

Title	Satellite knots in 1-genus 1-bridge positions
Author(s)	Hayashi, Chuichiro
Citation	Osaka Journal of Mathematics. 1999, 36(3), p. 711–729
Version Type	VoR
URL	https://doi.org/10.18910/7510
rights	
Note	

The University of Osaka Institutional Knowledge Archive : OUKA

https://ir.library.osaka-u.ac.jp/

The University of Osaka

SATELLITE KNOTS IN 1-GENUS 1-BRIDGE POSITIONS

CHUICHIRO HAYASHI

(Received September 4, 1997)

1. Introduction

Let (V_i, t_i) be a pair of a solid torus V_i and an unknotted arc t_i properly imbedded in V_i for i = 1 and 2. Summing these pairs we obtain a pair (M, K) of the 3-dimensional sphere or a lens space M and a knot K. We call such a knot K a 1genus 1-bridge knot. We determine in this paper when a 1-genus 1-bridge knot is a satellite knot.

A set of mutually disjoint arcs $T = \{t_1, \dots, t_n\}$ properly imbedded in a handlebody V is *trivial* if there is a set of mutually disjoint discs $D = \{D_1, \dots, D_n\}$ such that $t_i \subset \partial D_i, t_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$ and $\partial D_i - t_i \subset \partial V$ for $1 \le i, j \le n$ and $i \ne j$. These discs are called *cancelling discs* of T.

Let M be a closed orientable 3-dimensional manifold. A closed surface H imbedded in M is called a *Heegaard splitting surface* of M if H splits M into two handlebodies V_1 and V_2 . A link L in M is said to be in *g*-genus *n*-bridge position with respect to H if L is transeverse to H and $L \cap V_i$ consists of trivial n arcs in V_i for i = 1 and 2. We say also that H is a *g*-genus *n*-bridge decomposition of L. A 0-genus *n*-bridge link is usually called an *n*-bridge link.

Note that if a link is in g-genus 1-bridge position, then it is a knot. Such a knot is very important in light of some results and conjectures on Dehn surgery on knots. For example, see [3], [4] by D. Gabai, [1] by J. Berge, [9], [10], [11] by Y-Q. Wu. It is well-known that 2-bridge knots are 1-genus 1-bridge knots.

Let M be the 3-dimensional sphere or a lens space (not homeomorphic to $S^2 \times S^1$). Let H be a genus 1 Heegaard surface of M. This surface H divides M into two solid tori V_1 and V_2 . Suppose a knot K is in 1-genus 1-bridge position with respect to H. Then $K \cap V_i$ consists of a trivial arc, say t_i , in V_i for i = 1 and 2.

The decomposition H is said to be K-reducible if V_i contains a meridian disc D_i and V_j contains a cancelling disc D_j of t_j such that $D_i \cap t_i = \emptyset$ and $\partial D_i \cap \partial D_j = \emptyset$ for i = 1, j = 2 or i = 2, j = 1. If H is K-reducible, then K is the trivial knot bounding a disc composed of two cancelling discs as shown in [Lemma 2.2, 6].

The decomposition H is said to be weakly K-reducible if V_i contains a meridian disc D_i and V_j contains a cancelling disc D_j of t_j such that int D_i intersects t_i transversely in one point and $\partial D_i \cap \partial D_j = \emptyset$ for i = 1, j = 2 or i = 2, j = 1. If H is

weakly K-reducible, then K is the trivial knot or a 2-bridge knot when $M = S^3$, and K is a core knot or a composite knot of a core knot and a 2-bridge knot when M is a lens space. This will be shown in Lemma 2.1.

Note that weak K-reducibility is not a generalized notion of K-reducibility. These definitions are motivated by [7] by T. Kobayashi and O. Saeki.

A knot K is a trivial knot if it bounds a disc imbedded in M. A non-trivial knot K in a lens space M is a core knot if the exterior $E(K) = M - \operatorname{int} N(K)$ is homeomorphic to a solid torus. A knot K in M is split if M contains a sphere S which decomposes M into a punctured lens space and a ball containing K in its interior. This sphere S is called a splitting sphere. A knot K in M is composite if M contains a 2-sphere S which intersects K transeversely in 2 points and $S \cap E(K)$ is ∂ -incompressible in E(K). We call this 2-sphere S a decomposing sphere. A knot is said to be prime if it is not composite. A knot is said to be satellite if E(K) contains an incompressible torus T which is not parallel to $\partial E(K)$. It is well-known that a composite knot is a satellite knot.

In this paper we determine when a 1-genus 1-bridge knot is a satellite knot because very much is known about Dehn surgery on satellite knots [5] by C.McA. Gordon. In the course of the proof, we obtain two theorems, Theorems I and II, which are already shown by H. Doll in [2]. For Theorem I, see Conjecture 1.3, the sentence right after the proof of 1.1' from 1.3 and "the proof of 1.3 from 1.6 for g = 0, 1 or M is irreducible and non-Haken of genus g" in section 5 in [2]. For Theorem II, see the latter half of Theorem 1.6 in [2].

Theorem I. (H. Doll, [2]) Let M, K, H, V_i , t_i be as above, especially K is in 1-genus 1-bridge position with respect to H. Suppose that K is a split knot. Then the decomposition H is K-reducible and K is the trivial knot.

Theorem II. (H. Doll, [2]) Let M, K, H, V_i , t_i be as above, especially K is in 1-genus 1-bridge position with respect to H. Suppose that H is not K-reducible and K is composite. Then M is a lens space rather than the 3-dimensional sphere, and H is weakly K-reducible. Moreover, K is a sum of two 1-string tangles (B_i, T_i) , (i = 1, 2) as below:

- (1) B_1 is a once punctured lens space, and $cl(B_1 N(T_1))$ is a solid torus, and
- (2) B_2 is a ball, and $cl(B_2-N(T_2))$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of a non-trivial 2-bridge knot.

The next is the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem III. Let M, K, H, V_i , t_i be as above, especially K is in 1-genus 1bridge position with respect to H. Suppose that H is neither K-reducible nor weakly K-reducible. If K is a satellite knot, then there is an annulus Z on H such that there

is a cancelling disc C_i of t_i with $(\partial C_i \cap H) \subset Z$ for i = 1 and 2. Moreover, the incompressible torus is isotopic to $\partial N(C_1 \cup Z \cup C_2)$ in E(K).

It is well-known that a 1-genus 1-bridge knot is of tunnel number one. K. Morimoto and M.Sakuma showed in [(2.1)Theorem,8] that a companion knot of a satellite knot of tunnel number one in S^3 is a torus knot.

In order to prove the above theorems, we need the next theorem. Let X be a 3-manifold, and T a 1-dimensional manifold properly imbedded in X. Let F be a connected surface properly imbedded in X such that it is transverse to T. Then F is called T-incompressible if for any disc D such that $D \cap F = \partial D$ and $D \cap T = \emptyset$ there is a disc D' on F such that $\partial D' = \partial D$ and $D' \cap T = \emptyset$. We call F is meridionally incompressible in (X, T) if for any disc D such that $D \cap F = \partial D$ and $|D \cap T| = 1$, there is a disc D' on F such that $\partial D' = \partial D$ and $|D' \cap T| = 1$. The surface F is T- ∂ -incompressible if for any disc D such that $\alpha = D \cap F$ is a subarc of ∂D , $\partial D - \alpha \subset \partial X$ and $D \cap T = \emptyset$, there is a disc D' on F such that $D' \cap F = \partial D' \cap F = \alpha$, $\partial D' - \alpha \subset \partial F$ and $D' \cap T = \emptyset$.

Theorem IV. Let M, K, H, V_i , t_i be as above, especially K is in 1-genus 1bridge position with respect to H. Suppose that H is neither K-reducible nor weakly K-reducible. Let F be a K-incompressible and meridionally incompressible closed surface imbedded in M with $|F \cap K| \leq 2$. Suppose that $F_0 = F \cap E(K)$ is ∂ incompressible in E(K). Then one of the following two conditions holds.

- (1)We can move F by an isotopy of the pair (M, K) so that $F \cap V_1$ consists of a parallel set of peripheral discs each of which cuts off a ball containing t_1 from V_1 .
- (2)We can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ is a peripheral disc which intersects t_1 in two points, cuts off a rational tangle (B,T) and is $(t_1 T)$ - ∂ -compressible in $cl(V_1 B)$.

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. Let H be a 1-genus 1-bridge decomposition of a knot K. Suppose that H is weakly K-reducible. Then K is a sum of two 1-string tangles (B_k, T_k) , (k = 1, 2) as below:

- (1) B_1 is a ball or a once punctured lens space, and the exterior of T_1 is homeomorphic to a solid torus, and
- (2) B_2 is a ball, and $cl(B_2 N(T_2))$ is homeomorphic to the exterior of a (possibly trivial) 2-bridge knot.

When M is the 3-sphere, K is the trivial knot if (B_2, T_2) is the trivial tangle, and K is a 2-bridge knot if (B_2, T_2) is non-trivial. When M is a lens space, K is a core knot if (B_2, T_2) is the trivial tangle, and K is a composite knot if (B_2, T_2) is non-trivial.

Proof. Let D_i be a meridian disc with $|D_i \cap t_i| = 1$, and D_j a cancelling disc as in the definition of weak K-reducibility. Let $B_1 = N(D_i) \cup cl(V_j - N(D_j))$, $B_2 = cl(M - B_1)$ and $T_i = K \cap B_i$. Since (B_2, T_2) is the sum of the ball $V_i - N(D_i)$ containing two subarcs of t_i and the ball $N(D_j)$ containing the arc t_j , its exterior is homeomorphic to that of a 2-bridge knot. See Figure 2.1.



Figure 2.1

Lemma 2.2. Let H be a 1-genus 1-bridge decomposition of a knot K. The decomposition H is weakly K-reducible if and only if there is a 2-sphere S satisfying the following two conditions for i = 1, j = 2 or i = 2, j = 1.

- (1) $S \cap V_i$ is a peripheral disc which intersects t_i in two points, cuts off a rational tangle (B,T) and is $(t_i T)$ - ∂ -compressible in $cl(V_i B)$.
- $(2)S \cap V_j$ is a peripheral disc which is disjoint from t_j , cuts off a ball B' containing t_j from V_j .

Proof. First we assume that there is a 2-sphere S as above. The disc $D = S \cap V_i$ has a ∂ -compressing disc in $cl(V_i - B)$. Along this disc compressing D, we obtain two meridian discs R_1 , R_2 each of which intersects t_i in one point. We can take a cancelling disc C' of t_j in B' to be disjoint from S. Since $(C' \cap H) \subset (B' \cap H) = (B \cap H)$, we have $\partial C' \cap \partial R_1 = \emptyset$. Then the discs R_1 and C' imply that H is weakly K-reducible.

Conversely, we assume that H is weakly K-reducible. Then V_i contains a meridian disk D_i and V_j contains a cancelling disc D_j of t_j such that D_i intersects t_i transversely in one point and $\partial D_i \cap \partial D_j = \emptyset$. Let D'_i be a parallel copy of D_i such that $D'_i \cap D_j = \emptyset$. The boundary loops ∂D_i and $\partial D'_i$ together divide the torus H into two annuli, one of which, say A, contains ∂t_i . We can take an essential arc α on A so that $\alpha \cap \partial D_j = \emptyset$. We perform a band sum operation on D_i and D'_i along α , to obtain a peripheral disc Q_1 satisfying the condition (1) above. We can take a neighbourhood $N(D_j)$ in V_j so that $\partial(N(D_j) \cap H) = \partial Q_1$. Then $Q_1 \cup (\partial N(D_j) - H)$ is a desired 2-sphere.

Lemma 2.3. Let V be a solid torus, t a trivial arc properly imbedded in V, and C a cancelling disc of t. Then there is a meridian disc Q of V containing C.

Proof. A standard cut and paste argument allows us to take a meridian disc Q' of V to be disjoint from C. We take an arbitrary arc α connecting ∂C and $\partial Q'$ on ∂V and perform a band sum operation on these discs along α to obtain a new cancelling disc, say C' of t. This disc C' can be isotoped slightly so that $C' \cap C = t$. Then $Q = C \cup C'$ is a desired meridian disc of V.

3. Formation of Graphs

Our goal of sections 3,4,5 and 6 is Theorem IV' below. Let M, H, V_i , K, t_i be as in section 1. We consider the three situations below simultaneously.

- (1) "(i)" The knot K is split and F is a splitting sphere.
- (2) "(ii)" The knot K is non-split and composite, and F is a decomposing sphere.
- (3) "(iii)" The knot K is non-split and prime. Let F be a K-incompressible and meridionally incompressible connected closed surface imbedded in M such that |F ∩ K| ≤ 2 and F₀ = F ∩ E(K) is ∂-incompressible in E(K).

In the following *deforming* F means rechoosing F in cases (i) and (ii), and moving F by an isotopy of the pair (M, K) in case (iii).

Theorem IV'. Let F be of type (i), (ii) or (iii) as above. Then one of the following three conditions holds.

- (1) The decomposition H is K-reducible in case (i) or weakly K-reducible in cases (ii) and (iii).
- (2) We can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ consists of a parallel set of peripheral discs each of which cuts of f a ball containing t_1 from V_1 .
- (3) We can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ is a peripheral disc which intersects t_1 in two points, cuts off a rational tangle (B,T) and is $(t_1 T)$ - ∂ -compressible in $cl(V_1 B)$.

Lemma 3.1. We can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ consists of meridian discs disjoint from t_1 and at most two meridian discs intersecting t_1 transversely in one point.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3 there is a meridian disc Q of V_1 which contains t_1 . Let Q' be a meridian disc of V_1 which is disjoint form Q. We isotope F in (M, K) so that it is transverse to Q and disjoint from Q'. Then every curve of $(F \cap V_1) \cap Q$ intersects t_1 at most once since F_0 is ∂ -incompressible in E(K) in cases (ii) and (iii). We can isotope the intersection arcs of $(F \cap V_1) \cap Q$ disjoint from t_1 out of V_1 along the subdiscs of Q. Then $F \cap Q$ consists of only proper arcs intersecting t_1 precisely once.

Let B be the ball obtained by cutting V_1 along Q, and A the annulus $B \cap \partial V_1$. The arc t_1 divides each copy of Q into two subdiscs. Suppose that there is an arc α of $F \cap A$ such that α is inessential on A and has both endpoints in the same subdisc of a copy of Q. Then A contains an inessential arc β which is isotopic rel. $\partial\beta$ into a subarc of $\partial Q - \partial t_1$ (see Figure 3.1). Hence we can isotope fixing $F \cap K$ in M so that every inessential arc of $F \cap A$ connects the two subdiscs of a copy of Q.

We can deform F so that $F \cap B$ is incompressible. (For example, in case (i), let D be a compressing disc of $F \cap B$, where ∂D divides F into two discs D_1 or D_2 . Then $D \cup D_1$ or $D \cup D_2$ is a splitting sphere of K.) Then $F \cap B$ consists of discs. The boundaries of these discs meet a copy of Q at most once. Recall that $F_{\cap}Q' = \phi$. When we recover V_1 by attaching two copies of Q on ∂B , we obtain three kinds of discs: meridian discs which do not intersect Q, meridian discs which intersect Q in an arc, and peripheral discs which intersect Q in at most one arc. We can push the peripheral discs out of V_1 by an isotopy of the pair (M, K), and obtain the desired conclusion.



Figure 3.1

Moreover we take F so that the number of meridian discs of $F \cap V_1$ is minimal over all deformations of F. Let $P = F \cap V_2$, $E(V_2, t_2) = \operatorname{cl}(V_2 - N(t_2))$ and $P_0 = F \cap E(V_2, t_2)$. Then P is t_2 -incompressible and meridionally incompressible in (V_2, t_2) , but is possibly t_2 - ∂ -compressible. Let C be a cancelling disc of t_2 in V_2 . We take C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal over all cancelling discs of t_2 and all deformations of F. Moreover, we can isotope C in V_2 fixing ∂C so that $C \cap P$ consists of arcs only.

Let Q_1, \dots, Q_m be the meridian discs of $F \cap V_1$ which do not intersect t_1 and appear in V_1 in this order so that t_1 is between Q_m and Q_1 . Let R_1, \dots, R_n , $(n \le 2)$ be the meridian discs of $F \cap V_1$ which intersect t_1 transversely in one point appearing in V_1 in this order. We assume that Q_m and R_1 are adjacent in V_1 .

Clearly m + n > 0. Since M is the 3-sphere or a lens space, M does not contain a non-separating closed surface, and hence m + n is even. We study in this and next sections the case (I) of m > 0 and n > 0. If m = 0 or n = 0, then either (II) n = 2and both meridian discs intersect t_1 in one point, or (III) $m \ge 2$ and all the meridian discs are disjoint from t_1 . We will study case (II) in section 5 and case (III) in section 6. In sections 3, 4 and 5, F intersects K, and hence we consider the cases (ii) and (iii) only.

The four discs Q_1 , Q_m , R_1 and R_n together divide the solid torus V_1 into four balls B_1 , B_2 , B_3 and B_4 , where B_1 is between Q_1 and Q_m , B_2 is between Q_m and R_1 , B_3 is between R_1 and R_n , B_4 is between R_n and Q_1 . Let A_i be the annulus $H \cap \partial B_i$ for i = 1, 2, 3 and 4. We regard B_3 as the disc R_1 , and A_3 as the loop ∂R_1 when n = 1.Similar for B_1 and A_1 .

If we can take C so that $\partial C \cap A_1 = \emptyset$, then H is K-reducible, which contradicts that K is not trivial. If we can take C so that $\partial C \cap A_3 = \emptyset$, then H is weakly K-reducible, which is a desired conclusion of Theorem IV'. Hence we can assume that $\partial C \cap A_1$ and $\partial C \cap A_3$ are non-empty sets. Since $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal, it is clear that

- (1) $\partial C \cap A_1$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_1 such that each of them intersects every meridian loop ∂Q_i just once for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (2) $\partial C \cap A_3$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_3 such that each of them intersects every meridian loop ∂R_i just once for $i = 1, \dots, n$, and
- (3) For i = 2 and 4, $\partial C \cap A_i$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_i , inessential arcs which are essential on $A_i \partial t_1$, and an arc connecting ∂t_1 and ∂A_i .

We form a graph G on the disc C. The vertices of G are "long vertices" $\partial C \cap A_1$ and $\partial C \cap A_3$. The edges of G are the arcs $C \cap P$. The edges of G are classified into two classes: we regard an arc of $C \cap P$ an *interior edge* if its endpoints are in long vertices of G, a ∂ -edge if one of its endpoints is in a long vertex and the other is in t_2 . Note that there is not an edge whose both endpoints are in t_2 since F_0 is ∂ -incompressible in E(K). A long vertex contains m or n endpoints of edges.

4. Case (I)

Lemma 4.1. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost on C. Then e is not a ∂ -edge.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that e is a ∂ -edge. We can assume without loss of generality that e has an endpoint in ∂Q_1 or ∂R_1 . Let $O (\subset C)$ be the outermost disc of e, that is, O is surrounded by e and a subarc of ∂C and O does not contain another edge of G. We isotope a regular neighbourhood of e on P along the outermost disc O.

When e has an endpoint in ∂Q_1 , Q_1 is deformed to a meridian disc intersecting t_1 in one point. This operation does not change the number $|F \cap V_1|$ but decreases the number of intersection $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$. This is a contradiction.

When e has an endpoint in ∂R_1 , R_1 is deformed to a meridian disc D intersecting t_1 in 2 points, and F_0 is ∂ -compressible. This is a contradiction.

An edge is called a *loop edge* if its two endpoints are in the same long vertex.

Lemma 4.2. The graph G does not contain a loop edge.

Proof. Suppose that G contains a loop edge e, and we can take e to be outermost on the disc C. Let X be the long vertex which e is incident to, and O the disc face surrounded by e and a subarc of X. This face O does not contain an edge, and endpoints of e are in adjacent meridian discs, say Q_x and Q_{x+1} or R_1 and R_2 . In both cases, we push regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the disc O, and these meridian discs are connected by a band and deformed into a peripheral disc D in V_1 . If $D \cap t_1 = \emptyset$, we push this peripheral disc into V_2 and decrease the number of the meridian discs of $F \cap V_1$, which is a contradiction. If $D \cap t_1 \neq \emptyset$, then F_0 is ∂ -compressible, and we obtain a contradiction.

Let E be a set of edges of G. An edge e of E is said to be outermost away from t_2 among E if there is an outermost disc $O (\subset C)$ surrounded by e and a subarc α of $\partial C - t_2$ such that O does not contain another edge of E.

Lemma 4.3. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect a vertex of $\partial C \cap A_1$ and a vertex of $\partial C \cap A_3$.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. We can assume without loss of generality that e connects Q_m and R_1 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then two meridian discs Q_m and R_1 are connected by a band, and are deformed into a peripheral disc D intersecting t_1 in one point. We can push D into V_2 by an isotopy of the pair (M, K), which contradicts the minimality of the number of the meridian discs of $F \cap V_1$.

Lemma 4.4. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect two long vertices of $\partial C \cap A_1$.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. We can assume without loss of generality that e has both endpoints in ∂Q_m . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then a band is attached to Q_m , and Q_m is deformed into an annulus A one of whose boundary components bounds a disc $D (\subset H)$ intersecting K precisely once. In case (ii), ∂D divides F into two discs D_1 and D_2 , and either $D \cup D_1$ or $D \cup D_2$, say $D \cup D_1$ is a decomposing sphere. In case (iii), the loop ∂D bounds a disc D' on F such that D' intersects K precisely once because F is meridionally incompressible. We can isotope F so that D' is replaced by D. If $A \subset D_2$ or $A \subset D'$, then we have a contradiction to the minimality of $|F \cap V_1|$. Thus A is deformed to a meridian disc intersecting K in one point. In all cases, either $|F \cap V_1|$ or $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ decreases. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 4.5. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect two long vertices of $\partial C \cap A_3$.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. We can assume without loss of generality that e has both endpoints in ∂R_1 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then a band is attached to R_1 , and R_1 is deformed into an annulus A one of whose boundary components bounds a disc $D (\subset H)$ intersecting K precisely once. In case (ii), ∂D divides F into two discs D_1 and D_2 , and either $D \cup D_1$ or $D \cup D_2$, say $D \cup D_1$ is a decomposing sphere. In case (iii), the loop ∂D bounds a disc D' on F such that $|D' \cap K| = 1$. We can isotope Fso that D' is deformed onto D. If $A \subset D_2$ or $A \subset D'$, then we have a contradiction to the minimality of $|F \cap V_1|$. Hence, in both cases, the deformed F contains the meridian disc $A \cup D$ intersecting t_1 in 2 points, and F_0 is ∂ -compressible. This is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem IV' in case (I). In this case, we ultimately obtain a contradiction. Let b be a boundary edge which is outermost among all the boundary edges of G on C. Let O be the outermost disc of b. By Lemma 4.1, O contains an interior edge of G. Hence G contains an interior edge which is outermost away from t_2 . On the other hand, by Lemmas 4.2, 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, G cannot contain such an edge. This is a contradiction.

5. Case (II)

In this section we assume that $F \cap V_1$ consists of parallel meridian discs R_1 and R_2 each of which intersects t_1 transversely in one point. The two discs R_1 and R_2 together divide the solid torus V_1 into two balls B_1 , B_2 , where B_2 contains ∂t_1 . Let A_i be the annulus $H \cap \partial B_i$ for i = 1 and 2.

If we can take C so that $\partial C \cap A_1$ is the empty set, then H is a weakly K-reducible

C. Hayashi

1-genus 1-bridge decomposition, which is a desired conclusion of Theorem IV'. Hence we can assume that $\partial C \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$.

Since we took C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal, it is clear that

- (1) $\partial C \cap A_1$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_1 , and
- (2) $\partial C \cap A_2$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_2 , inessential arcs each of which separates the two points ∂t_1 , and two arcs connecting ∂t_1 and ∂A_2 .

We form a graph G on the disc C. The vertices of G are "long vertices" $\partial C \cap A_1$. The edges of G are the arcs $C \cap P$. Since $|F \cap K| \leq 2$ and $|(F \cap V_1) \cap K| = 2$, the edges of G are all interior edges. A long vertex contains 2 endpoints of edges. We give an orientation to C arbitrarily. Then ∂C has the induced orientation and the long vertices also do. A long vertex is *positive* if its orientation goes from R_1 to R_2 , and otherwise, *negative*.

The next lemma is proved by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 4.2.

Lemma 5.1. The graph G does not contain a loop edge.

Lemma 5.2. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect vertices of mutually opposite signs.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. We can assume without loss of generality that e has both endpoints in ∂R_1 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then a band is attached to R_1 , and R_1 is deformed into an annulus A one of whose boundary components bounds a disc $D (\subset H)$ intersecting K precisely once. In case (ii), ∂D divides F into two discs D_1 and D_2 , and either $D \cup D_1$ or $D \cup D_2$, say $D \cap D_1$ is a decomposing sphere. In case (iii), the loop ∂D bounds a disc D' on F such that $|D' \cap K| = 1$. We can isotope F so that D' is replaced by D. If $A \subset D_2$ or $A \subset D'$, then we have a contradiction to the minimality of $|F \cap V_1|$. Since D intersects t_1 in one point, $R_2 \subset D_2$ or $R_2 \subset D'$, and the annulus A is deformed into a meridian disc D_3 which intersects t_2 in two points. Moreover, $F \cap V_1 = D_3$, which implies that F is a non-separating surface in M. This is a contradiction.

Lemma 5.3. If G contains an edge e which is outermost away from t_2 and connect vertices of the same sign, then we can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ is a peripheral disc which intersects t_2 in two points, cuts off a rational tangle (B,T) and is (t_1-T) - ∂ -compressible in $cl(V_1 - B)$.

Proof. The edge e connects R_1 and R_2 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then two meridian discs R_1 and R_2 are connected by a band, and are deformed into a peripheral disc D intersecting t_1 in 2

points as desired.

Proof of Theorem IV' in case (II). The graph G contains an interior edge e which is outermost away from t_2 . By Lemmas 5.1 and 5.2, e connects vertices of the same sign. Then by Lemma 5.3 we obtain a desired conclusion of Theorem IV'.

6. Case (III)

In this section we assume that $F \cap V_1$ consists of meridian discs Q_1, \dots, Q_m which are disjoint from t_1 . It is clear that m is positive and even. We consider cases (i), (ii) and (iii) simultaneously in this section. The two discs Q_1 and Q_m together divide the solid torus V_1 into two balls B_1 , B_2 , where B_2 contains t_1 . Let A_i be the annulus $H \cap \partial B_i$ for i = 1 and 2.

If we can take C so that $\partial C \cap A_1$ is the empty set, then H is a K-reducible 1genus 1-bridge decomposition, which is a desired conclusion of Theorem IV' in case (i), and which contradicts that K is not trivial in cases (ii) and (iii). Hence we can assume that $\partial C \cap A_1 \neq \emptyset$. Since we took C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal, it is clear that

- (1) $\partial C \cap A_1$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_1 such that each of them intersects every meridian loop ∂Q_i just once for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (2) $\partial C \cap A_2$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_2 , inessential arcs each of which separates the two points ∂t_1 , and two arcs connecting ∂t_1 and ∂A_2 .

We form a graph G on the disc C. The vertices of G are "long vertices" $\partial C \cap A_1$. The edges of G are the arcs $C \cap P$. The edges of G are classified into two classes: interior edges and ∂ -edges as in section 3. A long vertex contains m endpoints of edges. We give an orientation to C arbitrarily, and long vertices are classified into positive ones and negative ones as in section 5.

The next three lemmas are proved by the same arguments as in the proofs of Lemmas 4.1, 4,2 and 4.4. We omit them.

Lemma 6.1. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost on C. Then e is not a ∂ -edge.

Lemma 6.2. The graph G does not contain a loop edge.

Lemma 6.3. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect vertices of mutually opposite signs.

Let e be an interior edge connecting vertices X, Y of G. The edge e is a diagonal edge if the two vertices X and Y are not adjacent on the arc $\partial C - t_2$. A vertex X of G is nice if there are neither boundary edges nor diagonal edges incident to X.

721

When $G \cup t_2$ is not connected, G contains a connected component which does not contain a ∂ -edge. A connected component G' of G is *outermost away form* t_2 if there is a subarc γ of $\partial C - t_2$ such that $G' \cap \partial C \subset \gamma$ and $(G - G') \cap \gamma = \emptyset$. We take an arbitrary connected component G' of G among outermost ones away from t_2 . When $G \cup t_2$ is connected, we put G' = G. Let n' be the number of vertices of G'. If $n' \leq 2$, then there cannot be a diagonal edge in G'.

Lemma 6.4. Let b be a ∂ -edge which is outermost on C among all the ∂ -edges of G'. Then the outermost disc O of b contains a diagonal edge.

Proof. Since G' contains a ∂ -edge, G' = G. Let X be the vertex which b is incident to. If O does not contain an edge incident to X except for b, then Lemma 6.1 implies that there is another connected component of G in O, which is a contradiction. Hence O contains x interior edges incident to X except for b where 0 < x < m. Suppose for a contradiction that O contains no diagonal edges, then all the vertices on O are nice except for X. Then O contains a vertex Y adjacent to X on the arc $\partial O \cap \partial C$, and the above x edges connect X and Y. Since the vertex Y is nice, there are m - x interior edges of G' connecting Y and the vertex($\neq X$) adjacent to Y. Similar arguments show that ∂O contains infinite number of vertices, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 6.5. $n' \ge 2$.

Proof. Suppose that n' = 1. Then the graph G' has only one vertex X. The edges of G' are ∂ -edges because G' has no loop edges by Lemma 6.2. Then G' contains a ∂ -edge which is outermost on C, which contradicts Lemma 6.1.

Lemma 6.6. If G' does not contain a diagonal edge, then n' = 2 and G' consists of two vertices X and Y of the same sign and a parallel set of m interior edges connect X and Y.

Proof. The graph G' does not contain a ∂ -edge by Lemma 6.4. Hence all the vertices of G' are nice. Let X be a vertex of G' which is the nearest to t_2 on ∂C , and Y the vertex of G' which is adjacent to X on $\partial C - t_2$. Then X and Y are connected by m interior edges, and n' = 2. The vertices X and Y are of the same sign by Lemma 6.3.

Lemma 6.7. If G' contains a diagonal edge, then there is one, say e, satisfying the conditions below. Let O be the subdisc of C surrounded by e and a subarc δ of $\partial C - t_2$, and X and Y the vertices which e is incident to.

(1) All the long vertices which meet δ are of the same sign, and

(2) all the long vertices contained in δ are nice (except for X and Y).

Proof. Among all the diagonal edges of G' we take one, say e, which is outermost away from t_2 . Let O be the outermost disc of e. The disc O does not contain a ∂ -edge. Hence all the vertices of G' contained in $\partial O \cap \partial C$ are nice (except for X and Y). By Lemma 6.3, all the vertices incident to ∂O are of the same sign.

Lemma 6.8. We can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ consists of a parallel set of peripheral discs each of which cuts off a ball containing t_1 from V_1 .

Proof. By lemmas 6.6 and 6.7, G' contains a pair of vertices X and Y such that

- (1) X and Y are adjacent on the arc $\partial C t_2$, and
- (2) X and Y are of the same sign, and
- (3) X and Y are connected by a parallel set of m/2 edges one of which is outermost away from t_2 among all the edges of G'.

We push regular neighbourhoods of these edges on F into V_1 . Then the discs Q_1 and Q_m , Q_2 and Q_{m-1} , \cdots , $Q_{m/2}$ and $Q_{(m/2)+1}$ are connected by bands, and deformed into peripheral discs in V_1 .

This lemma completes the proof of Theorem IV', which includes Theorem IV.

7. When $F \cap V_1$ consists of peripheral discs

Let M, H, V_i , K, t_i , F and F_0 be as in section 3. The surface F is of type (i), (ii) or (iii). Our goal of this section is the next proposition.

Proposition 7.1. Either the condition (1) of Theorem IV' holds, (2) we can deform F so that $F \cap V_1$ consists of parallel peripheral annuli such that they are disjoint from t_1 and are not peripheral in $V_1 - t_1$, and boundary loops of these annuli are essential and non-meridional on ∂V_1 , or the condition (3) of Theorem IV' holds.

We begin with the condition (2) of Theorem IV'. Then $F \cap V_1$ consists of parallel peripheral discs each of which cuts off a ball containing t_1 from V_1 . Moreover we take F so that the number of peripheral discs of $F \cap V_1$ is minimal over all deformations of F. Let $P = F \cap V_2$, $E(V_2, t_2) = \operatorname{cl}(V_2 - N(t_2))$ and $P_0 = P \cap E(V_2, t_2)$. Then P is t_2 -incompressible and meridionally incompressible, but is possibly t_2 - ∂ -compressible in V_2 . Let C be a cancelling disc of t_2 in V_2 . We take C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal over all cancelling discs of t_2 and all deformations of F. Moreover, we can isotope C in V_2 fixing ∂C so that $C \cap P$ consists of arcs only.

Let Q_1, \dots, Q_m be the peripheral discs of $F \cap V_1$ which appear in V_1 in this order so that Q_1 is the nearest to t_1 . Clearly m > 0. Let B be the ball which is cut off from V_1 by Q_1 , and E the disc $B \cap H$. Let R be the annulus on H surrounded by ∂Q_1 and ∂Q_m .

If we can take C so that $\partial C \cap R$ is the empty set, then H is a K-reducible 1-genus 1-bridge decomposition, which is a desired conclusion of Proposition 7.1 in case (i), and is a contradiction in cases (ii) and (iii). Hence we can assume that $\partial C \cap R \neq \emptyset$. Since we take C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal, it is clear that

- (1) $\partial C \cap R$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus R, and each of them intersects ∂Q_i just once for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (2) $\partial C \cap E$ consists of arcs separating the two points ∂t_1 and two arcs connecting ∂t_1 and ∂Q_1 , and
- (3) $\partial C \cap (H int(E \cup R))$ consists of essential arcs.

We form a graph G on the disc C. The vertices of G are "long vertices" $\partial C \cap R$. The edges of G are the arcs $C \cap P$. The edges of G are classified into two classes: interior edges and ∂ -edges as in section 3. A long vertex contains m endpoints of edges.

Lemma 7.2. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost on C. Then e is not a ∂ -edge.

Proof. Suppose that e is a ∂ -edge. Then e has an endpoint in ∂Q_1 . Let $O (\subset C)$ be the outermost disc of e. We isotope a regular neighbourhood of e on P along the outermost disc O. Then Q_1 is deformed to a peripheral disc D intersecting t_1 precisely once. We can push D into V_2 by an isotopy of the pair (M, K), which contradicts the minimality of $|F \cap V_1|$.

A similar proof as that of Lemma 4.2 shows the next lemma, and we omit it.

Lemma 7.3. The graph G does not contain a loop edge.

Lemma 7.4. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect vertices which are adjacent on the arc $\partial C - t_2$ and connected by an arc of $\partial C \cap E$.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. Then e has both endpoints in ∂Q_1 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then a band is attached to Q_1 , and Q_1 is deformed into an annulus A which cuts off a regular neighbourhood of t_1 from V_1 . This regular neighbourhood contains a disc

D such that $D \cap A = \partial D$ and $|D \cap t_1| = 1$. This is a contradiction in case (i). In case (ii), ∂D divides F into two discs D_1 and D_2 , and either $D \cup D_1$ or $D \cup D_2$ is a decomposing sphere. In case (iii), since F is meridionally incompressible, there is a disc D' on F such that $\partial D' = \partial D$ and $|D' \cap t_1| = 1$. We isotope F so that D' is deformed onto D. Thus, in cases (ii) and (iii), A is deformed to a peripheral disc intersecting t_1 once. We can push this peripheral disc into V_2 by an isotopy of the pair (M, K), This contradicts the minimality of the number $|F \cap V_1|$.

Lemma 7.5. The graph G contains two vertices X and Y which are adjacent on the arc $\partial C - t_2$, connected by an arc of $\partial C \cap (H - int(E \cup R))$ and a parallel set of m interior edges.

Proof. We apply the same arguments as in section 6, and obtain a pattern as in Lemma 6.6 or 6.7. Note that the condition "the vertices are of the same sign" is substituted by the condition "the adjacent vertices are connected by arcs of $\partial C \cap (H-\text{int}(E \cup R))$ ". On $\partial C - t_2$, there appear arcs of $\partial C \cap E$ and arcs of $\partial C \cap (H-\text{int}(E \cup R))$ alternately between long vertices. Hence patterns as in Lemma 6.7 is impossible, and we obtain a pattern as in Lemma 6.6.

Proof of Proposition 7.1. We push regular neighbourhoods of the parallel set of m edges in Lemma 7.5 on F into V_1 . Then bands are attached to the discs Q_1, \dots, Q_m , and they are deformed into parallel peripheral annuli in V_1 . If their boundary loops are meridional ,then we can deform the innermost annulus to a meridian disc by a deformation of F, which contradicts that F is separating:

8. Proof of Theorem I

We prove Theorem I in this section. The surface F is a splitting sphere. We apply Theorem IV'. When the conclusion (1) holds, H is K-reducible, and we obtain the desired conclusion. The conclusion (3) is impossible because $F \cap K = \emptyset$. Hence we assume that the conclusion (2) of Theorem IV' holds to obtain a contradiction. We denote the graph G in section 7 by G_C in this section for clearness. We form a graph G_F on the sphere F. The vertices of G_F are "fat" vertices Q_1, \dots, Q_m . The edges of G_F are arcs of $C \cap P$. We thus obtain two graphs G_C and G_F , whose edges are in one to one correspondence.

An edge e of G_F is a *loop edge* if its endpoints are both in the same fat vertex. If a loop edge e of G_F cuts off a disc from the punctured sphere P, then we call e a *trivial loop edge*.

Lemma 8.1. The graph G_F does not contain a trivial loop edge.

Proof. Suppose for a contradiction that G_F contains a trivial loop edge e. Let O be the disc which e cuts off from P. We can take e to be outermost on P, that is, so that intO does not contain an edge. The edge e divides the cancelling disc C into two discs C_1 and C_2 , one of which, say C_1 contains t_2 . Then the disc $C' = C_1 \cup O$ is a cancelling disc of t_2 , and after a small isotopy of C' we have $|\partial C' \cap \partial P| < |\partial C \cap \partial P|$, which is a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem I. Each of the parallel m edges of G_C in the conclusion of Lemma 7.5 corresponds to loop edges of G_F . Since F is a sphere, there is a trivial loop edge among them, which contradicts Lemma 8.1.

9. Proof of Theorem II

We prove Theorem II in this section. Let F be a decomposing sphere of K. We apply Proposition 7.1. When the conclusion (1) holds, H is weakly K-reducible, which is the desired conclusion of Theorem II. The conclusion (3) implies that H is weakly K-reducible by Lemma 2.2. Hence we can assume that the conclusion (2) holds. Then $F \cap V_1$ consists of parallel peripheral annuli, say R_1, \dots, R_m , whose boundary components are essential on H. Among these loops, there are at least two loops which are innermost on the sphere F. At least one of the innermost discs, say D, intersects K at most one point. The disc D is a meridian disc of V_2 since ∂D is essential in H. We can take a cancelling disc C of t_1 in V_1 so that $C \cap (R_1 \cup \cdots \cup R_m) = \emptyset$. Then the discs D and C imply that H is K-reducible or weakly K-reducible. In the former case, we obtain a contradiction. In the latter case, we obtain the desired conclusion.

In addition, M is not the 3-dimensional sphere by Lemma 2.1. This completes the proof of Theorem II.

10. Proof of Theorem III

Let M, H, V_i, K, t_i, F and F_0 be as in section 3. Suppose that the surface F is of type (iii) such that F is disjoint from K in this section. First, we will prove Proposition 10.1 below. We apply Proposition 7.1. The conclusion (3) of Proposition 7.1 is now absurd since F is disjoint from K. We begin with the situation of the conclusion (2) of Proposition 7.1. Then $F \cap V_1$ consists of parallel peripheral annuli R_1, \dots, R_m each of which cuts off a solid torus containing the trivial arc t_1 from V_1 . Moreover, the boundary loops ∂R_i are essential on H. We take F so that the number of peripheral annuli of $F \cap V_1$ is minimal up to isotopy of F in (M, K). We assume that R_1, \dots, R_m appear in this order in V_1 and R_1 is the nearest to t_1 . We can take a cancelling disc C_1 of t_1 so that $C_1 \cap R_1 = \phi$. Let $P = F \cap V_2$, $E(V_2, t_2) = \text{cl}(V_2 - N(t_2))$ and $P_0 = P \cap E(V_2, t_2)$. Then P is t_2 -incompressible and meridionally incompressible,

but is possibly t_2 - ∂ -compressible. Let C be a cancelling disc of t_2 in V_2 . We take C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal over all cancelling discs of t_2 and up to isotopy of F in (M, K). Moreover, we can isotope C in V_2 so that $C \cap P$ consists of arcs only.

Clearly m > 0. Let V be the solid torus which is cut off from V_1 by R_1 , and A_2 the annulus $V \cap H$. Let A_1 be the annulus $H-\text{int}A_2$.

Proposition 10.1. Let M, H, V_i , K, t_i , F and F_0 be as in section 3. The surface F is of type (iii) and is disjoint from K. Then one of the following three conditions holds.

- (1) The conclusion (1) of Theorem IV' holds.
- (2) (a) There is a cancelling disc C of t₂ such that ∂C ∩ A₁ = Ø, or
 (b) the graph G which will be formed in this section contains a pattern as in Lemma 10.4.

We assume that the conclusions (1) and (2)(a) do not hold to prove that the conclusion (2)(b) holds. Since we take C and F so that $|\partial C \cap \partial P|$ is minimal, it is clear that

- (1) $\partial C \cap A_1$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_1 , and each of them intersects each component of ∂R_i just once for $i = 1, \dots, m$, and
- (2) $\partial C \cap A_2$ consists of essential arcs in the annulus A_2 , inessential arcs each of which separates the two points ∂t_1 , and two arcs connecting ∂t_1 and ∂R_1 .

We form a graph G on the disc C. The vertices of G are "long vertices" $\partial C \cap A_1$. The edges of G are the arcs $C \cap P$. The edges of G are all interior edges since F is disjoint from K. A long vertex contains 2m endpoints of edges.

Lemma 10.2. The graph G does not contain a loop edge.

Proof. Suppose that G contains a loop edge e, and we can take e to be an outermost one on the disc C. Then either (1) endpoints of e are in adjacent peripheral annuli, say R_x and R_{x+1} , or (2) e connects two boundary loops of R_m . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc of e. Then two loops are deformed into a loop ℓ bounding a disc D disjoint from ∂t_1 on H. In case (1), R_x and R_{x+1} are deformed to an annulus R with a hole. In case (2), R_m is deformed into a torus T with a hole.

The K-incompressible surface F contains a disc D' such that $\partial D = \partial D'$ and $D' \cap K = \emptyset$. We can move F by an isotopy of the pair (M, K) so that D' is deformed onto D. In case (1), R_x and R_{x+1} are deformed to a peripheral annulus or disc R', and we can push R' into V_2 by an isotopy of the pair (M, K). This contradicts to the minimality of the number of the peripheral annuli of $F \cap V_1$. In case (2), T is deformed

to a torus $T' = T \cup D$, and F = T'. We can push this torus to be entirely contained in int V_1 , and hence F is K-compressible, which is a contradiction.

Lemma 10.3. Let e be an edge of G which is outermost away from t_2 . Then e does not connect vertices which are adjacent on the arc $\partial C - t_2$ and connected by an inessential arc of $\partial C \cap A_2$.

Proof. Suppose that e is such an outermost edge. Then e has both endpoints in ∂R_1 . We push a regular neighbourhood of e on P into V_1 along the outermost disc. Then a band is attached to R_1 , and R_1 is deformed into a pair of pants, one of whose boundary components bounds a disc D intersecting K at a single point on H. Since F is meridionally incompressible, ∂D bounds a disc intersecting K at a single point on F. This contradicts that F is disjoint from K.

Lemma 10.4. The graph G contains two vertices X and Y such that

- (1) X and Y are adjacent on the arc $\partial C t_2$, and
- (2) X and Y are connected by a parallel set of m edges one of which is outermost away from t_2 among all the edges of G, and
- (3) X and Y are connected by an essential arc of $\partial C \cap A_2$.

Proof. We apply the same arguments as in section 6, and obtain a pattern as in Lemma 6.6 or 6.7. Hence G contains a pair of vertices X and Y as in the conclusion of this lemma.

Proof of Theorem III. Let T be an incompressible torus which is not parallel to $\partial E(K)$. If T is meridionally compressible, then K is composite, and H is weakly K-reducible by Theorem II, which is a contradiction. Hence T is meridionally incompressible.

We apply Proposition 10.1 setting F = T. The conclusion (1) is that H is weakly K-reducible, which is a contradiction. When the conclusion (2)(a) holds, we can isotope int P so that $P_{\cap}C = \phi$. Hence $cl(M - N(C_1^{\cup}A_2^{\cup}C))$ is a Seifert fibred manifold over a disc with two exceptional fibres, and T is isotopic to its boundary. Hence we can assume that (2)(b) holds, and the graph G contains edges as in Lemma 10.4. We push regular neighbourhoods of these edges on T into V_1 . Then bands are attached to the annuli $R_1, \dots R_m$, and they are deformed into tori with a hole in V_1 . Since T is of genus one, m = 1 and $T \cap V_2$ is a peripheral disc which cuts off a ball containing t_2 .

Then the desired conclusion easily follows.

References

- [1] J. Berge: The knots in $D^2 \times S^1$ with non-trivial Dehn surgery yielding $D^2 \times S^1$, Topology Appl. 38 (1991), 1–19
- [2] H. Doll: A generalized bridge number for links in 3-manifolds., Math. Ann. 294 (1992), 701-717
- [3] D. Gabai: Surgery on knots in solid tori., Topology 28 (1989), 1-6
- [4] D. Gabai: 1-bridge braids in solid tori., Topology Appl. 37 (1990), 221-235
- [5] C. McA. Gordon: Dehn surgery and satellite knots, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 275 (1983), 687-708
- [6] C. Hayashi: Genus one 1-bridge positions for the trivial knot and torus knots, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 125 (1999), 53–55
- [7] T. Kobayashi and O. Saeki: Rubinstein-Scharlemann graphic of 3-manifold as the discriminant set of a stable map to appear in Pacific J. Math.
- [8] K. Morimoto and M. Sakuma: On unknotting tunnels for knots, Math. Ann. 289 (1991), 143-167
- [9] Y-Q. Wu: Incompressibility of surfaces in surgered 3-manifold, Topology 31 (1992), 271-279
- [10] Y-Q. Wu: ∂-reducing Dehn surgeries and 1-bridge knots, Math. Ann. 295 (1992), 319-331
- [11] Y-Q. Wu: Incompressible surfaces and Dehn surgery on 1-bridge knots in handlebodies, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. 120 (1996), 687–696

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Gakushuin University, Mejiro 1-5-1, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171, Japan e-mail: Chuichiro.Hayashi@gakushuin.ac.jp