot v_\lambda^2)$  as  $B$ . Then  $A \cdot v_\lambda^2 \subseteq v_\lambda^2 \cdot \mathfrak{D}(A \cdot v_\lambda^2) \subseteq v_\lambda$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(\mathfrak{D}(A \cdot v_\lambda^2))$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} x \in \mathfrak{D}(A \cdot v_\lambda^2) \cdot \equiv & \cdot (\exists y) (\langle yx \rangle \in A \cdot v_\lambda^2) \\ & \equiv \cdot (\exists y) (\langle yx \rangle \in A \cdot \langle yx \rangle \in v_\lambda^2) \\ & \equiv \cdot (\exists y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \langle yx \rangle \in A). \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

$$5_\lambda. (A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \supset (\langle yx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv . x \in_\lambda A))))].$$

Proof. This is equivalent to

$$(A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \supset (\langle yx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv . x \in_\lambda A))))].$$

Consider  $v_\lambda \times A$  as  $B$ . Since  $A \subseteq v_\lambda$  and  $v_\lambda^2 \subseteq v_\lambda$ ,  $v_\lambda \times A \subseteq v_\lambda$  and so  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(v_\lambda \times A)$ .  $\langle yx \rangle \in v_\lambda \times A$  is equivalent to  $y \in v_\lambda \cdot x \in A$ . Therefore if  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x)$  and  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y)$ , then  $\langle yx \rangle \in v_\lambda \times A$  is equivalent to  $x \in A$ , q.e.d.

$$6_\lambda. (A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (y) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \supset (\langle xy \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv . \langle yx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A))))].$$

Proof. Take  $A^{-1}$  (cf. [2], p. 15, 4.4) as  $B$ .  $A^{-1} \subseteq v_\lambda$  and so  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A^{-1})$ . Then it is clear that  $A^{-1}$  satisfies  $B 6_\lambda$ . q.e.d.

$$7_\lambda. (A) [\mathfrak{Dis}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (y) (z) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(z) \supset (\langle xyz \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv . \langle yzx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A))))].$$

Proof. Take  $\mathfrak{Cob}_2(A)$  (cf. [2], p. 15, 4.41) as  $B$ .  $\mathfrak{Cob}_2(A) \subseteq v_\lambda$  and so  $\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(\mathfrak{Cob}_2(A))$ . Then  $\mathfrak{Cob}_2(A)$  satisfies  $B 7_\lambda$ , q.e.d.

$$8_\lambda. (A) [\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(A) \supset (\exists B) (\mathfrak{CIs}_\lambda(B) \cdot (x) (y) (z) (\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(z) \supset (\langle xyz \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda B \cdot \equiv . \langle xzy \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A))))].$$

Proof. Take  $\text{Cov}_3(A)$  (cf. [2], p. 15, 4.411) as B.  $\text{Cov}_3(A) \leq v_\lambda$  and so  $\text{CIs}_\lambda(\text{Cov}_3(A))$ . Then  $\text{Cov}_3(A)$  satisfies B 8 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> , q.e.d.

Group  $C_\lambda$ .

1 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(\exists a)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(a) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{Em}_\lambda(a) \cdot (x)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot x \in_\lambda a : \supset \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot y \in_\lambda a \cdot x <_\lambda y))]$ .

Proof. This is equivalent to

$(\exists a)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(a) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{Em}(a) \cdot (x)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot x \in a : \supset \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot y \in a \cdot x < y))]$ .

Take  $\omega$  as  $a \cdot f^\omega = \omega$  and so  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\omega)$ . Then  $\omega$  satisfies C 1 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> , q.e.d.

2 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(x)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \supset \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot \supset : u \in_\lambda y \cdot \equiv \cdot (\exists v)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(v) \cdot u \in_\lambda v \cdot v \in_\lambda x)))]$ .

Proof. Consider  $\mathfrak{S}(x)$  as  $y$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \supset \cdot \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\mathfrak{S}(x))$ . For  $u$  such that  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u)$

$$u \in_\lambda \mathfrak{S}(x) \cdot \equiv \cdot u \in \mathfrak{S}(x) \cdot \equiv \cdot (\exists y)(u \in y \cdot y \in x).$$

When  $u \in y$ ,  $y \in x \in v_\lambda \cdot \supset \cdot y \in v_\lambda$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} u \in_\lambda \mathfrak{S}(x) \cdot \equiv \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot u \in y \cdot y \in x) \\ \cdot \equiv \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot u \in_\lambda y \cdot y \in_\lambda x), \end{aligned} \quad \text{q.e.d.}$$

3 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(x)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \supset \cdot (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot (u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot \supset : (u \in y \cdot \equiv \cdot u \leq_\lambda y)))]$

We easily obtain C 3 <sub>$\lambda$</sub>  from Lemma 3.1 and 3.2 in § 3.

Axiom D <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(A)[\text{CIs}_\lambda(A) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{Em}_\lambda(A) : \supset \cdot (\exists u)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \cdot u \in_\lambda A \cdot \mathfrak{Cf}_\lambda(u \cdot A))]$

Proof. Since  $\text{CIs}_\lambda(A)$  and  $\sim \mathfrak{Em}(A)$ ,  $A \leq v_\lambda$  and  $(\exists y)(y \in A \leq v_\lambda)$ . Hence we consider that with the smallest order of such  $y$ . Let it be  $u$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u)$  as  $u \in A \leq v_\lambda$ . Now if  $x \in u \cdot A$ , then  $od^x x < od^u u$ . This contradicts the definition of  $u$ , q.e.d.

Axiom E <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .  $(\exists A)[\text{CIs}_\lambda(A) \cdot (x)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \cdot \sim \mathfrak{Em}_\lambda(x) \cdot \supset : (\exists y)(\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(y) \cdot y \in_\lambda x \cdot \langle yx \rangle_\lambda \in_\lambda A))]$ .

Proof. We define the relation  $As$  by the formula:  $\langle yx \rangle \in As \cdot \equiv : y \in x \cdot (z)[od^z z < od^y y \cdot \subset \cdot \sim z \in x] : \text{Rel}(As) \cdot As \leq v_\lambda^2$ . Then  $As$  satisfies axiom E <sub>$\lambda$</sub> , q.e.d.

§ 5. In this section we prove that the model  $\Lambda$  does not satisfy the axiom C 4 <sub>$\lambda$</sub>  (Zermelo's 'Aussonderungsaxiom'). This is implied by C 4' <sub>$\lambda$</sub>  (Fraenkel's axiom of substitution). Therefore, of course, the model  $\Lambda$  does not satisfy the axiom C 4 <sub>$\lambda$</sub> .

### 1. Some Lemmas.

**1.1. Lemma.**  $\mathfrak{S}(\omega) = \omega$ .

**1.2. Lemma.** If  $\omega \leq f^\alpha$ , then  $\omega \leq \mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha)$ .

Proof. If  $\omega \leq f^\alpha$ , then  $\mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha) = \mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha - \omega) + \mathfrak{S}(\omega) = \mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha - \omega) + \omega \geq \omega$  by Lemma 1.1. q.e.d.

**1.3. Lemma.**  $(u) [\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(u) \supset ((\exists i)(i < \omega \cdot i \simeq u) \vee \omega \leq u)]$ , where  $a \simeq b$  means that sets  $a$  and  $b$  are equivalent (cf. [2], p. 30, 8.13).

Proof. Let  $u = f^\alpha$ . We prove by transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 0$ , then it is clear. If  $k_0^\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha < \omega$ , then it is clear. In case that  $k_0^\alpha = 0$  and  $\alpha \geq \omega$ , then  $f^\alpha = \omega \geq \omega$ . In case that  $k_0^\alpha = 1$ ,  $f^\alpha = \{f^\alpha k_1^\alpha, f^\alpha k_1^\alpha\}$  and so  $f^\alpha \simeq 2$ . However

$$2 = \{0, \{0\}\} = j^\alpha \langle 1, 0, j^\alpha \langle 100 \rangle \rangle$$

In case that  $k_0^\alpha = 2$ ,  $f^\alpha = \mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha k_1^\alpha)$  and  $k_1^\alpha < \alpha$ . Now  $(x)(x \in f^\alpha k_1^\alpha \supset \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x))$ .

First if  $\omega \leq f^\alpha k_1^\alpha$ , then  $\omega \leq f^\alpha$ . Second let  $\sim \omega \leq f^\alpha k_1^\alpha$ . Then  $(\exists i)(i < \omega \cdot i \simeq f^\alpha k_1^\alpha)$ .

If  $(x)(x \in f^\alpha k_1^\alpha \supset \sim \omega \leq x)$ , then  $(x)(\exists j)(x \in f^\alpha k_1^\alpha \supset j \simeq x)$  and so  $(\exists j)(j < \omega \cdot j \simeq f^\alpha k_1^\alpha)$ .

If  $(\exists x)(x \in f^\alpha k_1^\alpha \cdot \omega \leq x)$ , then  $\omega \leq \mathfrak{S}(f^\alpha k_1^\alpha) = f^\alpha$ , q.e.d.

**1.4. Lemma.** The  $\omega - \{0\}$  does not belong to the model  $\Lambda$ .

Proof. From Lemma 1.4, if  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\omega - \{0\})$ , then

$$(\exists i)(i < \omega \cdot i \simeq \omega - \{0\}) \vee \omega \leq \omega - \{0\}.$$

However  $(i)(i < \omega \cdot \supset \sim (i \simeq \omega - \{0\}))$  and  $\sim (\omega \leq \omega - \{0\})$ . Therefore  $\sim \mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\omega - \{0\})$ , q.e.d.

**2. Theorem.** The axiom  $C4'_\lambda$  does not hold.

Proof. We have the formula

$$(x)[\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(x) \supset (x \in \omega - \{0\} \equiv x \in \omega \cdot \sim x = 0)].$$

Therefore, if the axiom  $C4'_\lambda$  holds, then  $\mathfrak{M}_\lambda(\omega - \{0\})$  and this contradicts Lemma 1.4, q.e.d.

(Received August 31, 1960)

**References**

- [ 1 ] A. Fraenkel: Zu den Grundlagen der Cantor-Zermeloschen Mengenlehre. *Math. Ann.* **86** (1922).
- [ 2 ] K. Gödel: The consistency of the axiom of choice and of the generalized continuum-hypothesis with the axioms of set theory. Princeton. (1940).

